Solid Earth Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2018-28-RC3, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



SED

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Generating porosity during olivine carbonation via dissolution channels and expansion cracks" by Tiange Xing et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 25 May 2018

The manuscript presents experimental results for carbonation of olivine in 4D, three spatial dimensions plus time. It is a follow-up on a previous paper from the same group (Zhu et al., 2016), and provides both some additional data on the experiment in the previous paper and results from a new experiment using a coarser-grained initial material. There is not much 4D data on such processes available in the literature, which makes this a topic that is suitable for publication, within the scope of the journal, and will attract a lot of attention. The paper is also well written and fairly easy to read, although there are some misprints.

However, in my opinion the authors are spending too much time on repeating state-





ments and data that is already present in Zhu et al. (2016). Of course some background information from the previous paper needs to be included, but quite large parts of the text can be removed and replaced with a reference to Zhu et al., and perhaps more importantly, repeated background information should be clearly marked as being repeated, to avoid giving the fake impression of being new data presented in this manuscript. Furthermore, there is not that much information about the new coarsegrained experiment, and it would be a lot more interesting to see some more details about the differences between the fine-grained and coarse-grained experiments instead of an extended discussion of the crack patterns presented by Zhu et al. Thus, I recommend a major revision where the authors should reduce the amount of repeated data. In the following I firstly give my recommendations for what should be removed, and suggest some other data that could be included. Then I list a few major concerns, followed by some minor comments and a list of misprints.

Remove or add

- Section 3.2 is mainly repeated from Zhu et al., and should be shortened significantly. There is no need to repeat the entire description of the formed cracks and cemented patches, since the interested reader can look up Zhu et al. instead. Figure 7 is a direct repetition from Zhu et al., but I admit that this might be useful to include as background. The grey value distribution in figure 8 is also included in Zhu et al., although in the supplementary material and without the best fit representation. If the authors include the same type of analysis on the coarse-grained experiment, this would be interesting, but without it I don't see much value in the figure. In the end of the section the authors estimate the expansion, something Zhu et al. didn't do, apart from noting that the material expanded. It is fine to include this number here, but it would be more interesting with a similar number from the coarse-grained experiment. The expansion might be zero in that case, but if so it should be stated clearly. Furthermore, is it possible to extract expansion as function of time from the data? That might be interesting.

- Section 3.4 would be more useful if it compared data from the fine-grained experiment

SED

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



with similar data from the coarse-grained experiment. As it is, this section is mainly a more verbose version of what is already written by Zhu et al., with a few additional estimates of growth rate.

- Section 4.2 is a large chunk of the discussion, and mainly repeats stuff from Zhu et al., and most of it can be removed. Also, figure 13 can safely be removed. Although it shows 3D data and Zhu et al. only presented a 2D plot, the data here is hard to interpret and only discussed in context of 2D porosity distribution. Thus, it has little value. A few comparisons between the two experiments might be interesting, but not much more.

Major concerns

- In figure 6, it is stated that the linear feature in the coarse-grained aggregate is caused by dissolution. It is not at all clear to me why dissolution would cause such a linear structure. In a flow-through experiment you might expect some sort of wormholing, but this can hardly be relevant here. Rather, I would assume that what is shown is a single axial crack, with secondary dissolution of the crack faces. If the authors really think the structure is just caused by dissolution, they have some explaining to do as to why this ends up being linear. Some data on formation of this crack-like structure in time and perhaps tracking of grains at each surface of the structure might help. Now this is just guessing, but I think such a structure might be caused by a crack if you have less, but non-zero, volume expansion in the coarse-grained aggregate. Higher volume change would naturally then lead to a denser crack pattern. Of course there is some dissolution going on, but I have a hard time understanding why it would organize itself as a crack.

- I do not believe that the "expansion cracks via stretching" mechanism is a reasonable full explanation of the cracks. On lines 231–233 the authors state that grains in the center of the cup wall move apart. Now, these grains were initially bonded mechanically. How can they separate if these bonds are not broken during the process?

SED

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Clearly they must be. This might be caused by some sort of dissolution-precipitation creep or by reaction induced cracking. I guess it would be difficult to tell the difference based on the available data, but to me it seems likely that these bonds are at least partly cracked, before the crack is recemented by the reaction products. Thus, there might be dense, invisible cracking in the center of the cup wall, while the effect of this cracking and expansion in the wall center is a less dense crack pattern on the outside of the cup wall. A rock simply cannot expand in a chemical process that involves dissolution of the base material and precipitation of some product unless bonds between the initial grains are broken. Uneven heating of a rock would cause something like the situation presented in figure 14, with the yellow part being warmer than the green, but in a chemical process there has to be some deformation in the reacted part.

Minor comments

Generally, please state more clearly in figure captions whether results are from the fine-grained or coarse-grained experiment.

Line 179: A description of the color scale used would be helpful, e.g. something like "... where X represents black, and Y is white".

Lines 264–266: Here, it is noted that there is evidence for hierarchical fracturing within the olivine grains. Later, it is peculiarly written on lines 333–335 that there is no evidence of cracks in olivine grains. This is at best sloppy. Furthermore, why are these cracks forming, if not by the very reaction induced cracking that the authors claim is not observed?

Lines 278–288, and figure 12: It would be interesting to see plotted the individual volume change of the olivine grain and the formed precipitates. I would also suggest changing the figure a bit, it is hard to see the structure of the precipitated material. Something closer to figure 5 in Zhu et al. would be better.

Figure 1: The text "Reacted" is extremely hard to see, please consider changing the

SED

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



color.

Figure 5: Why is the dissolution or crack always in the lower right corner?

Figure 9a: I'm unable to interpret the fracture network, please consider reworking the figure a bit and perhaps include a view from different angles.

Misprints

Line 38: reaction -> reactions

Line 92: system -> systems

Line 131 (and other places): x-ray -> X-ray

Line 154: images -> image

Line 206: shown -> shows

Line 232: gains -> grains

Line 242: alone -> along

Line 251: appeared -> appearing

Line 255 and figure 14 caption: none-uniform -> non-uniform

Figure 1: crystalization -> crystallization

References:

Zhu, Wenlu; Fusseis, Florian; Lisabeth, Harrison; Xing, Tiange; Xiao, Xianghui; De Andrade, Vincent; Karato, Shun-ichiro (2016), Experimental evidence of reaction-induced fracturing during olivine carbonation, Geophys. Res. Lett. 43 (18), pages 9535–9543

SED

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version





Interactive comment on Solid Earth Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2018-28, 2018.