



Land use change affects biogenic silica pool distribution in a subtropical soil toposequence

Dácil Unzué-Belmonte¹, Yolanda Ameijeiras-Mariño², Sophie Opfergelt², Jean-Thomas Cornelis³, Lúcia Barão⁴, Jean Minella⁵, Patrick Meire¹, Eric Struyf¹

- ¹EcosystemManagement Research Group, Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1C, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium.
 ²Earth and Life Institute, Environmental Sciences, Université catholique de Louvain, Croix du Sud 2 bte L7.05.10, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium.
 ³Department Biosystem Engineering (BIOSE), Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech (GxABT), University of Liège (ULg), Avenue Maréchal Juin, 27, 5030 Gembloux, Belgium.
 ⁴ICAAM, Instituto de Ciências Agrárias e Ambientais Mediterrânicas, University of Évora, Apartado 94, 7002-554 Évora, Portugal.
 ⁵Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM), Department of Soil Science, 1000 Avenue Roraima, Camobi, CEP 97105-900 Santa Maria, RS, Brazil.
- 15 Correspondence to: Dácil Unzué-Belmonte (dacil.unzuebelmonte@uantwerpen.be)

Abstract. Land use change (deforestation) has several negative consequences for the soil system. It is known also to increase the erosion rate which affects the distribution of elements in soils. In this context, the crucial nutrient Si has received little attention, especially in a tropical context. Therefore we studied the effect of land conversion and erosion intensity on the biogenic silica pools in a subtropical soil in the south of Brazil. Biogenic silica (BSi) was determined using a novel alkaline

- 20 continuous extraction where Si/Al ratios of the fractions extracted are used to distinguish biogenic silica and other soluble fractions: Si/Al>5 for the biogenic AlkExSi (alkaline extractable Si) and Si/Al<5 for the non-biogenic AlkExSi. Our study shows that deforestation will rapidly (< 50 years) deplete (10- 53%) the biogenic AlkExSi pool in soils. Depletion intensity depends on the slope of the study site. We demonstrate that higher erosion in steeply sloped sites implies increased deposition of biogenic Si in deposition zones near the bottom of the slope, where rapid burial can cause removal of BSi from</p>
- 25 biologically active zones. Our study highlights the interaction of erosion strength and land use for the BSi redistribution and depletion in a soil toposequence, with strong implications for basin scale Si cycling.

Keywords: biogenic silica, alkaline extractable silica, land use change, erosion.

1. Introduction

- 30 The terrestrial Si-cycle has received strong attention in the past two decades. Multiple studies show its complexity, with a strong interaction between primary lithology and weathering, biotic Si uptake, secondary pedogenic soil Si pools formation and environmental controls such as precipitation, temperature and hydrology (Struyf and Conley, 2012). Lithology controls the primary source of Si through the weathering of silicate minerals of the bedrock (Drever, 1994). This process provides Si to the soil solution in the form of monosilicic acid (H₄SiO₄), also referred to as dissolved silicon (DSi). This DSi is absorbed
- 35 by plants and, upon plant die-off, is resupplied to the soil in the form of relatively soluble (compared to crystalline silicates) biogenic silicates (BSi), usually in the form of phytoliths (plant silica bodies) (Piperno, 2006). Biogenic silica is one of the most soluble forms of Si in soils (e.g. Van Cappellen, 2003), although some pedogenic compounds have similar reactivity as biogenic silica (Sauer et al., 2006; Sommer et al., 2006; Vandevenne et al., 2015a). During soil formation, the DSi release in soil solution through the dissolution of lithogenic and biogenic silicates contributes to the neoformation of pedogenic
- 40 silicates, i.e. secondary phyllosilicates (Sommer et al., 2006). The biogenic control on the DSi availability in soil increases with weathering degree. Soil mineralogy, strongly governed by geological and climatic conditions, therefore plays a key role in the DSi transfer from soil to plants (Cornelis and Delvaux, 2016). The complex interactions as described above,





controlling the Si cycle in terrestrial ecosystems, are often referred to as the ecosystem Si-filter (Struyf and Conley, 2012), and ultimately determine an important part of the Si fluxes towards rivers.

- 45 Land use change is a particularly interesting global change driver to address in this context. Dissolution of soil biogenic silica increases immediately after deforestation (Conley et al., 2008), increasing DSi fluxes out of the soil and the ecosystem. However, in the long-term, Struyf et al. (2010) showed a decrease of overall DSi fluxes from cultivated land. The conversion from forest to croplands decreases the soil biogenic Si stock, the most relevant contributor to the easily available Si pool for plants. The decrease in soil biogenic Si stock has been related to two important factors. The first factor is the harvesting of
- 50 crops (Guntzer et al., 2012; Meunier et al., 1999; Vandevenne et al., 2012). Harvest prevents the return of plant phytoliths to the soil, depleting the phytolith pool. The resultant decrease of DSi availability reduces also the formation of non-biogenic secondary Si fractions (Barão et al., 2014). A thorough analysis separating both biogenic and non-biogenic fractions is crucial in this regard, since traditional extraction procedures to quantify biogenic Si may dissolve also non-biogenic Si fractions. The second factor affecting BSi losses is erosion. In cultivated catchments, strong biogenic silica mobilization is
- 55 associated to erosion during strong rainfall events (Clymans et al., 2015). During such events, biogenic Si can represent 40% of the total Si inputs to rivers (Smis et al., 2011).
 While it is now accepted that cultivation can cause significant changes in soil Si pools and Si fluxes in temperate climates (Keller et al., 2012), the effect of cultivation on (sub)tropical soil Si pools or on soils with volcanic origin is almost unstudied. Only specific cultivated ecosystems, such as rice fields, have been studied (Guntzer et al., 2012) in this regard.
- 60 Yet, the increase of firewood, timber, pasture and food crop demand is causing an increase in land conversion to croplands, implying ongoing rapid land degradation in tropical and subtropical forests (Hall et al., 1993). The aim of our study was to investigate the interactive effects of land use change and terrain slope (as a representation of erosion) on the distribution of the BSi pool in a subtropical soil system, derived from a basaltic parent material. For this purpose, we studied terrestrial Si pools in a natural forest and cultivated land, in gently and steeply sloped locations, applying a recently developed alkaline
- extraction technique that allows distinguishing between biogenic and non-biogenic alkaline extractable phases.

2. Methods

2.1. Study area

The study area is situated near Arvorezinha, in the south of Brazil (28°55"54.88" S, 52°6"33.65" W) (Fig. 1). Four sites with identical climatic conditions (warm temperate, fully humid with warm summer, Cfb, (Kottek et al., 2006) were selected.
Annual mean temperature is between 14 and 18°C and annual mean precipitation between 1700 and 1800 mm, (Minella et al., 2014)). The four sampling sites also have the same parent material (rhyodacite). The mineralogy was similar in all sites with sanidine and quartz as main minerals (Ameijeiras-Mariño, 2017). Soil type corresponded to an Acrisol in three of the sites and a Leptosol (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2015) in the fourth one (steep slope of the cropland), with pH values between 4.7 and 5.9. They represent two land uses, a well conserved forest and a cropland, and two slopes (a steep and a gentle slope), resulting in four different factor combinations (see Fig. 2).

The forest site consists of a semi-deciduous forest with *Araucaria angustifolia*, *Luehea divaricata*, *Nectandra grandiflora* and *Campomanesia guaviroba* as most representative species. Within the same forest area, two adjacent sites with different slopes were chosen, a gentle slope (maximum 10°) and a steeper slope (maximum 18°). In the gentle slope, some scattered small patches of Yerba mate crop (*Ilex paraguarensis*) were recently planted (<3 years ago), occupying less than 10 % of the study site. All studied sampling locations were separated at least 5 meters from these mate patches.

The cropland sites were located in two geographically separated areas (1.4 km from each other). Deforestation occurred around 50 years ago and experienced the same historical agricultural practices; conventional ploughing and more recently, minimum tillage (Minella et al., 2014). The soil tillage is traditional, based on topsoil mixing and making ridges and furrow,





more or less in level. Crops in the gentle sloped cropland (maximum 7°) rotate between soybean in summer and black oat
(Avena sativa) in winter. Some cattle are occasionally feeding during the vegetative stage, however after the oat flourish the biomass remains to produce mulch (cover) to soybean seeding based on no-till system. The cropland of the steep slope (maximum 18°) rotates between tobacco or maize in summer and fallow or black oat in winter.

2.2. Soil sampling

Bulk soil samples (n=297) were collected during the summer of 2014. In the forest sites, 4 positions along the slope (from top to bottom) were selected. In the croplands, due to time constraints during the field campaign, only 3 positions along the slope (from top to bottom) were selected. Three replicate soil pits were dug per position and soil samples were collected every 10 cm (from top to 50 cm deep) and every 20 cm (from 50 to 110 deep). Deeper depths were sampled every 50 cm until 200 cm deep or until the saprolite was reached. At each depth, 10 cm of soil (around 2 kg) was collected. At larger sample intervals, the 10 cm sample was collected in the middle of the depth interval. Soil samples were mixed, dried, gently crushed and sieved (2 mm) prior analyzes.

Kopecky ring samples were also collected at each sampled depth. Samples were weighted before and after drying at 105°C in order to calculate bulk densities.

2.3. Analysis

samples.

100

One pit per position was selected as a representative pit (FGTR3, FGUMR2, FGLMR2, FGBR1, FSTR2, FSUMR2, FSLMR2, FSBR2, CGTR1, CGMR3, CGBR3, CSTR3, CSMR1 and CSBR3 (acronyms in Fig. 2)), resulting in a total of 81

All samples from selected pits (n=81) and also some other extra depths from other pits, in order to confirm the representativeness of the selected pits, were analyzed for biogenic and non-biogenic Si content, resulting in a total of 145 bulk soil samples (84 on the forest sites and 61 on the croplands). Samples were analyzed in a continuous flow analyzer

105 (Skalar, Breda, the Netherlands), using a continuous alkaline extraction recently adapted for soils by Barão et al. (2014). The extraction in 180 mL of 0.5 M NaOH, at 85 °C runs for half an hour. Dissolved Si and dissolved aluminum (Al) are measured continuously (with the spectrophotometric molybdate blue method and the lumogallion fluorescence method, respectively), obtaining two dissolution curves which are fitted with first order equations (1).

$$\operatorname{Si}_{t}(mg \ g^{-1}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{AlkExSi}_{i} \times (1 - e^{-k_{i} \times t})\right) + b \times t$$

110 Al_t (mg g⁻¹) =
$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{AlkExSi_i}{Si/Al_i} \times (1 - e^{-k_i \times t})\right) + \frac{b \times t}{Si/Al_{min}}$$
 (1)

Where Sit and Alt are the concentrations of Si and Al respectively, at any given time. The equations consist on two parts: the mineral fraction which has a linear dissolution behavior (DeMaster, 1981; Koning et al., 2002) and the fractions exhibiting non-linear dissolving behavior. For the mineral fraction, the model renders a linear dissolution rate (b) and the Si/Al ratio (Si/Al_{min}) of that linear fraction. Non-linearly dissolving fractions are characterized by: the total amount of Si (alkaline

- extractable Si (AlkExSi), mg g-1 dry weight of initial sample mass), the Si/Al ratio (concentration of Si over concentration of Al) of that fraction and its dissolution rate (k, min⁻¹). Assuming the same Si and Al release rate from the same compound and relating the Si and Al concentration equations through the Si/Al ratio, with the three parameters estimated (AlkExSi, k and Si/Al ratio), the different fractions dissolving non-linearly are differenced. The same model is fitted with one, two or three first order equations (summation to n in the formula) and the solution showing least error (F test) from the three fits is
- 120 kept. The Si/Al ratio of the fraction is used to determine the origin of the non-linear fractions. Barão et al. (2014) distinguished the following fractions: fractions showing Si/Al ratio >5 was considered as indicative of a biogenic fraction, as the proportion of Al in phytoliths is low (Bartoli, 1985; Piperno, 2006). A fraction showing a Si/Al ratio <5 was considered as representative of non-biogenic or pedogenic Si fractions (clay minerals, oxides and organo-Al complexes). We opted to





discard fractions that represent less than 0.1 mg Si g^{-1} , as they are smaller or equal to the detection limit of the method (Barão et al., 2015). Fractions with k<0.1 were discarded as well, as they represent near linearly dissolving fractions.

