Relative tectonic activity classification in Kermanshah area, west Iran

M. ARIAN [1] and Z. ARAM[2]

[1]{*Department* of Geology, College of Basic Sciences, Tehran Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran}

[2]{Department of Geology, Kermanshah Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kermanshah, Iran}

Correspondence to: M. ARIAN (mehranarian@yahoo.com)

Abstract

The High Zagros region because of closing to subduction zone and the collision of the Arabian and Eurasian plates is imposed under the most tectonic variations. In this research, Gharasu river basin that it has located in Kermanshah area was selected as the study area and 6 geomorphic indices were calculated and the results of each ones were divided in 3 classes. Then, using the indices, relative tectonic activity was calculated and the values were classified and analyzed in 4 groups. Regions were identified as very high, high, moderate and low. In analyzing the results and combining them with field observation and regional geology the results are often associated and justified with field evidences. The highest value is located on Dokeral anticline in crush zone in Zagros Most of the areas with high and moderate values of lat are located on crush zone in Zagros too. Crushing of this zone is because of main faults mechanism of Zagros region. The result of this paper confirms previous researches in this region. At the end of the eastern part of the study area, the value of Iat is high that could be the result of Sarab and Koh-e Sefid faults mechanism.

Keywords: Morphometry, Tectonic,Quaternary, Zagros, Iran,

1. Introduction

The study area is Gharasu river basin, which is at west of Iran. The river is located in the Zagros fold-thrust belt in Kermanshah Block (Fig.1). The aim of selection the basin, as study area is to calculate different geomorphic indices to assessment active tectonics of the area. North-eastern area consists of thin imbricate Fan (thrust sequence) that cause the creation of fault breccias, shear zones, general crushing of formations with development of linear joint system ,suddenly cutting of layers and changed of their age and lithology in nearly. In the area we can see a lot of tectonic windows (Karimi, 1999).

Since the rivers were sensitive to the recent tectonic activities of there and show the rapid reaction, Gharasu River and other secondary rivers are selected for calculation of the indices. Geomorphologic studies of active tectonic in the late Pleistocene and Holocene are important to evaluate earthquake hazard in tectonically active areas such as Zagros (Keller and Pinter, 2002).

In this study Gharasu basin is divided to 89 subbasin and if possible, each of below indices are calculated: stream –gradient index(Sl), drainage basin asymmetry (A*f*), hypsometric integral(Hi), valley floor width-valley height ratio(V*f*), drainage basin shape(Bs), and mountain-front sinuosity(J).We use geomorphic indices of active tectonics, known to be useful in active tectonic studies (Bull and McFaden,1977; Azor et al., 2002; Molin et al., 2004; Silva et al., 2003; Keller and Pinter, 2002) methodology has been previously tested as a valuable tool in different tectonically active areas, we can point to SW USA (Rockwell et al,1985), the Pacific coast of Costa Rica ,(Wells et al., 1988), the Mediterranean cost of Spain(Silva,1994), the south-western Sierra Nevada of Spain (El Hamdouni et al., 2007), and the Sarvestan area in central Zagros of Iran (Dehbozorgi et al., 2010), and these studies are useful. Also the results must be combined to geology studies of the region and field observations in order to obtain desire result.

2. Regional and geological setting of the study area

The area is located between latitudes 34 to 35 northern degree and longitudes 46.30 to 47.30 western degree. The study area (3470 km2) is located along part of the Zagros fold-thrust belt ,with length 1500 meter ,is extended from Taurus mountain at southeastern Turkey to Minab fault at east of Strait of Hormoz (Mirzaei et al., 1998).

The study area according to division (Braud 1979) contains some part of autochthon Zagros and allochthon Zagros and thin imbricate Fan (thrust sequence) (Fig. 2). Thrust dips in the area are less than 45 degree, but sometimes reaches to 70 degree and formed reverse faults (Karimi,1999).the accomplished studies on area joints shows that the largest direction of main stress axis is form north ,north-east to south ,south-west (Nazari, 1998).

Since the area is influenced by Arabian plate pressure and thrust of Central Iran occur offer the omission of Neotethys ocean, on Arabian plate, some of the faults are of thrust kind and have the northwest-southeast trending and the thrust vergency is southwest.

3. Materials and methods

To study the indices there is a formula which we turn to description each of indices;

3.1 The stream –gradient index (SL):

Rivers flowing over rocks and soils of various strengths tend to reach an equilibrium with specific longitudinal profiles and hydraulic geometrics (Hack, 1973; Bull, 2007).Hack (1957, 1973, 1982) defined the stream-gradient index (SL) to discuss influences of environmental variables on longitudinal stream profiles, and to test whether streams has reached an equilibrium. The calculation formula is in this manner: $SL= (\Delta H/\Delta L) L$ (1)

Where $(\Delta H/\Delta L)$ is local slope of the channel segment that locates between two contours and L is the length channel from the divide to the midpoint of the channel reaches for which the index is calculated.

