Solid Earth Discuss.,
https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2018-113-RC3, 2019


Solid Earth Discussions
Open Access
EGU



# *Interactive comment on* "Crustal Density Model of the Sea of Marmara: Geophysical Data Integration and 3D Gravity Modelling" *by* Ershad Gholamrezaie et al.

**Henry (Referee)**

henry@cerege.fr

Received and published: 7 January 2019

This manuscript presents an interesting new hypothesis explaining gravity anomalies in the Sea of Marmara area: the presence of high density bodies within the crust along the North Anatolian fault zone. However, the manuscript does not yet provide a fully convincing demonstration that the presence of these bodies is required by the available data.

Owing to the non-uniqueness of gravity inversion solutions, and to the limitations of the currently available constraints from seismology, the gravity modeling alone cannot prove the existence of the high density bodies. Data may also be fit (at least at



Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

wavelengths of more than about 30 km) considering relatively small variations of Moho depth that remain compatible with constraints from seismology. The presence of high density bodies, is, however, a sound hypothesis, which can be further supported by considering the geological and geophysical contexts.

Geological knowledge on the Sea of Marmara area is already integrated in the discussion, but two important points are missing: (1) Ates et al. (1999, 2003, 2008) found magnetic anomalies in the Sea of Marmara area, which they related to the presence of magnetic bodies along the North Anatolian Fault zone. The largest one coincides with the eastern dense body infered in this study. (2) The North Anatolian fault zone follows more or less an ophiolitic suture, and this could explain at least in part the presence of dense and/or magnetic bodies along its track. Heterogeneities in the crust may thus not be a consequence of magmatic intrusions during a rifting event, but be a consequence of the convergent, and then transcurrent, tectonics during the Paleogene. This is already appearent in some of the cited references (e.g. Sengor et al., 2005) and more recent references also exist (e.g. Akbauram et al., 2016).

My conclusion would be that the gravity anomaly in the Eastern Sea of Marmara is at least in part caused by a mafic/ultramafic sliver in the crust, but it is still unclear to me whether a large high density body is present beneath Tekirdag Basin. I fully agree with the authors that these bodies could be a possible factor controling strain localization within the North Anatolian shear zone and that they predate the Pio-Quaternary transtensional tectonics, but I am not convinced they were emplaced as magnatic intrusions within the continental crust.

Regarfing the discussion with Reviewer #2, I would like to confirm that the Sandwell/TOPEX gravity model has good consistency with the marine data that were collected during Marsitecruise (both used in Kende et al., 2015), and that the Eigen-6C4 anomaly map used here seems less consistent with these marine data. I would like to encourage the authors to go on with their suggestion to compare models fitting Topex and Eigen-6C4 gravity anomalies. I would be happy to provide the gravity data

used in Kende et al. to the authors (hence, do not request to stay anonymous). Ideally, a magnetic model could be added.

References :

Akbayram, K., Şengör, A. M. C., & Özcan, E. (2016). The evolution of the Intra-Pontide sutureâĂŕ: Implications of the discovery of late Cretaceous – early Tertiary mélanges. In R. Sorkhabi (Ed.), Tectonic Evolution, Collision, and Seismicity of Southwest Asia: In Honor of Manuel Berberian's Forty-Five Years of Research Contributions: Geological Society of America Special Paper 525 (Vol. 525). https://doi.org/10.1130/2016.2525(18)

Ates, A., Kayiran, T., & Sincer, I. (2003). Structural interpretation of the Marmara region, NW Turkey, from aeromagnetic, seismic and gravity data. Tectonophysics, 367, 41–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(03)00044-1

Ates, A., Kearey, P., & Tufan, S. (1999). New gravity and magnetic anomaly maps of Turkey. Geophysical Journal International, 136(2), 499–502. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.1999.00732.x

Ates, A., Bilim, F., Buyuksarac, A., & Bektas, Ö. (2008). A tectonic interpretation of the Marmara Sea, NW Turkey from geophysical data. Earth, Planets and Space, 60(3), 169–177. https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03352780

Interactive comment on Solid Earth Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2018-113, 2018.



Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper