# 1 Tectono-thermal evolution of Oman's Mesozoic passive

# 2 continental margin under the obducting Semail Ophiolite: a

# 3 case study of Jebel Akhdar, Oman

- 4 Arne Grobe<sup>1,2</sup>, Christoph von Hagke<sup>1</sup>, Ralf Littke<sup>2</sup>, István Dunkl<sup>3</sup>, Franziska Wübbeler<sup>1</sup>,
- 5 Philippe Muchez<sup>4</sup>, Janos L. Urai<sup>1,5</sup>
- 6 <sup>1</sup>Structural Geology, Tectonics, and Geomechanics, EMR Group, RWTH Aachen University, Germany
- <sup>2</sup>Geology and Geochemistry of Petroleum and Coal, EMR Group, RWTH Aachen University, Germany
- 8 <sup>3</sup>Sedimentology & Environmental Geology, Geoscience Center Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Germany
- 9 <sup>4</sup>Geodynamics and Geofluids Research Group, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, KU Leuven,
- 10 Belgium
- <sup>5</sup>Department of Applied Geoscience, German University of Technology in Oman GUtech, Muscat, Oman.
- 12 Correspondence to: Arne Grobe, arne.grobe@rwth-aachen.de, ORCID: 0000-0001-6471-0624
- 13 Keywords: basin modeling, passive margin, obduction, burial, Raman spectroscopy, thermochronology, thermal
- 14 maturity
- 15
- 16 **Abstract.** We present a study of the pressure and temperature evolution in the passive continental margin under
- 17 the Oman Ophiolite, using numerical basin models calibrated with thermal maturity data, fluid inclusion
- thermometry and low-temperature thermochronometry, and building on the results of recent work on the tectonic
- 19 evolution. Because the Oman Mountains experienced only weak post-obduction overprint, they offer a unique
- 20 natural laboratory for this study.
- 21 Thermal maturity data from the Adam Foothills constrain burial in the basin in front of the advancing nappes to at
- 22 least 4 km. Peak temperature evolution in the carbonate platform under the ophiolite depends on the burial depth
- and only weakly on the temperature of the overriding nappes which have cooled during transport from the oceanic
- 24 subduction zone to emplacement. Fluid-inclusion thermometry yields pressure-corrected homogenization
- 25 temperatures of 225 to 266 °C for veins formed during progressive burial, 296-364 °C for veins related to peak
- burial and 184 to 213 °C for veins associated with late-stage strike-slip faulting. In contrast, the overlying
- Hawasina nappes have not been heated above 130-170 °C, as witnessed by only partial resetting of the zircon (U-
- 28 Th)/He thermochronometer.
- 29 In combination with independently determined temperatures from solid bitumen reflectance, we infer that the fluid
- 30 inclusions of peak-burial-related veins formed at minimum pressures of 225-285 MPa. This implies that the rocks
- 31 of the future Jebel Akhdar Dome were buried under 8-10 km of ophiolite on top of 2 km of sedimentary nappes,
- 32 in agreement with thermal maturity data of solid bitumen reflectance and Raman spectroscopy.
- 33 Rapid burial of the passive margin under the ophiolite results in sub-lithostatic pore pressures, as indicated by
- 34 veins formed in dilatant fractures in the carbonates. We infer that overpressure is induced by rapid burial under
- 35 the ophiolite. Tilting of the carbonate platform in combination with overpressure in the passive margin caused
- 36 fluid migration towards the south in front of the advancing nappes.
- Exhumation of the Jebel Akhdar as indicated by our zircon (U-Th)/He data and in agreement with existing work
- 38 on the tectonic evolution, started as early as the late Cretaceous to early Cenozoic, linked with extension above a
- 39 major listric shear zone with top-to-NNE shear sense. In a second exhumation phase the carbonate platform and

- 40 obducted nappes of the Jebel Akhdar Dome cooled together below c. 170 °C between 50 and 40 Ma, before the
- 41 final stage of anticline formation.

#### 1. Introduction

42

61

The Permian-Mesozoic platform sediments of north Oman (Figure 1; e.g. Beurrier et al., 1986; Glennie et al., 43 44 1974; Lippard et al., 1982) with hydrocarbon accumulations in the southern foreland of the Jebel Akhdar Dome 45 (Figures 1 and 2) are overlain by the Semail ophiolite nappe complex, the largest and best-preserved ophiolite on 46 Earth. Limited tectonic extension after obduction followed by uplift, folding and deep erosion and the present-day 47 arid climate formed exceptional exposures in three tectonic windows and in the foreland fold-and-thrust belt of 48 the Oman Mountains (Figure 1). The structural and tectonic evolution of the Oman Mountains has been one main 49 focus of our group in the last 15 years (e.g. Arndt et al., 2014; Gomez-Rivas et al., 2014; Grobe et al., 2016, 2018; 50 Hilgers et al., 2006; Holland et al., 2009a; Virgo et al., 2013a, 2013b) and was investigated in many other studies 51 focusing on tectonic history (Breton et al., 2004; Cooper et al., 2014; Glennie et al., 1973, 1974; Grobe et al., 2018; 52 Loosveld et al., 1996; Searle, 2007), stratigraphic sequences (Van Buchem et al., 2002; Grelaud et al., 2006; 53 Homewood et al., 2008), geodynamic modelling (Duretz et al., 2015), hydrocarbon source rocks (Van Buchem et 54 al., 1996; Philip et al., 1995; Scott, 1990) and reservoir rocks (Arndt et al., 2014; De Keijzer et al., 2007; Koehrer 55 et al., 2011; Virgo et al., 2013a). Less well known is the temperature and pressure evolution of the subophiolite 56 passive margin units and the subsequent cooling history of the Jebel Akhdar (Aldega et al., 2017; Grobe et al., 57 2018; Hansman et al., 2017; Poupeau et al., 1998; Saddiqi et al., 2006). This information is vital for our understanding of the time-temperature history of overthrusted margins and would allow to further constrain 58 59 obduction dynamics and forebulge migration. Combining peak temperature evolution with cooling ages links the 60 burial history with phases of orogeny.




Figure 1: a) Tectonic setting of the Oman Mountains. Dark gray are the three tectonic windows of Hawasina, Jebel Akhdar and Saih Hatat as well as the Adam Foothills. Brown areas show the exposed Semail Ophiolite, black lines denote the obduction fronts of Semail and Masirah ophiolites, red lines denote lithosphere-scale, active faults. The modeled transect (black line) crosscuts the Jebel Akhdar window and continues to the Natih and Fahud oil fields in the southwestern mountain foreland. b) Geologic map of the Jebel Akhdar window with the location of the modeled transect (solid black line) and the locations of thermal maturity data (x).

In other orogens, peak temperatures related to nappe emplacement were reconstructed by analyzing thermal maturity of finely dispersed organic material (e.g. Teichmüller and Teichmüller, 1986; Zagros: Mashhadi et al., 2015; Holy Cross Mountain: Schito et al., 2017; Eastern Alps: Lünsdorf et al., 2012; Southern Alps: Rantitsch and Rainer, 2003; Apennines: Reutter et al., 1988). However, the number of studies of thermal and pressure effects on overthrust sedimentary basins is limited and modeling approaches to reconstruct such large scale overthrusts are increasing but still few (e.g. Aldega et al., 2018; Deville and Sassi, 2006; Ferreiro Mählmann, 2001; Jirman et al., 2018; Oxburgh and Turcotte, 1974; Roure et al., 2010; Schito et al., 2018; Wygrala, 1989). In these studies, a main difficulty is to differentiate between temperature history of overthrusting and overprinting by later phases of orogeny. In the Oman Mountains, peak temperatures reached by obduction have not been overprinted. The whole Permian-Mesozoic sequence of the carbonate platform below the ophiolite is well exposed, providing outcrop to study the pressure and temperature history of this rapidly buried passive-margin sequence. In this paper we present new thermal maturity, thermochronology and fluid inclusion data, and integrate them in a numerical basin model of the pressure-temperature evolution along a transect extending from the undeformed passive margin sequence in the south to the Batinah coast in the north (Figure 2). This helps to constrain temperature and pressure conditions of maximum burial, and the time of dome formation and exhumation linked to the structural and tectonic evolution of the area (Grobe et al., 2018). Our results for the Oman Mountains can be used to understand more deformed orogens, shed light to fluid migration in the early stages of orogeny and on exhumation related to orogenic collapse.

62 63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85




Figure 2: Structural transect used for modeling the Jebel Akhdar Dome and its southern foreland (Al-Lazki et al., 2002; Filbrandt et al., 2006; Searle, 2007; Warburton et al., 1990). Highlighted are the locations of the pseudo-wells (black circles) in Wadi Nakhr, Wadi Yiqah and at Jebel Qusaybah, Adam Foothills, which were used for model calibration.

# 2. Geological setting

### 2.1. Tectonic setting

Along the northeastern coast of Arabia, the NW-SE oriented Oman Mountains form a more than 400 km long anticlinal orogen (Figure 1). The mountain belt consists of allochthonous sedimentary and ophiolitic nappes thrust onto a Permian-Mesozoic passive continental margin (Breton et al., 2004; Glennie et al., 1973; Loosveld et al., 1996; Searle and Cox, 2002).

This continental margin was formed during opening of the Neotethyan ocean (Loosveld et al., 1996) and the formation of the Permian-Mesozoic Hawasina Basin (Béchennec et al., 1988; Bernoulli et al., 1990). The initiation of subsea thrusting of the future Semail Ophiolite onto the Arabian Plate at 97-92 Ma, is recorded by U-Pb geochronology (Rioux et al., 2013, 2016; Warren et al., 2005) and  $^{40}$ Ar/ $^{39}$ Ar dating of the metamorphic sole (Hacker et al., 1996). The advancing ophiolite caused a flexural forebulge that moved southwestwards through the passive margin during the Upper Cretaceous (Robertson, 1987). Forebulge migration induced up to 1100 m of uplift of the Permian-Mesozoic Arabian Platform and erosion of the Cretaceous platform sediments (Searle, 2007), causing the Wasia-Aruma Break (Robertson, 1987).

During this convergence, parts of the Hawasina ocean sediments and volcanic units became detached and accreted in front of and beneath the ophiolite nappe (Béchennec et al., 1988, 1990; Glennie et al., 1974; Searle et al., 2003; Warburton et al., 1990). Palinspastic reconstructions of the Hawasina Nappes locate the position of the initial ophiolite thrusting 300-400 km offshore the Arabian coast (Béchennec et al., 1988; Glennie et al., 1974).

In the carbonate platform, burial under the advancing nappes led to generation of overpressure cells and formation of three crack-seal calcite vein generations (Gomez-Rivas et al., 2014; Grobe et al., 2018; Hilgers et al., 2006; Holland et al., 2009a; Virgo, 2015). The highest grades of metamorphism is recorded by eclogites exposed in As Sifah (Figure 1a), at c. 79 Ma (Warren et al., 2003).

The sedimentary record in the Batinah coast and the foreland, as well as laterite formation on top of the ophiolite suggest subaerial exposure and a slow-down or stopped obduction before lower marine conditions were restored in the Maastrichtian (Coleman, 1981; Forbes et al., 2010; Nolan et al., 1990). This slowdown might relate to the formation of the Makran subduction zone (Agard et al., 2005; Grobe et al., 2018; Hassanzadeh and Wernicke, 2016; Jacobs et al., 2015; Mouthereau, 2011) preserving the early stage of the obduction orogen in Oman.

In the Jebel Akhdar, post-obduction extension took place along ductile top-to-NNE shear zones, at  $64 \pm 4$  Ma (Grobe et al., 2018; Hansman et al., 2018), followed by NW-SE striking normal fault systems (Al-Wardi and

- 121 Butler, 2007; Fournier et al., 2006; Grobe et al., 2018; Hanna, 1990; Hilgers et al., 2006; Holland et al., 2009a,
- 2009b; Loosveld et al., 1996; Mattern and Scharf, 2018; Virgo, 2015).
- Renewed Arabia-Eurasia convergence during the Cenozoic formed the three dome structures. Timing of formation
- 124 and exhumation of the Jebel Akhdar Dome is still debated. Stratigraphic arguments for a late Cretaceous doming
- are Maastrichtian rocks unconformably deposited on Hawasina (Bernoulli et al., 1990; Fournier et al., 2006;
- Hanna, 1990; Nolan et al., 1990), while inclined Miocene strata at the northern fringes of the dome points to a
- Miocene doming (Glennie et al., 1973). Consequently, some models suggest a two-phased exhumation in
- 128 Cretaceous and Miocene (Grobe et al., 2018; Searle, 1985, 2007), in agreement with thermochronological
- constraints and an interpreted two-stage cooling with possible reheating in late Miocene (Poupeau et al., 1998;
- Saddiqi et al., 2006). More recent studies, however, have shown that the data can also be explained by a cooling-
- only scenario with exhumation in the Eocene (Hansman et al., 2017). This is in agreement with recent structural
- observations suggesting early dome formation and later amplification of the structure (Grobe et al., 2018).

### 2.2. Stratigraphic sequence

- 134 Sediments in the Jebel Akhdar area consist of a pre-Permian sequence (Autochthonous A, Figure 3) unconformably
- overlain by a Permian-Mesozoic sequence (Autochthonous B, Figure 3; Beurrier et al., 1986; Breton et al., 2004;
- Glennie et al., 1974; Rabu et al., 1990). During the late Cretaceous, Hawasina nappes and the Semail Ophiolite
- were emplaced onto the passive margin, and neo-autochthonous rocks of Cenozoic age were deposited on top of
- the ophiolite after obduction (Béchennec et al., 1988; Forbes et al., 2010; Loosveld et al., 1996).




Figure 3: Stratigraphy of the Jebel Akhdar area with its two passive margin sequences Autochthonous A and B overthrust by Hawasina and Semail Nappes and unconformably overlain by neo-autochthonous units. Thermal calibration data is shown: ZHe ages (Table 2) show two different grain age clusters. Peak burial temperatures from organic matter maturity (Table 1) outline the temperature increase with stratigraphic age. Temperatures shown relate to the measurements and related uncertainties of the calculations (U = Unit, P = Period). Note that the Semail and Hawasina nappes are shown in their structural rather than stratigraphic positions; lithological data is compiled from Beurrier et al. (1986), Loosveld et al. (1996), Terken et al. (2001) and Forbes et al. (2010).

Autochthonous A deposits are exposed in the Jebel Akhdar window down to the Mistal Fm. (Beurrier et al., 1986). Black limestones of the Hajir Fm., mudstone rich carbonate beds of the Mu'aydin Fm. and lime- and dolostones of the Kharus Fm. conformably overlie the Mistal Fm. (Beurrier et al., 1986; Glennie et al., 1974). Platform breakup is recorded by laminated cherts and volcanoclastics of the Fara Fm. (Beurrier et al., 1986) followed by an unconformity representing a gap from Cambrian to Permian times (Loosveld et al., 1996). After establishment of the Neotethyan Ocean during the Permian, northern Oman returned to stable passive margin conditions and the carbonate platform of the Autochthonous B developed, with the Akhdar Group at its base (Koehrer et al., 2010; Pöppelreiter et al., 2011). This is unconformably overlain by limestones with clastic interlayers of the Jurassic Sahtan Group (Beurrier et al., 1986; Pratt et al., 1990). Limestones with marly, frequently organic-rich intercalations of the Cretaceous Kahmah (Habsi et al., 2014; Vahrenkamp, 2010) and Wasia groups (Grelaud et al., 2006; Homewood et al., 2008; Philip et al., 1995) form the youngest platform sediments (Robertson, 1987; Warburton et al., 1990).

