Highlights on mantle deformation beneath the Western Alps with seismic anisotropy using CIFALPS2 data
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Abstract. There are still open questions about the deep structure beneath the Western Alps. Seismic velocity tomographies show the European slab subducting beneath the Adria plate, but all these images did not clarify completely the possible presence of tears, slab windows, or detachments. Seismic anisotropy, considered an indicator of mantle deformation and studied using data recorded by dense networks, allows a better understanding of mantle flows in terms of location and orientation at depth. Using the large amount of shear wave-splitting and splitting-intensity measurements available in the Western Alps, collected through the CIFALPS2 temporary seismic network, together with already available data, some new patterns can be highlighted, and gaps left by previous studies can be filled. Instead of the typical seismic anisotropy pattern parallel to the entire arc of the Western Alps, this study supports the presence of a differential contribution along the belt that is only partly related to the European slab steepening. A nearly north–south anisotropy pattern beneath the external Western Alps, a direction that cuts the morphological features of the belt, is clearly found with the new CIFALPS2 measurements. It is, however, confirmed that the asthenospheric flow from central France towards the Tyrrhenian Sea is turning around the southern tip of the European slab.

1 Introduction

Seismic anisotropy has become a convincing study tool, mainly in areas where recent and past geodynamic evolution have left their marks in the mantle deformation and its patterns (e.g. Long and Silver, 2009; see Long, 2013, for a complete review). Several methods exist to measure seismic anisotropy. Depending on the used seismic phase, signal, and frequency, it is possible to measure seismic anisotropy at different depths and relate it to different parts of the Earth’s structure, last but not least, with seismic anisotropy tomography (e.g. Zhao et al., 2023). Local seismicity and surface waves are used to measure crustal seismic anisotropy, usually attributed to fractures and/or the state of stress of crustal depths (i.e. Crampin and Peacock, 2008; Okaya et al., 2018). Using seismic signals that travel deeper, it is possible to sample the deformation at lithospheric–asthenospheric depths. For instance, Pn phases record the seismic anisotropy immediately below the Moho in the lithospheric mantle (i.e. Díaz et al., 2013). On the other hand, using core phases (SKS, SKKS, etc.) that record information on the receiver branch of their path, we can sample seismic anisotropy that is thought to be mainly concentrated in the upper mantle.

The azimuth of the fast-velocity direction and the delay time, the two parameters that commonly result from the shear wave-splitting analysis of core phases, are interpreted, respectively, as the direction assumed by olivine crystals, the
principal mineral component of the upper mantle when mantle undergoes deformation, and the amount of anisotropy crossed by a seismic ray.

In the Alps, these kinds of measurements improved immensely with recent temporary experiments such as AlpArray (Hetényi et al., 2018) or CIFALPS and CIFALPS2 in the western sector of the chain (Zhao et al., 2015, 2016b, 2018), which complemented the permanent seismic networks operating in the region.

The European Alps originated in the Late Cretaceous from the oblique subduction of the Alpine Tethys under the Adria microplate. The subduction evolved in a continental collision during the late Cenozoic (e.g. Handy et al., 2010, 2015, and references therein). In the Western Alps, the tectonic lineament that worked as the suture accommodating the shortening between the two plates is the Frontal Pennine Fault (FPF; Fig. 1). Even though the geological history of this belt is one of the best studied and well known in the world, the geodynamic evolution of the European slab, in terms of position and possible presence of slab break off, is still poorly understood.

All travel time tomographic studies identified at mantle depth the presence of seismic velocity heterogeneities interpreted as the European slab subducting beneath the Adria plate (e.g. Piromallo and Morelli, 2003; Lippitsch et al., 2003; Kissling et al., 2006; Giacomuzzi et al., 2011; Paffrath et al., 2021; Rappisi et al., 2022). However, the existence of possible slab detachments, windows, or tears is debated, for instance, beneath the Western Alps (e.g. Zhao et al., 2016a). The first CIFALPS experiment (see Malusà et al., 2021, and references therein) clarified several points, starting from the first seismic evidence of subducted European continental lithosphere beneath the Adria lithosphere (Zhao et al., 2015) to a tomographic model with a continuous slab beneath this region (Zhao et al., 2016a). In addition, recent seismic anisotropy analyses of the Western to the Central Alps shed additional light on potential discontinuities of the slabs, thanks to the possible mapping of mantle flows that would occur through them (Petrescu et al., 2020; Salimbeni et al., 2018).

