Contribution of gravity gliding in salt-bearing rift basins – a new experimental setup for simulating salt tectonics under the influence of sub-salt extension and tilting
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Abstract. Basin-scale salt flow and the evolution of salt structures in rift basins is mainly driven by sub- and supra-salt faulting and sedimentary loading. Crustal extension is often accompanied and followed by thermal subsidence leading to tilting of the graben flanks, which might induce an additional basinward-directed driver for salt tectonics. We designed a new experimental analogue apparatus capable of integrating the processes of sub-salt graben extension and tilting of the flanks, such that the overlapping effects on the deformation of a viscous substratum and the brittle overburden can be simulated. The presented experimental study was performed to demonstrate the main functionality of the experimental procedure and setup, demonstrating the main differences in structural evolution between conditions of pure extension, pure tilting, and extension combined with tilting. Digital image correlation of top-view stereoscopic images was applied to reveal the 3D displacement and strain patterns. The results of these experiments suggest that in salt basins affected by sub-salt extension and flank inclination, the salt flow and downward movement of overburden affects the entire flanks of the basin. Supra-salt extension occurring close to the graben centre is overprinted by the downward movement; i.e. the amount of extension is reduced or extensional faults zones are shortened. At the basin margins, thin-skinned extensional faults developed as a result of gravity gliding. A comparison with natural examples reveals that such fault zones can also be observed at the margins of many salt-bearing rift basins indicating that gravity gliding played a role in these basins.

1 Introduction

Salt layers in sedimentary basins play a key role in the structural and sedimentary evolution. As the bulk mechanical behaviour of rock salt on a geological timescale is that of a viscous fluid, it is able to flow in response to an internal pressure gradient and external shear forces (Vendeville and Jackson, 1992; Jackson and Hudec, 2017). Salt flow is mainly driven by two factors (Jackson and Hudec, 2017): (1) differential loading due to lateral differences in thickness and density of the supra-salt overburden (e.g. deltaic progradation, mini-basin subsidence) can trigger widespread squeezing of the salt and vertical collapse and lateral expansion of the overburden (“gravity spreading”; Schultz-Ela, 2001), and (2) any vertical displacement (e.g. tilting of the salt layer) can cause gravitational downward salt flow and, under some circumstances, gravitational collapse of overburden strata (“gravity gliding”; Schultz-Ela, 2001).

Driving processes of salt flow are well explained for salt-bearing passive margin basins, e.g. the Lower Congo Basin, Western Mediterranean, and the Santos Basin (Fort et al., 2004; Quirk et al., 2012; Jackson et al., 2015; Mianaekere and Adam, 2020), where both driving factors mostly act in the same seaward direction (Fig. 1a) (e.g. Brun and Fort, 2011; Peel, 2014). Scaled laboratory experiments focusing on passive margin salt tectonics reveal that seaward-directed tilting of the basin floor and/or sediment progradation typically cause the formation of a down dip compressional and an updip extensional domain (Fig. 1a) (e.g. Jackson and Cramez, 1989; Mauduit et al., 1997; Brun and Mauduit,
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Figure 1. (a) Geological cross section of the Angolan passive margin, where basinward-directed gravity gliding and spreading lead to the formation of an upslope extensional and a downslope compressional domain (Fort et al., 2004). (b) Schematic evolution of the structure and the salt flow patterns in the Central Graben (North Sea) (modified from Stewart and Clark, 1999). It is suggested that gravity gliding above the tiled western flank of the graben coincided with upward salt flow from the graben centre towards the rift shoulders (Penge et al., 1999). (c) Conceptual sketch of the experimental setup applied in this study. Graben extension is simulated by a vertically moving central block and two laterally moving flanks. Tilting of the flanks (due to thermal subsidence) is modelled by upward bent plates. $\alpha$ – angle of the salt base; V.E. – vertical exaggeration.

2009; Brun and Fort, 2011; Ge et al., 2019b). The initiation of gravity gliding and spreading depends on the angle of the basin slope and of the top surface (e.g. Vendeville, 2005; Rowan et al., 2012); i.e. the gravitational potential has to be sufficient to overcome the compressional, frictional strength of the overburden strata at the foot of the slope (Rowan et al., 2004).

In salt-bearing rift basins (SBRBs), these driving forces mostly act oppositely (Fig. 1b). On one side, the sedimentary regime in SBRBs is commonly characterized by aggradation with maximum sediment thickness in the basin centre and less sedimentation or erosion at the basin margins. In many SBRBs, such as the Glückstadt Graben (northern Germany), the Central Graben (North Sea), and the Polish Basin (Central Poland) (Penge et al., 1999; Stewart, 2007; Krzywiec, 2004), salt is almost completely evacuated from the basin centre towards diapirs on the basin flanks, whereas the graben centre is filled up with several kilometres of syn-kinematic sediments (Krzywiec, 2004; Maystrenko et al., 2005; Warsitzka et al., 2017). This evacuation was likely driven by upward-directed gravity spreading due to sedimentary loading (Hudec and Jackson, 2007; Warsitzka et al., 2018). Conversely, the graben flanks are often inclined towards a basin centre mainly due to thermal subsidence and flexural loading (e.g. Buchanan et al., 1996) causing basinward-directed stresses. Downward gravity gliding is often inferred from the occurrence of a domain of thin-skinned extensional structures at the upslope basin margin (e.g. Penge et al., 1999; Geil, 1991; Stewart and Coward, 1995; Best, 1996; Withjack and Callaway, 2000; Thieme and Rockenbauch, 2001; Mohr et al., 2005; Jackson and Larsen, 2009; Twedt et al., 2013; Vackiner et al., 2013; Labaume and Teixell, 2020). For instance, in many rift basins in the central and southern North Sea, such as the Central Graben, the southern Viking Graben, and the Sole Pit Basin (e.g. Hughes and Davison, 1993; Stewart and Coward, 1995; Jackson and Larsen, 2009), thin-skinned rollovers and separated blocks (“rafts”) are observed above the tilted outer platforms of the rift basins.
(Hodgson et al., 1992; Buchanan et al., 1996; Penge et al., 1999; Stewart, 2014). It is suggested that these structures formed by a combination of gravitationally and tectonically driven extension (“rift–raft tectonics”) (Stewart and Clark, 1999; Penge et al., 1999) and, in some cases, coincided with thin-skinned shortening in the basin centre (e.g. Hughes and Davison, 1993; Coward and Stewart, 1995; Stewart and Coward, 1995). However, it is unclear how gravity gliding can take place during sediment aggradation in the basin centre because thick overburden sediments may act as a resisting buttress against gravity gliding. The oppositely acting processes of gravity spreading and gliding in SBRBs prompt the question of which geological configurations have to be fulfilled to initiate gravity gliding in the case of SBRBs; i.e. which minimum topographic gradient and basin slope is required? Furthermore, it is unclear how gravity gliding affects basin-wide salt flow and the evolution of salt structures in SBRBs and which driving process prevails at what period of the basin evolution.

Analogue models dedicated to the opposing interplay between gliding and spreading in SBRBs show that salt flows downward if the depocentre above the downthrown block is underfilled and returns to upward-directed flow as soon as syn-kinematic sediments are accumulated in the depocentre (e.g. Vendeville et al., 1995; Koyi et al., 1993; Ge et al., 1997; Dooley et al., 2005; Burliga et al., 2012; Warsitzka et al., 2015; Lymer et al., 2018; Roma et al., 2018; Dooley and Hudac, 2020). Basin-scale models reproduced thin-skinned extensional structures occurring on the flanks of the graben resulting from the decoupling effect of the salt layer (Nalpas and Brun, 1993; Koyi et al., 1993; Dooley et al., 2005; Ferrer et al., 2014; Moragas et al., 2017). Other experiments demonstrated how progradation of sedimentary wedges across extensional systems modifies sedimentary patterns in the overburden by introducing an additional component of gravity spreading (Loncke et al., 2010; Rojo et al., 2020). However, the influence of basin-scale tilting of the graben flanks and, hence, the effects of gravity gliding, on the evolution of supra-salt sedimentary structures and salt flow patterns have not been investigated yet.

The key motivation of this work is to evaluate the influence of regional-scale gravity gliding on salt flow processes and the tectono-sedimentary evolution of SBRBs. We designed a new experimental apparatus for analogue modelling to integrate the simulation of crustal-scale extension and tilting of the graben flanks. Here, the basic concept of the apparatus and results of preliminary experiments are presented. Based on the techniques of digital image correlation, we compare displacement and strain patterns between different scenarios: (1) only extension, (2) only tilting of the flanks, (3) combined extension and tilting, and (4) extension, tilting, and syn-kinematic sedimentation. In order to demonstrate the effect of a viscous detachment, these scenarios were performed with and without a viscous substratum. The experimental results illustratively demonstrate the functionality of the apparatus and reveal the first main differences between rift basins with tilted flanks and those surrounded by flat flanks.

2 Geological prototype

The experimental setup and the procedure are inspired by a generalized natural salt-bearing rift basin (Fig. 1c). Boundary conditions and basin configurations, such as age and thickness of the salt layer, timing of rifting, thickness of the pre-kinematic overburden, maximal amount of tilting, and fault displacement as well as basin width, were derived from a survey of various SBRBs worldwide (Table 1). In most basins, the initial phase of rifting occurred prior to the deposition of the major salt layer and in some case continued during deposition. The early post-salt evolution (~ 10 to 20 Myr after salt deposition) is usually characterized by multiple phases of rifting each followed by post-rift thermal subsidence. Thermal relaxation and sedimentary loading usually cause subsidence in a wider region compared to that of the stretched extensional graben (Watts et al., 1982). We assume that these subsidence processes are the main drivers for the basinward tilting of the graben flanks. Such tilting can be as much as 10° (4.5° in average; Table 1). The supposed maximal thickness of the original salt layer in the basin centre ranges between 600 and 4000 m (1600 m in average) but pinches out towards the basin margins. The pre-kinematic layer (~ 400 m in average; Table 1) is defined as the post-salt overburden deposited prior to the first post-salt extensional phase. In some basins, there was probably no pre-kinematic overburden because syn-salt rifting continued after end of salt deposition. The lithology of the pre-kinematic overburden can be fine- or coarse-grained clastics or carbonates. Therefore, we assume an averaged lithological composition when relating physical properties between the model and nature (see below).

