

1 **The Challenge and Future of Rocky Desertification Control in Karst Areas** 2 **in Southwest China**

3
4 Junyi Zhang^{1,2}, Minghong Dai¹, Lachun Wang^{1*}, Chunfen Zeng^{1*}, Weici Su³

5 [1]{School of Geographic and Oceanographic Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023,
6 China}

7 [2]{School of Tourism and Land Resources, Chongqing Technology and Business University,
8 Chongqing, China}

9 [3] {The Institute of Mountain Resources, Guizhou Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550018,
10 China}

11 Correspondence to: Lachun Wang (wang6312@263.net.cn); Chunfen Zeng (zengchunfen@nj
12 u.edu.cn)

13 **Abstract**

14 Karst rocky desertification occurs after vegetation deteriorates as a result of intensive land use,
15 which leads to severe water loss and soil erosion and exposes basement rocks, creating a
16 rocky landscape. The karst rocky desertification is found in humid areas in Southwest China,
17 the region most seriously affected by rocky desertification in the world. In order to promote
18 ecological restoration and help peasants out of poverty, the Chinese government carried out
19 the first phase of rocky desertification control project from 2006 to 2015, which initially
20 contained the expansion of rocky desertification. Currently, the Chinese government is
21 prepared to implement the second phase of the rocky desertification control project, and
22 therefore it is essential to summarize the lessons learned over the last ten years of the first
23 phase. In this paper, we analyze the driving social and economic factors behind rocky
24 desertification, summarize the scientific research on rocky desertification in the region, and
25 finally identify the main problems facing rocky desertification control. In addition, we put
26 forward several policy suggestions that take into account the perspective of local peasants, the
27 scientific research, and China's economic development and urbanization process. These
28 suggestions include: promoting the non-agriculturalization of household livelihoods,
29 improving ecological compensation, strengthening the evaluation of rocky desertification

1 control and dynamic monitoring, and strengthening research on key ecological function
2 recovery technologies and supporting technologies.

3 **1 Introduction**

4 Karst rocky desertification (RD) is used to characterize the process that transforms a karst
5 area covered by vegetation and soil into a bare rocky landscape almost devoid of soil and
6 vegetation in the fragile karst ecosystems in tropical and subtropical regions
7 (Yuan,1997;Wang et al.,2004a). In general, water loss and soil erosion take place when
8 surface vegetation is destroyed, leading to land desertification (Barbero-Sierra et
9 al.,2015;Torres et al.,2015;Cerdeja and Lavee,1999). Degraded land surface vegetation can
10 gradually be restored and water loss and soil erosion can be reduced with timely management,
11 leading to ecological recovery (Lovich and Bainbridge, 1999; Vieira et al., 2015).
12 Unfortunately, this has not occurred in the karst areas of Southwest China. Because of
13 vegetation deterioration caused by unreasonable human activities, water loss and soil erosion
14 have already taken place. This has caused bedrock to be exposed and has initiated a process
15 similar to desertification (Fig.1).

16 Once this process begins it is very difficult to reverse, and for this reason it has been called "
17 the earth's cancer" by the Chinese media. Karst rocky desertification occurs mainly in
18 Southwest China with an area of $107.16 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ and includes parts of Guizhou Province,
19 Yunnan Province, Sichuan Province, Guangxi Province, Guangdong Province, Hunan
20 Province, Hubei Province, and Chongqing City (Table 1, Fig.2). In addition to China, rocky
21 desertification has also occurred in other regions in the world, such as the European
22 Mediterranean region, North America, Southeast Asia, and some Caribbean island countries
23 (Ford and Williams,2007;Jiang et al.,2014;Sunkar,2008;Williams,2011;Symeonakis et al.,201
24 4).

25 Currently, the desertification control research in the world mainly focus on arid and semi-arid
26 areas(Cerdeja and Lavee,1999; Bisaro et al.,2014; Cerdà et al.,2009; De Pina Tavares et
27 al.,2014; Escadafal et al.,2015; Fleskens and Stringer,2014), but research on karst rocky
28 desertification control are relatively scarce. However, the processes and control of karst rocky
29 desertification are significantly different from desertification. The process of karst rocky
30 desertification is nearly irreversible in a short time, such as the time scale of a decade, and so
31 it could be argued that the damage resulting from rocky desertification is permanent. Rocky

1 desertification has severely affected the local ecology, hydrology, and soils at various scales
2 and is consequently responsible for droughts, floods, landslides, land subsidence, and other
3 geological hazards. Rocky desertification not only degrades the ecological environment but
4 also affects agricultural and forestry production, seriously hindering sustainable development
5 of the local socio-economy. The expansion of rocky desertification has put more strain on
6 people's lives in these areas where they are already living below the poverty line (Yang, et al.,
7 2014).