3.2 Asymmetry factor (A*f*):

This index is related to two tectonic and none tectonic factors. None tectonic factor may relate to lithology and rock fabrics. It is away to evaluate the existence of tectonic tilting at the scale of a drainage basin. The method maybe applied over a relatively large area (Hare and Gardner, 1985; Keller and Pinter, 2002). The index is defined as follows:

$Af=(Ar /At)100$ (2)

Where Ar is the right side area of the basin of the master stream (looking downstream) and At is total area of the basin that can be measured by GIS software

3.3 Hypsometric integral index (Hi):

The hypsometric integral (Hi) describes the relative distribution of elevation in a given area of a landscape particularly a drainage basin (Strahler, 1952). The index is defined as the relative area below the hypsometric curve and it is an important indicatorfor topographic maturity.

3.4 Valley floor width-valley height ratio (V*f*):

Another index sensitive to tectonic uplift is the valley floor width to valley height ratio (V*f*).This index can be separated v-shaped valleys with small amounts from u-shaped valleys with greater amounts. The calculation formula is in this manner:

$$Vf = 2\, Vfw \,/\, (Ald + Ard - 2Asc) \quad (3)$$

Where V*f*w is the width of the valley floor, and Ald, Ard and Asc are the altitudes of the left and right divides (looking downstream) and the stream channel, respectively (Bull, 2007).Bull and McFadden (1977) found significant differences in V*f* between tectonically active and inactive mountain fronts, because a valley floor is narrowed due to rapid stream down cutting.

3.5 Basin shape index (Bs):

Relatively young drainage basins in active tectonic areas tend to be elongated in shape normal to the topographic slope of a mountain.. The elongated shape tends to evolve to a more circular shape (Bull and McFadden, 1977). Horizontal projection of basin shape may be described by the basin shape index or the elongation ratio, Bs (Cannon, 1976; Ramirez-Herrera, 1998). The calculation formula is: Bs=Bl / Bw Where Bl is the length of the basin measured from the headwater to the mount, and Bw is basin width in widest point of the basin.

3.6 Mountain-front sinuosity index (J):

This index represents a balance between stream erosion processes tending to cut some parts of a mountain front and active vertical tectonics that tend to produce straight mountain fronts (Bull and McFadden, 1977; Keller, 1986). Index of mountain front sinuosity (Bull and McFadden, 1977) and (Bull, 2007) is defined by:

$J=L_j / L_s$ (4)

Where Lj is the planimetric length of the mountain along the mountain-piedmont junction, and Ls is the straight –line length of the front.

4. The calculation and analyzing of indices in the study area

It is necessary to have some primary maps to calculate the indices, which the most important of them are: Digital Elevation Model (DEM) and the drainage network and subbasins map of the Gharasu river basin that they have been extracted from DEM. DEM(ISRTM) extracted from a digitized topographic map(1:30000)

4.1 Stream – gradient index (SL):

To calculate the amount of ($\Delta H/\Delta L$) and L, we need the contour and drainage network map. The contours are gained from DEM. In this study contours distances are selected 10 meters. This index is calculated along the master river for each subbasin (fig. 3) and then computed SL average for each one. Amount of SL not calculated for 2 subbasin (49 and 57) because the values of contours which cut the master river are not enough.

In table 1, subbasin84 is brought up as example. The SL index can be used to evaluate relative tectonic activity (Keller and Pinter, 2002). An area on soft rocks with high SL values can be indicates to active tectonics.

SL value is classified into 3 categories, which are: class 1($SL>500$), class2 ($300<SL<500$), and class3 ($SL<300$), (El Hamdouni et al., 2007). The minimum value of SL is 1.33, in subbasin2, and the maximum value is 7893.97 in subbasin 88. After averaging each subbasin, the maximum value is obtained to subbasin 88(16669) and two subbasin 49 and 57 are not value (Table 1).

The mentioned index changes in stones with various resistances. The high resistances of rocks cause to increase amount of the index. Anomaly in SL can show the tectonic activity. So in order to analysis of this index, the map of stones resistance is prepared (fig.4). In this map, the stones with very low resistance (young alluvial deposits), low resistance (older alluvial fan deposits), moderate resistance (shale and silt), high resistance (limestone, tuff, conglomerate, sandstone) and very high resistance (monzodiorite, monzogabbro and quartesite) are specified(Memarian, 2001).

By studying SL values we can find that in northern part of the area, in spite of the existence of very high resistance stone, SL value decrease (Fig.3). The reason is intense breakage of sediments and volcanic rocks, which thrusted on others by upthrusting . We see in SL map(fig.9) that most of the subbasin with high and moderate SL values are located in the middle part of the study area which has the same trending with strike of main valleys and faults (Northwestern- Southeastern).Major exposed rocks in above area are crushed limestone. In southern part of the area the tectonic activity is often low which its main reasons is going out from the active fault and low resistance of rock and young alluvial deposits. Some of the longitudinal river profiles and the measured SL index are shown on fig.5.