3 Method

3.1 Experimental setup

The experimental setup combines two main approaches of previous analogue modelling studies: (1) the simulation of upper crustal extension (e.g. Koyi et al., 1993; Dooley et al., 2005; Ferrer et al., 2014; Moragas et al., 2017; Roma et al., 2018) and (2) the effect of basin-scale tilting of the basin floor as it was applied to model salt tectonics at passive margins (e.g. Mauduit et al., 1997; Fort et al., 2004; Dooley et al., 2017; Ge et al., 2019b). The apparatus consists of a ~ 30 cm wide, rigid graben block, which is bounded by two 60° faults and can be moved vertically by a step motor (Fig. 2a). The graben flanks are made of ~ 100 cm long (x axis) and 60 cm wide (y axis) bendable plates, which are pushed outward by the down-going central block to simulate tectonic extension. The dimensions of the apparatus are chosen to simulate the wide range of variably sized rift basins with a maxi-
Table 1. Literature-based data compilation of worldwide salt-bearing rift basins. The thickness of the salt layer refers to the estimated maximum thickness of the original evaporitic layer. The pre-kinematic layer is defined as the sedimentary layer accumulated after the salt deposition and before the first post-salt rifting phase. ∗Denotes basins in which gravity-driven salt tectonics has been proposed or proofed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin Age of salt</th>
<th>Pre- &amp; syn-salt rifting</th>
<th>Post-salt rifting</th>
<th>Thickness</th>
<th>Max offset</th>
<th>Max tilting</th>
<th>Width of basin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arzacq–Mauléon Basin</td>
<td>Late Triassic</td>
<td>Late Permian–Early Triassic</td>
<td>Late Jurassic</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parentis Basin</td>
<td>Late Triassic</td>
<td>Late Permian–Early Triassic</td>
<td>Late Jurassic</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Approaches Basin</td>
<td>Late Triassic</td>
<td>Early–Middle Triassic</td>
<td>Middle Jurassic</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average

- Thickness: ∼1600 m
- Max offset: ∼400 m
- Max tilting: ∼2500 m
- Width of basin: ∼5 km

Scaled model parameters

- 0.016
- 0.004
- 0.025
- 5
- 0.001
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Figure 2. (a) Sketch of the experimental setup. The apparatus consists of a central, vertically moving graben structure bounded by two bendable metal plates. The latter can be moved laterally and their outer edges can be uplifted. All parts can be controlled separately. The experimental surface is monitored by two stereoscopic cameras from above and images are utilized for 3D digital image correlation (Adam et al., 2005). Black arrows denote active movement of the basal parts driven by motors (i.e. downpull of the central graben and uplift at the outer edges of the flanks), and grey arrows display passive movement (i.e. lateral push of the flanking plates). (b) Top view of the silicone basin, which is confined by sand walls. (c) Cross section views of the initial silicone layer, which is covered by a 3 mm thick pre-kinematic sand layer (not shown in b). V.E. – vertical exaggeration.

The experimental surface is recorded by two stereoscopic CMOS (complementary metal-oxide semiconductor) sensor cameras (“Imager M-lite 12M camera”, 12-bit monochrome, 12 MP resolution) in top view to analyse the evolution of the surface displacements and strains (Adam et al., 2005). Images are acquired at intervals of 300 s and processed by the StrainMaster© (LaVision GmbH) 3D digital image correlation (DIC) software (Adam et al., 2005; LaVision, 2018).
Figure 3. Results of the DIC of experiment Eb (without viscous substratum) and Ev (with viscous substratum) in which only basal extension with a rate of 1 mm h\(^{-1}\) was applied. The total vertical displacement of the central block \(d_e\) was 2 cm. (a, b) Schematic cross sections through the centre of the experiments showing the final structures. (c, d) Top view of the cumulative \(x\) displacement \(d_{\text{cum}}\) at the end of the experiment (32 h). Blue colours reflect rightward and red colours leftward movement. (e, f) Evolutionary plots of \(d_{\text{cum}}\) for experiment Eb and Ev, respectively, extracted from a central profile (shown in c and d) and plotted against experimental time. (g, h) Averaged cumulative \(x\) displacement \(\overline{d}_{\text{cum}}\) for the left (blue) and the right (green) half of the box plotted against experimental time. Note that the black line displays the lateral extension (max ∼ 12 mm per side), which is less than the vertical movement of the central block (max 20 mm). Furthermore, \(\overline{d}_{\text{cum}}\) is slightly less (∼ 9 mm) than the horizontal extensional displacement of the graben flanks because the calculation of \(\overline{d}_{\text{cum}}\) also includes the area above the horizontally stable graben centre.

The 3D-DIC analysis is an optical, non-intrusive method to determine shapes, displacement, and deformation in sub-millimetre resolution.

3.2 Experimental materials

The viscous behaviour of salt (e.g. Urai et al., 2008) is simulated by a silicone putty (polydimethylsiloxane, PDMS) of the type KORASILON G 20 OH sold by the company Kurt Obermeier GmbH & Co. KG. The rheology of this silicone is...
Figure 4. Results of the DIC of experiment Tb (without viscous substratum) and Tv (with viscous substratum) in which tilting was applied with a rate of 1 mm h\(^{-1}\). The total vertical displacement of the outer edges of the flanking plates was 2 cm, which caused a maximum tilting of \(\sim 5^\circ\). (a, b) Schematic cross sections through the centre of the experiments showing the final structures. (c, d) Top view of the cumulative x displacement \(d_{\text{cum}}\) at the end of the experiment (23 h). Blue colours reflect rightward and red colours leftward movement. (e, f) Evolutionary plots of the cumulative x displacement \(d_{\text{cum}}\) for experiment Tb and Tv, respectively, extracted from a central profile (shown in c and d) and plotted against experimental time. (g, h) Averaged cumulative x displacement \(d_{\text{cum}}\) for the left (blue) and the right (green) half of the box. The grey line presents the uplift of the flanks, which was equal for both sides. Note that the results shown of Tv are shorter than in other experiments, since no data are available after 23 h.

Equivalent to that of KORASILON G 30 M, which was characterized by rheometer tests (RC20.1-CPS-P1, RheoTec) at the Helmholtz Centre Potsdam GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences (Rudolf et al., 2016). The dynamic viscosity is 2.2–2.8 \(\times\) 10\(^4\) Pa s, which is slightly temperature-dependent (decrease of 1.4\%–1.9\% per 1 K increase), and the density is 970 kg m\(^{-3}\) at 25°C. It has a Newtonian behaviour at low strain rates (\(< 10^{-2}\)) and a strain rate softening behaviour above relatively high strain rates (\(> 10^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) (Rudolf et al., 2016).

Frictional–plastic behaviour of overburden sediments is modelled by granular mixtures of quartz sand (bulk density \(= 1620\) kg m\(^{-3}\)) and silicate cenospheres (bulk density \(= 430\) kg m\(^{-3}\)). In order to adapt the density ratio be-
between the viscous silicone and the overburden sand to match the natural density ratio, various mixtures of both granulates were used (see Appendix B for details). Similar to upper crustal rocks, such granulates deform according to the Mohr–Coulomb failure criterion (Eq. A3, see Appendix A) (Lohrmann et al., 2003; Panien et al., 2006). Frictional properties (coefficient of internal friction \(\mu\), cohesion \(C\); Table B1) and densities of the mixtures were determined in ring shear tests in the laboratory of the Helmholtz Centre Potsdam GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences. Details of the measurements and the material properties can be found in Warsitzka et al. (2021b).

### 3.3 Scaling

In order to relate geometrical, dynamical, kinematical, and rheological model parameters to the natural prototype, we applied standard scaling procedures (Hubbert, 1937; Ramborg, 1981; Davy and Cobbold, 1991; Weijermars et al., 1993), which are described in detail in Appendix A. The geometrical scaling ratio is set to \(10^{-5}\) meaning that 1 cm in the model represents 1 km in nature (Table B1, Appendix A). Principles of dynamical scaling require that driving and resisting forces acting during deformation have to be related properly (Weijermars and Schmeling, 1986; Pollard et al., 2005). Drivers for salt flow as simulated in our models are produced by gravitational buoyancy forces due to the loading of sediments on top of the salt layer and by tectonically driven lateral pressure forces (Jackson and Hudèc, 2017). Resisting forces result from the frictional strength of the brittle overburden and viscous stresses in the substratum (Jackson and Hudèc, 2017). For dynamic similarity, we relate these forces in the “brittle–viscous coupling” (BVC), which is the ratio between frictional forces in the overburden and viscous stresses in the substratum, and the “Argand number” (Ar), which is the ratio between the buoyancy forces and the tectonic forces (Schueller and Davy, 2008). As shown in Fig. B2 (Appendix A), both ratios are similar between the model and nature so that the models can be regarded as dynamically scaled. Based on the dynamical scaling (i.e. the relationship between stresses and viscosity of the substratum) and the geometrical scaling ratio, we can define the velocity scaling ratio (\(\sim 10^{-4}\), Table B1). Natural extension rates vary between 0.1 and 10 mm a\(^{-1}\) requiring that experimental extension rates should be in the range of \(\sim 0.1\) to 10 mm h\(^{-1}\). In the models presented here, we choose an intermediate rate of 1 mm h\(^{-1}\) (Table 2) for practical reasons so that a simulation duration of several hours is achieved.

### 3.4 Experimental procedure

Since all parts of the apparatus can be controlled separately, different modes of basin evolution can be tested, such as pure basal extension, pure tilting of the flanks, and simultaneous basal extension and tilting (Table 2). The latter scenario refers to the geological condition that in most rift basins salt was deposited during or after an initial rifting phase (Table 1). Hence, it can be assumed that early post-salt history is characterized by thermal sag basin subsidence accompanied by additional post-salt rifting.

In a first series of experiments (“brittle-only experiments”: Eb, Tb, EtB), no silicone layer was included in order to present benchmark experiments for the displacement patterns of the basal parts and to better illustrate the effects of the viscous layer in subsequent experiments. In experiments including a silicone layer (“brittle–viscous experiments”: Ev, Tv, ETv, ETSv), the silicone layer was covered by an even, \(\sim 3\) mm thick layer of granular material (bulk density \(\sim 850\) kg m\(^{-3}\)) prior to the onset of deformation (“pre-kinematic layer”). In experiments in which basal extension was applied, the central graben block (Fig. 2) was pulled down with a rate of \(v_e = 1\) mm h\(^{-1}\) to a maximum vertical displacement of \(d_e = 20\) mm (Table 2). This downward pull pushed the graben flanks outward up to maximum horizontal extensional displacement of 12 mm per side. In experiments with tilting, both flanks were uplifted with a rate of \(v_t = 1\) mm h\(^{-1}\) to a maximum vertical displacement of \(d_t = 20\) mm at the outer edges of the flanks (Table 2). This caused a parabolic bending of the flanking plates resulting in a maximum tilting angle of \(\alpha = 5^\circ\) at the margins of the silicone basin and a tilting of \(\sim 1.5^\circ\) on average across the entire flank. In experiments with a viscous layer, the syn-extensional or syn-tilting phase was followed by a phase in which we continued to monitor the surface deformation resulting from ongoing flow of the silicone (\(T_{post}\); Table 2). Note that \(T_{post}\) in experiment Tv is shorter than in the other experiments due to the lack of data in this experiment.

For most experiments, no syn-kinematic sand sedimentation was applied to demonstrate the deformation patterns resulting only from the specific mode. Only ETSv involves all relevant processes, which should be investigated with this apparatus (extension, tilting, syn-kinematic sedimentation). At intervals of 5 h, syn-kinematic sand was sieved on the model surface until the graben centre was completely filled up to the top of the sand cover above the footwall sides of the graben. Subsequently, a syn-kinematic sand layer with a uniform thickness of \(\sim 1\) mm was added on the entire area of the silicone basin (Fig. 2a). The total volume of the syn-kinematic layer was the same in each sedimentation interval, whereas its density was gradually increased according to the procedure described in Appendix B. This sieving procedure is aimed to mimic aggradational sedimentation pattern as observed in most continental basins. Due to interruptions during sieving, the experimental duration of experiment ETSv is longer than in the other experiments (Table 2). After finishing experiment ETSv and soaking the sand layers with water, cross sections were cut and photographed in order to examine internal, syn-kinematic structures.
4 Experimental results

In the following, we compare experiments, which were conducted without a viscous silicone layer (Eb, Tb, ETb) with those containing a viscous substratum (Ev, Tv, ETv). Then, we show differences in displacement and strain patterns between the brittle–viscous experiment with only extension (Ev) and the experiment with extension and tilting (ETv). Finally, the experiment including syn-kinematic sedimentation simultaneous to extension and tilting (ETSv) is presented.

Figure 5. Results of the DIC of experiment ETb (without viscous substratum) and ETv (with viscous substratum). In both experiments extension and tilting with rates of 1 mm h\(^{-1}\) were applied. The total vertical displacement of the central block and the flanking plates was 2 cm. The maximum tilting of the flanks at the outer edges was \(\sim 5^\circ\). (a, b) Schematic cross sections through the centre of the experiments showing the final structures. (c, d) Top view of the cumulative \(x\) displacement \(d_{\text{cum}}\) at the end of the experiment (32 h). Blue colours reflect rightward and red colours leftward movement. (e, f) Evolutionary plots of the cumulative \(x\) displacement \(d_{\text{cum}}\) for experiment ETb and ETv, respectively, extracted from a central profile (shown in c and d) and plotted against experimental time. (g, h) Averaged cumulative \(x\) displacement \(\bar{d}_{\text{cum}}\) for the left (blue) and the right (green) half of the box. Furthermore, \(\bar{d}_{\text{cum}}\) is less \(\sim 8\) mm than the horizontal extensional displacement of the graben flanks because the calculation of \(\bar{d}_{\text{cum}}\) also includes the area above the horizontally stable graben centre.
Table 2. Experiments and key parameters. In an experiment’s name, E stands for extension, T for tilting, S for syn-kinematic sedimentation, b for (only) brittle, and v for viscous. \( d_b \) – total amount of vertical displacement of the graben centre; \( d_t \) – total amount of vertical uplift of the graben flanks; \( h_b \) the thickness of the pre-kinematic sand layer; \( h_{v\text{max}} \) – maximum thickness of the silicone in the basin centre; \( T_{\text{syn}} \) – duration of the syn-deformation phase (extension and/or tilting); \( T_{\text{post}} \) – duration of the post-deformation phase; \( v_e \) – (vertical) extension rate; \( v_t \) – uplift rate of the flanks. See Fig. B1 for illustration of the parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment no.</th>
<th>Experiment name</th>
<th>( h_b ) (mm)</th>
<th>( h_{v\text{max}} ) (mm)</th>
<th>( v_e ) (mm h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( v_t ) (mm h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( d_b ) (mm)</th>
<th>( d_t ) (mm)</th>
<th>( T_{\text{syn}} ) (h)</th>
<th>( T_{\text{post}} ) (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Eb</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ev</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tv</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ETb</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ETv</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ETsv</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1 With vs. without viscous substratum

4.1.1 Basal extension

When only basal extension was applied as boundary condition in experiment Eb (without viscous layer), both flanks were pushed apart laterally by the downward-moving central graben block (Fig. 3a and c). Except for straight fault zones above the basal normal faults, no further deformation structures could be observed in Eb. In experiment Ev (including viscous layer), basal extension caused deformation of the viscous substratum and the cover layer close to the graben, overprinting the outward-directed movement of the graben flanks (Fig. 3b and d). The cover layer moved inward (max 70 mm in 20 h) indicating an influx of silicone into the graben. The outer edges of the regions affected by inward movement are curved, which likely reflects boundary effects resulting from the drag along the sidewalls. Figure 3e and f display the evolution of the cumulative \( x \) displacement \( d_{\text{cum}} \), which is the \( x \) displacement extracted from an \( x \) profile through the centre of the box and summed up over the experimental time. It shows that the inward movement in Ev began during the late stages of the syn-extensional phase (after \( \sim 1.5 \) cm vertical displacement of the graben) and continued after extension stopped (post-extensional phase). Figure 3g and h display the averaged cumulative \( x \) displacement \( d_{\text{cum}} \) separately for the left (blue) and the right (green) half of the experimental surface. In both experiments, Eb and Ev, \( d_{\text{cum}} \) increased linearly with progressive lateral extension. However, towards the end of the extensional phase \( d_{\text{cum}} \) increased more strongly in Ev. This reflects the effect of the movement of the cover towards the graben structure, which was faster than the lateral extension. No further displacement occurred in Eb after the end of basal extension (Fig. 3g), whereas the displacement constantly increased in Ev during the post-extensional phase (Fig. 3h).

4.1.2 Flank tilting

In experiment Tb (without silicone) in which only tilting of the flanks was applied, minor \( x \) displacement can be observed at the margins of experimental surface resulting from rotational movement of the flanks (Fig. 4a and c). In experiment Tv (including a viscous layer), the tilting of the flanks caused a widespread movement of the brittle cover towards the centre of the box (max 3 mm in 20 h; Fig. 4d) indicating a downward redistribution of the silicone. Note that the amount of the horizontal displacement towards the graben is much less in Tv than in Ev (Fig. 3d). The evolutionary plot of the cumulative \( x \) displacement \( d_{\text{cum}} \) (Fig. 4f) shows that this downward movement began after a relatively small amount of tilting (\( \sim 1^\circ \) after 5 h). The downward movement continued after the tilting stopped (Fig. 4f and h).

4.1.3 Extension and tilting

In experiments ETb and ETv, graben extension and flank tilting were applied simultaneously (Fig. 5a and b). In ETb, \( x \) displacement of the experimental surface is dominated by the lateral movement of the graben flanks (Fig. 5c and e) similar to the displacement patterns in Eb (Fig. 3c). With the presence of a viscous layer in ETv, the inward movement of the cover layer towards the subsiding graben affected the entire region of the uplifted flanks (Fig. 5d). Inward movement was at a maximum close to the basal normal faults (\( \sim 110 \) mm in 32 h) and reflects the downslope gliding of the cover and silicone. The evolutionary map shows that the downslope movement appears to have been activated at roughly half of the syn-extensional phase (\( \sim 1 \) cm of subsidence of the graben and \( \sim 2.5^\circ \) tilting of the flanks) (Fig. 5f). During the post-extensional phase of ETv, downslope gliding continued. The averaged cumulative \( x \) displacement \( d_{\text{cum}} \) increased strongly towards the end of the syn-extensional phase in ETv and still increased linearly during the post-extensional phase.
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Figure 6. Comparison of displacement patterns between experiment Ev (only extension) and ETv (extension and tilting). (a, b) Evolution of the topography. Along a central profile (see c and d), the elevation of the experimental surface was extracted every 2 h. The artefacts in the lines result from exposed reflective silicone, which disturbs the DIC analysis. (c, d) Cumulative x displacement $d_{\text{cum}}$ of the syn-extensional phase. (e, f) Cumulative x displacement $d_{\text{cum}}$ of the post-extensional phase. (g, h) Evolutionary plots of the incremental x displacement $d_x$ extracted along a central profile (shown in c and d) and plotted against the experimental time. Blue means rightward and red leftward movement.

