Deciphering the metamorphic evolution of the Pulo do Lobo metasedimentary domain (SW Iberian Variscides)
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Abstract. The Pulo do Lobo domain is one of the units exposed within the orogenic suture zone between the Ossa-Morena and the South Portuguese zones in the SW Iberian Variscides. This metasedimentary unit has been classically interpreted as a Rheic subduction-related accretionary prism formed during pre-Carboniferous convergence and eventual collision between the South Portuguese Zone (part of Avalonia) and the Ossa-Morena Zone (peri-Gondwanan terrane). Discrete mafic intrusions also occur within the dominant Pulo do Lobo metapelites, related to an intra-orogenic Mississippian transtensional and magmatic event that had a significant thermal input. Three different approaches have been applied to the Devonian–Carboniferous phyllites and slates of the Pulo do Lobo domain in order to study their poorly known low-grade metamorphic evolution. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to identify the mineralogy and measure crystallographic parameters (illite “crystallinity” and K-white mica b-cell dimension). Compositional maps of selected samples were obtained from electron probe microanalysis, which allowed for processing with XMapTools software, and chlorite semiempirical and thermodynamic geothermometry was performed. Thermometry based on Raman spectroscopy of carbonaceous material (RSCM) was used to obtain peak temperatures.

The microstructural study shows the existence of two phyllosilicate growth events in the chlorite zone, the main one (M1) related to the development of a Devonian foliation S1 and a minor one (M2) associated with a crenulation cleavage (S2) developed in middle–upper Carboniferous times. M1 entered well into epizone (greenschist facies) conditions. M2 conditions were at lower temperature, reaching the anchizone–epizone boundary. These data accord well with the angular unconformity that separates the Devonian and Carboniferous formations of the Pulo do Lobo domain. The varied results obtained by the different approaches followed, combined with microstructural analysis, provide different snapshots of the metamorphic history. Thus, RSCM temperatures are higher in comparison with the other methods applied, which is interpreted to reflect a faster re-equilibration during the short-lived thermal Mississippian event. Regarding the metamorphic pressure, the data are very homogeneous: very low celadonite content
(0%–10%) in muscovite (and low values of K-white mica b-cell dimension; 8.995 Å mean value), indicating a low pressure–temperature gradient, which is unexpected in a subduction-related accretionary prism.

Highlights

– A multidisciplinary approach has been applied to study the metamorphism of the Pulo do Lobo metapelites.

– Devonian metamorphism entered epizone conditions.

– Carboniferous metamorphism reached the anchizone–epizone boundary.

– The inferred low-pressure gradient is incompatible with a subduction-related accretionary prism.

1 Introduction

The determination of temperature and pressure (P–T) conditions reached by the low-grade metasedimentary units stacked in the hinterlands of orogens constrains their tectonometamorphic evolution (e.g., Goffé and Velde, 1984; Franceschelli et al., 1986; Ernst, 1988; Gutiérrez-Alonso and Nieto, 1996; Frey and Robinson, 1999; Bousquet et al., 2008; Lanari et al., 2012). The various results derived from the application of diverse geothermometric and/or geobarometric methods may also allow for the identification and characterization of superposed tectonometamorphic events, thus improving the knowledge of P–T paths and their tectonic significance (e.g., Brown, 1993; Crouzet et al., 2007; Ali, 2010; Lanari et al., 2012; Airaghi et al., 2017).

The metamorphism of the Iberian Variscides has been mostly studied on high-grade metamorphosed rocks in order to characterize and obtain the P–T–t (pressure–temperature–time) paths of suture-related units (e.g., Gil Ibarguchi et al., 1990; Abalos et al., 1991; Escudier Viruete et al., 1994; Barbero, 1995; Arenas et al., 1997; Fonseca et al., 1999; López-Carmona et al., 2013; Martínez Catalán et al., 2014). The low-to very-low-grade units have been also studied (e.g., Martínez Catalán, 1985; Bastida et al., 1986, 2002; López Munguira et al., 1991; Gutiérrez-Alonso and Nieto, 1996; Abad et al., 2001, 2002, 2003a; Martínez Poyatos et al., 2001; Nieto et al., 2005; Vázquez et al., 2007), despite the scarcity of appropriate robust methodologies to apply in these kind of rocks. Obtaining new results from the low-grade rocks of the Pulo do Lobo domain, a suture-related low-grade unit in SW Iberia, is of prime importance in order to understand its significance and tectonometamorphic evolution, whose interpretations have been the cause of discrepancies, and to reconstruct the overall history of the SW Iberian Variscides.

In this work, three different methodologies are applied to a number of samples of the Pulo do Lobo domain (Fig. 1): (i) X-ray diffraction (XRD) in order to identify minerals not easily recognizable with optical microscopy (fine-grained muscovite, paragonite, mixed-layer phyllosilicates, etc.) and obtain thermobarometric information via the measurement of crystallographic parameters (illite crystallinity and b-cell dimension); (ii) compositional maps derived from electron probe microanalysis (EPMA), which enables the recognition of different tectonometamorphic events by combining mineral composition and microtextural features (e.g., Airaghi et al., 2017), as well as the application of geothermobarometers based on chlorite and K-white mica compositions; and (iii) Raman spectroscopy of carbonaceous material (RSCM) to estimate peak temperatures using an adapted thermometric calibration. The results obtained enable a discussion of the tectonometamorphic evolution of the Pulo do Lobo domain. Moreover, the comparison of the different approaches tests their reliability and sensitivity to characterize different geological processes.

2 Geological setting

The SW Iberian Variscides resulted from the Devonian–Carboniferous left-lateral oblique collision of three different terranes: the Central Iberian Zone (CIZ), the Ossa-Morena Zone (OMZ), and the South Portuguese Zone (SPZ) (Fig. 1a); the boundaries between these terranes are considered orogenic sutures (e.g., Quesada, 1991; Pérez-Estaún et al., 2004; Pérez-Cáceres et al., 2016). Besides the dominant left-lateral shortening kinematics, SW Iberia also attests Mississippian synorogenic sedimentary basins, widespread mafic magmatism and high-temperature metamorphic areas, which together suggest an intra-orogenic transtensional stage (Simancas et al., 2003, 2006; Pereira et al., 2012; Azor et al., 2019).