4.2 Asymmetric factor (A*f*):

To calculate this index in the area At and Ar are obtained by using of the subbasins and the master river maps. A*f* is close to 50 if there is no or little tilting perpendicular to the direction of the master stream. A*f* is significantly greater or smaller than 50 under the effects of active tectonics or strong lithologic control. The values of this index is divided to three categories.1:(A*f*<35 or A*f*>63) 2:(57<A*f*<65) or (35<A*f*<43) and 3:(43<A*f*<57)(El Hamdouni et al.,2007) (Table 1).

Among the obtained values, the minimum value belongs to subbasin 65 with 13.89 percent and the maximum value belongs to subbasin 6 with 91.81 percent. About this index, we often see all categories are scatter. But class 3 is seen in the valleys and the subbasins with low dip and class 1 in southwestern margin in the study area.

4.3 Hypsometric integral (Hi):

Hmax, Hmin and Have are calculated on DEM here. This index is calculated to all subbasins in the area and the minimum value is o, o7 for subbasin 56 and maximum value is 0.53 for subbasin 63(Table1). We can also obtain the amount of hypsometric integral from the area under the curve (fig. 6).

The hypsometric integral reveals the maturity stages of topography and can be indirectly an indicator ofactive tectonics.

In general, high values of the hypsometric integral are convex, and these values are generally >o.5. Intermediate values tend to be more concave-convex or straight, and generally have values between 0.4 and o.5. Finally, lower values (<0.4) tend to have concave shapes (El Hamdouni et al., 2007).

On interpretation of the hypsometric index map the interesting point is that the high to moderate values in middle part of the study area approximately are according to SL anomalies. The high and moderate values in this part have NE-SW trending (according to trending of the area fault). Of course, there are other subbasins with high and moderate value after the mentioned area often shows the increase in subbasins which is located near of Gharasu River in the south-eastern corner of the study area.

4.4 Ratio of valley floor width to valley height (V*f*):

Bull and McFadden (1977) found significant differences in V*f* between tectonically active and inactive mountain fronts (fig. 7), because a valley floor is narrowed due to rapid stream down cutting.

Valleys upstream from the mountain front tend to be narrow (Ramirez-Herrera, 1998), and V*f* is usually computed at a given distance upstream from the mountain front (Silva et al., 2003). We set a distance to2 km, and within the mountain range. V*f* was calculated for the main transverse valleys of the study area using cross-section drawn from the DEM and topographic map (Fig. 8).

V*f*w value is obtained by measuring the length of a line which cuts the river and limits to two side of a contour that the river crosses among it. Values of Ald, Ard, and Asc are measured by using the drawn profile. Since finding place of V*f* is independent from the subbasins, so it is possible that some of them have no V*f* and some others have various V*f* values (Table 1). V*f* values are divided into 3 classes: 1 (V*f*<0.3), 2 (0.3<V*f*<1), and 3 (V*f*>1) (El Hamdouni, 2007) (Fig. 9).

Some subbasins, because of absence the suitable valley, have no value and others have values from zero for subbasin 1, to 19.44 for subbasin 66. Most of the valleys are in class3 and show the U shape of the valleys. But the moderate to high values often locate at northern part of the study area. The interesting point is in middle part of the area V*f* index, at northwestern-southeastern direction like other indices as SL and Hi, shows moderate to high classes, which is according to main faults of Zagros.

4.5 Basin shape index (Bs):

To calculate this index in the area Bl and Bw are obtained by using of the subbasins and the master river maps and the values are divided in 3 classes.1:(Bs>4) 2:(3<Bs<4) 3:(Bs<3) (El Hamdouni et al.,2007) (Fig. 9;Table 1). The minimum value belongs to subbasin 56 with 0.7 and the maximum value belongs to subbasin 31 with 6.37. The other subbasins have a value between these two values.

Bs values show a few activities in most parts of the study area, but classes 2 and 3 are often, scatter in southwestern margin and the middle part of the study area.

4.6 Mountain-front sinuosity index (J):

The Mountain fronts of the study area by helping of faults and folds site is drown. J is commonly less than 3, and approaches 1 where steep mountains rise rapidly along a fault or fold (Bull, 2007). Therefore, this index can play the important role in tectonic activity. By considerate that mountain fronts sites are independent of subbasins place, so it is possible some of them have various fronts and the others have no mountain fronts (Table 1).

Values of J are readily calculated from topographic maps or aerial photography. The values of J calculated for 36 mountain fronts (Fig. 7).J values are divided to 3 classes: 1 (J<1.1), 2(1.1<J<1.5), and 3(J>1.5) (El Hamdouni, 2007).

In the study area most of the obtained values are between 1.1 to 1.5 (class 2) and the parts which are in class 3 often locate in northern part of the area. It needs to be mentioned that class 1 is not exist in the study area (Fig. 9).