(Fig. 5h). This implies that the downward movement of the cover layer continued at a relatively high velocity.

4.2 Flat vs. tilted flanks

4.2.1 Displacement patterns

The effects of tilted flanks on the structural development is evaluated by comparing experiments Ev (only extension) and ETv (extension + tilting) (Fig. 6a and b). The total amounts of x displacement $d_{\text{cum}}$ were significantly higher and deformation affects a larger region if the flanks were tilted contemporaneously with basal extension (max syn + post-extensional displacement $d_{\text{cum}} = 82$ mm in Ev and $110$ mm in ETv; Fig. 6c and d). Particularly during the post-extensional phase, widespread downward movement occurred in the entire silicone basin in ETv, whereas deformation was limited to regions close to the basal graben in Ev (Fig. 6e and f).
In both experiments, the onset of inward movement above the graben flanks began towards the end of the syn-extensional phase and the regions affected by downward movement adjacent to the graben became wider after extension stopped (Fig. 6g and h). No displacement can be observed above the subsided graben block in Ev (Fig. 6g). In contrast, convergent movement began abruptly in the graben centre at the beginning of the post-extensional phase in ETv (Fig. 6h). This implies that influx into the graben centre is stronger when flank tilting is applied simultaneously with basal extension.

Note that the incremental movement of the graben flanks is not fully continuous and symmetrical during basal extension.
4.2.2 Strain patterns

The strain patterns are analysed by means of the cumulative normal strain in x direction $\varepsilon_{xx,\text{cum}}$. Here, extensional strain is defined as positive and compressional strain as negative (Fig. 7). These patterns reveal that extensional fault zones developed above the footwall blocks of the graben $\sim 6 \text{ cm}$ offset from the basal faults (“graben-edge extension”) in Ev (Fig. 7a). During the post-extensional phase, additional extensional zones were initiated further away from the basal normal faults at offsets of up to $\sim 12 \text{ cm}$ (Fig. 7c), which gradually moved towards the central graben (Fig. 7e). Compressional strain, which accommodated the extensional strain, was focused in straight, narrow regions directly above the ramps of the basal faults. These compressional regions remained stable throughout the experiment and only slightly increased in width during the post-extensional phase (Fig. 7e).

In Ev, graben-edge fault zones were located closer to the basal faults during the syn-extensional phase ($< 5 \text{ cm}$; Fig. 7b) than in Ev. Furthermore, the maximum amount of $\varepsilon_{xx,\text{cum}}$ in these zones was smaller in ETv ($\sim 1.5$) than in Ev ($\sim 2.5$). Until the end of the post-extensional phase, the region affected by graben-edge extension migrated upslope and widened to roughly $13 \text{ cm}$ on each side (Fig. 7d). Additional extensional fault zones occurred at the outer margins of the silicone basin (“basin-margin extension”; max $\varepsilon_{xx,\text{cum}} = 1.2$) (Fig. 7b and d). These migrated downslope and changed from straight to curved fault zones during the post-extensional phase (Fig. 7d and f). Similar to Ev, the compressional strain localized above the ramps of the basal faults in ETv during the syn-extensional phase (max $\varepsilon_{xx} = \sim -1$; Fig. 7f). However, after basal extension stopped, additional curved compressional zones developed above the hanging wall graben block in ETv (Fig. 7f and h), which is different from the post-extensional evolution in Ev (Fig. 7e and g).

In summary, syn-extensional flank tilting in ETv has the following effects on the overall deformation patterns: (1) the formation of basin-margin extensional fault zones, which gradually migrate downslope; (2) decreased amounts of extensional strain at the graben-edge fault zones; and (3) the formation of compressional zones (buckle folding) above the hanging wall graben block during the post-extensional phase.
Figure 9. Displacement and strain evolution of ETSv (extension + tilting + sedimentation). (a) Evolutionary plots of the incremental x-displacement $d_x$ and (b) the incremental x-strain $e_{xx}$ extracted along a central profile (shown in Fig. 8c and d) and plotted against the experimental time. (c) Averaged cumulative x-displacement $d_{xcum}$ and (d) averaged cumulative x-strain $e_{xxcum}$ for the left (blue) and the right (green) half of the box.

4.3 Effects of syn-kinematic sedimentation

In ETSv, extension and tilting of the basal parts was accompanied by regular intervals of syn-kinematic sand accumulation (Fig. 8a and b). Similar to ETv (equivalent experiment without sedimentation; Fig. 6d and f), a downslope gliding of the overburden above the flanks can be observed (Fig. 8c). However, the total amount of cumulative x-displacement $d_{xcum}$ at the end of the extensional phase is smaller in ETSv (max $\sim 10$ mm) than in ETv ($\sim 30$ mm; Fig. 6d). This difference is even higher for $d_{xcum}$ of the post-extensional phase (ETSv: 1.5 mm, Fig. 8e; ETv: 60 mm, Fig. 6f). This implies that a sequential filling of the downslope depocentre with syn-kinematic sand significantly reduces downslope gliding of the cover.

Graben-edge extension and compressional strain in the graben centre were almost completely suppressed in ETSv (max $e_{xxcum} = 0.2$; Fig. 8d and f). The total amount of strain at the basin-margin extensional fault zones was also less (max $e_{xxcum} = 0.8$) than in ETv (max $e_{xxcum} = 1.2$; Fig. 7d). In ETSv, extensional strain was focused on 1–2 discrete zones and, hence, more localized compared to the widely distributed strain observed in ETv (Fig. 7f). During the post-extensional phase, downslope gliding was restricted to the upslope regions of the graben flanks (Fig. 8e). Diffuse, widespread compressional strain occurred in the central parts of the flanks (Fig. 8f) and not above the graben centre as in ETv (Fig. 7d).

The evolutionary plots in Fig. 9 show that most of the deformation occurred during the basal extension and rapidly decreased during the beginning of the post-extensional phase. This is also in contrast to ETv, where maximum amounts of displacement and strain were achieved during the post-extensional downslope gliding (Fig. 7f).

Cross sections cut through the final experiment reveal that the structures of basin-margin extensional fault zones in the sand cover are characterized by synthetic rollovers and symmetric grabens (Fig. 10a and b). The strongest deformation occurred directly at the pinch out of the silicone layer. Aside from minor extensional thinning of the pre-kinematic layer, no deformation structures are visible close to the basal faults (Fig. 10c). This indicates that strain of the graben-edge extensional zone is insufficient to produce significant faulting.

5 Discussion

5.1 Experimental structures and kinematics

The presented experiments on gravity-driven deformation in salt-bearing rift basins (SBRBs) provide first insights into the influence of flank tilting on basin-wide deformation patterns in the brittle–viscous strata. When comparing brittle-only (Eb, Tb, ETb) and brittle–viscous experiments (Ev, Tv and ETv), it can be observed how the basal deformation is significantly overprinted if a viscous layer is present (Fig. 3,
4, and 5). In the brittle-only experiments, the deformation of the cover is directly coupled to the movement of the rigid basal parts (Fig. 11a). In contrast, the cover deformation is decoupled from the base in the brittle–viscous experiments leading to widespread lateral movement and the formation of distributed fault zones (Fig. 11b–e). Such insights into different deformation patterns, resulting from the rheological stratification, can also be obtained from lithosphere-scale rift models (e.g. Zwaan et al., 2019).

The comparison of the brittle–viscous experiments among each other demonstrates how local deformation structures due to a sub-salt graben are affected by regional-scale flow of the viscous material. When only basal extension is applied (Ev), deformation is restricted to the area in the vicinity of the basal graben (Fig. 11a). Distributed extensional fault zones in the cover developed offset from the basal normal faults above the footwall blocks of the graben. This implies that deformation in the cover layer was effectively decoupled from basal extension as it has been demonstrated by previous analogue modelling studies (Nalpas and Brun, 1993; Vendeville et al., 1995; Ge et al., 1997; Withjack and Callaway, 2000; Dooley et al., 2005; Zwaan et al., 2019).

When only tilting is applied (Tv), localized extension occurs at the basin margins, while diffuse shortening affects the cover in downslope regions (Fig. 11b). Such deformation patterns are similar to those in early post-salt phases of passive margin basins affected by slight, progressive tilting (Ge et al., 2019b).

Due to simultaneous basal extension and flank tilting (ETv), the local (close to the graben) deformation is overprinted by a regional (entire basin scale) downward flow of the viscous material and gliding of the brittle cover (Fig. 11c). Total syn-extensional basinward displacement was larger than in the experiment with only basal extension (Ev) (Fig. 6c and d). Nevertheless, extensional strain in the graben-edge fault zones is less in ETv than in Ev (Fig. 7a and b) indicating that the amount of graben-edge extension is decreased by downslope gliding of the cover layer. In terms of kinematic balancing and cross section restoration, this implies that the amount of sub-salt extension is larger than that of supra-salt extension in the region close to the central graben and is partly balanced by thin-skinned extension at the basin margins. We suggest that the occurrence of basin-margin extensional fault zones and reduced supra-salt extension or even shortening close to the basal normal faults are diagnostic indicators of syn-extensional gravity gliding in natural rift basins, since such deformation structures are also characteristic of gravity-driven salt tectonics in passive margin basins (Fig. 1a) (e.g. Brun and Fort, 2011; Quirk et al., 2012).