2.3.1. Analysis on selected pits

The following analyses were only carried out on samples from selected pits (n=81):

A portion of the bulk samples was crushed and a sub-sample was heated at 105°C and 1000°C to obtain the dry weight and the losses on ignition (used for checking the quality of the analysis). The total element content was obtained through borate

- 130 fusion (Chao and Sanzolone, 1992) of another sub-sample of the crushed sample; 100 mg were melted at 1000°C for 5 min in a graphite crucible with 0.4 g Li-tetraborate and 1.6 g Li-metaborate, then cooled and dissolved in 100 ml of 2M HNO₃ under magnetic agitation at 90–100°C. Elemental contents were determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES); the total reserve of bases (TRB=[Ca]+[Mg]+[K]+[Na]) was calculated afterwards. TRB is commonly used as a weathering index as it estimates the content of weatherable minerals (Herbillon, 1986).
- 135 Particle size distribution was executed with a Beckman Coulter device (LSTM-13320). The mineralogy of sand and silt fractions was determined by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, Cu Ka, D8). Clay fraction mineralogy was assessed by XRD after K⁺ and Mg²⁺ saturation, ethylene glycol solvation and thermal treatments at 300 and 550°C (Robert and Tessier, 1974).

AlkExSi pools or stocks every 10 cm depth (kg Si m²) for selected pits were calculated according to Eq. (2).

140 $AlkExSi \ stock \ (kg \ Si \ m^{-2}) = \frac{[AlkExSi] \times BD \times h}{100}$

where [AlkExSi] is the concentration (mg g⁻¹) obtained in the alkaline continuous extraction, BD is the bulk density (g cm⁻³) of that sample, h is the thickness of the depth interval of the sample (cm) and 100 is the conversion factor from mg cm⁻² to kg m⁻².

(2)

This calculation takes into account the bulk density of each sample, correcting the amount of AlkExSi per gram of dried soil according to the water content at that specific soil depth. It also calculates the amount of AlkExSi in relation to the thickness of the interval collected (10 cm). For larger intervals, where only 10 cm was collected at mid-interval depth, values of the non-sampled depths were linearly interpolated between two known values. The result is given in kg per square meter, in our case, of every 10 cm deep.

In order to estimate the Total biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pools per pit, the sum of 10 cm-depth biogenic and nonbiogenic AlkExSi pools of each pit was made.

Once having the biogenic and the non-biogenic AlkExSi pools per pit, averages between the 3 (for the croplands) or 4 (for the forests) selected pits were made, in order to assign an Averaged biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pool values to the slope and be able to compare AlkExSi pools between different sites. Then, comparisons between the different study sites were made. In order to compare the biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pools from the forests with the croplands, two

different methods were considered, taking into consideration that the number of positions along the slope in the forest sites is higher than in the cropland sites (4 and 3 respectively): Average 1 using all available measurements for the forest (the 4 positions along the slope) and cropland sites, and Average 2, using a pre-calculated average between upper and lower middle positions measurements in the forest sites.

To study the accumulation of biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pools at the bottom of the slope we have calculated the

Accumulation (AC) using the pool in the bottom compared to the summed pools along the slope for the forests (Eq. (3)) and the croplands (Eq. (4)). The closer the AC value is to 100%, the higher the accumulation results.

$$AC_{Forest} = \frac{AlkExSi_{bottom}}{AlkExSi_{top} + AlkExSi_{upper middle} + AlkExSi_{lower middle} + AlkExSi_{bottom}} \times 100$$
(3)

$$AC_{cropland} = \frac{AlkExSi_{St-bottom}}{AlkExSi_{top} + AlkExSi_{middle} + AlkExSi_{bottom}} \times 100$$
(4)





3. Results

165 3.1. Soil physico-chemical characteristics

Results from total element content, particle size, bulk density and TRB values for selected pits are shown in Tables S2-S4. The XRD mineralogical analysis of the bedrock (rhyodacitic volcanic rocks) reveals that sanidine (feldspar group) is the most abundant mineral (45-55%), followed by very fine grained quartz (~38%) embedded in a matrix of hematite, goethite and clays (~8%) (Ameijeiras-Mariño, 2017). Bulk densities of selected pits ranged from 0.7 to 1.54 mg cm⁻³.

170 3.2. AlkExSi concentrations

AlkExSi values (mg g^{-1} dried soil) with the correspondent k values and Si/Al ratio per fraction are presented in the Table S1. In order to distinguish fractions according to the Si/Al ratio, the thresholds applied by Barão et al. (2014) were used: fractions showing Si/Al ratios above 5 were considered to be biogenic and fractions showing Si/Al ratios below 5 were considered to be non-biogenic fractions.

175 Figure 3 shows the concentrations of biogenic (Si/Al >5) and non-biogenic AlkExSi fractions (Si/Al < 5) within the soil profiles of selected pits. Overall, the highest concentrations of biogenic AlkExSi appear in the top of the profiles or near the surface and decreases with depth and they also are more abundant at the bottom positions of the slopes. On the other hand, non-biogenic AlkExSi fractions are in most cases absent in the top soil layers and increase in concentration with depth.</p>

3.3. AlkExSi pools

200

- The biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pools of selected pits every 10 cm are presented in Table 1. The averages of biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pools per position, land use and slope are shown in Table 2. In order to make comparisons between different sites the averaged biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pools of the whole slope/site were calculated, taking the average of the pools of the 4 (in forests sites) and 3 (in croplands sites) selected pits along the slope. The averages were: 14 ± 5.0 kg m⁻² and 10 ± 7.6 kg m⁻² (biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pool
- respectively) for the gentle slope of the forest, 17 ± 8.7 kg m⁻² and 20 ± 10 kg m⁻² (biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pool respectively) for the steep slope of the forest, 12 ± 13 kg m⁻² and 13 ± 3.3 kg m⁻² (biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pool respectively) for the gentle slope of the cropland and 8 ± 6.3 kg m⁻² and 2 ± 1 kg m⁻² (biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pool respectively) for the steep slope of the cropland ('Average 1' in Table 2).
- As mentioned, a more accurate averaged AlkExSi pools were calculated when comparing forest to cropland ('Average 2' in 190 Table 2). Instead of considering the 4 positions along the slope in the calculation of the Averaged pool for the forest, a precalculated average between the upper middle and lower middle position (16.1 kg m⁻²) was used in the calculation, resulting on the following AlkExSi pools averages: 14 ± 5 kg m⁻² and 8.4 ± 6 kg m⁻² (biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pool respectively) for the gentle slope of the forest and 17 ± 6 kg m⁻² and 22 ± 7 kg m⁻² (biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pool respectively) for the steep slope of the forest.
- 195 While the gentle and the steep slope of the forest showed near equal biogenic AlkExSi pools (+10%, 14.2 \pm 5 kg m⁻² and 16.6 \pm 8.7 kg m⁻², gentle and steep slope respectively), non-biogenic AlkExSi pool might be higher (+81%, 10 \pm 7.6 kg m⁻² and 20 \pm 10 kg m⁻², gentle and steep slope respectively) on the steep slope.

In the cropland, results were slightly different. Both biogenic AlkExSi (+35%, 12 ± 13 kg m⁻² and 7.9 ± 6.3 kg m⁻², gentle and steep slope respectively) and non-biogenic AlkExSi pool (+85%, 13.2 ± 3.3 kg m⁻² and 1.66 ± 1 kg m⁻², gentle and steep slope respectively) were higher on the gently sloped cropland.

When comparing gently sloped forest and cropland ('Average 2' for forests), there was only a negligible difference for biogenic AlkExSi pool (-12%, 13.5 \pm 5 kg m⁻² and 12.1 \pm 13 kg m⁻², forest and cropland respectively), but non-biogenic AlkExSi might be higher in the cropland (+57%, 8.4 \pm 6 kg m⁻² and 13 \pm 3.3 kg m⁻², forest and cropland respectively).





205

On the steep slopes, it was clear that both non-biogenic AlkExSi pool (-90%, 22.1 ± 6.7 kg m⁻² and 1.66 ± 1 kg m⁻², forest and cropland respectively) and biogenic AlkExSi pool (-52%, 16.7 ± 6 kg m⁻² and 7.92 ± 6.3 kg m⁻², forest and cropland respectively) were much lower in the cropland compared to the forest.

Figure 4 shows the biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pools as a soil profile cut from the top to the bottom of the slope, for the four study sites.

The sum of the AlkExSi pools of selected pits per land use and slope, are shown in the Table 2 ('Total (Sum)'). The

- 210 accumulation of the biogenic AlkExSi pool at the bottom position of the slope were: 12% for the gentle slope of the forest, 37% for the steep slope of the forest, 15% for the gentle slope of the cropland and 67% for the steep slope of the cropland. The accumulation of the non-biogenic AlkExSi pool at the bottom position of the slope were: 18% for the gentle slope of the forest, 25% for the steep slope of the forest, 41% for the gentle slope of the cropland and 16% for the steep slope of the cropland.
- 215 It is thus clear that a steep slope results in a redistribution of biogenic AlkExSi to the bottom of the slope, but that a similar distribution is not apparent for the non-biogenic AlkExSi pool.

3.4. Result summary

One of the most striking observations in our study is the interaction between slope and land use effect. On the steep slope, there is a strong lowering of AlkExSi pools from forest to cropland. In contrast, for the gentle slopes, the observation was

220 different, with an increase in the non-biogenic AlkExSi pool in the cropland, but similar biogenic AlkExSi pools. It is also clear that there is a strong redistribution of mostly biogenic AlkExSi to the bottom positions of the slope on steeply sloped croplands and forests.

4. Discussion

4.1. Redistribution of AlkExSi concentrations along the toposequence

- 225 In general, the distribution of biogenic AlkExSi shows the same pattern within each pit: the concentration decreases with depth. Highest concentrations were mostly found at the bottom of the slope in every site (with the exception of the gentle slope of the cropland). This agrees with earlier observations on the distribution of biogenic silica along a toposequence in several soil catenas from temperate areas (Sommer et al., 2006). The distribution of non-biogenic AlkExSi shows a complementary pattern. Non-biogenic AlkExSi fractions are rarely present at the top of the profiles but larger concentrations
- 230 are found in deeper layers. Similar patterns were reported in a study carried out in arkosic sediment soils in California (Kendrick and Graham, 2004) and for temperate Luvisols in Belgium and Sweden (Barão et al., 2014; Vandevenne et al., 2015a). Upon leaching of DSi after BSi dissolution, the DSi infiltrates and reacts to form e.g. secondary clays. It can also be absorbed onto oxides. The rate of absorption of DSi by oxides is determined by water infiltration rate, pH, water residence time and weathering intensity (Cornelis et al., 2011; Jones and Handreck, 1963). A large amount of oxides in soil (see
- 235 'Mineralogy' in Table S4), high DSi supply, strong water infiltration rates and high pH may result in larger concentrations of Si absorbed by oxides. Our studied sites satisfy these conditions with the exception of the pH (4.7-5.9). Uehara and Gillman (1981) suggested that weathered soil systems can result in a desilicated soil enriched in Fe and Al oxides, with pH close to neutral values. Similar processes might occur in our soils, although soils are not desilicated, but do show high weathering intensity.
- 240 Biogenic Si concentrations in Vandevenne et al. (2015a) in temperate Luvisols were one order of magnitude lower than in our study. High silica content of the rhyodacite bedrock in our study sites, together with high weathering rates characteristic of tropical and subtropical soils (Drever, 1994), supply a large amount of DSi to the soil. In addition, weathering stimulated by plants is particularly strong in the tropics (Blecker et al., 2006; Kelly et al., 1998); turnover rates of nutrients are also





245

higher in tropical and subtropical ecosystems than in temperate regions (Alexandre et al., 1997; Derry et al., 2005), due to high water availability and temperature. Meunier et al. (2010) showed that the DSi supply from the dissolution of basalts was 1.8 times higher than the DSi product from the dissolution of the litter in a Leptosol of La Réunion Island (Indian Ocean).