159 The obduction-related moving forebulge and associated uplift ended passive margin deposition and eroded the 160 topmost Wasia Group (Natih Fm.) in the Jebel Akdhar (Figure 3), and deeper in the Saih Hatat region. Deposition 161 in the foredeep basins in front and behind the forebulge was dominated by the syn- and postorogenic, conglomerate-rich sediments of the Muti Fm., Aruma Group (Beurrier et al., 1986; Robertson, 1987). Towards the 162 163 south, in the Adam Foothills, this laterally grades to calcareous foreland sediments of the Fiqa Fm. (Forbes et al., 164 2010; Robertson, 1987; Warburton et al., 1990). 165 Hawasina sediments accreted in front and beneath the ophiolite represent marine slope and basin facies, time equivalent to the Autochthonous B (Béchennec et al., 1990). They are defined as four age-equivalent groups 166 (Hamrat Duru, Al Aridh, Kawr and Umar) representing carbonatic turbidite deposits (Hamrat Duru Group), 167 168 radiolarian cherts and platform carbonates (Al Aridh Group), platform carbonates (Kawr Group) and interbedded 169 carbonates and volcanics (Umar Group, Béchennec et al., 1990). After obduction of oceanic crust onto the passive 170 margin, neo-autochthonous evaporites and carbonates of the Paleocene to Eocene Hadhramaut Gp. and bivalverich dolomites and limestones of the Oligo- to Pliocene Fars Group were deposited south of the mountains 171 172 (Béchennec et al., 1990; Forbes et al., 2010). Paleogeographic reconstructions show that the Oman Mountains had 173 high relief after obduction, followed by a low relief landscape until the early Eocene (Nolan et al., 1990). In the 174 middle Eocene marine transgression caused widespread deposition of limestones, as witnessed e.g. by the Seeb and Ruwaydah Formations (Nolan et al., 1990). Post Eocene times show renewed relief development and 175 continued uplift until recent times (Glennie et al., 1974; Searle, 2007). 176

#### 2.3. Previous paleothermal data of the Autochthon

177 178 Only limited paleo-temperature data are available from the carbonate platform (Fink et al., 2015; Grobe et al., 2016; Holland et al., 2009a; Stenhouse, 2014). Peak-burial temperatures of 226-239 °C for the top of the platform 179 180 were measured using solid bitumen reflectance (also referred to as pyrobitumen reflectance) and Raman 181 spectroscopy of carbonaceous material (RSCM) in the Jebel Akhdar (Grobe et al., 2016). Results indicate peak-182 burial temperatures of 266 to 300 °C (Grobe et al., 2016; Table 1). Temperature estimates based on RSCM and 183 solid bitumen reflectance (Grobe et al., 2016) yielded similar temperatures for the southern flank of 248-280 °C for the Nahr Umr, 226-239 °C for the Natih B and 172-206 °C for the Muti, respectively (Table 1, Figure 3). 184 185 Vein crystallization temperatures of 166-205 °C at the top of the Natih A (near Al Hamra) were measured by quartz-calcite thermometry in veins formed during ophiolite-induced burial (Gen. III of Grobe et al., 2018), and 186 187 approximately 255 °C for veins associated with a later normal fault network (Gen V of Grobe et al., 2018; Stenhouse, 2014). Fluid inclusions (FI) of bedding parallel pinch-and-swell veins (top-to-NNE shear after peak 188 189 burial, Gen. IV of Grobe et al., 2018) show uncorrected minimum trapping temperatures of 134-221 °C in the 190 lower beds of the Sahtan Group at Wadi Nakhr (Holland et al., 2009a). Reflectance measurements of solid-191 bitumen-containing veins in the Wadi Ghul (Gen I of Grobe et al., 2018), which are interpreted to be associated 192 with fluid mobilization during forebulge migration, show maximum temperatures of 230 °C (Fink et al., 2015). 193 Vitrinite reflectance data of Mozafari et al. (2015) shows temperatures of c. 140 °C for the Natih B in the Jebel

#### 2.4. Temperature evolution of the Semail Ophiolite nappe / Allochthon

Qusaybah, Adam Foothills, an area not overthrust by the ophiolite complex.

196 Initial intra-oceanic ophiolite thrusting and associated metamorphism at its sole took place at peak temperatures 197 of  $840 \pm 70$  °C at 97-92 Ma measured at several locations in the Oman Mountains (Gnos and Peters, 1993; Hacker

194

and Mosenfelder, 1996; Rioux et al., 2013; Searle and Cox, 2002; Warren et al., 2003). At 90-85 Ma the base of the ophiolite cooled to  $350 \pm 50$  °C (white mica Ar/Ar dating, Gnos and Peters, 1993). At around 80 Ma the deepest burial of the Oman margin beneath the ophiolite was reached (Hacker and Mosenfelder, 1996; Warren et al., 2005) with temperatures in the metamorphic sole below 300 °C (Le Metour et al., 1990; Saddiqi et al., 2006). A lithospheric scale thermo-mechanical model of the thrusting in northwestern Oman includes a thermal anomaly c. 100 km northwest offshore the Arabian margin to initiate subsea thrusting (Duretz et al., 2015).

## 2.5. Petroleum system elements

Several petroleum systems developed in the carbonate platform of northern Oman with important source rock horizons in the Natih Fm. (Members B and E). Both members contain Type I/II kerogen with total organic carbon contents up to 15 % in the Natih B and up to 5 % in the Natih E, respectively (Terken, 1999). Source rock maturity is restored based on biomarker analysis to c. 0.7 %VR within the Fahud reservoir and c. 0.9 %VR in the Natih reservoir (Terken, 1999). In the southern mountain foreland Natih oil generation started in the middle Cretaceous and continuous until present (Terken, 1999). Ophiolite obduction in the Jebel Akhdar area of northern Oman led to over-mature Natih source rocks (Grobe et al., 2016). The Natih is classified as supercharged, laterally drained, foreland petroleum system (Terken et al., 2001). However, the thermal impact of the moving forebulge and the importance of tectonic processes for fluid migration below and in front of the obduction orogen are not clear. At least three different generations of solid bitumen particles in veins and source rocks on the southern slope of the Jebel Akhdar suggest pulses of hydrocarbon generation and migration in front of the Oman Mountains (Fink et al., 2015; Grobe et al., 2016). In central Oman, Shu'aiba and Tuwaiq oils are produced out of Kahmah and Sahtan Group reservoirs, sealed by argillaceous shales of the Nahr Umr Fm. (Terken et al., 2001). All these units are well-exposed in the Oman Mountains.

## 3. Methods

# 3.1. Raman spectroscopy of carbonaceous material

To determine levels of thermal maturity, over 100 dark, unweathered and organic-rich samples were taken from different stratigraphic units in the Jebel Akhdar (Sahtan Group, Kharaib Fm., Shu'aiba Fm., Nahr Umr Fm., Natih Fm., Muti Fm., Figure 3). Based on total organic carbon (TOC) content as determined by Grobe et al. (2016), 13 samples were selected for thermal maturity analysis on surfaces cut perpendicular to bedding. Results were used to calibrate peak-burial temperatures of the numerical basin models. The organic particles lack sufficient size or surface quality for reflectance measurements and are therefore investigated by confocal Raman spectroscopy of carbonaceous material. The technique measures vibrational energies of chemical bonds which change during temperature induced reorganization of amorphous carbonaceous material (kerogen) to graphite (e.g. Aoya et al., 2010; Beyssac et al., 2002; Kouketsu et al., 2014; Mair et al., 2018). Measurements were conducted at the Geoscience Center, Göttingen, on a Horiba Jobin Yvon HR800 UV spectrometer attached to an Olympus BX-41 microscope and a 100× objective. A high-power diode laser with a wavelength of 488 nm and an output power of 50 mW was installed and a D1 filter avoided sample alteration by heating. Each spectral window (center at 1399.82 cm<sup>-1</sup>, grid of 600 lines/mm) was measured 5 to 10 times for 2 to 10 seconds with a Peltier CCD detector at activated intensity correction. For quality control, the 520.4 cm<sup>-1</sup> line of a Si-wafer was measured every 30

minutes without observable drift of the measurements. To transform the measured data into VR<sub>r</sub> values the scaled total area (STA) approach of Lünsdorf (2016) was applied with the equation of Grobe et al. (2016):

$$VR_r = -\frac{STA - 280.13}{24.71}$$
 [%]

Absolute errors of the applied calibration are in the order of  $\pm$  40 °C, based on comparing neighboring samples (Grobe et al., 2016) we can resolve the relative differences down to  $\pm$  30 °C which also represents the residual error interpreted to relate to within-sample heterogeneity (Lünsdorf et al., 2017; Nibourel et al., 2018).

## 3.2. Fluid inclusion thermometry

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248249

250

251

252

253

254

255256

257258

259

260261

262263

264

265266

267268

269270

271

272

Doubly-polished wafers (c. 200 µm thick) of four vein samples (FI-N1, -N2, -M1, -M2) have been prepared according to the procedure described by Muchez et al. (1994). Fluid inclusion (FI) petrography and microthermometry was performed to analyze the temperature-pressure conditions and fluid's salinity. FIs represent paleofluids accidentally trapped in a crystalline or amorphous solid during crystallization, lithification or both (Diamond, 2003). If unaffected by later changes, trapping pressure and temperature is given by the homogenization temperature (Barker and Goldstein, 1990). Based on the time of trapping primary (mineral growth), secondary (fracture-related) and pseudosecondary inclusions are distinguished (Barker and Goldstein, 1990; Diamond, 2003; Goldstein, 2001; Van Den Kerkhof and Hein, 2001): Two calcite vein samples of the Natih Fm. (FI-N1 and 2, Locations Figure 4) represent conditions related to early burial (FI-N2, structural generation I of Grobe et al., 2018), and burial beneath the ophiolite (FI-N1, structural generation III of Grobe et al., 2018). Two quartz-rich calcite veins of the Muti Fm. (FI-M1 and 2, Locations Figure 4) are related to late, NE-SW striking strike slip faults (generation IX of Grobe et al., 2018). FI assemblages were defined and fluid inclusions measured with a Linkam THMSG600 thermostage (accuracy ± 0.1 °C) attached to an Olympus BX60 microscope at the KU Leuven, Belgium. Calibration was performed using CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O-NaCl, H<sub>2</sub>O-KCl, and H<sub>2</sub>O standards. Homogenization temperatures (T<sub>h</sub>) were measured prior to temperatures of complete freezing  $(T_f)$ , first melt  $(T_{fm})$ , and complete melting of ice  $(T_{m(ice)})$  to avoid stretching or leakage due to the volume increase during ice formation. All measured temperatures were recorded during heating, except for the freezing temperature (T<sub>f</sub>). Pressure corrections of T<sub>h</sub> were conducted with the program FLINCOR (Brown, 1989) for 280 and 340 MPa, assuming 8 to 10 km of ophiolite overburden (see model results, ρ= c. 3070 kg/m³) and 2 km of Hawasina Nappes (ρ= c. 2450 kg/m³), and for 45 MPa, assuming 2 km of sedimentary overburden (Al-Lazki et al., 2002; Grobe et al., 2016). Fluid salinities were calculated from the T<sub>m(ice)</sub> values considering a H<sub>2</sub>O-NaCl

# 3.3. Thermochronometry

composition (Bodnar, 1993), which is based on the T<sub>fm</sub> values.

Zircon (U-Th)/He (ZHe) dating allows to reconstruct the thermal history of the topmost few kilometers of the Earth's crust. Helium retention in less metamict zircon crystals is sensitive in the temperature range between c. 130 and 170 °C, i.e. the zircon partial retention zone (PRZ, Reiners, 2005). 11 rocks sampled above (Muti Fm., Matbat Fm. of the Hamrat Duru Group and Trondjemite of the Semail nappes), below (Mistal Fm., Muaydin Fm., Fara Fm.) and within (Sahtan Gp.) the carbonate platform were selected for ZHe dating. Zircon crystals were released using high voltage pulse crushing (<a href="http://www.selfrag.com">http://www.selfrag.com</a>) and concentrated by standard mineral separation processes (drying, dry sieving, magnetic and heavy liquid separation). Three to eight clear, intact, euhedral single crystals were selected per sample and transferred into platinum micro-capsules. They were degassed under high

- vacuum by heating with an infrared diode and extracted gas purified using a SAES Ti-Zr getter at 450 °C. Helium
- was analyzed with a Hiden triple-filter quadrupole mass spectrometer. Degassed zircons were subsequently
- dissolved in pressurized teflon bombs, spiked and U, Th and Sm measured with a Perkin Elmer Elan DRC II ICP-
- MS equipped with an APEX micro flow nebulizer.
- Time-temperature histories were reconstructed using the HeFTy 1.8.3 software package (Ketcham, 2005) applying
- 278 kinetic zircon properties of Guenther et al. (2013). For samples with reset zircons the only constraint used was a
- 279 minimum temperature above 200 °C between deposition and the calculated ZHe age. Thermal modeling was
- 280 conducted until 100 statistically good time-temperature paths were achieved (goodness of fit: 0.5, value for
- acceptable fit: 0.05). In cases where this was not possible, at least 10,000 independent paths were calculated.

# 3.4. Numerical basin modeling

- 283 Structural evolution was palinspastically reconstructed starting from the present-day profile using Move 2D
- 284 (2016.1, Midland Valley Exploration). Geometries and relative ages of the structures were supplemented with
- subsurface data (Al-Lazki et al., 2002; Filbrandt et al., 2006; Searle et al., 2004; Warburton et al., 1990). The
- 286 reconstruction workflow is based on restoring the pre-deformation layer continuity as follows: (1) faulted layers
- in the southern foreland were restored, (2) doming was retro-deformed by vertical simple shear, before (3) normal
- faults in the Jebel Akhdar were restored. This sequence is based on our tectonic model (Grobe et al., 2018). The
- resulting geometries were used as pre-thrusting input geometries for 2D PetroMod 2014.1 (Schlumberger) basin
- 290 modeling, enabling thermal maturity reconstruction for vitrinite reflectance values of 0.3 to 4.7 % by the use of
- the EASY % Ro approach (Sweeney and Burnham, 1990). The numerical basin model is based on a conceptional
- definition of events. Based on this sequence of events (sedimentation, erosion, hiatus) a forward, event-stepping
- 293 modeling was performed, starting with the deposition of the oldest layer. Subsequent deposition and burial is
- 294 leading to differential compaction of the single rock units. For each event lithologies and related petrophysical
- rock properties were assigned (Figures S1, S2).
- For our conceptual model the following sequence of events was implemented (Figure 3): (1) passive margin
- carbonate sedimentation from Permian until late Cenomanian times (Forbes et al., 2010; Loosveld et al., 1996),
- 298 interrupted by a short erosional period at the Triassic-Jurassic boundary (Koehrer et al., 2010; Loosveld et al.,
- 299 1996), (2) a moving forebulge associated with a paleo-water depth increase in its foredeep and erosion of the top
- of the carbonate platform in the north of the transect (Robertson, 1987), (3) the emplacement of allochthonous
- 301 sedimentary nappes and (4) subsequent, stepwise obduction of the ophiolite with deepest burial reached at c. 79 Ma
- Warren et al., 2005). Modelling ophiolite obduction as rapid emplacement accounts for burial related heat effects
- in the carbonate platform underneath but does not allow to fully restore the temperatures within the ophiolitic or
- sedimentary nappes. The area of the Adam Foothills, represented in the transect by Jebel Qusaybah, is a relic of
- the moving forebulge not overthrust by allochthonous units this was used to calibrate burial depth of the foredeep
- at this point in the transect. The area to the south of the Adam foothills is unaffected by foredeep sedimentation,
- 307 but also lacks thermal calibration data. Absolute ages, thicknesses, lithologies and related petrophysical properties
- as well as source rock properties were associated according to results of our own field mapping and the compiled
- data from Forbes et al. (2010; Figure S1).
- Thermal boundary conditions of the model have been defined for each time step by the basal heat flow (HF) and
- 311 the sediment water interface temperature (SWIT), representing the upper thermal boundary (Figure S3). To
- account for active margin tectonics and uplift and exhumation of the Jebel Akhdar, we assume an increase in basal

- heat flow since the late Cretaceous. The resulting heat flow trend (Figure S3, Terken et al., 2001; Visser, 1991)
- has been assigned to the entire transect and was tested in the sensitivity analysis. Paleo-surface temperatures were
- estimated based on Oman's paleo-latitude (after Wygrala, 1989) corrected by the effect of the paleo-water depth
- 316 (PWD) derived from the facies record (Van Buchem et al., 2002; Immenhauser et al., 1999; Immenhauser and
- Scott, 2002; Koehrer et al., 2010; Pratt et al., 1990; Robertson, 1987). This assumes that a possible heat source
- from the ophiolite itself does not significantly affect the temperature evolution of the top of the carbonate platform
- 319 (see discussion).

