



# Precise age for the Permian-Triassic boundary in South China from high precision U-Pb geochronology and Bayesian age-depth modelling

4 Björn Baresel<sup>1</sup>, Hugo Bucher<sup>2</sup>, Morgane Brosse<sup>2</sup>, Fabrice Cordey<sup>3</sup>, Kuang Guodun<sup>4</sup>, Urs Schaltegger<sup>1</sup>

<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, University of Geneva, Geneva, 1205, Switzerland

6 <sup>2</sup>Paleontological Institute and Museum, University of Zurich, Zurich, 8006, Switzerland

7 <sup>3</sup>Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, CNRS-UMR 5265, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, 69622, France

<sup>8</sup> <sup>4</sup>Guangxi Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources, Nanning, 530023, China

9 Correspondence to: Björn Baresel (bjorn.baresel@unige.ch)

10 Abstract. This study is based on zircon U-Pb ages of 12 volcanic ash layers and volcanogenic sandstones from two marine 11 sections with conformable formational Permian-Triassic boundaries (PTB) in the Nanpanjiang Basin (South China). Our 12 dates of single, thermally annealed and chemically abraded zircons bracket the PTB in Dongpan and Penglaitan and provide the basis for a first proof-of-concept study utilizing a Bayesian chronology model comparing the three sections of Dongpan, 13 Penglaitan and the Global Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) Meishan. Our Bayesian modeling demonstrates that the 14 15 formational boundaries in Dongpan (251.938  $\pm$  0.029 Ma), Penglaitan (251.982  $\pm$  0.031 Ma) and Meishan (251.956  $\pm$  0.033 Ma) are synchronous within analytical uncertainty of ca. 30 ka. It also provides quantitative evidence that the ages of the 16 17 paleontologically defined boundaries, based on conodont Unitary Associations zones in Meishan and on macrofaunas in Dongpan, are identical and coincide with the age of the formational boundaries. The age model also confirms the extreme 18 19 condensation around the PTB in Meishan, which distorts the projection of any stratigraphic points or intervals onto other 20 more expanded sections by means of Bayesian age-depth models. Dongpan and Penglaitan possess significantly higher sedimentation rates and thus offer a greater potential for high resolution studies of environmental proxies and correlations 21 22 around the PTB than Meishan. This study highlights the power of high-resolution radio-isotopic ages that allow a robust 23 intercalibration of patterns of biotic changes and fluctuating environmental proxies and will help recognizing their global, 24 regional or local significance.

# 25 1 Introduction

The Permian-Triassic boundary mass extinction (PTBME) is considered as the largest mass extinction within the Phanerozoic. About 90 % of all marine species suffered extinction (Raup, 1979; Stanley and Yang, 1994; Erwin et al., 2002; Alroy et al., 2008) and terrestrial plant communities underwent major ecological reorganisation (Hochuli et al., 2010). This major caesura in global biodiversity marked the end of the Palaeozoic faunas and the inception of the modern marine and terrestrial ecosystems (e.g., Benton, 2010; Van Valen, 1984). Several kill mechanisms has been proposed, such as global





regression (e.g., Erwin 1990; Yin et al., 2014), marine anoxia (e.g., Feng and Algeo, 2014), ocean acidification (e.g., Payne 31 32 et al., 2010) or a combination thereof. Rapid global warming (e.g., Svensen et al., 2009), high nutrient fluxes from continent 33 into oceans (Winguth and Winguth, 2012) and increased sedimentation rates (Algeo and Twitchett, 2010) also came into the 34 play, but their respective relations with the global regression near the PTB and the main extinction peak at the PTB remain unclear. In spite of the rapidly growing amount of data, the detailed timing of available diversity estimates and 35 environmental proxies is still lacking, and the ultimate triggers of the PTBME remain elusive. The most likely cause derives 36 37 from the temporal coincidence with plume-induced massive volcanism of the Siberian Traps (e.g., Burgess and Bowring, 2015) that injected excessive amounts of volatiles (H2O, CO2, SO2, H2S) into the atmosphere. Accompanying 38 39 destabilization of gas hydrates (CH<sub>4</sub>) and contact metamorphism of organic carbon-rich sediments (Retallack and Jahren, 40 2008; Svensen et al., 2009) are likely to have contributed additional volatiles into the atmosphere, thus deeply altering the 41 climate and the chemical composition of the ocean. This presumably close chronological association has led many authors to 42 support a cause-effect relationship between flood basalt volcanism and mass extinctions. Constraining the timing and 43 duration of the PTBME in a precisely and accurately quantified model that combines relative (i.e., biostratigraphy, 44 environmental changes) and sequences of absolute (zircon geochronology) ages is key to reveal the cascading causes and 45 effects connecting rapid environmental perturbations to biological responses.

46 The South China block provides a few exceptional marine successions with a continuous stratigraphic record across the PTB (e.g., Yin et al., 2014). Among these is the Global Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) in Meishan D (Yin et al., 2001), 47 where the PTB is defined by the first occurrence (FO) of the Triassic conodont Hindeodus parvus. Additionally, these South 48 Chinese sections reflect intense regional volcanic activity during Late Permian and Early Triassic times as manifested by 49 many intercalated zircon-bearing ash beds (Burgess et al., 2014; Galfetti et al., 2007; Lehrmann et al., 2015; Shen et al., 50 51 2011). High-precision U-Pb zircon geochronology can be applied to these ash beds by assuming that the age of zircon 52 crystallization closely approximates the age of the volcanic eruption and ash deposition. Earliest U-Pb geochronological studies (e.g., Bowring et al., 1998; Mundil et al., 2004; Ovtcharova et al., 2006; Shen et al., 2011) are not sufficiently precise 53 for the calibration of magmatic and biological events. Recent improvements of the U-Pb dating technique by the 54 55 development of the chemical abrasion-isotope dilution-thermal ionization mass spectrometry (CA-ID-TIMS; Mattinson, 2005), by the revision of the natural U isotopic composition (Hiess et al., 2012), by the development of data reduction 56 software (Bowring et al., 2011; McLean et al., 2011) and by the calibration of the EARTHTIME <sup>202</sup>Pb-<sup>205</sup>Pb-<sup>233</sup>U-<sup>235</sup>U tracer 57 solution (Condon et al., 2015) now provide more accurate weighted mean zircon population dates at the <80 ka level 58 59 (external uncertainty) for a PTB age, which allow for more precise calibration between biotic and geologic events during 60 mass extinctions and recoveries. Two of the cases benefiting from this improved technique is the highly condensed GSSP 61 defining the PTB at Meishan (Burgess et al., 2014) and the Early-Middle Triassic boundary in Monggan (Ovtcharova et al., 62 2015).

63 The aim of this work is 1) to date the PTB in two sedimentary sections that are continuous and significantly more expanded 64 than Meishan, using the highly precise and accurate dating technique of CA-ID-TIMS, and 2) to test the age consistency





between the PTB as defined paleontologically in Meishan and as recognized by conformable formational boundaries in the deeper water sections, Dongpan and Penglaitan. Our high-precision dates provide a future test for the synchronicity of conodont biozones, chemostratigraphic correlations, and other proxies involved in the study of the PTBME. Moreover, applying Bayesian age modeling (Haslett and Parnell, 2008) based on these high-precision data sets allows us to detect sedimentary gaps and variations in sedimentation rate, and to directly compare other proxy data across different PTB sections, inclusive of the Meishan GSSP.

Our data demonstrate that the PTB, as recognized in our sections by conformable boundaries between late Permian and basal Triassic formations, is synchronous within analytical uncertainty of ca. 30 ka. We also show that Bayesian age models produce reproducible results from different sections, even though U-Pb datasets originate from different laboratories. We construct a coherent age model for the PTB in Dongpan and Penglaitan, which is also in agreement with the PTB age model from Meishan (Burgess et al., 2014). These results further demonstrate that <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U dates produced in two different laboratories using the EARTHTIME tracer solution provide reproducible age information at the 0.05 % level of uncertainty.

#### 77 2 Geological setting

#### 78 2.1 Regional context

79 The newly investigated volcanic ash beds were sampled from two PTB sections: Dongpan in southwestern Guangxi Province 80 and Penglaitan in central Guangxi Province in South China (Fig. 1A, exact sample locations are given in Appendix A). Both 81 sections are within the Nanpanjiang Basin (Lehrmann et al., 2015), a Late Permian-Early Triassic pull-apart basin in a back 82 arc context located on the present day southern edge of the South China block. This deep-marine embayment occupied an equatorial position in the Eastern Paleo-Tethys Ocean (e.g., Golonka and Ford, 2000; Lehrmann et al., 2003; Fig. 1B). The 83 84 basin was dominated by a mixed carbonate-siliciclastic regime during Permian and Early Triassic times and underwent a major change to a flysch dominated regime in later Triassic times (e.g., Galfetti et al., 2008; Lehrmann et al, 2007). Dm-to-m 85 86 thick beds of mixed volcanic and clastic material as well as mm-to-cm thick volcanic ash beds are locally abundant and 87 especially well preserved in down-thrown blocks recording deep water records in low energy environments and to a lesser degree, on up-thrown blocks recording shallow water to outer platform settings. Volcanic ash beds are, however, usually not 88 89 preserved in traction-dominated slope deposits. Genetically related volcanic rocks crop out in the south western part of the 90 basin towards Vietnam, suggesting the proximity of a volcanic arc related to the convergence between Indochina and South China (Faure et al., 2016). The volcanism produced by this convergence is the most likely source of the analyzed volcanic 91 92 ashes (Gao et al., 2013).

93 In Dongpan and Penglaitan, the PTB is manifested by a sharp and conformable transition from the late Permian Dalong Fm.

94 (= Talung Fm.) to the basal Triassic Ziyun Fm. Late Permian rocks in these two sections are classically assigned to the

95 Dalong Fm. of Changhsingian age. However, we note that there are substantial facies differences between these two late

96 Permian records. The Dalong Fm. in Dongpan is composed of thin-bedded siliceous mudstones, numerous ash layers and





minor limestone beds (Fig. 2). This facies association is in agreement with the vast majority of reported occurrences of this 97 98 formation within the South China block. The Dalong Fm. is interpreted as a basinal depositional environment with restricted 99 circulation and an estimated water depth of 200 m to 500 m (He et al., 2007; Yin et al., 2007). In Guangxi and Guizhou, the 100 thickness of the typical Dalong Fm, is highly variable and ranges from a couple of meters to ca. 60 m. Rocks assigned to the 101 Dalong Fm. in Penglaitan markedly diverge from those of the typical Dalong Fm. In Penglaitan, rocks assigned to Dalong Fm. reach an unusual thickness of ca. 650 m and are lithologically much more heterogeneous, with a marked regressive 102 103 episode in its middle part (Shen et al., 2007). Moreover, in Penglaitan the Dalong Fm. contains numerous volcanogenic 104 sandstones distributed within the entire succession, a distinctive feature when compared to other sections. Only the lower 105 part of the "Dalong Fm." in Penglaitan can be unambiguously assigned to this formation. The middle and upper part of this 106 section are notably shallower, showing cross bedding and ripple marks in the uppermost 30 m of the Permian, which are 107 underlain by upper shoreface to foreshore facies deposits containing coal seams and abundant plant fossils (Shen et al., 108 2007). This disparate depositional setting is here interpreted as that of a fault-bounded block successively thrown down and 109 up. Hence, Penglaitan stands in marked contrast with the homogenous deeper water facies of the typical Dalong Fm. in other 110 sections. In Penglaitan, the topmost few meters of the Permian Dalong Fm. comprise thin bedded dark grey limestone 111 intercalated with thick volcanogenic sandstones and thin volcanic ash beds (Fig. 3).

112 The conformably overlying Early Triassic rocks have been previously assigned to the Luolou Fm. in both Penglaitan and Dongpan (Feng et al., 2007; He et al., 2007; Shen et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2006). At its type locality and elsewhere in 113 northwestern Guangxi and southern Guizhou, the base of the Luolou Fm. is invariably represented by shallow water 114 microbial limestone. In contrast, the onset of the Triassic at Dongpan and Penglaitan is represented by ca. 30 m of laminated 115 black shales overlain by several hundred meters of thin bedded, mechanically laminated, medium to light grey limestone. In 116 117 Dongpan, edgewise conglomerates and breccias are occasionally intercalated within the platy, thin bedded limestone unit. 118 This succession of facies illustrates a change from basinal to slope depositional environments and is identical to that of the Ziyun Fm. at its type locality 3 km East of Ziyun County city, Guizhou Province (Guizhou Bureau of Geology and Mineral 119 Resources, 1987). Therefore, Early Triassic rocks in Dongpan and Penglaitan are here reassigned to the Ziyun Fm., whose 120 121 base is of Griesbachian age. In most sections in Guangxi and Guizhou, where latest Permian rocks are represented by the 122 Dalong Fm., these are consistently and conformably overlain by basal black shales of the Early Triassic Zivun Fm. or Dave 123 Fm. (e.g., Feng et al., 2015). In these downthrown blocks, the effects of the Permian-Triassic global regression were comparatively negligible in comparison to those observed in adjacent, up-thrown blocks that recorded pronounced 124 125 unconformities or condensation..

#### 126 2.2 The Dongpan section

Numerous litho-, bio- and chemo-stratigraphic studies (e.g., Feng et al., 2007; He et al., 2007; Luo et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2006) have been published on the Dongpan section during the last two decades. However, the volcanic ash beds of this continuous PTB section have never been dated. The classic lithostratigraphic subdivisions of the Dongpan section (bed 2 to





130 13; indicated on Fig. 2) (Meng et al., 2002) can easily be recognized in the field. Based on the conodont alteration index (CAI), Luo et al. (2011) established that the section shows only a low to moderate thermal overprint equivalent to a maximal burial temperature of 120°C. Our own estimation of the CAI of conodont elements obtained from the same beds points toward values around 3, thus confirming the estimation of Luo et al. (2011).

- Beds 2 to 5 consist of thin (dm to cm) siliceous mudstones, mudstones, minor lenticular limestone horizons and numerous intercalated volcanic ash beds. These beds yield radiolarians, foraminifera (Shang et al., 2003), bivalves (Yin, 1985), ammonoids (Zhao et al., 1978), brachiopods (He et al., 2005), ostracods (Yuan et al., 2007), and conodonts (Luo et al., 2008) of Changhsingian age. Chinese authors have provided very detailed studies of radiolarian occurrences from the top of the Dongpan section, documenting about 160 species belonging to 50 genera (Feng et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2006). Most of these radiolarians belong to the *Neoalbaillella optima* assemblage zone of Late Changhsingian age (Feng and
- Algeo, 2014), although it is unclear if some of the Permian taxa reported from the top of the section by previous authors (i.e.
- 141 above bed 6, Feng et al., 2007) still belong to this assemblage or to a provisional ultimate Permian biozone (Xia et al., 2004).
- We collected five samples with visible radiolarians (DGP-1 to DGP-5, see Fig. 2) for this study. Our goal was not to duplicate the detailed faunal studies performed at Dongpan by previous authors, but essentially was to correlate these previous results with our U-Pb ages using own radiolarian data. A selection of well-preserved taxa is illustrated in Appendix
- 145 C. We also report the occurrence of morphotypes belonging to the genus *Hegleria* which was previously reported from the
- 146 section but not illustrated. Our data confirm that radiolarians of the Dongpan section belong to the *Neoalbaillella optima* 147 assemblage zone.
- 148 The conodont fauna obtained from beds 3 and 5 was assigned to the *Neogondolella yini* interval zone by Luo et al. (2008).
  149 *Neogondolella yini* is also a characteristic species of the UAZ1 zone, which is the oldest zone of a new high accuracy
- 150 zonation around the PTB constructed by means of unitary associations (Brosse et al., 2016). Bed 6 is composed of a yellow
- 151 fine-grained volcanic ash bed and thin-bedded siliceous mudstone. Beds 7 to 12 contain more frequent mudstone and yield a
- diverse Permian fauna (Feng et al., 2007; He et al., 2007; Yin et al., 2007). Additionally, He et al. (2007) showed that end-Permian brachiopods underwent a size reduction in the upper-most beds of the Dalong Fm., which they linked with a
- 154 regressive trend.
- The sharp and conformable base (bed 13) of the Early Triassic Ziyun Fm. consists of brown-weathering black shales containing a few very thin (mm-to-cm) volcanic ash beds and volcanogenic sandstones. Previous studies did not recognize how recent weathering superficially altered these black shales. Bed 13 contains abundant bivalves and ammonoids of Griesbachian age (Feng et al., 2007; He et al., 2007), which are also known from other sections where the equivalent black shales are not weathered. Therefore, the formational boundary placed between beds 12 and 13 is reasonably well constrained in terms of paleontological ages. Even in the absence of any close conodont age control, this boundary has been unanimously acknowledged as the PTB in all previous contributions, thus emphasizing the significance of this formational change.
  - 5





