



Differences and influencing factors for underground water carbon uptake by karsts in Houzhai Basin, southwest China

- Junyi Zhang^{1,2},Zihao Bian¹, Minghong Dai¹, Lachun Wang¹*, Weici Su³
- 4 1.School of Geographic and Oceanographic Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China.
- 5 2.School of Tourism and Land Resources, Chongqing Technology and Business University,
- 6 Chongqing 400067, China.
- 7 3. The Institute of Mountain Resources, Guizhou Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550018, China.
- 8 Correspondence to: Lachun Wang (wang6312@263.net.cn)

9 Abstract

3

10 Karst geological carbon sink is an important part of the global carbon sink, so how to get the accurate carbon sink of karst ecosystem has become the core issue of the research. We used flow 11 12 and carbon ion concentration data from three stations with different environmental background 13 conditions in the Houzhai basin to analyze the differences in carbon uptake between stations and their impact factors. Results show that carbon sink discharge was mainly controlled by the flow of 14 15 each site. The rapid increase in flow only has a partial dilution effect on ion concentrations, preliminary analysis considered due to the high speed and stability of chemical carbonate 16 17 weathering. LUCC type has important effects on the bicarbonate ions concentrations, if runoff is 18 stable, the influence of flow variation on ion concentration will be less than the effects of chemical 19 carbonate weathering in different environmental conditions (comparison of Laoheitan and Liugu 20 station results is 150%) on bicarbonate ion concentrations. However, if runoff increases 21 significantly, the impact of runoff variation on bicarbonate ions will be greater than the effects of chemical carbonate weathering by different environmental conditions (comparison results of 22 23 Laoheitan and Maoshuikeng station). This work provides a reference for the calculation of karst geological carbon sink. 24

25 1. Introduction

Global warming from emissions of greenhouse gases has become the core issue of global 26 environmental change. One of the most pressing concerns in the science of global climate change 27 28 is the effective accounting of the global budget for atmospheric CO₂ (Schindler, 1999; Melnikov 29 and Neill.2006;Liu et al.,2010;Kao et al.,2014), since in order to control global warming, it is 30 necessary to control emissions of carbon dioxide through carbon capture and storage (CCS) 31 technology. In addition to developing CCS technology, an understanding of a number of natural 32 ecological and geological processes such as rock weathering, plant growth, and other physical, 33 chemical, and biological processes can also improve CCS (Hoffmann et al., 2013). Carbonate 34 weathering in rock weathering processes is considered to be both an important source and sink of 35 CO₂ (Zeng et al.,2015;Lian et al.,2011;Liu and Zhao,2000;Serrano-Ortiz et al.,2010;James et





36 al.,2006). Carbonate rock dissolves more easily in water in which CO₂ is dissolved, and at a 37 temperature of 15 $^{\circ}$ C and atmospheric CO₂ partial pressure of 380 ppmv, the equilibrium concentration of the dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in a water system of CaCO₇--CO₇--H₂O can 38 39 reach 1231 mol/L in the water with calcium carbonate (Dreybolt, 1988). Moreover, karst is widely distributed around the world; it occupies about 11.2% of the Earth's surface, and about 15 million 40 41 km² in the earth (Dürr et al.,2005). Therefore, carbonate is closely associated with atmospheric CO₂ concentrations through carbonate weathering processes and becomes an important component 42 43 of the global carbon cycle. As a result, carbon uptake from chemical weathering can significantly 44 influence the evolution of atmospheric $[CO_2]$ in the Earth's long-term (over the past 100 million 45 years) (Berner et al., 1983) and short-term climate (Liu, 2012). Moreover, the previous research has 46 shown that more carbon is sequestered from carbonate weathering than from silicate rock 47 weathering (Liu,2012).

Consequently, it is very important to accurately estimate net carbon uptake from carbonate 48 49 weathering processes. Currently, there are two main methods for calculating carbonate weathering 50 carbon sinks. The first method uses the empirical relationship between carbon uptake rates and different lithology types and calculates the weathering by determining the different empirical 51 dissolved constants such as 0.0294 (g C mm⁻¹) or 0.0383g C mm⁻¹ estimated by Amiotte Suchet 52 53 and Probst (1995) and Bluth and Kump (1994), respectively. The other method estimates carbon 54 sinks using observations of river chemistry such as karst water flow and concentrations of 55 bicarbonate. Nevertheless, there are always some differences between the results of the two 56 calculation methods (Yan et al., 2011).

