Dear Florian,

We would like to thank you for your comments and suggestions. The paper is entirely revised and benefitted greatly from your contribution. Given your comments about porosity changes in a dynamic way and the suggestion to highlight different pore forming and sealing processes, we also made a small change in the title. Please find below our detailed author response followed by the track changes.

We are looking forward to your response.

Best regards, Vénice

Author comments and changes

Editor: Florian Fusseis, 23.08.2018

Structure of this document:

-In black editor comments: Page and line numbers refer to document with editor's comments: "se-2018-47-comments-to-author.pdf''

-In blue author comments

Page 9

-line 16-18 Revise for logic and aim at understanding

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 19-21 lacks logic, please revise.

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 21 lower case?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor throughout the entire document.

-line 22 lower case?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor, throughout the entire document.

-line 23 ? high resolution SEM images?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 24 why?

-The veins indicate "paleo-porosity" (paleo-fluidpathways), this is now added to the text.

-line 29 either due to the effect

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 30 or being artificially induced

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

1

-line 36 in the surface…building industry

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 38 in?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 38 and localise

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

Page 10

-line 3-4 is a key

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 6 path, evolving

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 7 path, eventually

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 15-16 is this not implicit from the first half of the sentence?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 16 second half of the sentence missing?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 18-19 revise

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 20 I think it might significantly strengthen the manuscript if you had a paragraph here that outlines in some detail what kind of primary and secondary porosity you expect in your samples. What are the processes that explicitely produce (and destroy) porosity?

-We added some lines about what produces and seals porosity with references in the introduction section.

-line 25-26 You have already introduced these acronyms earlier.

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 27 and the subsequent quantification of porosity (in 2D) from these images...

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 28 Should you not also, just for the sake of completeness, cite papers that apply nano-tomography and FIB-tomography (e.g., Keller et al., 2013, Microporous and Mesoporous Materials 170, 83–94).

-We added this reference now to the manuscript.

-line 31 These acronyms you haven't introduced.

-These acronyms are now added to the text.

-line 32 You have applied - it is a completed study.

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 32 You haven't really defined a "workflow" yet. You have described two complimentary techniques.

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 33 exhibit a more anisotropic microstructure?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 35 Contribution to what? Couldn't you just say "microfractures play an important role..."?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 36 formed already at depth?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

Page 11

-line 10-11 Revise? E.g. The lower greenschist-facies metamorphic conditions in the thrust wedge relate to an overburden of 8-12 km.

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 14 bedding-parallel

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 15 delete "behaviour"

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 18 ,

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 19 ,

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 22 an

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 22 delete

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 24 is this very relevant here?

-This information is relevant because it explains with what method the temperatures are constrained.

-line 25 Does this statement still hold if deformation is heterogeneous on the scale of the accretionary wedge?

-The upscaling of fractures to the scale of the accretionary wedge is a really interesting task. How do fractures connect and what are the large-scale fluid paths. This topic, however, is out of the scope of the current manuscript and we are currently trying to emphasize the identification and quantification of fluid pathways by mapping vein arrays at different scales.

-line 30 delete?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 31 Could you include a brief statement as to what information exactly He pycnometry returns?

-It is based on Boyle's law and used to measure volume. This information including a reference is now added to the text.

-line 32 specimens?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 32 delete?

-line 33 a perfectly

-line 33 detemined

-line 35 using an

-line 35 Accuracy of the measurements?

Page 12

-line 1-2 How can this accuracy be reconciled with the 0.5% in line 15 below?

-These are two different things: the machine (he-pycnometer) yields an analytical error of 0.02-0.2 vol%. Because of the heterogeneity in our samples (e.g.clay (mica)/silt layers, we are not able to reproduce our data with such a small analytical errors. Therefore, we set the error to 0.5vol% based on the pycnometric measurements of several disks (average of several individual disks vs measuring a set of adjacent disks). This is all explained in the text.

-line 2 as the small atomic radius allows it to percolate through even the smallest pores. How do you know that the smallest pores are 1 nm?

-We don't know exactly what the lower limit is, therefore we remove this information from the text.

-line 3 down to

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 4 disks?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 4-6 This sentence is unclear, please rephrase.

-We revised these lines.

-line 13 duplicate?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 17 You have introduced this acronym above.

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 20 had

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 27-28 Watch tenses here - are these general assumptions made when using MIP? Then present tense should be appropriate. It is assumed that ... remaine filled... second intrusion the pores are successively filled...

-this issue is now fixed.

Page 13

-line 2 delete

-line 4 delete

-line 6 , Virgo et al., 2016)

5

-line 6 delete

-line 7 The Petroscan TileViewer software, which allows changing the ..., was used to interrogate the dataset

10

-line 13-14 I don't quite understand how you can examine the effect of mechanical polishing once you ion-polished the surfaces. Wouldn't you examine the former before the latter?

-First we analysed the mechanical poslished thin sections and in a second step we used the ion polisher. This information is now added to the text.

15 -line 14 circular areas with a diameter of 2.6 cm.

-line 18 to

-line 19 ?

20

-line 21-22 Should this sentence come in line 17 after "sample material"?

-line 23 the high quality allows microstructural imaging

25 -line 23 to the nm-scale

-line 24 ...of samples prepared with different techniques...

-line 33 Image mosaics () consisting of ten to fifteen images were acquired...

30

Page 14

-line 1 Unclear, did you acquire two or three mosaics. Please revise.

-line 1 I presume the REA with respect to the pore distribution?

35 -yes, with respect to the pore distribution. This information is now added to the text.

-line 2 Not sure if "hereby" is appropriate here, why not combine with the previous sentence?

-line 4 Define a significant variation.

-We revised these lines.

-line 4 box area?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

5 -line 6-7 What does this mean?

-We added information about how a heterogeneous microstructure (presence of fossils e.g.) increases the size of the REA.

-line 7 analysis is presented, or analyses are presented

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

10 -line 7 from

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 10 delete

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 10 artefacts from dust or charging effects.

15 -Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 11 Why did you not choose a more sophisticated algorithm? Fiji offers several advanced techniques, including machine learning.

-We have chosen for manual segmentation as it is indicated in Houben and Urai, 2013 as a reliable method for segmentation of pores.

20 -line 14 ratio of the minor axis over the major axis. Pores with ratios of < 0.2 were considered...

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 14-18 Please revise, this is unnecessarily convoluted.

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 18 Data from two or three mosaics?

25 -Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 20 What do you mean by "behave"?

- we deleted this information, it seems unnecessary and confusing for the reader.

-line 21 mechanically

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

30 -line 29 to

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 32 exhibits

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 35 is characterised by

35 -Revised as proposed by the Editor.

Page 15

-line 1 as indicated

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 3 determined by

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 4 bedding-perpendicular

5   -Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 6 parallel to the bedding

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 8 delete

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

10   -line 12 their

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 16 delete

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 17 mechanically

15   -Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 17 has shown that

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 18 that these analyses yield is

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

20   -line 18 So, have you used mechanically polished sections at all?

-yes, only useful for the contribution of microfractures! See results in Fig. 11

-line 19 delete, repetition

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 25 .

25   -Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 26 retain

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 31 pyrite is precipitated in addition to...

-we removed the word 'also'. Only pyrite precipitated in these fractures.

30   -line 31 As oposed to? Are the macrofractures all non-geological in origin?

-No, not all macrofractures have a non-geological origin. Generally if the veins are empty, i.e. show no mineral infill, they can be of non-geological origin. However, if they are veins or at least fractures partially filled by mineral precipitates they must be of geological origin. That's why we made this statement here, but it does not imply that all macrofractures have a non-geological origin. We included

35   in the methodology: section 3.2.1, that artificial porosity includes fractures.

Page 16

-line 13-14 contribute most

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 15 plural vs singular

-This issue is now fixed.

-line 16 You defined this further up already.

-This information we deleted here.

-line 16 delete

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 25 Figure 12 doesn't really show a pore size (i.e. volume?) distribution, but a distribution of pore radii and fracture apertures.

-We changed pore size distributions to pore radii and fracture aperture distributions. This information is also changed in the figure caption (fig. 12).

-line 27 magnitude?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 28 of

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 31-32 Could you plot the temperatures next to the sample labels?

-We now added the temperatures to the labels in Fig. 11

-line 33 rephrase? Is this not better placed in the discussion/interpretation section of the paper?

-Yes, we delete this information here. In the discussion: page 10 line 37 similar information is already provided: "However, local variations in mineralogy and strain influence the porosity…".

-line 34 a

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 36 Link these two sentences with a comma.

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 36 ,

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

Page 17

-line 5 different types of porosity?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 32 to?

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 33 as seen by their relatively high contribution to

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 34 unclear, please rephrase

-We rephrased these lines.

Page 18

-line 1 indicating that

-line 2 ...range it can analyse,

-line 4 link the two sentences? comprising primary sedimentological variations, such as clay layers alternating with silt-rich...

-line 6 REV vs REA

-bulk rock measurements are on plugs (volume) so there is only a REV.

-line 10 MIP-measured porosities

-line 14 delete

-line 18 to cannot be resolved with an SEM

-line 20 ,

-line 20 ,

-line 21-22 repetition from pressure solution seams?

-Yes, we deleted this information as proposed by the Editor.