The additional contribution of CIFALPS2, a temporary experiment deployed for 14 months from 2018 to 2019 (Zhao et al., 2018) on mantle seismic anisotropy mapping and interpretation, was expected to fill a gap in the northwestern part of the Alpine arc (red dots in Fig. 1). Receiver function and ambient noise tomography studies have underlined the north–south differences in the lithospheric structure along the belt strike (Paul et al., 2022). Therefore, there is a need for measuring additional seismic anisotropy in the mantle from CIFALPS2 data and to compare them to previous results.

In this study, we present the results of the analysis of data recorded by CIFALPS2, describing them in an integrated view with previous shear wave-splitting (SWS) measurements to identify new features in the mantle and draw hypotheses on their origin.
2 Data and methods

Data used for the analysis are the recordings at CIFALPS2 stations (Fig. 1; Zhao et al., 2018; https://doi.org/10.15778/RESIF.XT2018) of teleseismic earthquakes with a magnitude $M > 6.0$ that occurred between June 2018 and December 2019 and are located at a distance interval from the network between 88 and 120°, which is typical to guarantee well-isolated SKS phases in the waveforms. In total, 80 to 150 events for each of the 56 temporary stations have been analysed (Fig. 1).

The entire SWS analysis has been conducted using the code SplitRacer (Reiss and Rümpker, 2017), based on the Silver and Chan (1991) method and thus on the minimisation of the energy on the transverse component. Different filters have been applied according to the amount of noise at the various sites. For most of them, located in the Alps or Ligurian mountains, a bandpass filter between 7 and 20 s worked well, while, for instance, sites in the Po Plain needed different choices, i.e. 5–30 s. The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) was also used to avoid noisy waveforms; initially, the threshold was 1.5, but where the number of events to be analysed was scarce, we decreased it down to 1.0, again mainly for sites located in or close to the Po Plain. It is worth noticing that SWS analysis recovers fast-velocity directions, assuming a single layer of horizontal anisotropy. Moreover, the depth at which this anisotropy is located is difficult to define, but it is classically assumed that most measured anisotropy is in the upper mantle (Savage, 1999). Thus, it is common to visualise any lateral variation by plotting results at the piercing point of the incident ray at 150 km depth (Fig. 2a).

To improve the resolution of the data to be discussed and interpreted, splitting-intensity (SI) measurements have been performed on the same CIFALPS2 recordings used for SWS measures (Table S2). Splitting intensity is measured by projecting the transverse component on the radial component derivative; it is related to the variations in the amplitude of the transverse component with the back-azimuth (Chevrot, 2000; Monteiller and Chevrot, 2010). A routine based on Kong et al. (2015) and Confal et al. (2023) was used to calculate splitting-intensity values from waveforms with a cutoff of 15 s before and 30 s after the supposed SKS arrival. A dominant period of 12 s is used for the Wiener filtering. To filter out low-quality waveforms in this automatic process, a cross-correlation coefficient of $|0.7|$ and splitting-intensity values and error threshold of $|2.0|$ and 0.5, respectively, were used (Baccheschi et al., 2023). With splitting measurements from at least four different 10° bins of back-azimuths, the classical evaluation of the anisotropy parameters, i.e. the azimuth of the fast-direction $\phi$ and the delay time $dt$, is obtained by fitting a sinusoid to the back-azimuthal dependence of splitting-intensity values (Chevrot, 2000). In particular, the sinusoidal amplitude and phase give $dr$ and $\phi$, respectively (see Fig. S1 in the Supplement as an example).

3 Shear wave-splitting results

From SWS analysis we obtained more than 400 pairs of splitting parameters ($\phi$ and $dt$) if we consider together the good (170) and fair (241) results (Fig. 2a; good in red and fair in orange; all results are available at https://osf.io/nqxk4, last access: 9 July 2024; Pondrelli et al., 2023). The quality assignment is given following the SplitRacer criteria (Reiss and...
Rümpker, 2017), considering the visibility of the phase, the ellipticity of the initial particle motion and its linearity in the final stage, and the errors associated with phi and dt values. In Fig. S2, some measurement examples are shown. In addition, nearly 600 null measurements have been obtained (Fig. S3), where a null is considered when no split appears in the signal (i.e. no energy in the transversal component). This is due either to the absence of anisotropy or to the initial polarisation being parallel to the fast or slow anisotropic direction. A high percentage of good and fair results were obtained for events with a NE back-azimuth, so it should be taken into account that this direction is oversampled (Fig. 2b).