4.2. Effects of erosion and land use change on the biogenic AlkExSi pool along the toposequence

4.2.1. Erosion

Croplands

250 For cropland, it is well documented that the harvest of crops exports large amounts of BSi from the system. This generates BSi depleted systems in the long-term (e.g. Vandevenne et al. (2015b)). Results from Clymans et al. (2011) in long-term croplands from Sweden showed BSi pool 4 times lower (<3 kg Si m⁻²) than our results (12 kg Si m⁻²).

It is interesting to notice that a redistribution of biogenic AlkExSi occurs along the slope (Fig. 4). A higher slope degree, and thus higher erosion rate, provokes the loss of material through water erosion and tillage (Govers et al., 1996), transporting

255 material down slope and resulting in an accumulation of the biogenic AlkExSi pool at the bottom of the slope. In the gently sloped sample site, biogenic AlkExSi is more stable at the higher positions along the slope while in the steep slope it accumulates at the bottom.

Guntzer et al. (2012) showed the importance of crop rotation in the turnover and accumulation of phytoliths in soil. The accumulation of phytoliths is also influenced by the geochemical stability of phytoliths (Song et al., 2012). However, the

- 260 crops rotating in both fields are different and have different Si-demand. Maize and black oat are known to have high Si content, while tobacco and soy have not (Currie and Perry, 2007; Piperno, 2006). The turnover between maize/tobacco and fallow/black oat on the steep slope might be the reason of the smaller biogenic AlkExSi pool at this site. Moreover, a higher erosion rate increases the biogenic AlkExSi deposition at the bottom of the steeply sloped cropland. In fact, the TRB in this slope was higher than at any of the other sites, (the lower the TRB, the more weathered the soil is and on the contrary, the
- 265 higher the TRB, the closer to the weathering state of the bedrock the soil is) suggesting that all weathered material has been already eroded and the saprolite is closer to the surface.

Forests

Biogenic AlkexSi pool in the gentle slope of the forest was ~14 kg Si m⁻². A high phytolith production in this forest, corresponding to a strong Si uptake by trees and strong internal recycling, can maintain the BSi stock of the soil system.

270 Equatorial forests from ferrasols in Congo showed a phytolith pool five times smaller than the present results (2.66 kg m⁻² in Alexandre et al. (1997)) and in results from Clymans et al. (2011), the amorphous silica pool from temperate forests in Sweden was close to half our observations (6.7 kg m⁻²).

The biogenic AlkExSi pool was not higher at the bottom position of the gently sloped forest. This suggests that the physical erosion at this site is low. In the steeply sloped forest, higher erosion rate apparently did provoke the physical loss of

275 biogenic AlkExSi, potentially decreasing the amount of Si recycled by the vegetation. BSi is consequently transported to the bottom of the slope before it can dissolve and be recycled by plants, resulting in an accumulation of BSi at the bottom of the slope (37%). Larger biogenic AlkExSi pools are also found at lower middle position and bottom, which suggest that the lower middle position at the steep site already receives and accumulates eroded material. This deposition zone could serve as a location for permanent BSi storage.

280 4.2.2. Land use change

Our results clearly show how land use change can impact the biogenic AlkExSi pool in a subtropical soil system.





The averaged biogenic AlkExSi pool size followed the sequence: ILS > ILG > ARG > ARS. Overall, croplands showed a 10% and 53% lower (for gentle and steep slopes respectively) biogenic AlkExSi pool compared to well-conserved forest. This loss of biogenic AlkExSi has previously been described in other studies. Vandevenne et al. (2015b) showed similar results for temperate Belgian Luvisols, where croplands showed a decrease of total biogenic AlkExSi of 35% compared to the temperate forest. Results from Clymans et al. (2011) support the same pattern, showing smaller AlkExSi pools in cultivated systems in Sweden. The absence of a larger decrease in the gently sloped cropland may indicate that deforestation occurred too recently to see such a decrease, only triggered by harvest. Opfergelt et al. (2010) showed reminiscences of phytoliths from the previous forested system in croplands of Cameroon deforested in the early 50s. However, a strong depletion of >50% is seen at the steep slope of the cropland compared to its forested counterpart. Although it has been shown that an increase of erosion rate occurs after the conversion from forests to croplands (Vanacker et al., 2014) and this may affect both croplands, Montgomery and Brandon (2002) described how the erosion rate depends directly on the slope and stressed the importance of landslides. The consequence is a huge increase of the accumulation of biogenic AlkExSi pool at

the bottom of the steep slope of the cropland (67% of the total biogenic AlkExSi pool).

295 4.3. Importance of scales and methods

The present study clearly shows how sensitive subtropical soil silica cycling is to deforestation. The croplands in earlier studies, e.g. Vandevenne et al. (2015b), had usually been cultivated for more than 200 years, and BSi depletion was explained as a result of long-term cultivation. However, the croplands in the present study were deforested 50 years ago, highlighting how fast the biogenic AlkExSi pool can be depleted from the soil system when physical erosion is high.

- 300 Our results confirm the importance of using a continuous extraction to determine BSi pools in soils (Barão et al., 2014). The non-biogenic AlkExSi fractions would have been determined as BSi if alkaline extractions applying only analyses during the linear phase of the extraction had been used (adaptations of the DeMaster (1981)). We acknowledge that some difficulties still remain when applying the method we used. The dissolution in NaOH does not show a real reactivity within soils: the non-biogenic AlkExSi fractions probably have lower solubility in soils (Ronchi et al., 2015) or water (Unzué-Belmonte et al., 2015).
- 305 al., 2016) than BSi. Using the Si/Al ratio thresholds described for temperate soils to determine the character of the fractions in a different soil may arise some concerns. Without physical extraction we cannot assure that fractions showing specific ratios (below 5) correspond to the same pedogenic compounds found in temperate soils. The method is also unable to distinguish, among the Si/Al > 5 fractions, between phytoliths and opal A/CT. Under a silica saturated system, silica can precipitate in amorphous structures called Opal-A, that in further transformations could be transformed into Opal-CT and
- 310 finally microquartz (Chadwick et al., 1987; Drees et al., 1989). Opal deposits were identified at more than one meter deep layers in temperate pastures (Vandevenne et al., 2015b) and the tropics (Alexandre et al., 1997). Moreover, results from (Saccone et al., 2007) showed that the amounts of easy-soluble silica were larger in deeper horizons, agreeing with the possibility of having Opal-A at deeper layers in our systems.

4.4. Effects of erosion and land use change on the non-biogenic AlkExSi pool along the toposequence

315 4.4.1. Croplands

The averaged total pool of non-biogenic AlkExSi followed the sequence: ILS > ARG > ILG > ARS. With large amounts of BSi exported through the harvest, lower amounts of BSi (easy to dissolve) return to soil (Vandevenne et al., 2012). Due to reduced infiltration of DSi from surficial BSi, a decrease of non-biogenic AlkExSi fractions deeper in the soil could be expected compared to forest. Yet, this does not occur in the gently sloped cropland, where we found a slightly higher averaged pool of non-biogenic AlkExSi than in the forest with similar slope degree. As mentioned before, 50 years of

320

deforestation provided insufficient time to clearly see an effect on the non-biogenic AlkExSi pool as well. A study in Belgian Luvisols under long-term cropland management (Vandevenne et al., 2015a) showed an enlarged non-biogenic AlkExSi pool





in the croplands compared to forest. The authors explained the result by the fact that the high Si-demand from the crops increases the weathering rate from the mineral phases, transforming low-soluble compounds into high-soluble ones (soluble

- 325 in NaOH). Studies in rice fields, high Si-demanding crop, showed the importance of Si-oxides phases in the supply of DSi for the plants/crops (Klotzbücher et al., 2016). A combination of a relatively short time period since deforestation, and the increasing demand for Si by the crops compared to forest species, could thus explain the larger non-biogenic AlkExSi pool in gently cropland, compared to forests.
- However, the non-biogenic AlkExSi pool of the steeply cropland is almost inexistent. In this case, no compensation from
 mineral phases is seen. Dosseto et al. (2011) showed that soil formation in agricultural lands was two orders of magnitude
 slower than erosion in a study including volcanic soils of Puerto Rico. As well as for the biogenic AlkExSi pool, the high Si demand by crops together with the higher erosion rate results also in a complete depletion of the non-biogenic AlkExSi pool in the steep sloped cropland.

4.4.2. Forests

335 The steeply sloped forest showed a larger non-biogenic AlkExSi pool, mainly accumulated at top and bottom positions (Fig. 4). It is clear that the continuous long-term biogenic AlkExSi deposition at bottom positions (apparent also at lower middle position) triggers the formation of new non-biogenic AlkExSi phases which corresponds with lower TRB values. Weathering degree has previously been correlated to the amount of pedogenic silica accumulation in sedimentary soils (Kendrick and Graham, 2004) and also, clay minerals and Si absorbed by oxides were reported by Delvaux et al. (1989) and Opfergelt et al. (2009) respectively, to be largest at most weathered sites in volcanic soils from Cameroon.

4.5. Implications

We show how slope and land use change have strong interacting effects on the distribution of the AlkExSi pool in a subtropical soil. In general, our study agrees well with earlier findings in temperate climates: landscape cultivation can diminish soil BSi stocks. While deforestation occurred only 50 years ago, the biogenic AlkExSi pool in the steeply sloped

345 cropland was only 50% of the pool in steeply sloped forests. In contrast, on the gentle slopes, no similar depletion was observed. This highlights the importance of erosion strength for the rate of depletion. To our knowledge, almost no studies have included slope as a potential factor (Ibrahim and Lal, 2014). It could therefore also be relevant to include erosion rates in studies of BSi in temperate ecosystems.

Silica and carbon cycles are closely related through the production of phytoliths. A recent study showed a positive relation between soil organic carbon (SOC) and amorphous silica content along a toposequence and along the depth profile (Ibrahim and Lal, 2014). However, a comparison between their and our results is not possible due to the different methods used to extract the silica fractions. The assumed tight relationship between both elements together with the SOC depletion reported of 45% after 11-50 years of conversion from forest to cropland (Wei et al., 2014), hints to similar mechanisms behind both observations. Some studies have indicated that silica could act as a 'carbon protector' through phytoliths formation: carbon is

355 occluded within the phytoliths and remains stored until phytoliths dissolve (Song et al., 2014). Moreover, some authors suggested that atmospheric carbon sequestration could be enhanced through phytoliths production and subsequent burial (Li et al., 2013; Parr et al., 2010).

Our study highlights the accumulation of biogenic AlkExSi at deposition zones in croplands. Very little is known on the potential Si sink associated with such deposition zones, as little research has actually focused on Si biogeochemistry in these

360 zones. Deposition of BSi here could be an important sink for Si. As shown earlier in tidal marshes (Struyf et al., 2007), rapid accumulation of BSi can prevent its complete dissolution, resulting in long-term burial and removal from the global biogeochemical Si cycle.



395



5. Acknowledgements

We thank BELSPO for funding the project SOGLO (Soil System under GLObal change, P7/24), all the members of the
 SOGLO Project and the University of Santa Maria for their help during field work in Brazil. D. U. B. also thanks the Soil
 System Sciences Division of the European Geoscience Union (EGU) for awarding her the best Outstanding Student Poster
 Award at the 2014 EGU Assembly.

6. References

Alexandre, A., Meunier, J.-D., Colin, F. and Koud, J.-M.: Plant impact on the biogeochemical cycle of silicon and related weathering processes, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 61(3), 677–682, doi:10.1016/S0016-7037(97)00001-X, 1997.

Ameijeiras-Mariño, Y.: Changes in soil chemical weathering intensity after forest conversion to cropland: importance of slope geomorphology. In: The response of soil chemical weathering to physical erosion: integrating the impact of forest conversion (PhD thesis), Université catholique de Louvain (UCL), Belgium., 2017.

Barão, L., Clymans, W., Vandevenne, F., Meire, P., Conley, D. J. and Struyf, E.: Pedogenic and biogenic alkaline-extracted
 silicon distributions along a temperate land-use gradient, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 65(5), 693–705, doi:10.1111/ejss.12161, 2014.