5. Results and discussion

The average of the six measured geomorphic indices (V*f*, J, Bs, A*f*, Hi, and SL) was used to evaluate the distribution of relative tectonic activity. Each of the indices, were divided to 3 classes. With averaging of these six indices we obtain one index that is known relative active tectonic (Iat) (El Hamdouni et al., 2007). The values of the index were divided into four classes to define the degree of active tectonics: 1-very high (1<Iat<1.5), 2-high (1.5<Iat<2), 3-moderate (2<Iat<2.5), 4-low (2.5<Iat) (El Hamdouni et al., 2007)

The distribution of the four classes is shown in Fig. 10. In this map the high and moderate values of Iat in middle part of the area is obvious, and the subbasin 1, 2, and 6(at the end of southwestern of the area) have high to moderate values of Iat too. Table 2 shows the result of the classification for each subbasin. Also, base on Arian and Hashemi (2008), this area is a high seismic risk zone with follow seismicity parameters: a = 3.79, b = 0.50, β =1.72 and Lambda for M=4 is 1.47.

6. Field evidence of active tectonics

In the study area from south to north we have 3 subdivided: 1- autochthon Zagros 2- radiolariticoverthrustnappes, Bisotun limestone and Ophiolite 3- Thin imbricates Fan (thrust sequence) (Broud, 1979). At north parts of the area complex of flysch (Cretaceouse – Paleocene) and Ophiolite Assemblage (like disturbed basic layer) are appeared.

In Neogene, a basic magma intruded along Morvaride fault (Fig. 11).and formed a broad gabbro-diorite massive body in the north Kamyaran. The function of tectonic phases cause to existence regional metamorphism like green schist facies in flysch stones (Cretaceouse – Paleocene).The traces of this metamorphism cause the appearance of serpentine in the area (Sadeghian and Delavar, 2007).

At southern part of the area, the thrust fault of listric extensional kind are seen, which their strike are from north-northern west -south- southern east (Karimi, 1999). It seems that the activity of these faults cause to increase the relative tectonic activity to class 3. The limestone of Bisotun and radiolarite of Kermanshah which development in centerof the study area have separated from autochthon Zagros by Koh-e Sefid fault. Bisotun limestone is a very thick and main stony unit which contain from upper Triassic to upper cretaceous (Braud, 1979). Bisotun limestone has intense folds (Fig. 12) and faults in the area which cause to make the important anticlines such as Dokral, Naraman, Chalabad, and Shahoo in its direction and class 1, 2, and 3 of Iat index which have the same direction to Biseton limestone are seen in the area.

The south western border Kermanshah radiolarite is bounded to Koh-e Sefid fault. (Fig. 13).This fault has thrusted Kermanshah radiolarites on Amiran flysches.

The thickness of fault breccias in this place reaches to 100 meters. The mentioned breccias are made of radiolarite, limestone, and sandstone elements. The activity of Koh-e Sefid and Sarab faults can be a reason for increasing the relative tectonic activity at the end of the study area.

Koh-e Sefid anticline (Fig. 7) is located between Gharasu and Mereg rivers. Although Mereg source is located in 15 km south of Gharasu, but to reach to Gharasu, this river must travels almost 140 km toward northwest trending to join to Gharasu in Doab region.

7. Conclusion

It seems that the calculated geomorphic indices by using of GIS are suitable to assessment of tectonic activity of the study area. The geomorphic indices such as: stream –gradient index (Sl), drainage basin asymmetry (A*f*), hypsometric integral (Hi), valley floor width-valley height ratio (V*f*), drainage basin shape (Bs), and mountain-front sinuosity (J), are calculated in Gharasu basin. So, firstly the area was divided to 89 subbasins and indices were calculated to each of them, then each of the indices divided to 3 classes. Then,6 measured indexes for each subbasin was compounded and a unit index obtained as relative tectonic activity (Iat). This index is divided to 4 classes of tectonic activity: very high, high, moderate, and low. The area and occupation percentage each class of indices is calculated. As see most of the high percentage and areas locate in class3 that show the low tectonic activity (Table 3).

Class 1(Iat) have an area about 28.94 km2 (0.53 %), Class 2(Iat) with an area about 173.96 km2 (3.18 %), Class 3(Iat) with an area about 1162.97 km2 (21.26 %), Class 4(Iat) with an area about 4104.98 km2 (75.03%) are of total area. Class 1 locates around Dokeral anticline, class 2 locates on northeastern flank of Nesar and Naraman Mountain, class 3 is scatter at western border of the study area and a part of it has a same trending with Bisotun limestone in middle part of the study area.

The other parts of the area have class4 of Iat.Subbasin 68 is single subbasin with very high value of lat. it's located on Dokeral anticline in crush zone in Zagros

Most of the area with high and moderate value of lat have located on crush zone in Zagros, too. Crushing of this zone is because of main faults mechanism of Zagros region. Since that this faults have NE-SW direction, the area with high and moderate value have tend to development of this trending. The results of this paper confirm previous researches in this region. At the end of the eastern part of the study area, the value of Iat is high that could be the result of Sarab and Koh-e Sefid faults mechanism.

8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is funded by the Department of geology, Islamic Azad University, Science and Research branch, Tehran, Iran. Also, special thanks to Vice-President for Research in Science and Research branch, Tehran.

9. References

Arian, M., and Hashemi, S. A., 2008. Seismotectonic Zoning in the Zagros. Journal of Sciences, 18, 69, 63-74.

