Increasing CO₂ flux at Pisciarelli, Campi Flegrei, Italy

Manuel Queiβer¹, Domenico Granieri², Mike Burton¹, Fabio Arzilli¹, Rosario Avino³, Antonio Carandente³

¹School of Earth, Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

²Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV), Sezione di Pisa, 50126 Pisa, Italy

³Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Osservatorio Vesuviano, Napoli, 80124, Italy

Correspondence to: Manuel Queiβer (manuel.queisser@manchester.ac.uk), Tel.: +44(0)161 2750778, Fax.: +44(0)161 306 9361

- **10 Abstract.** Campi Flegrei caldera is located in the metropolitan nucleus of Naples (Italy), and has been undergoing different stages of unrest since 1950, evidenced by episodes of significant ground uplift followed by minor subsidence, increasing and fluctuating emission strengths of water vapor and CO_2 from fumaroles, and periodic seismic crises. We deployed a scanning laser remote sensing spectrometer (LARSS) that measures path integrated CO_2 concentrations at the Pisciarelli area in May 2017. The resulting mean CO_2 flux is 578 ± 246 t d⁻¹. Our data suggest a significant increase in CO_2 flux at this site since
- 15 2015. Together with recent geophysical observations, this suggests a greater contribution of the magmatic source to the degassing and/or an increase of permeability at shallow levels. Thanks to the integrated path soundings, LARSS may help to give representative measurements from large regions containing different CO₂ sources, including fumaroles, low-T vents, and degassing soils, helping to constrain the contribution of deep gases and their migration mechanisms towards the surface.

1 Introduction

- 20 Of all the volcanic calderas in the world the ~12 km wide Campi Flegrei (CF) in southern Italy is arguably the one with the highest destructive potential, since it is in a state of unrest and located within an urban area of over 2 million residents, with Naples being the largest urban nucleus in the area (Fig. 1a). Its last eruption dates back to 1538 (Dvorak and Gasparini, 1991). Ever since, CF underwent various series of new, rather swift uplifts (bradyseisms), indicating unrest followed by a decrease in ground level usually at a much slower rate (Chiodini et al., 2010; Troiano et al., 2011; D'Auria, 2015; De Natale
- et al., 2017). Since the last energetic unrest of 1982-84 the caldera is subject to intense geophysical and geochemical monitoring, with greatest interest for the Solfatara crater, in the center of CF, and for the Pisciarelli area, on the eastern outer slope of Solfatara. Around 2005 a new net uplift, although at a relatively slow rate, has commenced. At Pisciarelli, where the more recent low-energetic seismic swarms are localized (D'Auria et al., 2011), the fumarole temperature increased from below 100°C in 2005 to ~ 115°C in 2015. The amount of water vapor has increased visibly and the strongly degassing area
 has been considerably enlarged in the past few years (Chiodini et al., 2015). Given these major signs as well as other signs,

mainly related to fluid geochemical variations at the fumaroles of Solfatara (Chiodini et al., 2015, 2016), national civil protection authorities have changed the state of CF from green (quite) to yellow (scientific attention).

As all calderas, CF represents a complicated makeup that includes a magmatic plumbing system up to a depth of ~8 km (Bodnar et al., 2007; Zollo et al., 2008; Vitale et al. 2014; Moretti et al., 2017), feeding the overlying hydrothermal

- 5 system (Chiodini et al., 2010; Troiano et al., 2011; De Siena et al., 2017a) through an intricate network of fractures (Zollo et al., 2008; De Siena et al., 2010; Byrdina et al., 2014). A clear picture of the feeding mechanisms and its dynamics is one of the central open questions of CF and subject to ongoing debate. There is a broad consensus among researchers that injections of deep, hot, and oxidized fluids into the hydrothermal system of CF causes increased CO₂ soil degassing (Cardellini et al., 2016), increased CO₂ content in the fumarole discharges (with consequent decreasing trends of H_2O/CO_2 or H_2S/CO_2 ratios)
- 10 and ground uplift (Caliro et al., 2007; Chiodini et al., 2012; Aiuppa et al., 2013). As a matter of fact, there is a fair correlation between soil/fumarole CO₂ degassing strength and episodes of ground uplift (D'Auria, 2011; Chiodini et al., 2012, 2016) following this order: uplift, and months later an increase in CO₂ relative to other gases. There appear to be two logical main causes for this:
- i) An increase in supply of fluids and associated thermal energy into the hydrothermal system for 15 depressurization of the magmatic source (Allard et al., 1991; Chiodini et al., 2016). This increased supply is thought to stem from either the ~ 8 km deep main magma reservoir (Bodnar et al., 2007; Zollo et al., 2008; Moretti et al., 2017), or from a contribution of a magma batch that intruded the shallow subsurface (~ 3-4 km depth) concomitantly with the 1982–1984 unrest episode (Chiodini et al., 2010; Caliro et al., 2014) and periodically rejuvenated by arrivals of deep more primitive magma (Bagagli et al., 2017) and/or 20 ii) an increase in permeability at shallow levels, i.e., above the hydrothermal reservoir (Todesco et al., 2003;

Acocella et al., 2015; Piochi et al., 2015).