During the post-extensional phase in ETv, downslope gliding continued across the entire flanks, which enhanced extension at the basin margins. The basin-margin extensional domain, which has started as 1–2 localized extensional zones, began to expand and migrated downslope (Fig. 7d and f). This evolution is similar to that in analogue models of passive margins in which the extensional domain gradually moves basinward during progressive basin tilting (e.g. Ge et al., 2019b). Furthermore, the displacement of the cover into the basin centre was significantly larger in ETv than in Tv (only tilting) and in Ev (only extension). Therefore, it can be suggested that a substantial gravity potential is only produced if graben subsidence and flank tilting are combined.

Shortening structures above the hanging wall graben centre only occurred in ETv (Fig. 11c), which leads to buckle folding and thrusts that are similar to those in downslope compressional domains of passive margin salt basins (e.g. Brun and Fort, 2004). Since this shortening was first initiated at the beginning of the post-extensional phase (Fig. 7f), it can be suggested that during basal extension, enough space is created in the basin centre to accommodate the displacement of the downward gliding. Although inward flow into the graben centre occurred in Ev as well, shortening was limited to the region close to the basal faults (Fig. 11c). Hence, supra-salt shortening in the graben centre as observed in ETv might be a general indicator of post-extensional gravity glid-
Figure 11. Sketches summarizing the main results of this experimental study. (a) Experiment Eb: in the brittle-only experiment with basal extension and flank tilting, localized extensional strain occurs directly above the graben-edge normal faults. Note that equal strain patterns occurred in experiment Eb, whereas no strain was observed in experiment Tb (not shown here). (b) Experiment Ev: in the brittle–viscous experiment with only basal extension, widespread extension occurred in the cover layer above the footwall blocks, whereas shortening was limited to the regions close to the tips of the basal normal faults. (c) Experiment Tv: when only flank tilting was applied, discrete fault zones developed at the upslope basin margins, which were balanced by diffuse shortening further downslope. (d) Experiment ETv: due to simultaneous graben extension and flank tilting, extensional strain at the graben edges was overprinted by basin-wide gravity gliding. This leads to shortening in the graben centre and thin-skinned extension at the basin margins. (e) Experiment ETSv: syn-kinematic sedimentation during basal extension and flank tilting caused localization of extensional strain at the graben edges and the basin margins. Furthermore, diffuse shortening occurred above the ramps of the basal faults and the downslope graben flanks.

In the experiment with syn-kinematic sedimentation (ETSv), amounts of strain and displacement were considerably less than in the equivalent experiment without sedimentation (ETv) (Fig. 11c and d). No shortening took place in the graben centre in ETSv. In contrast, gravity gliding was accommodated by reduced extension at the graben edges and by diffuse shortening above the downslope flanks (Fig. 8d and f). This demonstrates that the ability of downward gliding is significantly reduced by sediment accumulation in the basin centre. Such a dynamic resistance is suggested to act in passive margin salt basins as well, where the gravity potential has to be large enough to overcome the strength of the downslope overburden in order to induce downward gliding and spreading (Gemmer et al., 2004; Rowan et al., 2004). After basal extension and flank tilting was stopped in ETSv, gravity-driven deformation decreased rapidly. In natural rift basins, however, thermal subsidence and flank tilting continues after rifting ceased such that gravity potential is further increased and gliding might last longer.

In ETSv, extensional strain at the basin margins and the graben edges occurred at one to two discrete fault zones, whereas it was distributed over several branched fault zones in ETv (without sedimentation) (Fig. 11c and d). This implies that sedimentary loading localizes the strain and causes...
Figure 12. Examples of thin-skinned extensional structures recognized above inclined flanks at the margins of salt-bearing rift basins.  
(a) North-western Central Graben (central North Sea): evenly spaced listric growth faults above a gently dipping, faulted sub-salt base (modified from Bishop, 1996).  
(b) Pyrenean rift system (northern Spain): sketched cross section based on geological field data showing thin-skinned listric growth faults and reactive diapirs of Upper Triassic salt (modified from Lopez-Mir et al., 2014).  
(c) Sole Pit Basin (south-western North Sea): thin-skinned graben structure (“Dowsing Graben System”) above the Permian salt detachment was partly attributed to gravity gliding into the bowl-shaped basin centre (modified from Stewart et al., 1996).  
(d) South Viking Graben (northern North Sea): supra-salt fault zone at the margin of a tilted half graben (modified from Jackson and Larsen, 2009).  
(f) North-eastern Polish Basin: peripheral extensional fault zones characterized by several supra-salt, tilted blocks and listric faults with increased thickness of Jurassic sediments. During the Late Cretaceous, the structure was inverted, and this inversion tectonic was associated with thin-skinned reverse faulting and folding (modified from Krzywiec, 2006b, 2012).  
(g) Horn Graben (south-eastern North Sea): listric growth faults in the Triassic strata overlie a relatively flat sub-salt base and are detached from the major graben structure (modified from Baldschuhn et al., 2001).
subsidence in discrete extensional fault zones, whereas the initiation of new extensional zones is suppressed. Similar effects of syn-kinematic sedimentation on the strain patterns has been observed in analogue models of rift evolution (Zwaan et al., 2018) and passive margin salt basins (Ge et al., 2019a). In passive margin basins, syn-kinematic sedimentation during gravity gliding initially leads to symmetrical graben structures, which progressively transform into listric growth faults (e.g. Mauduit et al., 1997; Krézsek et al., 2007). Such structures also developed at the tilted basin margins in ETSv (Fig. 10) and, therefore, represent clear indicators of gravity gliding in SBRBs.

Experiment ETv (without sedimentation) and ETSv (including sedimentation) might represent end-member types of SBRBs of an underfilled basin (low sedimentation rates) and filled up basin (high sedimentation rates). Gravity gliding is favoured in underfilled rift basins or basins experiencing fast flank tilting relative to sedimentation rates. In such a case, the kinematic evolution and structural patterns are similar to passive margin basins in which basin tilting is the main driving factor for salt tectonics (e.g. Mauduit et al., 1997; Fort et al., 2004; Brun and Fort, 2011; Adam et al., 2012; Ge et al., 2019a, b). High sediment accumulation rates, in contrast, might effectively prevent gravity gliding which suggests that gravity gliding is not a compelling process in SBRBs with tilted flanks, i.e. with a noticeable gravity potential. This is a major difference to passive margin basins, where fast sediment supply from the continent accelerates salt tectonic processes as it has been shown by numerous analogue modelling studies (e.g. Ge et al., 1997; Mauduit et al., 1997; McClay et al., 2003; Vendeville, 2005; Krézsek et al., 2007; Brun and Fort, 2011). However, the exact conditions under which gravity gliding is completely blocked or still possible in SBRBs have not been investigated in our study and require a more comprehensive parameter study in which various ratios of sedimentation vs. tilting rates are tested.

5.2 Limitations of the apparatus and the procedure

In our experimental setup, basal extension is focused on two parallel faults, which is a simplification of a natural rift basin. Natural rift basins and the process of crustal extension include greater complexities than presented in our experimental setup. Rift basins usually consist of overlapping fault systems with normal faults, fault intersection, ramps, strike-slip segments, and intrabasinal ridges (e.g. Fazlikhani et al., 2017). Such diverse fault patterns in the sub-salt basement determine the distribution of the original salt, its post-depositional redistribution, and localization of salt structures (e.g. Koyi et al., 1993; Dooley et al., 2005; Dooley and Hudec, 2020). Similarly, the flanks in our model setup have an even base, whereas in nature the sub-salt base is often perturbed by an irregular relief resulting from, for instance, fault steps and volcanic edifices (e.g. Dooley et al., 2017; Ferrer et al., 2017). Basal discontinuities influence the strain localization in the viscous and brittle layers mainly because of abrupt changes in the thickness of the viscous layer (Gaulier et al., 1993; Dooley et al., 2017; Ferrer et al., 2017; Pichel et al., 2020) so that basin-margin extension zones are distributed above such basal steps rather then at the marginal pinch-out of the viscous layer.

Natural rift basins often possess asymmetrical half graben shapes characterized by a large-offset normal fault on one side and a smoothly tilted, slightly faulted flank on the other. In such half grabens, decoupled extension, probably caused by gravity gliding, can occur above the slightly tilted flank (e.g. Coward and Stewart, 1995; Thomas and Coward, 1996; Dooley et al., 2003; Jackson and Larsen, 2009; Ge et al., 2017; Lymer et al., 2018; Roma et al., 2018). Such alternative basin shapes have not been modelled in this study. Our experimental approach is to initially simplify the geometrical boundary conditions, and in a later experimental study further complexities can then be introduced; for example, such as static structural discontinuities can be inserted in the sub-silicone base to examine lateral variation in the strain patterns depending on initial thickness of the viscous layer.

A technical issue can be observed in Fig. 6g and h showing the incremental lateral movement of the flanks. Especially at the beginning of the extensional phase, this movement is not fully continuous and symmetrical on both sides, which likely results from mechanical locking of the graben block on the basin faults. This effect cannot be avoided even by using lubricants on the faults. Finally, the cumulative displacement of the extensional movement over longer time periods is smooth and regular as seen in Fig. 3e and f.

Boundary effects were observed along the sidewalls of the silicone basin in all experiments containing a viscous layer. En échelon shear patterns occurred close to the long edges of the silicone basin above the graben flanks (Fig. 7d). Furthermore, graben-edge fault zones are curved adjacent to the sidewalls (Fig. 7a and c). These features result from drag forces reducing silicone movement at the long basin edges. However, the fault zones in the centre of the basin are relatively straight and parallel (Fig. 7a–d). Thus, we suggest that the influence of the boundary effects on the strain and displacement patterns is negligible.