8 In order to curb rocky desertification, promote ecological restoration, and help peasants out of
9 poverty, the Chinese government has carried out the first phase of rocky desertification
10 control project between 2006 and 2015, which initially contained the expansion of rocky
11 desertification (Bai, et al., 2013). Although the area of rocky desertification has decreased by
12 96×10^4 ha, the control work faces serious future challenges. As of 2012, there is still a rocky
13 desertification area of 1200.02×10^4 ha and a potential rocky desertification (PRD) area of
14 1331.8×10^4 ha (the State Forestry Administration of the People's Republic of China, 2012).
15 PRD refers to the landscape whose bedrock is carbonate rocks, and rock exposed degree is
16 more than 30%. The vegetation coverage is better (trees and shrubs coverage is over 50% or
17 herbage coverage is over 70%), but it is likely to evolve into rocky desertification land in the
18 event of unreasonable human activities. The rocky desertification area is likely to continue to
19 expand in the face of unreasonable human activities. The Chinese government is currently
20 prepared to implement the second phase of the rocky desertification control project, so it is
21 essential to summarize what has been learned from the first phase of the project. In this paper,
22 we analyze the driving social and economic factors behind rocky desertification, summarize
23 the scientific research on rocky desertification in the region, and finally identify the main
24 problems facing rocky desertification control. In addition, we put forward several policy
25 suggestions in the future rocky desertification control.

26 **2 The relationship among rocky desertification, natural processes and human** 27 **activities**

28 Rocky desertification in Southwest China owes its formation to the special geological and
29 ecological environment of the region. Since it lies in a subtropical climate zone, it is warm
30 and humid in summer, and cold in winter. It is rainy and the mean annual precipitation is
31 1000-1400mm, concentrating from May to September. The rainfall intensity is high, easily
32 leading to water loss and soil erosion (Yuan, 2014). The mean annual temperature is 15-20°C.

1 Moreover, the carbonate rocks are highly soluble, leading to widespread distribution of karst
2 sinkholes and karst fissure and the broken and steep topography, which increased water loss
3 and soil erosion. Karstification is strong, which forms the interlinking structure between the
4 surface and the underground, allowing surface water to easily leak. The stability of the
5 vegetation system is poor. Generally, the vegetation has calciphilia, xeric and rock-grown
6 characteristics and low biomass. For example, the total biomass of the top forest communities
7 in Maolan karst region is 168.62 tons/ha(Zhang et al.,2015), only equal to the xerodrymium in
8 the semi-arid areas of the subtropical zones. What's more, it is very sensitive to the external
9 disturbance and can not be easily recovered in the event of damage. The soil layer is shallow
10 and broken, and the soil formation rates are extremely slow. A similar analysis of 133
11 samples in Guizhou Province showed that to form 1 cm of soil would take 4 to 8.5 thousand
12 years (Su,2002). The adhesive force between the overlying soil and the underlying bedrock is
13 extremely low (Su and Zhu,2000), and is prone to soil erosion and rocky desertification
14 caused by heavy rainfall. The water loss and soil erosion increase sharply with the increase of
15 slope gradient. The soil layer thickness and the soil erosion amount is around 86 cm and 3150
16 tons/km² per year for slopes 20-25, and 71 cm and 11700 tons/km² per year for slopes 30-35
17 (Jiang et al.,2014). Therefore, even with less human interference, rocky desertification would
18 still occur in some areas. For example, Xu Xiake, a Chinese ancient geographer, described
19 that "there are mountains almost without any soil cover when entering Guizhou Province,
20 especially in Guiyang City" in the chapter "Guizhou Travel Dairy" from his book *XiakeXu*
21 *Travel Notes* in 1638 (Jiang et al.,2014).

22 Aside from geological and ecological factors, excessive human interference, especially
23 intensive land use, is the main trigger for large-scale rocky desertification (Xu and
24 Zhang,2014;Jiang et al.,2014;Li et al.,2009;Yan and Cai,2015;Yang et al.,2011;Ni et al.,2015).
25 The vegetation has been seriously degraded by large-scale human activities since 1950, with
26 initiatives such as the "Great Leap Forward(GLF)", the "Work for Food Program(WFP)", and
27 others (Jiang et al.,2014;Wang et al.,2004b). The GLF and WFP are national movements in
28 China between 1957 and 1960 when people blindly called for high economic development
29 (production of iron) and agricultural production, leading to large scale deforestation and
30 serious environment degradation. In addition, karst areas are overpopulated and socio-
31 economically backward. For example, the population growth rate of Guizhou Province was
32 12.58 ‰ from 1978 to 2013 (The National Bureau of Statistics of China,2015). This tension
33 between people and land caused by overpopulation and land scarcity is severe (Fig.3 and

1 Table 2). It has forced peasants to reclaim wasteland and cultivate on steep slopes, which has
2 become common in the karst areas in Southwest China (Fig.2). Yet, steep slopes are precisely
3 the places most at risk for rocky desertification (Jiang et al.,2009). It is thus fair to conclude
4 that backward economic development is the main cause of rocky desertification in the region
5 (Bai et al.,2013), that is to say the excessive human interference due to backward economic
6 development is the main cause of rocky desertification.