Karst is widely distributed in China, which has approximately 3.44 million km² of karst area, 57 including buried, covered, and exposed carbonate rock areas (Jiang et al., 2014), and about 0.4 58 million km² of karst is located in the southwest (Jiang and Yuan, 1999). The most frequently used 59 calculation methods for carbon sequestration are the forward method (Zhang, 2011) and, in 60 China's karst regions, the river chemistry method. But, there are some defects of the forward 61 62 method because physical models cannot truly reflect the in situ karstification and carbon migration 63 process (Zhiqiang et al., 2011), and for this reason the river chemistry method is more frequently adopted (Zhao et al., 2010; Yan et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2015). 64

There are large discrepancies in the estimates of carbon sequestration in China, ranging from 65 5 Tg Cyr⁻¹ (Jiang and Yuan,1999) to 12 Tg Cyr⁻¹ (Yan et al.,2011) and18 Tg Cyr⁻¹ (Liu and 66 67 Zhao,2000). These values are usually derived from the observed carbon discharge from a single 68 water chemical observatory in a single basin in southwest China; however, there may be some deviations in the results of this single observation site because of the high heterogeneity of the 69 70 karst system, the sensitivity of the response to external environment changes, and the interference 71 of human activity which is usually intensified in karst regions. Studies have shown that, carbonate weathering is sensitive to ecosystem dynamics, which means that carbonate weathering and 72 associated CO2 consumption discharges quickly react to any global changes or land use 73





74 modifications (Calmels et al.,2014). Therefore, in this study we used flow and carbon ion 75 concentration data from three observation stations with different environmental background 76 conditions in the same karst groundwater basin in order to analyze the differences in carbon 77 uptake between stations and their impact factors. This work also provides a reference for 78 improving the calculation accuracy of karst geological carbon sink.

79 2. Materials and methods

80 2.1. Study Area

81 Houzhai basin is located in Puding county in the middle of Guizhou province (26 $^{\circ}13$ '- 26 $^{\circ}$ 15' N, 105 °41 '105 °43' E). The total area of the basin is 80.65 km2, and the length of the main 82 83 river is about 12 km (including the ground and underground river) (Figure 1). The southeastern 84 portion of the basin is lower than the northwestern portion. The relative elevation of the basin is 85 about 150 m, and its average altitude is 1250 m. A typical hoodoo depression physiognomy is 86 distributed in the east of the basin where the main land-use type is forest vegetation, while karst is 87 distributed in the west of the basin where the main land-use type is farmland. It has a subtropical humid climate; the average rainfall is 1316.8 mm and the average temperature is 15.5 °C. The 88 rainy season occurs from May to October and the dry season from November to April. 89 90 Precipitation during the rainy season accounts for more than 80% of annual rainfall. Bedrock in 91 the basin is composed of mainly carbonate rock formed during the Triassic. As a result of 92 lithology and geological structure, karstification is strong and karst formation is widely developed 93 in the basin. Hydrological runoff processes are significantly influenced by karst underground 94 space (gap and pipe) and its distribution characteristics. There is no obvious surface river valley 95 upstream, and although there is a river valley midstream and downstream, seasonal runoff only 96 appears temporarily, and leakage pits are arranged along the riverbed.



97 98

Figure 1. The distribution of drainage systems and weather hydrological stations.

99 2.2. Date Sources and Methods

100 2.2.1. Date Sources

¹⁰¹ The main data are derived from three groundwater hydrology and water quality monitoring





102 stations: A (Maoshuikeng MSK), B (Liugu LG), and C (Laoheitan LHT) (Figure 1). The three 103 stations are located in the upstream, midstream and downstream reaches of the basin, respectively. The LHT station is located on the edge of the peak cluster depression, the LG station is located in 104 105 the region of the peak cluster basin, and the control areas of the two stations are 24.06 km^2 and 15.81 km², respectively (Wang et al.,2010). MSK station is located at the outlet of the 106 underground river, and its control area is 80.56 km² (Figure 1). We selected continuous and 107 complete data, which contain the average daily flow data and HCO_{2} concentration data from 108 109 MSK station (1996-2001), LG station (1992-1996), and LHT station (1988-2002). Average annual 110 temperatures from 1988-2002 were provided by Puding station, which was located at the boundary 111 of the basin. Concentration data were measured directly by the water samples station. Water 112 samples were collected from the underground rivers at a water depth of 0.6 m at exit, 6 times per 113 month in the rainy season (May to October) and 3 times a month in the dry season (November to April of the following year) sampling, and water samples were measured for pH using a portable 114 meter. Water temperature and the concentration of bicarbonate ([HCO_{2}^{-}]) were determined by 115 titration with standard hydrochloric acid (HCl) immediately after samples were taken at the 116 117 sampling site.