#### 162 2.3 The Penglaitan section

The Penglaitan section is well known for its Guadalupian-Lopingian boundary (Capitanian-Wuchiapingian GSSP; Jin et al., 163 164 2006; Shen et al., 2007). However, the part of the section that straddles the PTB has not been the focus of any detailed published work. Shen et al. (2007) report Changhsingian Peltichia zigzag-Paryphella brachiopod assemblage from a 165 166 volcanogenic sandstone bed at ~28 m below the PTB. In addition, Palaeofusulina sinensis is abundant in the uppermost 167 limestone units of the Dalong Fm. and conodonts in the topmost part were assigned to the Clarkina vini Zone. A poorly preserved Permian nautiloid was recovered from the volcanogenic sandstone 1.3 m below the PTB (Fig. 3). About 0.3 m 168 169 above the PTB, concretionary, thin-bedded micritic layers intercalated within the basal black shales of the Ziyun Fm. yielded 170 one P1 element of Hindeodus parvus (Fig. 3). Pending the age confirmation of new paleontological data, and in full agreement with Shen et al. (2007), we place the PTB at this sharp but conformable formational boundary. 171

## 172 **3 Methods**

# 173 3.1 CA-ID-TIMS analysis

Sample preparation, chemical processing and U-Pb CA-ID-TIMS zircon analyses were carried out at the University of 174 Geneva. Single zircon grain dates were produced relative to the EARTHTIME <sup>202</sup>Pb-<sup>205</sup>Pb-<sup>233</sup>U-<sup>235</sup>U tracer solution (Condon 175 et al., 2015). All uncertainties associated with weighted mean <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U ages are reported at the 95 % confidence level and 176 given as  $\pm x/y/z$ , with x as analytical uncertainty, y including tracer calibration uncertainty, and z including <sup>238</sup>U decay 177 178 constant uncertainty. The tracer calibration uncertainty (y) of 0.03 % ( $2\sigma$ ) has to be added if the calculated dates are to be compared with other U-Pb laboratories not using the EARTHTIME tracer solution. The <sup>238</sup>U decay constant uncertainty (z) 179 of 0.11 % (2 $\sigma$ ) should be used if compared with other chronometers such as Ar-Ar. All <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U single grain ages have 180 been corrected for initial <sup>230</sup>Th-<sup>238</sup>U disequilibrium assuming Th/U<sub>magma</sub> of  $3.00 \pm 0.50$  (1 $\sigma$ ). Th-corrected <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U dates 181 182 are on average 80 ka older than the equivalent uncorrected dates when applying this correction and are presented as mean 183 ages of selected zircon populations and their associated ±2-sigma uncertainties in Figs. 2 and 3, and as single grain <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U age ranked distribution plots in Fig. 4. The full data table and analytical details are given in Appendix B. 184

### 185 3.2 Bayesian chronology

In this study we use Bayesian interpolation statistics to establish a probabilistic age model based on our high-precision U-Pb zircon dates of each individual ash bed and its stratigraphic position, as it is incorporated in the free Bchron R software package (Haslett and Parnell, 2008; Parnell et al., 2008) to constrain the chronological sequence and sedimentation history of the investigated sections. By assuming normal distribution of our U-Pb dates within one sample, and based on the principle of stratigraphic superposition, which requires that any stratigraphic point must be younger than any point situated below in the stratigraphic sequence, it models the age and its associated 95 % confidence interval for any depth point within the studied sedimentary sequence. The model is based on the assumption of constant sedimentation rates, which is allowed to





change several times between each dated stratigraphic point. The number of such changes is modeled by a Poisson 193 194 distribution, and the size of the sedimentation rates by a gamma distribution. The strength of this approach is its flexibility 195 that allows changes in sedimentation rate from zero (hiatus in sedimentation) to very large values (sedimentation event at 196 high rate). In contrast to standard linear regression models, this approach leads to more realistic uncertainty estimates, with 197 increasing uncertainty at growing stratigraphic distance from the dated layers. The model also detects and excludes outliers, which conflict with other evidence from the same sequence in order to produce a coherent and self-consistent chronology; no 198 199 predefined outlier determination is required from the user. One of the drawbacks of this Bayesian approach is that a change 200 in the sedimentation rate is assumed to occur at each dated stratigraphic position, though it is unlikely that the change in 201 sedimentation occurs exactly at the depth of a dated bed. Another drawback is that the sedimentation parameters are shared 202 across the whole sequence. In consequence, Bchron does not allow much opportunity for users to individually influence the 203 chronology behaviour.

204 In this study we use the Bayesian Bchron model as it is part of the Bchron package (http://cran.r-205 project.org/web/packages/Bchron/index.html). This model outperforms other Bayesian age depth-models, as shown by a 206 extensive comparison conducted on radiocarbon dates from Holocene lake sediments (Parnell et al., 2011). It provides a nonparametric chronological model according to the Compound Poisson-Gamma model defined by Haslett and Parnell (2008), 207 requiring the weighted mean <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U age, the stratigraphic position and the thickness of the investigated ash beds as input 208 parameters. The technical details were given in Haslett and Parnell (2008). The Bchron model uses a Markov Chain Monte 209 210 Carlo (Brooks et al., 2011) rejection algorithm which proposes model parameters and accepts or rejects them in order to produce probability distributions of dates for a given depth that match likelihood and do not violate the principle of 211 212 stratigraphic superposition. In order to create an adequate number of accepted samples, the model was run for 10,000 213 iterations.

#### 214 4 Samples

In total, 12 volcanic ash beds and volcanogenic sandstones were sampled from the Dalong Fm. of Late Permian age and from the overlying Ziyun Fm. of Early Triassic age at Dongpan and Penglaitan (see Appendix A). Most of the dated samples exhibit <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U age dispersions that exceed the acceptable scatter from analytical uncertainty and are interpreted as reflecting magmatic residence or a combination of the latter with sedimentary recycling. Only in two cases (DGP-16, PEN-22) we find single grain analyses younger than our suggested mean age and interpret them as unresolved Pb loss since they strongly violate the stratigraphic order established by the chronology of the volcanic ash beds.

- 221 At Dongpan, six fine- to medium-grained volcanic ash beds (DGP-10, DGP-11, DGP-12, DGP-13, DGP-16 and DGP-17) in
- the upper-most 10 m of the Dalong Fm., one fine-grained ash bed (DGP-21) just 10 cm above the base of the Ziyun Fm., and
- 223 one thin-bedded volcanogenic sandstone (DGP-18) 40 cm stratigraphically higher were collected for geochronology. At
- 224 Penglaitan, the basal part of a 25 cm thick volcanogenic sandstone (PEN-6), one thin-layered volcanic ash bed (PEN-70) and
- the base of a 30 cm thick volcanogenic sandstone (PEN-28), all together representing the upper-most 1.1 m of the Dalong





Fm., were dated. A single fine-grained and extremely thin (2-3 mm) volcanic ash bed (PEN-22) was sampled 50 cm above the base of the Ziyun Fm. and thus closely brackets the formational boundary. U-Pb CA-ID-TIMS geochronology following procedures described above and in the appendix was applied to a number of single crystals of zircon extracted from these volcanic ash beds. Trace element and Hf isotopic compositions of these dated zircons will be presented elsewhere. Stratigraphic positions of volcanic ash beds at Dongpan and Penglaitan and weighted mean <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U dates of individual zircon grains for the samples below are given in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.

## 232 5 Results

The U-Pb isotopic results are presented in Fig. 4 as  ${}^{206}$ Pb/ ${}^{238}$ U age ranked plots for each individual sample, and in Table B1 (Appendix).

# 235 5.1 U-Pb age determinations from the Dongpan section

# 236 5.1.1 Sample DGP-10

237 This volcanic ash bed was sampled 9.7 m below the formational boundary. All ten dated zircons are concordant within

analytical error, where the seven youngest grains define a cluster with a weighted mean  ${}^{206}\text{Pb}/{}^{238}\text{U}$  age of 252.170 ± 0.055/0.085/0.28 Ma (mean square of weighted deviates [MSWD] = 1.18) for the deposition of DGP-10.

#### 240 5.1.2 Sample DGP-11

This volcanic ash bed was sampled 7.9 m below the formational boundary. Eleven zircon crystals were analyzed, resulting in scattered  ${}^{206}Pb/{}^{238}U$  dates of 251.662 ± 0.263 Ma to 252.915 ± 0.352 Ma. The six youngest zircons yield a weighted mean  ${}^{206}Pb/{}^{238}U$  age of 251.924 ± 0.095/0.12/0.29 Ma (MSWD = 1.80) that is too young with respect to the stratigraphic sequence defined by over- and underlying ash beds. Therefore, we have to assume that abundant unresolved lead loss affected these zircons, despite application of the same chemical abrasion procedure as for all other samples. It is worth noting that all zircons from DGP-11 were almost completely dissolved after chemical abrasion and show elevated  ${}^{206}Pb/{}^{238}U$  age uncertainties of ~0.30 Ma compared to other volcanic ash beds from Dongpan.

# 248 5.1.3 Sample DGP-12

This volcanic ash bed was sampled 7.3 m below the formational boundary. The weighted mean age of  $252.121 \pm 0.035/0.074/0.28$  Ma (MSWD = 1.04) is derived from eight concordant grains representing the youngest zircon population of this ash bed.





# 252 5.1.4 Sample DGP-13

This volcanic ash bed was sampled 6.4 m below the formational boundary. Analyses of seven individual zircons yield a statistically significant cluster with a weighted mean  ${}^{206}$ Pb/ ${}^{238}$ U age of 251.101 ± 0.037/0.075/0.28 Ma (MSWD = 0.67) representing the youngest zircon population of this ash bed.

#### 256 5.1.5 Sample DGP-16

257 This volcanic ash bed was sampled 3.2 m below the formational boundary. Nine zircons yield a weighted mean  $^{206}Pb/^{238}U$ 

age of  $251.978 \pm 0.039/0.076/0.28$  Ma (MSWD = 0.66). The youngest grain shows unresolved lead loss and was discarded

because it strongly violates the stratigraphic superposition. Incorporating this zircon into the mean age calculation would also lead to a statistically flawed MSWD of 4.80.

#### 261 5.1.6 Sample DGP-17

This volcanic ash bed was sampled 2.7 m below the formational boundary. A total of 11 zircons define a weighted mean  $^{206}Pb/^{238}U$  age of 251.956 ± 0.033/0.073/0.28 Ma (MSWD = 0.96). One single zircon displays inheritance with an  $^{206}Pb/^{238}U$ age of 252.896 ± 0.108 Ma and was consequently excluded from the weighted mean age calculation.

#### 265 5.1.7 Sample DGP-21

This volcanic ash bed was sampled 0.1 m above the formational boundary. 14 zircons were dated, among which the eight youngest yield a cluster with a weighted mean  ${}^{206}Pb/{}^{238}U$  age of 251.953 ± 0.038/0.075/0.28 Ma (MSWD = 0.26). The six oldest grains display an inherited component as suggested by their scattered  ${}^{206}Pb/{}^{238}U$  dates ranging from 252.145 ± 0.120 Ma to 252.715 ± 0.084 Ma. The U-Pb data of DGP-21 was already published in a companion study (Baresel et al., in press) that deals with the stratigraphic correlation of ash beds straddling the PTB in deep- and shallow-marine successions of the Nanpanjiang Basin.

#### 272 5.1.8 Sample DGP-18

This bed was sampled 0.5 m above the formational boundary. The re-sedimented nature of this volcaniclastic bed is reflected in the  ${}^{206}$ Pb/ ${}^{238}$ U zircon ages ranging from 252.559 ± 0.261 Ma to 257.274 ± 0.689 Ma. This sample was excluded from the age-depth model, because it clearly violates the stratigraphic superposition.

#### 276 5.2 U-Pb age determinations from the Penglaitan section

## 277 5.2.1 Sample PEN-6

PEN-6 comes from the base of a volcanogenic sandstone. It was sampled 1.1 m below the formational boundary. Fifteen zircon grains were dated. The three youngest grains define a weighted mean  ${}^{206}$ Pb/ ${}^{238}$ U age of 251.137 ± 0.082/0.11/0.29 Ma





(MSWD = 0.13). Because zircon dates from this bed spread over almost 2 Ma, recycling of older volcanic material via sedimentary processes appears more likely than via magmatic recycling.

#### 282 5.2.2 Sample PEN-70

This volcanic ash bed was sampled 0.6 m below the formational boundary. Eighteen zircon grains were analyzed. As in the case of PEN-6, they yield a scatter of  $^{206}$ Pb/ $^{238}$ U dates spanning 1.5 Ma, ranging from 251.994 ± 0.169 Ma to 253.371 ± 0.165 Ma. The weighted mean age of 252.125 ± 0.069/0.095/0.29 Ma (MSWD = 0.59) for the deposition of this ash bed is calculated by using the seven youngest concordant grains.

#### 287 5.2.3 Sample PEN-28

This sample was taken 0.3 m below the formational boundary. It is derived from the base of a 30 cm thick volcanogenic sandstone which represents the youngest Permian bed in Penglaitan. Analyses of seven zircon grains yield a cluster with a weighted mean  ${}^{206}$ Pb/ ${}^{238}$ U age of 252.062 ± 0.043/0.078/0.28 Ma (MSWD = 0.49), reflecting the last crystallization phase of this zircon population. Six older grains ranging from 252.364 ± 0.156 Ma to 253.090 ± 0.375 Ma indicate either magmatic or sedimentary recycling. The U-Pb data of PEN-28 was already published in Baresel et al. (in press).

#### 293 5.2.4 Sample PEN-22

This 2 mm thick volcanic ash bed was sampled 0.5 m above the formational boundary. Eight zircons yield a weighted mean  $^{206}Pb/^{238}U$  age of 251.907 ± 0.033/0.073/0.28 Ma (MSWD = 0.10). One zircon grain shows a significantly younger age suggesting lead loss. Two slightly older grains reflect noticeable pre-eruptive crystallization. Incorporation of these grains into the weighted mean calculation would lead to an excessive MSWD of 3.6 and 1.9, respectively.

However, we noticed that some volcanic ash beds and volcanogenic sandstones in these sections show a large age dispersion of up to 2 Ma, incompatible with recycling of zircon that previously crystallized within the same magmatic system and became recycled into later melt batches, leading to few 100 ka dispersion of dates (e.g., Broderick et al., 2015; Samperton et al., 2015), but pointing to sedimentary reworking. The U-Pb data of PEN-22 was already published in Baresel et al. (in press).

#### 303 5.3 Age-depth models

Figure 5 shows a comparison of three different age-depth models based on linear interpolation, cubic spline interpolation and Bayesian statistics, each applied to exactly the same U-Pb dataset of Dongpan (Fig. 5a) and Penglaitan (Fig. 5b). It is visible that, the Bayesian Bchron model produces a slightly increased uncertainty of the model age with increasing distance from the stratigraphic position of a U-Pb dated sample (as discussed in the Methods' section). Due to the well constrained U-Pb dates of Dongpan and Penglaitan, all three age-depth models predict (within uncertainty) similar ages for the PTB in Dongpan (Fig. 5a) and Penglaitan (Fig. 5b). Given that the Bayesian Bchron model evaluates the age probability distribution





of each U-Pb date with respect to the other dates of the sequence, it provides a more robust and better constrained 310 chronology, which even results in smaller uncertainties of the predicted model dates compared to the standard linear 311 312 regression models (as indicated by the smaller uncertainty of the Bchron model age for the PTB in Dongpan and Penglaitan). 313 In contrast to the other two models, the Bayesian Bchron model can identify U-Pb dates that violate the principle of stratigraphic superposition, as shown for the Dongpan ash beds DGP-11 (outlier probability of 67 %) and DGP-18 (outlier 314 probability of 100 %). Including them into the age-depth chronology of Dongpan results in unrealistic negative 315 316 sedimentation rates, as reflected by the linear and cubic interpolation models for the interval between DGP-11 and DGP-12. 317 and for the interval between DGP-21 and DGP-18 (Fig. 5a).