-line 23-24 contributor

-line 29 delete

-line 30 Image analysis is not a threshold but helps you derive one. Rephrase please.

-line 37 (such as particulate flow)

Page 19

-line 14 While pressure solution generally reduces porosity, pores are formed by dissolution in and around...

-line 15-16 far less than the amount of porosity destroyed by

-line 21 exhibits

-line 22 suggests

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 27 bedding-parallel

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

5   -line 30-31 clearly evidencing a synmetamorphic process.

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 31 The respective porosity values

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 33 a break here?

10   -Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 34-35 what does this mean?

-we changed the word dominance to formation of microfractures.

-line 39 of what, pores?

-We removed the information about sealing pores here and bring it to the introduction. Where we now

15   included some lines about what processes produce and seal porosity, as suggested by the Editor.

-line 39 Do you see this in your samples?

-We don't see this in our samples. We move this information to the introduction where we now included

some lines about what produces and seals porosity.

20   **Page 20**

-line 5 fracturing as such is not a "surface process".

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 5 surface-collected

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

25   -line 13 form, appearing

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 14 delete

-Revised as proposed by the Editor.

-line 32 rephrase

30   -this sentence is now rephrased.

-line 32-34 I agree with this statement - given that, what of the porosity you see is actually representative

of the dynamic porosity during tectonometamorphism?

-we included a small statement that porosity formed by surface and artificial processes is excluded.

-line 35 that was developed on shales

35   -Revised as proposed by the Editor.

Comments on figures

-Figure 6 Why different units?

-We now added the same units for both graphs.

Multiscale porosity ~~estimates~~changes along the pro- and retrograde deformation path: an example from Alpine slates

Ismay Vénice Akker[1], Josef Kaufmann[2], Guillaume Desbois[3], Jop Klaver[4], Janos L. Urai[3], Alfons Berger[1], Marco Herwegh[1]

[1]Institute of Geological Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, CH-3012, Switzerland
[2]Empa, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Testing and Research, Dübendorf, CH-8600, Switzerland
[3]Structural Geology, Tectonics and Geomechanics, Energy and Mineral Resources Group, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, 52056, Germany
[4]Structural Geology, Tectonics and Geomechanics, Energy and Mineral Resources Group, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, 52056, Germany & Map Microstructures and Pores GmbH, Aachen, 52056, Germany

Correspondence to: Ismay Vénice Akker (ismay.akker@geo.unibe.ch)

Abstract. Estimating porosity of slates is of great interest for the industries dealing with the underground such as CO_2 sequestration, nuclear waste disposal and shale gas but also for engineering purposes in terms of mechanical stability for underground or surface constructions. In this study, we aim at understanding estimates of porosity of slates from the Infrahelvetic Flysch Units (IFU) in the Glarus Alps (eastern Switzerland~~) and their changes in porosity.~~). Surface and sub-surface samples were collected along a temperature gradient from 200 to 320 °C and give therefore the opportunity to link pore types along ~~the~~ this temperature and deformation path~~ and to~~. In addition, we indicate which porosity is the effect of surface processes ~~or~~and indicate the contribution of artificially induced porosity. The developed workflow consists of a combination of bulk rock measurements including ~~Helium~~helium pycnometry (He-pycnometry) and ~~Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry~~mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP) with image analysis. Image analysis was performed ~~on~~with high ~~scale~~resolution ~~with~~ Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) on Broad Ion Beam (BIB) prepared cross sections (BIB-SEM). Different vein generations give evidence for porosity formation at depth~~.~~, as they present 'paleo-porosity'. Towards peak metamorphic conditions (prograde path) porosity reduces to <1 vol%, indicated by matrix porosity detected by BIB-SEM. During exhumation (retrograde path) porosity increases due to the formation of microfractures interpreted as the effect of unloading (open fractures). At the surface, porosity is further increased due to the formation of macrofractures (fracture apertures up to 1 mm), which are interpreted ~~to be~~either due to the effect of weathering processes such as freeze and thaw cycles or being artificially induced by sample preparation. Additionally, porosity and pore morphology are strongly dependent on mineralogy, sample homogeneity and strain, which change dynamically in time and space.

1. Introduction

Slates as representatives of sheet-silicate-rich rocks delineate a fine-grained anisotropic microstructure and are common low-grade metamorphic rocks. These rock types play an important role in the industry of underground storage, such as nuclear waste disposal and geological carbon sequestration (e.g. Loon, 2008; Thury and Bossart, 1999) but are also of importance in the surface and subsurface building industry because of the low mechanical strength and associated geotechnical problems (e.g. Blümling et al., 2007). Moreover, slates are crucial ~~during~~in mountain building processes, since they can act as a mechanically weak phase ~~as they~~and localise large amounts

12

of strain in low- to very low-grade metamorphic domains (e.g. Labaume et al., 1997; Milliken and Reed, 2010; Warr et al., 2014). Slates also act as important fluid sources, liberating water with progressive compaction and metamorphic reaction (e.g. Dielforder et al., 2015; Rieke and Chilingarian, 1974). The pore network defines the major fluid pathways and detailed investigation of microstructural porosity would beis a key to better understand the fluid flux and circulation of fluids in collisional orogens and orogenic wedges.

The porosity of a rock develops along the deformation path and with changing *PT* conditions. A possible evolution starts with early compaction and diagenesis on the prograde path, evolving to maximum burial, followed by metamorphism and possibly tectonic deformation on the retrograde path, eventually resulting in surface exposure (Horseman et al., 1996). Porosity is produced by processes such as grain dilation, grain boundary sliding, cavity formation and dissolution (Herwegh and Jenni, 2001; Fusseis et al., 2009; Gilgannon et al., 2017) and porosity is sealed by grain size reduction, compaction, and precipitation, as observed for example in clay fault gauges (Holland and Urai, 2006; Laurich et al., 2014).

The first aim of this study is to give an estimate of the total present-day porosity of a slate, which underwent this full deformation-metamorphic cycle. The second goal is to find the meaning of this present-day total porosity in terms of which processes along the evolution path account for what type of porosity.

For this study, we focus on surface collected slates from the Infrahelvetic Flysch Units (IFU) in the Glarus Alps (eastern Switzerland), which are affected by large out-of-sequence thrusts, such as the Glarus thrust (e.g. Badertscher et al., 2002; Burkhard et al., 1992; Ebert et al., 2007; Herwegh et al., 2008; Pfiffner et al., 2011; Poulet et al., 2014; Rahn and Grasemann, 1999; von Daeniken and Frehner, 2017). This specific area is of interest because it covers a temperature gradient, which positively correlates with a background strain gradient, directly related to the metamorphic conditions. With tectonic. Tectonic processes changingchange along this gradient from soft sediment behaviour including particulate flow, at the lowest temperatures, to pressure solution at higher temperatures (Dielforder et al., 2016). Investigating porosity over this gradient would give insight in the evolution of porosity at geological conditions.an opportunity to link different pore types to such specific tectonic processes.

A variety of methods have been developed over the past decades to determine porosity, pore morphology and pore size distribution, each having a characteristic pore size range (Anovitz and Cole, 2015; Busch et al., 2017). Some common direct approaches include gas expansion techniques such as helium pycnometry (He-pycnometry) and Mercury Intrusion Porosimetrymercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP) (Anovitz and Cole, 2015; Tiab and Donaldson, 2015). These techniques both yield information on the bulk-interconnected porosity in 3D volumes. Recent developments of surface preparation based ion beam milling (Focused Ion Beam (FIB) and Broad Ion Beam (BIB)) allow imaging porosity down to nanometer scale on an almost perfectly flat surface with a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM).. These images are suitable for high quality image segmentation and the subsequent quantification of porosity in 2D (e.g. Houben et al., 2013, Keller et al., 2013b; Klaver et al., 2012).

Porosity estimates obtained with bulk rock measurements yield information of large sample volumes but remain unresolved in terms of contribution of different pore morphologies. Therefore, most studies use a combination of bulk rock measurements with image analysis (using He-pycnometry: e.g. Houben et al., 2016;; Yang et al., 2016 and MIP: e.g. Hemes et al., 2013; Klaver et al., 2012, 2015 and MIP, spectral induced polarization (SIP,), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR,), micro computed tomography (µ-CT;): Zhang et al., 2018). Most of this research is focused on shales or clay(stones). In this study, we aim to apply such a workflowapplied these two complimentary

techniques to slates. In contrast to shales, slates show an enhanced coehsion, higher rock strength and ~~define~~exhibit a ~~stronger~~more anisotropic microstructure due to increased metamorphism, deformation and dehydration. Particularly because of the latter, ~~the contribution of~~ (micro)fractures ~~plays~~play an important role as fluid pathways in these slate samples. We aim to find out if such fractures result only from surface processes or ~~are~~formed already ~~formed~~ at depth. In addition, there is a strong contrast in porosity between samples collected from subsurface drillcores and surface outcrops in terms of the effect of unloading due to stress changes during exhumation. Finally it is necessary to distinguish original porosity from artificially induced porosity, as the porosity of the delicate slate samples is likely affected by sample collection and preparation.