331

332

- This set-up has been iterated until modeling results fit the thermal calibration data (Table 1). From  $VR_r$  calculations
- 321 peak-burial temperatures were determined following the approach of Barker and Pawlewicz (1994). For calibration
- of the numerical basin models, data was supplemented by thermal maturity and peak-burial temperature data of
- 323 63 Natih B source rock samples, taken around the Jebel Akhdar Dome (Grobe et al., 2016), and data from the
- 324 Adam Foothills on Jebel Qusaybah (Mozafari et al., 2015).
- Main modelling uncertainties derive from the uncertainty in thickness of paleo-overburden (Muti Fm., Ophiolite,
- 326 Hawasina Nappes) and uncertainty of paleo-basal heat flow. Present-day heat flow was calibrated by data and
- 327 borehole temperatures of Visser (1991) and Rolandone et al. (2013) and peak-burial temperatures determined by
- Raman spectroscopy and solid bitumen reflectance data (Table 1). From surface samples and their position in the
- 329 stratigraphic column various pseudo-wells were created (e.g. Nöth et al., 2001) and used as control points for the
- 2D model (Figure 2). The model was used for sensitivity analyses of different input parameters.

# 4. Results and Interpretation

#### 4.1. Thermal maturity and host rock burial temperatures

- New Raman spectroscopy data of the northern flank are shown in Table 1 and give scaled total areas of 78-172.
- This correspond to peak temperatures of 270-300 °C in the Shu'aiba Fm., 268-305 °C in the Kahmah Group, 283-
- 286 °C in the Sahtan Group, 270-288 °C in the Nahr Umr Fm. and c. 266 °C at the base of the Natih Fm. Based
- on the calculation to  $VR_r$  and temperature an absolute error of  $\pm$  30 °C has to be considered for the single values.
- Thermal maturity data of the Natih Fm. show solid bitumen reflectances of 2.95-3.72 % for the southern flank of
- the Jebel Akhdar (Fink et al, 2015, Grobe et al., 2016), 3.32 % BR for the northern flank (Grobe et al., 2016) and
- a single measurement of 1.1 %VR exists for the Jebel Qusaybah (Mozafari et al., 2015).
- Calculated peak temperatures for the autochthonous Cretaceous deposits in the Jebel Akhdar range between 225
- and 305 °C (± 30 °C, error of the calibration), two Jurassic samples 283 and 286 °C (± 30 °C). Temperatures are
- generally higher on the northern flank (grey boxes, Figure 3) of the Jebel Akhdar and slightly increase with
- stratigraphy in the autochthonous. Samples of the Muti Fm. (178-208  $\pm$  30 °C) and the Hawasina nappes (193-
- 344 213±30 °C) show lower temperatures compared to the autochthonous. A single sample from the Jebel Qusaybah
- reflects peak temperatures of c. 140 °C (Table 1) in an area that was not overthrusted by nappes but buried in the
- related moving forebulge.
- Table 1: Thermal maturity data and calculated peak temperatures of northern Oman (new data highlighted by bold
- sample name). Temperatures from Raman spectroscopy of carbonaceous material are calculated based on the STA
- approach of Lünsdorf (2016) and the equation of Grobe et. al (2016). M/P indicate if measurement was conducted on
- $solid \ bitumen \ particles \ (P) \ or \ organic \ rich \ matrix \ (M). \ Errors \ shown \ relate \ to \ the \ measurements, \ calculation \ errors \ are$
- in the order of  $\pm$ 0. Data in brackets is interpreted to be too low (Nahr Umr) or too high (Natih Vein, Fink et al.
- 352 **2015**).

sample No.			location (l	UTM 40Q)			No. of measurements	mean D_STA	calculated VR, [%]	temperature range	
15_995		Wadi Yiqah	516683	2582911	Sahtan Gp.	М	14	113 +/- 14	6,52	286 +/- 6 °C	
15_997		Wadi Yiqah	517815	2583645	Shu'aiba Fm.	М	10	115 +/- 5	6,69	289 +/- 3 °C	
15_1001		Wadi Taisa	516538	2584640	Kahmah Gp.	М	1	78	8,19	305 °C	
15_1003		Wadi Taisa	516538	2584640	Kahmah Gp.	М	8	96 +/- 9	7,44	297 +/- 4 °C	
15_1008	nk	Wadi Taisa	516562	2584727	Kahmah Gp. (top)	М	8	113 +/- 15	6,78	290 +/- 7 °C	
15_1010	flank	Wadi Taisa	516693	2584882	Shu'aiba Fm.	М	13	98 +/- 11	7,28	295 +/- 5 °C	
15_1010	northern	Wadi Taisa	516693	2584882	Shu'aiba Fm.	Р	4	149 +/- 15	5,31	270 +/- 9 °C	
16_974	the	Tr- Jur fault	515839	2582229	base Sahtan Gp.	Р	6	125 +/- 17	6,29	283 +/- 9 °C	
16_977	וסר	Kharb Plateau	520420	2577490	base Natih Fm.	М	10	156 +/- 9	5,04	266 +/- 6 °C	
16_979	_	Kharb Plateau	519305	2577363	top Nahr Umr Fm.	М	2	117 +/- 4	6,60	288 +/- 2 °C	
16_981		Kharb Plateau	519933	2577201	top Nahr Umr Fm.	М	1	149	5,30	270 °C	
16_984		Wadi Taisa	518069	2583462	Kahmah Gp.	М	3	172 +/- 26	5,29	268 +/- 22 °C	
16_985		Wadi Murri	505508	2592709	Shu'aiba Fm.	М	2	90 +/- 4	7,69	300 +/- 2 °C	
Grobe et al. (2016)_SV10		Wadi Nakhr	521260	2560364	Natih	Р	6	=	2,83	227-231 °C	
Grobe et al. (2016)_AG22		Wadi Nakhr	521255	2560362	Natih	М	4	-	3,72	225-260 °C	
Grobe et al. (2016)_AG01		Wadi Nakhr	520375	2562026	Shu'aiba (Kh 3)	М	4	=	4,49	251-269 °C	
Grobe et al. (2016)_AG11	lank	Sint	505627	2564136	Hawasina	Р	5	-	2,45	193-213 °C	
Grobe et al. (2016)_AG25	Ŧ	Balcony Walk Nakhr	520913	2565658	Nahr Umr	М	4	=	4,23	226-267 °C	
Grobe et al. (2016)_AG26_1	southern	Balcony Walk Nakhr	521052	2565560	Nahr Umr	Р	2	-	(2.58)	(211-213 °C)	
Grobe et al. (2016)_AG26_3	ıth(	Balcony Walk Nakhr	521052	2565560	Nahr Umr	М	2	-	4,96	275-280 °C	
Grobe et al. (2016)_AG27	son	Balcony Walk Nakhr	520879	2565342	Nahr Umr	М	3	-	4,61	248-266 °C	
Grobe et al. (2016)_AG30	,	Balcony Walk Nakhr	520756	2565030	Nahr Umr	М	3	-	4,25	248-257 °C	
Grobe et al. (2016)_AG37		Jebel Shams	514821	2568047	Muti	Р	3	-	2,16	191-208°C	
Grobe et al. (2016)_AG38		Jebel Shams	514930	2567334	Muti	Р	2	-	1,99	172-206 °C	
reference			location (l	JTM 40Q)			No. of measured particles	measured BR <sub>r</sub> [%]	calculated / measured VR, [%]	calculated T <sub>burial</sub> (Barker and Pawlewicz, 1994)	
Grobe et al. (2016)	fl.	Wadi Nakhr area	521216	2560308	Natih B	BR,	253	3.08-3.59	3.08-3.59	226-239 °C	
Fink et al. (2015)		Wadi Nakhr area	518550	2561000	Natih B	BR <sub>r</sub>	200	3.10-3.14	3.06-3.09	225-227 °C	
Fink et al. (2015)	outh.	Wadi Nakhr area	514800	2565950	Natih A Vein	BR,	c. 250	3.40-3.76	(3.31-3.61)	(232-239 °C)	
Grobe et al. (2016)	SC	Al Hamra area	531024	2557020	Natih B	BR,	20	2.95-3.34	2.95-3.34	223-233 °C	
Grobe et al. (2016)	Z	Wadi Sahtan	531010	2585640	Natih B	BR <sub>r</sub>	6	3,32	3,32	232 °C	
Mozafari et al. (2015)		Jebel Qusaybah	507930	2491600	Natih B	VR,	20	-	1,1	c. 140 °C	

# 4.2. Thermochronology

Results of the ZHe dating are shown in Figures 3 and 4; time-temperature paths modeled with HeFTy are included in the electronic supplement (Figures S4 and S5). Samples from the carbonate platform (stratigraphically older than Muti Fm.) have been entirely reset after deposition, as witnessed by Neogene apparent ages. Similarly, cooling ages from the center of the Jebel Akhdar Dome fall in the range of  $48.7 \pm 1.8$  to  $39.8 \pm 3.0$  Ma (Table 2, Figure 4). Sample T4, collected in the Muti Fm., yields an apparent mean age of  $93.8 \pm 6.9$  Ma and samples T5 and T7 of the Hawasina Nappes collected at the northern and the southern slope of the dome, show two grain age clusters of  $43.0 \pm 3.7 / 99.2 \pm 8.5$  Ma, and  $58.9 \pm 7.0 / 106.0 \pm 5.2$  Ma, respectively. In sample T5, an additional single grain age of  $172.9 \pm 14.9$  Ma was obtained.




Figure 4: Map view of ZHe ages (in Ma). Data outlines a general cooling between  $58.9 \pm 7.0$  and  $39.8 \pm 3.0$  Ma. Some samples outside of the dome show two age clusters, with an additional age of c. 100 Ma. Additional temperature data refers to zircon fission track ages of (\*) Saddiqi et al. (2006), Apatite fission track ages of ( $\Delta$ ) Poupeau et al. (1998) and (+) Mount et al. (1998), and AHe, AFT and ZFT ages of (+, grey) Hansmann et al. (2017). Moreover, the locations of samples used for fluid inclusion measurements are shown. Colors in the background depict geological units (brown: ophiolite, pink: Hawasina units, light green: Muti Fm., dark green: Wasia and Kahmah Gp., blue: Sahtan Gp., purple: Mahil Fm, orange: Saiq Fm, grey: pre-Permian, shaded DEM from Esri, Digital Globe, swisstopo, and the GIS user Community).

Table 2: Results of zircon (U-Th)/He dating.

sample	lithology	gy / location	gy / location	н	e		<sup>238</sup> U			<sup>232</sup> Th		Th/U		Sm		ejection	uncorr ected	FT co	orrect	ed		_	
aliquot	Easting	Northing	vol.	1 σ	mass	1σ	conc.	mass	1 σ	conc.	ratio	mass	1 σ	conc.	correct.	He age	He age	2σ	2σ	mean	age	[Ma]	
anquot	Lasting	Northing	[ncc]	[%]	[ng]	[%]	[ppm]	[ng]	[%]	[ppm]	Tatio	[ng]	[%]	[ppm]	(Ft)	[Ma]	[Ma]	[%]	[Ma]				
T1-Z1	sandstone		5.31	0.83	1.04	1.81	212.00	0.38	2.41	77.66	0.37	0.03	10.43	6.44	0.754	38.90	51.60	8.20	4.20				
T1-Z2	547533	2574875	6.05	0.84	1.31	1.81	323.34	0.33	2.41	80.49	0.25	0.01	21.24	2.97	0.737	36.10	49.10	8.70	4.30	48.70	+/-	1.80	
T1-Z3	Fara Fm.	Autochthon A	3.45	0.87	0.84	1.81	212.21	0.30	2.41	74.73	0.35	0.02	14.08	3.83	0.719	31.30	43.60	9.20	4.00	10.70	.,	2.00	
T1-Z4			3.15	0.86	0.64	1.82	178.10	0.34	2.41	95.86	0.54	0.01	15.61	4.16	0.72	36.30	50.50	9.10	4.60				
T2-Z1	tuffite		9.23	0.83	2.04	1.81	352.85	1.03	2.41	178.16	0.50	0.04	9.53	7.26	0.778	33.40	42.90	7.60	3.20				
T2-Z2	547533	2574875	8.58	0.83	1.99	1.81	376.54	0.88	2.41	166.07	0.44	0.07	7.63	14.20	0.757	32.30	42.70	8.10	3.50	46.10	.,	2.00	
T2-Z3	Fara Fm.	Autochthon A	12.48	0.83	2.32	1.81	377.81	1.01	2.41	163.95	0.43	0.03	11.07	5.44	0.789	40.20	51.00	7.30	3.70		+/-	- 2.00	
T2-Z4			6.16	0.83	1.26	1.81	186.92	0.52	2.41	76.65	0.41	0.03	10.98	4.83	0.768	36.80	48.00	7.80	3.80				
T3-Z1	sandstone		3.69	0.86	1.04	1.81	361.71	0.41	2.41	142.73	0.39	0.02	15.90	6.29	0.689	26.90	39.10	10.00	3.90				
T3-Z2	544722	2570255	2.82	0.88	0.63	1.82	254.57	0.22	2.42	87.47	0.34	0.02	12.85	9.07	0.694	34.20	49.40	9.90	4.90				
T3-Z3	Muaydin Fm.	Autochthon A	1.54	0.90	0.35	1.85	116.01	0.23	2.42	75.70	0.65	0.02	17.64	5.19	0.67	31.80	47.50	10.50	5.00				
T3-Z4			4.71	0.84	1.20	1.81	309.13	0.70	2.41	180.18	0.58	0.05	9.18	12.12	0.74	28.50	38.50	8.60	3.30				
T3-Z5			8.91	0.83	1.95	1.81	262.57	1.30	2.41	175.08	0.67	0.07	9.00	9.29	0.761	32.60	42.90	8.00	3.40	42.60	+/-	1.70	
T3-Z6			9.80	0.83	2.52	1.81	283.31	1.13	2.41	127.16	0.45	0.06	7.80	6.56	0.816	29.00	35.60	6.60	2.30				
T3-Z7			11.83	0.83	2.41	1.81	219.27	1.23	2.41	111.66	0.51	0.11	7.31	10.01	0.794	36.10	45.50	7.10	3.20				
T3-Z8			8.41	0.83	1.85	1.81	224.86	1.04	2.41	125.92	0.56	0.07	9.09	8.40	0.784	33.10	42.20	7.40	3.10				
T4-Z1			18.23	0.83		1.81	380.98	0.44	2.41	93.57	0.35	0.02	13.79	3.77	0.736	79.30	107.60	8.70	9.40				
	conglomerate				1.79																.,		
T4-Z2	517510	2560808	10.68	0.83	1.36	1.81	392.55	0.35	2.41	100.65	0.26	0.02	15.99	5.30	0.703	61.20	86.90	9.60	8.40	93.80	+/-	6.90	
T4-Z3	Muti Fm.	Autochthon B	5.24	0.85	0.56	1.82	137.78	0.48	2.41	118.23	0.86	0.04	8.48	11.06	0.738	64.20	86.90	8.60	7.50				
T5-Z1	turbiditic san	dstone	34.15	0.82	3.38	1.81	502.17	0.79	2.41	117.95	0.23	0.10	7.97	14.16	0.781	78.70	100.80	7.50	7.60	106.00	+/-	5.20	
T5-Z2	512934	2561691	13.52	0.83	1.28	1.81	333.42	0.27	2.41	69.42	0.21	0.02	16.57	4.11	0.744	82.70	111.20	8.50	9.50	58.90			
T5-Z3	Matbat Fm.	Hawasina N.	8.95	0.83	1.30	1.81	254.43	0.78	2.41	153.35	0.60	0.01	16.47	2.78	0.754	49.70	65.90	8.20	5.40		+/-	7.00	
T5-Z4			9.21	0.84	1.75	1.81	416.93	0.69	2.41	163.29	0.39	0.04	9.44	9.25	0.766	39.80	51.90	7.90	4.10		·		
T5-Z5			37.88	0.80	51.13	2.33	1.81	561.72	0.37	2.41	90.14	0.16	0.02	11.59	0.741	128.10	172.90	8.60	14.90				
T6-Z1	granodiorite		6.55	0.83	1.00	1.81	241.80	1.28	2.41	311.91	1.29	0.29	5.62	69.36	0.747	41.60	55.60	8.30	4.60	20	+/-		
T6-Z2	478301	2592360	6.39	0.85	0.97	1.81	288.96	1.32	2.41	394.16	1.36	0.28	5.31	84.38	0.719	41.10	57.20	9.10	5.20				
T6-Z3	Trondjemite	Semail Ophio	7.07	0.83	1.06	1.81	314.75	1.79	2.41	528.55	1.68	0.19	5.49	57.19	0.751	39.20	52.30	8.20	4.30			1.20	
T6-Z4			12.11	0.84	1.79	1.81	347.26	3.35	2.41	649.55	1.87	0.31	5.55	61.00	0.769	38.60	50.20	7.70	3.80				
T6-Z5			6.78	0.84	1.08	1.81	273.36	1.46	2.41	368.85	1.35	0.27	5.75	68.70	0.738	39.10	53.00	8.60	4.50				
T7-Z1	quarzite		14.91	0.84	1.56	1.81	427.30	0.43	2.41	118.20	0.28	0.05	9.26	12.45	0.744	73.80	99.20	8.50	8.50	99.20			
T7-Z2	514817	2586049	4.14	0.87	1.35	1.81	428.75	0.38	2.41	119.50	0.28	0.02	12.47	7.90	0.729	23.70	32.50	8.90	2.90				
T7-Z3	Matbat Fm.	Hawasina N.	6.37	0.85	1.33	1.81	274.36	0.30	2.41	62.67	0.23	0.03	10.62	6.71	0.769	37.50	48.80	7.90	3.80				
T7-Z4			9.66	0.81	12.43	2.13	1.81	539.06	0.15	2.45	38.38	0.07	0.01	17.24	0.777	36.90	47.50	7.70	3.70	43.00	+/-	3.70	
T7-Z5			4.03	0.83	5.46	0.94	1.81	232.12	0.47	2.41	115.05	0.50	0.02	12.63	0.738	31.70	43.00	8.60	3.70				
T8-Z1	tuffitic sands	tone	4.60	0.86	1.34	1.81	450.89	1.11	2.41	374.66	0.83	0.16	5.81	53.52	0.759	23.70	31.20	8.00	2.50				
T8-Z2	532600	2578681	2.92	0.85	0.56	1.82	147.09	0.86	2.41	226.75	1.54	0.28	5.14	73.06	0.715	31.40	44.00	9.20	4.00				
T8-Z3	Mistal Fm.	Autochthon A	2.21	0.89		1.83	168.48	0.57	2.41	208.48	1.24	0.05		16.66	0.716	30.90		9.20	4.00	39.80	+/-	3.00	
	Wistai Fill.	Autocitiioii A			0.46								8.65				43.20						
T8-Z4			3.46	0.85	0.85	1.81	212.57	0.41	2.41	103.10	0.49	0.01	14.27	3.65	0.74	30.30	41.00	8.60	3.50				
T9-Z1	quarzite		2.90	0.86	0.61	1.82	238.35	0.50	2.41	198.12	0.83	0.01	16.09	5.23	0.705	33.10	46.90	9.50	4.50				
T9-Z2	532595	2568258	0.72	0.98	0.18	1.94	109.52	0.13	2.43	76.58	0.70	0.05	10.52	29.38	0.674	27.50	40.80	10.50	4.30	45.50	+/-	2.40	
T9-Z3	Mistal Fm.	Autochthon A	2.04	0.89	0.41	1.84	147.39	0.28	2.41	101.51	0.69	0.01	18.70	3.60	0.718	35.10	48.80	9.20	4.50				
T10-Z1	sandstone		5.09	0.85	0.93	1.81	213.39	0.95	2.41	217.83	1.02	0.02	13.41	4.93	0.754	36.40	48.20	8.10	3.90	46.90			
T10-Z2	534779	2572636	6.71	0.83	1.37	1.81	267.61	1.24	2.41	241.07	0.90	0.04	9.18	8.32	0.763	33.30	43.70	7.90	3.40		+/-	4.10	
T10-Z3	Mistal Fm.	Autochthon A	8.97	0.83	2.25	1.81	568.33	1.79	2.41	452.52	0.80	0.04	8.74	10.22	0.723	27.70	38.40	9.00	3.50		.,-		
T10-Z4			2.26	0.88	0.35	1.85	118.10	0.39	2.41	131.18	1.11	0.02	14.08	5.39	0.727	41.80	57.50	8.90	5.10				
T11-Z1	quarzite		4.70	0.84	1.01	1.81	188.02	0.57	2.41	106.02	0.56	0.01	19.39	2.18	0.746	34.00	45.60	8.40	3.80				
T11-Z2	540394	2572230	1.55	0.90	0.39	1.84	109.55	0.33	2.41	93.99	0.86	0.01	20.85	2.31	0.706	27.30	38.80	17.60	6.80	42.50	+/-	2.00	
	Mistal Fm.	Autochthon A	1.50	0.94	0.37	1.84	110.19	0.19	2.42	56.69	0.51	0.01	17.25	3.39	0.693	29.90	43.20	9.90	4.30	1			
T11-Z3	1		5.35	0.85	1.21	1.81	355.93	1.09	2.41	320.43	0.90	0.02	16.47	5.58	0.706	30.10	42.70	9.50	4.00	5			
T11-Z3	sandstone																						
	531776	2582871	4.28	0.86	1.12	1.81	286.68	0.16	2.42	40.59	0.14	0.01	27.93	1.79	0.736	30.70	41.70	8.80	3.70				
T12-Z1		2582871 Autochthon B	4.28	0.86	1.12	1.81	286.68 349.54	0.16	2.42	40.59 44.41	0.14	0.01	27.93 22.03	1.79 2.70	0.736 0.719	30.70 28.70	41.70 39.90	8.80 9.20	3.70	40.10	+/-	1.50	