318 The aim for applying Bayesian age modelling to the dated volcanogenic beds from these two sections was to obtain an age model for the PTB. The age-depth models yield ages of  $251.938 \pm 0.029$  Ma (Dongpan; Fig. 2; Fig. 5a) and of  $251.982 \pm$ 319 320 0.031 Ma (Penglaitan; Fig. 3; Fig. 5b) for the lithological boundary between the Dalong and Ziyun Fm. in both sections. 321 These two ages overlap within uncertainties and thus demonstrate the synchronicity of the PTB in the two sections. Making the reasonable assumption of absence of significant gaps in these two sections, the new U-Pb dates can be used to infer 322 323 sedimentation rates. The age-depth model of Dongpan suggests increased sedimentation rates in the upper-most part of the Dalong Fm. from bed 6 (DGP-17) upwards. Below bed 6, calculated sedimentation rates appear to be relatively constant 324 with  $3.6 \pm 1.2$  cm ka<sup>-1</sup>, but above bed 6 they jump to  $6.0 \pm 2.4$  cm ka<sup>-1</sup>. In Penglaitan, the sedimentation rate of the upper-325 most Dalong Fm. and basal-most Ziyun Fm. is significantly lower than in Dongpan with  $0.7 \pm 0.3$  cm ka<sup>-1</sup>. Previously 326 published U-Pb zircon geochronology from Penglaitan (Shen et al., 2011), including a weighted mean date of  $252.16 \pm 0.09$ 327 Ma from a volcanogenic sandstone at 26.7 m below the PTB, was not considered in our age model, since substantial 328 329 improvements in the analytical protocol hamper comparing these dates with our U-Pb results.

## 330 6 Comparison of the Dongpan and Penglaitan sections with Meishan GSSP Results

# 331 6.1 The change of the PTB age through analytical improvement of U-Pb dating

332 The first geochronological studies in the GSSP Meishan D have been carried out on bed 25, immediately underlying the PTB, by U-Pb sensitive high-resolution ion microprobe (SHRIMP) analysis of zircons vielding a  $^{206}$ Pb/ $^{238}$ U age of 251.2 ± 333 3.4 Ma (Claoué-Long et al., 1991) and by  ${}^{40}$ Ar/ ${}^{39}$ Ar dating of sanidine at 249.91 ± 0.15 Ma (Renne et al., 1995). However, 334 these dates are either not sufficiently precise to allow calibrating magmatic and biological timescales at resolution adequate 335 for both groups of processes, or are biased by a systematic age offset between the U-Pb and Ar-Ar systems of  $\sim 1.0$  % 336 (Schoene et al., 2006). In order to properly compare the two systems, all older  ${}^{40}Ar/{}^{39}Ar$  data have to be corrected for the 337 revised age of the standard Fish Canyon sanidine of 28.201 ± 0.046 Ma (Kuiper et al., 2008) and the decay constant 338 uncertainty has to be added to U-Pb and Ar-Ar ages which would drastically expand the  ${}^{40}Ar/{}^{39}Ar$  age error and recalculate 339 the  ${}^{40}$ Ar/ ${}^{39}$ Ar age of Renne et al. (1995) to 252.1 ± 1.6 Ma. In a first detailed ID-TIMS study, U-Pb ages of mechanically 340 abraded zircons were published by Bowring et al. (1998) for six volcanic ash beds at Meishan, placing the PTB at  $251.4 \pm$ 341





0.3 Ma. Though much more precise than former studies, these ages are mainly based on multi-grain zircon analyses. That 342 343 this approach might disguise complexity of zircon population ages, as pervasive lead loss and inheritance, was shown by 344 Mundil et al. (2001) by confining data selection to single-crystal analyses of the same horizons. In a second attempt, driven 345 by further improvements of the U-Pb ID-TIMS technique (e.g., chemical abrasion of zircon grains by hydrofluoric acid exposure to remove zircon domains with lead loss; reduced procedural common Pb blanks), the PTB extinction horizon in 346 Meishan and Shangsi (China) was dated at  $252.6 \pm 0.2$  Ma by Mundil et al. (2004). Unlike previous studies, Shen et al. 347 348 (2011) dated larger number of zircon grains per ash bed in order to overcome inheritance, magmatic residence, and lead loss 349 phenomena of zircon population ages. They determined the duration of the PTB extinction interval (bed 25 to bed 28 in Meishan) at 200 ± 100 k.y. starting at 252.28 ± 0.08 Ma in bed 25 together with a sharp negative  $\delta^{13}$ C excursion. By using 350 the same mineral separates from identical ash beds as in Shen et al. (2011), the extinction period at Meishan was determined 351 352 by Burgess et al. (2014) between  $251.941 \pm 0.037$  Ma (bed 25) and  $251.880 \pm 0.031$  Ma (bed 28). The differences in age and precision compared to Shen et al. (2011) reflect significant progress of the EARTHTIME community in data acquisition and 353 354 reduction such as refined tracer calibration, new error propagation algorithms, and the development of the EARTHTIME  $^{202}$ Pb- $^{205}$ Pb- $^{233}$ U- $^{235}$ U tracer solution. 355

Figure 6 illustrates the three Bayesian age-depth models based on our U-Pb dates from Dongpan and Penglaitan compared to the latest generation of U-Pb ages from Meishan GSSP (Burgess et al. 2014). Such a comparison is possible because all the dates from these three sections were obtained with the same analytical procedures, including identical data reduction procedures, error propagation and Th correction, thus leading to closely comparable precision and accuracy of the ages. This tight temporal framework allows us to perform a quantitative comparison of the Dongpan, Penglaitan and Meishan sections in terms of lithostratigraphy, biostratigraphy and chemostratigraphy via the Bayesian statistics.

# 362 6.2 Comparison of lithostratigraphy

All three interpolated ages of the formational boundary in Dongpan ( $251.938 \pm 0.029$  Ma), Penglaitan ( $251.982 \pm 0.031$  Ma) 363 and Meishan (251.956 ± 0.033 Ma) are in agreement within errors (Fig. 6). They support the synchronicity of the 364 365 conformable boundary between the Dalong Fm. and the Ziyun Fm. in Dongpan and Penglaitan, and also demonstrate their temporal coincidence with the conformable boundary in Meishan between the Changhsing Fm. and Yinkeng Fm. The age 366 model also confirms the extreme condensation around the PTB in Meishan, with a maximal sedimentation rate of  $0.4 \text{ cm ka}^{-1}$ 367 as reported by Burgess et al. (2014) for the 26 cm thick interval bracketed by beds 25 and 28. In this respect, Dongpan and 368 Penglaitan offer a greater potential for higher resolution studies of environmental proxies around the PTB with maximal 369 sedimentation rates for the same interval of 8.4 cm ka<sup>-1</sup> and 1.0 cm ka<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The increased sedimentation rate above 370 bed 6 in Dongpan is in agreement with the previously inferred sedimentary fluxes deduced from elemental chemical analyses 371 (Shen et al., 2012). From bed 7 upward, He et al. (2007) showed a clear increase of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> indicating increased 372 fluxes of terrestrial input into this trough. The accompanying size reduction of brachiopods (He et al., 2007) led them to infer 373 374 a regressive trend in the upper part of the Dongpan section. The ecological consequences of any regressive trend or increased





clastic input might conceivably impact the diversity of marine species but distinguishing between increased fluxes and
 regression remains difficult because both causes may have converging consequences.

# 377 6.3 Comparison of biostratigraphy

- 378 The PTB is defined by the FO of *H. parvus* in the GSSP Meishan D in bed 27c. This definition is complicated by the 379 suggested existence of a hardground within bed 27, which is at the position of the previously defined PTB (Chen et al., 2009). Others have suggested that the FO of *H. parvus* at Meishan is not the timing of the true evolutionary origination of 380 381 this species (Jiang et al., 2011; Yuan et al., 2015). In Meishan, the FO of H. parvus in bed 27c is interpolated at 251.892  $\pm$ 0.045 Ma (Fig. 6) and is located 21 cm above the formational boundary which occurs between beds 24 and 25. Temporally 382 383 coincident, the FO of *H. parvus* in Penglaitan is interpolated at  $251.929 \pm 0.032$  Ma (Fig. 6) and is located 33 cm above the formational boundary. With respect to formational boundaries, the higher stratigraphic position of the FO of H. parvus in 384 385 Penglaitan than in Meishan is to be expected because of the higher sedimentation rate. However, in Meishan H. parvus first occurs  $64 \pm 56$  k.y., after the formational boundary and in Penglaitan after  $53 \pm 46$  k.y., indicating perfect synchronicity 386 within our temporal resolution. In Dongpan, the lack of conodont bearing beds around the PTB hampers testing the 387 388 synchronicity of the FO of *H. parvus* between Dongpan and the two other sections.
- 389 Brosse et al. (2016) established a new and robust conodont zonation based on unitary associations around the PTB in South China that includes the Meishan GSSP. This zonation contains six Unitary Association Zones (UAZ), with the PTB falling 390 into the separation interval between UAZ2 (bed 25) and UAZ3 (bed 27a-d). This new zonation also places the UAZ-based 391 392 PTB in Meishan closer to the conformable boundary between the Changhsing and the Yinkeng formations than the FO of H. 393 parvus (bed 27c) does. Available conodont data from Meishan allow the assignment of bed 24a-e to UAZ1 (UAZ1 might reach further down as indicated by a dashed line in Fig. 6), bed 25 to UAZ2, bed 27a-d to UAZ3 and bed 28 to UAZ4 394 395 (Brosse et al., 2016). The stratigraphic thickness comprised between the base of UAZ1 and the top of UAZ4, amounts to 396 1.22 m. By using the three section age-depth models, we attempted to project the respective thickness corresponding to the 397 UAZ1-UAZ4 interval in Meishan onto the two other sections. This projection resulted into a pronounced, artificial 398 lengthening of UAZs in Dongpan and Penglaitan. UAZ1 is the penultimate Permian conodont UAZ in Meishan (Brosse et al., 2016). When projected onto the age-depth models of Dongpan and Penglaitan, this UAZ1 is artificially expanded and 399 400 even crosses the PTB in Penglaitan (Fig. 6). In Penglaitan, the last Permian UAZ2 projects correctly above UAZ1 without overlap but is completely within the Triassic. The cause of these contradictions stems from the irreconcilable conjunction of 401 402 i) extreme condensation in Meishan, ii) high evolutionary rates of conodonts, and iii) the ca. 30 ka precision of the last 403 generation of U-Pb dates.
- In Dongpan, the onset of a protracted radiolarian diversity decline in bed 5 reported by Feng and Algeo (2014) is here interpolated at  $251.990 \pm 0.027$  Ma, occurring  $52 \pm 40$  k.y. before the formational boundary (Fig. 2). Excess SiO<sub>2</sub> values of this bed (Shen et al., 2012) suggest a genuine diversity pattern at the local scale, which seems to be unrelated to any





substantial change or trend in the local redox conditions (as shown by Co/Al, Cr/Al, Cu/Al and V/Al measurements of He et
al., 2007).

## 409 6.4 Comparison of chemostratigraphy

410 Organic carbon isotope chemostratigraphy of Dongpan (Fig. 7) extending from the Permian bed 5 to the Triassic bed 13 was provided by Zhang et al. (2006) and for Meishan (Fig. 7) extending from the Permian bed 24 to the Triassic bed 29 by Cao et 411 al. (2002). The correlation of these  $\delta^{13}C_{org}$  records by Zhang et al. (2006), based on the occurrence of ash beds in both 412 413 sections, is largely over-interpreted. With the exception of a short negative excursion followed by a more prominent positive excursion between beds 9 and 11, the Permian part of the  $\delta^{13}C_{org}$  record in Dongpan is relatively stable and oscillates 414 between -28 ‰ and -27 ‰. With the exception of a negative excursion culminating in beds 25 and 26, the Permian part of 415 the  $\delta^{13}C_{org}$  record in Meishan shows a sustained positive trend from -30 % to -26 %. The basal Triassic part of these two 416 417 records is also incompatible in that they display opposed trends. With the possible exception of the Xinmin section (Shen et al., 2013a), the  $\delta^{13}C_{org}$  record of Dongpan does not correlate with that of any other South Chinese section, but even Xinmin 418 shows a ~3 ‰ offset of the base trend in comparison to Dongpan. However, we note that in Meishan an abrupt decline in 419  $\delta^{13}C_{carb}$  occurs in bed 24e at 251.950 ± 0.042 Ma (Burgess et al., 2014) and slightly above in bed 26 in  $\delta^{13}C_{org}$  at 251.939 ± 420 0.032 Ma, which is temporally coincident with the main negative  $\delta^{13}C_{org}$  excursion in bed 9 in Dongpan at 251.954 ± 0.027 421 Ma. The second smaller negative  $\delta^{13}C_{org}$  excursion at the PTB in Dongpan at 251.942 ± 0.028 Ma and in Meishan at 422  $251.892 \pm 0.045$  Ma cannot be distinguished within uncertainty from the main excursion, which hampers the correlation of 423 424 the  $\delta^{13}C_{org}$  records based on U-Pb ages. However, interpreting organic carbon records requires the simultaneous analysis of 425 palynofacies, which are not documented in Dongpan. Shen et al. (2012) also showed that the total organic carbon (TOC) never exceeds 0.2 %, thus indicating a generally poor preservation of the organic matter in this section. As shown by Shen et 426 al. (2012), this preservation bias is further supported by coincident peaks in both terrestrial (spore and pollens) and marine 427 (algae and acritarchs) organic material (see Fig. 7). This uneven preservation of the organic matter further hampers the 428 understanding of the  $\delta^{13}C_{org}$  signal in Dongpan. More generally, the consistency and lateral reproducibility of the Late 429 430 Permian carbonate and organic carbon isotope records in South China remain equivocal (e.g., Shen et al., 2013b). These 431 records are probably influenced by the local graben and horst paleotopography that hampered efficient circulation of water 432 masses with the open ocean, thus reflecting more local than global changes.

#### 433 7 Conclusions

• The comparison of our high-precision U-Pb zircon data from Dongpan and Penglaitan sections in South China with the

435 data of Burgess et al. (2014) from Meishan D GSSP provides convincing evidence that dates elaborated through the use of

436 the EARTHTIME <sup>202</sup>Pb-<sup>205</sup>Pb-<sup>233</sup>U-<sup>235</sup>U tracer solution are comparable at the 0.05 % level or better even if coming from

437 different laboratories. This fact underlines a substantially increased accuracy and precision of U-Pb ID-TIMS dating, now

438 capable to elucidate environmental change and biotic response on a decamillennial scale.





Applying Bayesian age modelling to sections with such high-precision time information allows to compare disparate
information from different sections, quantitatively. Along with the work of Ovtcharova et al. (2015) at the Early-Middle
Triassic boundary, these are the two first proof-of-concept studies adopting age-depth modelling to compare coeval sections
with different fossil contents, different facies and disparate sedimentation rates at highest temporal resolution. We anticipate
that this approach will need to become the future standard in the assessment of the Geologic Time Scale.

Behron age-depth models demonstrate synchronicity of the conformable lithological boundaries in Dongpan, Penglaitan,
and Meishan. These results highlight the temporal reliability of the environmental changes as expressed by formational
boundaries in platform-slope and deeper water basinal sections.

• The reappraisal of the PTB in South China based on conodont Unitary Associations zones (Brosse et al., 2016) coincides
with the PTB age of Dongpan, and favours this characterization of the PTB over the classical definition by the FO of *H*. *parvus*.

• The higher sedimentation rates of Dongpan and Penglaitan provide a much better prospect for the high-resolution study of environmental proxies around the PTB than the condensed GSSP section in Meishan. Our age-depth models also reveal that the combination of condensed deposition with high evolutionary rates of conodonts and the ~30 ka resolution of the last generation of U-Pb ages makes it impossible to project stratigraphic data points or intervals of Meishan onto expanded PTB sections without distortions. This intrinsic problem of the Meishan GSSP section should stimulate the search of alternative sections with more expanded records.

• The seemingly erratic Late Permian carbon isotope record in South China does not allow laterally reproducible intercalibration with the newly obtained U-Pb dates. This stands in sharp contrast with the Early Triassic carbon isotope record which is of global significance (e.g., Galfetti et al., 2007).

# 459 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge financial support by the Swiss NSF through projects 200020\_137630 (to U.S.) and 200021\_135446 (to H.B.). Aymon Baud (Lausanne) is thanked for its support in the field. Tom Algeo (Cincinnati) and Shen Shuzhong (Nanjing) are thanked for insightful discussions and for providing Excel tables of carbon isotopic data from published sections. A special thanks goes to technical and scientific members of the Geneva and Zürich research groups, who helped during all stages of this study.

#### 465 **References**

Algeo, T.J., and Twitchett, R.J.: Anomalous Early Triassic sediment fluxes due to elevated weathering rates and their
 biological consequences. Geology, 38, 1023–1026, doi:10.1130/G31203.1, 2010.





