

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “A critical discussion of the electromagnetic radiation (EMR) method to determine stress orientations within the crust” by M. Krumbholz et al.

C.M. Krawczyk (Editor)

lotte@liag-hannover.de

Received and published: 27 September 2012

Dear Authors, and Dear Reviewers,

after four reviews of the paper, I am happy to see that the main advices of the reviewers point towards similar scientific-technical directions. I greatly appreciate your review work done for the manuscript !

Some further comment for the authors: Before submitting the accordingly revised version of your manuscript for further review and decision, you should work more on the suggestions made in review number four. Please take into account the suggestions of Daniel and improve the wording towards a more objective and friendly manner (your

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



answer 1), and discuss the proposition of testing the instrument (your answer 3). At this point, just mention the necessities and future aspects you would like to see covered, and write it in a manner as done usually in scientific discussions in a paper. It is not ment that you should test it in the lab.

Once the resubmitted and revised manuscript can be accepted after these final changes, I will invite the developers of the instruments to take also an active part.

With best wishes, Charlotte Krawczyk.

Interactive comment on Solid Earth Discuss., 4, 993, 2012.

SED

4, C504–C505, 2012

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C505

