Ionian Abyssal Plain: A window into the Tethys oceanic lithosphere
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Abstract. The nature of the Ionian Sea crust has been the subject of scientific debate for more than 30 years, mainly because seismic imaging of the deep crust and upper mantle of the Ionian Abyssal Plain (IAP) has not been conclusive to date. The IAP is sandwiched between the Calabrian and Hellenic subduction zones in the central Mediterranean. A NNE-SSW oriented 131 km long seismic refraction and wide-angle reflection profile, consisting of eight ocean bottom seismometers and hydrophones, was acquired in 2014. The profile was designed to univocally confirm the proposed oceanic nature of the IAP crust as a remnant of the Tethys ocean and to confute its interpretation as a strongly thinned part of the African continental crust, a NE-SW oriented 131 km long seismic refraction and wide-angle reflection profile consisting of eight ocean bottom seismometers and hydrophones was acquired in 2014. A P-wave velocity model developed from travel time forward modelling is refined by gravimetric data and synthetic modelling of the seismic data. A roughly 6-7 km thick crust with velocities ranging from 5.1 km/s to 7.2 km/s, top to bottom, can be traced throughout the IAP. In the vicinity of the Medina Seamounts at the southern IAP boundary, the crust thickens to about 9 km and seismic velocities decrease to 6.8 km/s at the crust-mantle boundary. The seismic velocity distribution and depth of the crust-mantle boundary in the IAP document its oceanic nature, and support the interpretation of the IAP as a remnant of the Tethys oceanic lithosphere formed during the Permian and Triassic period with the Malta Escarpment as a transform margin and a Tethys opening in NNW-SSE direction.

1 Introduction

Starting back in the Cretaceous the ongoing convergence between the African and Eurasian plates results in a complex tectonic setting in the central Mediterranean Sea. This complexity includes segmented and strongly curved subduction zones, shortening of overriding plates, subduction roll-back, the formation of extensional back-arc basins, and the existence of several proposed continental or oceanic microplates [e.g. Dewey et al., 1989; Faccenna et al., 2001; Stampfli et al., 2002; Faccenna et al., 2014; Polonia et al., 2016; Barreca et al., 2016 and references therein]. However, seismicity and geodesy measurements show that strain is concentrated in narrow bands bounding the microplates that are moving independently from the overall
convergent motion [Faccenna et al., 2014]. The lithosphere of the Ionian Sea constitutes one of these mobile blocks, microplates, where the long lasting contradictions in the interpretations of the nature of the Ionian Sea crust (‘oceanic’ vs. ‘thinned continental’) result from a lack of conclusive imaging of the deep crust and upper mantle, inhibiting an unequivocal characterization. Of special difficulty is the Messinian evaporite unit, which massively impedes seismic energy penetration and hence limits the data quality of geophysical imaging methods. The challenges in imaging have ignited a long-standing debate about the deeper structure and the nature of the crust and lithosphere of the Ionian Abyssal Plain (IAP). The interpretations range from continental or hyperextended continental lithosphere [Finetti and Morelli, 1973; Cloething et al., 1980; Baldi et al., 1982; Makris et al., 1986; Ferrucci et al., 1991; Cernobori et al., 1996; Mantovani et al., 2002; Hieke et al., 2003; Roure et al., 2012] to oceanic or atypical oceanic lithosphere [Finetti, 1981; 1982; Makris et al., 1986; Leister et al., 1986; De Voogd et al., 1992; Finetti et al., 1996; Faccenna et al., 2001; 2004; Catalano et al., 2001; Finetti, 2003; Gallais et al., 2011; 2012; Speranza et al., 2012; Dellong et al., 2018]. A new feature was added to the discussion by Polonia et al. [2017] interpreting diapiric structures to be constructed of serpentine, similar to findings in the Back-Arc basin of the Tyrrhenian Sea [Prada et al., 2016]. If this is true, serpentine should be present in the subducting Ionian plate.

Finetti [1982] used geological, geophysical and drilling exploration data to infer that the crust in the IAP is of oceanic type adjacent to continental crust. The IAP was interpreted to consist of oceanic type crust by a two-ship deep refraction and reflection seismic experiment [De Voogd et al., 1992]. Oceanic crust east of the Malta Escarpment is inferred from the deep reflection seismic CROP-lines M23A and M3 [Finetti, 2003]. Furthermore, time and pre-stack depth migrated reflection seismic data [Gallais et al., 2011; 2012] and magnetic anomaly data [Speranza et al., 2012] indicated oceanic crust within the IAP. Contrasting interpretations are based on echo sounding and seismic reflection data [Hieke et al., 2003] and a paleogeographic analysis of faults [Roure et al., 2012], interpreting the crust of the IAP to be of continental type. While the majority of studies today infer an oceanic type of crust in the IAP [LeBreton et al., 2017; Dellong et al., 2018], robust information on the crustal structure of the IAP including Moho depth and seismic velocities to confirm the oceanic nature of the crust are still sparse.

Understanding the geodynamic evolution and the intricate interplay between continental and oceanic fragments hence requires exact knowledge of the crustal and lithospheric structures. Given its location in the central Mediterranean Sea, the Ionian Sea is a key element in reconstructing the kinematic evolution of the Central-Eastern Mediterranean [Finetti, 1982].

To fill this gap, RV Meteor cruise M111 in 2014 targeted the crustal and lithospheric structure of the Ionian Abyssal Plain (Fig. 1). Along line DY-05, modern seismic refraction and wide-angle reflection data were acquired using four ocean bottom seismometers (OBS) and four ocean bottom hydrophones (OBH). The aim of this work is to provide information on the seismic velocity distribution and crustal structure to confirm the debate on the nature of the crust-lithosphere in the IAP.
2 Geological Setting

The Ionian Sea and its abyssal plain are sandwiched between the Calabrian (CSZ) and Hellenic (HSZ) subduction zones in the central-eastern part of the Mediterranean basin (Fig. 1). The IAP comprises an asymmetric basin of approximately 600 km length and 330 km width with water depth reaching ~4000-4100 m in its central part. Its lithosphere is actively subducting underneath Eurasia along both subduction zones (Calabrian to the north and Western Mediterranean Ridge to the east), causing a high potential for devastating earthquakes and tsunamis. Both subduction zones are characterized by large accretionary prisms (Calabrian Arc to the north and Western Mediterranean Ridge (WMR) to the east), which have advanced into the Ionian Sea and cover vast parts of the IAP. Imaging of the IAP–Ionian lithosphere crust is difficult because of the voluminous sedimentary cover of the accretionary prism [Dellong et al., 2018], which furthermore is underlain by a thick sequence of Messinian evaporites [Ryan et al., 1982]. Only a relatively small ‘window’ of approximately 100 km length and 60 km width remains undisturbed by the highly deformed accreted sequences advancing from the north and east or by the Medina seamount cluster of volcanic origin found to the south [Finetti, 1982]. To the south and west, the Ionian Sea is bounded by the continental platforms of Libya and the Malta-Hyblean plateau, respectively. The gradation transition from the deep-ocean environment of the IAP to the shallow water carbonate platform of the continental Malta-Hyblean plateau is marked by the Malta Escarpment [Micallef et al., 2016]. This distinct, 290 km long and 3.2 km high scarp is described as an inherited transform margin from the early Mesozoic [Argnani and Bonazzi, 2005; Micallef et al., 2016; Dellong et al., 2018] that traces the transition from the oceanic domain of the Ionian Sea to the Tertiary-Quaternary continental foreland domain of the Pelagian platform [Barreca et al., 2016].