- Alroy, J., Aberhan, M., Bottjer, D.J., Foote, M., Fürsich, F.T., Harries, P.J., Hendy, A.J.W., Holland, S.M., Ivany, L.C., 468 Kiessling, W., Kosnik, M.A., Marshall, C.R., McGowan, A.J., Miller, A.I., Olszewski, T.D., Patzkowsky, M.E., 469 470 Peters, S.E., Villier, L., Wagner, P.J., Bonuso, N., Borkow, P.S., Brenneis, B., Clapham, M.E., Fall, L.M., Ferguson, 471 C.A., Hanson, V.L., Krug, A.Z., Lavou, K.M., Leckey, E.H., Nürnberg, S., Powers, C.M., Sessa, J.A., Simpson, C., Tomasovych, A., and Visaggi, C.C.: Phanerozoic trends in the global diversity of marine invertebrates. Science, 321, 472 473 97-100, doi:10.1126/science.1156963, 2008. 474 Baresel, B., D'Abzac, F.X., Bucher, H., and Schaltegger, U.: High-precision time-space correlation through coupled apatite 475 and zircon tephrochronology: an example from the Permian-Triassic boundary in South China. Geology, 476 doi:10.1130/G38181.1, in press. Benton, M.J.: The origins of modern biodiversity on land. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B, 365, 3667-3679, 477 478 doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0269, 2010.
- Bowring, S.A., Erwin, D.H., Jing, G.Y., Martin, M.W., Davidek, K., and Wang, W.: U/Pb zircon geochronology and tempo
  of the end-Permian mass extinction. Science, 280, 1039–1045, doi:10.1126/science.280.5366.1039, 1998.
- Bowring, J.F., McLean, N.M., and Bowring, S.A.: Engineering cyber infrastructure for U-Pb geochronology: tripoli and U Pb\_Redux. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 12, 6, doi:10.1029/2010gc003479, 2011.
- Broderick, C., Wotzlaw, J.-F., Frick, D., Gerdes, A., Günther, D., and Schaltegger, U.: Linking the thermal evolution of an
  upper crustal intrusion to its lower-crustal roots using zircon and titanite geochronology and geochemistry (Southern
  Adamello batholith, N. Italy). Contrib. Mineral. Petr., 170, 28, doi:10.1007/s00410-015-1184-x, 2015.
- Brooks, S., Gelman, A., Jones, G., and Meng, X.-L. (Eds.): Handbook of Markov Chain Monte Carlo. Boca Raton, Florida,
  CRC Press, 619 p., 2011.
- Brosse, M., Bucher, H., and Goudemand, N.: Quantitative biochronology of the Permian-Triassic boundary in South China
  based on conodont Unitary Associations. Earth-Sci. Rev., 155, 153–171, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.02.003, 2016.
- Burgess, S.D., Bowring, S.A., and Shen, S.Z.: High-precision timeline for Earth's most severe extinction. P. Natl. Acad. Sci.
  USA, 111, 3316–3321, doi:10.1073/pnas.1317692111, 2014.
- Burgess, S.D., and Bowring, S.A.: High-precision geochronology confirms voluminous magmatism before, during, and after
  Earth's most severe extinction. Sci. Adv., 1, 1–14, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1500470, 2015.
- Cao, C.Q., Wang, W., and Jin, Y.: Carbon isotope variation across Permian-Triassic boundary at Meishan section in
   Zhejiang province, China. Bulletin of Sciences (Chinese edition), 47, 302–306, doi:10.1360/02tb9252, 2002.
- 496 Chen, J., Beatty, T.W., Henderson, C.M., and Rowe, H.: Conodont biostratigraphy across the Permian-Triassic boundary at 497 the Dawen section, Great Bank of Guizhou, Guizhou Province, South China: implications for the Late Permian
- 498 extinction and correlation with Meishan. J. Asian Earth Sci., 36, 442–458, doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2008.08.002, 2009.
- 499 Claoué-Long, J.C., Zhang, Z.C., Ma, G.G., and Du, S.H.: The age of the Permian-Triassic boundary. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.,
- 500 105, 182–190, doi:10.1016/0012-821x(91)90129-6, 1991.





- Condon, D.J., Schoene, B., McLean, N.M., Bowring, S.A., and Parrish, R.R.: Metrology and traceability of U-Pb isotope
   dilution geochronology (EARTHTIME Tracer Calibration Part I). Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 164, 464–480,
   doi:10.1016/j.gca.2015.05.026, 2015.
- 504
   Erwin,
   D.H.:
   The
   End-Permian
   Mass
   Extinction.
   Annu.
   Rev.
   Ecol.
   Syst.,
   21,
   69–91,

   505
   doi:10.1146/annurev.es.21.110190.000441, 1990.
   doi:10.1146/annurev.es.21.110190.000441, 1990.
   doi:10.1146/annurev.es.21.110190.000441, 1990.
   doi:10.1146/annurev.es.21.110190.000441, 1990.
   doi:10.1146/annurev.es.21.110190.000441, 1990.
- Erwin, D.H., Bowring, S.A., and Jin, Y.-G.: End-Permian mass-extinctions: a review. In: Koeberl, C., MacLeod, K.G.
  (Eds.), Catastrophic events and mass extinctions: impacts and beyond. Geol. S. Am. S., 356, 353–383, doi:10.1130/08137-2356-6.363, 2002.
- Faure, M., Lin, W., Chu, Y., and Lepvrier, C.: Triassic tectonics of the southern margin of the South China Block. C. R.
  Geosci., 348, 5–14, doi:10.1016/j.crte.2015.06.012, 2016.
- Feng, Q.L., He, W.H., Gu, S.Z., Meng, Y.Y., Jin, Y.X., and Zhang, F.: Radiolarian evolution during the latest Permian in
  South China. Global Planet. Change, 55, 177–192, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.06.012, 2007.
- Feng, Q.L., and Algeo, T.J.: Evolution of oceanic redox conditions during the Permo-Triassic transition: Evidence from
   deepwater radiolarian facies. Earth-Sci. Rev., 137, 34–51, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.12.003, 2014.
- Feng, Z.Z., Bao, Z.-D., Zheng, X.-J., and Wang, Y.: There was no "Great Bank of Guizhou" in the Early Triassic in Guizhou
  Province, South China. J. Palaeogeogr., 4, 99–108, doi:10.3724/SP.J.1261.2015.00070, 2015.
- 517 Galfetti, T., Bucher, H., Ovtcharova, M., Schaltegger, U., Brayard, A., Brühwiler, T., Goudemand, N., Weissert, H., 518 Hochuli, P.A., Cordey, F., and Guodun, K.: Timing of the Early Triassic carbon cycle perturbations inferred from 519 new U–Pb ages and ammonoid biochronozones. Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 258. 593-604. 520 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2007.04.023, 2007.
- Galfetti, T., Bucher, H., Martini, R., Hochuli, P.A., Weissert, H., Crasquin-Soleau, S., Brayard A., Goudemand, N.,
  Brühwiler, T., and Guodun, K.: Evolution of Early Triassic outer platform paleoenvironments in the Nanpanjiang
  Basin (South China) and their significance for the biotic recovery. Sediment. Geol., 204, 36–60,
  doi:10.1016/j.sedgeo.2007.12.008, 2008.
- Gao, Q., Zhang, N., Xia, W., Feng, Q., Chen, Z.-Q., Zheng, J., Griffin, W.L., O'Reilly, S.Y., Pearson, N.J., Wang, G., Wu,
  S., Zhong, W., and Sun, X.: Origin of volcanic ash beds across the Permian-Triassic boundary, Daxiakou, South
  China: Petrology and U-Pb age, trace elements and Hf-isotope composition of zircon. Chem. Geol., 360–361, 41–53,
  doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.09.020, 2013.
- Golonka, J., and Ford, D.: Pangean (Late Carboniferous-Middle Jurassic) paleoenvironment and lithofacies. Palaeogeogr.
   Palaeocl., 161, 1–34, doi:10.1016/s0031-0182(00)00115-2, 2000.
- Guizhou Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources: Regional geology of Guizhou Province. Geological Memoir, 1 (6), 700
   p. (in Chinese, with English summary), scale 1:500,000, 1987.
- Haslett, J., and Parnell, A.: A simple monotone process with application to radiocarbon-dated depth chronologies. J. Roy.
  Stat. Soc. C-App., 57, 399–418, doi:10.1111/j.1467-9876.2008.00623.x, 2008.





- He, W.H., Shen, S.Z., Feng, Q.L., and Gu, S.Z.: A Late Changhsingian (Late Permian) deepwater brachiopod fauna from the
  Talung Formation at the Dongpan section, southern Guangxi, South China. J. Paleontol., 79, 927–938,
  doi:10.1666/0022-3360(2005)079[0927:ALCLPD]2.0.CO;2, 2005.
- He, W.H., Shi, G.R., Feng, Q.L., Campi, M.J., Gu, S.Z., Bu, J.J., Peng, Y.Q., and Meng, Y.Y.: Brachiopod miniaturization
  and its possible causes during the Permian-Triassic crisis in deep water environments, South China. Palaeogeogr.
  Palaeocl., 252, 145–163, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2006.11.040, 2007.
- Hiess, J., Condon, D.J., McLean, N., and Noble, S.R.: <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>235</sup>U Systematics in terrestrial uranium-bearing minerals. Science,
  335, 1610–1614, doi:10.1126/science.1215507, 2012.
- Hochuli, P.A., Hermann, E., Vigran, J.S., Bucher, H., and Weissert, H.: Rapid demise and recovery of plant ecosystems
  across the end-Permian extinction event. Global Planet. Change, 74, 144–155, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2010.10.004,
  2010.
- Jiang, H., Lai, X., Yan, C., Aldridge, R.J., Wignall, P., and Sun, Y.: Revised conodont zonation and conodont evolution
  across the Permian-Triassic boundary at the Shangsi section, Guangyuan, Sichuan, South China. Global Planet.
  Change, 77, 102–115, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.04.003, 2011.
- Jin, Y.G., Shen, S.Z., Henderson, C.M., Wang, X.D., Wang, W., Wang, Y., Cao, C.Q., and Shang, Q.H.: The Global
  Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) for the boundary between the Capitanian and Wuchiapingian stage (Permian).
  Episodes, 29, 253–262, 2006.
- Kuiper, K.F., Deino, A., Hilgen, F.J., Krijgsman, W., Renne, P.R., and Wijbrans, J.R.: Synchronizing Rock Clocks of Earth
   History. Science, 320, 500–504, doi:10.1126/science.1154339, 2008.
- Lehrmann, DJ., Payne, J.L., Felix, S.V., Dillett, P.M., Wang, H., Yu, Y., and Wei, J.: Permian-Triassic Boundary Sections
   from Shallow-Marine Carbonate Platforms of the Nanpanjiang Basin, South China: Implications for Oceanic
   Conditions Associated with the End-Permian Extinction and Its Aftermath. Palaios, 18, 138–152, doi:10.1669/0883 1351(2003)18<138:pbsfsc>2.0.co;2, 2003.
- Lehrmann, D.J., Pei, D., Enos, P., Ellwood, B.B., Zhang, J., Wei, J., Dillett, P., Koenig, J., Steffen, K., Druke, D., Gross, J.,
  Kessel, B., and Newkirk, T.: Impact of differential tectonic subsidence on isolated carbonate platform evolution:
  Triassic of the Nanpanjiang basin, south China. Am. Assoc. Petr. Geol. B., 91, 287–320, doi:10.1306/10160606065,
  2007.
- Lehrmann, D.J., Stepchinski, L., Altiner, D., Orchard, M.J., Montgomery, P., Enos, P., Ellwood, B.B., Bowring, S.A.,
  Ramezani, J., Wang, H., Wei, J., Yu, M., Griffiths, J.D., Minzo, M., Schaall, E.K., Lil, X., Meyerl, K.M., and Payne,
  J.L.: An integrated biostratigraphy (conodonts and foraminifers) and chronostratigraphy (paleomagnetic reversals,
  magnetic susceptibility, elemental chemistry, carbon isotopes and geochronology) for the Permian-Upper Triassic
  strata of Guandao section, Nanpanjiang Basin, south China. J. Asian Earth Sci., 108, 117–135,
  doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2015.04.030, 2015.





- Luo, G.M., Lai, X.L., Feng, Q.L., Jiang, H.S., Wignall, P., Zhang, K.X., Sun, Y.D., and Wu, J.: End-Permian conodont fauna
  from Dongpan section: Correlation between the deep- and shallow-water facies. Sci. China Ser. D, 51, 1611–1622,
  doi:10.1007/s11430-008-0125-1, 2008.
- Luo, G.M., Wang, Y., Yang, H., Algeo, T.J., Kump, L.R., Huang, J., and Xie, S.C.: Stepwise and large-magnitude negative
   shift in δ<sup>13</sup>C<sub>carb</sub> preceded the main marine mass extinction of the Permian-Triassic crisis interval. Palaeogeogr.
   Palaeocl., 299, 70–82, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2010.10.035, 2011.
- Mattinson, J.M.: Zircon U-Pb chemical abrasion ("CA-TIMS") method: combined annealing and multi-step partial
  dissolution analysis for improved precision and accuracy of zircon ages. Chem. Geol., 220, 47–66,
  doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2005.03.011, 2005.
- McLean, N.M., Bowring, J.F., and Bowring, S.A.: An algorithm for U-Pb isotope dilution data reduction and uncertainty
   propagation. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 12, 6, doi:10.1029/2010gc003478, 2011.
- Meng, Y.Y., Zhou, Q., and Li, Y.K.: The characteristics and controlling sedimentary facies and granitoid analysis of the
   middle part of Pingxing-Dongmeng large fault. Guangxi Geology, 15, 1–4 (in Chinese), 2002.
- Mundil, R., Metcalfe, I., Ludwig, K.R., Renne, P.R., Oberli, F., and Nicoll, R.S.: Timing of the Permian-Triassic biotic
  crisis: Implications from new zircon U/Pb age data (and their limitations). Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 187, 131–145,
  doi:10.1016/s0012-821x(01)00274-6, 2001.
- Mundil, R., Ludwig, K.R., Metcalfe, I., and Renne, P.R.: Age and Timing of the Permian Mass Extinctions: U/Pb Dating of
   Closed-System Zircons. Science, 305, 1760–1763, doi:10.1126/science.1101012, 2004.
- Ovtcharova, M., Bucher, H., Schaltegger, U., Galfetti, T., Brayard, A., and Guex, J.: New Early to Middle Triassic U-Pb
   ages from South China: calibration with ammonoid biochronozones and implications for the timing of the Triassic
   biotic recovery. Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 243, 463–475, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2006.01.042, 2006.
- 589 Ovtcharova, M., Goudemand, N., Hammer, O., Guodun, K., Cordey, F., Galfetti, T., Schaltegger, U., and Bucher, H.: 590 Developing a strategy for accurate definition of a geological boundary through radio-isotopic and biochronological boundary 591 dating: The Early–Middle Triassic (South China). Earth-Sci. Rev., 146. 65-76. 592 doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.03.006, 2015.
- Parnell, A.C., Haslett, J., Allen, J.R.M., Buck, C.E., and Huntley, B.: A flexible approach to assessing synchroneity of past
  events using Bayesian reconstructions of sedimentation history. Quat. Sci. Rev., 27, 1872–1885,
  doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.07.009, 2008.
- Parnell, A.C., Buck, C.E., and Doan, T.K.: A review of statistical chronology models for high-resolution, proxy-based
   Holocene palaeoenvironmental reconstruction. Quat. Sci. Rev., 30, 2948–2960, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.07.024,
   2011.
- Payne, J.L., Turchyn, A.V., Paytan, A., DePaolo, D.J., Lehrmann, D.J., Yu, M.Y., and Wei, J.Y.: Calcium isotope
  constraints on the end-Permian mass extinction. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 8543–8548,
  doi:10.1073/pnas.0914065107, 2010.





