

## ***Interactive comment on “obspyDMT: A Python Toolbox for Retrieving and Processing of Large Seismological Datasets” by Kasra Hosseini and Karin Sigloch***

**R. Zaccarelli (Referee)**

riccardo.zaccarelli@gfz-potsdam.de

Received and published: 29 June 2017

Dear Authors and Editor,

please find my comments about the article: obspyDMT: A Python Toolbox for Retrieving and Processing of Large Seismological Datasets from Hosseini and Sigloch.

As I am responsible for the development of scientific software and web infrastructures, and thus I often work with obspy, I found the article extremely interesting and well explained.

I do not have major comments, just one minor one: On section 3.8 (line - or paragraph

C1

- 25) the sentence "Although this file is fully customizable" sounds a bit misleading or needs clarification:

- If the authors mean that the processing steps can be achieved by flags and - for more experts users - the python file in the package is always editable, I would remove or rephrase the sentence for two reasons: first, modifying open source code (let alone licensing for the moment) is a feature common to any open source software and I do not see why it is worth a particular mention. Second, it might be interpreted like the authors encouraged the modification of their own source code, which sounds quite odd (and exposes any user to potentially breaking the code, at their own risk).

- On the other hand, if a particular customization has been implemented, maybe the sentence might deserve a little bit more explanation

Let alone this minor detail, I think that the paper can be accepted without any further modification

Best regards

Riccardo Zaccarelli

---

Interactive comment on Solid Earth Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2017-46>, 2017.

C2