The OMZ is commonly interpreted as a fragment of continental crust that drifted from the CIZ (i.e., north Gondwana) in early Paleozoic times (Matte, 2001). The OMZ–CIZ suture (Badajoz–Córdoba Shear Zone) includes early Paleozoic amphibolites with oceanic affinity, eclogite relics, and intense high- to low-grade left-lateral shear deformation (Burg et al., 1981; Abalos et al., 1991; Quesada, 1991; Azor et al., 1994; Ordóñez-Casado, 1998; López Sánchez-Vizcaíno et al., 2003; Pereira et al., 2010). Ediacaran to Carboniferous sedimentary successions with an angular unconformity at the base of the lower Carboniferous characterize the OMZ. Low-grade regional metamorphism dominates the OMZ, though there are areas of high-temperature and low-pressure metamorphism associated with early Carboniferous magmatism (e.g., Bard, 1977; Crespo-Blanc, 1991; Díaz Azpiroz et al., 2006; Pereira et al., 2009).

The SPZ is a continental piece considered to be a fragment of Avalonia, and thus the OMZ–SPZ boundary is usually interpreted as the Rheic Ocean suture (Crespo-Blanc and Orozco, 1988; Eden and Andrews, 1990; Silva et al., 1990;
Quesada et al., 1994; Braid et al., 2011; Pérez-Cáceres et al., 2015, 2017). This boundary is delineated by the Beja–Acebuches Amphibolites (Fig. 1b), a narrow strip of metamafic rocks that resembles a dismembered ophiolitic succession (from greenschists to metagabbros and locally ultramafic rocks) (e.g., Bard, 1977; Crespo-Blanc, 1991; Quesada et al., 1994). This unit was interpreted as a Rheic ophiolite (Munhá et al., 1986; Crespo-Blanc, 1991; Fonseca and Ribeiro, 1993; Quesada et al., 1994; Castro et al., 1996). This idea was reconsidered based on the Mississippian age of the mafic protoliths (≈340 Ma; Azor et al., 2008) and is more likely evidence of the early Carboniferous intra-orogenic, lithospheric-scale transtensional and magmatic episode that obscures the previous suture-related features of the OMZ–SPZ boundary (Pérez-Cáceres et al., 2015, and references therein). Nevertheless, there is also the alternative explanation that the OMZ–SPZ boundary was a protected tract of Rheic oceanic lithosphere that did not close until Carboniferous times (Murphy et al., 2016; Braid et al., 2018; Quesada et al., 2019). The rocks of the Beja–Acebuches Amphibolites
Table 1. Samples and results obtained by XRD (<2 µm fraction), white mica and chlorite compositions, temperature ranges from chlorite thermometry, and average RSCM thermometry. Basel KI values and average RSCM temperatures show a relative color-bar scale. Mineral abbreviations according to Whitney and Evans (2010), Qz: quartz, Ms: muscovite, Fsp: feldspar, Chl: chlorite, Pg: paragonite, C–S: chlorite–smectite mixed layers, Cel: celadonite, Prf: pyrophyllite, Cli+Daph: clinochlore + daphnite, Am: amesite, Sud: sudoite, SD: standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mineralogy</th>
<th>PWHld</th>
<th>Basel KI (10 Å)</th>
<th>δ (Å)</th>
<th>δac (Å)</th>
<th>White mica compositions</th>
<th>Chlorite compositions</th>
<th>Chlorite mags</th>
<th>Chlorite thermometry</th>
<th>Tm (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>&lt;2 µm</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>8.991 9.993</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>&lt;2 µm</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>8.996 9.997</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Chl+C/S</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>&lt;2 µm</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>8.999 10.001</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>&lt;2 µm</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>8.997 9.997</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Chl+C/S</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>&lt;2 µm</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>8.988 9.995</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Chl+Pg</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>8.995</td>
<td>9.993</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>424</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>9.001</td>
<td>9.988</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Chl+Pg</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>9.988</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Chl+Pg</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>8.995</td>
<td>9.984</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>532</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Chl+Pg</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>8.994</td>
<td>9.998 70-80 0-10 20-50</td>
<td>70 50 0-50 0-50 150-300 150-375 150-300 461 24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Chl+Pg</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>8.966</td>
<td>9.994</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Pg+C/S</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>8.993</td>
<td>9.984</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Chl+Pg</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>8.998</td>
<td>9.994</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>471</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Chl+Pg</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>8.997</td>
<td>9.990 70-80 0-10 20-50</td>
<td>50 100-200 120-230</td>
<td>150-200 465 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>8.996</td>
<td>9.993</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>418</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Chl+Pg</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>9.000</td>
<td>9.995</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Chl+Pg</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>9.002</td>
<td>9.990 70-80 0-10 20-50</td>
<td>50 40-80 0-10 200-400 200-380 150-400 495 23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

North of the Beja–Acebuches Amphibolites, the allochthonous Cubito–Moura unit might be the only vestige of the Rheic Ocean suture (Fonseca et al., 1999; Araújo et al., 2005; Pérez-Cáceres et al., 2015). This unit was emplaced onto the southern OMZ border (Fig. 1b) with left-lateral top-to-the-ENE kinematics (Ponce et al., 2012). It contains Ediacaran–lower Paleozoic metasedimentary rocks and Ordovician MORB-featured mafic rocks (≈ 480 Ma; Pedro et al., 2010) transformed into high-pressure blueschists and eclogites at ≈ 370 Ma (Moita et al., 2005). The high-pressure metamorphism has also been studied by using white mica and chlorite (and chloritoid pseudomorphs) mineral equilibria (Booth-Rea et al., 2006; Ponce et al., 2012; Rubio Pascual et al., 2013), yielding peak conditions of 1 GPa at 450 °C. South of the Beja–Acebuches Amphibolites, low- to very-low-grade successions crop out: Devonian siliciclastics, earliest Carboniferous volcano-sedimentary rocks, and a south-migrating Carboniferous flysch (e.g., Oliveira, 1990). These rocks are usually grouped into two geological domains: the Pulo do Lobo domain to the north and the SPZs to the south (Fig. 1a, b). The deformation in the SPZ consists of a south- to southwest-vergent fold-and-thrust belt with decreasing strain intensity and age southwards (Oliveira, 1990; Simancas et al., 2004). The metamorphic grade also decreases southwards, from epizone to diageneric, through the SPZ (Munhá, 1990; Abad et al., 2001). The Pulo do Lobo domain, which has been traditionally considered a suture-related unit (see below), is the focus of this work.