- Raup, D.M: Size of the Permo-Triassic bottleneck and its evolutionary implications. Science, 206, 217–218,
   doi:10.1126/science.206.4415.217, 1979.
- Renne, P.R., Black, M.T., Zichao, Z., Richards, M.A., and Basu, A.R.: Synchrony and causal relations between PermianTriassic Boundary crises and Siberian flood volcanism. Science, 269, 1413–1416,
  doi:10.1126/science.269.5229.1413, 1995.
- Retallack, G.J., and Jahren, A.H.: Methane release from igneous intrusion of coal during Late Permian extinction events. J.
  Geol., 116, 1–20, doi:10.1086/524120, 2008.
- Samperton, K.M., Schoene, B., Cottle, J.M., Keller, C.B., Crowley, J.L., and Schmitz, M.D.: Magma emplacement,
   differentiation and cooling in the middle crust: Integrated zircon geochronological-geochemical constraints from the
   Bergell Intrusion, Central Alps. Chem. Geol., 417, 322–340, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2015.10.024, 2015.
- Schoene, B., Crowley, J.L., Condon, D.J., Schmitz, M.D., and Bowring, S.A.: Reassessing the uranium decay constants for
  geochronology using ID-TIMS U-Pb data. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 70, 426–445, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2005.09.007,
  2006.
- Shang, Q.H., Vachard, D., and Caridroit, M.: Smaller foraminifera from the Late Changhsingian (Latest Permian) of
  Southern Guangxi and discussion on the Permian-Triassic boundary. Acta Micropalaeontologica Sinica, 20, 377–388,
  2003.
- Shen, S.Z., Wang, Y., Henderson, C.M., Cao, C.Q., and Wang, W.: Biostratigraphy and lithofacies of the Permian System in
  the Laibin-Heshan area of Guangxi, South China. Palaeoworld, 16, 120–139, doi:10.1016/j.palwor.2007.05.005,
  2007.
- Shen, S.Z., Crowley, J.L., Wang, Y., Bowring, S.A., Erwin, D.H., Sadler, P.M., Cao, C.Q., Rothman, D.H., Henderson,
  C.M., Ramezani, J., Zhang, H., Shen, Y., Wang, X.D., Wang, W., Mu, L., Li, W.Z., Tang, Y.G., Liu, X.L., Liu, L.J.,
  Zeng, Y., Jiang, Y.F., and Jin, Y.G.: Calibrating the end-Permian mass extinction. Science, 334, 1367–1372,
  doi:10.1126/science.1213454, 2011.
- Shen, J., Algeo, T.J., Zhou, L., Feng, Q., Yu, J., and Ellwood, B.: Volcanic perturbations of the marine environment in South
  China preceding the latest Permian mass extinction and their biotic effects. Geobiology, 10, 82–103,
  doi:10.1111/j.1472-4669.2011.00306.x, 2012.
- Shen, J., Algeo, T.J., Hu, Q., Xu, G.Z., Zhou, L., and Feng, Q.L.: Volcanism in South China during the Late Permian and its
  relationship to marine ecosystem and environmental changes. Global Planet. Change, 105, 121–134,
  doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2012.02.011, 2013a.
- Shen, S.Z., Cao, C.Q., Zhang, H., Bowring, S.A., Henderson, C.M., Payne, J.L., Davydov, V.I., Chen, B., Yuan, D.X., 631 Zhang, Y.C., Wang, W., and Zheng, Q.F.: High-resolution  $\delta^{13}C_{carb}$  chemostratigraphy from latest Guadalupian 632 633 through earliest Triassic in South China and Iran. Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 375. 156-165. 634 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.05.020, 2013b.





- Stanley, S.M., and Yang, X.: A double mass extinction at the end of the Paleozoic era. Science, 266, 1340–1344,
  doi:10.1126/science.266.5189.1340, 1994.
- 637 Svensen, H., Planke, S., Polozov, A.G., Schmidbauer, N., Corfu, F., Podladchikov, Y.Y., and Jamtveit, B.: Siberian gas 638 and the end-Permian environmental crisis. Earth Planet. Sc. 490-500, venting Lett., 277. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.11.015, 2009. 639
- 640 Van Valen, L.: A resetting of Phanerozoic community evolution. Nature, 307, 50-52, doi:10.1038/307050a0, 1984.
- Wang, Y., and Jin, Y.: Permian palaeogeographic evolution of the Jiangnan Basin, South China. Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl., 160,
  35–44, doi:10.1016/s0031-0182(00)00043-2, 2000.
- Winguth, C., and Winguth, A.M.E.: Simulating Permian-Triassic oceanic anoxia distribution: implications for species
  extinction and recovery. Geology, 40, 127–130, doi:10.1130/g32453.1, 2012.
- Wu, J., Feng, Q.L., Gui, B.W., and Liu, G.C.: Some new radiolarian species and genus from Upper Permian in Guangxi
  Province, South China. J. Paleontol. 84, 879–894, doi:10.1666/09-057.1, 2010.
- Kia, W.C., Zhang, N., Wang, G.Q., and Kakuwa, Y.: Pelagic radiolarian and conodont biozonation in the Permo-Triassic
  boundary interval and correlation to the Meishan GSSP. Micropaleontology, 50, 27–44, doi:10.1661/00262803(2004)050[0027:pracbi]2.0.co;2, 2004.
- 650 Yin, H.F.: Bivalves near the Permian-Triassic boundary in South China. J. Paleontol., 59, 572–600, 1985.
- Yin, H.F., Zhang, K.X., Tong, J.N., Yang, Z.Y., and Wu, S.B.: The global stratotype section and point (GSSP) of the
   Permian-Triassic boundary. Episodes, 24, 102–114, 2001.
- Yin, H.F., Feng, Q.L., Lai, X.L., Baud, A., and Tong, J.N.: The protracted Permo-Triassic crisis and multi-episode extinction
   around the Permian-Triassic boundary. Global Planet. Change, 55, 1–20, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.06.005, 2007.
- Yin, H.F., Jiang, H.S., Xia, W.C. Feng, Q., Zhang, N., and Shen, J.: The end-Permian regression in South China and its
  implication on mass extinction. Earth-Sci. Rev., 137, 19–33, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.06.003, 2014.
- Yuan, A., Crasquin-Soleau, S., Feng, Q.L., and Gu, S.Z.: Latest Permian deep-water ostracods from southwestern Guangxi,
  South China. J. Micropalaeontol., 26, 169–191, doi:10.1144/jm.26.2.169, 2007.
- Yuan, D., Shen, S., Henderson, C.M., Chen, J., Zhang, H., and Feng, H.: Revised conodont-based integrated high-resolution
  timescale for the Changhsingian Stage and end-Permian extinction interval at the Meishan sections, South China.
  Lithos, 204, 220–245, doi:10.1016/j.lithos.2014.03.026, 2015.
- Zhang, F., Feng, Q.L., He, W.H., Meng, Y.Y., and Gu, S.Z.: Multidisciplinary stratigraphy across the Permian-Triassic
  boundary in deep-water environment of Dongpan section, south China. Norw. J. Geol., 86, 125–131, 2006.
- Zhao, J.K., Liang, X.I., and Zheng, Z.G.: Late Permian Cephalopods in South China. Science Press, Beijing (in Chinese),
  1978.
- Ziegler, A.M., Hulver, M.L., and Rowley, D.B.: Permian World Topography and Climate. In: Martini, I.P. (Ed.) Late glacial
   and postglacial environmental changes: Quaternary, Carboniferous-Permian, and Proterozoic. New York, USA,
   Oxford University Press, 343 p., 1997.







Fig. 1. A) Locality map showing the position of the studied sections in the Guangxi province, China. B) Late Permian
paleogeographic reconstruction after Ziegler et al. (1997), showing the location of the South China Block in the peri-Gondwana
region. Beneath the paleogeographic map of the Nanpanjiang Basin in South China indicating the position of the Dongpan and
Penglaitan section during Late Permian times (base map modified after Wang and Jin, 2000).







674

675 Fig. 2. Stratigraphy and geochronology for the Dongpan section from late Changhsingian to Griesbachian showing weighted mean <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U dates of the volcanic ash beds and volcanogenic sandstones. U-Pb data of DGP-21 is taken from Baresel et al. (in press). 676 Investigated radiolarian samples (DGP-1 to DGP-5) are shown in their stratigraphic positions. The Bayesian Bchron age-depth 677 model is presented with its median (middle grey line) and its associated 95 % confidence interval (grey area). Radioisotopic dates 678 together with their uncertainty (red horizontal bars) are presented as <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U weighted mean dates of the dated volcanic ash 679 beds in their stratigraphic positions. Predicted dates for the onset of the radiolarian decline (RD) and the Permian-Triassic 680 Boundary (PTB) are calculated with their associated uncertainty using the Bayesian Bchron age-depth model assuming 681 682 stratigraphic superposition.







683

684 Fig. 3. Stratigraphy and geochronology for the Penglaitan section from late Changhsingian to Griesbachian showing weighted mean <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U dates of the volcanic ash beds and volcanogenic sandstones. U-Pb data of PEN-28 and PEN-22 are taken from 685 686 Baresel et al. (in press). Investigated conodont samples (PEN-23 and PEN-24) and first occurrence of Triassic conodonts are shown 687 in their stratigraphic positions. A poorly preserved Permian nautiloid is indicated in its stratigraphic position ca. 1.3 m below the 688 Permian-Triassic Boundary (PTB). The Bayesian Bchron age-depth model is presented with its median (middle grev line) and its 689 associated 95 % confidence interval (grev area). Radioisotopic dates together with their uncertainty (red horizontal bars) are presented as <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U weighted mean dates of the dated volcanic ash beds in their stratigraphic positions. Predicted dates for the 690 691 PTB are calculated with their associated uncertainty using the Bayesian Bchron age-depth model assuming stratigraphic 692 superposition.







693

694 Fig. 4. Single-grain zircon analysis and <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U weighted mean dates for Dongpan and Penglaitan volcanic ash beds and 695 volcanogenic sandstones. U-Pb data of DGP-21, PEN-28 and PEN-22 are taken from Baresel et al. (in press). Each horizontal bar 696 represents a single zircon grain analysis including its 2σ analytical (internal) uncertainty whereas grey bars are not included in the 697 weighted mean calculation. Vertical lines represent the weighted mean age, with the associated 2σ uncertainty (in grey). 698 Uncertainty of the weighted mean dates is reported as 2σ internal, 2σ external uncertainty including tracer calibration and 2σ 699 external uncertainty including <sup>238</sup>U decay constant uncertainty; MSWD - mean square of weighted deviates.









Fig. 5. Comparison of the different age-depth-models based on linear interpolation, cubic spline fit and Bayesian statistics for A) Dongpan and B) Penglaitan. Each age-depth model is presented with its median (middle grey line) and its associated 95 % confidence interval (grey area). Radioisotopic dates, used in the age-depth-models, together with their uncertainty (red horizontal bars) are presented as <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U weighted mean dates of the Dongpan and Penglaitan volcanic ash beds and volcanogenic sandstones in their stratigraphic positions. U-Pb data of DGP-21, PEN-28 and PEN-22 are taken from Baresel et al. (in press). Predicted dates (grey horizontal bars) for the onset of the radiolarian decline (RD) and the Permian-Triassic Boundary (PTB) in Dongpan and Penglaitan are calculated with their associated uncertainty using the different age-depth models.







708

Fig. 6. Comparison of Bayesian Bchron age models for Dongpan, Penglaitan and the GSSP section Meishan D. Predicted dates together with their uncertainty for the lithological boundaries and the first occurrence of the conodont *Hindeodus parvus* at Dongpan and Penglaitan are calculated using U-Pb ages of this study and of Baresel et al. (in press), and the U-Pb ages of Burgess et al. (2014) for Meishan. The durations of the conodont UAZ1 and UAZ2 (Brosse et al., 2016) are inferred from the Bchron age model of Meishan and projected to the model of Dongpan and Penglaitan, respectively.







714

Fig. 7. Comparison of the organic carbon isotope chemostratigraphy of Dongpan (Zhang et al., 2006) with that of Meishan (Cao et al., 2002). Dates and their associated uncertainty for the negative carbon isotope excursions in both sections are revealed from the Bayesian Bchron age models of Dongpan and Meishan, respectively. Abundance of spores and pollen, as well as algae and acritarchs (i.e. spores/100 cm<sup>3</sup> of soil) in Dongpan are from Shen et al. (2012). Stratigraphic positions of the First Radiolarian Crisis (FRC) and Second Radiolarian Crisis (SRC) (after Feng et al., 2007), the Latest Permian Extinction Event (LPME) in Dongpan and the Permian-Triassic Boundary (PTB) in both sections are indicated as well. Meishan section thickness is not to scale with Dongpan section.

- 722
- 723
- 724
- /24
- 725





#### 726 **Appendix A: Samples**

- 727 The Dongpan section is situated at 22°16'11.80"N and 107°41'31.30"E, north-east of Liuqiao. The Penglaitan section is
- situated at 23°41'8.4"N and 109°18'21.0"E, east of Laibin. 728



729

730 Fig. A1. Studied volcanic ash beds (in black), radiolarian samples (in yellow), and their associated beds of the Changhsingian 731 Dalong Fm. at the Dongpan section. Both pictures present the continuous Dongpan section, where the upper picture is 732 stratigraphically above the lower one. The Permian-Triassic boundary (PTB) in Dongpan is marked by the lithological boundary between the Upper Permian Dalong Fm. and the Lower Triassic Ziyun Fm.







734

Fig. A2. Studied volcanic ash beds and volcaniclastic sandstones (in black), and conodont samples (in yellow) of the Penglaitan section. The Permian-Triassic boundary (PTB) is marked by the lithological boundary between the Upper Permian Dalong Fm.

737 and the Lower Triassic Ziyun Fm.





# 738 Appendix B: U-Pb Zircon CA-ID-TIMS analysis

The samples were crushed and milled, and the powder was wet-sieved to remove the clay fraction. Heavy minerals were isolated using methylene iodide. Single zircons were microscopically inspected and euhedral crystals were picked for annealing at 900°C for ~48 h, followed by chemical abrasion with 40 % HF and trace HNO<sub>3</sub> in pressurized 200  $\mu$ l Savillex mini-capsules at 180°C for 18 h to minimize Pb loss effects (Mattinson, 2005). Since Ovtcharova et al. (2015) still revealed apparent Pb loss in some zircon grains after 15 h of chemical abrasion, this study was optimized to the longer duration of 18 h to effectively overcome this obstacle.

745 After several washing steps with water, 6 N HCl, and 3 N HNO<sub>3</sub>, single crystals were loaded in 200 µl Savillex capsules, spiked with ~4 mg of the EARTHTIME <sup>202</sup>Pb-<sup>205</sup>Pb-<sup>233</sup>U-<sup>235</sup>U tracer solution (hereafter referred to as ET2535; Condon et al., 746 2015) and dissolved in ~70 µl 40 % HF and trace HNO3 at 210°C for 48 h. After dissolution, samples were dried and re-747 748 dissolved in 6 N HCl at 180°C for 12 h, dried down again and re-dissolved in 3 N HCl. U and Pb were collected in 3 ml 749 Savillex beakers after separation in a modified single 50 ul column anion exchange chemistry (Krogh, 1973) and dried down with a drop of 0.05 M H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. They were loaded on a single outgassed Re filament with a Si-gel emitter modified from 750 Gerstenberger and Haase (1997). Measurements of U and Pb isotopes were performed on a Thermo TRITON thermal 751 752 ionization mass spectrometer utilizing the ET2535 tracer calibration version 3.0 defined by Condon et al. (2015). Pb isotopes 753 were measured in dynamic mode on a MasCom secondary electron multiplier with a deadtime of 23 ns. Instrumental mass fractionation was corrected using the fractionation factor derived from the measured <sup>202</sup>Pb/<sup>205</sup>Pb ratio relative to a true value 754 of 0.99924. BaPO<sub>2</sub> interferences on mass 202 to 205 were corrected by determining <sup>138</sup>Ba<sup>31</sup>P<sup>16</sup>O<sup>16</sup>O concentration on mass 755 201 assuming natural abundance of <sup>138</sup>Ba of 71.7 %. No correction was applied for isobaric interference of Tl on mass 205 756 (natural abundance of  $^{205}Tl = 70.48$  %,  $^{203}Tl = 29.52$  %) since routine check of the Re filaments yielded negligible 757 concentrations on mass 203. U isotopes were measured in static mode on Faraday cups equipped with  $10^{12} \Omega$  resistors as 758  $UO_2^+$  and measured ratios were corrected for isobaric interferences of  $^{233}U^{18}O^{16}O$  on  $^{235}U^{16}O^{16}O$  using  $^{18}O/^{16}O$  of 0.0020, 759 measured on large U500 loads, and for mass fractionation using the measured  $^{233}U/^{235}U$  ratio relative to a value of 0.99506, 760 assuming a sample  ${}^{238}$ U/ ${}^{235}$ U ratio of 137.818 ± 0.045 (2 $\sigma$ ; Hiess et al., 2012). Raw data were statistical filtered by using the 761 Tripoli program, followed by data reduction including correct uncertainty propagation and online data visualization using U-762 763 Pb Redux software (Bowring et al., 2011; McLean et al., 2011). U-Pb ratios and dates were calculated relative to a tracer  $^{235}$ U/ $^{205}$ Pb ratio of 100.23 ± 0.046 % (2 $\sigma$ ; Condon et al., 2015). All common Pb in the analyses was assumed to be procedural 764 blank yielding a long-term average  ${}^{206}$ Pb/ ${}^{204}$ Pb ratio of 18.469 ± 0.458,  ${}^{207}$ Pb/ ${}^{204}$ Pb ratio of 15.471 ± 0.320,  ${}^{208}$ Pb/ ${}^{204}$ Pb ratio 765 of  $38.011 \pm 0.484$  (uncertainties are given as  $2\sigma$ ) and an average of 0.52 pg during the course of this study. 766