118 2.2.2. Determination of Water Samples and DIC Method

119 Bicarbonate concentration was measured using a neutralization titration method. The steps of 120 the method are as follows: (1) Add a sample to a 100 ml beaker and drip 4 drops of phenol red indicator and then shake the sample well; (2) Titrate the sample using standard HCl (0.025 mol/L) 121 until the red disappears at a pH of 8.4 and record the standard HCL usage quantity VI; (3) Drip 122 three drops of methyl orange indicator into the sample and shake well, then titrate using standard 123 124 HCl until the color of the sample changes to orange at a pH of 4.4, and record the HCl usage 125 quantity (V2); and (4) Finally, measure the concentration of carbonate ions in the water samples 126 by using formula (1):

127
$$\rho = \frac{(V_2 - V_1) \times c \times 61.017 \times 1000}{V}$$
(1)

In a karst environment, carbon dioxide dissolves in water and undergoes a reversible chemicalprocess (2) with calcium carbonate:

130
$$CaCO_3 + H_2O + CO_2 \Leftrightarrow Ca^{2+} + 2HCO_3^{-}$$
(2)

Under a steady state, the quantity of carbon dioxide dissolved in karst water is equal to the discharge of CO_2 from the atmosphere. That discharge in g C m⁻² time step⁻¹ is calculated according to the following formula (3) (Yan et al.,2011;Amiotte-Suchet and Probst,1993).

134
$$F = \frac{1}{2} cq \frac{M_c}{M_{HCO_3}}$$
(3)





135 where c is the concentration of bicarbonate $ions(g/m^3)$; q is the production flow $(m^3/time)$ step); M_C and M_{HCO3} are the molecular weights of C and HCO_3^- , respectively, and 1/2 means that 136 1 mol of bicarbonate needs only half a mole of CO_2 from the soil or atmosphere. Additionally, 137 karst water is generally alkaline. The content of $CO_3^{2-}C$ in dissolved inorganic C is very small, so 138 we did not need to consider it in the DIC calculation (Gelbrecht et al., 1998; Yan et al., 2011). In 139 140 this study, we used the formula F_1 below to calculate net carbon uptake by karst, using the estimates of year mean [HCO3⁻], ion concentration during the dry-wet season, and the mean daily 141 142 underground flow discharge.

143
$$F_1 = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{M_c}{M_{HCO_3}} \cdot \bar{c} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{12} q_n$$
 (4)

where *C* is either the annual average bicarbonate density or the ion concentration in the dry-wet season (mg/L), and q is the average daily excretion(m^3/s , n=365 day).

146 **3. Results**

147 3.1. Dry -Wet Seasonal and Inter-annual Variations of Ion Concentration and Discharge

For each site during the study period, the ion concentration in the wet season was slightly 148 149 smaller than in the dry season. LHT station, which had the longest study period, exhibited the highest and lowest values for bicarbonate ion concentration, which were 240.5mg/L (1994) and 150 201.7 mg/L (1999) in the rainy season, 259.6 mg/L (2002) and 234.7 mg/L (1991) in the dry 151 152 season, and 248.3 mg/L (1994) and 218.8 mg/L (1999) for the whole year, respectively. Moreover, 153 there was a negative correlation between ion concentration and discharge (Figures 2, 3, and 4). 154 From 1992-1996, the annual average concentration of bicarbonate ions in the rainy season, dry 155 season, and whole year were 228.8 mg/L, 249.3 mg/L, 239.1 mg/L, respectively for LHT station and 222.0 mg/L, 253.5 mg/L, 237.8 mg/L, respectively at LG station. Although there is little 156 157 difference in ion concentration between the two stations, when considering the stability of ion concentration changes (Table 1), LG station was more stable than LHT station. During the same 158 159 period, from 1996-2001, the annual average ion concentrations in the rainy season, dry season, and whole year were 217.8 mg/L, 247.4 mg/L, and 232.6 mg/L, respectively for LHT station, and 160 209.9 mg/L, 226.4 mg/L, 218.2 mg/L, respectively for MSK station. Table 1 shows that MSK 161 station was more stable than LHT station with respect to the standard deviation of ion 162 concentration variation. Although, the difference in ion concentrations between LHT and LG was 163 smaller than that between LHT and MSK, differences in the site as a whole were small. 164

The discharge from MSK station, which is located at the outlet of the underground river basin, was larger than the discharge from LG and LHT. From 1996-2001, the annual average flow values of MSK in the rainy season, dry season, and whole year were 282.5 m³, 121.3 m³, 403.9m³, respectively, and the flow in the rainy season was significantly greater than in the dry season. The flow of LG and LHT in the rainy and dry seasons exhibited the same trend (Figure 2, 3, and 4).





170	From Table 1, we can determine the stability of flow as follows: MSK>LG>LHT.	
-----	--	--

171 Table1. Standard deviation of production flow, ion concentration, and carbon sink for each station in the dry172 and wet seasons and over the whole year

Station	Water Discharge Rate			Bicarbonate Concentration			Carbon Uptake		
	wet	dry	year	wet	dry	year	wet	dry	year
MSK	19.59	19.87	21.83	6.46	6.64	5.26	1.12	0.84	0.43
LG	19.78	2.67	18.55	10.64	4.81	4.99	2.93	0.45	1.54
LHT	13.28	7.33	16.37	10.04	8.41	7.55	3.34	1.84	2.05





Figure 2. Variation in runoff, ion, and carbon sink for LHT station (1988-2002).