Pulo do Lobo domain

The Pulo do Lobo domain constitutes a polydeformed structure affecting low-grade Devonian–Carboniferous sedimentary formations. These formations are the following, from bottom to top (Fig. 1b–c).
i. The Pulo do Lobo Formation (sensu stricto) is constituted by a succession of satiny black to grey phyllites and fine-grained schists with minor intercalations of quartz sandstones (Fig. 2a). The presence of abundant segregated quartz veins (pre- to post-folding) is common. The palynological content suggests a middle Frasnian age (Pereira et al., 2018).

ii. The Ribeira de Limas Formation is constituted by phyllites with thin beds of quartz sandstones and arkoses (Fig. 2b). The presence of palynomorphs also suggests a middle Frasnian age for this formation (Pereira et al., 2018). The contact with the underlying Pulo do Lobo Formation is gradual, with a progressive increase in sandstones and a decrease in phyllites upwards. For that reason, we will refer to the Pulo do Lobo and Ribeira de Limas formations as the lower formations of the Pulo do Lobo domain. Furthermore, these lower formations share the same structural fabrics consisting of three fold-related foliations (Fig. 2a–b; Pérez-Cáceres
et al., 2015). The first foliation of the lower formations (S1) is preserved inside microlithons of the second foliation (S2); usually, the angle between these two foliations is high. S2 is the main foliation and consists of a crenulation–dissolution cleavage that commonly appears as a millimetric- to centimetric-spaced tectonic banding. This foliation is axial-planar to north-vergent folds. The third foliation (S3) is a spaced crenulation–dissolution cleavage that typically develops a characteristic decimetric- to metric-scale tectonic banding. S3 is associated with upright to slightly south-vergent folds.

iii. The Santa Iría Formation is composed of alternating beds of slates and greywackes (Fig. 2c). The greywacke beds show normal grading and an erosive base. Palaeontological and palynostratigraphic studies suggest an upper Famennian age for this formation (Pereira et al., 2008, 2018). However, an early Carboniferous age is also plausible, since more than 90% of the palynomorphs correspond to reworked material (Lopes et al., 2014) and the younger detrital zircon population is early Carboniferous (Braid et al., 2011; Pérez-Cáceres et al., 2017; Pereira et al., 2019). The Santa Iría Formation only shows two foliations, correlative with the last two deformation phases in the lower formations. Therefore, an unconformity between them is inferred, which is consistent with the age and flysch character of the Santa Iría Formation (Pérez-Cáceres et al., 2015). S2 is observed as a penetrative slaty cleavage, while S3 is a disjunctive crenulation cleavage.

According to Silva et al. (1990) and Pérez-Cáceres et al. (2015), the two main foliations (S2 and S3) in the Pulo do Lobo domain resulted from the middle- upper Carboniferous collision between the OMZ and SPZ. The first foliation (S1) in the Pulo do Lobo domain might have formed during the convergence of the Rheic Ocean subduction and/or the beginning of the Variscan collision, probably at Late Devonian times.

The Pulo do Lobo domain contains some decimetric- to metric-scale lenticular bodies of MORB-featured metamafic rocks. At some outcrops, the mafic rocks are embedded in a greenish detrital matrix, thus suggesting an olistostromic origin (the Peramora Olistostrome; Eden and Andrews, 1990). These rocks are tectonically imbricated with the phyllites of the Pulo do Lobo Formation and hence form a tectonic mélange (the so-called Peramora Mélange; Fig. 1b–c; Eden, 1991; Dahn et al., 2014). Based on this aspect and on the supposedly Rheic Ocean derived greenschists, the Pulo do Lobo domain has been classically interpreted as a pre-collisional subduction-related accretionary prism (Eden and Andrews, 1990; Silva et al., 1990; Eden, 1991; Braid et al., 2010; Ribeiro et al., 2010; Dahn et al., 2014; Quesada et al., 2019). However, the recently obtained Mississippian U–Pb zircon ages from the metamafic rocks (Dahn et al., 2014; Pérez-Cáceres et al., 2015) make it difficult to maintain such a hypothesis. More properly, they can be interpreted as mafic intrusions or extrusions in the frame of the intra-orogenic transtensional magmatic event that prevailed in SW Iberia during the Mississippian. The metamafic rocks display a foliation (equivalent to the S2 of the enveloping metasediments) developed during loosely constrained greenschist facies metamorphism. These rocks would have been imbricated with the Pulo do Lobo metasedimentary rocks during the second deformation phase, which caused S2 (Pérez-Cáceres et al., 2015). Our multidisciplinary metamorphic study of the Pulo do Lobo metasediments provides crucial data concerning the tectonic significance of this domain.

3 Samples and analytical methods

A total of 18 samples were collected from well-exposed outcrops of phyllosilicate-rich detrital rocks of the Pulo do Lobo domain along two north-south transects perpendicular to the structural trend. Five samples belong to the Santa Iría Formation (unconformable upper formation) and 13 to the lower formations (Pulo do Lobo and Ribeira de Limas formations) (the locations of the samples are shown in the map and cross sections in Fig. 1b–c, and the UTM coordinates are given in the Supplement). As a whole, the samples were selected in fresh outcrops, far from faults and joints, and were as homogeneous and representative as possible. The sampling strategy was to collect representative sites, both of the overall stratigraphic succession and along two transects. We also aimed to characterize the unconformity between the lower and upper formations from a metamorphic point of view, since the crystallinity aspect to the naked eye appears to be lower in the Santa Iría Formation. Some samples from the lowermost Pulo do Lobo Formation were collected ≈ 200 m from the metabasite lenses of the Peramora Mélange.

Samples were examined under an optical microscope and scanning electron microscope (SEM) for overall mineralogy, deformation textures, and mineral–foliation relationships using an environmental scanning electron microscope FEI model Quanta 400 operating at 15–20 kV (Centro de Instrumentación Científica, CIC, University of Granada, Spain).

3.1 X-ray diffraction

Sample preparation and analysis by XRD were done in the laboratories of the Department of Mineralogy and Petrology of the University of Granada (Spain). After washing and cleaning the patinas and oxides, samples were crushed to a < 2 mm fraction. The < 2 μm fractions were separated by repeated extraction of supernatant liquid after centrifugation, according to the Stokes law. Oriented aggregates were prepared by sedimentation on glass slides of whole-rock and < 2 μm fractions (the latter aims to minimize the content of detrital micas non-re-equilibrated during very-low-grade metamorphism, which are generally larger than 2 μm;
Samples were also treated with ethylene glycol (EGC) to identify illite–smectite or chlorite–smectite mixed layers on the basis of their expandability. Samples were analyzed using a PANalytical X'Pert Pro powder diffractometer equipped with an X'Celerator detector, CuKα radiation, operated at 45 kV and 40 mA, with an Ni filter and 0.25° divergence slit. The resulting diffraction diagrams were examined to extract information on mineralogy based on their characteristic reflections and white mica crystal data.