Direct sampling of the sediment cover is available from ODP/DSDP sites [Ryan et al., 1973], while sub-basement structure was mainly inferred from potential field and refraction data. Early studies [Locardi and Nicolich, 1988; Nicolich, 1989; De Voogd et al., 1992; Scarascia et al., 1994] considered the crust to be 15-20 km thick. Later investigations refined this value to 8-10 km [Catalano et al., 2001; Gallais et al., 2011; Dellong et al., 2018]. Important insight has come from heat flow measurements, which revealed that very low values prevail in the eastern Mediterranean compared to the western Mediterranean [Jiménez-Munt et al., 2003], indicating distinct differences in the age and thickness of the lithosphere in these realms (younger, warmer lithosphere in the west; older, colder and thicker lithosphere in the east). Low heat flux values of 30-40 mW/m2 [Della Vedova and Pellis, 1989] from the Ionian basin underpin its oceanic origin of at least Mesozoic age, coherent with the Pangea breakup and rifting of the Tethys ocean during the late Paleozoic or Early Mesozoic [Sage et al., 1997; Ricou, 1994; Stampfli et al., 2002; Agard et al., 2011; Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2015]. In addition, the relatively high Bouguer gravity anomaly of the IAP with values exceeding 200 mGal [Morelli et al., 1975] suggests a shallow Moho boundary [Dellong et al., 2018]. The seafloor magnetic pattern of the IAP indicates that the Ionian Sea lithosphere was formed around 220-230 Ma during Triassic times and it is considered as a remnant of the Tethys ocean [Speranza et al., 2012].
The IAP lithosphere is subducting beneath the Calabrian Arc which is proposed to have formed in the Late Miocene during the opening of the Tyrrhenian Sea [Faccenna et al., 2001; Chiarabba et al., 2008]. Clear Wadati-Benioff zones are imaged below the CSZ in the west [Selvaggi and Chiarabba, 1995] and below the HSZ in the north [Hatzfeld, 1993] and recent studies interpreted the slabs to be composed of subducted oceanic crust [Chiarabba et al., 2008; Pearce et al., 2012]. The Ionian Basin is bounded by the Apulian Escarpment (AE) in the north and the Malta Escarpment (ME) in the south-west. The escarpments are interpreted to be the conjugated passive margins separated during the opening of the Tethys [Catalano et al., 2001; Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005]. In contrast, Gallais et al. [2011] and Frizon de Lamotte et al. [2011] interpreted the ME differently and see it as part of a continental transform margin separating the continental domain west of the ME from the deep Ionian basin. The oceanic nature of the Ionian lithosphere supports the hypothesis that the Adriatic microplate, which comprises the Ionian Sea in its southernmost portion, was a rigid promontory of Africa [e.g. Channell et al., 1979; Dewey et al., 1989]. However, according to Gallais et al. [2011; 2012], Polonia et al. [2011], and Roure et al. [2012] seismic data show thrust faulting and inversion structures in the IAP (Fig. 1), which are indicative of active deformation and would contradict a totally ‘rigid’ connection to Africa. Roure et al. [2012] favour the IAP to be a thinned part of the African plate. The occurrence of active deformations in the IAP [Gallais et al., 2011; 2012; Polonia et al., 2011] would support the idea of an independent Adria microplate [i.e. Ustaszewski et al., 2008; Handy et al., 2010 and references therein] allowing it to move relative to Africa, at least in Neogene times [LeBreton et al., 2017]. During Neogene times Ustaszewski et al. [2008] determined a ~20° counterclockwise rotation of Adria relative to Eurasia, while only ~2° of rotation have been accommodated between Europe and Africa.

The nature of the Ionian lithosphere and the structure of the Ionian crust are not only of regional interest, but on a wide scale, as they are crucial to the understanding of the collisional and subduction dynamics of the entire central Mediterranean. In particular, the Tethyan affinity of the Ionian lithosphere and its oceanic nature support the hypothesis that the Adriatic microplate, which comprises the Ionian Sea in its southernmost portion, was a rigid promontory of Africa [e.g. Channell et al., 1979; Dewey et al., 1989]. However, thrust faulting and inversion structures in the IAP as interpreted from seismic data [Gallais et al., 2011, 2012; Polonia et al., 2011, Roure et al., 2012] are indicative of active deformation of the Ionian crust, which would contradict a ‘rigid’ connection to Africa [LeBreton et al., 2017] and support the idea of an independent Adria microplate.

3 Data and methodology

During RV Meteor cruise M111 wide-angle refraction seismic data were acquired simultaneous to multichannel seismic reflection seismic (MCS) data. Profile DY-05 is 84 nm 131 km long and crosses the Ionian Sea basin/IAP from SW to NE (red line in Fig. 1). The location of the line was chosen to cover the area of the IAP that is neither affected by the emplacement of volcanic structures (Medina Seamounts in the south) nor affected by the advancing thick accretionary prisms of deforming sediment in the north and east, in order to gain an ‘unobstructed view’ into the deep IAP crust and lithosphere. The central part of the profile was covered by eight seafloor stations (OBH501 - OBS508) with a spacing of 7.4 nm. Shooting (946 shots) was extended for ~20 nm 40 km beyond the first and last instrument, respectively, in order to record long offsets from shots
travelling through the subsurface. An airgun array consisting of 6 G-gun clusters with a total volume of 84 l (5440 cu.in.) at 210 bar was fired at a 60 s shot interval. Data quality was very good and arrivals were recorded over the entire profile length. Mantle phases, PmP reflections and Pn phases, were recorded on all stations. A mini-streamer with 4 channels spread over an active length of 65 m was towed at a depth of 8 m between the airguns. For each channel, 6 hydrophones with a distance of 0.5 m were grouped together. The streamer served two purposes: it was used to control the correct functioning of the air guns and to provide information on the uppermost sedimentary structures in regions where seafloor roughness does not cause aliasing. A medium gun delay of 78 ms could be identified, while one gun firing out of sequence, as recognized on the streamer data near trace 800 in Figure 2.

3.1 Description of the multichannel seismic reflection data

The stacked MCS data section displays the upper subsurface structure in two-way travel time (twt; Fig. 2). The water depth along the profile increases from ~3600-3570 m (~4.7 s twt) at the southern termination in the vicinity of the Medina seamounts to ~4090-4060 m (~5.3 s twt) in the central portion of the profile. The water depth declines again towards the northern end of the line which starts to cover the Ionian accretionary prism (compare Fig. 1). North of the rough seafloor of the Medina Seamounts group, the data section is dominated by the thick sedimentary and evaporitic sequences which fill the basin. Stratified sediment layers are softly inclined along the southern part of the profile, between shot numbers 150-450. Towards the centre of the basin, horizontally layered, sub-parallel sequences are onlapping onto these strata between shots 450-550. These units are underlain by a thick sequence of incoherent amplitudes truncating the sediment layers in the south. A prominent strong amplitude reflector may be traced from shot point 150 at 5.2 s to around shot number 550 at 5.7 s. Whereas a coherent layering is visible in the units above this reflector, the units below are seismically much more opaque. The base of these units is marked by a strong negative reflector at 5.5 s (near trace 200) to 6.3 s (near trace 800). Below this reflector, the seismic signal is strongly attenuated and no coherent structures may be identified. Three deep reflectors to the north at 6.6 s, 6.8 s, and at 7.15 s lose their seismic amplitude coherence beyond shot numbers 550-600 and 750, respectively.