5.3 Application to nature and outlook

In many SBRBs worldwide (Table 1), the basin floor at the flanks is inclined and thin-skinned extensional faults occur in the supra-salt cover at the basin margins. The seismic examples shown in Fig. 12 resemble the basin-margin extensional fault zones observed in our experimental cross sections of experiment ETSv (Fig. 10). In our study such structures only occurred in experiments applying tilting. Thus, it can be proposed that these natural examples were also formed partly by gravity-driven extension. If so, our experimental results suggest that the initiation of these basin-edge fault zones was delayed from the initial post-salt rifting phase and that they
might have remained active after rifting ceased. Such temporal relationships have to be evaluated in detail by cross section balancing for specific rift basins.

One of the most prominent examples of overlapping gravitationally and tectonically driven supra-salt extension can be found at the flanks of the northern Central Graben (central North Sea) (Fig. 1b). Rollover structures and separated overburden blocks (rafts) developed above the tilted outer platforms of the graben (Fig. 12a) contemporaneously with compressional structures, such as salt anticlines and squeezed diapirs, in and near the graben centre (Gibbs, 1984; Hodgson et al., 1992; Bishop et al., 1995; Buchanan et al., 1996; Penge et al., 1999; Stewart and Clark, 1999; Stewart, 2007). It is suggested that major gravity-driven salt movement coincided with the main period of rifting during Jurassic to Early Cretaceous times (Bishop et al., 1995; Buchanan et al., 1996; Stewart and Clark, 1999). However, it has also been postulated that gravity gliding affected the post-salt depositional patterns already during the Late Triassic initial rifting phase (Penge et al., 1999). In the Pyrenean rift system and the Basque– Cantabrian basin (North Iberian Margin), Early to Late Cretaceous “hyperextension” caused gravity-driven extension of the cover of the Late Triassic evaporites (López-Mir et al., 2015; Saura et al., 2016; Teixell et al., 2016; Lagabrielle et al., 2020). Rollovers and listric growth faults are documented in outcrops and seismic data (Fig. 12b) and indicate large-offset, thin-skinned extension at the southern basin margin (McClay et al., 2004; Jammes et al., 2010; López-Mir et al., 2014; Saura et al., 2016; Labaume and Teixell, 2020). It is suggested that in the basin centre previously formed passive diapirs and the supra-salt cover were folded and overthrusted partly accommodating upslope extension (López-Mir et al., 2015; Labaume and Teixell, 2020). The amount of tectonic stretching and subsidence were relatively large in these two examples – the Iberian margin translated into early stage passive margins after mobilization of the salt. This likely resulted in steep slopes of the basin floor and a basin centre underfilled by sediments, which promoted gravity gliding. Hence, the style of salt tectonics in these basins was similar to that in many passive margin salt basins and as observed in our experiment ETv (Fig. 6d and f).

The Sole Pit and Silverpit basins (south-western North Sea) are confined by extensional fault zones, which overlie a slightly faulted salt base (Fig. 12c) (e.g. Hughes and Davison, 1993; Allen et al., 1994; Coward and Stewart, 1995; Griffiths et al., 1995; Stewart and Coward, 1995; Stewart et al., 1996). These extensional structures simultaneously developed with compressive buckle folds in the basin centre during the Early Triassic–Jurassic rifting. Observed supra-salt extensional displacement is larger than that of the sub-salt faults at the basin margins and, therefore, can be related to syn-rift gravity gliding (e.g. Jenyon, 1985; Allen et al., 1994; Coward and Stewart, 1995; Griffiths et al., 1995; Stewart et al., 1996). Similar relationships between thin-skinned extension at basin margins and buckle folding and salt pil-

The south Viking Graben and the Egersund Basin (northern North Sea) are salt-bearing half grabens in which the hanging wall graben centre was rotated during rifting (Geil, 1991; Thomas and Coward, 1996; Jackson and Larsen, 2009; Tvedt et al., 2013; Stewart, 2014; Jackson and Lewis, 2016). At the upslope edges of the rotated grabens, thin-skinned extensional growth faults (Fig. 12d and e) are supposed to be triggered by gravity gliding (Jackson and Larsen, 2009; Tvedt et al., 2013; Jackson and Lewis, 2016). In the South Viking Graben, thin-skinned extension was initiated at a tilting angle of < 2° and slightly delayed from the Middle Jurassic main rifting phase. At the edge of the Egersund Basin (Sele High), gravity-driven supra-salt extension occurred during the Early Cretaceous and, therefore, also delayed from the Late Jurassic rifting (Jackson and Lewis, 2016). These observations of the timing of gravity gliding are supported by our experiments (ETv, Fig. 6d and f; ETSv, Fig. 8) in which gravity gliding started later than and continued after the basal extension.

Extensional fault zones developed above gently dipping flanks of the Polish Basin from the Late Triassic to Jurassic, in particular along the NE flank located above the East European Craton (Fig. 12f) (Krzywiec, 2006a, 2012). In this basin, however, reactive diapirs and deeply subsided mini-basins evolved in the basin centre so that it is difficult to evaluate the effects of gravity gliding. We can only speculate that the basin-margin extension was balanced by reduced supra-salt extension in the basin centre as it was observed in experiment ETSv (Fig. 8).

Listric growth faults developed in the post-salt strata on the western flank of the Horn Graben (southern North Sea) (Fig. 12g) (Best et al., 1983; Baldschuhn et al., 2001). However, the underlying sub-salt base is only slightly inclined and faulted. Thus, it is suggested that supra-salt fault zones are rather detached from large-offset sub-salt normal faults in the nearby graben structure and purely tectonically driven (Nalpas and Brun, 1993; Stewart and Coward, 1996). This example demonstrates that there are structural similarities between tectonically and gravitationally driven thin-skinned fault zones underlining the necessity to find distinct structural and kinematic characteristics of both drivers.

These examples imply that there are three different scenarios of the interaction between gravity gliding and crustal extension: (1) gravity gliding took place during the main phase of rifting but no or minor shortening occurred in the basin centre, since here sub-salt extension was sufficient to accommodate and balance upslope, supra-salt extension (probably in the northern Central Graben); (2) gravity gliding is super-
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imposed with minor rifting and caused basin-margin extension balanced by basin-centre shortening (e.g. in the Sole Pit Basin); and (3) no or only minor gravity gliding occurred, and the thin-skinned extensional structures were mainly tectonically driven (e.g. in the Horn Graben). In order to investigate these scenarios and their governing parameters and processes, we intend to perform a comprehensive analogue modelling study, modifying variables such as extension rate, tilting rate, layer thickness, and timing of tilting in relation to extension.

6 Concluding remarks

Our new experimental apparatus successfully reproduced the overlapping influence of tectonic extension and gravity gliding on salt flow and salt structure evolution in salt-bearing rift basins. The apparatus is suitable for simulating crustal extension within a symmetric graben structure and thermal subsidence, which is represented by vertical uplift of the graben flanks. Since basal extension and flank tilting can be controlled separately, the effects of both processes can be investigated separately or combined.

The preliminary experimental study shown here reveals primary structural and kinematic differences depending on the presence of a viscous layer in the sedimentary strata and the occurrence of flank tilting in rift basins. Whereas deformation in the brittle-only experiments is strictly coupled to the movement of the basal parts, widespread lateral displacement and distributed faulting affected the cover in brittle–viscous experiments.

In the brittle–viscous experiment in which only basal extension was applied, deformation is concentrated in regions close to the basal graben structure. Extensional fault zones develop in the footwall, while overburden above the basal normal faults slides into the graben. When the graben flanks become tilted simultaneously to graben extension, similar deformation patterns occur close to the graben. However, they are overprinted by gravity gliding inducing widespread basin-wide downward movement of the overburden into the central graben. Consequently, additional extension localizes at the upslope basin margins, which is enhanced after basal extension and tilting have stopped. This extension is accommodated in the graben region by reduced amounts of extension on the graben-edge fault zones and compressional strain above the graben bounding faults. If syn-kinematic sedimentation is included in the experimental procedure, lateral downward-directed displacement is significantly reduced especially during the post-extensional phase. Furthermore, strain in the margin-edge extensional zones is localized on fewer, more discrete faults.

Observed basin-margin extensional structures in the preliminary experiments resemble typical thin-skinned extensional structures occurring at the flanks of many salt-bearing rift basins with inclined sub-salt bases. Such diagnostic structures indicate that gravity gliding might play an important role in the post-salt structural development of such rift basins.
Appendix A: Scaling

For the analogue model to be representative of the natural prototype, models have to be geometrically, dynamically, kinematically, and rheologically similar to their natural prototype (Hubbert, 1937; Weijermars et al., 1993). In the presented models, we simulated the deformation processes of tectonically driven extension and gravity-driven gliding and spreading and identified the following characteristic parameters describing the boundary conditions of these processes (cf. Fig. B1): layer thickness (\(h_b, h_v\)), densities (\(\rho_b, \rho_v\)), cohesion and the coefficient of internal friction of the brittle overburden (\(C, \mu\)), extension rate (\(v_e\)), and tilting rate (\(v_t\)). In order to relate these parameters between nature and the model, we applied standard scaling procedures as in other studies about geological systems consisting of viscous substratum and brittle cover (Hubbert, 1937; Ramberg, 1981; Weijermars and Schmeling, 1986; Davy and Cobbold, 1991; Weijermars et al., 1993; Bonini et al., 2012).

Geometric scaling ensures that corresponding ratios of lengths and angles are comparable between the model and nature. The choice of the geometrical scaling ratio is determined by the procedure for dynamical scaling (Pollard et al., 2005) and, in our study, similar to previous model studies (e.g. Vendeville et al., 1995; Koyi et al., 1993; Warsitzka et al., 2015; Dooley and Hudec, 2020):

\[
\frac{L_m}{L_n} = 10^{-5}. \tag{A1}
\]

This means that 1 cm in the model represents 1 km in nature, and characteristic geometrical relationships, such as the thickness ratio between overburden and salt or the ratio between extensional displacement and flank uplift, can be scaled accordingly (Table 1).