The illite crystallinity index (Kübler index, KI; Kübler, 1968) has been estimated from the measurement of the full peak width of K-white mica at half-maximum intensity (FWHM values), expressed as $\Delta/2\theta$ of the Bragg angle. Preparation of samples and experimental conditions were carried out according to IGCP 294 IC Working Group recommendations (Kisch, 1991). A step increment of 0.008°/2θ and a counting time of 52 s per step were used in the diffractometer. The KI was measured in all samples for both the 5 and 10 Å reflection peaks of K-white mica in order to identify possible effects of other overlapping phases (Nieto and Sánchez-Navas, 1994; Battaglia et al., 2004). Some XRD traces showing complex mixtures of mixed-layer minerals were decomposed with the MacDiff software (Petschick, 2004). The FWHM values obtained in the laboratory ($x$) were transformed to Crystallinity Index Standard (CIS) values ($y$) using the equation $y = 0.972x + 0.1096$ ($R^2 = 0.942$), obtained from the measure in our laboratory of the international standards of Warr and Rice (1994). Finally, these values are expressed in terms of traditional KI values using the equation of Warr and Ferreiro Mähnmann (2015; CIS = 1.1523 $\times$ Kübler index Basel lab + 0.036). The lower and upper boundaries of the anchizone in the KI scale are 0.42 and 0.25 °2θ, respectively (Warr and Ferreiro Mähnmann, 2015). The thermal range for the anchizone is estimated as 200–300°C, though the KI cannot be considered a true geothermometer (Frey, 1987; Kisch, 1987).

The $b$-cell parameter of white mica was obtained from the (060) reflection peak measured with quartz as an internal standard on polished rock slices cut normal to the sample main foliation $S_2$ (Sassi and Scolari, 1974). The $b$-cell dimension of K-white mica is typically proportional to the extent of phengitic substitution and is therefore considered a proxy for the pressure conditions during its crystallization. Thus, Guidotti and Sassi (1986) have shown that $b$ values lower than 9.000 Å are typical of low-pressure facies conditions, while $b$ values higher than 9.040 Å are related to relatively high-pressure facies metamorphism. Precise measurements of the basal spacing of white mica ($d_{001}$) have also been made using quartz from the sample itself as an internal standard; $d_{001}$ is related to the paragonitic Na/K substitution (Guidotti et al., 1992), thereby approximately reflecting the temperature of white mica formation (Guidotti et al., 1994).

### 3.2 EPMA-derived X-ray compositional maps and chlorite thermometry

From all of the collected samples, we selected those with the larger phyllosilicate grain size for electron probe microanalysis (EPMA). Thus, three carbon-coated polished thin sections were studied. The selected samples (PLB-84, PLB-88, and PLB-93) belong to the lower formations of the Pulo do Lobo domain (Fig. 2d–e). The Santa Iría samples could not be studied due to the tiny grain size of the minerals (commonly less than 3 μm).

Compositional maps and accurate spot analyses were performed on a JEOL JXA-8230 EPMA at the Institut des Sciences de la Terre (ISTerre) in Grenoble (France), according to the analytical procedure proposed by De Andrade et al. (2006) and Lanari et al. (2014a). The data acquisition was made in wavelength-dispersive spectrometry mode (WDS), 10 elements (Si, Ca, Al, K, Mn, Na, P, Ti, Fe, and Mg) were analyzed using five WD spectrometers: TAP crystal for Si and Al, PETL for Ti and P, TAPH for Na and Mg, PETH for K and Ca, and LIFH for Mn and Fe. The standardization was made by using certified natural minerals and synthetic oxides: wollastonite (Si, Ca), corundum (Al), orthoclase (K), rhodonite (Mn), albite (Na), apatite (P), rutile (Ti), hematite (Fe), and periclase (Mg). X-ray maps were obtained by adding successive adjacent profiles. A beam current of 100 nA and a beam size spot (focused) were used. The step (pixel) size was 1 μm and the dwell time was 200–300 ms per pixel. Spot analyses were conducted along the profiles within the mapping at 15 kV accelerating voltage, 12 nA beam current, and 2 μm beam size spot (focused). The on-peak counting time was 30 s for each element and 30 s for two background measurements at either side of each peak. A ZAF correction procedure was applied. The internal standards were orthoclase and/or chromium–augite (Jarosewich et al., 1980), which were run (three points on each standard) after each profile in order to monitor instrumental drift and estimate analytical accuracy. Drift correction was made, if necessary, using the corresponding regression equation.

The WDS X-ray maps were then processed with XMapTools (http://www.xmaptools.com, last access: 10 September 2009), a MATLAB-based graphical user interface program to process the chemical maps, link them to thermobarometric models, and estimate the pressure–temperature conditions of the crystallization of minerals in metamorphic rocks (Lanari et al., 2014a). The compositional maps were standardized with the spot analyses measured along the profiles and mineral compositions were plotted into binary and ternary diagrams using the interface modules Chem2D and Triplot3D. Chemical maps of the amount of tetrahedral aluminum ($Al^T$) in chlorites were acquired because these amounts are used in many empirical chlorite thermometers (e.g., Cathelineau and Nieva, 1985; Cathelineau, 1988). The temperature conditions were estimated for each chlorite pixel of the maps using the chlorite thermometer of Lanari et
al. (2014b), as well as the approaches of Vidal et al. (2006) and Bourdelle et al. (2013), which are summarized in the Supplement.

In addition to the abovementioned compositional maps, white micas from seven carbon-coated thin sections of the lower formations of the Pulo do Lobo domain were analyzed before with a JEOL WDS four-spectrometer microprobe (JXA-8200 Superprobe) at the University of Huelva (Spain). A combination of silicates and oxides was used for calibration: standards used were wollastonite (Si and Ca), potassium feldspar (Al, K, and Na), forsterite (Mg), and fayalite (Fe). Single-point analyses were conducted with 20 nA probe current, 1–5 µm spot size, and 15 kV of acceleration voltage, with 5 s counting times.