7 Similar to the situation in karst areas in China, in European Mediterranean region, agriculture
8 production and deforestation caused by unreasonable human activities (Kéfi et al.,2007), such
9 as slash-and-burn cultivation and destroying forest for land reclamation, were major causes of
10 rocky desertification. But the existing hillslopes are terraced by machine because the land
11 prices were increasing. Grapes and other economic crops were planted instead of food crop
12 with the extensive machinery management. For example, bulldozers torn off epikarst from
13 ground into gravel, and then compacted it with added topsoil(Ford and Williams,2007).
14 Meanwhile, a strategy for use of vegetation to combat rocky desertification and land
15 degradation has been developed in European Mediterranean region (Hooke and
16 Sandercock,2012). In short, rocky desertification in European Mediterranean region is
17 triggered by special geological environment and excessive human interference, both of which
18 directly or indirectly affected water budgets and land degradation through associated changes
19 in land use patterns. But in China, with increasing population and limited non-agricultural
20 employment, labor in this region continues to be invested in cultivating limited land in order
21 to increase land production, which has caused a decrease in household's marginal benefit and
22 agricultural involution. With the continuous development of agricultural involution, the
23 phenomena of over-exploitation, overgrazing, deforestation, and steep slope reclamation
24 become increasingly serious, resulting in the degradation of the ecological environment, and
25 finally triggering rocky desertification. In other words, the area has fallen into a vicious cycle
26 of poverty and environmental degradation at different scales (Fig.4).

27 **3 The challenges rocky desertification control confronted**

28 Rocky desertification control is carried out through the participation of the government,
29 peasants, enterprises, and scientific researchers. It is a continuous process of coordinating
30 various multistakeholders for a common goal. Existing rocky desertification control practices
31 are mainly driven by the government and the role of peasants is not significant. The
32 achievement of rocky desertification control was hindered because various multistakeholders,

1 such as the government, peasants, and enterprises, were overly concerned with their own
2 interests (Enterprises are mainly to make practical rocky desertification control plans
3 according to the government's target, and to take measures to restore rocky desertification
4 land). Furthermore, policies which aimed to achieve a common goal, such as the standards of
5 planting density set by the government, were not effectively implemented because they lacked
6 effective mechanisms to coordinate multistakeholders interests. The construction of
7 governance models was dominated by the government administration, research institutions,
8 and enterprises. Although some good comprehensive benefits were achieved, some
9 governance models were made without considering the current national economic situation.
10 Economic development led to the outflow of the rural labor force and some governance
11 models were overly dependent on external input, which could not be a sustainable
12 development solution since it lacked supporting industries separate from national input.
13 (Zhang et al.,2014). Moreover, in the process of formulating rocky desertification governance
14 models, peasants were mainly involved as builders and participants, but were excluded from
15 any independent development of rocky desertification control. Furthermore, some governance
16 models of rocky desertification control did not consider peasants' conditions and needs (Cai
17 and Zhang,2009), and therefore destroyed any incentive for peasant compliance, especially
18 for those located out of the control demonstration area that were devoid of capital, technology,
19 and other support.

20 The fundamental purpose of rocky desertification control is to maintain the limited soil and
21 water resources, restore the vegetation and ecological environment, promote economic
22 development, and help peasants out of poverty. With the development of China's economy,
23 peasants' standards of living have greatly improved. Merely aspiring to feed and clothe
24 themselves, they now aspire to earn greater income. For this reason, economic benefits have
25 become decisive factors for their production activities. At present, peasants' income from
26 rocky desertification control mainly comes from planting cash crops and from subsidies for
27 converting farmland to forest and grassland. However, peasants are likely to give up the
28 management of desertification control when they receive insufficient income due to
29 fluctuations in market prices (which easily affect benefits given from planting cash crops),
30 low subsidies, and short compensation times. Therefore, the socio-economic factors that cause
31 rocky desertification have not been eliminated. Meanwhile, the mechanisms and degree of
32 impact of rocky desertification control on peasants' income are unclear due to a lack of
33 quantitative research. Research results of similar ecological restoration projects, such as

1 Sloping Land Conversion Program (SLCP), showed that the SLCP's direct impact on the
2 income of participant households was insignificant. Instead, the increase of household income
3 can be mainly attributed to the significant acceleration of the non-agricultural labor force (Li
4 et al.,2011;Yin et al.,2014;Lin and Yao,2014). Likewise, rocky desertification control has
5 reduced the demand for agricultural labor and has promoted the transfer of agricultural
6 surplus labor to non-agricultural employment (Xiao et al.,2012), which may have indirectly
7 contributed to an increase of household income.

8 Control technologies are an extremely important part of rocky desertification control projects
9 because they directly affect their effectiveness and peasants' willingness to participate in
10 rocky desertification control. Although existing rocky desertification control technologies
11 have achieved better vegetation restoration results (Bai et al.,2013), the vegetation system has
12 not stabilized and is still prone to degradation (Zhang et al.,2015). There have been few
13 studies conducted on the selection and breeding of recovery plants, collocation of plant
14 species, and ecological adaptation of plants to rocky desertification control technologies.
15 Accordingly, control practices have tended to rely heavily on experience. For instance,
16 restoration vegetation has been mainly composed of afforestation even though dense grasses
17 significantly reduce soil erosion at lower costs and are widely available in a variety of natural
18 environments (Peng et al.,2013); yet, grasses have not been widely promoted. Further
19 research is also needed on the ecological effects of alien plants as well as the control effects of
20 water use and supply technologies.