Discriminating within those mechanisms is out of the scope of this study, but any insights towards a better understanding of these processes are important to improve early warning and civil protection measures at the CF area. Measuring emission rates (fluxes) of CO_2 provides an additional way to assess the hazard at CF. The fumarole area of 25 Piscarelli, approximately located in the center of the CF caldera (Fig. 1a) and recently scene of drastic changes in its activity, is a prime geochemical sampling spot to learn about the volcanic processes taking place beneath CF. A spatially integrated measurement of CO_2 flux that accounts for all possible CO_2 vents and diffuse degassing is desirable to obtain a quantitative picture of CO₂ degassing, but has only been done a few times after 2012 at Pisciarelli (Pedone et al., 2014; Aiuppa et al., 2015: Oueißer et al., 2016a). To increase the number of observations it was decided to revisit CF 14 months after the last

such measurement (Queißer et al., 2016a) and re-measure CO₂ fluxes. 30

2 Materials and Methods

The CO_2 concentrations needed to estimate the CO_2 flux are commonly sampled at points, which may miss out sources, such as smaller fumarolic discharges (Chiodini et al., 2015). On the other hand, point measurements are very precise and valuable in characterizing local degassing elements, such as fractures. Path integrating, scanning gas measurement techniques, on the

- 5 other hand, may add value by providing a spatially comprehensive measurement. To attempt a spatially inclusive measurement of all possible sources of CO₂, diffusive soil and vented degassing, we used a laser remote sensing spectrometer (LARSS), developed in the ERC proof-of-concept project CarbSens. Combined with point measurement techniques, such as accumulation chambers, LARRS may help to yield a more complete picture of degassing. It represents a further miniaturization of a similar system developed in the ERC project CO₂Volc. The instrument and its working principle
- 10 are detailed elsewhere (Queißer et al., 2017). Only a brief overview is given therefore. LARSS consists of a main unit and a transmitter/receiver unit (TX/RX unit, Fig. 1b). The latter comprises of the telescope, transmitter and an integrating sphere for power reference measurement. It is portable (mass: 10 kg main unit + 6 kg TX/RX unit), which allows it to be transported easily and set up at any kind of surface, such as house roofs or airplanes.
- The CO₂ absorption line at 1572.335 nm (R16 transition) is sampled at 40 wavelengths by sweeping the emission wavelength of a diode laser. The laser light is amplified, transmitted, backscattered at a topographic target and received by the telescope. After the detected signal is digitized, the optical transmittance of the telescope's viewing path is deduced for each of the 40 wavelengths. A model absorption spectrum is fitted to the 40 measured transmittances, resulting in a best estimate of the path averaged CO₂ column density (in m⁻²). The path length may be up to 2 km. Profiles of CO₂ concentrations, i.e., CO₂ concentrations versus angle, are attained by scanning the TX/RX unit across a degassing plume (see Oueißer et al. (2016a) for details on scanning geometry). Along with the plume transport speed these profiles are then used to
- 20 Queißer et al. (2016a) for details on scanning geometry). Along with the plume transport speed these profiles are then used to obtain CO₂ fluxes, following

$$\Phi_{CO_2} = u \frac{M_{CO_2}}{N_A} \Delta \beta \sum_{plume} r_i N^{col}{}_{pl}(r_i),$$
(1)

where U refers to the component of the plume transport speed perpendicular the plane of the CO₂ concentration profile, i.e.,

the component perpendicular to the plane of the scan. M_{CO_2} is the molar mass of CO₂ (in kg mol⁻¹) and N_A is Avogadro's

25 constant (in mol⁻¹). $\Delta\beta$ is the constant scan angle increment. $N^{col}{}_{pl}$, the background corrected, or in-plume column density of CO₂, is retrieved by subtracting the total CO₂ column density by the ambient CO₂ column density measured outside the

plume, i.e.,
$$N^{col}{}_{pl}(r_i) = N^{col}(r_i) - N^{col}{}_{bg}(r_i)$$
, where $N^{col}(r_i)$ is the total column density as measured, and $N^{col}{}_{bg}(r_i)$

depicts the ambient column density. The ranges r_i are measured with a range finder LIDAR aligned with the telescope. For

convenience and display purposes, if meteorological data are available, column densities may be converted to path averaged mixing ratios (in ppm) as detailed in Queißer et al. (2017). The plume speed is retrieved by digital video tracking of the plume of condensed water vapor as described in Queißer et al. (2016a).