3.3 Raman spectroscopy of carbonaceous material

Raman spectroscopy of carbonaceous material (RSCM) is based on the observation that sedimentary carbonaceous material (CM) is progressively transformed into graphite at increasing temperature. Because of the irreversible character of graphitization, CM structure is not sensitive to the retrograde path during the exhumation of rocks, but it depends only on the maximum temperature reached during metamorphism (Beyssac et al., 2002a). Temperature was determined in the range 330–650 °C with a calibration-attached accuracy of ±50 °C due to uncertainties in the petrologic data used for the calibration (Beyssac et al., 2002a). Relative uncertainties in temperature estimates were later reduced (around 10–15 °C; Beyssac et al., 2004). For temperature below 330 °C, Lahfid et al. (2010) performed a systematic study of the evolution of the Raman spectrum of CM in low-grade metamorphic rocks in the Glarus Alps (Switzerland). They showed that the Raman spectrum of CM is slightly different from the spectrum observed at higher temperature, and they established a quantitative correlation between the degree of structuration of CM and temperature.

In this work, 12 representative thin sections previously examined by optical microscopy were selected. From these, 10 samples were analyzed (according to their larger CM grain size and content): eight samples belong to the lower Pulo do Lobo domain were analyzed before with a JEOL WDS four-spectrometer microprobe (JXA-8200 Superprobe) at the University of Huelva (Spain). A combination of silicates and oxides was used for calibration: standards used were wollastonite (Si and Ca), potassium feldspar (Al, K, and Na), forsterite (Mg), and fayalite (Fe). Single-point analyses were conducted with 20 nA probe current, 1–5 µm spot size, and 15 kV of acceleration voltage, with 5 s counting times.

4 Results

According to the petrographic study, all the samples correspond to slates or phyllites with phyllosilicates smaller than 500 µm, composed of variable quartz ± K-white mica ± chlorite ± feldspar ± ore and accessory minerals (Fig. 2d–f). Samples from the Santa Iría Formation have a much larger grain size and apparently lower crystallinity (Fig. 2f). The first foliation S1 is defined by the largest micas and chlorites (Fig. 2d–e), being folded by microscopic- to centimetric-scale tight folds of the second deformation phase (Fig. 2a–b, d–e). The second foliation S2 is the main foliation at outcrop (Fig. 2a–c), but the phyllosilicates (mostly white mica) are smaller than those in S1. The third foliation S3 is a fracture cleavage that does not develop phyllosilicates (Fig. 2a–c).

4.1 X-ray diffraction

The mineralogy and crystal parameters of K-white mica obtained from the 18 samples of the Pulo do Lobo domain are summarized in Table 1. The results of KI values, the b-cell parameter, and d₀₀₁ analyzed in K-white mica obtained from whole-rock and < 2 µm fractions are very similar, which suggests that detrital micas re-equilibrated during metamorphism.

The mineralogy of the samples is relatively simple: Qz + Ms + Fsp + Chl ± Pg ± C–S. The slates of the Santa Iría Formation have quartz, muscovite, and chlorite, with chlorite–smectite (C–S) interlayers in some samples. In the lower formations, besides quartz and muscovite, chlorite is present in almost all of the samples, paragonite appears in most of them, and chlorite–smectite interlayers are occasional.

KI values measured in the 10 Å peak of white mica from the <2 µm fraction are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1c with a relative color bar from orange (lower values) to green (higher values). Values of the Santa Iría samples (n = 5) range from 0.20 to 0.26 Δ²βθ, and the mean value is 0.23 (standard deviation 0.02). In the lower formations (n = 12), KI values range from 0.17 to 0.22, and the mean value is 0.19 (standard deviation 0.02). KI values measured in the 5 Å peak (not shown to avoid repetition) are very similar to those of the 10 Å peak.
The measured \( b \)-cell parameter of white mica varies within a close range around 9 Å (8.991–9.002). The mean value is 8.995 Å (standard deviation 0.003) for the Santa Iria Formation samples and 8.997 Å (standard deviation 0.003) for the samples of the lower formations; \( d_{001} \) values average 9.992 Å (standard deviation 0.004) and differ slightly between the upper and lower formations, with higher values in the upper formation.

The results obtained through X-ray diffraction reflect low-grade metamorphic conditions due to the KI values between 0.17 and 0.26 \( \Delta^2 \theta \). In addition, \( b \)-cell parameters are lower than 9.000 Å, which show a low-pressure metamorphic gradient (low pressure–temperature metamorphic facies conditions; Guidotti and Sassi, 1986).

4.2 Compositional maps and chlorite thermometry

X-ray maps show the distribution of major elements and allow for the identification of white mica, chlorite, and some albite porphyroblasts, with ilmenite and rutile as accessory minerals (Fig. 4a–b). Although quartz is abundant in all of the samples, the areas selected for detailed X-ray mapping (composed mostly of phyllosilicates) do not contain quartz (Fig. 4a–b). White mica is abundant along both the \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) foliations (Figs. 2d–e and 4b). Chlorite is found mostly along \( S_1 \) but is very scarce and small-sized within \( S_2 \) (Figs. 2e and 4b), with the exception of sample PLB-93 wherein chlorite is similar in amount in both foliation domains (Fig. 4b).

Mapped compositions of end-members of white mica and chlorite have been plotted in the ternary diagrams in Fig. 5. The composition of white mica is similar in the three maps and is close to muscovite, with 25% pyrophyllite and very minor celadonite content (0%–10%; Fig. 5a). The high content of pyrophyllite (high amount of interlayer vacancies) is typical of low-pressure illitic–mica compositions. Figure 6 shows white mica compositional ratios, which can be related to \( P–T \) conditions: they present a low degree of Na substitution and low phengitic component, so they are close to the muscovite end-member in composition. These results point to low-pressure conditions and agree well with XRD results: low \( b \)-cell parameter (< 9.000 Å) and high \( d_{001} \) (> 9.985 Å; Table 1).