Braud, J., 1979. Geological map of Kermanshah area, scale 1:250000 Geologic Survey of Iran.

Bull, W.B. & McFadden, L.D., 1977. Tectonic geomorphology north and south of the Garlock fault, California. In: Doehring D.O. (Ed).Geomorphology in Arid Regions. Proceedings of the Eighth Annual Geomorphology Symposium. State University of New York, Binghamton.115-138.

Bull, W.B., 2007. Tectonic geomorphology of mountains: a new approach to paleoseismology. Blackwell, Malden.

Cannon, P.J., 1976. Generation of explicit parameters for a quantitative geomorphic study of Mill Creek drainage basin. Oklahoma Geology Notes 1, 3-16.

Dehbozorgi, M., Pourkermani, M., Arian, M.,Matkan, A.A., Motamedi,H. & Hosseiniasl, A., 2010. Quantitative analysis of relative tectonic activity in the Sarvestan area, central Zagros , Iran. Geomorphology, 121, 329-341.

El Hamdouni R.,Irigaray C., Fernandez T., Chacon J. & Keller EA., 2007. Assessment of relative active tectonics, southwest border of Sierra Nevada (southern Spain).Geomorphology 96,150-173.

Hack J.T. , 1957. Studies of longitudinal stream-profiles in Virginia and Maryland: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 294B, 45-97.

Hack J.T., 1973.Stream-profiles analysis and stream-gradient index, Journal of Research of the U.S. Geological Survey, 1,421-429.

Hack, J.T. , 1982. Physiographic division and differential uplift in the piedmont and Blue Ridge. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1265, 1-49.

Hare, P.W. & Gardner, T.W., 1985. Geomorphic indicators of vertical neotectonism along converging plate margins. Nicoya Peninsula, Costa Rica. In: Morisawa, M. Hack JT. (Eds), Tectonic Geomorphology. Proceedings of the 15th Annual Binghamton Geomorphology Symposium. Allen and Unwin, Boston, 123-134.

Karimi, A.R., 1999. Geological map of Kermanshah area, scale 1:100000, Geologic Survey of Iran.

Keller, E.A. , 1986. Investigation of active tectonics: use of surficial Earth processes. In: Wallace RE. (Ed), Active tectonics. Studies in Geophysics, National Academy press. WashingtonDC, 136-147.

Keller, EA. & Pinter, N. , 2002. Active tectonics: Earthquakes, Uplift, and Landscape (2nd Ed.). Prentice Hall, New Jersey,432.

Memarian, H., 2001. Geology for engineers, Tehran University Press, (In Persian).

Mirzaei, N.,Gao, M. & Chen, Y.T., 1998. seismic source regionalization for seismic zoning of Iran: Major seismotectonic provinces, Journal of Earthquake Prediction Research,7,465-495.

Molin, P.,Pazzaglia, F.J. &Dramis, F., 2004. Geomorphic expression of active tectonics in a rapidly-deforming forearc, sila massif. Calabria, southern Italy. American Journal of Science, 304, 559-589.

Nazari, H., 1998. Geological map of Harsin area scale 1:100000 Geologic Survey of Iran.

Ramirez-Herrera, M.T.,1998. Geomorphic assessment of active tectonics in the AcambayGraben, Mexican volcanic belt. Earth Surface Processes and landforms 23, 317-332.

Rockwell, T.K., Keller, E.A. & Jonson, D.L., 1985. Tectonic geomorphology of alluvial fans and mountain fronts near Ventura, California. In: Morisawa, M. (Ed.), Tectonic Geomorphology. Proceedings of the 15th Annual Geomorphology Symposium. Allen and Unwin Publishers, Boston, 183-207.

Sadeghian, M. &Delavar, S.T., 2007. Geological map of Kamyaran area scale 1:100000 Geologic Survey of Iran.

Silva, P.G., 1994.Evolutiongeodinamica de la depression del Guadalentindesde el Miocene superior hasta la Actualidad: Neotectonicageomorfologia, Ph.D. Dissertation, Complutense University, Madrid.

Silva, P.G.,Goy, J.L.,Zazo, C. &Bardajm, T., 2003. Fault generated mountain fronts in Southeast Spain: geomorphologic assessment of tectonic and earthquake activity. Geomorphology, 250, 203-226.

Strahler, A.N., 1952. Hypsometric (area-altitude) analysis of erosional topography Geological Society of America Bulletin 63, 1117-1142.

Wells, S.G.,Bullard, T.F.,Menges, T.M.,Drake, P.G.,Karas, P.A.,Kelson, K.I. ,Ritter, J.B. &Wesling, J.R., 1988. Regional variations in tectonic geomorphology along segmented convergent plate boundary, Pacific coast of Costa Rica. Geomorphology 1, 239-265.

Table 1:Values of6 geomorphic indices for 88 subbasins of the Gharasu river basin (Sl: stream length –gradient index; Af: drainage basin asymmetry; Hi: hypsometric integral; Vf: ratio of valley floor width to valley height; Bs: index of drainage basin shape; J: index of mountain-front sinuosity).