- 767
- 768
- 769
- 770





# 771 Tab. B1. U-Pb single grain zircon dates and isotopic data.

		Dates (	Ma)			S	mposition				Isotop	oic Ratios			
Fraction and	206Pb/238U	±2σ	207Pb/235U	±2σ	Disc. (%)	Th/U	Pb (pg) Pt	oc (pg)	206Pb/204Pb 20	6Pb/238U	±2σ 2	07Pb/235U	±2σ 207	7Pb/206Pb	±2σ
sample	*a**	(absolute)	*a (i	absolute)	$q_*$	*c	<i>p</i> *	*е	*f	*g	(%)	*g	(%)	*g	(%)
Dongpan-18															
DGP 18.1	253.253	0.142	253.517	0.994	1.38	0.63	20.12	0.74	1618	0.0401	0.06	0.2836	0.44	0.0514	0.43
DGP 18.2	254.900	0.186	254.789	1.518	-0.10	0.59	11.39	0.66	1036	0.0403	0.07	0.2852	0.67	0.0513	0.66
DGP 18.3	257.274	0.689	257.017	2.366	-0.70	0.69	6.29	0.50	750	0.0407	0.27	0.2880	1.04	0.0513	0.99
DGP 18.4	255.159	0.364	254.345	2.676	-3.01	0.62	5.09	0.50	619	0.0404	0.15	0.2847	1.19	0.0512	1.16
DGP 18.5	254.752	0.568	253.430	1.742	-5.24	0.64	8.27	0.48	1025	0.0403	0.23	0.2835	0.78	0.0510	0.72
DGP 18.6	252.559	0.261	252.422	0.560	-0.22	0.64	35.48	0.51	4061	0.0399	0.11	0.2822	0.25	0.0513	0.21
DGP 18.7	256.837	0.275	256.141	2.378	-2.48	0.61	4.78	0.43	677	0.0406	0.11	0.2869	1.05	0.0512	1.03
DGP 18.8	253.456	0.184	253.776	1.592	1.61	0.58	13.80	0.86	973	0.0401	0.07	0.2839	0.71	0.0514	0.71
Dongpan-21															
DGP 21.2	252.677	0.241	252.499	0.494	-0.38	0.59	52.48	0.51	6089	0.0400	0.10	0.2823	0.22	0.0513	0.18
DGP 21.4	252.715	0.084	252.668	0.412	0.15	0.55	42.44	0.52	4883	0.0400	0.03	0.2825	0.18	0.0513	0.17
DGP 21.5	252.265	0.163	252.503	0.442	1.25	0.95	29.07	0.38	4114	0.0399	0.07	0.2823	0.20	0.0513	0.18
DGP 21.6	252.586	0.117	252.523	0.339	0.07	0.69	43.39	0.44	5721	0.0399	0.05	0.2824	0.15	0.0513	0.13
DGP 21.8	251.908	0.134	251.934	0.875	0.45	0.61	19.59	0.57	2053	0.0398	0.05	0.2816	0.39	0.0513	0.39
DGP 21.10	252.145	0.120	252.108	0.438	0.19	0.62	29.98	0.41	4356	0.0399	0.05	0.2818	0.20	0.0513	0.18
DGP 21.12	251.969	0.229	251.711	0.720	-0.73	0.67	26.37	0.46	3340	0.0398	0.09	0.2813	0.32	0.0512	0.25
DGP 21.13	251.975	0.077	252.032	0.715	0.58	0.52	17.62	0.49	2206	0.0398	0.03	0.2817	0.32	0.0513	0.33
DGP 21.14	252.240	0.157	252.369	0.850	0.84	0.77	13.19	0.40	1877	0.0399	0.06	0.2822	0.38	0.0513	0.37
DGP 21.15	251.929	0.080	251.955	0.694	0.46	0.53	19.68	0.54	2197	0.0398	0.03	0.2816	0.31	0.0513	0.31
DGP 21.17	251.945	0.113	251.963	0.480	0.42	0.56	39.56	0.63	3736	0.0398	0.05	0.2816	0.22	0.0513	0.20
DGP 21.18	251.896	0.230	251.998	1.429	0.75	0.61	11.49	0.61	1119	0.0398	0.09	0.2817	0.64	0.0513	0.60
DGP 21.20	251.976	0.074	252.081	0.523	0.77	0.57	29.35	0.59	2997	0.0398	0.03	0.2818	0.23	0.0513	0.23
DGP 21.21	251.940	0.172	252.109	1.486	1.02	0.62	10.01	0.58	1028	0.0398	0.07	0.2818	0.67	0.0513	0.65
Dongpan-17															
DGP 17.1	252.018	0.091	252.079	0.325	0.61	0.43	64.38	0.69	5792	0.0399	0.04	0.2818	0.15	0.0513	0.13
DGP 17.2	252.896	0.108	252.753	0.473	-0.23	0.50	36.24	0.51	4303	0.0400	0.04	0.2826	0.21	0.0513	0.19
DGP 17.3	251.899	0.096	252.006	0.514	0.80	0.44	31.56	0.60	3230	0.0398	0.04	0.2817	0.23	0.0513	0.22
DGP 17.4	251.871	0.120	252.189	0.623	1.64	0.44	25.52	0.56	2837	0.0398	0.05	0.2819	0.28	0.0514	0.26
DGP 17.5	251.990	0.131	251.620	0.505	-1.16	0.46	35.56	0.52	4195	0.0398	0.05	0.2812	0.23	0.0512	0.20
DGP 17.6	252.001	0.063	252.195	0.323	1.15	0.41	48.16	0.53	5661	0.0399	0.03	0.2819	0.14	0.0513	0.13
DGP 17.7	251.933	0.120	252.166	0.897	1.30	0.51	16.80	0.58	1773	0.0398	0.05	0.2819	0.40	0.0513	0.39
DGP 17.8	251.927	0.193	252.158	2.208	1.31	0.33	15.56	1.53	663	0.0398	0.08	0.2819	0.99	0.0513	0.99
DGP 17.9	251.929	0.101	252.328	0.493	1.96	0.41	30.66	0.54	3543	0.0398	0.04	0.2821	0.22	0.0514	0.21
DGP 17.10	252.003	0.210	252.585	0.637	2.67	0.41	20.82	0.51	2568	0.0399	0.08	0.2824	0.28	0.0514	0.27
DGP 17.11	251.938	0.118	252.124	0.330	1.13	0.36	42.18	0.49	5401	0.0398	0.05	0.2819	0.15	0.0513	0.13
DGP 17.12	251.866	0.158	251.890	0.478	0.46	0.45	29.58	0.52	3536	0.0398	0.06	0.2816	0.21	0.0513	0.20
Dongpan-16															
DGP 16.1	252.074	0.177	250.746	0.953	-5.34	0.37	20.74	0.73	1809	0.0399	0.07	0.2801	0.43	0.0510	0.41
DGP 16.3	252.008	0.113	251.757	1.091	-0.67	0.49	17.10	0.76	1388	0.0399	0.05	0.2814	0.49	0.0512	0.48
DGP 16.4	251.959	0.074	252.180	0.469	1.28	0.22	27.97	0.53	3469	0.0398	0.03	0.2819	0.21	0.0513	0.20





# 773 Tab. B1. continued.





# 775 Tab. B1. continued.

Dates (Ma) 206Ph/238I1 +2م 207Ph/235I1 +2c	Dates (Ma) +2ת 207Ph/23511 +20	Ma) 207Pb/235U +26	+20	1.0	(%) Jisc.	Th/U	Ph (nd) Pl	(Da) da	206Ph/204Ph	206Ph/238U	lsoto +2α 3	pic Ratios	+20 20	7Ph/206Ph	+2α
	±20 201 10/2330	0000/01/07		- 07 	10/1		ru (pg) ri	uc (pg)	200FU/ 204FU	20012/012002	071		NZ UZ±		071
*a <sup>°°</sup> (absolute) *a (absol	(absolute) *a (absol	*a (absol	(absol	ute)	$q_*$	*c	<i>p</i> *	°*	*f	*g	(%)	*g	(%)	*g	(%)
252.147 0.091 252.590 0.70	0.091 252.590 0.70	252.590 0.70	0.70	œ	2.13	0.40	14.72	0.42	2199	0.0399	0.04	0.2824	0.32	0.0514	0.31
252.769 0.204 252.740 1.368	0.204 252.740 1.368	252.740 1.368	1.368		0.25	0.42	8.93	0.48	1180	0.0400	0.08	0.2826	0.61	0.0513	0.59
252.254 0.242 252.244 1.725	0.242 252.244 1.725	252.244 1.725	1.725		0.33	0.39	6.12	0.43	904	0.0399	0.10	0.2820	0.77	0.0513	0.76
252.366 0.181 252.211 1.025	0.181 252.211 1.025	252.211 1.025	1.025		-0.26	0.43	10.91	0.41	1669	0.0399	0.07	0.2820	0.46	0.0513	0.44
252.201 0.159 252.379 0.861	0.159 252.379 0.861	252.379 0.861	0.861		1.06	0.55	12.49	0.42	1802	0.0399	0.06	0.2822	0.39	0.0513	0.37
252.826 0.424 252.481 2.031	0.424 252.481 2.031	252.481 2.031	2.031		-1.06	0.47	5.98	0.40	933	0.0400	0.17	0.2823	0.91	0.0512	0.86
		7E1 810 0 444	0 4 4 4						1673	8020 0	000	1100.0		0.051.0	
++++-'0 610'1C7 C/0'0 606'1C7	444.0 £10.1CZ C/0.0	444.0 610.162	0.4444		0.00	<del>11</del> .0	76.00	00.0	C/C+	0400.0	cn.n	CI 07.0	0.20	C1C0.0	0.20
251.405 0.174 251.671 0.358	0.174 251.671 0.358	251.671 0.358	0.358		1.44	0.43	46.73	0.53	5494	0.0398	0.07	0.2813	0.16	0.0513	0.13
251.895 0.080 252.071 0.360	0.080 252.071 0.360	252.071 0.360	0.360		1.09	0.35	38.18	0.46	5207	0.0398	0.03	0.2818	0.16	0.0513	0.14
251.910 0.055 252.004 0.366	0.055 252.004 0.366	252.004 0.366	0.366		0.77	0.28	29.59	0.46	4155	0.0398	0.02	0.2817	0.16	0.0513	0.16
251.964 0.237 251.667 1.108 -	0.237 251.667 1.108 -	251.667 1.108 -	1.108 -	1	0.86	0.48	13.37	0.51	1614	0.0398	0.10	0.2813	0.50	0.0512	0.46
252.166 0.123 252.092 1.220	0.123 252.092 1.220	252.092 1.220	1.220		0.10	0.17	8.09	0.44	1248	0.0399	0.05	0.2818	0.55	0.0513	0.54
252.166 0.123 252.210 1.229	0.123 252.210 1.229	252.210 1.229	1.229		0.53	0.55	13.03	0.63	1252	0.0399	0.05	0.2820	0.55	0.0513	0.54
251.939 0.156 252.332 1.313	0.156 252.332 1.313	252.332 1.313	1.313		1.93	0.51	14.37	0.72	1229	0.0398	0.06	0.2821	0.59	0.0514	0.57
251.891 0.082 251.861 0.715	0.082 251.861 0.715	251.861 0.715	0.715		0.25	0.40	20.94	0.62	2139	0.0398	0.03	0.2815	0.32	0.0513	0.31
251.913 0.157 251.527 0.818 -	0.157 251.527 0.818 -	251.527 0.818 -	0.818 -	1	1.19	0.18	6.21	0.14	2866	0.0398	0.06	0.2811	0.37	0.0512	0.33
251.923 0.205 251.163 1.490 -2	0.205 251.163 1.490 -2	251.163 1.490 -2	1.490 -2	Ņ	.82	0.35	4.24	0.14	1895	0.0398	0.08	0.2806	0.67	0.0511	0.63
252.511 0.198 251.772 1.001 -2	0.198 251.772 1.001 -2	251.772 1.001 -2	1.001	19	2.75	09.0	18.86	0.67	1668	0.0399	0.08	0.2814	0.45	0.0511	0.43
252.078 0.083 252.151 0.760 (	0.083 252.151 0.760 (	252.151 0.760 0	0.760 (	0	.64	0.59	22.29	0.64	2081	0.0399	0.03	0.2819	0.34	0.0513	0.34
252.057 0.106 252.080 0.597 0	0.106 252.080 0.597 0	252.080 0.597 0	0.597 0	0	.43	0.65	25.69	0.52	2916	0.0399	0.04	0.2818	0.27	0.0513	0.25
252.096 0.086 252.096 0.536 0	0.086 252.096 0.536 0	252.096 0.536 0	0.536 0	0	.35	0.57	25.37	0.52	2913	0.0399	0.03	0.2818	0.24	0.0513	0.23
252.364 0.156 252.603 1.227	0.156 252.603 1.227	252.603 1.227	1.227		1.25	0.96	10.94	0.47	1277	0.0399	0.06	0.2825	0.55	0.0513	0.54
252.045 0.119 251.936 1.366	0.119 251.936 1.366	251.936 1.366	1.366		-0.10	0.58	14.53	0.82	1074	0.0399	0.05	0.2816	0.61	0.0513	0.62
251.989 0.144 252.023 0.676	0.144 252.023 0.676	252.023 0.676	0.676		0.49	0.56	28.93	0.62	2784	0.0399	0.06	0.2817	0.30	0.0513	0.28
252.174 0.367 251.129 2.321	0.367 251.129 2.321	251.129 2.321	2.321		-4.10	0.59	4.11	0.37	680	0.0399	0.15	0.2806	1.04	0.0511	1.02
252.430 0.286 252.213 1.763	0.286 252.213 1.763	252.213 1.763	1.763		-0.56	0.69	6.03	0.40	898	0.0399	0.12	0.2820	0.79	0.0512	0.77
252.413 0.245 252.919 2.130	0.245 252.919 2.130	252.919 2.130	2.130		2.32	0.80	6.63	0.53	724	0.0399	0.10	0.2829	0.95	0.0514	0.94
251.994 0.167 252.168 0.996	0.167 252.168 0.996	252.168 0.996	0.996		1.04	0.63	10.75	0.39	1621	0.0399	0.07	0.2819	0.45	0.0513	0.43
252.403 0.284 252.540 1.982	0.284 252.540 1.982	252.540 1.982	1.982		0.89	0.61	6.77	0.52	789	0.0399	0.11	0.2824	0.89	0.0513	0.87
253.090 0.375 252.838 2.764	0.375 252.838 2.764	252.838 2.764	2.764	1	-0.69	0.64	3.87	0.42	559	0.0400	0.15	0.2828	1.24	0.0513	1.22
253.371 0.165 253.002 1.461 -	0.165 253.002 1.461	253.002 1.461 -	1.461 -		1.21	0.87	16.24	0.87	1054	0.0401	0.07	0.2830	0.65	0.0512	0.64
252.917 0.220 252.968 0.711	0.220 252.968 0.711	252.968 0.711	0.711		0.54	0.61	36.74	0.98	2233	0.0400	0.09	0.2829	0.32	0.0513	0.30
252.778 0.270 252.955 1.111	0.270 252.955 1.111	252.955 1.111	1.111		1.04	0.64	17.72	0.65	1618	0.0400	0.11	0.2829	0.50	0.0513	0.47
252.137 0.200 252.078 0.967	0.200 252.078 0.967	252.078 0.967	0.967		0.10	0.62	16.95	0.57	1769	0.0399	0.08	0.2818	0.43	0.0513	0.41
252.519 0.125 252.436 0.510	0.125 252.436 0.510	252.436 0.510	0.510		0.01	0.57	34.71	0.65	3223	0.0399	0.05	0.2822	0.23	0.0513	0.22
253.079 0.283 253.701 1.493	0.283 253.701 1.493	253.701 1.493	1.493		2.70	1.22	20.94	1.04	1039	0.0400	0.11	0.2838	0.67	0.0515	0.64
253.309 0.231 253.620 1.294	0.231 253.620 1.294	253.620 1.294	1.294		1.55	0.81	13.88	0.67	1180	0.0401	0.09	0.2837	0.58	0.0514	0.57
252.156 0.147 252.327 0.404	0.147 252.327 0.404	252.327 0.404	0.404		1.03	0.64	52.32	0.56	5516	0.0399	0.06	0.2821	0.18	0.0513	0.16