2. Samples and geological background

Slates were selected from the IFU in the Glarus Alps in eastern Switzerland (Fig. 1a). The flysch units are deposited in the underfilled Northern Alpine Foreland Basin and accreted in-sequence to the accretionary wedge during subduction of the European margin (Lihou, 1996). The IFU consists of the North Helvetic flysch (NHF), which is overthrusted by the South Helvetic flysch and Ultrahelvetic flysch, the latter including the Sardona and Blattengrat unit. The names of the flysch units refer directly to the paleographic realm in which they were deposited (Lihou, 1996). The Ultrahelvetic flysch is itself overthrusted by the Subhelvetic units and the Helvetic nappes along the Glarus thrust (Pfiffner, 1986; Schmid, 1975; Trümpy, 1969). ~~In this thrust wedge the overburden of the flysch relates to 8-12 km corresponding to~~The lower greenschist-facies metamorphic conditions in the thrust wedge relate to an overburden of 8-12 km (Frey et al., 1980; Lihou, 1996; Rahn et al., 1995).

The flysch units include heterogeneous end-members from volcano-clastic, turbiditic sandstones and dark slates (Fig. 1b; Siegenthaler, 1974). These rocks show indications, such as folding of bedding–parallel calcite veins, of soft sediment deformation ~~behaviour~~ (Dielforder et al., 2016). Late Cretaceous limestones and marls are indicative for the Sardona and Blattengrat unit, which occur towards the south of the study area (Fig. 1c; Bisig, 1957; Leupold, 1942; Lihou 1995; Trümpy, 1969).

The compositions of the slates from the flysch units are heterogeneous, comprising layered mineralogical variations owing to turbiditic sedimentation processes. In this study, we focus on the clay-(mica)-rich layers, excluding sandy layers from our analysis. We collected eight samples from the flysch between the village "Weesen" in the north and the locality "Flims" in the south (Fig. 1a). All samples are from surface outcrops, except for samples D and E, which are from ~~the~~an abandoned sub-surface slate mine ~~(=~~(Landesplattenberg). The N-S sample profile across the Flysch units covers a change in peak metamorphic conditions from 200 °C in the north to 320 °C in the south determined by calcite/dolomite thermometry and Raman spectroscopy of carbonaceous material (Ebert et al., 2007; Lahfid et al., 2010). This gradient correlates to a strain gradient, as the most southern units were subducted to the deepest levels prior to in-sequence imbricate stacking.

3. Analytical techniques

3.1 Bulk rock porosity

3.1.1 Helium pycnometry ~~(=He-pycnometry)~~

He-pycnometry is a gas expansion technique based on Boyle's law to measure volume (Anovitz and Cole, 2015).

He-pycnometry was performed on oven dried (115 °C) plugs with a 2.5 cm diameter and geometrical volumes >5

cm^3 (Fig. 2). Plugs were drilled in the hand ~~samples~~specimens (Fig. 3a) and ~~after drilling~~ the flat surfaces of the plugs were polished to obtain ~~the best~~a perfectly cylindrical shape. The geometrical volume was ~~defined~~determined by an average of 6 calliper measurements of the height and 4 measurements of the diameter of the plug. Pycnometric density measurements were obtained ~~from~~using an AccuPyc 1330 pycnometer. ~~Performance~~Accuracy of the ~~machine~~measurements is related to sample quantity and cell size. The accuracy of the porosity measurements of the machine falls between 0.02 vol% and 0.1 vol% (Viana et al., 2002). Helium was used as specific gas as its small radius of the atoms were assumed to percolate the sample volume ~~until~~down to the smallest pore sizes ~~(1 nm).~~. Drilling of plugs resulted in some cases in splitting of the rock along the foliation planes, resulting in several thin cylindrical ~~plugs~~disks (Fig. 3b-c). ~~To~~In the cases where the volume of one disk was <10% of the sample chamber, several disks of adjacent sample material were analysed simultaneously. This to increase the total sample quantity and reduce the analytical error~~, these samples (<10 % of the sample chamber) were analysed simultaneously.~~. When the plug volume exceeded 10 vol% of the sample volume chamber, an average of two adjacent plugs was taken. The amount of purges was set to ten and the connected porosity was calculated using Eq. (1).

$$\text{connected porosity} = 1 - \frac{\text{bulk density}}{\text{grain density}}$$

For He-pycnometry, the sample volume has shown to effect the measurements, with larger sample volumes resulting in lower porosity (Table S1). ~~Duplo~~Duplicate measurements yield absolute differences of about 0.03–0.07 vol%. The difference between measuring different layers, several cylinders simultaneously, compared to an average of separate layers yields a maximum difference of about 0.5 %. Therefore, the error, used as the standard deviation of the different measurements, was set to 0.5 vol%.

3.1.2 Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry ~~(=MIP)~~

MIP was applied on oven dried (115 °C) sample volumes of clay(mica)-rich layers taken from the close vicinity of the plugs used for He-pycnometry measurements. Sample material was cut in wet conditions, using water, by means of a diamond saw so that the measured pieces ~~have~~had a 4–5 mm edge length. In one measurement, three to five pieces were analysed.

The analyses were performed using Thermoelectron Pascal 140/440 equipment. In a first step, mercury was intruded to a maximum pressure of 200 kPa. Then the sample was moved to the Pascal 440 machine for high-pressure analysis up to 200 MPa. Pore sizes were calculated using Washburn's equation (Washburn, 1921). For the analyses, a cylindric pore shape with a contact angle of 140° and a surface tension of mercury of 0.48 N/m porosimetry was assumed leading to intruding a minimal pore radius of 3.7 nm.

MIP was performed in a multi cycle experiment, including two full injection and extrusion cycles. ~~Assumed~~It is assumed that ink-bottle type pores remain filled after first intrusion and that in a second intrusion the pores ~~were~~are successively filled with mercury (e.g. big pores followed by smaller pores). The first cycle indicates the complete pore volume, the second cycle only the connected pores, which include the small pore fraction (Fig. 4). Subtraction of the second cycle from the first cycle gives the size of the neck pore entrances at which the 'ink-bottle type pores' become filled (Kaufmann, 2010; Kaufmann et al., 2009). All errors of this technique fall into the 5 vol% range.

3.2 High resolution imaging techniques

At first, aA regular petrographic light microscope was used to examine the petrography and microstructure of the samples. In addition, a Virtual Petrography (ViP) dataset was created from a subset of thin sections at the GED institute RWTH Aachen. Such a dataset was created using an automated petrographic microscope, which under various polarization and illumination conditions (parallel polarized and crossed polarized) digitises complete thin sections at high resolution (e.g. 45.000 x 30.000 pixel maps for a thin section of 3x2 cm (, Virgo et al., 2016)).). The scanned thin sections can be viewed with Petroscan TileViewer andsoftware, which allows changing the polarization angle digitally from the interpolated layers, was used to interrogate the dataset. Such an environment works as a 'digital microscope' in which it is possible to directly select microstructural domains of interest. For this study, we selected only areas from clay (mica)-rich intervals and excluded large-scale fractures (Fig. 3d-e).

3.2.1 Ion polishing sample preparation

To examine the effect ofdifferences between mechanical polishing and ion polishing of thin sections in terms of induced artificial porosity, such as fractures, first mechanical polished thin sections were fabricated and examined. This was followed by surface low-angle ion polishing was performed on the 2D surfaces of such thin sections (circular areas with a diameter of about 2.6 cm diagonally). Ion polishing was performed with a Leica EM TIC 3X argon ion stand-alone polisher (6 kV, 2 mA, 3 °, 8 h) at the Institute of Geological Sciences (University of Bern). Additionally, slope cut ion polishing was performed on the sample material. Samples were treated by two different polishing techniques: 2D surfaces on thin sections and slope cutting on sample material. These samples were cut from clay (mica)-rich layers with a diamond saw under wet conditions and haveto dimensions of about 5x7x3 mm. Cross sections were made in the 7x3 mm side and were about 1–2 mm^2 in size. Slope cutting was performed with a JEOL SM-09010 BIB argon cross-section polisher (6 kV, 90 °, 8 h) at the GED, RWTH Aachen. The BIB cross sections were coated with tungsten. Samples were treated by two different polishing techniques: 2D surfaces on thin sections and slope cutting on sample material. The slope cutting polishes smaller areas, but of very high quality that allowallows microstructural imaging down to the nm-scale (e.g. Hemes et al., 2015).

SEM imaging using samples prepared with different sample preparationtechniques is shown in Figure 5. The mechanically polished thin section shows many polishing damage effects such as breakouts of pores. These effects are not seen in both ion polished samples (ion polished 2D thin section and slope cutting polishing (BIB-SEM)). The advantage of the ion polished 2D thin section method is that it obtains larger areas of high quality, but striations on the surface remain. Both ion polish techniques yield better results than mechanical polishing because there is: (1) no smearing of clay, (2) no breakage of mineral grains and (3) no polishing dust filling pores.