21 Most restoration projects have focused on vegetation recovery as a means of assessing the
22 effectiveness of restoration (Young,2000;Ruiz-Jaén and Aide,2005), ignoring the recovery
23 capacity of the ecosystem and its resilience to perturbation. At present, the assessment of
24 rocky desertification control and restoration has focused on the economic benefits of
25 governance models and technologies, as well as changes in soil erosion and the
26 physicochemical properties of the soil (Tang et al.,2013;Xie et al.,2015), overlooking an
27 assessment of governance efficiency. Moreover, there has been considerable arbitrariness in
28 establishing evaluation systems and applying evaluation methods, which may consequently
29 have led to the great range of evaluation results in the same area (Zhang et al.,2014). There is
30 also a lack of quantitative data for evaluating ecosystem restoration after control measures
31 have been implemented, such as to what extent the ecosystem should be maintained and
32 managed, what kind of governance policies should be used in follow-up management, and

1 how the health of the restored ecosystem should be monitored. This has caused the
2 management and maintenance of rocky desertification control to fall behind.

3 In short, rocky desertification control is not only a scientific issue, but also a household
4 livelihood issue (Wang and Li,2007), which should be addressed with governance methods
5 and technologies, as well as design of policies and public participation. Such an approach is
6 imperative for future rocky desertification control initiatives.

7 **4 Future improvements of rocky desertification control**

8 **4.1 To promote the non-agriculturalization of household livelihoods**

9 The rural labor force outflow caused by China's rapid economic development made an
10 important contribution to the recovery of the ecological environment (Wang et al.,2011). For
11 example, in Guangxi Province in Southwest China, the growth rate of rocky desertification
12 area dramatically decreased during the period of rapid economic development (Liu et
13 al.,2008). There is a clear trend of the rural labor force turning away from agriculture with
14 sustainable industrialization and rapid urbanization (Li,2008). Currently, there has been a halt
15 in the expansion of cultivated land, along with large-scale de-intensification and abandonment
16 of cultivated land (Ge et al.,2012), which has helped to restore the local ecological
17 environment. The Chinese government has set developing economic zones in the midlands of
18 Guizhou and Yunnan Province in 2014. We should therefore seize the opportunity to further
19 promote the non-agricultural migration of household livelihoods and accelerate the non-
20 agricultural outflow of the rural labor force, while focusing on improving local non-
21 agricultural employment and relocating people for non- agricultural work. It is also the key to
22 break the vicious cycle of poverty and environmental degradation at different scales.

23 **4.2 To improve ecological compensation policies and establish coordination** 24 **mechanisms of multistakeholders in rocky desertification control**

25 The household livelihood concerns of peasants have changed from maintaining livelihood
26 security to pursuing higher profits. However, with more and more attention being paid to the
27 effects of rocky desertification control on improving local ecosystem services, the private
28 goods function of rocky desertification control is decreasing while its function as a public
29 goods is increasing. Therefore, the biggest beneficiaries of rocky desertification control are
30 the users of the products, not just the peasants. But as the implementers of rocky
31 desertification control, peasants' prime consideration is their own utility maximization which
32 is not equal to the overall utility maximization. Therefore, the evaluation standards for utility

1 maximization of rocky desertification control should be made on the basis of all users of the
2 products, not just the peasants. In order to achieve the goal of utility maximization of rocky
3 desertification control, ecological compensation should be provided for participant peasants
4 and appropriately increased with the development and inflation of socio-economy. In order to
5 achieve the sustainability of the joint action of various multistakeholders, to clarify the needs
6 of various participants, and to move away from sole government management, a rational
7 coordination mechanism must be established to coordinate the interests of various participants.
8 In order to coordinate the interests of various multistakeholders and achieve the economic,
9 social, and ecological benefits of rocky desertification control, we should attempt to establish
10 a management mechanism based on integrated ecosystem management methods.

11 **4.3 To improve evaluation system for evaluating the effectiveness of rocky** 12 **desertification control and strengthen monitoring and dynamic evaluation**

13 A thorough evaluation system is the foundation of implementing ecological compensation and
14 assessing the efficiency of rocky desertification control. Towards the end of improving the
15 evaluation system, it should be noted that what crucially keeps ecosystems running
16 sustainably are vegetation structure, biodiversity, and ecosystem processes, all of which are
17 essential components of the long-term survival of an ecosystem (Dorren et al.,2004).
18 Furthermore, some recovery indicators based on key ecosystem functions should be
19 established to achieve the recovery of ecosystem functions, such as the degree of biodiversity,
20 the structure and complexity of trophic levels, and particularly litter production and turnover.
21 According to the different degrees of rocky desertification and control objectives in different
22 types of karst geomorphology, we should correspondingly select appropriate reference
23 ecosystems for evaluation and set up a relatively complete evaluation system. In the
24 construction of the evaluation index system, except for directly considering economic benefits,
25 we should also consider the different impacts of rocky desertification control on ecological
26 security and ecological service functions, so as to establish reasonable ecological
27 compensation standards and follow-up governance policies. It should be noted that economic
28 values are not natural attributes of the ecosystem but are closely associated with the number
29 of beneficiaries and their socio-economic background. That also implies that, in general, the
30 balance of ecosystem values in a certain region can only be extrapolated to those in similar
31 regions; otherwise, adjustments must be made. In addition, ecosystem restoration is a slow
32 and dynamic process, and there are significant uncertainties for ecosystem development in the

1 recovery process (Bark et al.,2013). Thus, dynamic monitoring of rocky desertification
2 control is conducive to identifying the problems and taking timely corrective measures.