Fundamental principles of dynamical scaling require that trajectories and ratios of forces acting on the material have to be equal and that rheological behaviours of the involved materials are similar (Weijermars and Schmeling, 1986; Pollard et al., 2005). This can be translated into the concept that driving and resisting forces of the relevant processes have to be related properly. In salt tectonics, driving forces are produced by (1) vertical loading of sediments resulting in gravitational buoyancy forces and by (2) tectonic stresses resulting in lateral pressure forces (Jackson and Hudec, 2017). Resisting forces are caused by (1) the frictional strength of the brittle overburden (\(S_F\)) and by (2) viscous stresses in the substratum (\(S_v\)) caused by drag at its boundaries (Jackson and Hudec, 2017). Inertial forces can be regarded as insignificant, since strain rates during solid rock flow are very low (Ramberg, 1981; Weijermars and Schmeling, 1986).

Because deformation style and localization in the materials is mostly influenced by rheological parameters of the viscous layer and its brittle overburden, a characteristic measure for dynamical scaling is the brittle-to-viscous strength ratio or brittle–viscous coupling (BVC) (e.g. Sornette et al., 1993; Schueller and Davy, 2008). This is calculated by integrating the differential stress over the layer thicknesses (Schueller and Davy, 2008):

\[
BVC = \frac{F_F}{F_V} = \frac{\int_0^h \sigma_1 - \sigma_3 \, dz}{\int_0^h (\sigma_1 - \sigma_3) \, dz}. \tag{A2}
\]

The frictional strength of both brittle sediments and experimental granular materials obeys the Mohr–Coulomb criterion, according to which the mean stress \(\sigma_m\) and the maximum shear stress \(\tau_{\max}\) are related by (Byerlee, 1978; Dahlen, 1990; Jaeger et al., 2007)

\[
\tau_{\max} = \sigma_m \sin \phi + C \cos \phi. \tag{A3}
\]

Here, \(\phi\) is the angle of internal friction and \(C\) the cohesion. Translated into an expression by the maximum and the minimum principal stresses \(\sigma_1\) and \(\sigma_3\), this gives

\[
\frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_3}{2} = \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_3}{2} \sin \phi + C \cos \phi. \tag{A4}
\]

In an extensional stress field, the maximal principal stress \(\sigma_1\) equals the lithostatic pressure \(\sigma_L\):

\[
\sigma_1 = \sigma_{zz} = \rho_b g h_b, \tag{A5}
\]

where \(g\) is the gravitational acceleration and \(\rho_b\) the density of the brittle overburden. Furthermore, the minimal principal stress \(\sigma_3\) is horizontal:

\[
\sigma_3 = \sigma_{xx}. \tag{A6}
\]

With the presence of a pore fluid pressure, each pressure has to be corrected by the pore fluid pressure ratio (Hubbert–Rubey coefficient of fluid pressure \(\lambda\)) (Weijermars et al., 1993):

\[
\sigma' = \sigma (1 - \lambda). \tag{A7}
\]

Assuming that pore fluid pressure is hydrostatic, \(\lambda\) becomes roughly 0.45 for an average overburden density of 2200 kg m\(^{-3}\) and a fluid density of 1050 kg m\(^{-3}\). The critical horizontal stress at failure (Dahlen, 1990)

\[
\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{zz} \frac{1 - \sin \phi}{1 + \sin \phi} - 2C \sqrt{1 - \sin \phi \over 1 + \sin \phi}. \tag{A8}
\]

Combining Eqs. (A4), (A5), and (A8) gives the brittle strength in a tensional stress regime (Weijermars et al., 1993):

\[
S_F = \rho_b g h_b^2 (1 - \lambda) - \rho_b g h_v^2 (1 - \lambda) \frac{1 - \sin \phi}{1 + \sin \phi}
+ 2C \sqrt{1 - \sin \phi \over 1 + \sin \phi}. \tag{A9}
\]
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The large-scale viscous behaviour of a salt layer is characterized by a stress–strain rate relationship. Rock salt (halite), which usually constitutes the main volumetric proportion of a mobilized evaporitic succession (Jackson and Hudec, 2017), deforms by a combination of dislocation and diffusion (solution–precipitation) creep mechanisms depending on grain size, temperature, strain rate, percentage of intercrystalline fluids, and confining pressure (e.g. Schléder et al., 2008; Urai et al., 2008). The large-scale deformation behaviour is suggested to be an average of these creep laws (Weijermars and Schmeling, 1986). At relatively low strain rates ($< \sim 10^{-13}$ s$^{-1}$), low confining pressure ($< 10^6$ Pa), grain sizes of $< 1$ cm, and a typical temperature range (20–200$^\circ$C), rock salt deformation is dominated by diffusion creep, which is characterized by a linear stress–strain rate relationship (Newtonian fluid) (Van Keken et al., 1993). At higher strain rates and confining pressure, the deformation is a combination of diffusion and dislocation creep so that the shear stress–strain rate relationship is logarithmic (power-law fluid) and salt shows a strain rate softening behaviour (Urai et al., 2008). Under this assumption, differential (viscous) stress is calculated by (Weijermars et al., 1993; Bonini et al., 2012; Turcotte and Schubert, 2014)

\[ S_v = \sigma_1 - \sigma_3 = \eta \dot{\gamma} \]  

(A11)

Here, $\eta$ is the dynamic viscosity and $\dot{\gamma}$ the shear strain rate in x direction. Integrating Eq. (A11) over the thickness of the viscous layer $h_v$ gives the viscous force:

\[ F_V = \int_{h_v} S_v \, dz = \eta \dot{\gamma} h_v. \]  

(A12)

Tectonic extension induces a Couette shear flow (Turcotte and Schubert, 2014) at the base of the silicone on the flanks. Hence, $\dot{\gamma}$ can be approximated by the ratio of the shear velocity $v_c$ at the base of viscous layer and the thickness of the viscous layer $h_v$ so that Eq. (A12) becomes

\[ F_V = \eta v_c. \]  

(A13)

For comparison between nature and the model, we inserted a range of possible natural and experimental values (Table B1) in Eqs. (A10) and (A13). To achieve correct dynamical scaling, BVC (Eq. A2) should be equal for the model and nature. However, accurate scaling is difficult to achieve because natural values of viscosity ($10^{16}$–$10^{19}$ Pa s; Van Keken et al., 1993; Mukherjee et al., 2010) and strain rates ($10^{-16}$–$10^{-14}$ s$^{-1}$; Jackson and Hudec, 2017) can be highly variable so that $F_V$ can vary over several orders of magnitude (Table B1). Nevertheless, the curves in Fig. B2a show that BVC for the model and nature is relatively similar for a wide range of values.

Equation (A10) reveals that the thickness of the overburden $h_b$ mainly governs the coupling between brittle and viscous layer due to its quadratic input. Frictional forces are 1–2 orders of magnitude higher than viscous forces, at least at the beginning of the experiment when strain in the viscous layer is distributed widely. During progressive strain localization in later stage of the experiment, higher strain rates and, hence, higher viscous forces arise.

The ratio between brittle and viscous material properties also sets the scaling for the strain rates allowing us to relate extension, tilting, and sedimentation rates between the model and nature (Weijermars et al., 1993; Allen and Beaumont, 2012). Accordingly, the velocity scaling ratio can be calculated by the viscosity of the substratum, the normal stress, and the characteristic length, e.g. the thickness $h_b$ (Weijermars et al., 1993; Allen and Beaumont, 2012):

\[ \frac{v_m}{v_n} = \left( \frac{\rho h_b^2}{\eta} \right)_m \left( \frac{\rho h_b^2}{\eta} \right)_n. \]  

(A14)

Applying average values of viscosity, thickness, and density (Table B1), the velocity scaling ratio is $\sim 10^4$. Natural extension rates vary between 0.1 and 10 mm a$^{-1}$. Hence, experimental extension rates should be in the range of $\sim 0.1$ to 10 mm h$^{-1}$. We choose an intermediate rate of 1 mm h$^{-1}$ for practical reasons.

Tectonic stresses and gravity are the main driving factors in the geological scenarios modelled here. For scaling purposes we used the Argand number ($Ar$) (e.g. England and McKenzie, 1982; Schuelle and Davy, 2008), which relates tectonic forces to the buoyancy force $F_B$. The tectonic force is approximated by the integrated strength of the brittle and the viscous layer and, therefore, the sum of $F_F$ (Eq. A10) and $F_V$ (Eq. A13). Thus, the Argand number is calculated by

\[ Ar = \frac{F_B}{F_F + F_V}. \]  

(A15)
The buoyancy force $F_B$ results from isostatic sinking of the overburden into the viscous substratum, which is calculated by the weight of the overburden depending on thickness and density and the weight of the equivalent volume of the viscous substratum (e.g. Zoback and Mooney, 2003; Schueller and Davy, 2008):

$$F_B = \int_{\Delta h_v}^{h_v} \rho_v g z \, dz - \int_{h_b}^{h_v} \rho_b g z \, dz,$$

where $\Delta h_v$ is the amount of subsidence of the overburden into the viscous layer. Under isostatic conditions, $\Delta h_v$ is

$$\Delta h_v = \frac{\rho_b h_b}{\rho_v}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A17)

After integration, Eq. (A16) becomes

$$F_B = \frac{1}{2} g \rho_v h_v^2 \left( \frac{\rho_b}{\rho_v} - 1 \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (A18)

$F_B$ is mainly influenced by the density ratio between overburden ($\rho_b$) and salt ($\rho_v$). Salt density is constant with depth, whereas the density of other sediments increases with depth due to compaction (see Appendix B). During the early stage of the basin history, the overburden density $\rho_b$ is smaller than the salt density $\rho_v$ so that $F_B$ is negative. It changes to positive values when $\rho_b$ exceeds $\rho_v$.