3.2 Description of the seismic wide-angle reflection and refraction data

Figure 3 presents seismic shot sections, including picked and calculated travel time picks, of the two outermost stations, OBH501 and OBS508. In the following we want to describe the recorded data using pick phases, pick colours, and layer names, which can be found in table 1. The earliest arrival at the stations is the direct wave through the water picked in yellow (PDirect, phase 1). Magenta picks (PsPTopME, phase 3) represent the base of the youngest sediment units. The geometry of these sediment layers is well imaged in the multi-channel seismic data (Fig. 2) by a strong positive reflector (PsPTopME). Red picks (PsME, phase 2) follow the first arrivals to an offset of ~15 km (3.5 to 4.5 s), representing refracted waves travelling through the evaporite layers with an apparent velocity of 4.5 km/s. At about 15 km offset from the station, at the limit of the red picks (phase 2), a prominent shadow zone indicates a strong negative velocity inversion in the subsurface including a thick low velocity zone. The near-offset early arrivals are offset by 1.5 s to the deeper crustal first arrival phases (dark-light green
picks, \textit{Pg}, phase 10\), that have been recorded starting at roughly 6 s. A strong negative reflector starting at zero offset is present on all OBS record sections and is picked in \textit{dark} blue (PsPBotME, phase 5). It marks the base of the Messinian unit at \(\sim 3.6\) s at zero offset. After a \(\sim 1.4\) s long sequence of high amplitude reflectors follows a low-frequent high-amplitude reflector (\textit{light dark} green, PsPTopAU, phase 7), visible between 0 to 20 km offset at \(~ 5\) s. Underneath, a second similar reflector is picked in \textit{dark magenta} (PbP, phase 9) at \(~ 5.6\) s. These two reflectors originate from the base of the slow sediment unit and the basement, respectively. At larger offsets, two crustal phases (violet (Pb, phase 8) and \textit{light green} picks (Pg, phase 10)) could be identified. At about 35-40 km in offset the onset of the mantle reflection is observed and picked in light blue (PmP, phase 11) and mantle refracted phases in bright red (Pn, phase 12). Except for OBS508, where the PmP phase was observed on the northern branch only, all instruments show wide-angle mantle reflections (phase 11) at both branches.

\section*{3.3 Methodology and modelling strategy}

By developing a velocity model for the analysis of profile DY-05 we targeted the structure of the crust by developing a velocity model for the sedimentary strata, the crust and the uppermost mantle. This was achieved by forward modelling of the observed travel times using the raytracing software RAYINVR [Zelt and Smith, 1992; Zelt and Forsyth, 1994]. It runs on the graphical user interface MODELING [Fujie et al., 2008]. Travel times were picked using the software PASTEUP [Fujie et al., 2008]. Attempts to apply tomographic inversion approaches failed due to the presence of a thick low velocity zone. The final forward model (Fig. 4) was developed starting with the water layer and progressing downward layer by layer. The geometry of the upper layers was constrained by the MCS data (Fig. 2). The geometry of the deeper layer boundaries was optimised by using the inversion algorithm of RAYINVR. The approach of combined forward modelling and layer wise inversion was chosen to find the simplest model to fit all data without over- or underfitting the data. The velocity and interface node have been spaced irregular. The velocities are tied to layer interfaces, at the top and bottom of each layer. In between the nodes, velocities and interfaces information are interpolated [Zelt and Smith, 1992; Zelt, 1999]. The root mean square (RMS) misfit and the \(\text{Chi}^2\) value for each phase are provided in Table 1. Pick uncertainties were assigned to avoid over- or underfitting the data especially in the inversion modelling. An overall normalised \(\text{Chi}^2=1\) in traveltime misfit should be achieved for the final model. We receive velocity uncertainties of up to \(0.05\) km/s for the shallow sedimentary units, while the velocity uncertainties for the low velocity sedimentary layer are higher \(~ 0.2\) km/s. At crustal level and deeper uncertainties reach \(0.1\) km/s. Due to the high amount of observed PmP arrivals and the dense shot spacing, the uncertainty for the depth of the Moho is \(~ 1\) km.

To corroborate the seismic velocity model gained by seismic travel time forward modelling, complementary two-dimensional gravity forward modelling was performed. Especially at the profile ends with only moderate station coverage, the seismic velocity model is highly uncertain and gravity modelling (Fig. 5) helps to constrain and adjust the model. The free-air anomaly (FAA) along the 2-D profile was extracted from satellite altimetry data [Sandwell & Smith, 1997]. Based on the seismic velocities an initial 2D density model was constructed. The model response [Talwani et al., 1959] was compared to the observed free-air anomaly data along the profile. Minor adjustments to the model densities, all within common density-velocity
relationships [Carlson and Herrick, 1990; Christensen and Mooney, 1995], were applied to achieve a reasonable fit between calculated and observed FAA.

To validate the forward modelling, synthetic data based on the final seismic velocity model were calculated and compared to the recorded data (Fig. 6). A finite difference scheme for the solution of the elastic isotropic wave equation from Hestholm et al. [1994] was used to calculate the synthetic data for a 2-D structure. The input velocity model had a grid cell size of 50 m. The seismogram was computed with a P-wave source frequency up to 30 Hz, while a 2 ms time step was chosen. No random noise was added.

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} 
\hline 
\text{Phase} & \text{Phase Number (pick colour)} & \text{Number Picks} & \text{RMS [s]} & \text{Chi}^2 \\
\hline 
\text{All picks} & & 8128 & 0.064 & 0.979 \\
\text{P}_{\text{Direct}} & 1 \text{ (yellow)} & 422 & 0.004 & 0.123 & 0.010 \\
\text{P}_{\text{sP}} \text{TopME} & 3 \text{ (magenta)} & 299 & 0.012 & 0.378 & 0.020 \\
\text{P}_{\text{sM}} \text{E} & 2 \text{ (red)} & 1017 & 0.019 & 0.867 & 0.020 \\
\text{P}_{\text{sP}} \text{BotME} & 5 \text{ (dark blue)} & 313 & 0.020 & 0.986 & 0.020 \\
\text{P}_{\text{sP}} \text{TopAU} & 7 \text{ (dark green)} & 985 & 0.072 & 1.054 & 0.070 \\
\text{P}_{\text{bP}} & 9 \text{ (dark magenta)} & 919 & 0.078 & 1.239 & 0.070 \\
\text{P}_{\text{b}} & 8 \text{ (violet)} & 424 & 0.089 & 1.637 & 0.070 \\
\text{P}_{\text{g}} & 10 \text{ (light green)} & 1349 & 0.079 & 1.271 & 0.070 \\
\text{P}_{\text{mP}} & 11 \text{ (light blue)} & 1324 & 0.048 & 0.392 & 0.080 \\
\text{P}_{\text{n}} & 12 \text{ (bright red)} & 1498 & 0.072 & 1.038 & 0.080 \\
\hline 
\end{array}$$

Table 1 – Shows observed seismic phases with their pick number, number of picks, pick uncertainty, RMS fit, and Chi$^2$ to allow an estimation on the robustness of the seismic velocity model gained from forward modelling.