- These ages indicate a large-scale cooling signal that affects the entire Jebel Akhdar area; the ZHe age pattern and 1D thermal models indicate a phase of rapid cooling below 170  $^{\circ}$ C in the early Cenozoic (58.9  $\pm$  7.0 and
- 377 39.8  $\pm$  3.0 Ma). The range of modeled cooling paths outline maximum cooling rates of 2-8 °C/Myr. This is
- followed by slower cooling until the present day.
- Data from the Muti Fm. and the Hawasina units differ partly from this trend: the apparent ZHe ages of clasts in
- 380 the Muti sample T4 (mean:  $93.8 \pm 6.9$  Ma) is as old as its respective stratigraphic age (Robertson, 1987). Even
- though all ages reproduce within error, this indicates partial reset of the ZHe system, as post-depositional reheating
- 382 above closure temperature would result in younger ages. Samples of the lower Hawasina Nappes contain two grain
- 383 age clusters. Older ages coincide with higher uranium concentrations suggesting that only the younger ages
- 384 represent thermally reset zircons. We note that the older ZHe ages of 110-95 Ma coincide with timing of forebulge
- migration through the area, as independently determined in the stratigraphic record by the Wasia-Aruma Break
- 386 (Figure 3). This may be either pure coincidence, due to partial resetting of an older grain age population, or may
- be a grain age population with higher closure temperature witnessing exhumation. We discuss reasons for different
- resetting temperatures below. However, partial reset of ZHe ages suggests that the Hawasina samples have not
- experienced temperatures exceeding the partial retention zone (PRZ) of 130-170 °C.
- A sample from an intrusive body of the Semail Ophiolite yields ZHe ages of  $53.7 \pm 1.2$  Ma (T6) with a modeled
- cooling path gradually decreasing into the PRZ until c. 55 Ma. This time interval of passing the PRZ is comparable
- to the Hawasina nappe samples beneath the ophiolite but occurs slightly earlier than cooling of the Autochthonous.
- Nevertheless, Semail Ophiolite, Hawasina Nappes and the autochthonous margin sequence were affected by the
- same cooling event that was possibly initiated by exhumation of the Jebel Akhdar Dome.

# 395 4.3. Fluid inclusions

- The Muti veins' samples FI-M1 and M2 of the southern Jebel Akhdar show evidence of crack and seal processes
- 397 (youngest parts in the center of the vein, Ma-2010-11b and 14a of Arndt 2015) with blocky quartz grains that
- contain two kinds of roundish primary FIs with sizes of  $3-20 \,\mu m$ . They are mainly aligned along dark zones and
- are interpreted as growth zones or form bright clusters in the central part of the crystals. A third set of fluid
- 400 inclusions (FIs) appears in large, grain-crosscutting trails interpreted to be of secondary origin. Calcite crystals
- within the Natih veins contain bright FIs with sizes of 2-20 µm and are edgy, often rectangular or trapezoidal in
- shape. Identified primary FIs are aligned parallel to crystal growth zones.
- 403 All measured FIs are two-phase, liquid-vapor inclusions with ice as last phase to melt. The Muti samples show
- 404  $T_{fm(ice)}$  between -5.1  $\pm$  0.5 and -4.6  $\pm$  0.3 °C and  $T_{m(ice)}$  at -2.2  $\pm$  0.2 to -1.9  $\pm$  0.1 °C, the Natih sample  $T_{fm}$  of -
- 405 18.4  $\pm$  1.9 to -20.2  $\pm$  2.1 °C and  $T_{m(ice)}$  of -7.1  $\pm$  0.3 to -8.9  $\pm$  1.8 °C (Table 3). First melting temperatures of all
- 406 inclusions correspond to an H<sub>2</sub>O-NaCl system and complete melting temperatures of ice indicate salinities similar
- 407 to seawater  $(3.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ to } 3.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ wt.-}\% \text{ NaCl eq., Muti Fm., Figure S6})$  or three times higher  $(10.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ to } 10.3 \text{ to } 10.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ to } 10.3 \text{ to } 10.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ to } 10.3 \text{ to }$
- 408  $12.5 \pm 2.0$  wt.-% NaCl eq., Natih Fm., Figure S6).
- Table 3: Results of FI microthermometry. Identified FI types, their measured homogenization temperatures and results
- 410 of the pressure correction for 280 and 340 MPa accounting for 8 and 10 km of ophiolite with partly serpentinized mantle
- 411 sequence and 2 km of Hawasina nappes, and for 45 MPa accounting for 2 km of sedimentary overburden for samples
- unaffected by ophiolite obduction. First melting  $(T_{fm})$  and final melting of ice  $(T_{m ice})$  temperatures and salinities are
- given. Data by Holland et al. (2009) are added for comparison and we likewise corrected their homogenization
- 414 temperatures. (\* further heating was avoided to prevent fluid inclusion damage)

sample No.	vein orient., location and host mineral	FI kind	No. of FIA	T <sub>h</sub> [°C]		orrected T [°C] 45 MPa	T <sub>fm</sub> [°C]	T <sub>m ice</sub> [°C]	salinity [wt% NaCl]	
	NE-SW striking	primary	21	166 +/- 7	189	9+/-7	-4.7 +/- 0.2	-2.2 +/- 0.2	3.5 +/- 0.3	
FI-M1	strike-slip vein (IX), Muti Fm.	primary	22	189 +/- 3	213 +/- 3		-4.6 +/- 0.3	-2.0 +/- 0.3	3.2 +/- 0.4	
	Gorge area, quartz	secondary	18	> 200*	>	224	-4.6 +/- 0.2	-2.0 +/- 0	3.2 +/- 0	
					pressure corrected T [°C] for 45 MPa					
	NE-SW striking	primary	24	161 +/- 3	184	4+/- 3	-5.1 +/- 0.5	-1.9 +/- 0.1	3.0 +/- 0.2	
FI-M2	strike-slip vein (IX), Muti Fm.	secondary	12	116 +/- 12	138	3+/- 12	-	-	-	
	Gorge area, quartz	secondary	24	150 +/- 2	172 +/- 2		-	-	-	
					for 280 MPa for 340 MPa					
FI-N1	Natih Fm., NW-SE	primary	14	90 +/- 5	235 +/- 5	266 +/- 5	-18.4 +/- 1.9	-7.1 +/- 0.3	10.3 +/- 0.3	
FI-INI	burial vein (III), Wadi Nakhr, calcite	primary	26	(114 +/- 7)	(264 +/- 7)	(297 +/- 7)	-20.2 +/- 2.1	-8.9 +/- 1.8	12.5 +/- 2.0	
EL NO	Natih Fm., early E-W vein (I)			00 / 1	225 . / 4	256 . / 4				
FI-N2	Al Raheba, calcite	primary	10	80 +/- 4	225 +/- 4	256 +/- 4	-	-	-	
					for 280 MPa	for 340 MPa				
Holland et al. (2009)	Sahtan Gp., bedding parallel shear vein, top-to-NE (IV), Wadi Nakhr, quartz	primary and pseudosec.	n.a.	134-141	296-303	357-364	from -19	-3.7 to -2.3	3.8 to 6.0	

Primary inclusions in quartz crystals from the Muti Fm. show minimum trapping temperatures of  $161 \pm 3$  to  $166 \pm 7$  °C (Table 3, FI-M2 and middle of FI-M1) with a second primary population of  $189 \pm 3$  °C (sides of vein FI-M1).  $T_h$  of secondary inclusions in FI-M1 are above 200 °C. In sample FI-M2, two generations of secondary inclusions were observed, both reflecting lower  $T_h$  than the primary inclusions. No hints of necking down, leakage or stretching were observed at the measured inclusions and over 90 % of the measured FIs in one assemblage are in the range of 10-15 °C representing a good quality of the measurements (Goldstein, 2001).

Samples FI-N1 and N2 of the Natih Fm. in the southern Jebel Akhdar (Figure 4) contain primary inclusions hosted by calcite crystals giving  $T_h$  of  $80 \pm 4$ ,  $90 \pm 5$  and  $114 \pm 7$  °C (Table 3). The latter population is often characterized by elongated, possibly stretched FI, and is not considered for further interpretations. Assuming vein formation during burial (Grobe et al., 2018; Hilgers et al., 2006; Holland et al., 2009a; Virgo, 2015) under 8 to 10 km of ophiolite including partially serpentinized peridotite and 2 km of Hawasina Nappes, results were pressure corrected for 280 and 340 MPa leading to corrected homogenization temperatures of  $235 \pm 5$  and  $266 \pm 5$  °C (FI-N1), and  $225 \pm 4$  and  $256 \pm 4$  °C (FI-N2, Table 3). Signs of strong deformation such as twinning or cleavage were not observed in the measured inclusions; secondary inclusions were present but not measured.

These temperatures represent minimum trapping conditions of a paleo-fluid and do not necessarily represent burial temperatures of the host rock. It should be noted that the analyzed Natih veins formed bedding confined (Grobe et al., 2018; Holland et al., 2009a; Virgo, 2015) and show host rock buffered carbonate isotope signatures (Arndt et al., 2014; Hilgers et al., 2006). This corroborates the idea that analyzed veins were in thermal equilibrium with their host rocks.

FI microthermometry of late strike-slip veins in the Muti Fm. are interpreted to have formed after dome formation (Grobe et al., 2018; Virgo, 2015) at an assumed minimum depth of 2 km (preserved allochthonous thickness). A pressure correction for the related 45 MPa corresponds to minimum fluid trapping temperatures of  $184 \pm 3$  °C (FI-

M2) and  $213 \pm 3$  °C (FI-M1) with a later phase of primary inclusions outlining  $189 \pm 7$  °C and even cooler secondary inclusions of  $138 \pm 12$  to  $172 \pm 2$  °C (FI-M1 and M2, Table 3). These cooler fluid temperatures can be explained by further exhumation of the Jebel Akhdar and, hence, cooling of the fluids' reservoir during crack-seal vein formation. Isotope studies on the vein calcite do not support an open system with fluid exchange (Stenhouse, 2014; Virgo and Arndt, 2010), hence, we interpret the formation of strike-slip related veins as having formed during exhumation following peak burial.

Based on the assumption that fluid and host rock were in thermal equilibrium, we can use maturity data in combination with fluid inclusion data to estimate the pressure at vein formation. Peak temperatures of the Sahtan Group revealed by RSCM reached  $283 \pm 9$  to  $286 \pm 6$  °C (Table 1, Figure 5 red line) and enable to solve the pressure-temperature couples of FIs measured in Sahtan veins formed at deepest burial by Holland et al. (2009, black line). This results in minimum trapping pressures of  $254 \pm 30$  MPa at times of vein formation (Figure 5 blue line), which correspond to times close to or at deepest burial of the carbonate platform.