Figure 3. Variation in runoff, ion, and carbon sink for LG station (1992-1996).







179 180

Figure 4. Variation in runoff, ion, and carbon sink for MSK station (1996-2001).

181 **3.2.** Dry and Wet Seasonal and Inter-annual Variations in Carbon Uptake Rate

From 1996-2001 at MSK station, the annual average carbon sink discharges of underground 182 water in the rainy season, dry season, and whole year was 12.51, 5.78, and 9.28g/m², respectively, 183 with a significantly greater net discharge in the rainy season compared to the dry season. From 184 1992-1996 at LHT station, the annual average carbon sink discharges in the rainy season, dry 185 season, and whole year was 10.78g/m², 2.38g/m², and 6.89g/m², respectively. From 1988-2002 186 (data from 2000 is missing) at LHT station, the annual average carbon sink discharges in the rainy 187 season, dry season, and whole year were 19.48, 8.34, and 13.91g/m², respectively, greater than 188 both LG and MSK stations (Figure 6). From 1996-2002 at LHT station, the annual average net 189 carbon sink discharges in the rainy season, dry season, and whole year were 20.82 g/m^2 , 8.14 g/m^2 , 190 and 14.48 g/m², respectively while from 1992-2002 the respective values were 20.18 g/m², 8.72 191 g/m^2 , and 14.45 g/m^2 . Comparing the results for the same period, we found that the annual carbon 192 193 sink discharge in the rainy season, dry season, and whole year for LHT station were greater than those for MSK and LG stations. However, with respect to the stability of carbon discharge (Table 194 195 1), MSK was the most stable in the rainy season while LG was the most stable in the dry season.

196 4. Discussion

197 4.1. Flow and Ion Concentration Change and its Effects on Carbon Sink

According to the flow trend of each station, we can see that the flow in the rainy season is consistent with the flow trend for the whole year, suggesting that the runoff from precipitation in the rainy season accounts for the majority of the annual runoff. This is mainly a result of the monsoon climate where summer (May-September) precipitation levels are significantly higher than in the winter (December-February); however, the flow trend in the dry season was smooth (figure 5) because of less rainfall in the dry season when the runoff was mainly supplied by soil





water and fissure/pore water. It also suggests that the composition of underground karst aquifer
medium structures have important effects on the dry season flow. However, due to the difference
between the control area and the surface to underground diversion ratio, the flows between sites
cannot be compared.

208 The trends in annual runoff among sites are consistent with carbon sink discharge but differ 209 from the trends for bicarbonate ions (figure 2, 3, 4). This suggests that the effect of flow change on 210 carbon sink is greater than on ion concentration. According to changes in ion concentrations in the 211 rainy season, dry season, and whole year (figure 2, 3, and 4), flow correlated negatively with 212 carbon ion concentration, but if there was a significant difference between flow in the rainy season 213 and in the dry season, bicarbonate ion concentrations would not decrease when the flow increased rapidly. Although we found differences between bicarbonate ion concentrations in the dry and wet 214 215 seasons (ion concentrations in the dry season are greater than in the rainy season), they were 216 small.

217 We then contrasted the results of each site. From 1992-1996, the annual average carbon ion 218 concentration was 237.8 mg/L for LG station and 239.1 mg/L for LHT station. The annual average flow of LHT station was 1.37 times that of LG station, but the ion concentration did not decline 219 220 significantly due to the increase in flow. The basin area controlled by LHT station is characterized 221 by peaks and valleys, which have good vegetation cover that recovers rapidly. Previous studies have shown that the concentration of HCO₃⁻ is vulnerable to LUCC (land cover and land use 222 change) and other environmental changes (Zhao et al., 2010;Lan et al., 2015). In particular, the fast 223 224 recovery of vegetation can significantly promote the dissolution of carbonate and thus increase 225 bicarbonate ion concentration in karst groundwater (Liu et al., 2010; Berner, 1997). This suggests that when there is little change in flow, the effect of flow increase on ion concentration dilution is 226 smaller than the environmental effects of chemical carbonate weathering. 227