4 Interpretation and Results

4.1 Shallow structures from multi-channel seismic data

The uppermost unit in the MCS data was drilled at DSDP site 374 to a depth of 457 m [Hsü et al., 1978] and corresponds to Plio-Quaternary sediments. The lower limit of this unit is marked by the so-called A-reflector [Finetti and Morelli, 1972], which forms the transition to the Messinian evaporite layers [Hsü et al., 1978; Gallais et al., 2011]. This reflector corresponds to phase 3 ($\text{P}_{\text{sP TopME}}$, magenta picks) in the seismic sections of the OBH (Fig. 3).

The base of the Messinian evaporites has been termed the B-reflector by Finetti and Morelli [1972]. We interpret phase 5 ($\text{P}_{\text{sP BotME}}$, dark blue picks) in the OBS seismic sections (Fig. 3) to correspond to this reflector. The B-reflector disappears
in our seismic section near trace 800 towards the north, due to seismic scattering. The two Three reflectors identified between traces 550 and 750 (near trace 650) at 6.6 s, 6.8 s, and at 7.2–7.15 s indicate Pre-Messinian sedimentary layering. We link these the upper two reflectors to Tortonian age, while the deeper reflector at 7.15 s is interpreted to be the so-called K-Reflector, as identified by Gallais et al. [2011] in the crossing seismic line Arch21 (Fig. 1).

At trace 100 of the MCS profile the layering of the upper sediments is disturbed by the volcanic signature of the Medina Seamounts (Fig. 2). In the northern part of the profile, starting near trace 570 (OBH503), the A-reflector of the Messinian unit disappears gradually towards the northern profile end. The upper Plio-Quaternary sediments layering above are gently folded, near trace 700, characterized by gently undulating folds, whereas north of Near trace 880, the stratification of the whole Plio-Quaternary sediments are strongly folded up to the seafloor disappears completely and anticlinal folds can be observed at the surface. The fold amplitude decreases progressively towards the south as observed in Polonia at al. [2011] along the MSC line CALA-15. We interpret these observations as signatures of folds to represent the deformation front of the Calabrian accretionary wedge, expressed at different depth levels. The deformation of the sediments and/or differential sediment loading possibly creates fluid pathways and thus may support the dissolution of the evaporites below.

4.2 Shallow and deep Sedimentary structures from seismic travel time modelling

Layer 1 in our final velocity model (Fig. 4a) is 250-500 m thick with rapidly increasing seismic velocities from 1.8 km/s to 2.2 km/s, top to bottom. From drilling it is known that L1 is composed of unconsolidated Plio-Quaternary sediments [Hsiu et al., 1978]. The underlying layer L2 is characterized by uniform seismic velocities of 4.4 km/s to 4.65 km/s and a thickness of 0.8 km to 1.3 km. L2 is interpreted as the Messinian evaporite unit (Layer 2 in Figure 4a) similar to the findings along seismic profiles in the Calabrian Arc, profiles DY-01 and DY-03 [Dellong et al., 2018]. The A-reflector (phase 3, magenta picks, PsPTopME) and the reversed polarity B-reflector (phase 5, dark blue picks, PsPBotME) occur as strong phases in the OBS data at all stations, representing the top and bottom of the Messinian evaporite unit, respectively. The B-reflector at the base of the Messinian unit is also known as S1-horizon from the ESP5 (Expanding Spread Profile) studied by De Voogd et al. [1992].

Below the B-reflector, the OBS data show a succession of high-amplitude reflectors similar to observations made by Gallais et al. [2011] in the MCS data (Archimede and PrisMed01 profiles). However, it is difficult to link these reflectors to certain horizons in our velocity model; thus, we modelled the Pre-Messinian sediments as a low velocity layer (layer 3 in Figure 4a) with velocities increasing from 3.1 km/s at the B-reflector to 3.7 km/s at the base of the unit. Chamot-Rooke et al. [2005], Polonia et al. [2011], and Gallais et al. [2011] propose that the upper part of the unit, containing the succession of reflectors, is of Tortonian age, overlying an undifferentiated Tertiary sequence and Mesozoic sediments. From 20 km towards south, we observe higher velocities up of 3.7 – 4.7 km/s (top to bottom) that we relate to the Medina Seamounts. This part of the profile, however, was not covered by OBS stations; thus, the model here is less reliable.

A high-amplitude, low frequency reflector (phase 7, dark green picks, PsPTopAU in Fig. 3) marks the top of layer 4 (Figures 3 and 4a), that shows a low velocity gradient, with seismic velocities of 4.8–4.9 km/s (Fig. 4a). We interpret L4 as a sedimentary
unit, based on the seismic velocities and the velocity gradient within the layer. This layer is called the Ambiguous Unit in Gallais et al. [2011]. Thus, we observe the crystalline basement at a depth of ~9.5 km, at OBH501, to ~8.5 km, at OBS508.

4.3 Crustal structures from seismic travel time modelling

Indeed, layer 5 (Fig. 4a) shows a steeper velocity gradient to a depth of ~11 km. The seismic velocities increase from 5.1 km/s to 6.4 km/s, typical for seismic layer 2 in upper oceanic crust (seismic layer 2) [White et al., 1992; Grevemeyer et al., 2018]. The upper crust is approximately 2-3 km thick. Layer 6 (Fig. 4a) again shows a lower velocity gradient with velocities increasing from 6.4 km/s to 7.2 km/s from top to bottom, typical for lower oceanic crust in (seismic layer 3) [White et al., 1992; Grevemeyer et al., 2018]. Layer 7 (Fig. 4a) with velocities higher than 7.8 km/s is interpreted as mantle with a seismic Moho at ~15 km depth at OBH501 and at ~17 km depth at OBS508.

In Fig. 4b we compare seismic velocity-depth profiles along profile DY-05 with a velocity-depth ensemble for magmatic crust [Grevemeyer et al., 2018], as well as with a velocity-depth ensemble for serpentinised mantle found in the Tyrrhenian Sea [Prada et al., 2016], and a velocity-depth profile typical for extended continental metamorphic crust. In the northern portion of the profile (orange section) and at shallow depth (~2 km into the basement) the profile fits both ensembles, for magmatic crust and serpentinised mantle, while at greater depth in the northern portion of the profile (orange section), lower crust velocities typical for gabbro, are observed. Between 40 km and 130 km (green and orange sections), the observed seismic velocity field and the velocity gradients are typical for magmatic crust.

In the northern part of the profile, in the IAP, 6-7 km thick crust is encountered. Towards the southern end, the crust thickens to at least ~9 km. Due to the moderate resolution at the model termination in the south, especially at greater depth, it is difficult to identify the nature of the crust in the southern part. In conjunction with crustal thickening we observe crustal velocities at the crust-mantle boundary of 6.8 km/s, which plots closer to the velocity-depth ensemble of continental metamorphic crust (compare to Fig. 4b, blue section). The recorded seismic data show a change in the characteristics of the mantle phases towards the south. This could result from a change in the nature of the crust or simply originate from the influence of the Medina Seamounts. The nature of the Medina Seamounts is not well studied, however it is with their proposed to be–volcanic composition [Finetti, 1982].