Figure 5: Fluid inclusion isochores (solid black lines) of analyzed fluid inclusion populations with corresponding std. deviations (shaded areas, for Sahtan Group data of Holland et al., 2009, conservatively  $\pm\,10^{\circ}$ C are assumed). To estimate the pressure conditions during vein formation, calculated temperatures from thermal maturity data are added for the Sahtan Group (red line with error) and result in minimum trapping pressures of 254  $\pm\,30$  MPa during peak burial (blue line with error).

# 4.4. Basin modeling

Numerical basin modeling integrates all data and tests the individual interpretations in the thermal and geodynamic framework. Deepest burial was constrained with thermal maturity data and exhumation with thermochronological data. In the following we present our best fit model, considering a mixed ophiolite lithology (Searle and Cox, 2002) consisting of strongly serpentinized peridotites. Then, the sensitivity of important results to changes of relevant input parameters are discussed.

Modeled evolution of the transect over time is given in Figures 6 and 7, showing (a) final deposition of the Autochthonous B, (b) erosion of the Natih Fm. in the North by a moving foredeep (no erosion in S, full erosion in N), (c) emplacement of 1400 m of Hawasina Nappes, and d-e) ophiolite obduction reconstructed by rapid, stepwise sedimentation. After maximum burial beneath the ophiolite complex at c. 80 Ma (Warren et al., 2005) exhumation is assumed to start slightly prior to 55 Ma (Saddiqi et al., 2006) with a rapid phase of cooling below c. 200 °C at 55 Ma leading to lower temperatures in the Jebel Akhdar region. 1D burial plots of two pseudo-wells created out of point data in Wadi Nakhr and Wadi Yiqah are shown in Figure 8.





471

472

473

474





Figure 7: Modeling results: Temperature distribution and temporal evolution along the transect of Figure 6. Highlighted with vertical lines in the background are the locations of present-day oil fields and sampled valley locations.

477478






Figure 8 Modeling results: Two representative burial plots for two pseudo-wells created near the entrances of Wadi Nakhr and Yiqah (Figures 1, 6 and 7) show two phases of rapid burial related to Hawasina and Semail Nappe emplacement and c. 88 Ma and ophiolite emplacement at c. 78 Ma. Burial in the North (Wadi Yiqah) starts c. 2 Myr earlier due to ophiolite obduction taking place from N to S.

As a model set up only presents one possible solution out of several, sensitivity analyses with varying paleooverburden thicknesses (Figures 9 and 10), changing degree of serpentinization of the ophiolite and varying basal heat flow during deepest burial (Figure 11) are presented and discussed below.

480

481

482

 $\begin{array}{c} 483 \\ 484 \end{array}$ 

485

486

Thermal maturity data of the Natih B at Jebel Qusaybah (1.1 %  $VR_r$ ), Adam Foothills, require peak temperatures of c. 140 °C (Table 1). Sensitivity analyses of the overburden above the Natih Fm. show that maximum 4 km of sedimentary overburden (Figures 9a and 10a) is needed to match the calibration data (Figures 9a and 10a).






Figure 9: Sensitivity analysis of paleo-overburden and its influences on temperature in comparison to calculated peak temperatures (gray area) for pseudo-wells at Jebel Qusaybah (a), Wadi Nakhr (b) and Wadi Yiqah (c).

# a) Maturity calibration: Foredeep / Jebel Qusaybah




Figure 10: Sensitivity analysis of paleo-overburden and its influences on thermal maturity in comparison to calibration data (gray area). Data is used to calibrate burial depth of the foredeep at the Jebel Qusaybah (a) and the paleo-ophiolite thickness at the southern flank of the Mountains at Nakhr (b). Its northern counterpart at Yiqah (c) is in agreement with the temperature data of Figure 9, however to mature to be reconstructed by standard maturity modelling (Sweeney and Burnham, 1990).

To restore the former minimum thickness of the Semail Ophiolite, the thickness of the Hawasina Nappes along the transect was fixed to 2 km, as suggested by the maximum present-day thickness of the Jebel Misht exotics. To reach the required thermal conditions measured at the entrance of the Wadi Nakhr (Natih B: 2.83-3.72 % VR<sub>r</sub>, 225-260 °C; Grobe et al., 2016), 8-10 km of original, total thickness of strongly serpentinized ophiolite sequence are needed in addition to the 2 km of Hawasina Nappes (Figures 9b and 10b). These thicknesses are also sufficient to reach peak temperatures calculated for older stratigraphy at the northern flank of the Jebel Akhdar Dome (Shu'aiba Fm. at Wadi Yiqah: 270-295 °C by RSCM, Figures 9c and 10c). Modeling results show an earlier heating and more rapid increase in maturity in the north. We associate this with the 2 Mys earlier onset of obduction and, hence, a longer burial of the northern carbonate platform (Wadi Yiqah) under the active ophiolite obduction compared to is southern counterpart (Béchennec et al., 1990; Cowan et al., 2014).

Another factor influencing the modeling results is related to the lithology of the overburden and its compaction. In the special case of burial under an ophiolite, serpentinization of peridotite and its impact on ophiolite density and thermal conductivity must be considered. Sensitivity analysis of ophiolite serpentinization shows the temperature and thermal maturity effects on our model (Figure 11). A model-case of ophiolite without any serpentinized peridotite (0 %-case,  $\rho_{ophio}=3133 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ) would represent the largest deviation compared to our bestcase model assuming complete ophiolite serpentinization (100 %-case, ρ<sub>ophio</sub>=3069 kg/m³). This density is based on Al-Lazki et al. (2002). Even if the upper part of the ophiolite was missing in the Jebel Akhdar area (Nicolas and Boudier, 2015), this and the field data of Searle and Cox (2002) in the Saih Hatat support strong serpentinization. A less serpentinized ophiolite means higher densities and related higher thermal conductivities of the overburden and thus lower peak temperatures in the sediments below. In the case of no serpentinization, peak temperature of Natih B in the Wadi Nakhr would decrease by c. 60 °C resulting in a maximum thermal maturity decrease of 1.5 % VR. The best fit model with an ophiolite thickness of 8-10 km would need additional 3 km of overburden at 0 % serpentinization to equally match the measured thermal maturities. Additional thicknesses of 0.75 km (75 % serpentinization), 1.5 km (50 % serpentinization) and 2.25 km (25 % serpentinization) apply for lower degrees of serpentinization, respectively (compare Fig. 9). Results depend strongly on basal heat flow (Figure S3). The best fit model of 40 mW/m<sup>2</sup> at maximum burial is

Results depend strongly on basal heat flow (Figure S3). The best fit model of 40 mW/m² at maximum burial is typical for a passive continental margin setting. If this heat flow at peak burial would be lowered to 30 mW/m² an additional amount of 1.2 km of ophiolitic overburden would be required to achieve a match with thermal calibration data (Figure 11). Increased heat flow values to 50, 60 or 70 mW/m² would result in lowering of overburden by 1.3, 2.4 and 3.5 km, respectively (Figure 11).




Figure 11: Sensitivity analysis: Top: Different degrees of serpentinization of the peridotite within the Semail Ophiolite affect the temperature (left) and thermal maturity (right) evolution (modeled for Natih B Fm. at Wadi Nakhr). Pure peridotite (0 % serpentinization) require additional 3 km of ophiolite in addition to the 8-10 km of the best-fit model to equally match the calibration data. 100 % refers to complete serpentinization of the peridotite in the ophiolite. Bottom: The influence of variable heat flow values at peak burial on temperature (left) and thermal maturity (right).

532533

534

535

536

537

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521522

523

524

525

526

527528

529

530

#### 5. Discussion

Evaluating uncertainties in basin and petroleum system models is especially important for complex areas such as the Jebel Akhdar, where sedimentary rocks reached high temperatures and maturities due to deep and rapid burial. In the following, we discuss these uncertainties with respect to temperature and burial history, overpressure build-up and induced fluid flow. For all presented basin models of the study area, the following assumptions apply: (1) decompacting the present-day lithologies does not consider rock volume lost by pressure solution. This is probably of minor importance in our study area as host-rock buffered isotope ratios of the veins were interpreted as local sinks for nearby dissolved calcite (Arndt et al., 2014; Hilgers et al., 2006), so that the overall rock volume remains approximately constant, (2) decompaction only accounts for burial, whereas a possible tectonic compaction is neglected (Neumaier, 2015) and (3) calculated overpressure does not include a rock volume decrease due to pressure solution.

### 5.1. Burial history

Little is known about the very early phase of burial, before 91 Ma (Figures 6 and 7, Grobe et al., 2018). The assumptions for this period are based on hypotheses on the tectonic evolution of the passive continental margin as well as data on thickness of sedimentary units but are not strongly constrained by geological data.

In Turonian times (Robertson, 1987) a southwest-ward-moving forebulge, related to plate convergence, affected northern Oman. It eroded the northeastern platform edge and migrated southwest-ward to the present-day position of the Adam Foothills (Robertson, 1987). Measured thermal maturities of 1.1 % VR<sub>r</sub> were used to reconstruct peak temperatures during burial in Jebel Qusaybah, Adam Foothills to c. 140 °C. Numerical basin modeling results reveal that additional paleo-overburden of maximum 4 km (Natih B, Qusaybah, Figure 10) is required to reach these temperatures. The exhumation history of the Adam Foothills is not well known; our model is based on an interpreted late exhumation during the Miocene (Claringbould et al., 2013). Earlier exhumation would shorten the time span of the rock at higher temperatures (Figure 7), lead to decreased thermal maturity and, hence, would require additional overburden to match the measured thermal maturity data. Therefore, the resulting burial of 4 to 4.5 km has to be regarded as minimum value. South of the Adam Foothills basin geometries do not show tilting and are interpreted as not affected by the moving foredeep. Here peak burial was reached under c. 3 km of Fiqa, Hadhramaut and Fars formations. This is based on the assumption that present-day burial equals deepest burial as no thermal calibration data of the area south of Jebel Qusaybah are available, which is in agreement with interpretations of Terken (1999) and Warburton et al. (1990).

In case of the Jebel Akhdar, peak temperatures were reached as a consequence of burial below the ophiolite (Loosveld et al., 1996; Searle et al., 2003; Searle, 2007; Warren et al., 2005). Here the sedimentary rocks reached high temperatures and maturities as shown by solid bitumen reflectance, RSCM, FT-IR and Rock-Eval pyrolysis data (Fink et al., 2015; Grobe et al., 2016). Pre-obduction burial by sedimentation is not sufficient for such high thermal maturities, and it likewise cannot be explained by increased basal heat flow before 91 Ma or after 55 Ma. Influence of local hydrothermal effects cannot be excluded, but because the entire Jebel Akhdar reached high temperatures, short-term, local events are unlikely to have been dominant. A regional thermal overprint on the passive margin sediments by warm ophiolite obduction can be excluded and is hence not accounted for in the model. Due to the at least 2 km thick imbricated Hawasina Nappes between the ophiolite and the passive margin sequence, the thermal overprint did not affect the top of the carbonate platform. Limited thermal overprint of the units underlying the ophiolite is supported by the fact that the sediments of the nappes directly below the ophiolite

578 do not show signs of regional metamorphism in the Jebel Akhdar region (Searle, 1985). Moreover, the thermal 579 imprint as observed by the metamorphic sole in northern Oman only affects 10's of meters in the sub-thrust Hawasina Nappes (Searle and Cox, 2002) and not the carbonate platform sediments below. This minor overprint 580 581 is also observed in other areas (e.g. Wygrala, 1989). 582 To reach the measured maturity values in the Jebel Akhdar, a paleo-thickness of the ophiolite in the order of 8-583 10 km on top of 2 km of Hawasina Nappes is required (Figure 10); this corresponds to 280 to 340 MPa of lithostatic 584 pressure, in rough agreement with the pressure reconstructed by combining fluid inclusion data and independently 585 determined thermal rock maturity temperatures (cf. FI results:  $254 \pm 30$  MPa). 586 Basin modeling indicates that highest temperatures were reached later than deepest burial under the ophiolite 587 (Figure 7), directly prior to exhumation. This difference is interpreted as the time advection needs to heat the rock. 588 Deep burial under the ophiolite represents the only time in the basin's evolution when ductile limestone 589 deformation was possible (Grobe et al., 2018). However, there is uncertainty concerning the exact timing of deepest burial in the Jebel Akhdar (we used 79 Ma according to U-Pb dating of eclogites in the Saih Hatat window; 590 591 Warren et al., 2005), the related basal heat flow (discussion, Fig. S2) and the beginning of early exhumation (we 592 used 55 Ma, as discussed below). A later exhumation would not be sufficient to match observed thermal maturities 593 with thermometry data. The slightly higher temperatures of the model compared to thermometry data suggest that 594 an even quicker exhumation might have taken place. 595 Our peak temperatures are in agreement with temperatures of c. 200 °C suggested for the top of the carbonate 596 platform by Breton et al. (2004), non-reset zircon fission tracks in the pre-Permian basement indicating peak 597 temperatures up to 280 °C (Saddiqi et al., 2006), and ductile limestone conditions observed at the Jurassic-598 Cretaceous boundary (Grobe et al., 2018, Figure 7). Moreover, thermal maturities of the same stratigraphic units 599 show similar values along the transect and around the dome (Grobe et al., 2016). Hence, we assume a similar burial 600 history for the entire Jebel Akhdar and were able to refine previous models (Grobe et al., 2016) with the here 601 presented larger dataset. The temperatures used in our models are in contrast with recent results on mixed layers 602 illite-smectite and clay mineral assemblages from the Jebel Akhdar by Aldega et al. (2017) who argue for peak 603 temperatures of 150-200 °C on the northern flank of the Jebel Akhdar and 120-150 °C on the southern flank. These 604 values are incompatible with our solid bitumen and Raman spectroscopy data, as well as with the overmature Natih 605 B source rock on the southern flank (data presented here and in Grobe et al., 2016). Independent data on temperatures from fluid inclusions confirm the higher temperature range. At present, there is no clear explanation 606 607 for this discrepancy. However, it has been shown that the vitrinite reflectance system is more sensitive to rapid 608 temperature changes than clay mineralogy (e.g. Hillier et al., 1995; Velde and Lanson, 1993). If burial was short 609 enough, the clay minerals may not have time to recrystallize, possibly due to a lack of potassium, whereas vitrinite reflectance increases. Alternatively, we speculate that the clay minerals were transformed during top-to-NNE 610 611 shearing, thus their state do not show peak burial. Indeed it has been shown that deformation associated with this 612 early extension reaches deeply into the passive margin sequence, and includes the Rayda and Shuaiba Formations 613 (Grobe et al., 2018; Mattern and Scharf, 2018). Furthermore, Aldega et al. (2017) argue that the cooling history 614 proposed by Grobe et al. (2016) indicates temperature in the basement < 70°C during the Eocene-Oligocene, thus 615 not accounting for thermochronological data in pre-Permian basement rocks. In fact, the calibration data we used 616 for the basement indicate rapid cooling at  $55 \pm 5$  Ma (Poupeau et al., 1998; Saddiqi et al., 2006), in agreement with

models of Grobe et al. (2016) and the exhumation presented in this work.

This exhumation might be a result of the ductile top-to-NNE shearing event ( $64 \pm 4$  Ma, Hansman et al., 2018; Grobe et al., 2018). Its onset marks the exhumation of the carbonate platform after deepest burial. Related peak temperatures measured in fluid inclusions of bedding parallel veins were estimated to  $186\text{-}221\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  by Holland et al. (2009) assuming an ophiolitic overburden of 5 km (Sahtan Fm., Wadi Nakhr). If we adjust this pressure correction for higher values of 280 to 340 MPa accounting for the here elaborated 8 to 10 km of ophiolite and 2 km of sedimentary nappes, trapping temperatures would increase to c. 296-364 °C (Table 3), which are in the order of the maximum burial temperatures as deduced from organic matter maturity.