3.2.2 Microstructure imaging

A Zeiss EVO 50 SEM with backscatter and secondary electron detectors at the Institute of Geological Sciences (University of Bern) was used to obtain images from the mechanical polished thin sections. Image mosaics (0.4–0.6 mm^2) consisting of ten to fifteen images were acquired using a magnification of 400x consisting of ten to fifteen images. Images from BIB prepared samples (see Sect. 3.2.1) were taken with a Zeiss Supra 55 SEM with backscatter and secondary electron detectors (GED, RWTH Aachen). Both overview image mosaics with a magnification of 125x and 1250x were acquired and in addition high magnification images (up to 20 kx) were

~~acquired~~collected. For testing the Representative Element Area (REA) relative to the pore distribution the box counting method was used (Kameda et al., 2006; Klaver et al., 2012~~). Hereby, we applied~~), where a stepwise growing box was applied to the segmented pore images in which the total area% of pores within the box was measured. At a certain box ~~size~~area the total area% of pores does not ~~significantly vary~~define a significant variation

5 anymore, this box ~~size~~area was taken as the REA. We checked this for two different samples using BIB-SEM images (Fig. 6). Sample A shows a minimum REA of about 900 µm^2, whereas sample C requires a minimum REA of at least 25000 µm^2. The microstructure reveals the differences between those REA's (Fig. S2). In samples where large heterogeneities in the microstructure (e.g. the local presence of fossils) exist, the calculated REA is larger than in samples with a relatively more homogenous microstructure. In the following, image analysis ~~are~~is

10 presented ~~on~~from areas between 1750 and 31500 µm^2.

3.2.3 Image analysis

Images were first cleaned by manually removing ~~of~~ artefacts~~, such as~~ from dust or charging effects. Images were segmented using manually thresholding in ImageJ version 1.51n (Schindelin et al., 2012; Schneider et al., 2012). This software was also used for the pore analysis. The outlines of the analysed particles were projected as overlay

15 on the original images in Photoshop CS 5.1 to optimize the thresholding values. Pores were classified by their morphology, based on the ratio of the minor axis over the major axis (b/a). ~~If this ratio was <~~Pores with ratios of $\leq$ 0.2 ~~the pore was~~were considered as fracture. This criterion comes from the b/a vs. area % plot shown in Figure S1~~. This plot~~, which shows that for values b/a <0.3 the porosity steeply increases as the effect of fractures. ~~We choose a value for fractures b/a <0.2 to make sure that everything below this value is indeed a fracture.~~ Manual

20 pore segmentation yields a human error of about 10 % (Houben and Urai, 2013). ~~Two~~Data from two to three mosaics taken on several different places within the same sample were averaged and the standard deviation was taken as mean error. The highest given standard deviation is than applied to all samples~~, assuming all samples behave identically.~~. The standard deviation of the porosity obtained by image analysis from ~~mechanical~~mechanically polished thin sections is 0.5 area% and for BIB-SEM prepared samples 0.15 area%.

25 ## 4. Results

4.1. Microstructure, petrography and sample heterogeneity

The slates are defined by alternating intervals of silty and clay/mica-rich layers (mm - cm scale) owing to turbiditic sedimentation processes. The clay (mica)-rich layers include four dominant mineral phases: mica, chlorite, quartz and calcite and in minor amounts: clay, dolomite, albite, pyrite and rutile. Mica occurs in two distinct phases: 1)

30 relatively large mica aggregates up to 20–50 µm in size, often deformed. These aggregates occur both aligned and randomly oriented with respect ~~towards~~to the foliation plane, 2) relatively small mica grains (1-5 µm) mostly aligned in the foliation plane.

The alignment of the sheet-silicates in the foliation plane forms the main planar fabric. The low temperature end-member (sample A: T_{max}= 200 °C, Fig. 7a,b) ~~delineates~~exhibits no preferred alignment of minerals. This is a low

35 strain end-member as inferred by the occurrence of undeformed fossils in a clay-rich matrix. Sample E (T_{max}= 250 °C, Fig. 7c,d) shows the alignment of mica and an increase of microfractures along the grain boundaries of mica. The high temperature end-member (Sample H: T_{max}= 320 °C Fig. 7e,f) ~~delineates~~is characterized by a strong and

defined spaced cleavage with pressure solution seams and shows no fossils. This sample also represents the high strain end-member, as indicated by the elongation of detrital quartz and calcite crystals. An overview of microstructures of all the samples is given in Figure S2.

Vertical and horizontal sample heterogeneity is ~~reflected in~~determined by the approach shown in Figure 3. We

5 analyzed two adjacent drill cores (core axis is bedding-perpendicular), which were measured as sub-layers along the foliation planes. He-pycnometry results show that the porosity bedding perpendicular shows substantial variations between 1.4 and 5.2 vol% (sample plug G1: Fig. 3b). Only small variations <0.8 vol% are seen ~~bedding~~ parallel to the bedding, meaning that within the sample volume the sub-layers of each plug correlate laterally very well and are therefore relatively homogeneous. Despite the bedding perpendicular porosity variation, ~~accompanied~~

10 image analysis of the same sample material demonstrates a homogenous lithological composition (Fig. 3c). The porosity variation across these layers is primarily due to the local occurrence of fractures.

4.2 Pore morphology

Porosity consists of two main groups classified by their pore morphology: 1) macrofracture porosity, which includes macrofractures with apertures up to several mm and length of few mm to cm. Such large-scale fractures

15 are immediately visible in thin sections and greatly influence bulk rock measurements. 2) The matrix porosity consists of matrix pores, including inter- and intraparticle pores and microfractures (apertures between 1–5 µm). Matrix porosity is measured by image analysis on areas selected in between such ~~identified~~ macrofractures. Image analysis on ~~mechanical~~mechanically polished thin sections ~~have~~has shown that porosity estimates from these thin sections are unreliable. The only reliable information ~~those~~that these analyses ~~give~~yield is insight in the

20 contribution of microfractures. In contrast, BIB prepared samples ~~yield flat 2D surfaces and~~ give a good insight in the complete matrix porosity.

4.2.1 Macrofracture porosity

Macrofractures can be subdivided in: (1) open fractures, in most cases these fractures are bedding parallel and occur on the foliation planes as seen in the preparation plugs and by UV light detection of the fracture network of

25 a sub-sample (Fig. 3e). In thin sections such fractures are recognized by the relatively large size in both aperture (>10 µm) and length, as these run through the complete section. Sometimes material is smeared into the fractures during mechanical polishing (Fig. 8a-b). They show no precipitation of secondary minerals after fracturing. (2) open veins, these contain mineral precipitates, but ~~remain~~retain a high porosity and (3) completely mineralized veins.

30 ### 4.2.2 Matrix porosity

Image analysis on BIB prepared samples allows discriminating the matrix porosity in two types of pores: (1) microfractures, defined by an aspect ratio of b/a <0.2, have apertures of about 1–5 µm and are not continuous (length up to 30 µm). In Figure 8, a special type of fracture porosity is seen in fine-grained mica-rich layers, which accommodate brittle strain. Inside the fractures ~~also~~ pyrite precipitated, demonstrating a geological origin of these

35 fractures. In such samples, the fracture porosity is the largest contribution to the total porosity. These fractures are often located along the grain boundaries of micas and in some cases along quartz (Figs. 8 and 9d and 10a). The second pore type comprises (2) non-fracture-related pores, mostly interlayer pores associated with mica and clay

minerals or due to dissolution along grain boundaries of quartz (Fig. 9c-d). Intraparticle pores occur in minor quantity in calcite, dolomite (Fig. 9c-d and 10b) or quartz (Fig. 10e-f). Such pores are often related to quartz and calcite cement, which occurs in some cases as new infill of fossils (Fig. 10c) or fluid inclusions.

4.3 Quantification of porosity

5   All porosity estimates obtained by the different techniques applied in this study are given in Table 1 and Figure 11. Note that the techniques each have an own characteristic resolution (Fig. 2a). Moreover, He-pycnometry and MIP both obtain a connected porosity. Image analysis yields microfractures, inter- and intraparticle pores. Microfractures and interparticle pores have the greatest contribution to the total porosity in our sample set as seen by microstructural imaging (Fig. 8 and 9).

10 Porosity of the bulk rock of the slates, obtained by He-pycnometry, show that the samples have a connected porosity in the range between 0.7–7.2 vol% (Fig. 11). Moreover, He-pycnometry results show a bulk density of 2.55–2.73 g/cm^3 and grain density of 2.73–2.78 g/cm^3.

The MIP results show variations in porosity from 0.4–2.7 vol%, not in all samples directly correlating with the He-pycnometry data. Pore volumes with a pore throat radius and fracture apertures between 5 and 20 nm ~~have the~~

15 ~~largest contribution~~contribute most to the total porosity (Fig. 12a and b). In addition, a slightly increased frequency of pores with a radius and fracture apertures between 100 and 200 nm is seen. In Figure 13 the neck pore entrances~~,~~ ~~which is the interpolated difference between the 1st and the 2nd intrusion cycle is~~ are plotted vs. the cumulative pore volume. ~~The samples~~Samples C, G and H have the highest bulk porosity (Fig. 13). The second cycle contains much less remaining mercury in the pores, as the intrusion and extrusion curve approach each other (Fig. S3). The

20 correction, which is applied, comes from the assumption that for extrusion another contact angle applies (110°; Kaufmann, 2010). Hence, the correction is only for extrusion data. The hysteresis between the second intrusion and extrusion almost disappears by applying the above explained pore size correction. This is more evident for sample C than for sample H, which indicates a slight increase in hysteresis.