Again, at the edges and with increasing depth modelling becomes less accurate. Pick uncertainties and data fits are presented in Table 1. Table 2 lists layers L1 to L7 (used in figure 4a) with their seismic velocities.

4.3.4 Gravity modelling

The results of the 2D gravity forward modelling are shown in Figure 5. In the south, possible 3-D effects of the Medina Seamounts have not been taken into account during the modelling. However, the seismic velocities of the crust and the density of the crust decrease towards the south, roughly south of kilometre 30 of the profile. At the corresponding location in the model, a thickening of the crust is observed. A good fit is observed with a RMS deviation of 1.46 mgal. Figure 5b presents a
case without the shallow density anomaly between 5 km and 20 km along the profile. The overall trend of an increasing FAA from south to north can be still observed, however the short wavelength fit is inferior compared to the final model (Fig. 5a); the RMS misfit is 2.62 mgal. This part of the model is not covered by OBS resulting in less well constrained seismic velocities, however, shallow denser material supports the observed higher seismic velocities. This is supported as well by the MCS data in Figure 2, where the sedimentary succession is deformed and reflectors are disturbed. To further test the velocity model, a constant crustal velocity was assumed. In contrast to the final model densities in the crust were defined with constant values typical of oceanic crust over the entire profile (Fig. 5c). The model response in Figure 5c shows a strong RMS misfit of 13.92 mgal between observed and calculated FAA south of km 60 (13.92 mgal). In this scenario, the crustal densities are too high in the southern part of the model. Additionally, assuming a constant crustal thickness would even enlarge the misfit of the data.

By means of the gravity study, we can confirm the final seismic velocity model, even for the portions not covered by OBS.

4.4.5 Synthetic data

The computation of synthetic data serves to validate the forward modelling results. The upper panel of Figure 6a shows compares the synthetic seismogram of OBH501 based on the final velocity model (Fig. 4) with the recorded seismogram of OBH501. The synthetic seismogram was computed by means of a 2-D finite difference scheme for the solution of the elastic isotropic wave equation [Hestholm et al., 1994]. The input velocity model had a grid cell size of 50 m. The seismogram was computed with a P-wave source frequency up to 30 Hz, while a 2 ms time step was chosen. No random noise was added. The gross features of the observed amplitudes (Fig. 6b) could be reproduced in the synthetic record section (Fig. 6a). The near offset waveforms (between 5 and 15 km offset and between 3.5 s and 4 s) of the evaporite unit show high amplitudes that rapidly decrease with offset. The large shadow zone, starting at 15 km offset and at ~4 s to both sides, is caused by a thick unit of sediment layers with slower seismic velocities compared to the evaporite unit. This portion of the model was kept simple during forward modelling; thus, we observe a lack in phases between 4 s and 5 s in the synthetic data compared to the observed data in Figure 6b. The additional phases in the observed data indicate internal layering of the evaporite unit as well as layering within the slow sedimentary unit below, which were not resolved during travel time modelling. At roughly 5 s the reflected phase of the fast sedimentary unit above the basement as well as the reflection of the basement at 5.5 s are present in the synthetic data with weak amplitudes (Fig. 6a). The crustal phases between 15 km and 30 km offset become stronger again, while the PmP, between 40 km and 50 km offset at about 7 s, shows high amplitudes. This feature is observed in the recorded data as well and is associated with a discontinuity at the crust-mantle boundary. The mantle phases with offsets larger than 55 km slowly fade. They can be better recognised in the synthetic data where they are not obscured by ambient noise. The apparent velocities of the main features fit the observed data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Layer type interpreted</th>
<th>Seismic velocities (top – bottom) at OBS501</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>Quaternary and Pliocene sediments</td>
<td>1.8 – 2.2 km/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>Messinian evaporites</td>
<td>4.4 – 4.65 km/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 – Overview on the layers in figures 4 and 5 including interpretation of the unit and seismic velocities for each layer at OBH501.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Velocity (km/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>Pre-Messinian sediments</td>
<td>3.1 – 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>Carbonate sediments</td>
<td>4.8 – 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>Upper crust</td>
<td>5.1 – 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>Lower crust</td>
<td>6.4 – 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7</td>
<td>Mantle</td>
<td>≥ 7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Discussion

5.1 Deformation and tectonic thickening at the front of the Calabrian accretionary prism

Different deformation fronts Folds in the sedimentary units have been observed at different depth levels at the seafloor, within the Plio-Quaternary unit, and in the Messinian evaporites (Fig. 2), however, we do not interpret this as a result of deformation stepping back in time towards the north. We rather interpret the scenario as deformation that happens occurring at all three depth levels most likely simultaneously, caused by the distributed thrusting in at the toe of the Calabrian accretionary wedge. Along the MCS line CALA-15, which crosses our profile in the northern IAP (Fig. 1), frontal thrusting affecting the seafloor was observed, as well as a zone of “proto-thrusts” that deform deeper layers are observed, but without reaching the seafloor yet [Polonia et al., 2011] (see their Fig. 8). The same is true for the line PrisMed-1 [Gallais et al., 2012] (see their Fig. 5A). The distribution of the deformation fronts is most likely a function of the rheological properties of the different layers. In fact, we observe distributed shortening and tectonic thickening of the Messinian salt unit, resulting in an unclear obscured and chaotic A-reflector within short distance towards the north. While the Plio-Quaternary sediments at greater depth show gently undulating folds, we observe the deformation front at the surface is expressed by a sudden occurrence of distinct anticlinal an increase in the amplitude of these folds towards the north where they affect the entire Plio-Quaternary sediment unit up to the seafloor. We interpret the sudden onset of strongly undulating folds expressed at the seafloor as the signature of the outer deformation front of the Calabrian wedge at the seafloor, while the Plio-Quaternary sediments at the bottom of the unit already are affected by the growth of the accretionary wedge. Along the approximately perpendicular MCS profile CALA-15 the same pattern is observed from SE to NW [Polonia et al., 2011]. The thickness of the Plio-Quaternary sediments is rather predominantly constant (Figs. 2 and 4), supporting the idea that rheological properties influence the location of the deformation front at each layer. The MCS profile Crop-M2 [Polonia et al., 2011] crosses the DY-05 profile at OBH501 (Fig. 7a). They-Polonia et al. [2011] interpreted the base of the Messinian evaporites as the detachment, acting as the plate boundary between the post-Messinian wedge (Eurasia) and pre-Messinian sediments on top of the very old African subducting oceanic crust. This would indeed be consistent with our observations of a B-reflector that can be traced through almost the entire profile.
and the undisturbed flat-lying Plio-Quaternary sediments on top of the Messinian evaporites, which are affected in the north by the advancing Calabrian wedge.

5.2 Pre-Messinian sedimentary layers

Beneath the B-reflector, the seismic signal is strongly attenuated, both, in the OBS and MCS data. In the MCS data, short reflective bands can be observed, indicating Pre-Messinian sedimentary layering. The OBS data show a sequence of steep angle reflections with high frequencies. However, a comparison of OBS and MCS data proved unsuitable to correlate the observed phases at the different stations. The gained model in this portion is highly unreliable, especially the velocity information with absolute velocities and the velocity gradient.