3 **4.4 To strengthen research on key recovery technologies of ecological service function** 4 **and supporting technologies**

5 The special geological and ecological environment poses a great challenge to the restoration
6 of degraded ecosystems in karst areas (Gillieson et al.,1996). With regard to research on
7 ecological service function recovery technologies in degraded ecosystems in karst areas, we
8 should focus on specific experimental verification techniques of ecological restoration based
9 on different types of geography and climate zones. Since it is difficult to spontaneously
10 recover the structure and functions of a degraded karst ecosystem, (such as the storage
11 capacity of epikarst, land productivity, and the natural resilience of vegetation), we should
12 also focus on studying the vital supporting technologies of rocky desertification control, such
13 as storage technology for surface water resources, exploitation and utilization technologies for
14 underground water resources, the selection and breeding of special economic and ecological
15 recovery plants, and optimal configuration technologies and models of adaptive plant
16 communities. Furthermore, karst ecosystems are fragile and very sensitive to changes in the
17 surrounding environment.

18 **5 Conclusions**

19 Soil and water conservation in karst ecosystems is one of the fundamental goals of rocky
20 desertification control and is the foundation of local, social, and economic development,
21 especially for peasants. In the process of vigorously implementing rocky desertification
22 control, we must pay close attention to the following two facts: first, the environmental
23 consequences of the same human activities in the karst area in Southwest China are far
24 heavier than that in similar climate zones because of its special geological and ecological
25 environment; second, the resilience of karst ecosystems is far lower than that in similar
26 climate zones, and so it is very difficult for the ecological system to recover from destruction
27 caused by unreasonable human activities. Thus, in order to improve rocky desertification
28 control, we should focus more on eliminating the anthropic factors causing rocky
29 desertification in institutions, policies and economy, and on strengthening preventative
30 measures for ecological degradation. In particular, we must deviate from the existing
31 administrative department framework, which has been divided according to ecosystem
32 elements (e.g. the Forestry Bureau is responsible for forests and the Water Resource Bureau is

1 responsible for water loss and soil erosion), and create policy frameworks that reflect
2 administrative divisions. More importantly, we should formulate regulations and policies
3 according to ecosystem integrity and geographical regions in order to improve this ubiquitous
4 phenomenon, since governance management of rocky desertification control so far has not
5 been that successful.

6 In addition, climate warming has severely affected karst ecosystems, such as water resources
7 (Lian et al.,2015;Thomas et al.,2016). Climate warming has an impact on regional
8 precipitation, evaporation and runoff. Besides, it will increase extreme weather events, such
9 as heavy rainfall, high temperature and drought, ect, coupled with surface water serious
10 seepage, easily leading to regional vegetation degradation and the increase of soil erosion.
11 Therefore, we should strengthen research on the impact of global climate change on rocky
12 desertification control.

13 **Data availability:** the data of Table 1 & 2 are acquired from the Bulletin of Rocky
14 Desertification in China, 2012 and China Statistical Yearbook 2013; the Fig.1& 2 are shot by
15 the author in his field investigation.

16 **Acknowledgements**

17 This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.41371045), the
18 National Social Science Foundation of China (No.13CJY067),the National Key Technology R&D Program
19 (No.2014BAB03B01).

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

1 **References**

- 2 Bai, X. Y. ,Wang, S. J. and Xiong, K. N.: Assessing spatial-temporal evolution processes of karst rocky
3 desertification land: indications for restoration strategies, *Land Degradation & Development*,24,47-56, doi:
4 10.1002/ldr.1102, 2013.
- 5 Barbero-Sierra, C. ,Marques, M. J. ,Ruiz-Pérez, M. ,Escadafal, R. and Exbrayat, W.: How is Desertification
6 Research Addressed in Spain? Land Versus Soil Approaches, *Land Degradation & Development*, 26, 423-
7 432, doi: 10.1002/ldr.2344, 2015.
- 8 Bark, R. H. ,Peeters, L. J. M. ,Lester, R. E. ,Pollino, C. A. ,Crossman, N. D. and Kandulu, J. M.:
9 Understanding the sources of uncertainty to reduce the risks of undesirable outcomes in large-scale
10 freshwater ecosystem restoration projects: An example from the Murray – Darling Basin, Australia,
11 *Environmental Science & Policy*, 33, 97-108, doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2013.04.010, 2013.
- 12 Bisaro, A. ,Kirk, M. ,Zdruli, P. and Zimmermann, W.: Global drivers setting desertification research
13 priorities: Insights from a stakeholder consultation forum, *Land Degradation & Development*, 25, 5-16, doi:
14 10.1002/ldr.2220, 2014.
- 15 Cai, S. Q. and Zhang, B. L.: Discussion on the Integrated Ecosystem Management and Rocky
16 Desertification Control, *Journal of Fujian College of Political Science & Law*, 42, 26-31, 2009.
- 17 Cerdà, A. ,Morera, A. G. and Bodí, M. B.: Soil and water losses from new citrus orchards growing on
18 sloped soils in the western Mediterranean basin, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 34, 1822-1830,
19 doi: 10.1002/esp.1889, 2009.
- 20 Cerda, A. and Lavee, H.: The effect of grazing on soil and water losses under arid and mediterranean
21 climates. Implications for desertification, *Pirineos*,153-154,159-174, doi: 10.3989/pirineos.1999.v153-
22 154.111, 1999.
- 23 De Pina Tavares, J. ,Ferreira, A. J. D. ,Reis, E. A. ,Baptista, I. ,Amoros, R. ,Costa, L. ,Furtado, A. M. and
24 Coelho, C.: Appraising and selecting strategies to combat and mitigate desertification based on stakeholder
25 knowledge and global best practices in cape verde archipelago, *Land Degradation & Development*, 25, 45-
26 57, doi: 10.1002/ldr.2273, 2014.
- 27 Dorren, L. K. A. ,Berger, F. ,Imeson, A. C. ,Maier, B. and Rey, F.: Integrity, stability and management of
28 protection forests in the European Alps, *Forest Ecology and Management*, 195, 165-176, doi:
29 10.1016/j.foreco.2004.02.057, 2004.
- 30 Escadafal, R. ,Barbero-Sierra, C. ,Exbrayat, W. ,Marques, M. J. ,Akhtar-Schuster, M. ,El Haddadi, A. and
31 Ruiz, M.: First Appraisal of the Current Structure of Research on Land and Soil Degradation as Evidenced
32 by Bibliometric Analysis of Publications on Desertification, *Land Degradation & Development*, 26, 413-
33 422, doi: 10.1002/ldr.2351, 2015.
- 34 Fleskens, L. and Stringer, L. C.: Land management and policy responses to mitigate desertification and
35 land degradation, *Land Degradation & Development*, 25, 1-4, doi: 10.1002/ldr.2272, 2014.
- 36 Ford, D. C. and Williams, P. (Eds.):*Karst Hydrogeology and Geomorphology*,Wiley, Chichester,UK,2007.
- 37 Ge, L. ,Gao, M. ,Hu, Z. F. and Han, X. F.: Reasons of cultivated land abandonment in mountainous area
38 based on farmers' perspective, *Chinese Journal of Agricultural Resources and Regional Planning*, 33, 42-46,
39 2012.
- 40 Gillieson, D. ,Wallbrink, P. and Cochrane, A.: Vegetation change, erosion risk and land management on the