5 **3 Results**

10

The data presented here are a subset of data acquired during a campaign probing CO_2 at the Pisciarelli-Solfatara area between 24th and 26th of May 2017. LARSS was placed on the roof of the Tennis Hotel, located ~ 320 m east of the Pisciarelli fumaroles, offering an unobstructed view on the complete fumarole degassing activity. Between 17:07 and 18:04 local time on the 24 May 2017, 9 lateral angular scans were performed, out of which 6 are displayed in Fig. 2. A step motor rotated the TX/RX unit between 257.4° and 243.4° (Fig. 1b) with a velocity of 2.5 mrad s⁻¹, corresponding to a lateral section

of ~80 cm at the fumarole area per data point. $\Delta\beta$ was retrieved by multiplying the scanning angular speed with the time between subsequent measurements as recorded in the time stamps of the raw data files. Each scan took around 90 s. Meteorological data (temperature, pressure, humidity) were recorded using a Kestrel portable meteorological station placed

next to LARSS. N^{col}_{bg} were measured by a scan upwind, outside of any gas plume, using a hill range between 700 and 900 15 m distance as target. The corresponding column averaged CO_2 mixing ratio was found to be 499 ppm. For comparison, two in-situ measurements with a LI-COR analyzer were performed at points near the optical paths of LARSS, yielding CO₂ mixing ratios of 550 ppm and 560 ppm, respectively. These are remarkably high CO_2 concentrations, given that the wind came from the sea (South). The proximity of the measurement points to the road and the dense network of roads in that area

may well cause these values (Schmidt et al., 2014). Consequently, N^{col}_{bg} corresponding to an ambient CO₂ mixing ratio of 20 499 pm \pm 61 ppm were considered.

Highest CO_2 concentrations were usually detected near the center of the probed area (near 250°, Figs. 2b to g). This main plume reveals a fine structure, suggesting three sub peaks, which could be related to three main vents in very close proximity to each other identified by Pedone et al. (2014). The highest column averaged CO_2 mixing ratio measured was 1777 ppm (Fig. 2e), which is, however, associated with a relatively large uncertainty of 236 ppm (1 STD). Note that Pedone et al. (2014) measured a peak value of 1444 ppm in early 2013 at approximately the same location. Elevated concentrations

25

also occurred towards the southern edge of the probed area (~ 21 m south of the main plume), at the slope. The corresponding peak repeatedly arose near 246° (especially Figs. 2b, c, d and g).

Uncertainties of path averaged CO₂ mixing ratios were usually between 2% and 5% or 10 to 30 ppm (associated with a path averaged detection limit of ~10000 ppm.m). The main source of uncertainty was the contribution of the 30 instrument itself (baseline drift) and the fitting error. The latter had been significantly improved (roughly halved) by increasing the number of sampled wavelengths from 20 to 40 recently. A detailed description of influences of various error sources is provided in Queißer et al. (2017). In-plume CO₂ concentrations found were mostly between 500 and 4000 ppm, with peaks around 6000 ppm, and agree well with those measured by the fixed in-situ station (Figs. 2b to g). In-plume concentrations had associated uncertainties naturally larger than those of the column averaged values, that is, typically

5 between 4% and 15%, or around 150 ppm. Local wind eddies may lead to local maxima of CO₂ concentrations and may also explain the shift in the global concentration maximum after Fig. 2d, suggesting a generally "wobbly" character of the CO₂ plume.

The measured vertical plume speed component was 0.65 m s⁻¹ (min 0.28 m s⁻¹, max 1.05 m s⁻¹) until 17:43:47 and 0.80 m s⁻¹ (min 0.31 m s⁻¹, max 1.37 m s⁻¹) after that. The plume speed uncertainties were calculated from the student *t*-