Sub basin	Area	Sl	A*f*	Bs	J	V*f*	Hi
1	135.41	147.38	33.17	2.36	1.32 1.08 1.22 1.20 1.49	0.00 0.41	0.14
2	12.97	62.00	69.70	2.83	1.32	---	0.25
3	9.32	37.89	37.64	3.17	1.32	---	0.16
4	7.98	74.57	47.61	3.45	1.32	---	0.32
5	11.02	81.64	24.45	2.80	1.32	---	0.28
6	76.51	262.87	91.81	2.52	1.56 1.20 1.22	---	0.23
7	20.20	170.60	71.66	4.09	1.32	---	0.38
8	10.36	51.40	37.58	4.00	---	---	0.22
9	19.54	374.88	44.25	2.20	---	---	0.36
10	19.40	139.87	57.75	1.55	---	---	0.41
11	12.51	77.73	26.44	2.62	1.56	2.58	0.43
12	13.81	45.95	23.21	2.59	1.32	---	0.18
13	33.56	261.56	53.07	2.09	---	---	0.44
14	48.18	203.76	57.60	1.53	---	---	0.32
15	66.80	137.40	35.70	2.51	1.56 1.15 1.13	2.63 0.79 1.44 5.51 9.34 11.81	0.30
16	56.55	87.24	19.18	1.80	1.32	---	0.27
17	28.44	108.42	50.99	2.07	1.20	---	0.42
18	10.29	67.44	56.10	1.93	---	---	0.44
19	31.38	95.15	68.43	2.08	1.32	18.39	0.25
20	22.68	177.54	66.01	2.27	---	---	0.33
21	38.81	1362.04	39.78	1.63	1.32	---	0.36
22	25.60	189.27	70.26	2.61	---	---	0.35
23	16.40	231.04	85.06	2.18	1.32	9.41	0.32
24	25.76	103.17	37.29	2.11	---	---	0.49
25	11.33	39.58	46.26	1.68	---	---	0.47
26	13.87	3	46.04	1.50	---	---	0.34
27	14.46	50.84	51.13	2.04	---	---	0.45
28	12.27	175.01	64.18	4.90	1.32	1.53 7.14	0.24
29	21.62	137.13	54.33	2.53	1.32	---	0.16
30	22.50	119.81	26.60	2.80	---	---	0.31
31	10.81	161.70	57.75	6.57	---	---	0.34
32	91.41	171.37	71.06	0.93	1.49 1.27 1.13	3.26 4.82	0.39
33	103.42	170.66	65.71	1.16	---	---	0.24
34	157.15	106.04	39.47	1.95	1.49 1.28 1.16 1.20	---	0.23
35	51.83	43.76	44.92	2.03	1.47	---	0.11
36	15.31	30.80	62.75	2.56	1.47	---	0.10
37	21.79	47.91	63.53	2.05	---	---	0.21
38	47.53	254.04	60.69	2.20	1.47	1.44	0.17
39	51.89	54.58	65.76	1.52	---	---	0.25
40	20.21	20.90	29.02	2.08	1.51	---	0.23

41	21.29	13.55	62.40	1.21	1.28	---	0.17
42	15.00	89.76	47.07	0.98	1.47	1.56 0.18	0.17
43	16.98	67.68	31.48	1.58	1.47	---	0.25
44	100.39	137.96	25.03	2.26	1.51	---	0.17
45	72.40	30.64	83.18	1.36	---	---	0.14
46	35.74	116.66	47.17	3.12	1.51	1.05	0.29
47	105.46	79.98	15.13	2.70	1.49 1.27	---	0.21
48	21.91	102.71	66.03	2.98	1.27	4.14	0.21
49	9.01	--	64.77	1.62	---	---	0.24
50	155.18	154.77	44.84	1.14	1.47	0.40	0.27
51	53.96	26.48	70.40	2.15	1.28 1.27	---	0.14
52	42.75	9.65	46.92	1.23	1.27	12.41	0.11
53	108.39	125.42	45.95	4.61	1.51	---	0.13
54	38.29	85.19	48.13	6.11	1.43	3	0.22
55	47.24	132.10	59.68	2.47	1.43	---	0.15
56	194.59	558.88	58.04	0.70	2.00	0.08	0.18
57	18.32	---	16.59	2.73	1.45	---	0.07
58	146.18	112.99	69.69	3.81	1.51	0.38	0.28
59	107.98	101.77	59.69	2.46	1.45 1.24 1.30	0.2 0.2 0.85	0.09
60	38.55	1289.77	59.04	3.05	2.00	0.38 0.50	0.40
61	24.70	94.38	61.94	1.69	1.45 1.30 1.14	0.82	0.23
62	24.43	39.60	52.66	1.31	2.00	---	0.15
63	12.32	965.73	60.08	2.31	1.42	---	0.53
64	18.38	9.82	50.90	1.70	1.45	---	0.27
65	7.28	27.89	13.89	1.43	2.00	---	0.22
66	118.71	76.09	66.94	1.55	1.26 1.25	13.51 9.66 19.44	0.17
67	152.17	85.69	61.84	0.74	1.23 1.42 1.21	4.89 3.10 3.32 1.59	0.20
68	53.01	403.87	64.82	2.78	1.14	---	0.33
69	11.70	197.91	48.41	2.55	1.59	1.38	0.41
70	28.94	742.88	33.88	5.36	1.42	---	0.49
71	24.79	10.93	43.62	3.22	1.59	---	0.44
72	98.37	137.32	57.94	1.77	1.25	---	0.36
73	14.85	69.15	70.96	3.42	---	---	0.36
74	20.40	129.56	62.15	2.74	1.23 1.68	---	0.31
75	22.75	212.17	63.63	2.27	1.59	1.97	0.42
76	12.66	98.62	58.45	2.45	1.68	---	0.31
77	81.52	194.22	63.26	1.40	1.23	---	0.35
78	58.74	96.92	77.88	1.45	---	---	0.32
79	12.90	79.93	39.70	3.43	1.68	---	0.29
80	16.45	35.93	18.43	1.92	1.68	---	0.24
81	111.95	43.00	54.81	1.36	---	---	0.25
82	49.20	224.02	69.93	1.79	1.59	1.91 0.62	0.42
83	1048.63	60.79	49.80	---	1.16 1.16	1.07 1.35	0.13

