





1 Supplementary Material

2 Figure S1










6

7 Figure S1: Cross sections showing varying intensity and style of damage with distance from the Alpine Fault principal slip zones (PSZ) for: (a)  
 8 Gaunt Creek, (b) Stony Creek, (c) Hare Mare Creek and (d) Havelock Creek. Alpine Fault location as mapped during the University of Otago's  
 9 Department of Geology fault zone mapping program (<http://www.otago.ac.nz/geology/research/structural-geology/alpine-fault/af-maps.html>).

10 Each cross section also presents: (1) the corrected density of fractures at all stations as a function of the orthogonal distance from the fault, (2)

11 fracture orientations at each station, and (3) a map of the stations with respect to the fault and cross section. Location of these sites shown in  
12 Figure 1b. Fault rock lithologies previously described by Toy, (2008). SH-6 in (c), State Highway 6. \*Density of fractures calculated from two  
13 perpendicular transects at Gaunt Creek stations 1 and 2.  
14

15 **Figure S2**




16

17 Figure S2: Cross section through the Amethyst Tunnel and its four exploratory boreholes (B1-4), Hari Hari, Westland New Zealand. See Figure

18 1b for location. Modified from Geotech Consulting Limited (2006), to show where intervals of drill-core that were CT scanned are located.

19 **Figure S3**



20

21 Figure S3: Fracture density variations measured within CT scans of AHP drill-core from  
22 BH2, depth interval 146.8-157.9 m. (a) Fracture density calculated using a moving average  
23 (uncorrected for orientation bias) and weighted moving average (corrected), calculated at  
24 intervals of 2 cm with 1 m moving window. Full description and justification of this  
25 technique for measuring fracture density is presented in Williams et al., (2016). Core-axial  
26 parallel CT scans of representative core sections with (b) low, and (c) high fracture density  
27 are also given. (b) Core section (borehole-core run-core section-depth interval) BH2-74-3-  
28 153.5-154,1 (c) BH2-75-2-155.8-156.2.

29 **Table S1**

Core Section	Depth of matched structure (m)	Counterclockwise rotation to match BHTV (°)	Rotation applied (°)	Quality of match
29-1	94.75	313	310	Good
	94.90	307		
31-1	96.70	319	319	Poor
31-2	97.35	16	20	Good
	97.45	24		
32-1	98.51	124	124	Poor
33-2	<b>99.45</b>	<b>164</b>	170	Good
	99.50	186		
	100.00	158		
34-1	100.90	349	330	Good
	101.30	333		
	<b>101.35</b>	<b>326</b>		
34-2	101.65	199	199	Poor
35-1	102.15	294	287	Moderate
	102.20	281		
35-2	102.85	307	307	Good
	103.15	306		
36-1	103.65	93	85	Good
	103.75	77		
	<b>104.30</b>	<b>94</b>		
36-2	104.40	204	211	Moderate
	104.85	217		



<b>Core Section</b>	<b>Depth of matched structure (m)</b>	<b>Counterclockwise rotation to match BHTV (°)</b>	<b>Rotation applied (°)</b>	<b>Quality of match</b>
37-1	105.6	246	245	Good
	105.95	244		
37-2	106.16	198	202	Moderate
	106.30	219		
	106.55	189		
38-1	107.25	3	11	Moderate
	107.30	27		
	107.34	5		
39-1	107.65	114	110	Good
	107.70	111		
	108.15	106		
39-3/40-1	108.70	116	109	Moderate
	108.80	102		
41-1	110.05	155	148	Good
	110.10	144		
	110.25	145		
41-2	110.60	101	106	Moderate
	110.70	111		
43-1	111.65	229	223	Moderate
	111.85	235		
	112.15	205		
45-1	113.00	112	96	Moderate
	113.20	89		
	113.65	87		

