

## ***Interactive comment on “sUAS and their application in observing geomorphological processes” by Jozef Gallik and Lenka Bolešová***

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 14 May 2016

Dear authors

I reviewed now the paper on sUAS and their application in observing geomorphological processes. I have to say that this paper is not a review paper, nor it is a research paper. The literature is not covered like it should in a review paper. the topic is of interest but the paper is not well structured and does not give the reader the information in a coherent and useful way. First of all the english needs to be thoroughly reviewed, this is not acceptable at all. also there are many typing mistakes and other errors that should not be present in a submitted paper. So have it checked by a native speaker. The structure needs to give a full overview of the different drones that are available, or at least give an explanation why the ones that are discussed are discussed and others not. There is no reasoning behind it now so it seems. The different methodologies should be discussed showing their pros and cons. lastly, it is not clear for me why the

presented case study is useful for the paper. i attached a pdf file with comments. I suggest to not reject the paper at this moment, because i think the topic is interesting for Solid Earth, but the authors should fully revise the paper and the resubmission should in my opinion be subdue to a new full round of reviews. with kind regards

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<http://www.solid-earth-discuss.net/se-2016-49/se-2016-49-RC2-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Solid Earth Discuss., doi:10.5194/se-2016-49, 2016.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