When inserting a range of possible values (Table B1) into Eq. (A15), the curve shapes of $A_r$ (Fig. B2b) are similar between the model and nature. $A_r$ changes from negative to positive values, meaning the general behaviour of the influence of buoyancy force is adequately approximated in the models.
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Appendix B: Density stratification in the model and nature

The average density of a salt layer is nearly constant with depth ($\sim 2150 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$). In contrast, sedimentary rocks consolidate during burial (Fig. B3a and b). Due to chemical mineralization and mechanical compaction the porosity $\phi$ of these sediments decreases with depth leading to an increasing density. The most common porosity–depth relationship is given by Athy’s law (Athy, 1930):

$$\phi = \phi_0 e^{-cz}, \quad (B1)$$

where $\phi_0$ and $c$ stand for the initial porosity and the depth coefficient. The corresponding density $\rho_b(z)$ at each depth can then be calculated by using the grain density $\rho_G$ (Fig. B3b) and the density of the pore fluid $\rho_F$:

$$\rho_b(z) = \phi \rho_F + (1 - \phi) \rho_G. \quad (B2)$$

Integrating over the entire thickness of the cover $h_b$ gives the average density $\overline{\rho_b}$ of the cover:

$$\overline{\rho_b} = \rho_G + \frac{(\rho_G - \rho_F) \phi_0 (e^{-ch_b} - 1)}{c} h_b. \quad (B3)$$

Because of this density increase, the bulk density of overburden sediments $\overline{\rho_b}$ is smaller than that of salt at shallow depths and exceeds the average salt density at a depth between 2200 and 3800 m (e.g. Jackson and Talbot, 1986; Hudec et al., 2009) (Fig. B3b). Exceeding this thickness, the overburden is able to autonomously subside into the salt layer and squeeze the salt upwards (Warsitzka et al., 2018).

Compaction of natural sediments cannot be directly simulated in analogue models using the common granular materials. However, it is not the density increase itself, but the increase in the lithostatic pressure at the base of the overburden that is the crucial parameter determining differential loading and the pressure gradient in the viscous layer. In order to simulate an appropriately scaled increase in the lithostatic pressure, we increased the density of the sand mixture ($\overline{\rho_{bmi}}$) during each step of syn-kinematic sand accumulation, similar to the approach by Dooley and Hudec (2020). This results in an inverse density stratification with less dense material at the bottom and denser material at the top of the cover (Fig. B3c) and a stepwise increase in the bulk density of the entire sand cover. To compare natural with experimental density–depth curves, the standardized density $\rho'$ was calculated by dividing all density values through the density of the salt or the silicone, respectively. Likewise, the depth was standardized by dividing the depth through the maximal thickness of the cover (here: 5 cm in the model and 5 km in nature).

The increase in density of each new sand layer has to be set in such a manner that the standardized bulk density resembles the exponential increase in the standardized bulk density in nature (Fig. B3d). During the experiment, we first estimated the maximum thickness of the next syn-kinematic sand layer, which roughly equals the amount of vertical subsidence of the central graben block. Then, the standardized density of this layer, which depends on the total thickness of the sand column in the graben centre, is given by the correlation shown in Fig. B3d. Based on that, the bulk density of the next syn-kinematic layer can be calculated and the appropriate mixture of quartz sand and silicate cenospheres can be produced. In advance, we determined bulk densities of various mixing ratios. The ratios in experiment ETSv ranged from 3 : 8 to 3 : 2 (quartz sand : silicate cenospheres in volumetric fractions), which produced bulk densities of 850 to 1160 kg m$^{-3}$. 

---

Figure B1. Physical parameters describing the deformation processes in the experiments. Lateral displacement due to basal extension and downward gliding of the overburden lead to shearing at the base and the top of viscous layer. Vertical displacement of the graben block and the flanks cause gravitational instability in the viscous layer. Syn-kinematic sedimentation, i.e. filling the subsided depocentres, imposes differential loading on top of the viscous layer. Governing parameters describing these processes are as follows: \( \alpha \) – tilt angle of the basin flank; \( d_e \) – vertical displacement of the graben centre; \( d_t \) – vertical displacement of the graben flanks; \( h_b \) – thickness of the brittle overburden; \( h_v \) – thickness of the viscous substratum; \( \eta \) – dynamic viscosity; \( \mu \) – coefficient of internal friction; \( C \) – cohesion; \( \rho_b \) – density of the brittle overburden; \( \rho_v \) – density of the viscous substratum; \( v_e \) – (vertical) extension rate; \( v_t \) – tilting rate.

Figure B2. Diagrams of the (a) brittle–viscous coupling (BVC) and (b) the Argand number (Ar) for natural and experimental values. To calculate the values of both parameters, arrays of each input parameter were inserted in Eqs. (A2) and (A15). For each parameter, the array contains 50 equidistant values ranging between the minimum and maximum values given in Table B1. \( Ar \) – Argand number; BVC – brittle–viscous coupling; \( F_B \) – buoyancy force; \( F_F \) – frictional force in the brittle layer; \( F_V \) – viscous force in the substratum.
Figure B3. Density configuration in the natural prototype and in the analogue model. (a) Natural sediments compact during burial leading to an increasing density $\rho_{bn}$ with depth according to Athy’s law (Athy, 1930). (b) Curves of the bulk density $\rho_{bn}$ for different sediment types related to the thickness of the overburden. The blue curve shows the bulk density calculated by using average (avg) values listed in the table. (c) Inverse density stratification in the analogue model. Layers with increased density are sieved in leading to an increasing bulk density during subsidence. (d) Standardized (Std.) thickness and density values for nature and the model. Thickness was standardized by the (presumed) maximum thickness of the overburden (nature: 5 km; model: 5 cm). Density values were standardized by dividing the density of the cover (nature: $\rho_{vn}$; model: $\rho_{vm}$) by the density of the viscous layer (nature: $\rho_{vn}$; model: $\rho_{vm}$). Note that the density of each sieved layer in the models increases upwards. This procedure is applied to increase the bulk density of the subsiding overburden in a similar manner as in nature. $\phi_0$ – initial porosity; $c$ – depth coefficient; $\rho_G$ – grain density.
Table B1. List of parameters and values used for the scaling procedure, i.e. to calculate BVC (Eq. A2) and Ar (Eq. A15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Nature</th>
<th>Scaling factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (brittle layer)</td>
<td>( h_b )</td>
<td>(m)</td>
<td>0.001–0.03</td>
<td>100–3000</td>
<td>( 10^{-5} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (viscous layer)</td>
<td>( h_v )</td>
<td>(m)</td>
<td>0.005–0.03</td>
<td>500–3000</td>
<td>( 10^{-5} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension rate</td>
<td>( v_e )</td>
<td>(mm h(^{-1}))/(mm a(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.1–10</td>
<td>0.1–10</td>
<td>( \sim 10^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain rate</td>
<td>( \dot{\varepsilon} )</td>
<td>(s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>( 10^{-6}-10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 10^{-16}-10^{-13} )</td>
<td>( 10^{-6}-10^{-10} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (brittle layer)</td>
<td>( \rho_b )</td>
<td>(kg m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>800–1050</td>
<td>1850–2300</td>
<td>( \sim 0.45 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (viscous layer)</td>
<td>( \rho_v )</td>
<td>(kg m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>( \sim 0.45 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of internal peak friction</td>
<td>( \mu )</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.55–0.65</td>
<td>0.5–0.8</td>
<td>( \sim 1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohesion</td>
<td>( C )</td>
<td>(Pa)</td>
<td>20–60</td>
<td>1–10 \times 10(^{6})</td>
<td>( 0.5 \times 10^{-6}-2 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic viscosity</td>
<td>( \eta )</td>
<td>(Pa s)</td>
<td>( 2.2 \times 10^4-2.8 \times 10^4 )</td>
<td>( 10^{17}-10^{19} )</td>
<td>( 10^{-13}-10^{-15} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithostatic pressure</td>
<td>( \sigma_L )</td>
<td>(Pa)</td>
<td>10–300</td>
<td>5–40 \times 10(^{6})</td>
<td>( \sim 7 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brittle strength</td>
<td>( S_F )</td>
<td>(Pa)</td>
<td>30–300</td>
<td>2–40 \times 10(^{6})</td>
<td>( 0.7 \times 10^{-5}-1.5 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscous stress</td>
<td>( S_V )</td>
<td>(Pa)</td>
<td>0.006–0.08</td>
<td>30–30000</td>
<td>( 2 \times 10^{-6}-2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frictional force</td>
<td>( F_F )</td>
<td>(N m(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.03–5</td>
<td>( 10^8-10^{11} )</td>
<td>( 7 \times 10^{-11}-16 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscous force</td>
<td>( F_V )</td>
<td>(N m(^{-1}))</td>
<td>( 10^{-4}-10^{-2} )</td>
<td>( 10^5-10^9 )</td>
<td>( 10^{-9}-10^{-11} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buoyancy force</td>
<td>( F_B )</td>
<td>(N m(^{-1}))</td>
<td>( -10^{-3}-10^{-1} )</td>
<td>( -10^{7}-10^{10} )</td>
<td>( \sim 5 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brittle–viscous coupling</td>
<td>BVC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>( 10^{-1}-10^3 )</td>
<td>( 10^{-2}-10^5 )</td>
<td>0.04–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argand number</td>
<td>( Ar )</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>( -0.07-0.07 )</td>
<td>( -0.11-0.09 )</td>
<td>( 0.3-1.5 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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