Figure 12 presents a summary burial graph integrating all presented data in a plot of the temperature evolution over time. Additional pressure data is gained by fluid inclusion thermometry: These data indicate paleo-fluid temperatures in the range of  $225 \pm 4$  °C (280 MPa) to  $266 \pm 5$  °C (340 MPa) during burial under the ophiolite (bedding-confined veins), c. 296-364 °C at peak burial (top-to-NNE sheared veins) and  $213 \pm 3$  °C during exhumation with a later phase of primary inclusion outlining  $184 \pm 3$  to  $189 \pm 7$  °C (both strike-slip related veins). Temperature decrease within the latter formed parts of the strike-slip veins might relate to a change of fluid source or to exhumation during vein formation. In combination with our thermochronology data the second possibility appears more likely and would imply strike-slip faults developed after c. 55 Ma.






Figure 12: Summary sketch of burial and exhumation for the top of the carbonate platform (Natih Fm.) integrating all presented datasets. Headings refer to the tectonic phases and captions to the structural generations I-IX (Grobe et al., 2018) and enlarged ages reflect deepest burial reached at c. 79 Ma, the onset of initial dome formation at 64 Ma (topto-NNE shearing) and rapid exhumation active at 40 Ma. Temperatures on the right are based on RSCM and FI thermometry. Pressure at peak burial is calculated from FI measurements and independently determined temperature data to  $p_P = 254 \pm 30$  MPa and  $p_L = 340$  MPa. The exhumation history is reconstructed from ZHe ages.

#### 5.2. **Exhumation history**

641 642 Our new thermochronology data from the central part of the Jebel Akhdar Dome suggest cooling below the reset temperature of the ZHe thermochronometer (c. 130-170 °C) between  $48.7 \pm 1.8$  and  $39.8 \pm 3.0$  Ma (Table 2, 643 644 Figure 4). The small variation in cooling ages for the different stratigraphic levels indicates rapid passage of the 645 entire rock suite through the ZHe partial retention zone, and consequently rapid exhumation of the Jebel Akhdar Dome. This Eocene cooling is in agreement with ZHe ages of pre-Permian strata of Hansman et al. (2017) ranging 646 647 between  $62 \pm 3$  and  $39 \pm 2$  Ma. Apatite fission track (AFT) ages measured in the basement of the Jebel Akhdar range between 55  $\pm$  5 Ma and 48  $\pm$  7 Ma (4 samples, Poupeau et al., 1998) and 51  $\pm$  8 Ma to 32  $\pm$  4 Ma (Hansman 648 649 et al., 2017). The temperature of resetting the AFT system (i.e. the depth of the base of the partial annealing zone) 650 may vary depending on annealing kinetics. For different apatite crystals this temperature ranges between 100 and 651 120 °C (Carlson et al., 1999; Fitzgerald et al., 2006). Hence, these AFT ages reproduce within error with our ZHe 652 results, despites the fact that both systems are sensitive to different temperature intervals (100-120 °C and 130-170 °C, respectively This supports the interpretation of rapid exhumation of the Jebel Akhdar at c. 55 Ma. Zircon 653 654 fission track ages witness cooling of the Jebel Akhdar below c. 260 °C between 96 and 70 Ma (Saddiqi et al., 655 2006). This implies slow cooling thereafter (c. 100° between 70 and 55 Ma) until rapid exhumation at c. 55 Ma. Earlier exhumation would not result in required thermal maturities as exposure of the rock to highest temperatures 656 657 would be too short for thermal equilibration. A reheating event in the late Miocene is not required to explain the 658 659 Our ZHe data from the Muti Formation and the Hawasina Nappes show a spread in ages, between 173 and 43 Ma, 660 i.e. partly much older than the ages observed in the stratigraphically lower units in the center of the dome. A spread in (U-Th)/He-ages is often observed, and has been attributed to radiation damage density, uneven 661 662 distribution of mother isotopes in the dated crystal, broken grains, grain chemistry, among other causes (e.g. 663 Flowers et al., 2009; Guenther et al., 2013). Several studies show that samples from sedimentary rocks are 664 particularly prone to spread in ages (e.g. von Hagke et al., 2012; Ketcham et al., 2018; Levina et al., 2014). This is because transported grains are subject to abrasion, which influences age correction for grain geometry and may 665 obscure presence of inclusions within the crystal. Additionally, dated grains can originate from different sources, 666

result in different reset temperatures, and consequently different grains (or grain age populations) represent 668 669 different thermochronometers.

667

670

671

672 673

674

675

676 677

678 679

680

681

It is difficult to prove the existence of such multiple thermochronometers, as independent parameters indicative for different kinetics have not yet been established. Indeed, statistical analysis of different grain age populations requires dating of multiple grains (e.g. to be 95 % certain that a population representing 5 % of the grains is not missed 117 single grain ages need to be dated, Vermeesch (2004)). In any case, reproducing ages determined in different samples indicates the data is geologically meaningful, i.e. the observed spread is the result of partial resetting and/or different kinetics and not the result of factors independent of the time-temperature history, such as undetected inclusions or external helium implantation. We thus interpret the system as only partially reset, implying these units were not heated above the reset temperature (approximately 130-170 °C) after deposition. This interpretation is corroborated by unreset ZHe ages in the Hawasina Window (Figure 1, Csontos, pers. comm.). The top of the Natih Formation experienced temperatures above 220 °C. We suggest that this apparent contradiction may be explained by juxtaposition of the colder Muti and Hawasina units against the top of the carbonate platform during extensional top-to-NNE shearing. This implies that at least 50 °C of cooling are

and thus have a different chemical composition and a different pre-depositional temperature history. This may

associated with post obduction extension, i.e. before doming. A two-stage exhumation history of the Jebel Akhdar
Dome has also been inferred from structural data (Grobe et al., 2018; Mattern and Scharf, 2018) and the
stratigraphic record (Fournier et al., 2006; Mann et al., 1990). Top-to-NNE shearing is associated with tectonic
thinning of the ophiolite (Grobe et al., 2018). This tectonic denudation will also result in cooling, and may explain
why so little ophiolite is found in the post-obduction sediments. Additionally, ophiolitic material may have been
lost to the Gulf of Oman.

# **5.3.** Pressure evolution

688

- 689 Evolution of pore pressures was modelled (Figures S7 and S8) assuming a seal on top of the Natih Fm.
- $(k_{\text{Muti}}=10^{-23} \text{ m}^2)$ . Porosity was lost during Muti deposition in the moving forebulge (top seal) and related burial, the
- 691 emplacement of the Hawasina Nappes and the ophiolite, which induced compaction and a remaining very low
- 692 porosity of c. 1 %. Hydrostatic pressure increased with burial under the moving forebulge at 88 Ma to 40 MPa,
- after Muti deposition to 60 MPa and after ophiolite emplacement to 120 MPa. Calculated pore pressure rise above
- 694 hydrostatic pressure in response to Hawasina Nappe and ophiolite emplacement.
- 695 Formation of tensile fractures, as inferred from bedding confined, Mode-I veins in the Natih Fm. (Arndt et al.,
- 696 2014; Grobe et al., 2018; Holland et al., 2009a; Virgo, 2015), require internal fluid pressures (P<sub>f</sub>) exceeding the
- sum of the stress acting normal on the fracture surface ( $\sigma_3$ ) and the tensile stress of the rock (T):  $P_f > \sigma_3 + T$ , and
- a differential stress ( $\sigma_1$   $\sigma_3$ ) below 4T (Secor, 1965). Host-rock buffered vein isotope compositions indicate that
- the veins were formed by local fluids (Arndt et al., 2014) and, hence, require local overpressure cells.
- 700 Sensitivity analyses of reduced permeabilities of Muti, Natih and Nahr Umr formations show that overpressure
- 701 generation, necessary for rock fracturing, requires a very good top seal and also a reduced horizontal permeability
- of the Natih Fm. of  $10^{-23}$  m<sup>2</sup> (Figure S7 and S8). A top seal on its own is not sufficient for overpressures initiating
- rock failure. This case results in pore pressures up to 300 MPa within the top Natih and localized overpressures of
- 704 195 MPa in front of the obducting ophiolite.
- 705 All results indicate that without low horizontal permeabilities of the Natih Fm. ≤ 10<sup>-23</sup> m² overpressure cells
- 706 required for vein formation cannot be generated. The reduced permeabilities in the Natih Fm. are necessary to
- prevent an early, tectonically-driven horizontal pressure release.

# 5.4. Fluid migration

- Numerical basin modeling shows that rapid burial of sedimentary rocks below the ophiolite (88-80 Ma) caused
- vinder-compaction, i.e. a porosity too high with respect to burial depth, and consequent pore pressure increase.
- 711 Two example model results of fluid migration in front of the obducting ophiolite are shown in the electronic
- 5712 supplement Figure S9. If low permeabilities are assigned to the non-source-rock members of the Natih Fm.,
- 713 migration will mainly take place within the source rocks and at layer interfaces within the Natih Fm. If the complete
- Natih Fm. has low permeabilities, fluids will leave the source rock vertically first, before lateral migration localizes
- along layer boundaries. The pressure gradient between overpressures below the allochthonous nappes and the less
- deeply buried southern foreland initiates tectonically-driven fluid migration in front of the obducting nappes, an
- 717 idea that was first introduced by Oliver (1986). Solid bitumen accumulations in black stained calcite veins are in
- agreement with this interpretation (Fink et al., 2015).

- 719 Dome formation of the Jebel Akhdar anticline around 55 Ma initiated layer tilting and consequent southward
- 720 migration of the generated hydrocarbons as observed by secondary low reflective solid bitumen generations in
- Natih veins and host rocks at the southern flank of the Oman Mountains (Fink et al., 2015; Grobe et al., 2016).

### 6. Conclusions

722

733

- 723 This study provides insights into the temperature evolution during obduction, prior to subsequent orogenesis.
- 724 Arabia's passive continental margin was buried to at least 4 km at times of foredeep migration and afterwards
- 725 under 8-10 km of Semail Ophiolite and 2 km of sedimentary Hawasina Nappes. Deepest burial under the ophiolite
- 726 resulted in peak temperatures of up to 300 °C (Shu'aiba Fm.) with sub-lithostatic pore pressures. Ophiolite
- obduction and overpressure cells expelled fluids towards the foreland, through matrix and fracture porosity.
- 728 ZHe data show cooling associated with forebulge migration, as well as with exhumation of the Jebel Akhdar Dome.
- 729 Exhumation of the Jebel Akhdar Dome took place in two stages. A first stage is associated with top-to-NNE
- shearing, which is responsible for at least 50 °C of cooling, as witnessed by juxtaposition of units including
- 731 partially reset ZHe ages against units that experienced more than 220 °C. ZHe data show the second exhumation
- phase, associated with doming of the Jebel Akhdar occurred between 49 and 39 Ma.

### **Author contribution**

- 734 JLU, RL and AG initiated and planned the study. AG planned and carried out fieldwork as well as thermal maturity
- 735 measurements (VR, solid bitumen reflectance, Raman spectroscopy), structural interpretations and basin
- 736 modelling. AG, CvH, JU, ID and FW carried out fieldwork and structural interpretations. FW and ID conducted
- the thermochronological measurements with help of CvH. PM and AG performed fluid inclusion thermometry.
- AG and CvH prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

### 739 **Acknowledgements**

- We acknowledge the highly-appreciated help of Donka Macherey (sample preparation, RWTH Aachen), the team
- of the KU Leuven (fluid inclusion measurements) and Keno Lünsdorf (Raman spectroscopy, Georg-August-
- University, Göttingen). Sample crushing was realized by the team of SELFRAG, Switzerland. Wiekert Visser and
- Victoria Sachse are thanked for fruitful discussions; Gösta Hoffmann and Wilfried Bauer of GUtech are thanked
- 744 for helping with field logistics. We are grateful for comments of Edwin Gnos, Andreas Scharf, Wolf-Christian
- Dullo and Mark Handy on earlier versions of this manuscript and the reviews of Massimiliano Zattin, Luca Aldega,
- 746 Bruce Levell and Federico Rossetti.

#### References

- 748 Agard, P., Omrani, J., Jolivet, L. and Mouthereau, F.: Convergence history across Zagros (Iran): constraints from
- 749 collisional and earlier deformation, Int. J. Earth Sci., 94(3), 401–419, doi:10.1007/s00531-005-0481-4, 2005.
- 750 Al-Lazki, A. I., Seber, D., Sandvol, E. and Barazangi, M.: A crustal transect across the Oman Mountains on the
- eastern margin of Arabia, GeoArabia, 7(1), 47–78, 2002.
- Al-Wardi, M. and Butler, R. W. H.: Constrictional extensional tectonics in the northern Oman mountains, its role

- 753 in culmination development and the exhumation of the subducted Arabian continental margin, Geol. Soc. London,
- 754 Spec. Publ., 272(1), 187–202, doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.2007.272.01.11, 2007.
- Aldega, L., Carminati, E., Scharf, A., Mattern, F. and Al-Wardi, M.: Estimating original thickness and extent of
- 756 the Semail Ophiolite in the eastern Oman Mountains by paleothermal indicators, Mar. Pet. Geol., 84, 18–33,
- 757 doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2017.03.024, 2017.
- 758 Aldega, L., Bigi, S., Carminati, E., Trippetta, F., Corrado, S. and Kavoosi, M. A.: The Zagros fold-and-thrust belt
- 759 in the Fars province (Iran): II. Thermal evolution, Mar. Pet. Geol., 93, 376–390,
- 760 doi:10.1016/J.MARPETGEO.2018.03.022, 2018.
- 761 Aoya, M., Kouketsu, Y., Endo, S., Shimizu, H., Mizukami, T., Nakamura, D. and Wallis, S.: Extending the
- 762 applicability of the Raman carbonaceous-material geothermometer using data from contact metamorphic rocks, J.
- 763 Metamorph. Geol., 28(9), 895–914, doi:10.1111/j.1525-1314.2010.00896.x, 2010.
- Arndt, M., Virgo, S., Cox, S. F. and Urai, J. L.: Changes in fluid pathways in a calcite vein mesh (Natih Fm, Oman
- 765 Mountains): insights from stable isotopes, Geofluids, 14(4), 391–418, doi:10.1111/gfl.12083, 2014.
- 766 Barker, C. E. E. and Pawlewicz, M. J. J.: Calculation of vitrinite reflectance from thermal histories and peak
- temperatures, in Vitrinite Reflectance as a Maturity Parameter, vol. 570, edited by P. Mukhopadhyay and W. Dow,
- pp. 216–229, American Chemical Society., 1994.
- 769 Béchennec, F., Metour, J. L. E., Rabu, D., Villey, M. and Beurrier, M.: The Hawasina Basin: A fragment of a
- starved passive continental margin, thrust over the Arabian Platform during obduction of the Sumail Nappe,
- 771 Tectonophysics, 151(1–4), 323–343, doi:10.1016/0040-1951(88)90251-X, 1988.
- 772 Béchennec, F., Le Metour, J., Rabu, D., Bourdillon-de-Grissac, C., de Wever, P., Beurrier, M. and Villey, M.: The
- Hawasina Nappes: stratigraphy, palaeogeography and structural evolution of a fragment of the south-Tethyan
- passive continental margin, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 49(1), 213-223,
- 775 doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.1992.049.01.14, 1990.
- 8 Bernoulli, D., Weissert, H. and Blome, C. D.: Evolution of the Triassic Hawasina Basin, Central Oman Mountains,
- 777 Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 49(1), 189–202, doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.1992.049.01.12, 1990.
- Beurrier, M., Bechennec, F., Rabu, D. and Hutin, G.: Geological Map of Rustaq explanatory notes, Sultanat
- 779 Oman, Minist. Pet. Miner., 1986.
- 780 Beyssac, O., Goffé, B., Chopin, C. and Rouzaud, J. N.: Raman spectra of carbonaceous material in metasediments:
- 781 A new geothermometer, J. Metamorph. Geol., 20, 859–871, doi:10.1046/j.1525-1314.2002.00408.x, 2002.
- Bodnar, R. J.: Revised equation and table for determining the freezing point depression of H2O-NaCl solutions,
- 783 Gechimica Cosmochim. Acta, 57, 683–684, 1993.
- 784 Breton, J. P., Béchennec, F., Le Métour, J., Moen-Maurel, L. and Razin, P.: Eoalpine (Cretaceous) evolution of
- 785 the Oman Tethyan continental margin: Insights from a structural field study in Jabal Akhdar (Oman Mountains),
- 786 GeoArabia, 9(2), 41–58, 2004.
- Brown, P. E.: FLINCOR; a microcomputer program for the reduction and investigation of fluid-inclusion data,
- 788 Am. Mineral., 74, 1390–1393, 1989.
- Van Buchem, F. S. P., Razin, P., Homewood, P. W., Philip, J. M., Eberli, G. P., Platel, J. P., Roger, J., Eschard,
- R., Desaubliaux, G. M. J., Boisseau, T., Leduc, J. P., Labourdette, R. and Cantaloube, S.: High resolution sequence
- stratigraphy of the Natih Formation (Cenomanian/Turonian) in northern Oman: distribution of source rocks and
- 792 reservoir facies, GeoArabia, 1(1), 65–91, 1996.
- Van Buchem, F. S. P., Razin, P., Homewood, P. W., Oterdoom, W. H. and Philip, J.: Stratigraphic organization of