<b>Core Section</b>	<b>Depth of matched structure (m)</b>	<b>Counterclockwise rotation to match BHTV (°)</b>	<b>Rotation applied (°)</b>	<b>Quality of match</b>
46-1	114.00	17	21	Good
	114.10	25		
48-1	114.70	10	8	Good
	114.95	5		
	115.00	8		
49-1	115.70	108	110	Good
	116.25	112		
52-1	118.65	132	135	Good
	118.95	134		
	119.05	140		
53-1	120.70	338	338	Poor
53-2	121.40	267	267	Poor
54-1	122.15	47	40	Moderate
	122.30	45		
	122.40	28		
54-2	122.85	279	280	Good
	122.90	281		
55-1	123.40	325	317	Moderate
	123.75	309		
56-1	124.95	340	333	Moderate
	125.35	327		
56-2	126.00	313	328	Good
	<b>126.10</b>	<b>325</b>		
	<b>126.20</b>	<b>331</b>		

30 Table S1: Summary of rotations applied to DFDP-1B core sections to rotate drill-core back  
31 into a geographic reference frame. Numbers in bold indicate matching between prominent  
32 structures in the drill-core and so the rotation applied is weighted towards them. Quality of  
33 matching refers to classification scheme outlined Appendix A.

34 **Table S2**

Transect	Orthogonal distance from Alpine Fault (m)	Lithology	Length of Scanline (m)	Orientation of scanline	Total fracture density (#/m)	Corrected total fracture density (weighted #/m)	Gouge filled fracture density (#/m)	Corrected gouge filled fracture density (weighted #/m)
Gaunt Creek 1	25	Protocataclasite-ultramylonite transition	2.4	*	7.1		7.1	
Gaunt Creek 2	90	Q-Fs ultramylonites with <50% metabasics	1.7	*	6.5		6.5	
Gaunt Creek 3	118	Q-Fs ultramylonites with <50% metabasics	1	00/034	5.0	16.0	2.0	7.3
Gaunt Creek 4	147	Q-Fs mylonites with <50% metabasics	2.2	22/023	2.3	4.6	0	0

---

Stony Creek 1	7	Q-Fs ultramylonite	2	Vertical	5.2	11	4.0	9.1
Stony Creek 2	73	Q-Fs mylonite	9.4	00/301	4.4	5.6	1.5	2.1
Stony Creek 3	103	Q-Fs mylonite	2.4	Vertical	4.6	5.6	0	0
Stony Creek 4	251	Micaceous mylonite	1.6	Vertical	22	28.4	0	0
Hare Mare Creek 1	100	Micaceous ultramylonite	6.4	00/146, 00/52	3.6	6.6	0.8	5.3
Hare Mare Creek 2	151	Micaceous mylonite	7.7	20/339	2.5	5.0	1.4	2.6

---

---

Hare Mare Creek 3	250	Q_Fs mylonite	2	Vertical	10.7	11.1	0.5	0.8
Havelock Creek 1	24	Q-Fs ultramylonites with <50% metabasics	5.8	00/020	2.8	4.5	1.7	3.0
Havelock Creek 2	48	Q-Fs and micaceous ultramylonites with <50% metabasics	2.1	Vertical	14.2	19.3	3.8	8.9
Havelock Creek 3	154	Q-Fs mylonite with <50% metabasics	3.7	00/005	2.7	6.1	0.3	1.6
Havelock Creek 4	160	Q-Fs mylonite with <50% metabasics	1.95	Vertical	4.6	5	0.5	0.5
Bullock Creek	520	Q-Fs mylonite	17	00/008	1.65	3.7	0.3	0.9

---

35 Table S2: Compilation of results from scanline fracture analysis around the Alpine Fault. Fracture densities are given to 1 decimal place. Q-Fs,  
36 quartzofeldspathic. \*At Gaunt Creek stations 1 and 2, a horizontal and vertical scanline was used to collect fracture information at each station.  
37 Orientation were not collected so no 'corrected' fracture density was calculated.