- 10 variance as detailed in Queißer et al. (2016a). Given the complex terrain and the fact that the measurement was performed close to the ground the velocity field across the scanned plume was generally not constant, in addition to temperature variations causing different plume speeds across the plume. The corresponding variability has been accounted for by tracking different paths of propagating water vapor across the plume and using the variability in the error estimation. Plume speed is in fact one of the main sources of uncertainty, adding an uncertainty of the order of 30% to the flux.
- 15 Table 1 shows the flux values computed using Eq. (1), with a mean value of 6.7 ± 2.9 kg s⁻¹ (578 ± 246 t d⁻¹). As noted in previous measurements at Pisciarelli, the measured fluxes fluctuate by over 100% over the course of minutes (Aiuppa et al., 2015; Queißer et al., 2016a). However, an observational window of 1h length reflected the same variability as an 8h long window (Aiuppa et al., 2015). The rigorous error assessment, i.e., taking all relevant error sources into account, including conservative systematic errors estimates, led to a rather high uncertainty of the flux values. The conservatively chosen
- 20 uncertainty of the ambient CO₂ concentration, an order of magnitude higher than usual, accounts for between 20% and 70% (depending on the profile) of the flux uncertainties presented in Table 1. The other chief source of flux uncertainty is the plume speed, which, depending on the scan, caused an increase in error by the same magnitude.

The mean flux of 6.7 kg s⁻¹ corresponds to the complete extension of the scan, that is, the vegetation free zone of ~ 70 m in lateral diameter (Fig. 1c). When integrating over the central area only (between 252.5° and 247.0°), roughly including the aforementioned 3 major vents, the mean flux obtained is 284 ± 107 t d⁻¹ and is compatible with the estimated

- 25 including the aforementioned 3 major vents, the mean flux obtained is 284 ± 107 t d⁻¹ and is compatible with the estimated area-integrated value from the in-situ automated flux measurement station FLXOV3 (Fig. 3). This may explain the offset between the fluxes of this work and FLXOV3. Focusing on the main vent area only, however, neglects persistent degassing features, such as at the southern edge of the fumarole area, as well as diffusive soil degassing taking place within the scanned sector (Caliro et al., 2007). This spatially comprehensive character of the measurement is one of the main merits of the
- 30 remote sensing technique applied here.

4 Discussion

The soil CO_2 flux at CF is known to have increased in magnitude and spatial extension since 2005 (Cardellini et al., 2016) as well as the CO_2 content in CF high-T fumaroles (Chiodini et al., 2010; 2016). Figure 3 suggests a slight acceleration in CO_2 degassing from the soils of Pisciarelli since about 2009 (FLXOV3 series) confirmed by post-2012 CO_2 measurements

- 5 integrated over the whole exhaling area, which fairly coincides with the observed acceleration in ground uplift. The similarity between the uplift and degassing trends suggests that both processes are intrinsically related. In fact, the preferential exsolution of CO₂ from the deep magmatic body due to its low solubility at high pressure implies an associated release of H₂O simultaneously to CO₂ output (Chiodini et al., 2001) or when CO₂ is completely exhausted in the magma (Chiodini et al., 2016). In any case, the participation of H₂O in the degassing process results in a very efficient mechanism to
- 10 convey heat from depth to the hydrothermal system and the overlying rocks, favoring thermally-induced dilation (ground deformation) and enhancing the permeability of fluids flowing through them (greater degassing at the surface). Recent findings indeed point towards an impulsive influx of hot magmatic fluids into the hydrothermal system as a possible source mechanism at CF that eventually cause the observed geophysical and geochemical time series, including the present one (Chiodini et al., 2017).
- The inspection of Fig. 3 confirms this general scheme although the CO₂ fluxes measured at Pisciarelli in May 2017 (this study) and in March 2016 (Queißer et al., 2016a) seem to suggest an increase at a larger rate than the observed uplift would imply. In particular, the latest available data, up to April 2017, suggests a deceleration of ground uplift at CF as of 2016 (Fig. 3 and in more detail INGV, 2017), which, as far as the resolution of our data permits to say, is not accompanied by a leveling out of degassing strength.

20 Our results related to the CO₂ degassing are compatible with findings, which state that the elastic rock matrix of CF is transitioning to inelastic behavior under long-term stress accumulation, accompanied by a permeability increase of the shallow crust, disguising any direct indicator of unrest, such as rapid ground uplift or enhanced seismicity (Bodnar et al., 2007; Di Luccio et al., 2015; Kilburn et al., 2017). In line with this prospect is a clear seismic velocity decrease since 2012

(Zaccarelli and Bianco, 2017), which could be due to, for instance, a softening bulk or increase in CO₂ saturation in the CF aquifer (Queißer and Singh, 2012) that may also explain the strong seismic attenuation observed (De Siena et al., 2017b).

The aforesaid could justify the discrepancy recently highlighted by Moretti et al. (2017) between weak geophysical signals (moderate uplift and low seismicity) and drastic changes in geochemical indicators characterizing the present stage of the CF history.

Finally, it should be mentioned that heterogeneity in the subsoil (Montanaro et al., 2016) and dynamic alterations in
 subsoil rock matrix properties such as due to seismic energy (Gresse et al., 2016) may modulate emission of stored gas and therefore cause changes in degassing strength.