#### 777 Tab. B1. continued.

Inc.         Discription         Size         Discription         Discrintinte <thdiscription< th=""> <thdisc< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>Date</th><th>s (Ma)</th><th></th><th></th><th>Ō</th><th>mposition</th><th>~</th><th></th><th></th><th>Isot</th><th>opic Ratios</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></thdisc<></thdiscription<>			Date	s (Ma)			Ō	mposition	~			Isot	opic Ratios			
	n and	206Pb/238U	±2σ	207Pb/235U	±2σ	Disc. (%)	Th/U	Pb (pg) Pl	bc (pg)	206Pb/204Pb	206Pb/238U	±2σ	207Pb/235U	±2σ 20;	7Pb/206Pb	±2σ
	a	*a °°	(absolute)	*a	(absolute)	$q_*$	*c	$p_*$	*е	<i>J</i> *	*g	(%)	*g	(%)	*g	(%)
(11)         25194         0169         25205         0446         056         5150         056         4508         05039         057         02818         020         05313         0333 <t< td=""><td>0.10</td><td>253.036</td><td>0.339</td><td>253.063</td><td>0.777</td><td>0.45</td><td>0.59</td><td>19.61</td><td>0.52</td><td>2261</td><td>0.0400</td><td>0.14</td><td>0.2830</td><td>0.35</td><td>0.0513</td><td>0.31</td></t<>	0.10	253.036	0.339	253.063	0.777	0.45	0.59	19.61	0.52	2261	0.0400	0.14	0.2830	0.35	0.0513	0.31
0.12         223.13         0.230         233.44         0.240         0.37         0.06         1.38         0.071         0.37         0.06         1.38         0.071         0.37         0.061         0.073         0.37         0.073         0.373         0.071         1.17         0.373         0.373         0.071         0.373 <th0.373< th=""> <th0.373< th=""> <th0.373< th=""></th0.373<></th0.373<></th0.373<>	0.11	251.994	0.169	252.052	0.446	0.56	0.69	51.50	0.66	4508	0.0399	0.07	0.2818	0.20	0.0513	0.17
$ \begin{array}{ ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.12	252.153	0.230	252.159	1.201	0.37	09.0	13.80	0.51	1616	0.0399	0.09	0.2819	0.54	0.0513	0.51
0.14         553.136         0.238         253.136         0.238         253.136         0.238         253.13         0.43         0.73         0.73         0.73         0.86         0.0400         0.09         0.238         0.67         0.0513         0.13           0.16         525.15         0.350         0.51         557         0.17         5572         0.17         0.230         0.61         158         0.65         0.0339         0.14         0.231         0.13         0.051         0.013         0.051         0.013         0.051         0.013         0.051         0.013         0.051         0.013         0.051         0.013         0.051         0.013         0.051         0.013         0.051         0.013         0.051         0.013         0.013         0.023         0.014         0.033         0.011         0.0233         0.013         0.013         0.013         0.013         0.013         0.013         0.013         0.013         0.013         0.013         0.014         0.033         0.014         0.031         0.013         0.013         0.014         0.031         0.014         0.031         0.013         0.014         0.031         0.013         0.013         0.013         0.0131	0.13	253.341	0.128	253.340	1.121	0.31	0.77	11.91	0.51	1345	0.0401	0.05	0.2834	0.50	0.0513	0.49
016         222.515         0105         252.243         0.348         0.72         16,42         016         6680         00399         0.04         0.280         0.16         00512         0.17           0117         222.516         0.137         251.974         0.538         0.66         0.667         0.66         0.693         0.11         0.231         0.01         0.031	0.14	253.136	0.228	253.163	1.951	0.43	0.70	13.35	0.93	846	0.0400	0.09	0.2832	0.87	0.0513	0.86
$ \begin{array}{ ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.16	252.515	0.105	252.243	0.348	-0.72	0.27	16.42	0.16	6680	0.0399	0.04	0.2820	0.16	0.0512	0.13
$ \begin{array}{ ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.17	252.215	0.350	251.974	0.528	-0.65	0.61	15.81	0.17	5572	0.0399	0.14	0.2817	0.24	0.0512	0.17
0.22         252.676         0.258         252.493         0.385         -0.39         0.16         0.282.3         0.17         0.0513         0.17           attan-6         252.062         0.274         251.239         0.831         -3.11         0.55         19.83         0.32         3682         0.0399         0.11         0.2807         0.377         0.0511         0.274           attan-6         11         253.082         0.184         231.59         4.87         0.55         19.83         0.32         3682         0.0399         0.11         0.2807         0.371         0.051         0.37           3         253.3231         0.184         233.518         1.674         1.48         0.58         6.96         0.49         740         0.0401         0.10         0.283         0.95         0.31         0.34           3         253.2459         0.102         253.035         0.668         1.76         0.59         9.56         0.49         740         0.040         0.10         0.27         0.31         0.28           3         255.2459         0.102         2.33         0.56         5.53         0.52         0.561         0.39         0.21         0.29	0.19	252.166	0.127	252.256	0.253	0.67	0.86	43.54	0.28	8650	0.0399	0.05	0.2820	0.11	0.0513	0.09
0.22         252.062         0.274         251.239         0.831         3.11         0.55         1.93         0.32         3682         0.0399         0.11         0.2807         0.37         0.0511         0.27           altan-6         1         253.062         0.144         253.431         1.547         1.84         0.56         0.45         9.05         1.14         0.0514         0.75         0.0514         0.75           2         253.231         0.124         253.643         2.159         0.040         0.67         0.14         486         0.040         0.07         0.288         0.75         0.0514         0.75           3         253.745         0.241         253.643         2.159         0.09         0.67         6.16         0.49         740         0.040         0.07         0.283         0.96         0.071         0.31           4         252.679         0.102         253.685         1.16         0.55         6.55         6.57         0.75         0.0611         0.37         0.0514         0.33           7         252.679         0.102         253.685         1.16         0.55         6.55         6.57         0.75         0.0640         0.	0.20	252.676	0.258	252.493	0.385	-0.39	0.50	20.85	0.16	7842	0.0400	0.10	0.2823	0.17	0.0513	0.12
aitan-6 1. 222.889 0.295 254.066 3.159 4.87 0.59 9.05 1.14 486 0.0400 0.12 0.2843 1.41 0.0516 1.39 2. 233.731 0.2184 253.543 2.159 0.090 0.67 6.16 0.49 740 0.0401 0.10 0.2838 0.96 0.0513 0.34 3. 225.579 0.1241 253.543 2.159 0.090 0.67 6.16 0.49 740 0.0401 0.10 0.2838 0.96 0.0513 0.34 4. 225.273 0.090 255.235 0.612 1.16 0.55 0.26 0.48 2435 0.0400 0.10 0.2833 1.99 0.0516 0.38 5. 222.734 0.240 254.546 2.200 5.41 1.00 5.97 0.48 772 0.0400 0.10 0.2831 1.19 0.0516 1.38 1.12 252.739 0.319 254.546 2.200 5.41 1.00 5.97 0.45 772 0.0400 0.10 0.2831 1.19 0.0516 0.36 1.12 252.738 0.187 253.653 1.453 -0.30 0.59 8.32 0.46 1193 0.0400 0.10 0.2831 1.19 0.0516 0.36 1.12 252.738 0.187 253.169 1.293 2.09 0.59 8.32 0.46 1193 0.0400 0.10 0.2831 1.19 0.0516 0.36 1.13 225.750 0.137 253.545 1.230 -3.51 0.68 0.51 0.49 1193 0.0400 0.09 0.2824 0.59 0.0513 0.57 1.14 252.550 0.187 253.546 1.230 0.59 8.32 0.46 1193 0.0400 0.09 0.2824 0.59 0.0513 0.57 1.15 252.546 1.138 252.540 1.133 -0.37 0.58 0.51 1.193 0.0400 0.09 0.00 0.09 0.0514 0.57 1.16 252.550 0.183 252.540 1.133 -0.35 0.53 0.51 0.52 0.55 0.0511 0.57 0.57 1.17 252.567 0.183 252.540 1.133 -0.35 0.53 0.21 1.358 0.0399 0.01 0.0284 0.51 0.0513 0.57 1.18 252.550 0.131 2.252.540 1.135 -0.36 0.93 5.33 0.22 1.354 0.0399 0.07 0.2824 0.51 0.0513 0.57 1.18 252.550 0.191 2.49.752 0.886 -10.60 0.41 8.95 0.19 22959 0.0399 0.00 0.02 0.2814 0.51 0.0512 0.53 1.8 225.713 0.145 2.52.136 0.535 -1.50 0.53 1.268 0.20 3.724 0.0399 0.06 0.2814 0.51 0.0512 0.53 1.9 252.581 0.145 2.52.136 0.53 1.268 0.20 231 2.50 0.0399 0.06 0.2819 0.0512 0.521 0.551 0	0.22	252.062	0.274	251.239	0.831	-3.11	0.55	19.83	0.32	3682	0.0399	0.11	0.2807	0.37	0.0511	0.27
$ \begin{array}{ ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	aitan-6															
2         253,331         0.184         253,518         1.674         1.48         0.58         6.96         0.45         740         0.0401         0.07         0.2836         0.75         0.0514         0.73           3         253,745         0.241         253.643         2.159         -009         0.67         6.16         0.49         740         0.0401         0.10         0.2838         0.96         0.0513         0.30           5         252,579         0.102         253.035         0.6612         1.16         0.56         26.32         0.52         3029         0.040         0.10         0.2833         0.31         0.0511         0.31           7         252,133         0.099         252,3355         0.612         1.16         0.56         26.32         0.57         0.040         0.10         0.2831         0.31         0.0511         1.18           7         253,199         0.319         254,464         2.03         0.59         0.46         0.13         0.2849         0.51         0.51         0.58           11         0.225         0.319         0.319         0.040         0.19         0.19         0.2849         0.36         0.51         0.51	-	252.889	0.295	254.066	3.159	4.87	0.59	9.05	1.14	486	0.0400	0.12	0.2843	1.41	0.0516	1.39
3 $253,745$ $0.241$ $253,643$ $2.159$ $0.09$ $0.67$ $6.16$ $0.49$ $740$ $0.0401$ $0.10$ $0.2838$ $0.96$ $0.0513$ $0.97$ $4$ $252,579$ $0.102$ $253,035$ $0.688$ $1.76$ $0.56$ $26.32$ $0.52$ $20.399$ $0.040$ $0.04$ $0.2831$ $0.0713$ $0.273$ $7$ $252,579$ $0.099$ $252,3255$ $0.612$ $1.16$ $0.56$ $26.32$ $0.57$ $0.0400$ $0.10$ $0.2843$ $1.19$ $0.0716$ $1.18$ $7$ $252,794$ $0.240$ $254,766$ $2.700$ $5.41$ $1.00$ $5.97$ $0.46$ $0.74$ $0.2843$ $1.19$ $0.0716$ $0.76$ $112$ $252,793$ $0.229$ $254,546$ $2.200$ $5.41$ $1.00$ $5.97$ $0.46$ $1.193$ $0.0740$ $0.19$ $0.2843$ $0.96$ $0.0716$ $0.76$ $112$ $252,793$ $0.229$ $254,547$ $1.293$ $2.09$ $0.597$ $0.791$ $0.079$ $0.071$ $0.77$ $114$ $252,2547$ $1.293$ $2.09$ $0.339$ $0.0400$ $0.09$ $0.060$ $0.051$ $0.051$ $0.572$ $16$ $2252,547$ $1.296$ $0.33$ $0.22$ $0.723$ $0.2232$ $0.781$ $0.784$ $0.897$ $0.752$ $16$ $2252,547$ $1.986$ $0.33$ $0.29$ $0.0400$ $0.09$ $0.079$ $0.2824$ $0.51$ $0.511$ $0.5222160.2370.7$	2	253.231	0.184	253.518	1.674	1.48	0.58	6.96	0.45	926	0.0401	0.07	0.2836	0.75	0.0514	0.73
4 $252.679$ $0.102$ $253.035$ $0.688$ $1.76$ $0.59$ $19.60$ $0.48$ $2435$ $0.0400$ $0.04$ $0.2830$ $0.31$ $0.0514$ $0.303$ 7 $252.123$ $0.099$ $252.325$ $0.612$ $1.16$ $0.56$ $26.32$ $0.52$ $0.52$ $0.0399$ $0.04$ $0.2821$ $0.27$ $0.0513$ $0.25$ 7 $252.754$ $0.240$ $254.068$ $2.670$ $5.35$ $0.70$ $7.16$ $0.74$ $575$ $0.0400$ $0.10$ $0.2843$ $1.19$ $0.0516$ $1.18$ 10 $253.199$ $0.319$ $254.546$ $2.200$ $5.41$ $1.00$ $5.97$ $0.46$ $1193$ $0.0400$ $0.19$ $0.2843$ $1.19$ $0.0516$ $0.65$ 112 $252.728$ $0.187$ $253.169$ $1.293$ $2.09$ $0.597$ $0.74$ $1193$ $0.0400$ $0.09$ $0.2832$ $0.657$ $0.0513$ $0.57$ 113 $252.5547$ $1.936$ $0.33$ $0.57$ $0.68$ $0.74$ $0.2832$ $0.65$ $0.0513$ $0.57$ 114 $252.5561$ $0.237$ $252.547$ $1.986$ $0.93$ $5.33$ $0.22$ $0.512$ $0.0513$ $0.57$ $0.0513$ $0.57$ 116 $252.5561$ $0.182$ $1.183$ $0.57$ $0.68$ $0.74$ $0.2832$ $0.66$ $0.0611$ $0.57$ 116 $252.5567$ $0.186$ $0.33$ $0.33$ $0.29$ $0.090$ $0.019$ $0.2832$ $0.067$ $0.0511$ <	m.	253.745	0.241	253.643	2.159	-0.09	0.67	6.16	0.49	740	0.0401	0.10	0.2838	0.96	0.0513	0.94
5         252.123         0.099         252.325         0.612         1.16         0.56         26.32         0.52         3029         0.039         0.04         0.2821         0.27         0.0513         0.27           7         252.754         0.240         254.66         2.570         5.77         0.0400         0.10         0.2843         1.19         0.0516         1.18           10         253.199         0.319         254.546         2.200         5.41         1.00         5.97         0.45         722         0.0400         0.13         0.2849         0.98         0.0516         0.57           112         252.728         0.319         254.546         2.200         5.41         1.00         5.97         0.46         1193         0.0400         0.13         0.2849         0.98         0.0516         0.575           13         255.758         0.187         255.547         1.986         0.33         0.51         7791         0.0399         0.07         0.58         0.657         0.657         0.657         0.657         0.657         0.657         0.657         0.531         0.532         0.551         0.551         0.551         0.575         0.657         0.668 <td>4</td> <td>252.679</td> <td>0.102</td> <td>253.035</td> <td>0.688</td> <td>1.76</td> <td>0.59</td> <td>19.60</td> <td>0.48</td> <td>2435</td> <td>0.0400</td> <td>0.04</td> <td>0.2830</td> <td>0.31</td> <td>0.0514</td> <td>0.30</td>	4	252.679	0.102	253.035	0.688	1.76	0.59	19.60	0.48	2435	0.0400	0.04	0.2830	0.31	0.0514	0.30
$ \begin{array}{ ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ŀ,	252.123	0.099	252.325	0.612	1.16	0.56	26.32	0.52	3029	0.0399	0.04	0.2821	0.27	0.0513	0.25
	7	252.754	0.240	254.068	2.670	5.35	0.70	7.16	0.74	575	0.0400	0.10	0.2843	1.19	0.0516	1.18
	10	253.199	0.319	254.546	2.200	5.41	1.00	5.97	0.45	722	0.0400	0.13	0.2849	0.98	0.0516	0.96
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	.12	252.793	0.229	252.635	1.453	-0.30	0.59	8.32	0.46	1080	0.0400	0.09	0.2825	0.65	0.0513	0.63
$ \begin{array}{ ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	.13	252.728	0.187	253.169	1.293	2.09	0.62	9.76	0.49	1193	0.0400	0.08	0.2832	0.58	0.0514	0.57
15         252.161         0.237         251.253         1.230         -3.51         0.68         6.78         0.19         2134         0.0399         0.10         0.2808         0.55         0.0511         0.52           16         252.567         0.183         252.405         1.351         -0.36         0.93         5.33         0.22         1358         0.0399         0.07         0.2822         0.60         0.0513         0.57           17         252.567         0.144         252.540         1.143         -0.97         0.51         6.78         0.2824         0.51         0.79         0.49           18         252.172         0.191         249.752         0.886         -10.60         0.41         8.95         0.19         2959         0.0399         0.06         0.07         0.0512         0.49           18         252.136         0.535         -1.50         0.63         12.68         0.20         3724         0.039         0.06         0.07         0.0517         0.31           19         252.581         0.145         252.136         0.535         -1.50         0.63         12.68         0.20         3724         0.039         0.06         0.0512	.14	252.550	0.205	252.547	1.986	0.33	0.57	6.68	0.51	791	0.0399	0.08	0.2824	0.89	0.0513	0.87
16         252567         0.183         252.405         1.351         -0.36         0.93         5.33         0.22         1358         0.0399         0.07         0.2822         0.60         0.0513         0.57           .17         252.862         0.144         252.540         1.143         -0.97         0.51         6.78         0.28         1464         0.0400         0.06         0.2824         0.51         0.49           .18         252.172         0.191         249.752         0.886         -10.60         0.41         8.95         0.19         2959         0.0399         0.08         0.2071         0.31           .18         252.172         0.191         249.752         0.886         -10.60         0.41         8.95         0.19         2959         0.0399         0.08         0.40         0.0507         0.31           .18         252.181         0.145         252.136         0.535         -1.50         0.63         12.68         0.20         3724         0.0399         0.06         0.212         0.212         0.23           .19         252.5181         0.145         2.52.136         0.535         -1.50         0.63         12.68         0.20         3724 </td <td>.15</td> <td>252.161</td> <td>0.237</td> <td>251.253</td> <td>1.230</td> <td>-3.51</td> <td>0.68</td> <td>6.78</td> <td>0.19</td> <td>2134</td> <td>0.0399</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>0.2808</td> <td>0.55</td> <td>0.0511</td> <td>0.52</td>	.15	252.161	0.237	251.253	1.230	-3.51	0.68	6.78	0.19	2134	0.0399	0.10	0.2808	0.55	0.0511	0.52
17         252.862         0.144         252.540         1.143         -0.97         0.51         6.78         0.28         1464         0.0400         0.06         0.2824         0.51         0.0512         0.49           18         252.172         0.191         249.752         0.886         -10.60         0.41         8.95         0.19         22789         0.40         0.06         0.2789         0.40         0.031           18         252.172         0.191         249.752         0.886         -10.60         0.41         8.95         0.19         2959         0.0399         0.08         0.40         0.0507         0.31           19         252.581         0.145         252.136         0.535         -1.50         0.63         12.68         0.20         3724         0.0399         0.06         0.241         0.0312         0.231	.16	252.567	0.183	252.405	1.351	-0.36	0.93	5.33	0.22	1358	0.0399	0.07	0.2822	0.60	0.0513	0.57
18         252.172         0.191         249.752         0.886         -10.60         0.41         8.95         0.19         2959         0.0399         0.08         0.2789         0.40         0.0507         0.31           19         252.581         0.145         252.136         0.535         -1.50         0.63         12.68         0.20         3724         0.0399         0.06         0.241         0.0512         0.23	17	252.862	0.144	252.540	1.143	-0.97	0.51	6.78	0.28	1464	0.0400	0.06	0.2824	0.51	0.0512	0.49
19         252.581         0.145         252.136         0.535         -1.50         0.63         12.68         0.20         3724         0.0399         0.06         0.24         0.0512         0.23	.18	252.172	0.191	249.752	0.886	-10.60	0.41	8.95	0.19	2959	0.0399	0.08	0.2789	0.40	0.0507	0.31
	.19	252.581	0.145	252.136	0.535	-1.50	0.63	12.68	0.20	3724	0.0399	0.06	0.2819	0.24	0.0512	0.23