Image analysis from thin sections show fracture porosities between 0.04–1.8 area%. Image analysis from BIB-

25 SEM reveals total (fracture plus matrix) porosity estimates between 0.3–1.4 area%, much lower compared to the above presented bulk approaches. Pore ~~size~~radii and fracture aperture distributions from image analysis (BIB-SEM) show a similar trend as the MIP data (Fig. 12) for pore sizes >30 nm. Where there is a peak in the 100–200 nm pore radius in the BIB-SEM data, there is also an elevation in the MIP data, although not with the same ~~contribution~~magnitude. In addition, the MIP data indicate a major pore radius ~~~~of 10 nm, which cannot be

30 measured by image analysis because of resolution limits of the SEM.

Figure 11 shows an exponential decrease with asymptotic behaviour for decreasing sample input from bulk rock measurements to image analysis. There exists no direct trend along the temperature and indirect strain gradient from sample A to H for any of the measurement techniques (see varying color-coded symbols in Figure 11 between the different approaches). Nonetheless, knowing the microstructure enables to interpret the outcome of the porosity

35 results. Sample C, with a relatively high amount of large mica grains yields the highest porosity values (for all techniques). The highest-grade samples G and H, also show relative high porosity for MIP, due to bedding parallel microfractures~~. Whereas,~~, whereas sample A, which is the weakly deformed homogenous clay sample, plots at the lower end of the diagram, indicating lower porosity (for MIP and BIB-SEM). The sub-surface samples (sample D

and E), which are from the close vicinity of sample C, show in general a lower porosity given in He-pycnometry and MIP than given for the mechanically polished thin section of sample C.

5. Discussion

In this work, we use a workflow, which includes validating bulk rock measurements with high-resolution imaging using BIB-SEM to differentiate different types of porosity ~~data~~ of slates. In a second step, we link these estimates for the present-day porosity to processes along the *PT*t-deformation path.

5.1 Contribution to porosity estimates

Artificially induced porosity is often due to sample collection and preparation. During sample collection, artefacts like fracturing could rise from hammering, transport or storage (drying). Sample preparation, like cutting, and mechanical polishing of thin sections could induce significant additional artificial porosity, such as breakouts, resulting in apparent porosity values without geological meaning (Fig. 5). Therefore, for image analysis procedures on slate samples, sample preparation like ion polishing techniques, either on 2D thin sections or on cross sections of sub-samples are necessary (e.g. Hemes et al., 2013; Houben et al., 2013, Keller et al., ~~2013~~2013a; Klaver et al., 2012, 2015).

The bulk rock measurements are strongly influenced by the presence of natural and possible artificial fractures and sample heterogeneity. This results in non-correlating He-pycnometry and MIP data. Differences in porosity estimates from bulk rock measurements and image analysis techniques are related to the different principles underlying the techniques, which namely are the sample volumes (Ougier-Simonin et al., 2016) and the limits in spatial resolution (e.g. Hemes et al., 2013). In addition, Kaufhold et al. (2016) acknowledge the resolution issue by a comparison of MIP with FIB-SEM data from shales and shows that only 20 vol% of the total porosity can be resolved with FIB-SEM, the remaining porosity content should be measured with a bulk rock measurement technique such as He-pycnometry. Additionally, large microfractures are not incorporated in the representative domain of the BIB-SEM, but do play an important role in the MIP data (Hemes et al., 2013). This correlates to our observations: larger cracks are measured in the bulk rock methods, whereas the BIB-SEM data only provide matrix porosity as we avoid imaging the large fractures. Hence, using a combination of such methods, the REA of the image analysis does not necessarily need to cover the full range of pore sizes. However, the BIB-SEM investigation links the bulk He-pycnometry and MIP to each other. MIP and He-pycnometry both measure connected porosity. MIP includes pores with a >3.7 nm eq. pore throat radius and He-pycnometry >1 nm eq. pore throat radius. Both methods do not provide information on the pore type while the BIB-SEM does.

Porosity types from different scales seem to be related ~~with~~to each other (Fig. 11). A sample with many small-scaled microfractures has also the highest amount of macrofractures, as seen by ~~a relative~~their relatively high contribution ~~in~~to the bulk rock porosity. ~~Although, BIB-SEM yields sections of pore bodies in 2D images and MIP pore volume (3D).~~ There is a correlation of pore ~~size distributions~~radii and fracture apertures between ~~those two~~ the BIB-SEM and MIP datasets in the overlapping measured scale (Fig. ~~12).~~12), even though BIB-SEM yields sections of pore bodies in 2D images and MIP pore volume (3D). For the overlapping size range of these two methods, these methods are comparable to each other (see also Houben et al., 2013), indicating that 2D data are sufficient to estimate average values. Each measurement technique has its characteristic pore size range ~~that~~it can

~~properly be analysed~~analyse, which means that combining different methods results in overlapping scales, allowing to resolve the porosity from nm to cm scale.

Our analysis shows that sample inhomogeneity between the internal slate layers can be large~~. It comprises original sedimentary~~, comprising primary sedimentological variations, such as clay (mica)-rich layers ~~alternated by~~alternating with silt-rich layers. For bulk rock measurements the REV is dependent on the clay content and grain size distribution of sand grains for the different sediment layers (e.g. carbonates and quartz): the higher the clay content relative to the non-clay content, the smaller the REV (Keller, 2015).

In light of a comparison of our slate results with previous studies performed on clay stones as precursor rocks of slates, MIP-measured porosity ranges reported for the poorly compacted "Boom clay" (local name of Oligocene clay in France) are about 35–40% (Boisson, 2005). Boom clay has a high pore connectivity and it is shown that in samples with a higher clay content the pore connectivity is controlled by pores in the clay matrix, whereas in samples with a smaller clay content large interparticle pores have the largest contribution to the total connectivity (e.g. Hemes et al., 2013). A second clay stone type, the "Opalinus clay" ~~(local name of Jurassic clay in Switzerland),~~ is more compacted and cemented than the Boom clay (about 10% porosity from MIP: Houben and Urai, 2013 and references therein). It shows smaller values for connected porosity and like in the Boom clay, the clay matrix controls the permeability (Houben and Urai, 2013). In contrast to these clay stones, the slates from our study show 0.4–2.7 vol% porosity from MIP measurements and the pores in the clay matrix ~~are not visible on the scale of the SEM.~~cannot be resolved with a SEM. This means the sheet-silicate matrix is much tighter in these slates, which experienced higher *PT*-conditions and show, in contrast to the Boom clay and Opalinus clay, pressure solutions seams, elongated grains and a much smaller number of fossils due to recrystallization, and diagenesis~~, dissolution and precipitation mechanisms~~. In these higher-grade samples, the clay minerals are replaced by micas and due to their strong anisotropy (micro)fractures, as also illustrated by the BIB-SEM images of sample H, are the main ~~factor contributing~~contributor to pore connectivity. As a result, the porosity and pore morphology from slates in this study are not directly comparable to the pore types reported for diagenetic clays (e.g. Boom clay; Opalinus clay) but show an advanced stage of porosity reduction owing to increased metamorphic overprint.

5.2 Processes influencing porosity

To understand what part of the total connected porosity ~~obtained with the bulk rock measurements~~ is fracture porosity and what part is matrix porosity, image analysis can be used ~~as~~to establish a threshold. Figure 11 shows that image analysis gives an estimate of the matrix porosity. Subtracting the BIB-SEM matrix porosity from the MIP bulk rock measurements yields the macrofracture porosity. Subtracting the MIP porosity from the He-pycnometry gives insight in the smallest matrix pores.

Dielforder et al. (2016) estimated for convergent plate boundaries that porosity decreases from 60 to 10 % within the upper 5 km of the accretionary wedge along the prograde metamorphic gradient applying theoretical considerations (see references in Dielforder et al., 2016). Along this prograde evolution, the tectonic processes change from soft sediment deformation ~~like~~(such as particulate flow) to pressure solution (Dielforder et al., 2015, 2016). The porosity evolution found in this study is shown in Figure 14 and shows different vein generations on both the prograde and retrograde evolution. Veins are indicative for brittle failure and can therefore be used as indicators for ancient fracture porosity at the stage prior to fracture sealing by precipitation of the vein minerals.

The earliest formed fracture porosity is shown by deformed shear-veins overprinted by the main foliation (stage I). From initial sedimentation to maximum burial the rock texture changes from an undeformed matrix with randomly oriented sheet-silicates to a slate with a well-developed spaced cleavage and strong structural anisotropy (Fig. 7). The porosity decreases from 60 vol% (Dielforder et al., 2016) to values <0.9 vol% at maximum burial (peak metamorphism). This is reflected by the matrix porosity obtained by image analysis on BIB-SEM prepared sub-samples from the underground mine of Landesplattenberg. At these highest reached temperatures, dynamic recrystallization of bedding parallel veins takes place (Fig. 14: stage II). On the prograde path, the sediments are strongly influenced by compaction together with dehydration and diagenesis as shown by diagenetic quartz and/or calcite cement precipitation. Diagenetic cementation processes play an important role as porosity reducing mechanism in these slates accompanying dissolution by pressure solution (e.g. Katsube and Williamson, 1994). ~~Generally,~~While pressure solution ~~also decreases~~generally reduces porosity ~~but there exist also pore forming processes,~~ pores are formed by dissolution ~~such as pores~~ in and around dolomite (Fig. 10b). This newly created porosity, however, is ~~of minor importance and is~~ far ~~beyond~~less than the amount of ~~pore destruction~~porosity destroyed by pressure solution.