5 Conclusions and Perspectives

About 14 months after the last survey we have revisited the Pisciarelli area. The current CO_2 flux was quantified using the portable remote sensing spectrometer LARSS, which detects CO_2 in a spatially integrated manner. Although associated with a fairly conservative uncertainty, the result along with fluxes measured in 2016, imply an increase in CO_2 flux in the last 2

5 years. Drawing solid conclusions based on our data is not possible. Nonetheless, given the slow, almost halted ground uplift since 2016, our result could indicate a release of deep magmatic gases towards the hydrothermal system, possibly accompanied by an increased bulk permeability of the shallow crust.

Our measurements, although reasonable, do not permit an unequivocal conclusion whether the origin of the gas emitted at surface is purely hydrothermal or magmatic nor regarding the migration mechanisms from the bottom to the top of

- 10 the CF plumbing system. Nevertheless, the spatially comprehensive values of CO₂ flux acquired through LARSS may help constraining the degassing process as a whole and then provide clues about the strength of the CO₂ source, for example via mass balance considerations (Allard et al., 1991) possibly adding to geochemical appraisals (Moretti et al., 2013). However, more measurements of this kind are needed (higher temporal resolution). Furthermore, point measurements should be added in the future to systematically test and verify the capability of LARRS to probe comprehensively all degassing elements in
- 15 its path. For challenging degassing situations as at CF, integrating LARRS with point measurements may provide a powerful means to obtain a complete picture of degassing. Point measurements are able to draw detailed maps of the emission areas which LARRS is not capable of. However, using two instruments 2D tomography can be performed (Queisser et al., 2016b). Although much more improvement of this technique is needed to converge to degassing maps from point measurements. Moreover, there is potential to further reduce uncertainty of the measured fluxes. To that end, the plume speed estimation
- 20 will be further improved, especially with respect to resolving the plume speed variations (velocity field) across the scanned plume.

Author contributions. M. Queiβer developed LARSS, conducted the measurements and drafted the manuscript, D. Granieri
 conducted the measurement and drafted the manuscript, M. Burton developed LARSS and drafted the manuscript, F. Arzilli drafted the manuscript, R. Avino and A. Carandente conducted the measurements.

Data availability. The data acquired is stored in the University of Manchester's research data repository and may be requested by contacting the corresponding author or mike.burton@manchester.ac.uk.

30

Acknowledgements. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council Proofof-Concept Grant CARBSENS (ERC-2016-PoC agreement n. 727626) and starting grant CO2Volc (ERC-SG - ERC Starting Grant agreement n. 279802). We thank G. Tamburello for help with finding a good measurement spot and the staff of the Tennis Hotel Pisciarelli for their cooperation.

References

Acocella, V., Di Lorenzo, R., Newhall, C., and Scandone, R.: An overview of recent (1988 to 2014) caldera unrest: Knowledge and perspectives, Rev. Geophys., 53, 896–955, doi:10.1002/2015RG000492, 2015.

5 Aiuppa, A, Fiorani, L., Santoro, S., Parracino, S., Nuvoli, M., Chiodini, G., Minopoli, C., and Tamburello, G.: New groundbased lidar enables volcanic CO₂ flux measurements, Sci. Rep., 5, 13614, doi: 10.1038/srep13614, 2015.

Aiuppa, A., Moretti, R., Federico, C., Giudice, G., Gurrieri, S., Liuzzo, M., Papale, P., Shinohara, H., and Valenza, M.:
Forecasting Etna eruptions by real-time observation of volcanic gas composition, Geology, 35, 1115-1118, doi:10.1130/G24149A.1, http://geology.gsapubs.org/content/35/12/1115.abstract, 2007.

Aiuppa, A., Tamburello, G., Di Napoli, R., Cardellini, C., Chiodini, G., Giudice, G., Grassa, F., and Pedone, M.: First observations of the fumarolic gas output from a restless caldera: Implications for the current period of unrest (2005–2013) at Campi Flegrei, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 14, 4153–4169, doi:10.1002/ggge.20261, 2013.

15

10

Allard, P., Maiorani, A., Tedesco, D., Cortecci, G., and Turi, B.: Isotopic study of the origin of sulfur and carbon in Solfatara fumaroles, Campi Flegrei Caldera, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 48(1-2), 139-159, doi :10.1016/0377- 0273(91)90039-3, 1991.

20 Bagagli, M., Montagna, C. P., Papale, P., and Longo, A.: Signature of magmatic processes in strainmeter records at Campi Flegrei (Italy), Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 718-725, doi:10.1002/2016GL071875, 2017.