1 Nullarbor Plain, Australia, *Environmental Geology*, 28, 145-153, 1996.

2 Hooke, J. and Sandercock, P.: Use of vegetation to combat desertification and land degradation:
3 Recommendations and guidelines for spatial strategies in Mediterranean lands, *Landscape and Urban*
4 *Planning*, 107, 389-400, doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2012.07.007, 2012.

5 Jiang, Y. J. ,Li, L. L. ,Groves, C. ,Yuan, D. X. and Kambesis, P.: Relationships between rocky
6 desertification and spatial pattern of land use in typical karst area, Southwest China, *Environmental Earth*
7 *Sciences*, 59, 881-890, doi: 10.1007/s12665-009-0083-8, 2009.

8 Jiang, Z. C. ,Lian, Y. Q. and Qin, X. Q.: Rocky desertification in Southwest China: Impacts, causes, and
9 restoration, *Earth-Science Reviews*, 132, 1-12, doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2014.01.005, 2014.

10 Kéfi, S. ,Rietkerk, M. ,Alados, C. L. ,Pueyo, Y. ,Papanastasis, V. P. ,ElAich, A. and de Ruiter, P. C.:
11 Spatial vegetation patterns and imminent desertification in Mediterranean arid ecosystems, *Nature*, 449,
12 213-217, doi: 10.1038/nature06111, 2007.

13 Li, J. ,Feldman, M. W. ,Li, S. and Daily, G. C.: Rural household income and inequality under the Sloping
14 Land Conversion Program in western China, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108, 7721-
15 7726, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1101018108, 2011.

16 Li, X. B.: Theoretical hypotheses about agricultural land use changes and the relevant propositions about
17 environmental impacts, *Advances in Earth Science*, 23, 1124-1129, 2008.

18 Li, Y. B. ,Shao, J. A. ,Yang, H. and Bai, X. Y.: The relations between land use and karst rocky
19 desertification in a typical karst area, China, *Environmental Geology*, 57, 621-627, doi: 10.1007/s00254-
20 008-1331-z, 2009.

21 Lian, Y. ,You, G. J. ,Lin, K. ,Jiang, Z. ,Zhang, C. and Qin, X.: Characteristics of climate change in
22 southwest China karst region and their potential environmental impacts, *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 74,
23 937-944, doi: 10.1007/s12665-014-3847-8, 2015.

24 Lin, Y. and Yao, S. B.: Impact of the Sloping Land Conversion Program on rural household income: An
25 integrated estimation, *Land Use Policy*, 40, 56-63, doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2013.09.005, 2014.

26 Liu, Y. S. ,Wang, J. Y. and Deng, X. Z.: Rocky land desertification and its driving forces in the karst areas
27 of rural Guangxi, Southwest China, *Journal of Mountain Science*, 5, 350-357, doi: 10.1007/s11629-008-
28 0217-6, 2008.

29 Loáiciga, H. A. ,Maidment, D. R. and Valdes, J. B.: Climate-change impacts in a regional karst aquifer,
30 Texas, USA, *Journal of Hydrology*, 227, 173-194, doi: 10.1016/S0022-1694(99)00179-1, 2000.

