Solid Earth Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2017-59-AC3, 2017 © Author(s) 2017. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## **SED**

Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "Should oasification be ignored when examining desertification in Northwest China?" by Dongwei Gui et al.

Dongwei Gui et al.

xuejie11@mails.ucas.ac.cn

Received and published: 18 October 2017

1. the abstract need major revision. the objectives are too broad. the author need to focus on one of the points as stated in the manuscript rather than focus on-all-three aspect. build up the idea of the equation and focus to one specific point. a review paper is better suited to summarize if the author choose to focus on 3 aspect at once. A: In the revised version, we have provided a major revision for abstract. It is correct that the objectives are two broad in the original version. In the revised version, we have narrow our paper purpose, in the page 4 line 23 to page 5 line 8, we mentioned "The aim of this research is to elucidate the importance of oasification and its research through 1) examining oasification characteristics in recent decades in Northwest China, and 2) clarifying the logical relationship between oasification and desertification

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



## SED

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



We think the figure 3 is the essence and the most important content in this manuscript.

if we provide a detail flow chart, it is difficult to reflect these logical relation between oasification and desertification in arid area. 6. the flow chart is still at initial stage of development, thus need further clarification on the flow. A: Just we mentioned on above. As one viewpoint paper, we hope express the relation between oasification and desertification happen with a logic way. 7. the conclusion too long and not focused. A: In the revised version, the "Conclusion" section has been changed as "Discussion and conclusion". And, we have revised this section and try to focused.

Please also note the supplement to this comment: https://www.solid-earth-discuss.net/se-2017-59/se-2017-59-AC3-supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Solid Earth Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2017-59, 2017.

## SED

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