This new dataset fills the region between the northwestern external Alps and the Ligurian Sea. The anisotropy directions of no-nulls and nulls mostly agree with previous measurements (Figs. 2, 3 and S3). Along the part of the transect crossing the Alps (transect AA′ in Fig. 3), the NE–SW direction dominates in the internal part of the belt between the FPF and the boundary of the Po Plain (see average values; red dots in Fig. 3). In the western part of the AA′ transect, measurements are more scattered, with a coexistence of NE–SW and NS to NNE–SSW directions. In the outer part of the Alpine belt and in the Bresse Graben, the prevailing directions are NS to NNE–SSW. Anisotropy in this region is weaker, but fast-velocity directions remain constant toward the NW end of the transect, confirmed also by null measurements (Fig. S3). These two patterns, one NE–SW parallel to the Alps strike and the other nearly NS, and their location...
sections located southeast, close to the Ligurian Alps. However, in the Po Plain, together with a few NW–SE directions, measurements are scarce, this direction appears also in the center of the Po Plain, where back-azimuth variations in fast direction are more evident, for instance, in the southernmost part of the transect where back-azimuths are dominated by a nearly NS direction, a direction that does not find an agreement with the orogen trend that is still NE–SW.

In order to extract as much information as possible, we split the dataset into groups according to the main morphological features: (a) external zone to the west of the FPF, (b) internal zone between the FPF and the western boundary of the Po plain, (c) the Po Plain, and (d) the Ligurian Alps. By plotting a rose diagram for all good and fair measurements obtained for the stations of each group, taking into account the influence of back-azimuths, we get an overview of the main features and lateral changes in the anisotropy detected through these SWS measurements.

In Fig. 5, the rose diagrams show evident differences along the CIFALPS2 transect, somewhere underlined by different patterns with respect to back-azimuths. Only in group (a), in the external zone, does the N–S dominant direction remain, regardless of the number of measurements or separation into opposite back-azimuths (red and blue rose diagrams). For all other groups, there is a clear difference depending on the back-azimuth. In group (b), the entire dataset shows a main trend in a NE–SW direction, in agreement with the Alps strike in this region; the same direction is observed for events coming from NE (blue rose diagram). On the contrary, measurements obtained from events with a SW back-azimuths are dominated by a nearly NS direction, quite similar to that shown by group (a). The entire dataset for the Po Plain (c) and the subgroup with east back-azimuths show a clear bimodal distribution, with both the Alpine NE–SW and the Apennine NW–SE typical directions; west back-azimuth events show instead N to NNW directions, which are very similar to those obtained in previous work at the first CIFALPS transect (e.g. Salimbeni et al., 2018) and again similar to group (a) and the SW back-azimuth measurements of group (b). In the Ligurian Alps, in group (d), opposite back-azimuths show different results. It is worth noting that in group (d), the ENE–WSW direction is dominant both in the all-data plot and in the east back-azimuth plot, while the west back-azimuth plot shows a wide dispersion with a NW–SE direction prevailing.

4 Discussion and hypotheses

CIFALPS2 SWS measurements clearly provide new information since they cover large areas where no seismic station is currently deployed or has been operating in the past. They allow us to fill data gaps and draw conclusions on the seismic anisotropy pattern and mantle deformation beneath the Western Alps.

A large-scale summary, prepared using the average values to avoid the scatter of single SWS measurements, is shown in Fig. 6. ENE–WSW fast-velocity directions, parallel to the strike of the Alps, are present from the Central to the West-
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ern Alps in the transition between Po Plain and the belt and terminate where the European slab and the belt are bending (double-headed arrows in the inset sketch in Fig. 6). At this point, anisotropy directions do not strictly follow the chain strike, as they rather cut the main tectonic and morphological features. In the same zone (highlighted by the green circle A; Fig. 6), a mantle flow that strikes from NE–SW to NS converges in a coherent direction that, however, cuts the arcuate shape of the belt (yellow lines in the northern part of study region; Fig. 6); this pattern is different from those described in previous anisotropy studies of the Western Alps (Barruol et al., 2004, 2011; Lucente et al., 2006; Salimbeni et al., 2018) for which anisotropy has always been described as rotating with the belt direction. The discrepancy between the direction of tectonic lines and the nearly NS fast velocity is in agreement with a deep source of the anisotropy in the mantle. Link and Rümpker (2023) found in a recent analysis that a similar nearly NS pattern would be mostly located in a shallower part of the mantle and in part also present in a lower layer. They consider it as a shallow asthenospheric contribution.