228 From 1996-2001, annual average carbon ion concentrations at LHT and MSK stations were 229 232.6 mg/L and 218.2 mg/L, respectively, but the average annual flow for LHT was only 115.6 m^3 , while MSK exhibited an annual flow of 3.49 times that value. Similarly, ion concentration did not 230 231 decline significantly as a result of the increase in flow. MSK station is located at the edge of a 232 paddy field, which has thicker soil coverage, and the underground rivers have more biological 233 carbon sources that could produce more HCO_3^{-1} in the ground water compared to LHT. Therefore, 234 the flow only has a partial dilution effect on ion concentration. Meanwhile, the effect of flow 235 increase on ion concentration dilution exceeded the environmental effects of carbonate weathering. This shows that the dilution effects of the flow change on ion concentrations were not 236 multiplicative. That is to say, the flow was just a part of the dilution effect on ion concentrations, 237 and thus carbonate weathering was significantly affected by factors other than flow. Bicarbonate 238 ion concentrations of karst underground water may have a relatively stable extremum when 239





environmental conditions are stable. In addition, although studies have shown that under the
conditions of a stable LUCC, the strength of the carbon sink from rock weathering will depend on
the climate (e.g. temperature T, precipitation P) (Hagedorn and Cartwright,2009;Gislason et
al.,2009;Tipper et al.,2006), the annual average carbon sink trend for LHT station, which had the
longest study period (1988-2002), differed significantly from the annual average temperature trend.
However, this may be a result of time resolution limitations of the monitoring data.



246

247 248 Figure 5. Variation in flow among sites in the rainy season, dry season, and whole year during the study period (a. LHT; b. LG; c. MSK).



249

Figure 6. Variation in net carbon discharge among sites in the rainy season, dry season, and whole year during the

- 251
- 252

study period.







253

Figure 7. Variation in bicarbonate ion concentrations among sites in in the rainy season, dry season, and whole
 year during the study period.

256 4.2. Variation in Carbon Sink Discharge for Each Site

257 The carbon sink discharge for each site in the rainy season was greater than for that of the dry 258 season and the annual average, while the carbon sink discharge in the dry season was less than the 259 annual average (figure 6). This shows that the karst carbon sink (karstification's absorption of atmospheric CO₂ and soil CO₂) changes significantly with the seasons and exhibits striking 260 seasonal patterns. The reason for this is that the considerable summer rainfall runoff significantly 261 262 increases the amount of carbon sink discharge in the rainy season. The annual average carbon sink discharge of all the stations during study period shows that LHT>MSK>LG; however, 263 comparisons cannot be made due to the different study periods. 264

265 During the same period, the carbon sink values for LHT station in the rainy season, dry season, and whole year were greater than both LG and MSK stations. From 1992-1996, the flow 266 267 for LG in the rainy season and dry season were both significantly less than for LHT (figure 6). The 268 annual average concentration for LG in the rainy season and dry season were 239.1 mg/L and 269 237.8 mg/L, respectively, and are slightly less than LHT (figure 6). The difference in carbon sink 270 discharge between the two stations results from differences in flow. Furthermore, the LHT control basin station is surrounded mainly by forest vegetation while the LG control basin is surrounded 271 272 mainly by dry farmland, and the different LUCC types may further increase differences in carbon 273 sink discharge between the two stations.

From 1996-2001, the carbon sink discharge for LHT station in the dry and wet seasons and
whole year were greater than for MSK station. The annual average concentration for LHT (230.4
mg/L) was greater than for MSK (218.2 mg/L), while the runoff was significantly greater for





277 MSK than for LHT. On the one hand, the fact that the carbon sink discharge for MSK was less 278 than LHT might be linked to the water conveying distance and LUCC type of the control area. The 279 carbon sink for MSK, which is the groundwater outlet for the whole basin, was influenced by the landform and LUCC type of the entire river basin (Figure 1). Previous research has shown that 280 281 karst erosion rates under soil vary significantly for different LUCC types in karst watersheds, and the averages for cultivated land, thickets, secondary forests, grassland, and forest were found to be 282 4.02, 7.0, 40.0, 20.0 and 63.5 t km² a^{-1} , respectively (Zhang, 2011), with the erosion rate of 283 284 carbonate karst under the cover of cultivated land being the lowest (Yan et al., 2014). Previous 285 research has also shown that vegetation can increase the speed of weathering by 3-10 times 286 (Berner, 1997). According to monitoring data from Guilin province in China vegetation restoration can significantly increase the average annual concentration of soil CO₂ (increased by 266% in 10 287 years). The increase in CO₂ promotes the dissolution of carbonate rock and greatly increases 288 HCO₃ concentrations in groundwater (Liu,2012;Waterson and Canuel,2008). Research in the 289 290 Houzhai valley has shown that forest recovery causes more carbon dioxide (CO₂) to be dissolved in karst water, which in turn allows for carbon uptake by forests (Yan et al., 2014). This research 291 also suggested that karst hydro-geochemistry and the karst-related carbon cycle could be regulated 292 effectively by different LUCC types (Zhao et al., 2010). On the other hand, in the process of runoff 293 294 converging at the outlet, much of the water flows into the surface river and flows across the thick soil of paddy fields, but our calculation method only considers carbonate weathering carbon sinks 295 (water - rock - gas interaction) and not the organic processes, which may affect calculation results. 296 297 Research has shown that aquatic photosynthesis uses dissolved inorganic carbon to synthesize 298 organic carbon (Waterson and Canuel, 2008; Tao et al., 2009), and this is also one of the factors affecting the results. In addition, differences in basin surface water and groundwater proportions 299 controlled by geological landform could also affect the calculation results. 300

