

Interactive comment on “New data on geology of the Southern Urals: a concise summary of research after the period of EUROPROBE activity” by Victor Puchkov

V. Puchkov

puchkv@ufaras.ru

Received and published: 17 July 2016

The review of Christopher is very positive and encouraging. It concerned mostly with the language, and I followed all his suggestions, trying to make the manuscript more precise and fluent. I used all his corrections, made in the supplied pdf file. Christopher writes also: "I am not a geologist, a thorough geological review of the content is necessary. Most claims seem reasonable, but they need to be verified by a knowledgeable geologist". Luckily enough, my second reviewer is Richard Ernst, a renowned geologist. He is familiar with geology of the Urals, based on his own experience and a long co-operation with Russian geologists. Therefore, Richard meets the demands of Christopher, and his high appreciation of the manuscript seems to me

C1

to be a positive answer to the doubts of the first reviewer.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<http://www.solid-earth-discuss.net/se-2016-62/se-2016-62-AC2-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Solid Earth Discuss., doi:10.5194/se-2016-62, 2016.

C2