Layer 4 was the next layer that could be verified by a high-amplitude, low frequency reflector. Based on the absolute velocity and the low velocity gradient it is interpreted as a sedimentary layer. While De Voogd et al. [1992] interpreted layer 4 (Fig. 4a) as oceanic upper crust layer 2A, we interpret this to be a 1 km thick layer of fast sediments, possibly carbonate sediments of Mesozoic age [Polonia et al., 2011] (Fig. 7b). For seismic layer 2 of oceanic crust, we would expect a high velocity gradient with absolute velocities lower than observed (< 4.4 km/s) [Carlson, 1998; Spudich and Orcutt, 1980; White et al., 1992; Grevemeyer et al., 2018], or a low velocity gradient with higher absolute velocities (> 5.7 km/s) for continental crust [Christensen and Mooney, 1995]. Finetti et al. [1982], LeMeur [1997] and Gallais et al. [2011] proposed as well that L4 represents a further Mesozoic sedimentary unit, which is supported by the imaged layered facies in their studies (compare Fig. 7c).

5.3 Nature of the lithosphere of the Ionian Abyssal Plain

Based on the results of our seismic modelling and the gravimetric modelling, we interpret the IAP to be of oceanic nature with a thickness of 6-7 km. Further south in the vicinity of the Medina seamounts, we observe a change in the characteristics of the crust towards a continental type of crust with a thickness of 9 km. We briefly resume the discussion from the introduction in the context of our results and we will concentrate on the lithosphere of the central IAP.

**Thinned continental crust VS oceanic crust:** In Fig. 4b we compare seismic velocity-depth profiles along profile DY-05 with a velocity-depth ensemble for magmatic crust [Grevemeyer et al., 2018], as well as with a velocity-depth ensemble for continental metamorphic crust [Christensen and Mooney, 1995] that includes extended continental crust. The seismic velocities within the basement gained from the final velocity model (Fig. 4a) plot into the velocity-depth field typical for oceanic crust, while being too fast for continental crust (Fig. 4b).

**Hyper extended continental crust VS oceanic crust:** Thinned or extended continental crust is slower than normal continental crust [Christensen and Mooney, 1995]. In a seismic refraction study in the Gulf of California [Lizzaralde et al., 2007], the authors showed that seismic velocities decrease as the continental crust becomes thinner towards the continent-ocean transition zone. Lower crust velocities reach 6.4 km/s, which is much slower than observed in the final velocity model along DY-05 (Fig. 4a).
In conclusion, based on seismic P-wave velocities, the lithosphere of the central IAP is interpreted to be of oceanic type. This is supported by the gravity modelling results presented in Figure 5. To continue the discussion we want to investigate if the oceanic domain is constructed of magmatic crust or serpentinised mantle.

**Serpentinised mantle VS magmatic crust:** In Figure 4b we show the velocity-depth ensemble for serpentinised mantle found in the Tyrrhenian Sea [Prada et al., 2016]. At shallow depth (~2 km into the basement) the profile fits both ensembles, for magmatic crust and serpentinised mantle. Based on seismic P-wave velocities only it is not possible to discriminate between upper crust and highly serpentinised mantle. However, at greater depth lower crust velocities typical for gabbro [Carlson and Miller, 2004] are observed. The data fit the ensemble for magmatic crust as observed by Grevemeyer et al. [2018] and we exclude to have serpentinised mantle on top of gabbroic crustal rocks.

We conclude that based on seismic P-wave velocities, the lithosphere of the central IAP comprises magmatic crust with a crustal thickness of 6-7 km (Fig. 5). Our gravity modelling along profile DY-05 (Fig. 5) shows a good fit assuming oceanic crust in the central and northern part of the profile with a possible change in crustal type towards the south and towards the extension of the Malta Escarpment (ME).

Previous and recent studies of the area are combined in Figure 8 to show the distribution of oceanic lithosphere in the Ionian Sea. In the following discussion we want to summarise previous works and compare them to our results:

- Hieke et al. [2003] presented an extensive discussion of previous work and argued that based on magnetic anomalies, missing heat flow anomalies, and the gravity data, the IAP is a thinned part of the African continental crust. We agree that the system seems to be in equilibrium regarding the heat flow, however, similar low values of 38-46 mW/M² are expected for very old oceanic crust as well [Sclater et al., 1980]. Our interpretation of a possible change in crustal type from the central IAP towards the Medina Seamounts and the extension of the ME is supported by new marine satellite gravity maps of the area [Sandwell et al., 2014]. We can identify the deep-seated ME as a transition zone from continental to oceanic type of crust, further south towards the Medina Seamounts.

- Similar to our findings, Makris et al. [1986] observed velocities up to 7.2 km/s resulting from oceanic crust. However, the authors could not exclude stretched continental crust with intruded upper mantle. The stations within the IAP failed and their profile could only be extended to the IAP based on shots within the IAP recorded at stations outside the IAP. This leaves their results with a high uncertainty in this portion of the profile. This lack of resolution was overcome by the design of profile DY-05 that has a dense shot (~140 m) and station (~7.6 km) spacing.

- Thinned continental crust in the IAP was interpreted by Finetti and Morelli [1973] and Finetti [1981; 1982] based on gravity data, however, they could not explain the gravity data without having mantle material that intruded into the crust. In a later work based on seismic MCS line CROP-M3, Finetti [2003] interpreted the crust east of the ME to be of oceanic nature, with a crystalline basement at 7 s to 8 s twt, which is, if converted into depth, similar to our findings. As part of the work of Polonia et al. [2011] the data were reprocessed and the authors could confirm the occurrence of oceanic crust east of the ME. During RV Meteor M111 cruise, wide-angle refraction seismic data (DY-01) were acquired along CROP-M3.
In this study the deeper crustal structures along the profile could be better resolved and the authors proved that oceanic crust has been subducted into the CA.

- de Voogd et al. [1992] analysed the ESP5 data from a 2-ship experiment in the vicinity of OBS502. We reach similar results for the shallow part of the models (Fig. 7b), while the deeper portions vary regarding the depth of the layers. The crustal thickness at ESP5 is about 8 km versus 6 km at OBS502 along profile DY-05. The lower crust has a similar thickness for both experiments. We observe a seismic mantle velocity of 7.8 km/s at the crust-mantle boundary that is increasing with depth in at least the upper 2 km below the Moho, while the studies of the ESP5 experiment [de Voogd et al., 1992] (Fig. 7b) and Makris et al. [1986] show constant mantle velocities of 8.1 km/s and 8.5 km/s.

- Sioni [1996] and Gallais et al. [2011] interpreted the high-amplitude reflector at 8 s twt (in the seismic line PrisMed01) as top of oceanic crust. The reflectors from OBS502 and PrisMed01 line show an ideal fit (Fig. 7c).

- Polonia et al. [2017] interpreted diapiric structures in the CA to be constructed of serpentine. In our study as well as in the seismic study from Dellong et al. [2018] we find no evidence for major serpentine structures neither as layers in the IAP lithosphere nor in the vicinity of fault systems in the CA. This does not rule out the findings of Polonia et al. [2017] for the CA region, where a fossil fracture zone might has been subducted providing serpentinised material to rise.