Chlorite compositions are variable, though all of them have in common \( \approx 50\% \) clinohlore + daphnite and \( \approx 50\% \) amesite + sudoite (Fig. 5b). Chlorites in sample PLB-88 are low in amesite, with a large variation of clinohlore + daphnite and sudoite contents. In sample PLB-84, chlorites vary in composition between amesite and sudoite, indicating a variation of Al\( ^{IV} \), which implies an increase in temperature from rims to cores as shown in the chemical maps in Fig. 4c. Finally, PLB-93 chlorites are poor in sudoite and higher in Al\( ^{IV} \) content, thus suggesting higher average temperatures (Vidal et al., 2006) than in PLB-84 and PLB-88. Altogether, the chlorite compositional data show the
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Figure 4. X-ray maps of the three selected samples analyzed by EPMA and processed with XMapTools. The samples belong to the lower formations of the Pulo do Lobo domain (sample PLB-88: Ribeira de Limas Formation; samples PLB-84 and PLB-93: Pulo do Lobo Formation; the latter (PLB-93) is close to early Carboniferous igneous intrusions). (a) EPMA BSE photographs. (b) Mineral maps. (c) Al^{IV} content map in chlorites, which increases with temperature. The white square highlights the zonation of a chlorite grain from core to rim. (d) Temperature maps of chlorite using the Lanari et al. (2014a) geothermometer assuming all iron as ferrous. White squares show selected areas illustrating higher-temperature chlorite cores. Red squares show the selected areas (representative of S_1 foliation) used for the chlorite–quartz–water geothermometric calculations shown in Fig. 7.
Figure 5. Ternary plots of all the analyzed white micas (a) (Cel: celadonite, Mus: muscovite, Prl: pyrophyllite) and chlorite (b) (Cli + Daph: clinochlore + daphnite, Am: amesite, Sud: sudoite) plotted with the XMapTools TriPlot3D module. Color bars refer to the number of mica–chlorite pixels analyzed.

Figure 6. Compositional diagram of white micas showing Na/Na+K vs. Si/Al (atomic ratios) for 31 EPMA point analyses from seven samples of the lower formations of the Pulo do Lobo domain (different symbology for each sample). Point analyses were conducted on the microprobe at the University of Huelva (Spain). Qualitative information about temperature and pressure conditions is respectively according to Guidotti et al. (1994), Coggon and Holland (2002), Parra et al. (2002), Massonne and Schereyer (1987), and Massonne and Szpurka (1997).

The presence of two end-members: sudoite-rich low temperature (PLB-88) and amesite-rich high temperature (PLB-93).

Maps of AlIV in chlorites are displayed in Fig. 4c. Sample PLB-88 displays lower AlIV content (∼1.1–1.3 apfu) than sample PLB-93 (∼1.3–1.5 apfu). In sample PLB-84, some large chlorite grains oriented along S1 are zoned, with higher AlIV content in the cores (∼1.4 apfu) than in the rims (∼1.0 apfu; see the white square in Fig. 4c). According to the empirical calibration of Cathelineau (1988), AlIV in chlorites increases with temperature. Thus, the AlIV content in chlorites manifests different temperatures in different samples and also varies from core to rim in singular grains.

Temperature maps have been obtained with the semiempirical thermometer of Lanari et al. (2014b), which assumes that Fe2+ is the Fe total (Fig. 4d). Temperatures range between 100 and 200°C in sample PLB-88, between 150 and 350°C in sample PLB-84, and between 200 and 450°C in sample PLB-93. Tiny chlorites developed along S2 yield lower temperatures than larger and more abundant chlorites along S1, with the exception of sample PLB-93. Furthermore, some large chlorites oriented along S1 are zoned, showing high-temperature relic cores (350–450°C; see white insets in Fig. 4c–d) surrounded by low-temperature rims (150–250°C).

To test the Vidal et al. (2005, 2006) and Bourdelle et al. (2013) thermometers, an area of representative chlorites in an S1 microlithon was selected from each map (see red insets...
in Fig. 4d). Corresponding chlorite compositions were extracted and introduced in the chlorite–quartz–water equilibria (Fig. 7a; Vidal et al., 2005, 2006; Fig. 7b, Bourdelle et al., 2013). The temperature estimates (Fig. 7) derived from both methods are fairly similar, averaging 120–230°C in sample PLB-88 and 150–380°C in sample PLB-84. These estimates are also in agreement with the estimates derived from temperature maps calculated with the Lanari et al. (2014a) model. Only sample PLB-93 shows a divergence on temperature averages: mostly 150–250°C with the thermometer of Bourdelle et al. (2013) and 250–350°C with that of Vidal et al. (2005, 2006). In both cases (Bourdelle et al., 2013 and Vidal et al., 2005, 2006 methods), the higher-temperature analysis results are obtained from crystal cores in sample PLB-93.

4.3 RSCM thermometry

The ratio parameters and corresponding maximum temperatures obtained from all the spectra analyzed are shown in the Supplement. The Raman spectra were decomposed into bands following the appropriate fitting procedure described in Beyssac et al. (2002a) for the lower formations (high-temperature Raman spectra; ratio parameter R2) and Lahfid et al. (2010) for the Santa Iría Formation (low-temperature Raman spectra; ratio parameters RA1 and RA2; Fig. 3). The average temperatures are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1c with a relative color bar from red (higher temperature) to blue (lower temperature). The average temperatures for the lower formations range from 420 to 530°C, with a mean value of 468°C (standard deviation of 35). The highest temperatures are found in samples PLB-82 (530°C) and PLB-93 (495°C), and estimates of the remaining samples do not exceed 480°C. As for the Santa Iría Formation, temperatures are lower (315–330°C; Table 1) than in the underlying formations.

5 Interpretation and discussion

5.1 Deformation–metamorphism relationships

The obtained analytical results are interpreted below in the context of the Variscan evolution of the Pulo do Lobo domain. As described above, two regional deformational events, $D_1$ and $D_2$, yielded two foliations (Devonian $S_1$ and
Carboniferous $S_2$) accompanied by metamorphic phyllosilicate growth ($M_1$ and $M_2$). In the cross sections in Fig. 1c, KI values derived from XRD and average temperature from RSCM suggest that the lowest metamorphic grade (green and blue) corresponds to the Santa Iría Formation.

Textural observations suggest that in most samples of the lower formations $M_1$ was the main crystallization event, developing abundant and large-sized white mica and chlorite in $S_1$ microlithons, while $M_2$ generated small-sized white mica (e.g., Fig. 2e and map 1 in Fig. 4). On the other hand, polydeformed rocks commonly show previously grown minerals rotated towards a new foliation developed at lower-grade conditions, without new crystallization. This could be the case of the white micas that define $S_2$ in some samples (illustrated in Fig. 2d), which, in turn, is compatible with the similar chemical composition of $S_1$ and $S_2$ micas (Fig. 5a). As shown in these samples, $S_1$ is variably crenulated by $D_2$, implying that $M_1$ minerals are variably rotated towards $S_2$. Consequently, the metamorphic data obtained from the samples of the lower formations will be ascribed to $D_1$–$M_1$. Sample PLB-93 might represent an exception, since its slightly higher RSCM and chlorite-derived temperatures could be due to nearby intrusions (Fig. 1b and 1c2; see below). In this respect, it is important to note the Mississippian transtensional event (basin development and abundant mafic magmatism) that took place between $D_1$ and $D_2$ (Pérez-Cáceres et al., 2015). The characterization of $M_2$ can be done by studying the samples from the Santa Iría Formation, which are only affected by $S_2$ accompanied by small-sized phyllosilicate growth (Fig. 2f). No crystallization has been observed related to the $S_3$ disjunctive crenulation cleavage.