Barão, L., Vandevenne, F., Clymans, W., Frings, P., Ragueneau, O., Meire, P., Conley, D. J. and Struyf, E.: Alkalineextractable silicon from land to ocean: A challenge for biogenic silicon determination, Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods, 13(7), 329–344, doi:10.1002/lom3.10028, 2015.

Bartoli, F.: Crystallochemistry and surface properties of biogenic opal, J. Soil Sci., 36(3), 335–350, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.1985.tb00340.x, 1985.

Blecker, S. W., Mcculley, R. L., Chadwick, O. A. and Kelly, E. F.: Biologic cycling of silica across a grassland bioclimosequence, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 20(May), 1–11, doi:10.1029/2006GB002690, 2006.

Van Cappellen, P.: Biomineralization and global biogeochemical cycles, Rev. Mineral. Geochemistry, 54(1), 357–381, doi:10.2113/0540357, 2003.

385 Chadwick, O. A., Hendricks, D. M. and Nettleton, W. D.: Silica in Duric Soils: II. Mineralogy1, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 51, 982–985, doi:10.2136/sssaj1987.03615995005100040029x, 1987.

Chao, T. T. and Sanzolone, R. F.: Decomposition techniques, J. Geochemical Explor., 44(1–3), 65–106, 1992.

Clymans, W., Struyf, E., Govers, G., Vandevenne, F. and Conley, D. J.: Anthropogenic impact on amorphous silica pools in temperate soils, Biogeosciences, 8(8), 2281–2293, doi:10.5194/bg-8-2281-2011, 2011.

390 Clymans, W., Struyf, E., Van den Putte, A., Langhans, C., Wang, Z. and Govers, G.: Amorphous silica mobilization by inter-rill erosion: Insights from rainfall experiments, Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 40(9), 1171–1181, doi:10.1002/esp.3707, 2015.

Conley, D. J., Likens, G. E., Buso, D. C., Saccone, L., Bailey, S. W. and Johnson, C. E.: Deforestation causes increased dissolved silicate losses in the Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest, Glob. Chang. Biol., 14, 2548–2554, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01667.x, 2008.

Cornelis, J.-T., Delvaux, B., Georg, R. B., Lucas, Y., Ranger, J. and Opfergelt, S.: Tracing the origin of dissolved silicon transferred from various soil-plant systems towards rivers: a review, Biogeosciences, 8(1), 89–112, doi:10.5194/bg-8-89-2011, 2011.

Cornelis, J. and Delvaux, B.: Soil processes drive the biological silicon feedback loop, Funct. Ecol., 1(Epstein 1999), 1298–1310, doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12704, 2016.

Currie, H. A. and Perry, C. C.: Silica in Plants : Biological , Biochemical and Chemical Studies, Ann. Bot., (100), 1383–1389, doi:10.1093/aob/mcm247, 2007.

 Delvaux, B., Herbillon, A. J. and Vielvoye, L.: Characterization of a weathering sequence of soils derived from volcanic ash in Cameroon. Taxonomic, mineralogical and agronomic implications, Geoderma, 45, 375–388, doi:10.1016/0016-7061(89)90017-7, 1989.

DeMaster, D. J.: The supply and accumulation of silica in the marine environment, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 45(10),



435

445



1715-1732, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(81)90006-5, 1981.

Derry, L. a, Kurtz, A. C., Ziegler, K. and Chadwick, O. a: Biological control of terrestrial silica cycling and export fluxes to watersheds., Nature, 433(7027), 728–31, doi:10.1038/nature03299, 2005.

410 Dosseto, A., Buss, H. and Suresh, P. O.: Applied Geochemistry The delicate balance between soil production and erosion, and its role on landscape evolution, Appl. Geochemistry, 26, S24–S27, doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2011.03.020, 2011.

Drees, L. R., Wilding, L. P., Smeck, N. E. and Senkayi, A. L.: Silica in soils: quartz and disorders polymorphs, in Minerals in soil environments, pp. 914–974, Soil Science Society of America, Madison., 1989.

Drever, J. I.: The effect of land plants on weathering rates of silicate minerals, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 58(10), 2325– 2332, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(94)90013-2, 1994.

Govers, G., Quine, T. A., Desmet, P. J. J. and Walling, D. E.: The relative contribution of soil tillage and overland flow erosion to soil redistribution on agricultural land, Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 21, 929–946, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9837(199610)21:10<929::AID-ESP631>3.0.CO;2-C, 1996.

Guntzer, F., Keller, C., Poulton, P. R., McGrath, S. P. and Meunier, J. D.: Long-term removal of wheat straw decreases soil amorphous silica at Broadbalk, Rothamsted, Plant Soil, 352(1–2), 173–184, doi:10.1007/s11104-011-0987-4, 2012.

Hall, T. B., Rosillo-Calle, F., Williams, R. H. and Woods, J.: Biomass for energy: supply prospects, in Renewable Energy: Sources for Fuels and Electricity., edited by R. H. Hall, T.B., Kelly, H., Reddy, A.K.N., Williams, pp. 593–651, Island Press, Washington D.C., 1993.

Herbillon, A. J.: Chemical estimation of weatherable minerals present in the diagnostic horizons of low activity clay soils., in
Proceedings of the 8th International Soil Classification Work- shop: Classification, Characterization and Utilization of Oxisols, Part 1, edited by M. N. C. and E. E. F.H. Beinroth, pp. 39–38, Rio de Janeiro., 1986.

Ibrahim, M. A. and Lal, R.: Catena Soil carbon and silicon pools across an un-drained toposequence in central Ohio, Catena, 120, 57–63, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2014.04.006, 2014.

IUSS Working Group WRB: World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, update 2015. International soil classification
 system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps., edited by World Soil Resources Reports No.106, FAO, Rome., 2015.

Jones, L. H. P. and Handreck, K. A.: Effects of Iron and Aluminium Oxides on Silica in Solution in Soils, Nature, 198(4883), 852–853 [online] Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/198852a0, 1963.

Keller, C., Guntzer, F., Barboni, D., Labreuche, J. and Meunier, J. D.: Impact of agriculture on the Si biogeochemical cycle: Input from phytolith studies, Comptes Rendus - Geosci., 344(11–12), 739–746, doi:10.1016/j.crte.2012.10.004, 2012.

Kelly, E. F., Chadwick, O. A. and Hilinski, T. E.: The effect of plants on mineral weathering, Biogeochemistry, (42), 21–53, doi:10.1007/978-94-017-2691-7_2, 1998.

Kendrick, K. J. and Graham, R. C.: Pedogenic silica accumulation in chronosequence soils, southern California, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 68(4), 1295–1303 [online] Available from: http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/70026998, 2004.

440 Klotzbücher, T., Marxen, A., Jahn, R. and Vetterlein, D.: Silicon cycle in rice paddy fields: insights provided by relations between silicon forms in topsoils and plant silicon uptake, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosystems, 157–168, doi:10.1007/s10705-016-9782-1, 2016.

Koning, E., Epping, E. and Van Raaphorst, W.: Determining Biogenic Silica in Marine Samples by Tracking Silicate and Aluminium Concentrations in Alkaline Leaching Solutions, Aquat. geochemistry, 8(1), 37–67, doi:10.1023/A:1020318610178, 2002.

Kottek, M., Grieser, J., Beck, C., Rudolf, B. and Rubel, F.: World Map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification updated, Meteorol. Zeitschrift, 15(3), 259–263, doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2006/0130, 2006.

Li, Z., Song, Z., Parr, J. F. and Wang, H.: Occluded C in rice phytoliths: implications to biogeochemical carbon sequestration, Plant Soil, 370, 615–623, doi:10.1007/s11104-013-1661-9, 2013.

450 Meunier, J. ., Colin, F. and Alarcon, C.: Biogenic silica storage in soils, Geology, 27(9), 835–838, doi:10.1130/0091-7613, 1999.

Meunier, J. D., Kirman, S., Strasberg, D., Nicolini, E., Delcher, E. and Keller, C.: The output and bio-cycling of Si in a tropical rain forest developed on young basalt flows (La Reunion Island), Geoderma, 159(3-4), 431-439,



465

490

495



doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.09.010, 2010.

455 Minella, J. P. G., Walling, D. E. and Merten, G. H.: Establishing a sediment budget for a small agricultural catchment in southern Brazil, to support the development of effective sediment management strategies, J. Hydrol., 519, 2189–2201, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.10.013, 2014.

Montgomery, D. R. and Brandon, M. T.: Topographic controls on erosion rates in tectonically active mountain ranges, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 201, 481–489, doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(02)00725-2, 2002.

460 Opfergelt, S., de Bournonville, G., Cardinal, D., André, L., Delstanche, S. and Delvaux, B.: Impact of soil weathering degree on silicon isotopic fractionation during adsorption onto iron oxides in basaltic ash soils, Cameroon, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 73(24), 7226–7240, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2009.09.003, 2009.

Opfergelt, S., Cardinal, D., André, L., Delvigne, C., Bremond, L. and Delvaux, B.: Variations of $\delta 30$ Si and Ge/Si with weathering and biogenic input in tropical basaltic ash soils under monoculture, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 74(1), 225–240, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2009.09.025, 2010.

Parr, J., Sullivan, L., Chen, B., Ye, G. and Zheng, W.: Carbon bio-sequestration within the phytoliths of economic bamboo species, Glob. Chang. Biol., (16), 2661–2667, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02118.x, 2010.

Piperno, D. R.: Phytoliths: A Comprehensive Guide for Archaeologists and Paleoecologists, Altamira Press, San Diego., 2006.

470 Robert, M. and Tessier, D.: Méthode de préparation des argiles des sols pour des études minéralogiques, Ann. Agron., 25, 859–882, 1974.

Ronchi, B., Barão, L., Clymans, W., Vandevenne, F., Batelaan, O., Govers, G., Struyf, E. and Dassargues, A.: Factors controlling Si export from soils: A soil column approach, Catena, 133, 85–96, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2015.05.007, 2015.

Saccone, L., Conley, D. J., Koning, E., Sauer, D., Sommer, M., Kaczorek, D., Blecker, S. W. and Kelly, E. F.: Assessing the
 extraction and quantification of amorphous silica in soils of forest and grassland ecosystems, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 58(6), 1446–1459, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2007.00949.x, 2007.

Sauer, D., Saccone, L., Conley, D. J., Herrmann, L. and Sommer, M.: Review of methodologies for extracting plant-available and amorphous Si from soils and aquatic sediments, Biogeochemistry, 80(1), 89–108, doi:10.1007/s10533-005-5879-3, 2006.

- 480 Smis, A., Van Damme, S., Struyf, E., Clymans, W., Van Wesemael, B., Frot, E., Vandevenne, F., Van Hoestenberghe, T., Govers, G. and Meire, P.: A trade-off between dissolved and amorphous silica transport during peak flow events (Scheldt river basin, Belgium): Impacts of precipitation intensity on terrestrial Si dynamics in strongly cultivated catchments, Biogeochemistry, 106(3), 475–487, doi:10.1007/s10533-010-9527-1, 2011.
- Sommer, M., Kaczorek, D., Kuzyakov, Y. and Breuer, J.: Silicon pools and fluxes in soils and landscapes—a review, J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci., 169(3), 310–329, doi:10.1002/jpln.200521981, 2006.

Song, Z., Müller, K. and Wang, H.: Biogeochemical silicon cycle and carbon sequestration in agricultural ecosystems, Earth-Science Rev., 139, 268–278, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2014.09.009, 2014.

Song, Z., Wang, H., Strong, P. J., Li, Z. and Jiang, P.: Plant impact on the coupled terrestrial biogeochemical cycles of silicon and carbon: Implications for biogeochemical carbon sequestration, Earth-Science Rev., 115(4), 319–331, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.09.006, 2012.

Struyf, E. and Conley, D. J.: Emerging understanding of the ecosystem silica filter, Biogeochemistry, 107(1–3), 9–18, doi:10.1007/s10533-011-9590-2, 2012.