- 794 carbonate ramps and organic- rich intrashelf basins: Natih Formation (middle Cretaceous) of northern Oman, Am.
- 795 Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull., 86(1), 21–53, doi:10.1306/61EEDA30-173E-11D7-8645000102C1865D, 2002.
- 796 Carlson, W. D., Donelick, R. A. and Ketcham, R. A.: Variability of apatite fission-track annealing kinetics: I.
- 797 Experimental results, Am. Mineral., 84(9), 1213–1223, doi:10.2138/am-1999-0901, 1999.
- Claringbould, J. S., Hyden, B. B., Sarg, J. F. and Trudgill, B. D.: Structural evolution of a salt-cored, domed,
- 799 reactivated fault complex, Jebel Madar, Oman, J. Struct. Geol., 51, 118–131, doi:10.1016/j.jsg.2013.03.001, 2013.
- 800 Coleman, R. G.: Tectonic Setting for Ophiolite Obduction in Oman, J. Geophys. Res., 86(B4), 2497–2508, 1981.
- 801 Cooper, D. J. W., Ali, M. Y. and Searle, M. P.: Structure of the northern Oman Mountains from the Semail
- 802 Ophiolite to the Foreland Basin, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 392, 129–153, 2014.
- 803 Cowan, R. J., Searle, M. P. and Waters, D. J.: Structure of the metamorphic sole to the Oman Ophiolite, Sumeini
- Window and Wadi Tayyin: implications for ophiolite obduction processes, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 392(1),
- 805 155–175, doi:10.1144/SP392.8, 2014.
- Deville, E. and Sassi, W.: Contrasting thermal evolution of thrust systems: An analytical and modeling approach
- 807 in the front of the western Alps, Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull., 90(6), 887–907, doi:10.1306/01090605046, 2006.
- 808 Duretz, T., Agard, P., Yamato, P., Ducassou, C. C. C., Burov, E. B. and Gerya, T. V.: Thermo-mechanical
- 809 modeling of the obduction process based on the Oman Ophiolite case, Gondwana Res.,
- 810 doi:10.1016/j.gr.2015.02.002, 2015.
- Ferreiro Mählmann, R.: Correlation of very low grade data to calibrate a thermal maturity model in a nappe tectonic
- setting, a case study from the Alps, Tectonophysics, 334, 1–33, 2001.
- Filbrandt, J. B., Al-Dhahab, S., Al-Habsy, A., Harris, K., Keating, J., Al-mahruqi, S., Ozkaya, S. I., Richard, P. D.
- 814 and Robertson, T.: Kinematic interpretation and structural evolution of North Oman, Block 6, since the Late
- Cretaceous and implications for timing of hydrocarbon migration into Cretaceous reservoirs, GeoArabia, 11(1),
- 816 97–115, 2006.
- Fink, R., Virgo, S., Arndt, M., Visser, W., Littke, R. and Urai, J. L. L.: Solid bitumen in calcite veins from the
- Natih Formation in the Oman Mountains: multiple phases of petroleum migration in a changing stress field, Int. J.
- 819 Coal Geol., 157, 39–51, doi:10.1016/j.coal.2015.07.012, 2015.
- 820 Fitzgerald, P. G., Baldwin, S. L., Webb, L. E. and O'Sullivan, P. .: He data from slowly cooled crustal terranes
- and the interpretation of intra-sample variations of single crystal apatite ages from vertical profiles., Chem. Geol.,
- 822 225, 91–120, 2006.
- Flowers, R. M., Ketcham, R. A., Shuster, D. L. and Farley, K. A.: Apatite (U-Th)/He thermochronometry using a
- 824 radiation damage accumulation and annealing model, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 73(8), 2347-2365,
- 825 doi:10.1016/J.GCA.2009.01.015, 2009.
- 826 Forbes, G. A., Jansen, H. S. M. and Schreurs, J.: Lexicon of Oman Subsurface Stratigraphy Reference Guide
- to the Stratigraphy of Oman's Hydrocarbon Basins, GeoArabia Spec. Publ. 5, 2010.
- Fournier, M., Lepvrier, C., Razin, P. and Jolivet, L.: Late Cretaceous to Paleogene post-obduction extension and
- subsequent Neogene compression in the Oman Mountains, GeoArabia, 11(4), 17–40, 2006.
- 830 Glennie, K. W., Boeuf, M. G. A., Clarke, M. W. H., Moody-Stuart, M., Pilaar, W. F. H. and Reinhardt, B. M.:
- Late Cretaceous Nappes in Oman Mountains and Their Geologic Evolution: Reply, Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull.,
- 832 57(1), 5–27, 1973.
- Glennie, K. W., Boeuf, M. G. A., Hughes Clarke, M. W., Moody-Stuart, M., Pilaar, W. F. H. and Reinhardt, B.
- M.: Geology of the Oman Mountains, Verh. van het K. Ned. Geol. Mijnbouwkd. Genoot., 31, 432, 1974.

- 835 Gnos, E. and Peters, T.: K-Ar ages of the metamorphic sole of the Semail Ophiolite: implications for ophiolite
- cooling history, Contrib. to Mineral. Petrol., 113, 325–332, 1993.
- 837 Goldstein, R. H.: Fluid inclusions in sedimentary and diagenetic systems, Lithos, 55(1-4), 159-193,
- 838 doi:10.1016/S0024-4937(00)00044-X, 2001.
- Gomez-Rivas, E., Bons, P. D., Koehn, D., Urai, J. L., Arndt, M., Virgo, S., Laurich, B., Zeeb, C., Stark, L. and
- Blum, P.: The Jabal Akhdar Dome in the Oman mountains: Evolution of a dynamic fracture system, Am. J. Sci.,
- 841 314(7), 1104–1139, doi:10.2475/07.2014.02, 2014.
- 842 Grelaud, C., Razin, P., Homewood, P. W. and Schwab, a. M.: Development of Incisions on a Periodically
- Emergent Carbonate Platform (Natih Formation, Late Cretaceous, Oman), J. Sediment. Res., 76(4), 647–669,
- 844 doi:10.2110/jsr.2006.058, 2006.
- 645 Grobe, A., Littke, R., Urai, J. L. J. L. J. L. Linsdorf, N. K. K., Littke, R. and Lünsdorf, N. K. K.: Hydrocarbon
- generation and migration under a large overthrust: The carbonate platform under the Semail Ophiolite, Jebel
- 847 Akhdar, Oman, Int. J. Coal Geol., 168, 1–17, doi:10.1016/j.coal.2016.02.007, 2016.
- 648 Grobe, A., Virgo, S., von Hagke, C., Urai, J. L. L. and Littke, R.: Multiphase Structural Evolution of a Continental
- 849 Margin During Obduction Orogeny: Insights From the Jebel Akhdar Dome, Oman Mountains, Tectonics, 37(3),
- 850 888–913, doi:10.1002/2016TC004442, 2018.
- Guenther, W. R., Reiners, P. W., Ketcham, R. A., Nasdala, L. and Giester, G.: American Journal of Science, Am.
- 852 J. Sci., 313(March), 145–198, doi:10.2475/03.2013.01, 2013.
- Habsi, N. Al, Shukaili, M. Al, Tooqi, S. Al, Ehrenberg, S. N. and Bernecker, M.: Lithofacies, diagenesis and
- reservoir quality of Upper Shu'aiba reservoirs in northwestern Oman, GeoArabia, 19(4), 145–182, 2014.
- Hacker, B. R. and Mosenfelder, J. L.: Metamorphism and deformation along the emplacement thrust of the Samail
- ophiolite, Oman, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 144(3–4), 435–451, doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(96)00186-0, 1996.
- 857 Hacker, B. R., Mosenfelder, J. L. and Gnos, E.: Rapid emplacement of the Oman ophiolite: Thermal and
- geochronologic constraints, Tectonics, 15(6), 1230–1247, 1996.
- von Hagke, C., Cederbom, C. E., Oncken, O., Stöckli, D. F., Rahn, M. K. and Schlunegger, F.: Linking the northern
- Alps with their foreland: The latest exhumation history resolved by low-temperature thermochronology, Tectonics,
- 861 31(5), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2011TC003078, 2012.
- Hanna, S. S.: The Alpine deformation of the Central Oman Mountains, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 49(1),
- 863 341–359, doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.1992.049.01.21, 1990.
- Hansman, R. J., Ring, U., Thomson, S. N. and Brok, B. Den: Late Eocene uplift of the Al Hajar Mountains, Oman,
- supported by stratigraphy and low-temperature thermochronology, Tectonics, doi:10.1002/2017TC004672, 2017.
- Hansman, R. J., Albert, R., Gerdes, A. and Ring, U.: Absolute ages of multiple generations of brittle structures by
- 867 U-Pb dating of calcite, Geology, doi:10.1130/G39822.1, 2018.
- Hassanzadeh, J. and Wernicke, B. P.: The Neotethyan Sanandaj-Sirjan zone of Iran as an archetype for passive
- 869 margin-arc transitions, Tectonics, 25(3), 586–621, doi:10.1002/2015TC003926, 2016.
- Hilgers, C., Kirschner, D. L., Breton, J. P. P. and Urai, J. L.: Fracture sealing and fluid overpressures in limestones
- of the Jabal Akhdar dome, Oman mountains, Geofluids, 6(2), 168–184, doi:10.1111/j.1468-8123.2006.00141.x,
- 872 2006.
- Hillier, S., Mátyás, J., Matter, A. and Vasseur, G.: Illite/smectite diagenesis and its variable correlation with
- 874 vitrinite reflectance in the Pannonian Basin, Clays Clay Miner., 43(2), 174–183,
- 875 doi:10.1346/CCMN.1995.0430204, 1995.

- Holland, M., Urai, J. L., Muchez, P. and Willemse, E. J. M.: Evolution of fractures in a highly dynamic thermal,
- hydraulic, and mechanical system (I) Field observations in Mesozoic Carbonates, Jabal Shams, Oman Mountains,
- 878 GeoArabia, 14(1), 57–110, 2009a.
- Holland, M., Saxena, N. and Urai, J. L.: Evolution of fractures in a highly dynamic thermal, hydraulic, and
- mechanical system (II) Remote sensing fracture analysis, Jabal Shams, Oman mountains, GeoArabia, 14(3), 163–
- 881 194, 2009b.
- Homewood, P., Razin, P., Grélaud, C., Droste, H., Vahrenkamp, V., Mettraux, M. and Mattner, J.: Outcrop
- 883 sedimentology of the Natih Formation, northern Oman: A field guide to selected outcrops in the Adam Foothills
- and Al Jabal al Akhdar areas, GeoArabia, 13(3), 39–120, 2008.
- 885 Immenhauser, A. and Scott, R. W.: An estimate of Albian sea-level amplitudes and its implication for the duration
- 886 of stratigraphic hiatuses, Sediment. Geol., 152(1–2), 19–28, doi:10.1016/S0037-0738(02)00260-9, 2002.
- 887 Immenhauser, A., Schlager, W., Burns, S. J., Scott, R. W., Geel, T., Lehmann, J., van der Gaast, S. and Bolder-
- 888 Schrijver, L. J. A. J. a.: Late Aptian to late Albian sea-level fluctuations constrained by geochemical and biological
- evidence (Nahr Umr Formation, Oman), J. Sediment. Res., 69(2), 434–446, doi:10.2110/jsr.69.434, 1999.
- Jacobs, J., Thomas, R. J., Ksienzyk, A. K. and Dunkl, I. I.: Tracking the Oman Ophiolite to the surface New
- fission track and (U-Th)/He data from the Aswad and Khor Fakkan Blocks, United Arab Emirates, Tectonophysics,
- 892 644, 68–80, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2014.12.018, 2015.
- 893 Jirman, P., Geršlová, E., Kalvoda, J. and Melichar, R.: 2d basin modelling in the eastern variscan fold belt (Czech
- Republic): influence of thrusting on patterns of thermal maturation, J. Pet. Geol., 41(2), 175–188,
- 895 doi:10.1111/jpg.12699, 2018.
- B96 De Keijzer, M., Hillgartner, H., Al Dhahab, S. and Rawnsley, K.: A surface-subsurface study of reservoir-scale
- fracture heterogeneities in Cretaceous carbonates, North Oman, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 270(1), 227–244,
- 898 doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.2007.270.01.15, 2007.
- 899 Ketcham, R. A.: Forward and Inverse Modeling of Low-Temperature Thermochronometry Data, Rev. Mineral.
- 900 Geochemistry, 58, 275–314, doi:10.2138/rmg.2005.58.11, 2005.
- Ketcham, R. A., Mora, A. and Parra, M.: Deciphering exhumation and burial history with multi-sample down-well
- 902 thermochronometric inverse modelling, Basin Res., 30, 48–64, doi:10.1111/bre.12207, 2018.
- 903 Koehrer, B., Zeller, M., Aigner, T., Poeppelreiter, M., Milroy, P., Forke, H. and Al-Kindi, S.: Facies and
- 904 stratigraphic framework of a Khuff outcrop equivalent: Saiq and Mahil formations, Al Jabal al-Akhdar, Sultanate
- 905 of Oman, GeoArabia, 15(2), 91–156, 2010.
- Woehrer, B., Aigner, T. and Poppelreiter, M.: Field-scale geometries of Upper Khuff reservoir geobodies in an
- outcrop analogue (Oman Mountains, Sultanate of Oman), Pet. Geosci., 17(1), 3–16, doi:10.1144/1354-079310-
- 908 009, 2011.
- Kouketsu, Y., Mizukami, T., Mori, H., Endo, S., Aoya, M., Hara, H., Nakamura, D. and Wallis, S.: A new approach
- to develop the Raman carbonaceous material geothermometer for low-grade metamorphism using peak width, Isl.
- 911 Arc, 23, 33–50, doi:10.1111/iar.12057, 2014.
- 912 Levina, M., Horton, B. K., Fuentes, F. and Stockli, D. F.: Cenozoic sedimentation and exhumation of the foreland
- basin system preserved in the Precordillera thrust belt (31-32°S), southern central Andes, Argentina, Tectonics,
- 914 33(9), 1659–1680, doi:10.1002/2013TC003424, 2014.
- 915 Lippard, S. J., Smewing, J. D., Rothery, D. a. and Browning, P.: The geology of the Dibba zone, northern Oman
- 916 mountains a preliminary study, J. Geol. Soc. London., 139(1), 59–66, doi:10.1144/gsjgs.139.1.0059, 1982.