5.2 First tectonothermal event (Devonian $M_1$)

The observed mineral assemblage ($Qz + Ab + Ms + Chl \pm Pg$), together with the presence of C–S, is compatible with low-grade metamorphic conditions (Table 1). White mica crystallinity values (0.17–0.22 $\Delta^2\theta$; average 0.19) are consistently in the range of the epizone (low-grade or greenschist facies; $>300^\circ$C; Frey, 1987; Kisch, 1987; Warr and Ferreiro Mählmann, 2015), in accordance with the values reported by Abad et al. (2001) in a more general study of the diagenetic–metamorphic evolution of the South Portuguese Zone metapelites. Nevertheless, both the values of KI, far from 0.14$\Delta^2\theta$ (high epizone conditions according to Abad et al., 2006), and their variability, suggest that temperature was not high enough to stabilize a highly crystalline white mica. This interpretation is in agreement with the low Na content of $K$-micas coexisting with paragonite (Fig. 6), implying a very low-temperature position in the muscovite–paragonite solvus for natural quasi-binary $Pg$–$Ms$ pairs (Guidotti et al., 1994). By contrast, the maximum temperatures obtained from RSCM geothermometry are surprisingly high ($420–530^\circ$C; average $470^\circ$C; corresponding to very high epizone or even medium-grade metamorphic conditions; Table 1).

The composition of paired chlorite and white mica is normally used to calculate pressure and temperature (e.g., Vidal et al., 2006), but the multi-equilibrium approach was not successful because the $P–T$ equilibrium conditions did not converge. This result is indicative of chemical disequilibrium, precluding their use as a reliable geothermobarometer in this case. The temperatures calculated from chlorite compositions following various approaches (Vidal et al., 2006, Fig. 7a; Bourdelle et al., 2013, Fig. 7b; Lanari et al., 2014a, Fig. 4d) are as follows: 100–230 $^\circ$C for sample PLB-88, 150–375 $^\circ$C for sample PLB-84, and 150–450 $^\circ$C for sample PLB-93 (Figs. 4d and 7, and Table 1). The slightly higher temperature of sample PLB-93 is inferred from its highest white mica crystallinity (0.17$\Delta^2\theta$), high RSCM temperature ($495^\circ$C), high-temperature (amesite-rich) chlorite, and higher chlorite thermometry (Table 1); this can be explained by its proximity to metric-scale mafic igneous bodies of the Peramona Mélange (located $\approx 200$ m to the south; Pérez-Cáceres et al., 2015) and/or to a granite stock (located $\approx 5$ km to the west) (Fig. 1b).

In our samples there is some evidence of chlorite retrogression: (i) the chemical disequilibrium shown by the white mica–chlorite geothermobarometer, (ii) the presence of C–S mixed layers that are not stable in the epizone (e.g., Potel et al., 2006), (iii) the difference between temperature estimates from crystal rims to cores and the higher temperature relics preserved in large chlorites defining $S_1$ (Fig. 4c–d), and (iv) the previously reported XRD and TEM data for chlorite retrograded to smectite and corrensite in the Pulo do Lobo domain (see Fig. 1 in Nieto et al., 2005). The existence of chlorites with different compositions crystallized at different temperatures is the typical scenario (e.g., Vidal et al., 2006, 2016; Lanari et al., 2012, 2014a, b; Grosch et al., 2012, 2014; Cantarero et al., 2014). In such situations, precise estimates of a single temperature and pressure attributable to peak conditions are really difficult to obtain. The maximum temperature shown by chlorite relic cores is $350–450^\circ$C (Fig. 4d), which is consistent with the conditions estimated for $M_1$ by means of RSCM data.

An issue that deserves some discussion is the difference in temperature estimates between RSCM and other techniques. RSCM thermometry records the peak temperature and is not sensitive to the retrograde path. Alternatively, other methods based on phyllosilicate compositions are prone to record re-equilibration during the retrograde path; thus, they rarely record the peak conditions, except perhaps in the core of certain large crystals. Therefore, RSCM and phyllosilicate-based methods do not record the same information on temperature but are in fact complementary. The analyzed CM grains were carefully checked by microtextural observations and spectral geometry to ensure that these grains were actually derived from in situ organic matter graphitized during metamorphism.
In our case study, at the high peak temperature given by the RSCM thermometry, minerals such as biotite and garnet are expected to crystallize in metasedimentary rocks, though they were not observed in our samples. Biotite has been said to exist in a few previous works (Apalategui et al., 1983; Braid et al., 2010; Rubio Pascual et al., 2013). However, in a few of our samples, biotite-looking crystals turned out to be oxichlorites under SEM analyses. The absence or exceptional presence of biotite can be due to whole-rock composition and explained by growth inhibition related to Na excess, as evidenced by the presence of albite and paragonite in our samples. Another possible explanation could be the higher sensitivity of CM graphitization to rapid re-equilibration during a short-duration thermal event. Thus, the Mississippian intrusions subsequent to $M_1$ in the Pulo do Lobo Formation (see the description in Sect. 2) could have exerted a fast and locally intense thermal imprint that influenced CM but not the crystal chemistry of silicates. Moreover, recrystallization processes are not only a function of temperature, but are also promoted by deformation and stress, time, and the fluid to rock ratio (Merriman and Frey, 1999). Observations of this kind (differing reaction kinetics between organic and inorganic material, e.g., illite) in a contact metamorphic setting can be found in Olsson (1999) and Abad et al. (2014). Regarding the timing of geological processes, Mori et al. (2017) investigated the importance of heating duration for RSCM thermometry by studying graphitization around dykes. They showed that small-scale intrusions generating short thermal events modify the structure of CM in the surrounding rocks and concluded that CM crystallinity is clearly related to contact metamorphism. The influence of low-pressure contact aureoles on RSCM temperature patterns is further supported by the results obtained by Hilchie and Jamieson (2014), who concluded that the variation of RSCM temperatures can be controlled by the subsurface geometry of a pluton. Finally, the long-distance thermal influence of plutonic intrusions on low-grade rocks located as far as 10 km has already been documented (e.g., Merriman and Frey, 1999; Martínez Poyatos et al., 2001) and was also recorded by RSCM thermometry in our samples.