301 To sum up, the calculation results for carbon sink discharge from karstification using 302 watershed monitoring data in areas limited to a dominant single LUCC type may differ in a small watershed where geomorphology, hydrology, and land use cover are different. This is one of the 303 304 reasons why there is such a large deviation in China's total carbon sink discharge estimated by 305 using carbon sink data from a single watershed in a karst region. Therefore, considering the 306 diversity of landform types and surface covers in the southwestern karst area, it is important to 307 develop a monitoring network in different topographical and surface cover regions, using a variety 308 of monitoring technologies to improve the accuracy of karst carbon sink estimates.

309 5. Conclusion

310 It is important basic significance to determine the main factors that affect the karst 311 geological carbon sink and understand the mechanism of their effects on the karst geological 312 carbon sink. Through the contrast analysis of flow, bicarbonate ion concentrations and carbon sink





313 discharge between the different sites in three stations located upstream, midstream and 314 downstream of Houzhai basin, respectively, we analyzed the reasons for the difference of flow, bicarbonate ion concentrations and carbon sink discharge. The preliminary conclusions are as 315 316 follows: (1) The carbon sink discharge was mainly controlled by the flow of each site, and LUCC 317 type has important effects on the bicarbonate ions concentrations in each site. (2) The large 318 difference in flow among sites did not lead to significant differences in bicarbonate ion 319 concentrations in the sites, showing that the rapid increase in flow only has a partial dilution effect 320 on ion concentrations. Due to the high speed and stability of chemical carbonate weathering, 321 bicarbonate ion concentrations did not change significantly, and thus did not affect carbon sink 322 discharge. (3) For different LUCC conditions, if runoff is stable, the influence of flow variation on ion concentration will be less than the effects of chemical carbonate weathering by different 323 environmental conditions (comparison of LHT and LG results is 150%) on bicarbonate ion 324 325 concentrations. However, if runoff increases significantly, the impact of runoff variation on 326 bicarbonate ions will be greater than the effects of chemical carbonate weathering by different 327 environmental conditions (comparison results of LHT and MSK).

328 In addition, this study without considering the proportional distribution problem of the 329 surface and underground runoff in catchment area of each monitoring sites, which may have 330 influence on the results. Therefore, it is necessary to monitor runoff and bicarbonate ions of the 331 surface and underground simultaneously, but unfortunately the monitoring data we used 332 did not achieve it.

333

334 Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China
(No.41371045) and the National Key Technology R&D Program of the Ministry of Science and
Technology of China(No.2014BAB03B001).

338

References:

Amiotte Suchet, P. and Probst, J. L.: A global model for present - day atmospheric/soil CO₂
consumption by chemical erosion of continental rocks (GEM - CO₂), Tellus Series B, Chemical and
physical meteorology, 47, 273-280, doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0889.47.issue1.23.x, 1995.

343 Amiotte-Suchet, P. and Probst, J.: CO₂ flux consumed by chemical-weathering of continents-influences

344 of drainage and lithology, Comptes Rendus De L Academie Des Sciences Serie II, 317, 615-622, 1993.

345 Berner, R. A.: The Rise of Plants and Their Effect on Weathering and Atmospheric CO₂, Science, 276,

346 544-546, doi: 10.1126/science.276.5312.544, 1997.

Berner, R. ,Lasaga, A. and Garrels, R.: The carbonate-silicate geochemical cycle and its effect on
atmospheric carbon dioxide over the past 100 million years, American Journal of Science, 283,
641-683, doi: 10.2475/ajs.283.7.641, 1983.