- 917 Loosveld, R. J. H., Bell, A. and Terken, J. J. M.: The Tectonic Evolution of Interior Oman, GeoArabia, 1(1), 28–
- 918 51 [online] Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=geh&AN=1998-
- 919 061521&site=ehost-live&scope=cite, 1996.
- 920 Lünsdorf, N. K.: Raman spectroscopy of dispersed vitrinite methodical aspects and correlation with reflectance,
- 921 Int. J. Coal Geol., 153(1), 75–86, doi:10.1016/j.coal.2015.11.010, 2016.
- 922 Lünsdorf, N. K., Dunkl, I., Schmidt, B. C., Rantitsch, G. and von Eynatten, H.: The thermal history of the Steinach
- Nappe (eastern Alps) during extension along the Brenner Normal Fault system indicated by organic maturation
- 924 and zircon (U-Th)/ He thermochronology, Austrian J. Earth Sci., 105(3), 17–25, 2012.
- 925 Lünsdorf, N. K., Dunkl, I., Schmidt, B. C., Rantitsch, G. and von Eynatten, H.: Towards a Higher Comparability
- 926 of Geothermometric Data Obtained by Raman Spectroscopy of Carbonaceous Material. Part 2: A Revised
- 927 Geothermometer, Geostand. Geoanalytical Res., 41(4), 593–612, doi:10.1111/ggr.12178, 2017.
- Mair, D., Lechmann, A., Herwegh, M., Nibourel, L. and Schlunegger, F.: Linking Alpine deformation in the Aar
- 929 Massif basement and its cover units the case of the Jungfrau–Eiger mountains (Central Alps, Switzerland), Solid
- 930 Earth, 9(5), 1099–1122, doi:10.5194/se-9-1099-2018, 2018.
- Mann, a., Hanna, S. S. and Nolan, S. C.: The post-Campanian tectonic evolution of the Central Oman Mountains:
- 932 Tertiary extension of the Eastern Arabian Margin, Geol. Tectonics Oman Reg., 49(1), 549-563,
- 933 doi:10.1144/gsl.sp.1992.049.01.33, 1990.
- 934 Mashhadi, Z. S., Rabbani, A. R. and Kamali, M. R.: Geochemical characteristics and hydrocarbon generation
- 935 modeling of the Kazhdumi (Early Cretaceous), Gurpi (Late Cretaceous) and Pabdeh (Paleogene) formations,
- 936 Iranian sector of the Persian Gulf, Mar. Pet. Geol., 66, 978–997, doi:10.1016/J.MARPETGEO.2015.08.008, 2015.
- 937 Mattern, F. and Scharf, A.: Postobductional extension along and within the Frontal Range of the Eastern Oman
- 938 Mountains, J. Asian Earth Sci., 154, doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2017.12.031, 2018.
- 939 Le Metour, J., Rabu, D., Tegyey, M., Bechennec, F., Beurrier, M. and Villey, M.: Subduction and obduction: two
- 940 stages in the EoAlpine tectonometamorphic evolution of the Oman Mountains, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.,
- 941 49(1), 327–339, doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.1992.049.01.20, 1990.
- 942 Mouthereau, F.: Timing of uplift in the Zagros belt/Iranian plateau and accommodation of late Cenozoic Arabia -
- 943 Eurasia convergence, Geol. Mag., 148(5–6), 726–738, doi:10.1017/S0016756811000306, 2011.
- Mozafari, M., Swennen, R., Balsamo, F., Clemenzi, L., Storti, F., El Desouky, H., Vanhaecke, F., Tueckmantel,
- 945 C., Solum, J. and Taberner, C.: Paleofluid Evolution In Fault-Damage Zones: Evidence From Fault-Fold
- Interaction Events In the Jabal Qusaybah Anticline (Adam Foothills, North Oman), J. Sediment. Res., 85(12),
- 947 1525–1551, doi:10.2110/jsr.2015.95, 2015.
- 948 Muchez, P., Marshall, J. D., Touret, J. L. R. and Viaene, W. a.: Origin and migration of palaeofluids in the Upper
- 949 Visean of the Campine Basin, northern Belgium, Sedimentology, 41(1), 133-145, doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 950 3091.1994.tb01395.x, 1994.
- Neumaier, M.: Structural Restoration and Basin and Petroleum Systems Modeling: Case Studies from the Monagas
- 952 Fold and Thrust Belt, Venezuela and the Moroccan Atlantic Margin, Dissertation, RWTH Aachen University.,
- 953 2015.
- Nibourel, L., Berger, A., Egli, D., Luensdorf, N. K. and Herwegh, M.: Large vertical displacements of a crystalline
- 955 massif recorded by Raman thermometry, Geology, 46(10), 879–882, doi:10.1130/G45121.1, 2018.
- 956 Nicolas, A. and Boudier, F. F.: Structural contribution from the Oman ophiolite to processes of crustal accretion
- 957 at the East Pacific Rise, Terra Nov., 27(2), 77–96, doi:10.1111/ter.12137, 2015.

- 958 Nolan, S. C., Skelton, P. W., Clissold, B. P. and Smewing, J. D.: Maastrichtian to early Tertiary stratigraphy and
- palaeogeography of the Central and Northern Oman Mountains, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 49(1), 495–519,
- 960 doi:10.1144/gsl.sp.1992.049.01.31, 1990.
- 961 Nöth, S., Karg, H. and Littke, R.: Reconstruction of Late Paleozoic heat flows and burial histories at the
- 962 Rhenohercynian-Subvariscan boundary, Germany, Int. J. Earth Sci., 90(2), 234–256, doi:10.1007/s005310000114,
- 963 2001.
- Oliver, J.: Fluids expelled tectonically from orogenic belts: Their role in hydrocarbon migration and other geologic
- 965 phenomena, Geology, 14(February), 99–102, 1986.
- 966 Oxburgh, E. R. and Turcotte, D. L.: Thermal gradients and regional metamorphism in overthrust terrains with
- 967 special reference to the Eastern Alps, Schweizerische Mineral. und Petrogr. Mitteilungen, 54(2/3), 642–662, 1974.
- 968 Philip, J., Borgomano, J. and Al-Maskiry, S.: Cenomanian-Early Turonian carbonate platform of Northern Oman:
- 969 stratigraphy and palaeo-environments, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 119, 77–92, 1995.
- 970 Pöppelreiter, M. C., Schneider, C. J., Obermaier, M., Forke, H. C., Koehrer, B. and Aigner, T.: Seal turns into
- 971 reservoir: Sudair equivalents in outcrops, A1 Jabal al-Akhdar, Sultanate of Oman, GeoArabia, 16(1), 69–108,
- 972 2011.
- 973 Poupeau, G., Saddiqi, O., Michard, A., Goffé, B. and Oberhänsli, R.: Late thermal evolution of the Oman
- Mountains subophiolitic windows: Apatite fission-track thermochronology, Geology, 26(12), 1139–1142, 1998.
- 975 Pratt, R., Smewing, D., Swansea, S. A., Pratt, B. R. and Smewing, J. D.: Jurassic and Early Cretaceous platform
- margin configuration and evolution, central Oman Mountains, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 49(1), 69-88,
- 977 doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.1992.049.01.06, 1990.
- Rabu, D., Le Metour, J., Bechennec, F., Beurrier, M., Villey, M. and Bourdillon-Jeudy de Grissac, C.: Sedimentary
- aspects of the Eo-Alpine cycle on the northeast edge of the Arabian Platform (Oman Mountains), Geol. Soc.
- 980 London, Spec. Publ., 49(1), 49–68, doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.1992.049.01.05, 1990.
- 981 Rantitsch, G. and Rainer, T.: Thermal modeling of Carboniferous to Triassic sediments of the Karawanken Range
- 982 (Southern Alps) as a tool for paleogeographic reconstructions in the Alpine-Dinaridic-Pannonian realm, Int. J.
- 983 Earth Sci., 92(2), 195–209, doi:10.1007/s00531-003-0312-4, 2003.
- 984 Reiners, P. W.: Zircon (U-Th)/He Thermochronometry, Rev. Mineral. Geochemistry, 58(1936), 151–179,
- 985 doi:10.2138/rmg.2005.58.6, 2005.
- 986 Reutter, K.-J., Teichmüller, M. and Teichmüller, R.: The Coalification Pattern in the Northern Apennines and its
- Palaeogeothermic and Tectonic Significance, Geol. RundschauGeologische Rundschau, 72(3), 861–894, 1988.
- 988 Rioux, M., Bowring, S., Kelemen, P., Gordon, S., Miller, R. and Dudás, F.: Tectonic development of the Samail
- 989 ophiolite: High-precision U-Pb zircon geochronology and Sm-Nd isotopic constraints on crustal growth and
- 990 emplacement, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 118(5), 2085–2101, doi:10.1002/jgrb.50139, 2013.
- Rioux, M., Garber, J., Bauer, A., Bowring, S., Searle, M., Kelemen, P. and Hacker, B.: Synchronous formation of
- the metamorphic sole and igneous crust of the Semail ophiolite: New constraints on the tectonic evolution during
- ophiolite formation from high-precision U-Pb zircon geochronology, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 451, 185-195,
- 994 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.06.051, 2016.
- Robertson, A.: The transition from a passive margin to an Upper Cretaceous foreland basin related to ophiolite
- 996 emplacement in the Oman Mountains, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 99, 633–653, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1987)99<633,
- 997 1987.
- 998 Rolandone, F., Lucazeau, F., Leroy, S., Mareschal, J.-C., Jorand, R., Goutorbe, B. and Bouquerel, H.: New heat

- 999 flow measurements in Oman and the thermal state of the Arabian Shield and Platform, Tectonophysics, 589, 77–
- 1000 89, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2012.12.034, 2013.
- Roure, F., Andriessen, P., Callot, J. P., Faure, J. L., Ferket, H., Gonzales, E., Guilhaumou, N., Lacombe, O.,
- Malandain, J., Sassi, W., Schneider, F., Swennen, R., Vilasi, N., Box, P. O., Gonzales, E., Guilhaumou, N.,
- Lacombe, O., Malandain, J., Sassi, W., Schneider, F., Swennen, R. and Vilasi, N.: The use of palaeo-thermo-
- barometers and coupled thermal, fluid flow and pore-fluid pressure modelling for hydrocarbon and reservoir
- prediction in fold and thrust belts, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 348(1), 87–114, doi:10.1144/SP348.6, 2010.
- Saddiqi, O., Michard, A. N., Goffe, B. R., Poupeau, G. É. and Oberhänsli, R. O.: Fission-track thermochronology
- of the Oman Mountains continental windows, and current problems of tectonic interpretation, Bull. la Soc. Geol.
- 1008 Fr., 177(3), 127–143, doi:10.2113/gssgfbull.177.3.127, 2006.
- 1009 Schito, A., Corrado, S., Trolese, M., Aldega, L., Caricchi, C., Cirilli, S., Grigo, D., Guedes, A., Romano, C., Spina,
- 1010 A. and Valentim, B.: Assessment of thermal evolution of Paleozoic successions of the Holy Cross Mountains
- 1011 (Poland), Mar. Pet. Geol., 80, 112–132, doi:10.1016/J.MARPETGEO.2016.11.016, 2017.
- 1012 Schito, A., Andreucci, B., Aldega, L., Corrado, S., Di Paolo, L., Zattin, M., Szaniawski, R., Jankowski, L. and
- Mazzoli, S.: Burial and exhumation of the western border of the Ukrainian Shield (Podolia): a multi-disciplinary
- 1014 approach, Basin Res., 30, 532–549, doi:10.1111/bre.12235, 2018.
- 1015 Scott, R. W.: Chronostratigraphy of the Cretaceous carbonate shelf, southeastern Arabia, Geol. Soc. London, Spec.
- 1016 Publ., 49(1), 89–108, doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.1992.049.01.07, 1990.
- 1017 Searle, M. P.: Sequence of thrusting and origin of culminations in the northern and central Oman Mountains, J.
- 1018 Struct. Geol., 7(2), 129–143, doi:10.1016/0191-8141(85)90127-0, 1985.
- Searle, M. P. and Cox, J. O. N.: Subduction zone metamorphism during formation and emplacement of the Semail
- ophiolite in the Oman Mountains, Geol. Mag., 139(03), 241–255, doi:10.1017/S0016756802006532, 2002.
- Searle, M. P., Warren, C. J., Waters, D. J. and Parrish, R. R.: Subduction zone polarity in the Oman Mountains:
- implications for ophiolite emplacement, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 218(1), 467–480,
- 1023 doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.2003.218.01.24, 2003.
- Searle, M. P., Warren, C. J. J., Waters, D. J. and Parrish, R. R.: Structural evolution, metamorphism and
- restoration of the Arabian continental margin, Saih Hatat region, Oman Mountains, J. Struct. Geol., 26(3), 451–
- 1026 473, doi:10.1016/j.jsg.2003.08.005, 2004.
- 1027 Searle, M. P. M. P.: Structural geometry, style and timing of deformation in the Hawasina Window, Al Jabal al
- Akhdar and Saih Hatat culminations, Oman Mountains, GeoArabia, 12(2), 99–130, 2007.
- 1029 Secor, D. T. jr.: Role of fluid pressure in jointing, Am. J. Sci., 263(October), 633–646, 1965.
- 1030 Stenhouse, P.: Reactive Transport and Fluid Pathways in Fracture-Controlled Flow Systems, (Doctoral
- 1031 Dissertation)., Australian National University., 2014.
- Sweeney, J. J. and Burnham, A. K.: Evaluation of a Simple Model of Vitrinite Reflectance Based on Chemical
- 1033 Kinetics, Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull., 74(10), 1559–1570, 1990.
- Teichmüller, R. and Teichmüller, M.: Relations between coalification and palaeogeothermics in Variscan and
- Alpidic foredeeps of western Europe, Lect. Notes Earth Sci., 5, 1986.
- Terken, J. M. J.: The Natih petroleum system of north Oman, GeoArabia, 4(2), 157–180, 1999.
- 1037 Terken, J. M. J., Frewin, N. L., Indrelid, S. L. and Indrelin, S. L.: Petroleum systems of Oman: Charge timing and
- risks, Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull., 85(10), 1817–1845, 2001.
- 1039 Vahrenkamp, V. C.: Chemostratigraphy of the Lower Cretaceous Shu'aiba Formation: A delta-13C reference

- profile for the Aptian Stage from the southern Neo-Tethys Ocean, GeoArabia, 1, 107–137, 2010.
- 1041 Velde, B. and Lanson, B.: Comparison of I/S transformation and maturity of organic matter at elevated
- 1042 temperatures, Clays Clay Miner., 41(2), 178–183, 1993.
- 1043 Vermeesch, P.: How many grains are needed for a provenance study?, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 224(3–4), 441–451,
- 1044 doi:10.1016/J.EPSL.2004.05.037, 2004.
- 1045 Virgo, S.: Aspects of crack-seal vein system evolution. (Doctoral Dissertation). Retrieved from http://nbn-
- resolving.de/urn/resolver.pl?urn=urn:nbn:de:hbz:82-opus-33858., RWTH Aachen University., 2015.
- Virgo, S. and Arndt, M.: Evolution of a crack-seal calcite vein network in limestone: a high resolution structural,
- microstructural and geochemical study from the Jebel Akhdar high pressure cell, Oman Mountains, (Diploma
- Thesis)., RWTH Aachen [online] Available from: http://darwin.bth.rwth-aachen.de/opus3/volltexte/2010/3385/,
- 1050 2010.
- 1051 Virgo, S., Arndt, M., Sobisch, Z. Z. and Urai, J. L.: Development of fault and vein networks in a carbonate
- sequence near Hayl al-Shaz, Oman Mountains, GeoArabia, 18(2), 99-136 [online] Available from:
- 1053 http://www.gulfpetrolink.net/publication/vol18.php, 2013a.
- 1054 Virgo, S., Abe, S. and Urai, J. L.: Extension fracture propagation in rocks with veins: Insight into the crack-seal
- process using Discrete Element Method modeling, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 118(10), 5236-5251,
- 1056 doi:10.1002/2013JB010540, 2013b.
- 1057 Visser, W.: Burial and thermal history of Proterozoic source rocks in Oman, Precambrian Res., 54(1), 15–36,
- 1058 doi:10.1016/0301-9268(91)90066-J, 1991.
- Warburton, J., Burnhill, T. J., Graham, R. H. and Isaac, K. P.: The evolution of the Oman Mountains Foreland
- 1060 Basin, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 49(1), 419–427, doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.1992.049.01.26, 1990.
- Warren, C. J., Parrish, R. R., Searle, M. P. and Waters, D. J.: Dating the subduction of the Arabian continental
- margin beneath the Semail ophiolite, Oman, Geology, 31(10), 889, doi:10.1130/G19666.1, 2003.
- Warren, C. J., Parrish, R. R., Waters, D. J. and Searle, M. P.: Dating the geologic history of Oman's Semail
- ophiolite: insights from U-Pb geochronology, Contrib. to Mineral. Petrol., 150(4), 403–422, doi:10.1007/s00410-
- 1065 005-0028-5, 2005.

- 1066 Wygrala, B. P.: Integrated study on an oil field in the southern po basin, northern italy, Berichte der
- 1067 Kernforschungsanlage Jülich, 2313(October), 217, 1989.