Along the temperature and correlated strain gradient from Weesen (200 °C) to Flims (320 °C), there seems to be no relation between porosity and temperature/strain neither in the bulk rock measurements nor in the images analysis results. However, local variations in mineralogy and strain influence the porosity: a high sheet-silicate content leads to a strong anisotropic fabric, resulting in an increase of microfractures and therefore in a higher porosity (sample C). An only weakly deformed sample, with a less defined fabric, ~~results in~~exhibits a low porosity (sample A). This ~~means~~suggests that porosity and pore morphology are closely related to the mineralogical composition, spatial heterogeneity of the phase distributions and strain (e.g. Janssen et al., 2011; Keller et al., ~~2013~~2013a). Together with aforementioned temporal porosity changes by fracturing and vein mineral precipitation, the prograde local porosity evolution can therefore be rather variable and dynamic in time but yields in a general decrease of the average porosity with increasing burial.

The porosity on the retrograde path is mainly characterized by fracturing and the formation of bedding--parallel, sometimes partly recrystallized veins, veins oblique to the bedding and abundant microfractures (Fig. 14: resp. stages III, IV and V). In a first stage, these microfractures evolve in an isolated, but spatially dispersed manner along clay-rich layers. Sometimes pyrite and/or calcite precipitated in such microfractures clearly ~~pointing to~~evidencing a ~~fracturing at still metamorphic conditions~~synmetamorphic process (Fig. 8). ~~According~~The respective porosity values are represented by the fracture porosity obtained from bulk rock measurements of sub-surface samples (Fig. 14). Such microfractures are likely the effect of unloading.

Dielforder et al. (2016) link the pressure solution processes and the illite-muscovite transformation to an embrittlement during the prograde path. Such embrittlement allows the ~~dominance~~formation of microfractures (e.g. Zeng et al., 2013), which could be an additional factor causing the formation of such microfractures. Along the retrograde path this effect is enhanced, because temperatures are decreasing again, allowing the further increase of size and amount of fractures. With progressive exhumation the microfractures grow and eventually interconnect transferring microfractures to fractures (Fig. 14). ~~The increasing porosity can also be resealed by deformation and disaggregation. The formation of clay gouge could reduce the created porosity such that a cataclastically deformed slate becomes a clay (Holland et al., 2006).~~

In the light of near surface porosity formation, plotting fracture porosity from bulk rock measurements of surface collected samples and comparing them with the underground sample reveals the contribution on porosity formation by surface processes such as fracturing.. In the surface-collected samples, which are exposed to strongly varying climatic conditions, such fractures could be due to freeze and thaw cycles (Cárdenes et al., 2012) but also to

5   unloading during deglaciation resulting in exfoliation jointing (Jahns, 1943; Ziegler et al., 2016). Particularly in the case of the mechanically highly anisotropic slates, the pre-existing foliations are prone for reactivation of these structural planes by fracturing during the youngest climate- and weathering-related processes. Additionally, macrofractures can in many cases also be artificially induced, requiring discrimination between artificial and young geological fracturing processes. Principally, we can list two discrimination criteria. Near surface fractures

10   experience infiltration of meteoric water resulting in oxidation of Fe-bearing mineral phases such as iron sulfides (e.g. pyrite). Consequently thin layers of iron hydroxides form, appearing as faint reddish staining along the fracture planes. Hence, thisThis staining provides clear evidence for an in situ crack formation in the rock within its natural environment. In contrast, preparation-induced fractures will not show such staining phenomena. Moreover, these fractures mostly contain small amounts of polishing material, which is smeared during this

15   mechanical treatment into the newly created fracture (Fig. 8). This accumulation is not possible in the case of priory resin-impregnated natural fractures. Despite these two unequivocal criteria, it might be difficult to discriminate between the two late fracture types in cases where none of the two evidences is present.

6. Conclusion

In this study, we use a combination of He-pycnometry and MIP as bulk rock measurements and image analysis to

20   obtain estimates of porosity of slates. Bulk rock measurements obtain the total connected porosity, which can be subdivided in fracture and matrix porosity determined by image analysis. Image analysis from BIB-SEM images yield information about the matrix porosity including intra- and interparticle pores and microfractures. This matrix porosity reflects the porosity at maximum burial (peak metamorphism) and is strongly influenced by diagenetic processes on the prograde path. Subtracting the matrix porosity from the total porosity obtained by bulk rock

25   measurements yield the fracture porosity and matrix porosity below BIB-SEM resolution. Most of the microfractures are bedding parallel or occur along the grain boundaries of mica and are subscribed to the effect of unloading on the retrograde path. Macrofractures (fracture apertures up to 1 mm) are in many cases related to surface processes such as freeze and thaw cycles or are artificially induced by sample preparation. Different vein generations show that the formation of porosity is not restricted to unloading or surface processes but fracturing

30   took place along the entire PTt-deformation path. In a temporal point of view, theall porosity variability, excluding porosity formed by surface or artificial processes must be rather dynamiccyclic, given the stages of pore opening owing to fracturing and subsequent sealing by mineral precipitation. Moreover, porosity and pore morphology are strongly dependent on mineralogy, homogeneity and strain. The multiscale approach coming fromthat was developed on shales, which links microporosity to macroporosity by combining bulk rock measurements with

35   image analysis, is in this study successfully applied to slates.

7. Acknowledgements

5

References

Anovitz, L. M., and Cole, D. R.: Characterization and analysis of porosity and pore structures, Rev. Mineral Geochem., 80, 61-164, 2015.

Badertscher, N. P., Beaudoin, G., Therrien, R., and Burkhard, M.: Glarus overthrust: A major pathway for the escape of fluids out of the Alpine orogen, Geology, v. 30, no. 10, p. 875-878, 2002.

Bisig, W.K.: Blattengratflysch und Sardonaflysch im Sernftal nördlich der Linie Richetlipass – Elm – Ramintal – Grosse Scheibe, PhD Thesis Nr. 2435, ETH Zürich, Switzerland, 239 pp, 1957.

Blümling, P., Bernier, F., Lebon, P., & Martin, C. D.: The excavation damaged zone in clay formations time-dependent behaviour and influence on performance assessment, Phys. Chem. Earth, Parts A/B/C, 32(8-14), 588-599, 2007.

Boisson, J.Y.: Clay Club Catalogue of Characteristics of Argillaceous Rocks, OECD/NEA/RWMC/IGSC (Working Group on measurement and Physical understanding of Groundwater flow through argillaceous media) august 2005 Report NEA no. 4436 (Brochure and CD-Rom including data base), OECD/NEA, Paris, France, p. 72, 2005.

Burkhard, M., Kerrich, R., Maas, R., and Fyfe, W.: Stable and Sr-isotope evidence for fluid advection during thrusting of the Glarus nappe (Swiss Alps), Contrib. Mineral. Petr., v. 112, no. 2, p. 293-311, 1992.

Busch, A., Schweinar, K., Kampman, N., Coorn, A., Pipich, V., Feoktystov, A., Leu, L., Amann Hildenbrand, A., and Bertier, P.: Determining the porosity of mudrocks using methodological pluralism, Geol. Soc. SP., v. 454, p. SP454. 451.

Cárdenes, V., Monterroso, C., Rubio, A., Mateos, F., and Calleja, L.: Effect of freeze–thaw cycles on the bending strength of roofing slate tiles, Eng. Geol., 129, 91-97, 2012.

Dielforder, A., Vollstaedt, H., Vennemann, T., Berger, A., and Herwegh, M.: Linking megathrust earthquakes to brittle deformation in a fossil accretionary complex, Nat. Commun., 6, 2015.

Dielforder, A., Berger, A., and Herwegh, M.: The accretion of foreland basin sediments during early stages of continental collision in the European Alps and similarities to accretionary wedge tectonics, Tectonics, 2016.

Ebert, A., Herwegh, M., and Pfiffner, A.: Cooling induced strain localization in carbonate mylonites within a large-scale shear zone (Glarus thrust, Switzerland), J. Struct. Geol., 29, 1164-1184, 2007.

Frey, M., Teichmüller, M., Teichmüller, R., Mullis, J., Künzi, B., Breitschmid, A., Gruner, U., and Schwizer, B.: Very low-grade metamorphism in external parts of the Central Alps: illite crystallinity, coal rank and fluid inclusion data, Eclogae Geol. Helv., v. 73, no. 1, p. 173-203, 1980.

Fusseis, F., Regenauer-Lieb, K., Liu, J., Hough, R., and De Carlo, F.: Creep cavitation can establish a dynamic granular fluid pump in ductile shear zones: Nature, v. 459, no. 7249, p. 974, 2009.

Gilgannon, J., Fusseis, F., Menegon, L., Regenauer-Lieb, K., and Buckman, J.: Hierarchical creep cavity formation in an ultramylonite and implications for phase mixing: Solid Earth, v. 8, no. 6, p. 1193-1209, 2017.

Hemes, S., Desbois, G., Urai, J., De Craen, M., and Honty, M.: Variations in the morphology of porosity in the Boom Clay Formation: insights from 2D high resolution BIB-SEM imaging and Mercury injection Porosimetry, Neth. J. Geosci., 92, 275-300, 2013.