					1.45 1.14 1.32 1.32 1.56 1.20 1.28 1.34 1.14 1.23 1.59 1.51 1.47 2.00 1.68 1.42	2.55 12.01 4.26 1.79	
84	74.01	270.20	39.53	1.38	1.28	---	0.15
85	160.89	301.99	30.90	1.32	2.04	1.45 1.74 0.79	0.38
86	42.34	91.71	53.13	2.96	---	---	0.21
87	53.25	144.37	38.13	1.17	---	---	0.43
88	177.04	1669.67	43.45	2.01	1.34	0.28 0.08 0.85 1.48	0.40
89	167.97	73.37	61.94	1.05	---	---	0.26

Table 2: Classification of the Iat (relative tectonic activity index) in the subbasins of the Gharasu river basin (Sl: stream length –gradient index; A*f*: drainage basin asymmetry; Hi: hypsometric integral; V*f*: ratio of valley floor width to valley height; Bs: index of drainage basin shape; J: index of mountain-front sinuosity).

Sub basin	Area	Sl	A*f*	Bs	J	V*f*	Hi	S/n	Iat
1	135.41	3	1	3	2	1	1	1.83	2
2	12.97	3	1	3	2	---	3	2.40	3
3	9.32	3	2	2	2	---	3	2.40	3
4	7.98	3	3	2	2	---	3	2.60	4
5	11.02	3	1	3	2	---	3	2.40	3
6	76.51	3	1	3	2	---	3	2.40	3
7	20.20	3	1	1	2	---	3	2.00	3
8	10.36	3	2	2	---	---	3	2.50	4
9	19.54	2	3	3	---	---	3	2.75	4
10	19.40	3	2	3	---	---	2	2.50	4
11	12.51	3	1	3	3	3	2	2.50	4
12	13.81	3	1	3	2	---	3	2.40	3
13	33.56	3	3	3	---	---	2	2.75	4
14	48.18	3	2	3	---	---	3	2.75	4
15	66.80	3	2	3	2	3	3	2.67	4
16	56.55	3	1	3	2	---	3	2.40	3
17	28.44	3	3	3	2	---	2	2.60	4