31 Lovich, J. E. and Bainbridge, D.: Anthropogenic Degradation of the Southern California Desert Ecosystem
32 and Prospects for Natural Recovery and Restoration, *Environ Manage*, 24, 309-326, 1999.

33 Ni, J. ,Luo, D. H. ,Xia, J. ,Zhang, Z. H. and Hu, G.: Vegetation in karst terrain of southwestern China
34 allocates more biomass to roots, *Solid Earth*, 6, 799-810, doi: 10.5194/se-6-799-2015, 2015.

35 Peng, J. ,Xu, Y. Q. ,Zhang, R. ,Xiong, K. N. and Lan, A. J.: Soil erosion monitoring and its implication in a
36 limestone land suffering from rocky desertification in the Huajiang Canyon, Guizhou, Southwest China,
37 *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 69, 831-841, doi: 10.1007/s12665-012-1968-5, 2013.

38 Ruiz-Jaén, M. C. and Aide, T. M.: Vegetation structure, species diversity, and ecosystem processes as
39 measures of restoration success, *Forest Ecology and Management*, 218, 159-173, doi:
40 10.1016/j.foreco.2005.07.008, 2005.

1 Su, W. C.: Controlling model for rocky desertification of karst mountainous region and its preventing
2 strategy in Southwest China, *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 16, 29-32, 2002.

3 Su, W. C. and Zhu, W. X.: The eco-environmental fragility in karst mountain regions of Guizhou province,
4 *Journal of Mountain Science*, 18, 429-434, 2000.

5 Sunkar, A.: Deforestation And Rocky Desertification Processes In Gunung Sewu Karst Landscape (Proses
6 Deforestasi dan Rocky Desertification di Lanskap Karst Gunung Sewu), *Media Konservasi*, 13, 1-7, 2008.

7 Symeonakis, E. ,Karathanasis, N. ,Koukoulas, S. and Panagopoulos, G.: Monitoring sensitivity to land
8 degradation and desertification with the environmentally sensitive area index: The case of Iesvos island,
9 *Land Degradation & Development*, first published online, doi: 10.1002/ldr.2285, 2014.

10 Tang, Y. Q. ,Li, J. ,Zhang, X. H. ,Yang, P. ,Wang, J. X. and Zhou, N. Q.: Fractal characteristics and
11 stability of soil aggregates in karst rocky desertification areas, *Natural Hazards*, 65, 563-579, doi:
12 10.1007/s11069-012-0383-2, 2013.

13 The National Bureau of Statistics of China:Guizhou Statistical Yearbook-2014,China Statistics
14 Press,Beijing,China,2015.

15 The State Forestry Administration of the People's Republic of China:The Bulletin of rocky desertification
16 in China, Beijing,China,2012.

17 Thomas, D. ,Johannes, K. ,David, K. ,Rüdiger, G. and Ralf, K.: Impacts of management and climate change
18 on nitrate leaching in a forested karst area, *Journal of Environmental Management*, 165, 243-252, doi:
19 10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.09.039, 2016.

20 Torres, L. ,Abraham, E. M. ,Rubio, C. ,Barbero-Sierra, C. and Ruiz-Pérez, M.: Desertification Research in
21 Argentina, *Land Degradation & Development*, 26, 433-440, doi: 10.1002/ldr.2392, 2015.

22 Vieira, R. M. S. P. ,Tomasella, J. ,Alvalá, R. C. S. ,Sestini, M. F. ,Affonso, A. G. ,Rodriguez, D.
23 A. ,Barbosa, A. A. ,Cunha, A. P. M. A. ,Valles, G. F. ,Crepani, E. ,de Oliveira, S. B. P. ,de Souza, M. S.
24 B. ,Calil, P. M. ,de Carvalho, M. A. ,Valeriano, D. M. ,Campello, F. C. B. and Santana, M. O.: Identifying
25 areas susceptible to desertification in the Brazilian northeast, *Solid Earth*, 6, 347-360, doi: 10.5194/se-6-
26 347-2015, 2015.

27 Wang, C. C. ,Yang, Y. S. and Zhang, Y. Q.: Economic Development, Rural livelihoods, and Ecological
28 Restoration: Evidence from China, *AMBIO*, 40, 78-87, doi: 10.1007/s13280-010-0093-5, 2011.

29 Wang, S. J. ,Li, R. L. ,Sun, C. X. ,Zhang, D. F. ,Li, F. Q. ,Zhou, D. Q. ,Xiong, K. N. and Zhou, Z. F.: How
30 types of carbonate rock assemblages constrain the distribution of karst rocky desertified land in Guizhou
31 Province, PR China: phenomena and mechanisms, *Land Degradation & Development*, 15, 123-131, doi:
32 10.1002/ldr.591, 2004a.

33 Wang, S. J. ,Liu, Q. M. and Zhang, D. F.: Karst rocky desertification in southwestern China:
34 geomorphology, landuse, impact and rehabilitation, *Land Degradation & Development*, 15, 115-121, doi:
35 10.1002/ldr.592, 2004b.

36 Wang, S. J. and Li, Y. B.: Problems and development trends about researches on karst rocky desertification,
37 *Advances in Earth Science* □ 22, 573-582, 2007.

38 Williams, V. J.: A case study of the desertification of Haiti, *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 4, 20-31,
39 doi: 10.5539/jsd.v4n3p20, 2011.