350 Bluth, G. J. S. and Kump, L. R.: Lithologic and climatologic controls of river chemistry, Geochimica et





- 351 Cosmochimica Acta, 58, 2341-2359, doi: 10.1016/0016-7037(94)90015-9, 1994.
- 352 Calmels, D., Gaillardet, J. and Fran ois, L.: Sensitivity of carbonate weathering to soil CO2 production
- by biological activity along a temperate climate transect, Chemical Geology, 390, 74-86, doi: 353
- 354 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.10.010, 2014.
- 355 Dreybolt, W. (Ed.): Processes in karst systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- Dürr, H. H., Meybeck, M. and Dürr, S. H.: Lithologic composition of the Earth's continental surfaces 356
- 357 derived from a new digital map emphasizing riverine material transfer, Global Biogeochemical Cycles,
- 358 19, n/a-n/a, doi: 10.1029/2005GB002515, 2005.
- 359 Gelbrecht, J., Fait, M., Dittrich, M. and Steinberg, C.: Use of GC and equilibrium calculations of CO2
- 360 saturation index to indicate whether freshwater bodies in north-eastern Germany are net sources or sinks for atmospheric CO₂, Fresenius' Journal of Analytical Chemistry, 361, 47-53, doi: 361 362 10.1007/s002160050832, 1998.
- 363 Gislason, S. R. ,Oelkers, E. H. ,Eiriksdottir, E. S. ,Kardjilov, M. I. ,Gisladottir, G. ,Sigfusson,
- 364 B. ,Snorrason, A. ,Elefsen, S. ,Hardardottir, J. ,Torssander, P. and Oskarsson, N.: Direct evidence of
- the feedback between climate and weathering, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 277, 213-222, doi: 365 366 10.1016/j.epsl.2008.10.018, 2009.
- 367 Hagedorn, B. and Cartwright, I.: Climatic and lithologic controls on the temporal and spatial variability
- 368 of CO2 consumption via chemical weathering: An example from the Australian Victorian Alps, 369 Chemical Geology, 260, 234-253, doi: 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.12.019, 2009.
- 370 Hoffmann, T. ,Mudd, S. M. ,Van Oost, K. ,Verstraeten, G. ,Erkens, G. ,Lang, A. ,Middelkoop,
- 371
- H. ,Boyle, J. ,Kaplan, J. O. and Willenbring, J.: Short Communication: Humans and the missing C-sink: 372 erosion and burial of soil carbon through time, Earth Surface Dynamics, 1, 45, 2013.
- 373 Huang, F. , Zhang, C. , Xie, Y. , Li, L. and Cao, J.: Inorganic carbon flux and its source in the karst 374 catchment of Maocun, Guilin, China, Environmental Earth Sciences, 74, 1079-1089, doi: 375 10.1007/s12665-015-4478-4, 2015.
- 376 James, U. L., Baldini, L. M., Baldini, F. M. and Clipson, N.: Carbon dioxide sources, sinks, and spatial
- 377 variability in shallow temperate zone caves: evidence from Ballynamintra Cave, Ireland, Journal of
- 378 Cave and Karst Studies, 68, 4-11, 2006.
- 379 Jiang, Z. C., Lian, Y. Q. and Qin, X. Q.: Rocky desertification in Southwest China: Impacts, causes,
- 380 and restoration, Earth-Science Reviews, 132, 1-12, doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2014.01.005, 2014.
- Jiang, Z. C. and Yuan, D. X.: CO2 source-sink in karst processes in karst areas of China, Episodes, 21, 381 382 33-35, 1999.
- 383 Kao, S. J., Hilton, R. G., Selvaraj, K., Dai, M., Zehetner, F., Huang, J. C., Hsu, S. C., Sparkes, R., Liu,
- 384 J. T. and Lee, T. Y.: Preservation of terrestrial organic carbon in marine sediments offshore Taiwan:
- 385 mountain building and atmospheric carbon dioxide sequestration, Earth Surface Dynamics, 2, 127, 2014. 386
- 387 Lan, F., Qin, X., Jiang, Z., Meng, R., Mo, R., Yang, S., Wang, W. and An, S.: Influences of Land 388 Use/Land Cover on Hydrogeochemical Indexes of Karst Groundwater in the Dagouhe Basin,
- 389 Southwest China, Clean-Soil, Air, Water, 43, 683-689, doi: 10.1002/clen.201300872, 2015.
- 390 Lian, B., Yuan, D. X. and Liu, Z. H.: Effect of microbes on karstification in karst ecosystems, Chinese 391 Science Bulletin, 56, 2158-2161, 2011.
- 392 Liu, Z.: New progress and prospects in the study of rock-weathering-related carbon sinks, Chinese
- 393 Science Bulletin, 57, 95-102, 2012.
- 394 Liu, Z. ,Dreybrodt, W. and Wang, H.: A new direction in effective accounting for the atmospheric CO2