Struyf, E., Smis, A., Van Damme, S., Garnier, J., Govers, G., Van Wesemael, B., Conley, D. J., Batelaan, O., Frot, E., Clymans, W., Vandevenne, F., Lancelot, C., Goos, P. and Meire, P.: Historical land use change has lowered terrestrial silica mobilization., Nat. Commun., 1(8), 129, doi:10.1038/ncomms1128, 2010.

Struyf, E., Temmerman, S. and Meire, P.: Dynamics of biogenic Si in freshwater tidal marshes: Si regeneration and retention in marsh sediments (Scheldt estuary), Biogeochemistry, 82, 41–53, doi:10.1007/s10533-006-9051-5, 2007.

Uehara, G. and Gillman, G.: The Mineralogy, Chemistry, and Physics of Tropical Soils With Variable Charge Clays, West View Press, Boulder, CO, USA., 1981.

500 Unzué-Belmonte, D., Struyf, E., Clymans, W., Tischer, A., Potthast, K., Bremer, M., Meire, P. and Schaller, J.: Fire enhances solubility of biogenic silica, Sci. Total Environ., 572, 1289–1296, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.12.085, 2016.





Vanacker, V., Bellin, N., Molina, A. and Kubik, P. W.: Erosion regulation as a function of human disturbances to vegetation cover : a conceptual model, Landsc. Ecol, 29, 293–309, doi:10.1007/s10980-013-9956-z, 2014.

Vandevenne, F. I., Barão, L., Ronchi, B., Govers, G., Meire, P., Kelly, E. F. and Struyf, E.: Silicon pools in human impacted
 soils of temperate zones, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 29(9), 1439–1450, doi:10.1002/2014GB005049, 2015a.

Vandevenne, F. I., Delvaux, C., Hughes, H. J., André, L., Ronchi, B., Clymans, W., Barão, L., Cornelis, J.-T., Govers, G., Meire, P. and Struyf, E.: Landscape cultivation alters δ30Si signature in terrestrial ecosystems, Sci. Rep., 5, 7732, doi:10.1038/srep07732, 2015b.

Vandevenne, F., Struyf, E., Clymans, W. and Meire, P.: Agricultural silica harvest: have humans created a new loop in the global silica cycle?, Front. Ecol. Environ., 10(5), 243–248, doi:10.1890/110046, 2012.

Wei, X., Shao, M., Gale, W. and Li, L.: Global pattern of soil carbon losses due to the conversion of forests to agricultural land, Sci. Rep., 1, 6–11, doi:10.1038/srep04062, 2014.

515

510

520

525







Figure 1. Location of study site.



Figure 2. Diagram of the studied sites and the acronyms used in the text ordered by ecosystem (F=Forest, C=Cropland), slope (G=Gentle, S=Steep), position (T=Top, UM=Upper middle, LM=Lower middle, M=middle, B=Bottom) and replicate (R1=Replicate 1, R2=Replicate 2, R3=Replicate 3). Plus signs represent sampling points.

Solid Earth Discuss., doi:10.5194/se-2017-21, 2017 Manuscript under review for journal Solid Earth Discussion started: 27 February 2017



© Author(s) 2017. CC-BY 3.0 License.











Figure 3. Biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi concentrations (mg g^{-1} dried soil) from selected pits of the sites studied: a) Gentle slope of the forest, b) Steep slope of the forest, c) Gentle slope of the cropland and d) Steep slope of the cropland. Graphs from left to right: Top, upper middle, lower middle (or middle) and bottom pit.