18	10.29	3	3	3	---	---	2	2.75	4
19	31.38	3	1	3	2	3	3	2.50	4
20	22.68	3	1	3	---	---	3	2.50	4
21	38.81	1	2	3	2	---	3	2.50	4
22	25.60	3	1	3	---	---	3	2.50	4
23	16.40	3	1	3	2	3	3	2.75	4
24	25.76	3	2	3	---	---	2	3.00	4
25	11.33	3	3	3	---	---	2	2.75	4
26	13.87	3	3	3	---	---	3	2.33	3
27	14.46	3	3	3	---	---	2	2.80	4
28	12.27	3	2	1	2	3	3	2.50	4
29	21.62	3	3	3	2	---	3	2.25	3
30	22.50	3	1	3	---	---	3	2.50	4
31	10.81	3	2	1	---	---	3	2.50	4
32	91.41	3	1	3	2	3	3	2.60	4
33	103.42	3	1	3	---	---	3	2.80	4
34	157.15	3	2	3	2	---	3	2.60	4
35	51.83	3	3	3	2	---	3	2.75	4
36	15.31	3	2	3	2	---	3	2.67	4
37	21.79	3	2	3	---	---	3	2.50	4
38	47.53	3	2	3	2	3	3	2.60	4
39	51.89	3	1	3	---	---	3	2.60	4
40	20.21	3	1	3	3	---	3	2.67	4
41	21.29	3	2	3	2	---	3	2.40	3
42	15.00	3	3	3	2	2	3	2.60	4
43	16.98	3	1	3	2	---	3	2.50	4
44	100.39	3	1	3	3	---	3	3.00	4
45	72.40	3	1	3	---	---	3	2.40	3
46	35.74	3	3	3	3	3	3	2.50	4
47	105.46	3	1	3	2	---	3	2.67	4
48	21.91	3	1	3	2	3	3	2.50	4
49	9.01	---	2	3	---	---	3	2.40	3
50	155.18	3	3	3	2	1	3	2.83	4
51	53.96	3	1	3	2	---	3	2.60	4
52	42.75	3	3	3	2	3	3	2.50	4
53	108.39	3	3	1	3	---	3	2.60	4
54	38.29	3	3	1	2	3	3	2.17	3
55	47.24	3	2	3	2	---	3	2.25	3
56	194.59	1	2	3	3	1	3	2.17	3
57	18.32	---	1	3	2	---	3	2.33	3
58	146.18	3	1	2	3	1	3	1.83	2
59	107.98	3	2	3	2	1	3	2.50	4
60	38.55	1	2	2	3	1	2	3.00	4
61	24.70	3	2	3	2	2	3	2.20	3
62	24.43	3	3	3	3	---	3	2.80	4
63	12.32	3	2	3	2	---	1	2.60	4
64	18.38	3	3	3	2	---	3	2.50	4
65	7.28	3	1	3	3	---	3	2.67	4
66	118.71	3	1	3	2	3	3	2.40	3
67	152.17	3	2	3	2	3	3	2.83	4
68	53.01	2	2	3	2	---	3	1.40	1
69	11.70	3	3	3	3	3	2	2.50	4

70	28.94	1	1	1	2	---	2	2.60	4
71	24.79	3	3	2	---	---	2	2.25	3
72	98.37	3	2	3	2	---	3	2.80	4
73	14.85	3	1	2	---	---	3	2.67	4
74	20.40	3	2	3	3	---	3	2.80	4
75	22.75	3	2	3	3	3	2	2.60	4
76	12.66	3	2	3	3	---	3	2.50	4
77	81.52	3	2	3	2	---	3	2.60	4
78	58.74	3	1	3	---	---	3	2.60	4
79	12.90	3	2	2	3	---	3	3.00	4
80	16.45	3	1	3	3	---	3	2.50	4
81	111.95	3	3	3	---	---	3	2.80	4
82	49.20	3	1	3	3	3	2	2.83	4
83	1048.63	3	3	---	2	3	3	2.50	4
84	74.01	3	3	3	2	---	3	2.75	4
85	160.89	3	2	3	3	3	3	2.00	3
86	42.34	3	1	3	---	---	3	3.00	4
87	53.25	3	3	3	---	---	2	2.80	4
88	177.04	1	2	3	2	2	2	2.50	4
89	167.97	3	3	3	---	---	3	2.20	3

Table 3: The area and occupation percentage of each class of geomorphic indices.

geomorphic indices	Not value		Class1		Class2		Class3	
	area	occupation percent	area	occupation percent	area	occupation percent	area	occupation percent
V*f*	2495.17	45.78	777.88	13.96	216.73	3.8	1981.05	36.2
Smf	1020.72	19.32	----	----	3454.9	63.01	995.22	17.86
Bs	1048.62	19.96	218.89	3.92	264.92	4.8	3938.40	70.69
A*f*	----	----	1596.60	28.65	1730.88	31.6	2143.36	38.47
Sl	27.32	0.69	477.93	8.57	72.54	1.70	4893.04	87.83
Hi	----	----	147.73	2.65	561.95	10.87	4761.16	85.66



Figure 1. Location of the study area in Iran and Zagros fold-thrust belt.



Figure 2. Geological map(1:250000) in the study area.



Figure 3.SL index along the drainage network.



Figure 4. Distribution of rock strength levels in the area.


Basin60
River actual profile
SL
B: Limestone A:
B
A
Altitude(m)
SL index
Distance(m)
0
500
1000
1500
2000
2500
3000
3500
4000
2000
4000
6000
8000
10000
12000
14000
16000





Figure 5 . Longitudinal river profiles and measured SL values for three subbasins in the study area.



Subbasin 22



Subbasin 82



Subbasin 89

Figure 6. Hypsometry- curves of 3 subbasins in the study area. (A) is the total surface of the basin. (a) is the surface area within the basin above a given line of elevation(h). (H) is the highest elevation of the basin.



Figure7 . Thirty-six Mountain fronts for the assessment of the J index.



Figure8 . Location of section for V*f*calculation.



Figure9 . Distribution of 6 indices Hi, Vf, J, Bs, Af, SL and classification of them to 3 classes.



477 **Figure10.**Distribution of Iat classes.

Figure 11.A view of faulting at north of the study area, looking to north western.

Figure12 . Intense folding and crushing in Biseton limestone placed on 60km northeast of the village Bencheleh, looking to northeastern.



Figure 13. A view of the Kuh-e Sefid Fault placed on 6km east of Halashi, looking to north.