On the contrary, the NW–SE asthenospheric flow identified from SE France toward the Ligurian Sea, which culminates in a flow around the southern tip of the European slab, is in agreement with previous studies. This flow apparently originates beneath central France in the Massif Central region (Barruol et al., 2004), and it seems that the NS mantle flow merges with it (left green circle; Fig. 6) and then, flowing around the southern tip of the European slab, moves to the Tyrrhenian Sea.

The overlap with the teleseismic travel time tomographic image at 150 km depth by Zhao et al. (2016a) indicates that all these fast-velocity directions correspond to mantle deformation below the European slab. The mantle close to the slab has a NE–SW seismic anisotropy direction because it is deformed by the slab steepening. This feature is visible only in a narrow stripe in the transition between Western and Central Alps, probably because in this place the slab steepening was favoured by the plate motion direction (e.g. Adria anticlockwise rotation; Fig. 6). Moving north, in the outer part of the belt, the anisotropy directions are those of an undeformed mantle, substantially parallel to the absolute plate motion (APM) direction (orange arrows in Fig. 6). Moving (south)westward, the deflection from NE–SW to NS may be related to the mantle being squeezed by the retreating European slab and being moved toward the south where the retreat process was weakening or had probably already ended. Indeed, in the Western Alps, the arcuate shape of the trench and slab, together with the (upper) Adria plate rotating in an anticlockwise direction with a rotation pole more or less located in the western Po Plain (e.g. Serpelloni et al., 2016; Le Breton et al., 2017), may have been less favourable to the retreat of the slab. Such differences between the northern and southern Western Alps, in particular in the European Moho geometry, have been also identified by comparing CIFALPS and CIFALPS2 receiver function sections (Paul et al., 2022). The European Moho is strongly dipping down to ∼75 km depth along CIFALPS section, while its dip is much weaker in CIFALPS2 section. Moreover, the absence of slab retreat in the Western Alps has been described also by Malusà et al. (2015), who studied the mechanisms for the exhumation of (U)HP terranes along the Cenozoic Adria–Europe plate boundary.

The mantle flow from beneath the Central Alps converges with the asthenospheric flow coming from SE France where the Western Alps subduction, precisely a continental subduction (Malusà et al., 2021; Paul et al., 2022) runs out. In the region, the European slab is almost vertical (Zhao et al., 2016a)
and is not affected by slab retreat anymore. Substantially, a differential behaviour of slab movement along the chain is at the base of the anisotropy pattern variation from the Central to the entire Western Alps.

In the Po Plain, the image is patchy and complex, with very few good-quality measurements and some really low values of $d\tau$. This does not necessarily mean that the mantle is isotropic, but that anisotropy may be multilayered or that fast-velocity directions are vertical.

It is worth noting that beneath the Po basin, the European slab is nearly vertical (e.g., Zhao et al., 2016a; Paffrath et al., 2021), and the space for the mantle above is really narrow. With such a complex mantle structure, it is not surprising that a unique and significant pattern of anisotropy cannot be identified. In the Ligurian Alps, our measurements show different orientations east and west of the transect with, in general, a prevailing EW direction (Fig. 5d) but a minor NNE–SSW set of measurements from the western back-azimuths. These results are certainly intriguing but not sufficient to support any new hypothesis.

5 Conclusion

New data collected by the CIFALPS2 project clearly fill the gap that has forced the interpolation between more sparse information in the past. The pattern of seismic anisotropy shown here is not entirely parallel to the belt, as it is in the Central Alps and in the transition to the Western Alps up to the point that this portion of the belt is arcuate. Indeed, in the central part of the study region, a nearly NS pattern coming from central Europe cuts all principal morphologic features of the belt. It converges with the part of the mantle deformed by the retreating slab at the point where the retreat is less favoured or ended. The arcuate shape of the belt and of the slab, added to the Adria plate rotation with respect to Eurasia around a pole here particularly close to the boundary, reduces the effectiveness of a slab retreat. The NS mantle flow is then interpreted as the European mantle moving south to merge with the large asthenospheric flow that, from beneath central France, moves toward the Tyrrhenian Sea and turns around the southern tip of the European slab.
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