40 Xiao, J. Y. ,Xiong, K. N. ,Luo, Y. and Liu, L. C.: Effect of Ecological Construction on Rural Households'

1 Economic Behavior in Rocky Desertification Area: Taking Huajiang demonstration area for example,
2 Guizhou Agricultural Sciences, 40, 187-191, 2012.

3 Xie, L. W. ,Zhong, J. ,Chen, F. F. ,Cao, F. X. ,Li, J. J. and Wu, L. C.: Evaluation of soil fertility in the
4 succession of karst rocky desertification using principal component analysis, Solid Earth, 6, 515-524, doi:
5 10.5194/se-6-515-2015, 2015.

6 Xu, E. Q. and Zhang, H. Q.: Characterization and interaction of driving factors in karst rocky
7 desertification: a case study from Changshun, China, Solid Earth, 5, 1329-1340, doi: 10.5194/se-5-1329-
8 2014, 2014.

9 Yan, X. and Cai, Y. L.: Multi-Scale Anthropogenic Driving Forces of Karst Rocky Desertification in
10 Southwest China, Land Degradation & Development, 26,193-200, doi: 10.1002/ldr.2209, 2015.

11 Yang, Q. Q. ,Wang, K. L. ,Zhang, C. H. ,Yue, Y. M. ,Tian, R. C. and Fan, F. D.: Spatio-temporal evolution
12 of rocky desertification and its driving forces in karst areas of Northwestern Guangxi, China,
13 Environmental Earth Sciences, 64, 383-393, doi: 10.1007/s12665-010-0861-3, 2011.

14 Yin, R. S. ,Liu, C. ,Zhao, M. J. ,Yao, S. B. and Liu, H.: The implementation and impacts of China's largest
15 payment for ecosystem services program as revealed by longitudinal household data, Land Use Policy, 40,
16 45-55, doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2014.03.002, 2014.

17 Young, T. P.: Restoration ecology and conservation biology, Biological Conservation, 92, 73-83, doi:
18 10.1016/S0006-3207(99)00057-9, 2000.

19 Yuan, D. X.:A study of significant environmental geological problems in Southwest Karst Areas of China,
20 Science Press, Beijing China, 2014.

21 Yuan, D. X.: Rock desertification in the subtropical karst of south China, Zeitschrift fur Geomorphologie,
22 108, 81-90, 1997.

23 Zhang, J. Y. ,Dai, M. H. ,Wang, L. C. ,Su, W. C. and Cao, L. G.: Plant Selection and Their Ecological
24 Adaptation for Rocky Desertification Control in Karst Region in the Southwest of China, Earth and
25 Environment, 43, 269-278, 2015.

26 Zhang, J. Y. ,Dai, M. H. ,Wang, L. C. and Su, W. C.: Rocky desertification control issues inthe context of
27 priority for ecological function in karst areas of southwest China, Cardiologica Sinica, 33, 464-472, 2014.

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

1 Table 1. The current state of RD and potential rocky desertification (PRD) in 2012 and the
 2 change of RD from 2005 to 2012 in Southwest China

Province	Guizhou	Yunnan	Guangxi	Hunan	Hubei	Chongqing	Sichuan	Guangdong
RD area /10 ⁴ ha	302.4	284	192.6	143.1	109.1	89.5	73.2	6.3
The proportion of RD area/%	25.2	23.7	16	11.9	9.1	7.5	6.1	0.5
PRD area /10 ⁴ ha	325.6	237.8	229.4	177.1	156.4	87.1	76.9	41.5
The proportion of RD area/%	24.5	17.9	17.2	13.3	11.7	6.5	5.8	3.1
The change of RD area/10 ⁴ ha	-29.23	-4.16	-45.29	-4.81	-3.4	-3.04	-4.31	-1.76
The change ratio of RD area/%	-8.82	-1.44	-19.03	-3.26	-3.26	-3.28	-5.56	-21.57

3

4 Table 2. The current state of socio-economic development and rocky desertification (RD) in
 5 the main karst provinces in Southwest China in 2012.

District	RD area/10 ⁴ ha	Per capita cultivated land in rural/ ha	Per capita GDP/RMB	Economic growth rate /%	The proportion of primary industry/%	The ratio of dependence upon foreign trade /%
Guizhou	302.4	0.079	19608	13.6	13	7.62
Yunnan	284	0.107	22263	13	16	15.9
Guangxi	192.6	0.091	27952	11.3	16.7	15.76
China	1200.2	0.156	38354	7.7	10.1	50.57

6

7

8

9

10

11


12

1



2

3 Figure 1. Karst rocky desertification in the karst area of Southwest China. Left: Site **Pingguo**
 4 **county,Guangxi Province. Right: Site Dafang county,Guizhou Province.**




5

6 **Figure 2. The main provinces of karst rocky desertification in Southwest China.**



1
 2 Figure 3. The peasant cultivated in steep slopes in the karst area of Southwest China. Left: A
 3 peasant cultivating on steep slopes in a karst area in Southwest China, **Site Puding**
 4 **county,Guizhou Province**. Right: Rice grows in the center and corn on the surrounding
 5 slopes,**Site Pingtang county,Guizhou Province**.



7
 8 Figure 4. Multi-scale feedback relationship between land use and the ecosystem in the karst
 9 areas of Southwest China