Based on the seismic velocities and the gravimetric modelling we interpret the IAP to be of oceanic nature. Further south in the vicinity of the Medina seamounts we observe a change in the characteristics of the crust towards a continental type crust.

Previous and recent studies of the area are combined in Figure 8 to show the distribution of oceanic lithosphere. In the following discussion we will concentrate on the crust of the central IAP:

M111 refraction seismic line DY-05 crosses the SE end of MCS profile CROP-M2 [Polonia et al., 2011] at OBH501 in the northern IAP (Fig. 1). Both studies show coinciding results down to the crystalline basement (Fig. 7a), which is the limit of resolution for the MCS data. Polonia et al. [2011] interpreted the crust as very old African oceanic crust. The seismic results of our study are as well in good agreement with the findings of Speranza et al. [2012]. They could fit the magnetic anomaly pattern of the Ionian Sea with models consisting of a 2 km thick oceanic crust layer 2A. In our modelling we did not distinguish between layer 2A and 2B, however, the total upper crustal thickness is estimated around 2-3 km.

Similar to our findings, Makris et al. [1986] observed velocities up to 7.2 km/s resulting from oceanic crust, however, stations within the IAP failed and their profile could only be extended to the IAP based on shots within the IAP recorded at stations outside the IAP, leaving results with a high uncertainty in this portion of the profile. Thus, the authors could not exclude stretched continental crust with intruded upper mantle. A similar hypothesis of thinned continental crust was published by Finetti and Morelli [1973] and Finetti [1981; 1982] based on gravity data. However, they could not explain the gravity data without intruded mantle material. Along the seismic profiles CROP-M23A and M3, Finetti [2003] interpreted crust east of the Malta Escarpment to be of oceanic nature, with a crystalline basement at 7 s to 8 s twt, which is in depth similar to our findings. Also Cernobori et al. [1996] observed the seismic Moho along ION6 (further north of DY-05) at 16 km depth, which coincides with our findings. They studied the northern end of the IAP using the multi-channel seismic line ION6, however, interpreted the IAP as thinned continental crust. Hieke et al. [2003] presented an extensive discussion of previous work and argued that
because of magnetic anomalies, missing heat flow anomalies, and the gravity data, the IAP is a thinned part of the African continental crust and Adria is then to be considered as an African promontory. We agree that the system seems to be in equilibrium regarding the heat flow, however, similar low values of 38–46 mW/m² are expected for very old oceanic crust as well [Selater et al., 1980]. Roure et al. [2012] refute that the Ionian Basin is composed of oceanic lithosphere and put this into the context of other areas nearby. The authors contradict Sioni [1996] and Gallais et al. [2011] in interpreting the high-amplitude reflector at 8 s twt (in the seismic line PrisMed01) as top of oceanic crust. However, the reflectors from OBS502 and PrisMed01 line show an ideal fit (Fig. 7c). The results of our study show that the seismic velocities are very typical for oceanic crust while being too fast for typical continental crust (Fig. 4). Our gravity modelling (Fig. 5) shows a good data fit assuming oceanic crust for the IAP with a change in crust towards the south and towards the extension of the Malta Escarpment.

We argue that the observed velocity gradients of the upper crust (high velocity gradient) and the lower crust (low velocity gradient) are typical for oceanic crust. The calculated velocities (Fig. 4) are rather typical for gabbroic rocks, which also applies to the densities found in the gravity forward modelling (Fig. 5). We observe a crustal thickness of ~6 km in the north and ~9 km at the southern end of the profile.

The seismic results of our study are in good agreement with the findings of De Voogd et al. [1992] who analysed the ESP5 data from a 2-ship experiment in the vicinity of OBS502. We receive similar results for the shallow part of the models (Fig. 7b), the deeper portions vary regarding the depth of the layers. The crustal thickness at ESP5 is about 8 km versus 6 km at OBS502 along profile DY-05. The lower crust has a similar thickness for both experiments. While along DY-05, the crystalline basement becomes slightly shallower towards south, the seismic Moho was found at ~15 km depth at the northern profile end, deepening to ~17 km depth at the southern profile end. We observed a seismic mantle velocity of 7.8 km/s increasing with depth, while the studies of the ESP5 experiment [De Voogd et al., 1992] (Fig. 7b) and Makris et al. [1986] show mantle velocities of 8.1 km/s and 8.5 km/s. However, both experiments recorded shots from only one side of the instruments leaving high velocity uncertainties for the deeper portions of their models.

The Crop-M3 profile [Polonia et al., 2011] crosses the Malta Escarpment further in the west of our study area. During RV Meteor M111 cruise, wide-angle refraction seismic data (DY-P1) were acquired along this profile, which were analysed by Dellong et al. [2018]. In a seismic travel time forward model, they observed continental crust west of the Malta Escarpment and oceanic type crust under the Calabrian accretionary wedge, supporting our findings for the IAP. Studying new marine satellite gravity maps of the area [Sandwell, 2014], we can identify the deep-seated Malta Escarpment as a transition zone from continental to oceanic type crust, further south towards the Medina Seamounts. This supports our seismic and gravimetric models that indicate a change in crust towards the south, towards the Medina Seamounts.

5.4 The oceanic IAP in the frame of plate tectonics

The geophysical characteristics, as for example seismic velocities, crustal thickness, densities (Figures 4 and 5), or heat flow, confirm the IAP to be oceanic lithosphere. The IAP consequently is a leftover of the Tethyan lithosphere and the Apulia/Adriatic domain and the Eastern Mediterranean Basin do not belong to the former southern continental margin of the
Tethys as suggested by Roure et al. [2012]. However, the isostatic equilibrium and magnetic anomalies exclude a sea-floor age younger than Late Triassic or Early Jurassic [de Voogd et al., 1992; Stampfli, 2000; Speranza et al., 2012]. The Apulian Escarpment in the N and the Malta Escarpment in the SW are bounding the Tethys basin and are most probably transform margins [Gallais et al., 2011; Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2011] and not conjugate passive margins [Catalano et al., 2001]. They are present as steep and high steps in the seafloor bathymetry, which is not expected at passive margins where sedimentation and erosion over a period of ~200 m.y. would overprint and smoothen the topography [Mosher et al., 2017]. Additionally, the ME and the AE as passive margins would require a plate boundary in NW-SE direction within the Ionian basin which was not observed so far. On the other hand, interpreting the ME and the AE as transform margins, a plate boundary would be expected in SW-NE direction, parallel to the observed deep reverse faults beneath the IAP [Gallais et al., 2011; Polonia et al., 2011] (Fig. 1) and could explain our results of the observed transition from oceanic crust towards continental crust in the vicinity of the Medina Seamounts (Fig. 4).