Hemes, S., Desbois, G., Urai, J. L., Schröppel, B., and Schwarz, J.-O.: Multi-scale characterization of porosity in Boom Clay (HADES-level, Mol, Belgium) using a combination of X-ray μ-CT, 2D BIB-SEM and FIB-SEM tomography, Micropor. Mesopor. Mat., 208, 1-20, 2015.

Herwegh, M., and Jenni, A.: Granular flow in polymineralic rocks bearing sheet silicates: new evidence from natural examples: Tectonophysics, v. 332, no. 3, p. 309-320, 2001.

Herwegh, M., Hürzeler, J. P., Pfiffner, O. A., Schmid, S. M., Abart, R., & Ebert, A.: The Glarus thrust: excursion guide and report of a field trip of the swiss tectonic studies Group, Swiss Geological society, 14.–16. 09. 2006, Swiss J. Geosci., *101*(2), 323-340, 2008.

Holland, M., Urai, J. L., van der Zee, W., Stanjek, H., and Konstanty, J.: Fault gouge evolution in highly overconsolidated claystones, J. Struct. Geol., v. 28, no. 2, p. 323-332, 2006.

Horseman, S., Higgo, J., Alexander, J., and Harrington, J.: Water, gas and solute movement through argillaceous media, Nuclear Energy Agency Rep. CC-96/1. OECD, Paris, 1996.

Houben, M. E., and Urai, J.: In situ characterization of the microstructure and porosity of Opalinus Clay (Mont Terri Rock Laboratory, Switzerland), PhD thesis, Von der Fakultät für Georessourcen und Materialtechnik Der Rheinisch-Westfälischen Technischen Hochschule Aachen, 2013.

Houben, M., Desbois, G., and Urai, J.: Pore morphology and distribution in the Shaly facies of Opalinus Clay (Mont Terri, Switzerland): Insights from representative 2D BIB–SEM investigations on mm to nm scale, Appl. Clay Sci., 71, 82-97, 2013.

Houben, M., Barnhoorn, A., Lie-A-Fat, J., Ravestein, T., Peach, C., and Drury, M.: Microstructural characteristics of the Whitby Mudstone formation (UK), Mar. Petrol. Geol., 70, 185-200, 2016.

Jahns, R. H.: Sheet structure in granites: its origin and use as a measure of glacial erosion in New England, J. Geol., 51, 71-98, 1943.

Janssen, C., Wirth, R., Reinicke, A., Rybacki, E., Naumann, R., Wenk, H.-R., and Dresen, G.: Nanoscale porosity in SAFOD core samples (San Andreas Fault), Earth Planet Sc. Lett., 301, 179-189, 2011.

Kameda, A., Dvorkin, J., Keehm, Y., Nur, A., Bosl, W.: Permeability–porosity trans-forms from small sandstone fragments, Geophysics 71, N11–N19, 2006.

Katsube, T., and Williamson, M.: Effects of diagenesis on shale nano-pore structure and implications for sealing capacity, Clay Miner., 29, 451-472, 1994.

Kaufhold, S., Grathoff, G., Halisch, M., Plötze, M., Kus, J., Ufer, K., Dohrmann, R., Ladage, S., and Ostertag-Henning, C.: Comparison of methods for the determination of the pore system of a potential German gas shale, in: Filling the Gaps: from Microscopic Pore Structures to Transport Properties in Shales, The Clay Minerals Society, 163-190, 2016.

Kaufmann, J.: Pore space analysis of cement-based materials by combined Nitrogen sorption–Wood's metal impregnation and multi-cycle mercury intrusion, Cement Concrete Comp., 32, 514-522, 2010.

Kaufmann, J., Loser, R., and Leemann, A.: Analysis of cement-bonded materials by multi-cycle mercury intrusion and nitrogen sorption, J. Colloid Interf. Sci., 336, 730-737, 2009.

Keller, L. M.: On the representative elementary volumes of clay rocks at the mesoscale: Journal of Geology and Mining Research, 7, 58-64, 2015.

Keller, L. M., Holzer, L., Schuetz, P., and Gasser, P.: Pore space relevant for gas permeability in Opalinus clay: Statistical analysis of homogeneity, percolation, and representative volume element, J. Geophys. Res-Sol. Ea., 118, 2799-2812, 2013 2013a.

Keller, L. M., Schuetz, P., Erni, R., Rossell, M. D., Lucas, F., Gasser, P., and Holzer, L., Characterization of multi-scale microstructural features in Opalinus Clay: Micropor. Mesopor. Mat., v. 170, p. 83-94, 2013b.

Klaver, J., Desbois, G., Urai, J. L., and Littke, R.: BIB-SEM study of the pore space morphology in early mature Posidonia Shale from the Hils area, Germany, Int. J. Coal Geol., 103, 12-25, 2012.

Klaver, J., Hemes, S., Houben, M., Desbois, G., Radi, Z., and Urai, J.: The connectivity of pore space in mudstones: insights from high-pressure Wood's metal injection, BIB-SEM imaging, and mercury intrusion porosimetry, Geofluids, 15, 577-591, 2015.

Labaume, P., Maltman, A., Bolton, A., Tessier, D., Ogawa, Y., and Takizawa, S.: Scaly fabrics in sheared clays from the décollement zone of the Barbados accretionary prism, PROCEEDINGS-OCEAN DRILLING PROGRAM SCIENTIFIC RESULTS, 59-78, 1997.

Lahfid, A., Beyssac, O., Deville, E., Negro, F., Chopin, C., and Goffé, B.: Evolution of the Raman spectrum of carbonaceous material in low-grade metasediments of the Glarus Alps (Switzerland), Terra Nova, 22, 354-360, 2010.

Laurich, B., Urai, J. L., Desbois, G., Vollmer, C., and Nussbaum, C.: , Microstructural evolution of an incipient fault zone in Opalinus Clay: Insights from an optical and electron microscopic study of ion-beam polished samples from the Main Fault in the Mt-Terri Underground Research Laboratory: Journal of structural geology, v. 67, p. 107-128, 2014.

Leupold, W.: Neue Beobachtungen zur Gliederung der Flyschbildungen der Alpen zwischen Reuss und Rhein, Eclogae Geol. Helv., 35(2), 247–291, 1942.

Loon, V. L.: Preferred orientations and anisotropy in shales: Callovo-Oxfordian shale (France) and Opalinus Clay (Switzerland), Clay Clay Miner., 56, 285-306, 2008.

Lihou, J.: A new look at the Blattengrat unit of eastern Switzerland: Early Tertiary foreland basin sediments from the South Helvetic realm, Eclogae Geol. Helv., 88(1), 91–114, 1995.

Lihou, J.: Structure and deformational history of the Infrahelvetic flysch units, Glarus Alps, eastern Switzerland, Eclogae Geol. Helv., 89(1), 439, 1996.

Milliken, K., and Reed, R.: Multiple causes of diagenetic fabric anisotropy in weakly consolidated mud, Nankai accretionary prism, IODP Expedition, 316, 1887-1898, 2010.

Ougier-Simonin, A., Renard, F., Boehm, C., and Vidal-Gilbert, S.: Microfracturing and microporosity in shales, Earth-Sci. Rev., 162, 198-226, 2016.

Pfiffner, A.O.: Evolution of the north Alpine foreland basin in the Central Alps. In: Foreland Basins, Int. Assoc. Sediment. Spec. Publ., Volume 9, 219–228, 1986.

Pfiffner, O. A., Ramsay, J., and Schmid, S.: Structural map of the Helvetic Zone of the Swiss Alps: Geological special map, v. 1, no. 100,000, 2011.

Poulet, T., Veveakis, M., Herwegh, M., Buckingham, T., and Regenauer-Lieb, K.: Modeling episodic fluid-release events in the ductile carbonates of the Glarus thrust, Geophys. Res. Lett., v. 41, no. 20, p. 7121-7128, 2014.

Rahn, M., and Grasemann, B.: Fission track and numerical thermal modeling of differential exhumation of the Glarus thrust plane (Switzerland), Earth Planet Sc. Lett., v. 169, no. 3-4, p. 245-259, 1999.

Rahn, M., Mullis, J., Erdelbrock, K., and Frey, M.: Alpine metamorphism in the north Helvetic flysch of the Glarus-Alps, Switzerland, Eclogae Geol. Helv., v. 88, no. 1, p. 157-178, 1995.

Rieke, H. H., and Chilingarian, G. V.: Compaction of argillaceous sediments, Elsevier, 1974.

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., and Schmid, B.: Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis, Nat. Methods, v. 9, no. 7, p. 676, 2012.

Schmid, S.M.: The Glarus overthrust: field evidence and mechanical model, Eclogae Geol. Helv., 68(2), 247–280, 1975.

Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., and Eliceiri, K. W.: NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image Analysis, Nat. Methods, v. 9, no. 7, p. 671, 2012.

Siegenthaler, C.: Die nordhelvetische Flysch-Gruppe im Sernftal (Kt. Glarus), Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of Zurich, 83 pp, 1974.

Thury, M., and Bossart, P.: The Mont Terri rock laboratory, a new international research project in a Mesozoic shale formation, in Switzerland, Eng. Geol., 52, 347-359, 1999.