b % discordance = 100 - (100 \* (206Pb/238U date) / (207Pb/206Pb date)).

c Th contents calculated from radiogenic 208Pb and the 207Pb/206Pb date of the sample, assuming concordance between U-Th and Pb systems.

d Total mass of radiogenic Pb.

e Total mass of common Pb.

f Measured ratio corrected for fractionation and spike contribution only.

g Measured ratio corrected for fractionation, tracer and blank.

<sup>50</sup> Corrected for initial Th/U disequilibrium using radiogenic 208Pb and Th/U<sub>magma</sub> = 3.00. \* Samples marked in red are from a previous study (Baresel et al., in press).







- Baresel, B., D'Abzac, F.X., Bucher, H., and Schaltegger, U.: High-precision time-space correlation through coupled apatite
   and zircon tephrochronology: an example from the Permian-Triassic boundary in South China. Geology,
   doi:10.1130/G38181.1, *in press*.
- Bowring, J.F., McLean, N.M., and Bowring, S.A.: Engineering cyber infrastructure for U-Pb geochronology: tripoli and U Pb\_Redux. Geochem. Geophy. Geosy., 12, Q0AA19, doi:10.1029/2010GC003479, 2011.
- Condon, D.J., Schoene, B., McLean, N.M., Bowring, S.A., and Parrish, R.R.: Metrology and traceability of U-Pb isotope
  dilution geochronology (EARTHTIME Tracer Calibration Part I). Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 164, 464–480,
  doi:10.1016/j.gca.2015.05.026, 2015.
- Gerstenberger, H., and Haase, G.: A highly effective emitter substance for mass spectrometric Pb isotope ratio
   determination. Chem. Geol., 136, 309–312, doi:10.1016/S0009-2541(96)00033-2, 1997.
- Hiess, J., Condon, D.J., McLean, N.M., and Noble, S.R.: <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>235</sup>U Systematics in terrestrial uranium-bearing minerals.
  Science, 335, 1610–1614, doi:10.1126/science.1215507, 2012.
- Jaffey, A.H., Flynn, K.F., Glendenin, L.E., Bentley, W.C., and Essling, A.M.: Precision measurements of half-lives and
   specific activities of <sup>235</sup>U and <sup>238</sup>U. Phys. Rev. C, 4, 1889–1906, doi:10.1103/physrevc.4.1889, 1971.
- Krogh, T.E.: A low contamination method for hydrothermal decomposition of zircon and extraction of U and Pb for isotopic
   age determination. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 37, 485–494, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(73)90213-5, 1973.
- Mattinson, J.M.: Zircon U-Pb chemical abrasion ("CA-TIMS") method: combined annealing and multi-step partial
   dissolution analysis for improved precision and accuracy of zircon ages. Chem. Geol., 220, 47–66,
   doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2005.03.011, 2005.
- McLean, N.M., Bowring, J.F., and Bowring, S.A.: An algorithm for U-Pb isotope dilution data reduction and uncertainty
   propagation. Geochem. Geophy. Geosy., 12, Q0AA18, doi:10.1029/2010GC003478, 2011.
- 800 Ovtcharova, M., Goudemand, N., Hammer, O., Guodun, K., Cordey, F., Galfetti, T., Schaltegger, U., and Bucher, H.: Developing a strategy for accurate definition of a geological boundary through radio-isotopic and biochronological 801 boundary 802 dating: The Early–Middle Triassic (South China). Earth-Sci. Rev., 146. 65-76. 803 doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.03.006, 2015.
- 804
- 805
- 806
- 807
- 808





# 809 Appendix C: Dongpan radiolarians

Feng et al. (2007) suggested that radiolarian faunas underwent two successive extinction phases in Dongpan. Their first 810 radiolarian crisis (FRC) occurs in bed 6 and their second radiolarian crisis (SRC) in bed 8 (see Appendix D). Hence, these 811 812 authors proposed that the decline of radiolarians preceded a late Permian mass extinction (LPME; see Appendix D) placed in 813 bed 9 on the basis of impoverished brachiopod, foraminifera and ostracod faunas (He et al., 2007; Yin et al., 2007) and of a negative excursion of the  $\delta^{13}C_{org}$  record (Zhang et al., 2006). Subsequently, Feng and Algeo (2014) published a new 814 815 radiolarian diversity curve showing the initiation of a protracted decline ("preliminary extinction": PE) starting in the middle 816 part of bed 5. The second radiolarian crisis as initially recognized by Feng et al. (2007) is no longer visible in this 817 progressive diversity reduction at the species level.

818 As radiolarians are well known to be highly sensitive to selective preservation bias, the comparison of this apparent diversity

change with excess  $SiO_2$  brings further insights. Shen et al. (2012) plotted excess  $SiO_2$  along the Dongpan section but it is unclear how much biogenic or volcanogenic silica respectively contributed to these values. Above bed 9, Shen et al. (2012) also indicate absence of kaolinite in the clay fraction, suggesting that a lack of volcaniclastic input did contribute to the low levels of excess  $SiO_2$ . This drop of kaolinite and excess  $SiO_2$  corresponds to the LPME of Feng et al. (2007) and to a lesser degree to the "main extinction" (ME) of Feng and Algeo (2014), which they placed in bed 8. The coincidence between the

drop of excess  $SiO_2$  and the LPME and/or the ME does not enable distinguishing a real extinction event of the radiolarians from a selective preservation bias.

Feng and Algeo (2014) interpreted the onset of the radiolarian decline in bed 5 as being morphologically selective, with 826 827 long-spined species of the orders Spumellaria and Entactinaria preferentially going extinct relative to short-spined species. 828 However, such a statement requires precise investigation of the effects of diagenesis on a bed by bed basis, as post-829 depositional dissolution can be extremely heterogeneous and guided by minor differences of available amount of  $SiO_2$  in 830 each bed. The model proposed by Feng and Algeo (2014) for the evolution of radiolarian-bearing rocks calls upon changes 831 of oceanic redox conditions during the Permo-Triassic transition. This model is based on the assumption that Permian 832 radiolarians can be divided into three paleoecological assemblages based on proportions between four orders (*Entactinaria*, Spumellaria, Latentifistularia and Albaillellaria), each restricted to shallow-, intermediate-, and deep-water environments. 833 834 Dongpan was one of the main examples used to support the claim that radiolarians were differentially affected around the PTB events by an expansion of the oxygen minimum zone (OMZ). According to their scenario, deep-water taxa declined 835 earlier than shallow-water taxa as a result of an expansion of the OMZ. This ecological model of radiolarian stratification 836 with partial mutual taxonomic exclusion is only loosely supported by well-constrained Late Permian data worldwide and is 837 not supported when compared to the present-day planktonic mixing and diversity at any depth. Present-day studies on the 838 839 silica cycle (e.g., Tréguer and De La Rocha, 2013) show that biosiliceous deposits are strongly affected by post-depositional 840 dissolution at the water-sediment interface and during diagenesis. Last but not least, evidences supporting a rise of the OMZ 841 in Dongpan are lacking.







842

843 Fig. C1. Plate of Dongpan radiolarians ordered by taxon, sample, database number, and maximum dimension. 1) Albaillella yaoi 844 Kuwahara, DGP-2, n°20, 200 µm. 2) Albaillella triangularis Ishiga, Kito & Imoto, DGP-2, n° 21, 210 µm. 3) Albaillella triangularis 845 Ishiga, Kito & Imoto, DGP-1, n°06, 170 µm. 4) Albaillella triangularis Ishiga, Kito & Imoto, DGP-1, n° 11, 190 µm. 5) Albaillella 846 levis Ishiga, Kito & Imoto, DGP-1, n°07, 190 µm. 6) Foremanhelena circula Shang, Caridroit & Wang, DGP-2, n°02, 260 µm. 7) 847 Triplanospongos musashiensis Sashida & Tonishi, DGP-2, n°03, 260 µm. 8) Foremanhelena robusta Feng, DGP-2, n°05, 220 µm. 9) 848 Foremanhelena robusta Feng, DGP-2, n°12, 230 µm. 10) Ishigaum tristylum Feng, DGP-2, n°11, 700 µm. 11) Ishigaum fusinum 849 Feng, DGP-2, n°10, 400 µm. 12) Ishigaum sp., DGP-2, n°13, 270 µm. 13) Cauletella delicata Caridroit & Shang, DGP-5, n°03, 260 850 μm. 14) Cauletella paradoxa Shang, Caridroit & Wang, DGP-2, n°07, 400 μm. 15) Cauletella paradoxa Shang, Caridroit & Wang, 851 DGP-2, n°09, 300 µm. 16) Nazarovella gracilis De Wever & Caridroit, DGP-5, n°04, 350 µm. 17) Cauletella manica De Wever & 852 Caridroit, DGP-2, n°14, 210 µm. 18) Hegleria mammilla Sheng & Wang, DGP-2, n°30, 290 µm. 19) Hegleria sp. aff. mammilla 853 Sheng & Wang, DGP-5, n°15, 300 µm. 20) Paracopycintra ziyunensis Feng & Gu, DGP-5, n°18, 270 µm. 21) Copicyntroides sp., 854 DGP-5, n°01, 310 µm. 22) Paracopycintra sp., DGP-5, n°12, 300 µm. 23) Paracopycintra akikawaensis Sashida & Tonishi, DGP-2, 855 n°36, 280 µm. 24) Paracopycintra akikawaensis Sashida & Tonishi, DGP-2, n°33, 300 µm.





- Feng, Q.L., and Algeo, T.J.: Evolution of oceanic redox conditions during the Permo-Triassic transition: Evidence from
  deepwater radiolarian facies. Earth-Sci. Rev., 137, 34–51, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.12.003, 2014.
- Feng, Q.L., He, W.H., Gu, S.Z., Meng, Y.Y., Jin, Y.X., and Zhang, F.: Radiolarian evolution during the latest Permian in
  South China. Global Planet. Change, 55, 177–192, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.06.012, 2007.
- He, W.H., Shi, G.R., Feng, Q.L., Campi, M.J., Gu, S.Z., Bu, J.J., Peng, Y.Q., and Meng, Y.Y.: Brachiopod miniaturization
  and its possible causes during the Permian-Triassic crisis in deep water environments, South China. Palaeogeogr.
  Palaeocl., 252, 145–163, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2006.11.040, 2007.
- Shen, J., Algeo, T.J., Zhou, L., Feng, Q., Yu, J., and Ellwood, B.: Volcanic perturbations of the marine environment in South
  China preceding the latest Permian mass extinction and their biotic effects. Geobiology, 10, 82–103, 2012.
- Tréguer, P.J., and De La Rocha, C.L.: The world ocean silica cycle. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci., 5, 477–501, doi:10.1146/annurev marine-121211-172346, 2013.
- Yin, H.F., Feng, Q.L., Lai, X.L., Baud, A., and Tong, J.N.: The protracted Permo-Triassic crisis and multi-episode extinction
  around the Permian-Triassic boundary. Global Planet. Change, 55, 1–20, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.06.005, 2007.
- Zhang, F., Feng, Q.I., Meng, Y.Y., He, W.H., and Gu, S.Z.: Stratigraphy of organic carbon isotope and associated events
  across the Permian and Triassic boundary in the Dongpan deepwater section in Liuqiao area, Guangxi, South China.
  Geoscience, 20, 1, 42–48, 2006.
- 872
- 873
- 874

875

876

877

878





#### 879 **Appendix D: Penglaitan conodonts**



881 Fig. D1. Plate of Penglaitan conodonts ordered by taxon, sample number and conodont Unitary Associations zones after Brosse et 882 al. (2016). 1) Hindeodus inflatus Nicoll, Metcalfe and Cheng-Yuan, PEN-23, UAZ3-UAZ5 (Griesbachian). The distal denticle is 883 broader and slightly higher than the five posterior denticles. The denticles are evenly appressed along the carina, which is in 884 contrast with holotype of H. inflatus Nicoll, Metcalfe and Cheng-Yuan. The height of the denticles decreases posteriorly, 885 comparable to that of H. postparvus Kozur morphotype 1. In upper view, the carina is laterally compressed and rises directly from 886 the expanded cup. The cup is symmetrical and much expanded. It opens from the distal denticle to the posterior end of the 887 element. 2) Hindeodus parvus Kozur and Pjatakova (juvenile), PEN-23, UAZ3-UAZ5 (Griesbachian). The distal denticle is very 888 high compared to the adjacent denticles. Only three denticles are following in this juvenile element. The blade rises from the round 889 and symmetrical cup. 3) Hindeodus ?praeparvus Kozur, PEN-24. The distal denticle is broad and tall. Although most of the 890 denticles of the carina are broken, the height of the carina seems to progressively decrease posteriorly. From his outline, this 891 element resembles H. typicalis Sweet, with a progressive posterior inclination of the carina. The widely oppressed denticles seem to 892 indicate a closer relation to H. praeparvus Kozur.

893

894 Brosse, M., Bucher, H., and Goudemand, N.: Quantitative biochronology of the Permian-Triassic boundary in South China

895 based on conodont Unitary Associations. Earth-Sci. Rev., 155, 153–171, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.02.003, 2016.