545





0 0 1.23 0.00 130 130 140 0.00 30 40 2.33 0.00 140 150' 4.07 0.61 30 40 2.33 0.00 160' 3.02 1.20 50 55' 0.81 0.24 180 100 2.90 55 55 2.88 0.00 10 10 2.04 0.00 75 85 190 0.02 200 1.51 0.00 75 85 190 0.02 200 1.51 0.00 75 85 100 1.81 9.55' 0.84 0.35 60 10 2.00 0.00 1.35 55 65 2.38 0.02 75 75 0.00 1.81 9.55' 0.84 0.36 65 75' 0.00 1.81 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.55 75 75 8.00	Position	Depth	Biogenic	Non-biogenic	Position	Depth	Biogenic	Non-biogenic
FGTR3 0.20 0.23 0.00 1.24 0.00 1.23 0.00 1.05 1.0 1.0		0 - 10	3.23	0.00		130 - 140	5.14	0.00
		10 - 20	2.84	0.00		140 - 150'	4.07	0.61
FGTR3 30 - 40 2.33 0.00 1.05 160 - 170 ¹ 1.99 1.78 50 - 55 ¹ 0.81 0.02 170 - 180 ¹ 0.98 2.35 55 - 65 2.88 0.00 0.10 2.04 0.00 2.90 55 - 65 2.88 0.00 0.10 2.04 0.00 75 - 85 1.90 0.02 20 - 30 1.57 0.00 10 - 20 0.00 1.89 40 - 50 0.97 0.63 40 - 50 0.00 1.48 55 - 65 0.84 0.36 0.37 0.43 50 - 55 ¹ 0.00 0.84 155 - 65 0.84 0.36 0.97 0.63 65 - 75 ¹ 0.00 0.84 155 - 65 2.85 0.00 1.81 185 - 95 ¹ 0.40 0.88 105 - 15 ⁵ 0.00 2.72 115 - 125 ¹ 1.15 1.71 95 - 105 2.01 1.67 1.60 1.60 1.60 1.60 1.60 <td< td=""><td rowspan="4">FGTR3</td><td>20 - 30</td><td>1.24</td><td>0.00</td><td>ESI MD2</td><td>150 - 160'</td><td>3.02</td><td>1.20</td></td<>	FGTR3	20 - 30	1.24	0.00	ESI MD2	150 - 160'	3.02	1.20
FGTR340 · 500.001.05170 · 180 ¹ 0.982.3550 · 55 ¹ 0.810.24180 · 1900.002.9055 · 652.390.0110 · 201.510.0075 · 851.900.0220 · 301.570.0010 · 200.001.8930 · 400.740.0040 · 500.001.3755 · 652.380.8350 · 55 ¹ 0.000.011.550.840.3655 · 650.001.8175 · 852.980.0055 · 650.001.8175 · 852.980.0055 · 650.001.8175 · 852.980.0075 · 850.000.001.811.511.5175 · 850.002.021.551.551.5575 · 850.002.021.551.551.5575 · 850.002.021.551.551.5575 · 850.002.021.551.551.5575 · 850.002.021.551.551.5575 · 850.011.671.501.511.5175 · 850.021.671.501.511.51150 · 115'1.340.24150 · 160'0.071.90150 · 160'1.750.621.80 · 1000.001.97150 · 160'1.750.621.80 · 1000.002.01160 · 170'1.170.870.601		30 - 40	2.33	0.00	F5LMR2	160 - 170 [!]	1.99	1.78
S0 - S5 ¹ 0.81 0.24 180 - 190 0.00 2.90 55 - 65 2.88 0.00 0 - 10 2.04 0.00 75 - 85 1.90 0.02 20 - 30 1.57 0.00 0 - 10 1.63 0.00 30 - 40 0.74 0.00 10 - 20 0.00 1.37 0.50 0.97 0.63 20 - 30 0.00 1.37 55 - 55 0.84 0.36 30 - 40 0.00 1.35 55 - 55 0.84 0.36 50 - 55 ¹ 0.00 0.84 55 - 65 2.88 0.83 55 - 65 0.00 1.81 PSBR2 85 - 95 ¹ 2.61 1.57 55 - 65 0.00 2.72 155 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.55 50 - 55 ¹ 0.01 1.67 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.55 1.51 115 - 125 ¹ 1.51 1.51 1.51 1.51 1.57 1.57 <td< td=""><td>40 - 50</td><td>0.00</td><td>1.05</td><td></td><td>170 - 180'</td><td>0.98</td><td>2.35</td></td<>		40 - 50	0.00	1.05		170 - 180'	0.98	2.35
55 - 65 2.88 0.00 0 - 10 2.04 0.00 75 - 85 1.90 0.02 10 - 20 1.51 0.00 10 - 20 0.00 1.89 20 - 30 0.74 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 1.37 55 - 65 2.38 0.36 30 - 40 0.00 1.35 55 - 65 2.38 0.36 50 - 55' 0.00 1.48 65 - 75' 2.67 0.42 50 - 55' 0.00 1.81 65 - 75' 2.67 0.42 55 - 65 0.00 1.81 75 - 85 2.98 0.00 75 - 85 0.00 2.72 105 - 115' 1.57 1.57 65 - 75' 0.00 1.67 125 - 130' 0.40 0.88 105 - 115' 2.25 1.23 105 - 115' 1.51 1.71 105 - 115' 2.31 0.38 170 - 180' 0.09 1.97 130 - 140 2.86 0.14 160 - 170' 0.18		50 - 55'	0.81	0.24		180 - 190	0.00	2.90
65 - 75 ¹ 2.39 0.01 1.0 - 20 1.51 0.00 75 - 85 1.00 0.02 20 - 30 1.57 0.00 10 - 20 0.00 1.37 0.04 0.074 0.00 20 - 30 0.00 1.37 50 - 55 ¹ 0.84 0.36 40 - 50 0.00 1.48 55 - 65 2.38 0.83 50 - 55 ¹ 0.00 0.84 75 - 85 2.07 0.42 50 - 55 ¹ 0.00 0.84 75 - 85 2.07 1.51 75 - 85 0.00 2.24 105 - 115 ¹ 1.61 1.63 105 - 115 ¹ 2.25 0.30 1.89 140 - 150 ¹ 0.36 1.86 115 - 125 ¹ 2.49 0.80 140 - 150 ¹ 0.61 1.83 1.83 115 - 125 ¹ 2.49 0.80 140 - 150 ¹ 0.36 1.86 115 - 125 ¹ 1.41 0.40 0.44 1.83 1.94 140 - 150 ¹ 0.53		55 - 65	2.88	0.00		0 - 10	2.04	0.00
75 - 851.900.0220 - 301.570.000 - 101.630.0030 - 400.740.0010 - 200.001.8940 - 500.001.3720 - 300.001.3555 - 652.380.8330 - 400.001.4855 - 652.670.4250 - 55'0.000.8475 - 852.980.0055 - 650.001.8185 - 95'2.510.7765 - 75'0.000.0095 - 1052.051.5575 - 850.002.24115 - 115'1.1611.6395 - 1052.011.6715'1.551.15'1.7195 - 1052.011.6710'1.040.88105 - 115'2.251.23130 - 1400.441.83115 - 125'1.340.24150 - 160'0.271.90130 - 1402.860.14160 - 170'0.181.94140 - 150'1.340.24180 - 190'0.001.97150 - 160'1.750.601.741.022.740.02160 - 170'1.170.870.100.000.04160 - 170'1.170.870.100.000.01160 - 170'1.170.870.100.000.01170 - 180'0.901.3710 - 100.000.01170 - 180'0.901.3710 - 100.000.3110 - 100.00 </td <td rowspan="2"></td> <td>65 - 75[!]</td> <td>2.39</td> <td>0.01</td> <td></td> <td>10 - 20</td> <td>1.51</td> <td>0.00</td>		65 - 75 [!]	2.39	0.01		10 - 20	1.51	0.00
0-10 1.63 0.00 30-40 0.74 0.00 10-20 0.00 1.89 40-50 0.97 0.63 20-30 0.00 1.37 50-55* 0.84 0.36 30-40 0.00 1.48 50-55* 0.84 0.36 50-55* 0.00 0.84 55-65 2.38 0.83 65-75* 0.00 0.00 1.48 65-75* 2.67 0.42 75-85 0.00 2.72 105-115* 1.61 1.63 105-115* 2.25 1.23 115-125* 1.15 1.71 105-115* 2.49 0.80 140-150' 0.36 1.86 125-130' 1.34 0.24 150-160' 0.27 1.90 130-140 2.86 0.14 160-170' 0.18 1.94 140-150' 0.36 0.88 1.97 1.90 1.07 1.90 150-160' 1.75 0.62 180-190 0.00		75 - 85	1.90	0.02		20 - 30	1.57	0.00
InterpretationInter		0 - 10	1.63	0.00		30 - 40	0.74	0.00
PGUMR220-300.001.3750-55 ¹ 0.840.3630-400.001.3555-652.380.8340-500.001.4855-652.670.0250-55 ¹ 0.000.8475-852.980.0055-650.001.8185-95 ¹ 2.510.7765-75 ¹ 0.000.00115-125 ¹ 1.611.6375-850.002.21105-115 ¹ 1.611.6395-1052.011.67125-130 ¹ 0.400.88105-115 ¹ 2.251.23130-1400.441.83115-125 ¹ 2.490.80140-150 ¹ 0.361.86125-130 ¹ 1.340.24150-160 ¹ 0.361.86130-1402.860.14160-170 ¹ 0.181.94140-150 ¹ 2.310.38170-180 ¹ 0.002.01150-160 ¹ 1.750.62180-1900.002.01160-170 ¹ 1.170.870.100.004.53170-180 ¹ 0.591.1210-202.740.02160-170 ¹ 1.080.0130-403.660.00170-180 ¹ 0.591.230.004.530.0010-200.730.001.555.590.001.7410-200.730.001.555.590.001.7410-200.730.001.511.661.4010-200.73		10 - 20	0.00	1.89		40 - 50	0.97	0.63
30 - 40 0.00 1.35 55 - 65 2.38 0.83 40 - 50 0.00 1.48 65 - 75 ¹ 2.67 0.42 50 - 55 ¹ 0.00 0.84 75 - 85 2.98 0.00 55 - 65 0.00 0.81 85 - 95 ¹ 2.51 0.77 65 - 75 ¹ 0.00 2.72 105 - 115 ¹ 1.61 1.63 105 - 115 ¹ 2.25 1.23 115 - 125 ¹ 1.15 1.71 115 - 125 ¹ 2.49 0.80 140 - 150 ¹ 0.44 1.83 115 - 125 ¹ 2.49 0.80 140 - 150 ¹ 0.36 1.86 125 - 130 ¹ 1.34 0.24 150 - 160 ¹ 0.27 1.90 130 - 140 2.86 0.14 160 - 170 ¹ 0.18 1.94 140 - 150 ¹ 2.31 0.38 170 - 180 ¹ 0.09 2.01 160 - 170 ¹ 1.17 0.87 0.00 4.0 0.00 2.01 160 - 10 ¹ 0.59		20 - 30	0.00	1.37		50 - 55 [!]	0.84	0.36
40 · 50 0.00 1.48 65 · 75 ¹ 2.67 0.42 50 · 55 ¹ 0.00 0.84 75 · 85 2.98 0.00 55 · 65 0.00 0.00 85 · 95 ¹ 2.05 1.55 75 · 85 0.00 2.72 105 · 115 ¹ 1.61 1.63 95 · 105 2.01 1.67 125 · 130 ¹ 0.40 0.88 105 · 115 ¹ 2.25 1.23 140 · 150 ¹ 0.36 1.86 125 · 130 ¹ 1.34 0.24 150 · 160 ¹ 0.42 1.80 140 · 150 ¹ 2.31 0.38 140 · 150 ¹ 0.36 1.86 125 · 130 ¹ 1.17 0.87 0.00 2.01 1.60 · 170 ¹ 0.18 1.94 140 · 150 ¹ 1.75 0.62 180 · 190 0.00 1.37 0.90 0.91 150 · 160 ¹ 1.75 0.62 180 · 190 0.00 4.53 170 · 180 ¹ 0.59 1.12 10 · 20 2.74 0.02		30 - 40	0.00	1.35		55 - 65	2.38	0.83
FGUMR2 50 - 55 ¹ 0.00 0.84 75 - 85 2.98 0.00 75 - 85 0.00 0.00 0.00 95 - 105 2.51 0.77 75 - 85 0.00 2.72 105 - 115 ¹ 1.61 1.63 95 - 105 2.01 1.67 125 - 130 ¹ 0.40 0.88 105 - 115 ¹ 2.25 1.23 130 - 140 0.44 1.83 115 - 125 ¹ 2.49 0.80 140 - 150 ¹ 0.36 1.86 125 - 130 ¹ 1.34 0.24 150 - 160 ¹ 0.77 1.90 130 - 140 2.86 0.14 160 - 170 ¹ 0.18 1.94 140 - 150 ¹ 2.31 0.38 150 - 160 ¹ 0.18 1.94 160 - 170 ¹ 1.17 0.87 0.10 0.00 2.01 160 - 170 ¹ 1.17 0.87 0.10 0.00 4.05 0.00 10 - 20 0.73 0.00 1.37 0.50 5.5 5.9 0.01		40 - 50	0.00	1.48		65 - 75 [!]	2.67	0.42
FGUMR2 55 - 65 0.00 1.81 FSBR2 85 - 95! 2.51 0.77 75 - 85 0.00 2.72 105 - 115! 1.61 1.63 95 - 105 2.01 1.67 115 - 125! 1.15 1.71 95 - 105 2.01 1.67 115 - 125! 1.15 1.71 105 - 115! 2.25 1.23 130 - 140 0.44 1.83 115 - 125! 2.49 0.80 140 - 150! 0.36 1.86 125 - 130! 1.34 0.24 150 - 160! 0.77 1.90 130 - 140 2.86 0.14 160 - 170! 0.18 1.94 140 - 150! 2.31 0.38 100 - 100 0.00 2.01 160 - 170! 1.17 0.87 0.00 2.01 0.00 2.01 170 - 180! 0.59 1.12 10 - 20 2.74 0.02 170 - 180! 0.59 1.17 0.87 2.07 0.00 4.53 30 - 4		50 - 55 [!]	0.00	0.84		75 - 85	2.98	0.00
FGUMR2 65 - 75 ¹ 0.00 0.00 PSBR2 95 - 105 2.05 1.55 FGUMR2 75 - 85 0.00 2.72 105 - 115 ¹ 1.61 1.63 95 - 105 2.01 1.67 125 - 130 ¹ 0.40 0.88 105 - 115 ¹ 2.25 1.23 130 - 140 0.44 1.83 115 - 125 ¹ 2.49 0.80 150 - 160 ¹ 0.27 1.90 130 - 140 2.86 0.14 160 - 170 ¹ 0.18 1.94 140 - 150 ¹ 2.31 0.38 170 - 180 ¹ 0.09 2.01 160 - 170 ¹ 1.17 0.62 180 - 190 0.00 2.01 160 - 170 ¹ 1.17 0.87 0 - 10 0.00 2.01 170 - 180 ¹ 0.09 1.12 20 - 30 0.00 4.53 20 - 30 0.00 1.13 0.00 2.74 0.02 10 - 20 0.73 0.00 1.65 - 75 ¹ 2.67 0.20 3		55 - 65	0.00	1.81		85 - 95 [!]	2.51	0.77
FGUMR2 75 - 85 0.00 2.72 105 - 115 ¹ 1.61 1.63 95 - 105 2.01 1.67 115 - 125 ¹ 1.15 1.25 105 - 115 ¹ 2.25 1.23 130 - 140 0.44 1.83 115 - 125 ¹ 2.24 0.80 140 - 150 ¹ 0.36 1.86 125 - 130 ¹ 1.34 0.24 150 - 160 ¹ 0.27 1.90 130 - 140 2.86 0.14 150 - 160 ¹ 0.27 1.90 130 - 140 2.86 0.14 150 - 160 ¹ 0.27 1.90 130 - 140 2.86 0.14 106 - 170 ¹ 0.18 1.94 140 - 150 ¹ 1.75 0.62 180 - 190 0.00 2.01 160 - 170 ¹ 1.17 0.87 0.00 0.00 2.01 170 - 180 ¹ 0.59 1.12 10 - 20 2.74 0.02 10 - 20 0.73 0.00 1.37 20 - 30 0.00 4.55 90 - 10		65 - 75 [!]	0.00	0.00	FSBR2	95 - 105	2.05	1.55
FGUMR2 85 - 95 ¹ 0.94 2.24 115 - 125 ¹ 1.15 1.71 95 - 105 2.01 1.67 125 - 130 ¹ 0.40 0.88 105 - 115 ¹ 2.25 1.23 130 - 140 0.44 1.83 115 - 125 ¹ 2.49 0.80 140 - 150 ¹ 0.26 1.86 125 - 130 ¹ 1.34 0.24 150 - 160 ¹ 0.27 1.90 130 - 140 2.86 0.14 160 - 170 ¹ 0.18 1.94 140 - 150 ¹ 2.31 0.38 170 - 180 ¹ 0.09 1.97 150 - 160 ¹ 1.77 0.62 180 - 190 0.00 2.01 160 - 170 ¹ 1.17 0.87 10 - 20 2.74 0.02 180 - 190 0.00 1.37 20 - 30 0.00 4.53 20 - 30 0.00 1.13 0.00 40 - 50 6.06 0.31 20 - 30 0.00 0.13 50 - 55 ¹ 2.78 0.00 1.74		75 - 85	0.00	2.72		105 - 115'	1.61	1.63
FGUMR2 95 - 105 2.01 1.67 125 - 130 ¹ 0.40 0.88 105 - 115 ¹ 2.25 1.23 130 - 140 0.44 1.83 115 - 125 ¹ 2.49 0.80 140 - 150 ¹ 0.36 1.86 125 - 130 ¹ 1.34 0.24 150 - 160 ¹ 0.27 1.90 130 - 140 2.86 0.14 160 - 170 ¹ 0.18 1.94 140 - 150 ¹ 2.31 0.38 170 - 180 ¹ 0.09 1.97 150 - 160 ¹ 1.75 0.62 180 - 190 0.00 2.01 160 - 170 ¹ 1.17 0.87 0.10 0.00 2.01 170 - 180 ¹ 0.59 1.12 10 - 20 2.74 0.02 180 - 190 0.00 1.37 20 - 30 0.00 4.53 20 - 30 0.00 1.19 30 - 40 5.66 0.01 30 - 40 0.00 0.93 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 50 - 55 ¹ 0.71 0.00 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 FGLMR2 65 - 75 ¹		85 - 95 [!]	0.94	2.24		115 - 125'	1.15	1.71
105 - 115 ¹ 2.25 1.23 130 - 140 0.44 1.83 115 - 125 ¹ 2.49 0.80 140 - 150 ¹ 0.36 1.86 125 - 130 ¹ 1.34 0.24 150 - 160 ¹ 0.27 1.90 130 - 140 2.86 0.14 160 - 170 ¹ 0.18 1.94 140 - 150 ¹ 2.31 0.38 170 - 180 ¹ 0.90 1.97 150 - 160 ¹ 1.75 0.62 180 - 190 0.00 2.01 160 - 170 ¹ 0.59 1.12 0 - 10 0.00 0.04 170 - 180 ¹ 0.59 1.12 0 - 10 0.00 4.53 10 - 20 0.73 0.00 40 - 50 6.06 0.31 20 - 30 0.00 1.19 50 - 55 ¹ 2.78 0.09 30 - 40 0.00 0.93 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 55 - 55 ¹ 0.71 0.00 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 55 - 65 1.64 0.00 85 -	FGUMR2	95 - 105	2.01	1.67		125 - 130'	0.40	0.88
115 - 125 ¹ 2.49 0.80 140 - 150 ¹ 0.36 1.86 125 - 130 ¹ 1.34 0.24 150 - 160 ¹ 0.27 1.90 130 - 140 2.86 0.14 160 - 170 ¹ 0.18 1.94 140 - 150 ¹ 2.31 0.38 170 - 180 ¹ 0.09 1.97 150 - 160 ¹ 1.75 0.62 180 - 190 0.00 2.01 160 - 170 ¹ 1.17 0.87 0 - 10 0.00 0.04 170 - 180 ¹ 0.59 1.12 10 - 20 2.74 0.02 180 - 190 0.00 1.37 20 - 30 0.00 4.53 0 - 10 1.08 0.01 30 - 40 3.66 0.00 20 - 30 0.00 1.19 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 30 - 40 0.00 0.93 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 50 - 55 ¹ 0.71 0.00 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 FGLMR2 65 - 75 ¹ 0.84 0.85 <td></td> <td>105 - 115'</td> <td>2.25</td> <td>1.23</td> <td></td> <td>130 - 140</td> <td>0.44</td> <td>1.83</td>		105 - 115'	2.25	1.23		130 - 140	0.44	1.83