Tiab, D., and Donaldson, E. C.: Petrophysics: theory and practice of measuring reservoir rock and fluid transport properties, Gulf professional publishing, 2015.

Trümpy, R.: Die Helvetischen Decken der Ostschweiz; Versuch einer palinspastischen Korrelation und Ansätze zu einer kinematischen Analyse, Eclogae Geol. Helv., 62(1), 105–142, 1969.

Viana, M., Jouannin, P., Pontier, C., and Chulia, D.: About pycnometric density measurements, Talanta, 57, 583-593, 2002.

Virgo, S., Heup, T., Urai, J. L., and Berlage, T.: Virtual Petrography (ViP)-A virtual microscope for the geosciences, EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, Vienna, 17-22 April 2016, 14669, 2016.

von Däniken, P. A., and Frehner, M.: 3D structural model and kinematic interpretation of the Panixer Pass Transverse Zone (Infrahelvetic Complex, eastern Switzerland), Swiss J. Geosci., v. 110, no. 2, p. 653-675, 2017.

Warr, L. N., Wojatschke, J., Carpenter, B. M., Marone, C., Schleicher, A. M., and van der Pluijm, B. A.: A "slice-and-view" (FIB–SEM) study of clay gouge from the SAFOD creeping section of the San Andreas Fault at∼ 2.7 km depth, J. Struct. Geol., 69, 234-244, 2014.

Washburn, E. W.: The dynamics of capillary flow, Phys. Rev., 17, 273, 1921.

Yang, R., He, S., Yi, J., and Hu, Q.: Nano-scale pore structure and fractal dimension of organic-rich Wufeng-Longmaxi shale from Jiaoshiba area, Sichuan Basin: Investigations using FE-SEM, gas adsorption and helium pycnometry, Mar. Petrol. Geol., 70, 27-45, 2016.

Zeng, W., Zhang, J., Ding, W., Zhao, S., Zhang, Y., Liu, Z., and Jiu, K.: Fracture development in Paleozoic shale of Chongqing area (South China). Part one: Fracture characteristics and comparative analysis of main controlling factors, J. Asian Earth Sci., 75, 251-266, 2013.

Zhang, Z., Kruschwitz, S., Weller, A., and Halisch, M.: Enhanced pore space analysis by use of μ CT, MIP, NMR, and SIP, Solid Earth Discuss., v. 2018, p. 1-23, 2018.

Ziegler, M., Loew, S., and Amann, F.: Near-surface rock stress orientations in alpine topography derived from exfoliation fracture surface markings and 3D numerical modelling, Int. J. Rock Mech. Min., 85, 129-151, 2016.

sample	latitude	longitude	Bulk rock porosity		Image analysis			
			He-pycnometry	MIP	SEM thin section	BIB-SEM		
					fracture porosity	total porosity	fracture porosity	matrix porosity
			[vol%]	[vol%]	[area%]	[area%]	[area%]	[area%]
A	47°08'26.3" N	9°06'26.1" E	-	0.51	0.04	0.26	0.13	0.13
B	46°59'47.2" N	9°05'20.9" E	3.3		0.46	-	-	-
C	46°59'13.9" N	9°09'17.6" E	7.2	2.67	1.84	1.39	0.49	0.90
D	46°58'12.0" N	9°09'19.7" E	0.7	0.66	-	-	-	-
E	46°58'12.0" N	9°09'19.7" E	2.6	1.27	-	-	-	-
F	46°57'34.3" N	9°11'23.6" E	1.6	0.39	0.21	-	-	-
G	46°53'17.2" N	9°07'40.1" E	4.2	2.58	0.05	-	-	-
H	46°53'29.0" N	9°11'22.4" E	2.3	2.28	0.51	0.35	0.20	0.15

Table 1. Samples, coordinates and porosity estimates from each different measurement technique

Figure 1. Geological map and outcrops. a) geological map with sample locations. Map adapted from Dielforder et al. (2016), temperatures taken from samples in close vicinity from Ebert et al. (2007) (in red) and from Lahfid et al. (2010) (in blue). Star indicates location of sub-surface samples. b) Outcrop of folded slates at Engi with secondary foliation (46°59'13.9'' N 9°09'17.6'' E) c) Outcrop of slates at Martinsmad (46°53'31.2'' N 9°11'15.5'' E) intercalated with massive carbonaceous layers. S0: bedding, S1:foliation.

30

Figure 2. Overview of analytical techniques. a) Sample volume vs. resolution of detected pores. b) Different techniques with advantages and disadvantages. S0: bedding.

5

Figure 3. Sample preparation and heterogeneity. a) Sample plugs (G1 and G2) are drilled bedding perpendicular and directly next to each other. The plugs fall apart in sub-samples along the bedding planes. b) Results of He-pycnometry measurements on individual sub-samples from A indicate limited horizontal but substantial vertical variations in porosity. c) Photograph of thin section obtained from sub-sample plugs indicated in A show that the bulk sample is relatively homogeneous. d) Selecting areas of interest from ViP images for further SEM investigation. e) Polished sample C in white and UV-light, note fractures on macroscale. Qz: quartz, Cal: calcite, S0: bedding.

10

5 **Figure 4. Conceptual model of 1st and 2nd MIP cycle. Numbers indicate the succession in pore filling, which is related to a stepwise increase in pressure, linked to a certain radius of a pore neck entrance. The second cycle indicates the remaining mercury from the first cycle.**

Figure 5. Differences in apparent porosity owing to applied sample polishing techniques in different samples a) Effect of conventional mechanical polishing of thin sections results in an overestimation of porosity owing to severe plugging of grains. b) Ion polished 2D surface of sample taken at 46°53'17.2''N 9°07'40.1''E shows a significantly smaller pore volume compared to the mechanical polishing technique. c) Smoothest surfaces with least induced pores created with BIB-SEM polishing. S0: bedding.

Figure 6. Results of box counting method for BIB-SEM sample A and C. a) Representative Element Area (REA) for sample A is min. 900 μm². b) REA for sample C is min. 25000 μm².

5

Figure 7. Thin section (left column) and backscatter electron images (right column) of slate microstructures collected along the N-S metamorphic gradient. a-b) sample A: low temperature-low strain end-member – undeformed recrystallized fossils in a former mud matrix with randomly oriented sheet-silicates. c-d) sample E: intermediate temperature-intermediate strain – sheet-silicates aligned bedding parallel. e-f) sample H: high temperature-high strain end-member - showing deformed slate with recrystallized fossils and quartz in a matrix with pressure solution seams parallel to bedding. Qz: quartz, Py: pyrite, Cal: calcite, S0: bedding.

Figure 8. Fracture porosity. a) Coarse-grained quartz-rich layers intercalated with fine-grained sheet-silicate-rich layers in sample F. b) Strain accommodated in fine-grained sheet-silicate-rich layers by alignment of sheet-silicates and the formation of microfractures. OM: organic material, Qz: quartz, Py: pyrite, Chl: chlorite, S0: bedding.

5

Figure 9. Microstructure and microstructural porosity imaged with BIB-SEM along the temperature gradient. a-b) Low temperature sample A shows smallest porosity, mainly present as intraparticle pores in quartz and dolomite. c-d) Intermediate temperature sample C shows microcracks along sheet-silicates and interparticle pores as effect of dissolution. e-f) High temperature sample H with a defined spaced cleavage shows more microcracks than the intermediate sample C. OM: organic matter, Qz: quartz, Py: pyrite, Fsp: feldspar, Cal: calcite, S0: bedding.

Figure 10. Images of BIB-SEM prepared sub-samples to show different pore morphologies: a) Microfractures occur along grain boundaries of mica aggregates. b) Dissolution pores around and in dolomite (dol) grain. c) Porosity reduction by cement infill of fossils. d) Solid state organic matter (OM), not to be confused with pore-space. e) Intraparticle pores in quartz probably reflecting fluid inclusions. f) SE image of quartz grain with pores in G. Qz: quartz, Cal: calcite.

Figure 11. Porosity measurements obtained by five different methods with indicated sample volumes. Stars indicate sub-surface samples. Errors of MIP data and image analysis fall within the data markers itself. Dashed line is threshold between macrofracture porosity (above) and matrix porosity (below).

Figure 12. Pore ~~size~~ radii and fracture aperture distributions from MIP compared to image analysis of BIB-SEM images. The pore radius is calculated from the pore area and for the fracture aperture, the minor axis of the calculated fit ellipse is used. a-b) Pore size distributions of MIP results of sample A and C. c-d) Pore size distributions of BIB-SEM analysed sub-samples divided in matrix and fracture porosity. MIP and BIB-SEM distributions show same general trend with peak at the 100–200 nm pore radii. Dashed line: large pore sizes (>300 nm) disturb distributions because of heterogeneity effect.

5   **Figure 13. Results from multicycle MIP experiments. Pore radius of the neck entrances vs. cumulative pore volume.**

Figure 14. Conceptual porosity evolution over time linked to different vein generations and fractures. Porosity at max. burial is from BIB-SEM, porosity on retrograde path is based on He-pycnometry and MIP data. Boundaries between different domains are estimates. Tectonic processes on prograde path from Dielforder et al. (2015).

5

10