Bodnar, R. J., Cannatelli, C., De Vivo, B., Lima, A., Belkin, H. E., and Milia, A.: Quantitative model for magma degassing and ground deformation (bradyseism) at Campi Flegrei, Italy: Implications for future eruptions, Geology, 35, 791-794, 2007.

25

Byrdina, S., Vandemeulebrouck, J., Cardellini, C., Legaz, A., Camerlynck, C., Chiodini, G., Lebourg, T., Gresse, M., Bascou, P., Motos, G., Carrier, A., and Caliro, S.: Relations between electrical resistivity, carbon dioxide flux, and self-potential in the shallow hydrothermal system of Solfatara (Phlegrean Fields, Italy), Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research 283 (2014) 172-182, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.ivolgeores.2014.07.010, 2014.

30

Cardellini, C., Chiodini, G., Rosiello, A., Bagnato, E., Avino, R., Frondini, F., Caliro, S., Beddini, G., Donnini, M., and Lelli, M.: Long time series of soil CO2 degassing measurements at Solfatara of Pozzuoli (Campi Flegrei, Italy), 18th EGU General Assembly, EGU2016, proceedings from the conference held 17-22 April, 2016 in Vienna, Austria, p. 3540, 2016.

Chiodini, G., Frondini, F., Cardellini, C., Granieri, D., Marini, L., and Ventura, G.: CO₂ degassing and energy release at Solfatara Volcano, Campi Flegrei, Italy, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 16,213–16, 223, 2001.

5 Chiodini, G., Caliro, S., Cardellini, C., Granieri, D., Avino, R., Baldini, A., Donnini, M., and Minopoli, C.: Long-term variations of the Campi Flegrei, Italy, volcanic system as revealed by the monitoring of hydrothermal activity, J. Geophys. Res., 115, B03205, doi:10.1029/2008JB006258, 2010.

Chiodini, G., Caliro, S., De Martino, P., Avino, R., and Gherardi, F.: Early signals of new volcanic unrest at Campi Flegrei caldera? Insights from geochemical data and physical simulations, Geology, 40, 943-946, 2012.

Chiodini, G., Paonita, A., Aiuppa, A., Costa, A., Caliro, S., De Martino, P., Acocella, V., and Vandemeulebrouck, J.: Magmas near the critical degassing pressure drive volcanic unrest towards a critical state, Nat. Commun., *7*, 13712, doi:10.1038/ncomms13712, 2016.

15

Chiodini, G., Selva, J., Del Pezzo, E., Marsan, D., De Siena, L., D'Auria, L., Bianco, F., Caliro, S., De Martino, P., Ricciolino, P., and Petrillo, Z.: Clues on the origin of post-2000 earthquakes at Campi Flegrei caldera (Italy): Sci. Rep., doi:10.1038/s41598-017-04845-9, 2017.

20 Chiodini, G., Vandemeulebrouck, J., Caliro, S., D'Auria, L., De Martino, P., Mangiacapra, A., and Petrillo, Z.: Evidence of thermal-driven processes triggering the 2005-2014 unrest at Campi Flegrei caldera, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 414, 58-67, 2015.

Dvorak, J. J., and Gasparini, P.: History of earthquakes and vertical ground movements in Campi Flegrei caldera, SouthernItaly: a comparison of precursor events to the A.D. eruption of Monte Nuovo and of activity since 1968, J. Volcanol.

Geotherm. Res., 48, 77-92, 1991.

D'Auria, L.: Update sullo stato dei Campi Flegrei, INGV, Sezione di Napoli, Report, last accessed: June 2017, ftp://ftp.ingv.it/pro/web_ingv/Convegno_Struttura 30 %20_Vulcani/presentazioni/15_D'auria_CampiFlegrei/dauria_cf.pdf, 2015. D'Auria, L., Giudicepietro, F., Aquino, I., Borriello, G., Del Gaudio, C., Lo Bascio, D., Martini, M., Ricciardi, G. P., Ricciolino, P., and Ricco, C.: Repeated fluid transfer episodes as a mechanism for the recent dynamics of Campi Flegrei caldera (1989-2010), J. Geophys. Res. 116, B04313, doi:10.1029/2010JB007837, 2011.

5 De Natale, G., Troise, C., Kilburn, C. R. J., Somma, R., and Moretti, R.: Understanding volcanic hazard at the most populated caldera in the world: Campi Flegrei, Southern Italy, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 18, doi:10.1002/2017GC006972, 2017.

Di Luccio, F., Pino, N. A., Piscini, A., and Ventura, G.: Significance of the 1982-2014 Campi Flegrei seismicity: Preexisting
structures, hydrothermal processes, and hazard assessment, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 7498-7506, doi:10.1002/2015GL064962, 2015.