Oceanic lithosphere is considered to be rigid and shows only little deformation compared to continental lithosphere that is mechanically weak, especially when thinned [Polonia et al., 2016]. An IAP made of Tethyan lithosphere, due to its rigidity, would imply that the connection between Africa and Adria was rigid since the end of oceanic spreading in the Early Mesozoic. However, deformation within the IAP lithosphere was observed in seismic data by Gallais et al. [2011], Polonia et al. [2011] (Fig. 1), and in this study (Fig. 2). The deformation caused by contraction is possibly connected to subduction processes during Neogene times and subduction might be still active. The connection between Adria and Africa during Neogene times is consequently not particularly rigid [Gallais et al., 2011; Le Breton et al., 2017] as proposed earlier [e.g. Channell et al., 1979; Dewey et al., 1989] and Adria might move relative to Africa. This supports the hypothesis of an independent Adriatic microplate that was separated during the opening of the Tethys as proposed in reconstructions by Handy et al. [2010] and Ustaszewski et al. [2008].

6 Conclusions

Our new seismic velocity model images the deep subsurface of the Ionian Abyssal Plain in more detail than previous approaches. In addition, gravimetric modelling validates these findings. We interpret the layer directly above the crystalline basement, earlier interpreted as layer 2a, as a unit of seismically fast sediments, possibly carbonates of Mesozoic age. This is in agreement with the warm environment during the formation of the Tethys Ocean. Our data and the model indicate that the Ionian Abyssal Plain is underlain by oceanic crust with seismic velocities increasing with depth from 5.1 km/s to 7.2 km/s. The thickness of the crust in the Ionian Abyssal Plain is 6-7 km but thickens to ~9 km in the south, in the vicinity of the Medina Seamounts, which marks the southern boundary of the Ionian oceanic crust. At the crust-mantle boundary crustal seismic velocities decrease from 7.2 km/s in the north to 6.8 km/s in the south. This change in the crustal structure supports the interpretation of the Malta Escarpment as a transform margin, reactivated normal faults in SW-NE direction in the Ionian microplate, and a passive margin in the vicinity of the Medina Seamounts.
We interpret the layer above the crystalline basement, earlier interpreted as layer 2a, as a unit of seismically fast sediments, possibly carbonates. This is in agreement with the warm environment during the formation of the Tethys Ocean. Based on the seismic and gravimetric results the crust is interpreted to be oceanic. We consider the Ionian Abyssal Plain to be a remnant of the Tethys lithosphere. This supports the hypothesis that the Adria was a rigid promontory of Africa until the beginning of the opening of the Tethys in the Early Mesozoic. During the opening of Tethys, the Adriatic microplate was separated from Africa. Between the end of spreading in the Early Mesozoic and the formation of the Calabrian Arc in the Miocene the connection between Adria and Africa might have been rigid. However, at least in Neogene times, the Tethyan lithosphere was less rigid allowing the Adria microplate to move relative to Africa again. This places the African plate margin much further south than previously thought, to the Hellenic subduction zone and the Calabrian subduction zone, and supports an Adria microplate that can move relative to Africa and Europe. The oceanic lithosphere of the IAP and indications of active deformation of the Ionian crust contradict a ‘rigid’ connection to Africa as a thinned part of the African continental crust. The crust is oceanic, thus, we consider the IAP to be a remnant of the Tethys lithosphere formed during the Permian and Triassic Period as suggested by Speranza et al. [2012], which places the African margin much further south than previously thought. Previous and recent studies of the area are combined in Figure 8 to show the distribution of oceanic lithosphere.

7 Acknowledgements

The cruise M111 of RV Meteor was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG with additional support from GEOMAR. Our special thanks go to the captain and crew of RV Meteor for their excellent support at sea. We thank Alina Polonia and Eline Le Breton for their detailed and helpful reviews to improve the manuscript.

References


Figure 1: Map of the study area showing the location of the OBS profile DY-05 (red line) and the OBS locations (yellow dots). White lines present MCS data [Gallais et al., 2011, 2012; Polonia et al., 2011; 2011; 2012; Gutscher et al., 2017]. The green star marks the location of the ESP5 [De Voogd et al., 1992] and the location of DSDP Site 347 [Cita et al., 1978; Hsü et al., 1978] next to it. The ESP shotline is indicated by the green dashed line. Black lines indicate thrust faults [Gallais et al., 2011]. The black and white map shows the regional structures around the study area: AE…Apulian Escarpment, CA…Calabrian Arc, IAP…Ionian-Abyssal Plain, CSZ…Calabrian subduction zone, HSZ…Hellenic subduction zone, WMR…Western Mediterranean Ridge, CA…Calabrian Arc, IAP…Ionian Abyssal Plain, MS…Medina seamounts, ME…Malta Escarpment, MHP…Malta-Hyblean platform, MS…Medina seamounts, LP…Libyan platform, WMR…Western Mediterranean Ridge.
Figure 2: Stacked and band pass filtered (f=20,30,80,120 Hz) multi-channel data (MCS) along profile DY-05. Yellow triangles mark the OBS locations along the MCS profile. Green bar marks the projected location of DSDP site 374 on the profile DY-05.
Figure 3: Seismic record sections of OBH501 and OBS508. Upper panel shows processed data. The middle panel shows the travel time picks used for the forward modelling (please refer to phase definitions in the text). Calculated travel times from the final model are displayed in the lower panel. Data are plotted with reduced time of 8 km/s.
Figure 4: (a) Final velocity model for profile DY-05, gained from forward modelling. (b) Velocity-depth functions (red, blue, green, and orange) starting at the basement, extracted from the final velocity model every 10 km, according to the coloured sections. Observed velocities are compared to oceanic crust of similar age (red grey area ensemble) [White Grevemeyer et al., 1992, 2018], and continental crust (black line blue ensemble) [Christensen and Mooney, 1995], and serpentini-sed mantle (dark grey ensemble) found in the Tyrrhenian Sea [Prada et al., 2016]. MS…Medina Seamounts.
Figure 5: (a) The upper panel shows the density distribution calculated for profile DY-05 based on seismic velocities and minor adjustments to fit the observed FAA in the lower panel. (b) Case study for the local influence of the Medina Seamounts to the model response. (c) Case study for oceanic crust over the entire profile length. Black dashed line shows the residuum of the observed and calculated data.
Figure 6: (a) Synthetic seismogram of OBH501 based on the final velocity model. (b) Original band pass filtered seismogram of OBH501.
Figure 7: Comparison of the data and the final velocity model from this study (OBH501, OBH503, OBS504) with previous studies: (a) CROP-M2 [Polonia et al., 2011], (b) ESP5 [de Voogd et al. 1992], and (c) PrisMed01 [Gallais et al., 2011]. L1-L7 same layer convention as in the final velocity model. Abbreviations in (b): W…Water, P+Q…Pliocene and Quaternary sediments, MS…Messinian sediments, Pre-M…Pre-Messinian sediments, UC…Upper crust, LC…Lower crust.
Figure 8: Dark blue marks presence of oceanic lithosphere below the seafloor in the Ionian Abyssal plain (undeformed portion) as determined by seismic studies. Light blue areas mark the extent of buried oceanic lithosphere below adjacent accretionary wedges as determined by seismic studies. Profile 1-5: M11; profile 6: Makris et al. [1986] and Finetti [2003] (part of Crop-M23A); profiles 7-8: IMERSE profiles [Freuehn et al., 2001; Westbrook and Reston, 2002; Reston et al., 2002]; profiles 9 and 10: ION6 [Cernobori et al., 1996] and ION7 [Kokinou et al., 2003]. AE… Apulian Escarpment. Further abbreviations are explained in Figure 1.