125 - 130 ¹ 1.34 0.24 150 - 160 ¹ 0.27 1.90 130 - 140 2.86 0.14 160 - 170 ¹ 0.18 1.94 140 - 150 ¹ 2.31 0.38 170 - 180 ¹ 0.09 1.97 150 - 160 ¹ 1.75 0.62 180 - 190 0.00 2.01 160 - 170 ¹ 1.17 0.87 0 - 10 0.00 0.04 170 - 180 ¹ 0.59 1.12 10 - 20 2.74 0.02 180 - 190 0.00 1.37 20 - 30 0.00 4.53 20 - 30 0.00 1.19 30 - 40 3.66 0.00 10 - 20 0.73 0.00 40 - 50 6.56 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 0.93 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 40 - 50 1.23 0.00 CGTR1 65 - 75 ¹ 2.67 0.20 50 - 55 ¹ 0.71 0.00 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 FGLMR2 65 - 75 ¹ 0.84		115 - 125!	2.49	0.80		140 - 150'	0.36	1.86
130 - 140 2.86 0.14 160 - 170! 0.18 1.94 140 - 150' 2.31 0.38 170 - 180! 0.09 1.97 150 - 160' 1.75 0.62 180 - 190 0.00 2.01 160 - 170' 1.17 0.87 0 - 10 0.00 0.04 170 - 180' 0.59 1.12 10 - 20 2.74 0.02 180 - 190 0.00 1.37 20 - 30 0.00 4.53 0 - 10 1.08 0.01 30 - 40 3.66 0.00 10 - 20 0.73 0.00 40 - 50 6.06 0.31 20 - 30 0.00 1.19 50 - 55' 2.78 0.09 30 - 40 0.00 0.93 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 50 - 55' 0.71 0.00 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 50 - 55' 0.71 0.00 85 - 95' 0.00 3.18 FGLMR2 65 - 75' 0.84 0.85 95 - 105		125 - 130!	1.34	0.24		150 - 160'	0.27	1.90
I40 - 150 ¹ 2.31 0.38 I70 - 180 ¹ 0.09 1.97 I50 - 160 ¹ 1.75 0.62 180 - 190 0.00 2.01 I60 - 170 ¹ 1.17 0.87 0 - 10 0.00 0.04 170 - 180 ¹ 0.59 1.12 10 - 20 2.74 0.02 180 - 190 0.00 1.37 20 - 30 0.00 4.53 0 - 10 1.08 0.01 30 - 40 3.66 0.00 10 - 20 0.73 0.00 40 - 50 6.06 0.31 20 - 30 0.00 1.19 50 - 55 ¹ 2.78 0.09 30 - 40 0.00 0.93 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 50 - 55 ¹ 0.71 0.00 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 65 - 75 ¹ 0.84 0.85 95 - 105 0.00 3.18 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 105 - 115 ¹ 0.83 2.29 85 - 95 ¹ 1.13 0.92 115 - 125 ¹		130 - 140	2.86	0.14		160 - 170 [!]	0.18	1.94
IS0 - 160 ¹ 1.75 0.62 I80 - 190 0.00 2.01 160 - 170 ¹ 1.17 0.87 0 - 10 0.00 0.04 170 - 180 ¹ 0.59 1.12 10 - 20 2.74 0.02 180 - 190 0.00 1.37 20 - 30 0.00 4.53 0 - 10 1.08 0.01 30 - 40 3.66 0.00 10 - 20 0.73 0.00 40 - 50 6.06 0.31 20 - 30 0.00 1.19 50 - 55 ¹ 2.78 0.09 30 - 40 0.00 0.93 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 40 - 50 1.23 0.00 CGTR1 65 - 75 ¹ 2.67 0.20 50 - 55 ¹ 0.71 0.00 85 - 95 ¹ 0.00 1.74 FGLMR2 65 - 75 ¹ 0.84 0.85 95 - 105 0.00 3.18 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 105 - 115 ¹ 0.83 2.29 0.73 105 - 115 ¹ 1.		140 - 150!	2.31	0.38		170 - 180'	0.09	1.97
I60 - 170 ¹ 1.17 0.87 0 - 10 0.00 0.04 170 - 180 ¹ 0.59 1.12 10 - 20 2.74 0.02 180 - 190 0.00 1.37 20 - 30 0.00 4.53 0 - 10 1.08 0.01 30 - 40 3.66 0.00 10 - 20 0.73 0.00 40 - 50 6.06 0.31 20 - 30 0.00 1.19 50 - 55 ¹ 2.78 0.09 30 - 40 0.00 0.93 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 40 - 50 1.23 0.00 CGTR1 65 - 75 ¹ 2.67 0.20 50 - 55 ¹ 0.71 0.00 85 - 95 ¹ 0.00 1.74 55 - 65 1.64 0.00 85 - 95 ¹ 0.00 3.18 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 105 - 115 ¹ 0.83 2.29 85 - 95 ¹ 1.13 0.92 115 - 125 ¹ 1.66 1.40 95 - 105 2.38 0.00 125 - 13		150 - 160 [!]	1.75	0.62		180 - 190	0.00	2.01
170 - 180 ¹ 0.59 1.12 10 - 20 2.74 0.02 180 - 190 0.00 1.37 20 - 30 0.00 4.53 0 - 10 1.08 0.01 30 - 40 3.66 0.00 10 - 20 0.73 0.00 40 - 50 6.06 0.31 20 - 30 0.00 1.19 50 - 55 ¹ 2.78 0.09 30 - 40 0.00 0.93 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 40 - 50 1.23 0.00 CGTR1 65 - 75 ¹ 2.67 0.20 50 - 55 ¹ 0.71 0.00 85 - 95 ¹ 0.00 1.74 55 - 65 1.64 0.00 85 - 95 ¹ 0.00 3.18 FGLMR2 65 - 75 ¹ 0.84 0.85 95 - 105 0.00 3.18 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 105 - 115 ¹ 0.83 2.29 85 - 95 ¹ 1.13 0.92 115 - 125 ¹ 1.66 1.40 95 - 105 2.38 0.00 </td <td></td> <td>160 - 170[!]</td> <td>1.17</td> <td>0.87</td> <td></td> <td>0 - 10</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.04</td>		160 - 170 [!]	1.17	0.87		0 - 10	0.00	0.04
180 - 190 0.00 1.37 20 - 30 0.00 4.53 0 - 10 1.08 0.01 30 - 40 3.66 0.00 20 - 30 0.00 1.19 50 - 55' 2.78 0.09 30 - 40 0.00 0.93 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 40 - 50 1.23 0.00 CGTR1 65 - 75' 2.67 0.20 50 - 55' 0.71 0.00 85 - 95' 0.00 1.74 50 - 55' 0.71 0.00 85 - 95' 0.00 3.18 FGLMR2 65 - 75' 0.84 0.85 95 - 105 0.00 3.18 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 105 - 115' 0.83 2.29 0.73 85 - 95' 1.13 0.92 115 - 125' 1.66 1.40 95 - 105 2.38 0.00 125 - 130' 2.29 0.05 115 - 125' 1.08 1.13 0 - 10 0.09 0.00 125 - 130' 0.27 <t< td=""><td></td><td>170 - 180[!]</td><td>0.59</td><td>1.12</td><td></td><td>10 - 20</td><td>2.74</td><td>0.02</td></t<>		170 - 180 [!]	0.59	1.12		10 - 20	2.74	0.02
0 - 10 1.08 0.01 30 - 40 3.66 0.00 10 - 20 0.73 0.00 40 - 50 6.06 0.31 20 - 30 0.00 1.19 50 - 55! 2.78 0.09 30 - 40 0.00 0.93 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 40 - 50 1.23 0.00 CGTR1 65 - 75! 2.67 0.20 50 - 55! 0.71 0.00 85 - 95! 0.00 1.74 50 - 55! 0.71 0.00 85 - 95! 0.00 1.74 55 - 65 1.64 0.00 85 - 95! 0.00 3.18 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 105 - 115! 0.83 2.29 85 - 95! 1.13 0.92 115 - 125! 1.66 1.40 95 - 105 2.38 0.00 125 - 130! 2.29 0.05 115 - 125! 1.08 1.13 0 - 10 0.09 0.00 125 - 130! 0.27 0.79 10 - 20		180 - 190	0.00	1.37		20 - 30	0.00	4.53
10 - 20 0.73 0.00 40 - 50 6.06 0.31 20 - 30 0.00 1.19 50 - 55! 2.78 0.09 30 - 40 0.00 0.93 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 40 - 50 1.23 0.00 CGTR1 65 - 75! 2.67 0.20 50 - 55! 0.71 0.00 85 - 95! 0.00 0.36 55 - 65 1.64 0.00 85 - 95! 0.00 1.74 FGLMR2 65 - 75! 0.84 0.85 95 - 105 0.00 3.18 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 105 - 115! 0.83 2.29 85 - 95! 1.13 0.92 115 - 125! 1.66 1.40 95 - 105 2.38 0.00 125 - 130! 2.29 0.05 115 - 125! 1.08 1.13 0 - 10 0.09 0.00 125 - 130! 0.27 0.79 10 - 20 0.12 0.00 130 - 140 0.00 2.06 <t< td=""><td rowspan="15">FGLMR2</td><td>0 - 10</td><td>1.08</td><td>0.01</td><td></td><td>30 - 40</td><td>3.66</td><td>0.00</td></t<>	FGLMR2	0 - 10	1.08	0.01		30 - 40	3.66	0.00
20 - 30 0.00 1.19 50 - 55! 2.78 0.09 30 - 40 0.00 0.93 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 40 - 50 1.23 0.00 CGTR1 65 - 75! 2.67 0.20 50 - 55! 0.71 0.00 85 - 95! 0.00 1.74 55 - 65 1.64 0.00 85 - 95! 0.00 1.74 FGLMR2 65 - 75! 0.84 0.85 95 - 105 0.00 3.18 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 105 - 115! 0.83 2.29 85 - 95! 1.13 0.92 115 - 125! 1.66 1.40 95 - 105 2.38 0.00 125 - 130! 2.29 0.73 105 - 115! 1.75 0.55 130 - 140 2.92 0.05 115 - 125! 1.08 1.13 0 - 10 0.09 0.00 125 - 130! 0.27 0.79 10 - 20 0.12 0.00 130 - 140 0.00 2.06		10 - 20	0.73	0.00		40 - 50	6.06	0.31
30 - 40 0.00 0.93 55 - 65 5.09 0.05 40 - 50 1.23 0.00 CGTR1 65 - 75 ¹ 2.67 0.20 50 - 55 ¹ 0.71 0.00 85 - 95 ¹ 0.00 1.74 FGLMR2 65 - 75 ¹ 0.84 0.85 95 - 105 0.00 3.18 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 105 - 115 ¹ 0.83 2.29 85 - 95 ¹ 1.13 0.92 115 - 125 ¹ 1.66 1.40 95 - 105 2.38 0.00 125 - 130 ¹ 2.29 0.73 105 - 115 ¹ 1.75 0.55 130 - 140 2.92 0.05 115 - 125 ¹ 1.08 1.13 0 - 10 0.09 0.00 125 - 130 ¹ 0.27 0.79 10 - 20 0.12 0.00 130 - 140 0.00 2.06 CGUMR3 20 - 30 0.00 0.06 FGBR1 0 - 10 1.60 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 0.45		20 - 30	0.00	1.19		50 - 55 [!]	2.78	0.09
40 - 50 1.23 0.00 CGTR1 65 - 75! 2.67 0.20 50 - 55! 0.71 0.00 75 - 85 0.00 0.36 55 - 65 1.64 0.00 85 - 95! 0.00 1.74 FGLMR2 65 - 75! 0.84 0.85 95 - 105 0.00 3.18 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 105 - 115! 0.83 2.29 85 - 95! 1.13 0.92 115 - 125! 1.66 1.40 95 - 105 2.38 0.00 125 - 130! 2.29 0.73 105 - 115! 1.75 0.55 130 - 140 2.92 0.05 115 - 125! 1.08 1.13 0 - 10 0.09 0.00 125 - 130! 0.27 0.79 10 - 20 0.12 0.00 130 - 140 0.00 2.06 CGUMR3 20 - 30 0.00 0.06 FGBR1 0 - 10 1.60 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 0.45 10 - 20		30 - 40	0.00	0.93		55 - 65	5.09	0.05
FGLMR2 50 - 55! 0.71 0.00 75 - 85 0.00 0.36 FGLMR2 65 - 75! 0.84 0.00 85 - 95! 0.00 1.74 FGLMR2 65 - 75! 0.84 0.85 95 - 105 0.00 3.18 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 105 - 115! 0.83 2.29 85 - 95! 1.13 0.92 115 - 125! 1.66 1.40 95 - 105 2.38 0.00 125 - 130! 2.29 0.73 105 - 115! 1.75 0.55 130 - 140 2.92 0.05 115 - 125! 1.08 1.13 0 - 10 0.09 0.00 125 - 130! 0.27 0.79 10 - 20 0.12 0.00 130 - 140 0.00 2.06 CGUMR3 20 - 30 0.00 0.06 FGBR1 0 - 10 1.60 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 0.45 10 - 20 1.39 0.00 40 - 50 0.00 4.99		40 - 50	1.23	0.00	CGTR1	65 - 75 [!]	2.67	0.20
FGLMR2 55 - 65 1.64 0.00 85 - 95! 0.00 1.74 FGLMR2 65 - 75! 0.84 0.85 95 - 105 0.00 3.18 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 105 - 115! 0.83 2.29 85 - 95! 1.13 0.92 115 - 125! 1.66 1.40 95 - 105 2.38 0.00 125 - 130! 2.29 0.73 105 - 115! 1.75 0.55 130 - 140 2.92 0.05 115 - 125! 1.08 1.13 0 - 10 0.09 0.00 125 - 130! 0.27 0.79 10 - 20 0.12 0.00 130 - 140 0.00 2.06 CGUMR3 20 - 30 0.00 0.06 FGBR1 0 - 10 1.60 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 0.45		50 - 55 ¹	0.71	0.00		75 - 85	0.00	0.36
FGLMR2 65 - 75! 0.84 0.85 95 - 105 0.00 3.18 75 - 85 0.00 1.74 105 - 115! 0.83 2.29 85 - 95! 1.13 0.92 115 - 125! 1.66 1.40 95 - 105 2.38 0.00 125 - 130! 2.29 0.73 105 - 115! 1.75 0.55 130 - 140 2.92 0.05 115 - 125! 1.08 1.13 0 - 10 0.09 0.00 125 - 130! 0.27 0.79 10 - 20 0.12 0.00 130 - 140 0.00 2.06 CGUMR3 20 - 30 0.00 0.06 FGBR1 0 - 10 1.60 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 0.45		55 - 65	1.64	0.00		85 - 95 [!]	0.00	1.74
75 - 85 0.00 1.74 105 - 115 ¹ 0.83 2.29 85 - 95 ¹ 1.13 0.92 115 - 125 ¹ 1.66 1.40 95 - 105 2.38 0.00 125 - 130 ¹ 2.29 0.73 105 - 115 ¹ 1.75 0.55 130 - 140 2.92 0.05 115 - 125 ¹ 1.08 1.13 0 - 10 0.09 0.00 125 - 130 ¹ 0.27 0.79 10 - 20 0.12 0.00 130 - 140 0.00 2.06 CGUMR3 20 - 30 0.00 0.06 FGBR1 0 - 10 1.60 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 0.45 10 - 20 1.39 0.00 40 - 50 0.00 4.99		65 - 75 [!]	0.84	0.85		95 - 105	0.00	3.18
85 - 95! 1.13 0.92 115 - 125! 1.66 1.40 95 - 105 2.38 0.00 125 - 130! 2.29 0.73 105 - 115! 1.75 0.55 130 - 140 2.92 0.05 115 - 125! 1.08 1.13 0 - 10 0.09 0.00 125 - 130! 0.27 0.79 10 - 20 0.12 0.00 130 - 140 0.00 2.06 CGUMR3 20 - 30 0.00 0.06 FGBR1 0 - 10 1.60 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 0.45 10 - 20 1.39 0.00 40 - 50 0.00 4.99		75 - 85	0.00	1.74		105 - 115!	0.83	2.29
95 - 105 2.38 0.00 125 - 130! 2.29 0.73 105 - 115! 1.75 0.55 130 - 140 2.92 0.05 115 - 125! 1.08 1.13 0 - 10 0.09 0.00 125 - 130! 0.27 0.79 10 - 20 0.12 0.00 130 - 140 0.00 2.06 CGUMR3 20 - 30 0.00 0.06 FGBR1 0 - 10 1.60 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 0.45 10 - 20 1.39 0.00 40 - 50 0.00 4.99		85 - 95 [!]	1.13	0.92		115 - 125!	1.66	1.40
105 - 115! 1.75 0.55 130 - 140 2.92 0.05 115 - 125! 1.08 1.13 0 - 10 0.09 0.00 125 - 130! 0.27 0.79 10 - 20 0.12 0.00 130 - 140 0.00 2.06 CGUMR3 20 - 30 0.00 0.06 FGBR1 0 - 10 1.60 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 0.45 10 - 20 1.39 0.00 40 - 50 0.00 4.99		95 - 105	2.38	0.00		125 - 130!	2.29	0.73
115 - 125! 1.08 1.13 0 - 10 0.09 0.00 125 - 130! 0.27 0.79 10 - 20 0.12 0.00 130 - 140 0.00 2.06 CGUMR3 20 - 30 0.00 0.06 FGBR1 0 - 10 1.60 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 0.45 10 - 20 1.39 0.00 40 - 50 0.00 4.99		105 - 115'	1.75	0.55		130 - 140	2.92	0.05
125 - 130! 0.27 0.79 10 - 20 0.12 0.00 130 - 140 0.00 2.06 CGUMR3 20 - 30 0.00 0.06 FGBR1 0 - 10 1.60 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 0.45 10 - 20 1.39 0.00 40 - 50 0.00 4.99		115 - 125'	1.08	1.13		0 - 10	0.09	0.00
130 - 140 0.00 2.06 CGUMR3 20 - 30 0.00 0.06 FGBR1 0 - 10 1.60 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 0.45 10 - 20 1.39 0.00 40 - 50 0.00 4.99		125 - 130'	0.27	0.79		10 - 20	0.12	0.00
FGBR1 0 - 10 1.60 0.00 30 - 40 0.00 0.45 10 - 20 1.39 0.00 40 - 50 0.00 4.99		130 - 140	0.00	2.06	CGUMR3	20 - 30	0.00	0.06
FGBR1 10 - 20 1.39 0.00 40 - 50 0.00 4.99		0 - 10	1.60	0.00		30 - 40	0.00	0.45
	FGBR1	10 - 20	1.39	0.00		40 - 50	0.00	4.99