De Siena, L., Amoruso, A., Del Pezzo, E., Wakeford, Z., Castellano, M., and Crescentini, L.: Space-weighted seismic attenuation mapping of the aseismic source of Campi Flegrei 1983-1984 unrest, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 1740-1748, doi:10.1002/2017GL072507, 2017a.

De Siena, L., Chiodini, G., Vilardo, G., Del Pezzo, E., Castellano, M., Colombelli, S., Tisato, N., and Ventura, G.: Seismic imaging of the hot source of Campi Flegrei unrest, 19th EGU General Assembly, EGU2017, proceedings from the conference held 23-28 April, 2017 in Vienna, Austria, p. 1882, 2017b.

20

15

De Siena, L., Del Pezzo, E., and Bianco, F.: Seismic attenuation imaging of Campi Flegrei: Evidence of gas reservoirs, hydrothermal basins, and feeding systems, J. Geophys. Res., 115, B09312, doi:10.1029/2009JB006938, 2010.

Gresse, M., Vandemeulebrouck, J., Byrdina, S., Chiodini, G., Bruno, P. P.: Changes in CO₂ diffuse degassing induced by the
 passing of seismic waves, J. Volcanol Geotherm. Res., 320, 12-18. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2016.04.019, 2016.

INGV: Bollettino di Sorgevlianza Campi Flegrei Aprile 2017, INGV, Osservatorio Vesuviano, Report, last accessed: June,
http://www.ov.ingv.it/ov/bollettini-mensili-campania/Bollettino%20Mensile%20Campi%20Flegrei%202017_04.pdf,
2017.

30

Kilburn, C. R. J., De Natale, G., and Carlino, S.: Progressive approach to eruption at Campi Flegrei caldera in southern Italy, Nat. Commun., 8, 15312, doi:10.1038/ncomms15312, 2017.

Montanaro, C., Mayer, K., Scheu, B., Isaia, R., Mangiacapra, A., Gresse, M., Vandemeulebrouck, J., Moretti, R., Dingwell, D. B.: Hydrothermal activity and subsurface soil complexity: implication for outgassing processes at Solfatara crater, Campi Flegrei caldera. In EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, 18, 12509, 2016.

5 Moretti, R., Orsi, G., Civetta, L., Arienzo, I., and Papale, P.: Multiple magma degassing sources at an explosive volcano, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 367, 95-104, 2013.

Piochi M., Mormone, A., Balassone, G., Strauss, H., Troise, C., De Natale, G.: Native sulfur, sulfates and sulfides from the active Campi Flegrei volcano (southern Italy): Genetic environments and degassing dynamics revealed by mineralogy and

10 isotope geochemistry, J. Volc. Geotherm. Res., 304, 180-193, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2015.08.017, 2015.

Pedone, M., Aiuppa, A., Giudice, G., Grassa, F., Cardellini, C., Chiodini, G., and Valenza, M.: Volcanic CO₂ flux measurement at Campi Flegrei by Tunable Diode Laser absorption Spectroscopy, Bull. Volc., 76, 812, doi: 10.1007/s00445-014-0812-z, 2014.

15

Queißer, M., Granieri, D., and Burton, M.: A new frontier in CO₂ flux measurements using a highly portable DIAL laser system, Sci. Rep., 6, 33834, doi:10.1038/srep33834, 2016a.

 Queißer, M., Granieri, D., and Burton, M.: 2-D tomography of volcanic CO₂ from scanning hard-target
 differential absorption lidar: the case of Solfatara, Campi Flegrei (Italy), Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 5721-5734, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-5721-2016, 2016b.

Queißer, M., Burton, M. R., Arzilli, F., Chiarugi, A., Marliyani, G. I., Anggara, F., and Harijoko, A.: CO₂ flux from Javanese mud volcanism, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 122, doi:10.1002/2017JB013968, 2017.

25

Queißer, M., and Singh, S. C.: Full waveform inversion in the time lapse mode applied to CO₂ storage at Sleipner, Geophys. Prospect., 61, 537-555, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2478.2012.01072.x, 2012.

Schmidt, A., Rella, C. W., Göckede, M., Hanson, C., Yang, Z., and Law, B. E.: Removing traffic emissions from CO₂ time series measured at a tall tower using mobile measurements and transport modeling, Atmos. Environ., 97, 94-108, 2014.

Todesco, M., Chiodini, G., and Macedonio. G.: Monitoring and modelling hydrothermal fluid emission at La Solfatara (Phlegrean Fields, Italy). An interdisciplinary approach to the study of diffuse degassing, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 125, 57-79, doi:10.1016/S0377-0273(03)00089-1, 2003.