### 5.3 Second tectonothermal event (middle–upper Carboniferous $M_2$)

The mineralogy of the Santa Iría samples (Qz + Fsp + Ms + Chl ± C–S) is compatible with very low- to low-grade conditions. The K-white mica crystallinity values (0.20–0.26$\Delta^2$20; average 0.23) point to lower epizone conditions, very close to the boundary with the anchizone ($\approx$ 300°C; Frey, 1987; Kisch, 1987). The temperatures calculated by RSCM in two samples (315 and 330°C) are compatible with the KI data from XRD analysis.

Our metamorphic data corroborate the existence of an unconformity between the lower and upper formations of the Pulo do Lobo domain (Pérez-Cáceres et al., 2015). Table 2 summarizes the relationship between the deformation and metamorphism of the Pulo do Lobo domain in the context of the Variscan tectonic evolution of SW Iberia (Pérez-Cáceres et al., 2015). The lower formations record a Devonian tectonothermal event that reached epizone or lower greenschist facies conditions ($M_1$ with generalized phyllosilicate growth at temperatures as high as 450°C), whereas the overlying upper formation records a middle–upper Carboniferous tectonothermal event close to the anchizone–epizone boundary ($M_2$ with small-sized phyllosilicate growth at temperatures $\approx$ 300–330°C; Table 1). Obviously, $M_2$ also affected somehow the lower formations, being, at least in part, the responsible for the observed retrogression of $M_1$ chlorite and/or crystallization of new chlorites at lower temperature.

### 5.4 Pressure conditions

The measured $b$-cell parameters of K-white mica (in a short range between 8.991 and 9.002 Å; average 8.996; standard deviation 0.003) are very similar in the lower and upper formations of the Pulo do Lobo domain. Thus, the $b$ parameter shows little variation and reflects very low phengite substitution in mica, as expected in low-pressure settings (Potel et al., 2006, 2016) near the intermediate pressure gradient boundary (Guidotti and Sassi, 1986).

In agreement with the low $b$-cell parameters, the composition of K-white mica is close to muscovite with very low celadonite and higher pyrophyllite content (Fig. 5a), as ex-
pected for illite-rich mica formed at low-pressure gradients. In the case of high- or medium-pressure conditions, a continuous trend in mica compositions would reflect the decompression path after the peak pressure, while the \( b \)-cell parameter would represent an average value of the range of mica compositions found in the sample (Abad et al., 2003b).

In contrast, in low-pressure settings, the overall range of recorded pressure is very restricted, and micas present similar compositions and \( b \)-cell parameters among the various samples, as in the case of the Pulo do Lobo samples (Figs. 5a and 6, Table 1).

The Pulo do Lobo domain has been classically interpreted as a pre-collisional subduction-related accretionary prism based on the MORB geochemistry of mafic rocks (see Sect. 2.1). According to this classical interpretation, features typical of modern subduction systems should be expected, such as high-pressure metamorphic gradient remnants of partial subduction–exhumation in an accretionary wedge (e.g., Platt, 1986; Ernst, 2005) or slices of oceanic slab-derived lithologies (varied mid-ocean ridge meta-igneous lithologies and also deep ocean bottom metasediments). Thus, recent works on the Makran accretionary prism (Omrami et al., 2017) and the subduction system of Japan (Endo and Wallis, 2017) describe an accretionary mélange complex composed of pelagic sedimentary rocks, ophiolites, greenschists, amphibolites, and blueschists with high-pressure minerals such as lawsonite and glaucophane. However, most of the geological data concerning the Pulo do Lobo domain do not support such an interpretation (see Sect. 2.1), and our new estimates of pressure conditions are also in disagreement. The only evidence supporting a high-pressure gradient in the Pulo do Lobo domain is the interpretation of some rhomboidal aggregates of epidote porphyroblasts as the remnants of supposed lawsonite grown prior to \( S_2 \) in some samples in the Pulo do Lobo mafic schists (Rubio Pascual et al., 2013). However, no analytical data have been presented to support the presence of lawsonite pseudomorphs.

6 Conclusions

A total of 18 samples of metapelites from the Pulo do Lobo domain have been studied to determine their Variscan low-grade metamorphic conditions. The microstructural analysis of the samples of the lower formations (Devonian Pulo do Lobo and Ribeira de Limas) shows the existence of two superposed low-grade tectonothermal events with associated foliation and phyllosilicate growth (\( S_1-M_1 \) and \( S_2-M_2 \); Table 2). \( M_2 \) was less intense and is the only event that affected the overlying Carboniferous Santa Iria Formation. The regional geology also shows that a Mississippian thermal (magmatic) event occurred between \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \).

\( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) correspond to chlorite zone metamorphism, but \( M_1 \) attained epizone conditions (greenschists facies with temperatures up to \( \approx 450^\circ \text{C} \)), while \( M_2 \) did not exceed the anchizone–epizone boundary (\( \approx 300^\circ \text{C} \)).

The temperature estimates obtained from RSCM are higher than the estimates obtained from chlorite geothermometry and white mica data. This discrepancy can be explained by the fact that RSCM records the maximum temperature because it is not affected by retrogression, in contrast to the other methods. In addition, this difference could be the consequence of the high sensitivity of CM to quickly re-equilibrating to maximum temperatures during short-duration thermal events such as the magmatic intrusions emplaced during the Mississippian thermal event.

Thermodynamic disequilibrium between white mica and chlorite has precluded their use for geothermobarometry, and a variety of data (including the existence of relic high-temperature chlorite cores, the presence of chlorite–smectite mixed layers, or the very low temperature calculated with chlorite geothermometers) indicate chlorite retrogression after the \( M_1 \) metamorphic climax and crystallization of new chlorite grains at lower temperature.

The low-pressure conditions derived from white mica indicators (very low celadonite content and \( b \)-cell values) are incompatible with the high-pressure metamorphic gradient expected in a subduction-related accretionary wedge, which has been the classical interpretation of the Pulo do Lobo domain. Instead, we interpret the Pulo do Lobo rocks as having been deposited in a platform setting located near the northern border of Avalonia, but they were never involved in the subduction-related processes of the SPZ–OMZ suture.
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