Table 1. Biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pools (kg Si m⁻²). ¹ pools interpolated





	20 - 30	0.58	0.02		50 - 55°	0.00	1.59
	30 - 40	1.20	0.00		55 - 65	0.00	1.41
	40 - 50	0.00	1.20		0 - 10	0.00	0.00
	50 - 55 ¹	0.00	0.69		10 - 20	0.00	2.22
	55 - 65	0.00	1.52		20 - 30	0.00	0.70
	65 - 75 [!]	0.00	1.62		30 - 40	0.00	1.24
	75 - 85	0.00	1.73		40 - 50	0.00	1.01
	85 - 95'	0.67	0.86	CGBR3	50 - 55 ¹	0.00	1.10
	95 - 105	1.34	0.00		55 - 65	0.00	3.38
	0 - 10	0.00	2.57		65 - 75 [!]	1.35	1.70
	10 - 20	4.08	0.00		75 - 85	2.69	0.02
	20 - 30	0.00	4.10		85 - 95 [!]	1.35	1.56
	30 - 40	0.00	4.42		95 - 105	0.00	3.09
	40 - 50	0.00	3.36		0 - 10	1.16	0.00
FSTR2	50 - 55 [!]	0.00	1.87		10 - 20	1.26	0.00
	55 - 65	0.00	4.12		20 - 30	0.00	0.00
	65 - 75 [!]	1.21	2.13		30 - 40	0.00	0.17
	75 - 85	2.50	0.00		40 - 50	0.60	0.00
	85 - 95 [!]	1.27	2.80	CSTR3	50 - 55'	0.15	0.34
	95 - 105	0.00	5.67		55 - 65	0.00	1.31
	0 - 10	3.49	0.00		65 - 75 [!]	0.61	0.72
ESTIMD3	10 - 20	3.49	0.00		75 - 85	1.31	0.00
FSUMR2	20 - 30	0.00	1.24		85 - 95'	1.24	0.24
	30 - 40	0.00	2.74		95 - 105	1.18	0.47
	0 - 10	1.72	0.00		0 - 10	0.00	0.54
	10 - 20	0.00	0.49	CSMR1	10 - 20	0.00	0.39
	20 - 30	0.00	1.17		20 - 30	0.43	0.00
	30 - 40	0.00	0.61		0 - 10	0.99	0.28
	40 - 50	0.00	0.80		10 - 20	0.74	0.00
FSLMR2	50 - 55 [!]	0.00	0.79		20 - 30	1.08	0.12
	55 - 65	0.00	2.31		30 - 40	0.80	0.00
	65 - 75 [!]	0.00	1.97		40 - 50	1.72	0.23
	75 - 85	0.00	1.56	CSBR3	50 - 55 [!]	0.89	0.05
	85 - 95!	0.47	1.92		55 - 65	1.80	0.00
	95 - 105	0.94	2.28		65 - 75 ¹	1.95	0.02
	$105 - 115^{!}$	2.00	1.20		75 85	2 11	0.02
	105 - 115	2.09	1.00		75 - 05!	2.11	0.03
	115 - 125	3.28	1.01		85 - 95	1.95	0.03
	125 - 130°	2.10	0.26		95 - 105	1.79	0.00





560

Table 2. Biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pools (kg Si m⁻²), of the selected pits, for the two ecosystems (forest, cropland), for the different slopes (gentle, steep), along different positions along the slope (top, upper middle, lower middle and bottom). Total (sum), Average 1 (Averaged pool between all selected pits) and Average 2 (for the forest sites: Averaged pool between top, pre-calculated average between the upper middle and the lower middle pit (i.e. for the biogenic AlkExSi pool of FG: 16.1 kg Si m⁻²) and bottom pits) of biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pools (see Eq. (3) and (4)).

		F	orest		Cropland			
	Gentle		Steep		Gentle		Steep	
	Biogenic	Non- biogenic	Biogenic	Non- biogenic	Biogenic	Non- biogenic	Biogenic	Non- biogenic
Тор	17.6	1.32	9.06	31.0	30.7	15.0	7.50	3.25
Upper middle	19.3	22.1	6.98	3.98				
Lower middle	12.9	10.3	25.8	25.7	0.21	8.50	0.43	0.93
Bottom	6.79	7.63	24.8	20.3	5.38	16.0	15.8	0.80
Total (Sum)	56.6	41.3	66.6	81.0	36.3	39.5	23.8	4.97
Average 1	14.2 ± 5	10.3 ± 7.6	16.6 ± 8.7	20.3 ± 10	12.1 ± 13	13.2 ± 3.3	7.92 ± 6.3	1.66 ± 1
Average 2	13.5 ± 5	8.4 ± 6.1	16.7 ± 6	22.1 ± 6.7				
Accumulation	12%	18%	37%	25%	15%	41%	67%	16%



565







Figure 4. Biogenic and non-biogenic AlkExSi pools (kg m^{-2}) in the studied sites: a) Gentle slope of the forest (FG), b) steep slope of the forest (FS), c) gentle slope of the cropland (CG) and d) steep slope of the cropland (CS). Green bubbles represent biogenic AlkExSi pools. Red empty bubbles represent non-biogenic AlkExSi pools. Labels show values of the pools (kg m^{-2}). Note that the x scales are different.