Troiano, A., Di Giuseppe, M. G., Petrillo, Z., Troise, C., and De Natale, G.: Ground deformation at calderas driven by fluid injection: modelling unrest episodes at Campi Flegrei (Italy), Geophys. J. Int., 187, 833-847, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05149.x, 2011.

5

Vitale S, and Isaia R.: Fractures and faults in volcanic rocks (Campi Flegrei, southern Italy): Insight into volcano-tectonic processes, Int. J. Earth Sci., 103, 801-819, 2014.

Zaccarelli, L., and Bianco, F.: Noise-based seismic monitoring of the Campi Flegrei caldera, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 2237-10 2244, doi:10.1002/2016GL072477, 2017.

Zollo, A., Maercklin, N., Vassallo, M., Dello Iacono, D., Virieux, J., *and* Gasparini, P.: Seismic reflections reveal a massive melt layer feeding Campi Flegrei caldera, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L12306, *doi*:10.1029/2008GL034242, 2008.



Figure 1: The location of Campi Flegrei (CF) and the measurement geometry. (a) Map of Italy and relief of the region of CF. The yellow square depicts the zone of Solfatara-Pisciarelli. (b) View from the roof of the Tennis Hotel and the telescope looking towards the Pisciarelli fumarole area concentrated within a zone of ~ 60 m diameter visible in the background. Indicated are the start and

- the end position of the TX/RX unit's line of sight and the corresponding angles. A total of ~14° was covered during each scan. (c) Nadir view of the situation depicted in (b). The inset shows a complete view of LARSS.
- 5



Figure 2. CO₂ concentration profiles of horizontal scans of the Pisciarelli fumaroles. (a) Ranges to hard target per heading angle. The target was the slope behind the fumaroles (Figs. 1b and c). (b) to (g) Background corrected CO₂ column densities versus angle as used for flux computation [Eq. (1)]. The grey envelope depicts the confidence (1 STD, for details see Queißer et al., 2017). On 5 the right are the corresponding path averaged mixing ratios (blue) with confidence interval (1 STD). The dotted line depicts the ambient CO₂ mixing ratio of 499 ppm. Also shown are the in-plume mixing ratios (magenta), estimated from the path averaged mixing ratios, assuming 62 m plume extension (Queißer et al., 2016a). The red circles mark the minimum and maximum mixing ratio of the same day the measurement took place, registered between 0:00 until midnight in 2h intervals by an in-situ station operated by INGV Naples, located near the center of the scanned area. The scans shown were performed in the order they appear. Their respective acquisition start times were (b to g): 17:15:17, 17:19:43, 17:22:48, 17:39:00, 17:43:47 and 17:52:05.



Figure 3. Ground elevation GPS data from RITE GPS station near the center of CF and CO₂ fluxes measured at the Pisciarelli fumarole field. All flux values except FLXOV3 data are spatially integrated. FLXOV3 data is being acquired by an automatic insitu station in units of g m⁻² d⁻¹. To be comparable to the area-integrated flux values, the data were multiplied with the surface area of the Pisciarelli fumarole area. Two methods of calculating the area yielded very similar results. Approximating the vegetation free area with a polygon yielded 4200 m², while approximating the surface with a rectangle of dimensions 70 m by 62 m yielded 4340 m², which was used as it provides a lower limit estimate of the flux.

10

	Time of scan	Plume speed $U(ms^{-1})$	Flux Φ_{CO_2} (kg s ⁻¹)
5	17:07:29	0.65 ± 0.20	6.75 ± 2.63
	17:12:29	0.65 ± 0.20	5.34 ± 2.72
	17:15:17 (b)	0.65 ± 0.20	4.06 ± 1.98
0	17:19:43 (c)	0.65 ± 0.20	3.67 ± 2.18
	17:22:48 (d)	0.65 ± 0.20	3.89 ± 2.06
	17:39:00 (e)	0.65 ± 0.20	8.88 ± 3.24
	17:43:47 (f)	0.65 ± 0.20	8.82 ± 3.29
5	17:52:05 (g)	0.80 ± 0.28	8.45 ± 3.56
	17:58:36	0.80 ± 0.28	10.33 ± 4.00
	Mean flux	6.7 ± 2.9 (578 ± 246 t d ⁻¹)	

Table 1. Start of the scans performed (local time), the vertical plume speed components with uncertainties (student *t*-deviation) and
the corresponding CO2 fluxes with uncertainties (1 STD). Those profiles shown in Fig. 2 have their subfigure identifier written20after the time.