- budget: Considering the combined action of carbonate dissolution, the global water cycle and photosynthetic uptake of DIC by aquatic organisms, Earth-Science Reviews, 99, 162-172, doi:
- **397** 10.1016/j.earscirev.2010.03.001, 2010.
- 398 Liu, Z. and Zhao, J.: Contribution of carbonate rock weathering to the atmospheric CO2 sink,
- Environmental Geology, 33, 1053-1058
- 400 , doi: 10.1007/s002549900072, 2000.
- Melnikov, N. B. and Neill, B. C. O.: Learning about the carbon cycle from global budget data,
 Geophysical Research Letters, 33, doi: 10.1029/2005GL023935, 2006.
- 403 Schindler, D. W.: The mysterious missing sink, Nature, 398, 105-107, doi: 10.1038/18111, 1999.
- 404 Serrano-Ortiz, P. ,Roland, M. ,Sanchez-Moral, S. ,Janssens, I. A. ,Domingo, F. ,Godd éris, Y. and
- 405 Kowalski, A. S.: Hidden, abiotic CO2 flows and gaseous reservoirs in the terrestrial carbon cycle:
- 406 Review and perspectives, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 150, 321-329, doi:
 407 10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.01.002, 2010.
- 408 Tao, F. X., Liu, C. Q. and Li, S. L.: Source and flux of POC in two subtropical karstic tributaries with
- 409 contrasting land use practice in the Yangtze River Basin, Applied Geochemistry, 24, 2102-2112, doi:
 410 10.1016/j.apgeochem.2009.08.007, 2009.
- 411 Tipper, E. T. ,Bickle, M. J. ,Galy, A. ,West, A. J. ,Pomi &, C. and Chapman, H. J.: The short term
- 412 climatic sensitivity of carbonate and silicate weathering fluxes: Insight from seasonal variations in river
- 413 chemistry, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 70, 2737-2754, doi: 10.1016/j.gca.2006.03.005, 2006.
- 414 Wang, L. ,Meng, H. ,Zhang, Z. and Wang, D. (Eds.):Study on the hydrology and water resources of the
- 415 typical karst basin in Guizhou, Science Press, Beijing, 2010.
- 416 Waterson, E. J. and Canuel, E. A.: Sources of sedimentary organic matter in the Mississippi River and
- adjacent Gulf of Mexico as revealed by lipid biomarker and δ13CTOC analyses, Organic Geochemistry,
 39, 422-439, doi: 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2008.01.011, 2008.
- 419 Waterson, E. J. and Canuel, E. A.: Sources of sedimentary organic matter in the Mississippi River and
- 420 adjacent Gulf of Mexico as revealed by lipid biomarker and δ13CTOC analyses, Organic Geochemistry,
- 421 39, 422-439, doi: 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2008.01.011, 2008.
- Yan, J. ,Li, J. ,Ye, Q. and Li, K.: Concentrations and exports of solutes from surface runoff in Houzhai
 Karst Basin, southwest China, Chemical Geology, 304-305, 1-9, doi: 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.02.003,
 2012.
- Yan, J. ,Wang, W. ,Zhou, C. ,Li, K. and Wang, S.: Responses of water yield and dissolved inorganic
 carbon export to forest recovery in the Houzhai karst basin, southwest China, Hydrological Processes,
 28, 2082-2090, doi: 10.1002/hyp.9761, 2014.
- Yan, J., Wang, Y. P., Zhou, G., Li, S., Yu, G. and Li, K.: Carbon uptake by karsts in the Houzhai Basin,
 southwest China, Journal of Geophysical Research, 116, doi: 10.1029/2011JG001686, 2011.
- 430 Zeng, C. ,Liu, Z. ,Yang, J. and Yang, R.: A groundwater conceptual model and karst-related carbon
- sink for a glacierized alpine karst aquifer, Southwestern China, Journal of Hydrology, 529, 120-133,
 doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.07.027, 2015.
- 433 Zhang, C.: Carbonate rock dissolution rates in different landuses and their carbon sink effect., chinese
- 434 science bulletin, 56, 2174-2180, doi: 10.1007/s11434-011-4404-4, 2011.
- 435 Zhang, C.: Carbonate rock dissolution rates in different landuses and their carbon sink effect, Chinese
- 436 Science Bulletin, 56, 2174-2180, doi: 10.1007/s11434-011-4404-4, 2011.
- 437 Zhang, L., Qin, X., Liu, P., Huang, Q., Lan, F. and Ji, H.: Estimation of carbon sink fluxes in the Pearl
- 438 River basin (China) based on a water-rock-gas-organism interaction model, Environmental Earth





- 439 Sciences, 74, 945-952, doi: 10.1007/s12665-014-3788-2, 2015.
- 440 Zhao, M. ,Zeng, C. ,Liu, Z. and Wang, S.: Effect of different land use/land cover on karst
- 441 hydrogeochemistry: A paired catchment study of Chenqi and Dengzhanhe, Puding, Guizhou, SW
- 442 China, Journal of Hydrology, 388, 121-130, doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.04.034, 2010.
- 443 Zhiqiang, K. ,Daoxian, Y. ,Yong, C. ,Qingyan, L. ,Shiyi, H. ,Yiping, Y. and Zhibin, X.: The Main
- 444 Controlling Factor of Karst Carbon Sequestration: About Water Cycle, Journal of Jilin University(Earth
- 445 Science Edition), 41, 1542-1547, 2011.