Event couple spectral ratio Q method for earthquake clusters: application to North-West Bohemia

Marius Kriegerowski¹, Simone Cesca², Matthias Ohrnberger¹, Torsten Dahm^{1,2}, and Frank Krüger¹ ¹University of Potsdam, Institute of Earth and Environmental Science, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, 14476 Potsdam-Golm ²Helmholtz Centre Potsdam German Research Centre for Geosciences - GFZ, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam **Correspondence:** Marius Kriegerowski (marius.kriegerowski@uni-potsdam.de)

Abstract. We develop an amplitude spectral ratio method for event couples from clustered earthquakes to estimate seismic wave attenuation (Q^{-1}) in the source volume. The method allows to study attenuation within the source region of earthquake swarms or aftershocks at depth, independent of wave path and attenuation between source region and surface station. We exploit the high frequency slope of phase spectra using multitaper spectral estimates. The method is tested using simulated full

- 5 wavefield seismograms affected by recorded noise and finite source rupture. The synthetic tests verify the approach and show that solutions are independent of focal mechanisms, but also show that seismic noise may broaden the scatter of results. We apply the event couple spectral ratio method to North-West Bohemia, Czech Republic, a region characterized by the persistent occurrence of earthquake swarms in a confined source region at mid-crustal depth. Our method indicates a strong anomaly of high attenuation in the source region of the swarm with an averaged attenuation factor of $Q_p < 100$. The application to S phases
- 10 fails due to scattered P phase energy interfering with S phases. The Q_p anomaly supports the common hypothesis of highly fractured and fluid saturated rocks in the source region of the swarms in North-West Bohemia. However, high temperatures in a small volume around the swarms cannot be excluded to explain our observations.

Copyright statement. TEXT

1 Introduction

- 15 The intrinsic and scattering attenuation of the amplitudes of seismic waves is described by the dimensionless factor Q. The mapping of spatio-temporal changes of Q is an important step in seismology, since Q is controlled by temperature, rock porosity, fluid saturation and rock composition (Toksöz et al., 1981). Hence, this factor may help to unravel the possible causes of fluid-induced earthquakes, or thermal anomalies in crustal regions affected by magmatic intrusions. For instance, North-West Bohemia is regularly affected by earthquake swarms lasting several days or weeks with thousands of recorded events with
- 20 largest magnitudes up to Ml 4.4 (Fischer et al., 2014). The causes of the repeated earthquake swarms which occur in narrow focal zones remain under debate. Relative earthquake localizations are very precise because of the high waveform quality recorded with a dense local permanent network (Bouchaala et al., 2013). Different tomography studies revealed consistent fig-

ures of the 3D velocity structures (Alexandrakis et al., 2014). The attenuation structure in the source region of the earthquake swarms is scarcely discussed. Some previous studies on whole raypath Q exist and can be used for verification and benchmarking. However, the main aim of this study is to test whether the here developed method can enhance the resolution of near source Q and therefore enable more robust conclusions on source dynamics and the role of fluids in the swarm cycle.

- 5 Several studies investigated the regional attenuation of North-West Bohemia by integrating along the full path from sources to receivers. Gaebler et al. (2015) estimated intrinsic and scattering attenuation of S waves (Q_s) by means of 14 selected events. Their frequency dependent results indicate mean \bar{Q}_s of approximately 1000. A study by Michálek and Fischer (2013) investigated source parameters and inferred a station dependent, regional Q_p from P phase spectra. They estimated mean Q_p ranging between 100 and 450. They also discuss effects of directivity on Q concluding that the directivity has little influence due to the
- 10 position of stations with respect to radiation patterns.

15

A tomographic study of North-West Bohemia done by Mousavi et al. (2017) indicated a regional average attenuation of approximately $Q_p \approx 100$ to $Q_p \approx 300$ and a pronounced highly attenuative source region where $Q_p < 100$.

Bachura and Fischer (2016) employed two different methods to resolve the regional coda Q_c from the source volume to receivers. They used 13 selected events of the 2011 swarm and found a variation of Q_c between 100 and 2500 within the exploited frequency range of 1-18 Hz.

A recent work by Wcisło et al. (2018) used a newly developed differential attenuation estimation technique focused on the source region. The authors employed the peak frequency method which relates the half-period of P pulses to attenuation. They also used a differential approach to map the inter-event attenuation using a single station (*NKC*) and found $Q_p \approx 120$ and $Q_s \approx 80$ in the source region.

20 Most previous Q studies focusing on NW Bohemia were inherently of low spatial resolution. Firstly, either because Q was estimated for the integral ray path between sources and stations (except for the work by Wcisło et al. (2018)) or secondly, because they focused on low frequencies, or both. E.g. Mousavi et al. (2017) used frequencies between 1 to 30 Hz and Gaebler et al. (2015) up to 32 Hz.

In this study, we aim to increase the spatial resolution and to resolve Q for waves traveling only within the small source region

- of the earthquake swarms. The developed event couple spectral ratio method is based on the assumption of an exponentially decreasing spectral slope at high frequencies ω above the corner frequency of the earthquake, often referred to as the ω^2 model (Aki, 1980). From the ratio of the spectral slopes of two events one can estimate the attenuation of P and S phases for the ray path between the two events, given the differential travel time of both events. Matsumoto et al. (2009) exploit amplitude spectral ratios of direct *P* phases and normalize the spectra with the coda energy to compensate for source effects. Opposed
- 30 to their approach we focus on the higher frequency content to achieve a higher resolution needed to map the compact source volume.

The spatially compact seismic clusters in NW Bohemia provide us with a favorable case study scenario due to the high similarity of source characteristics (Michálek and Fischer, 2013). We test our method on data recorded from October 6 until October 13, 2008 and a double-difference relocated event catalog of 3841 events with local magnitudes between -0.9 and 3.5

35 (Fischer and Michálek, 2008). The high density of events during earthquake swarms clustering within a small and confined

region allows to infer the local attenuation from event couples, by applying the spectral ratio method (Aki, 1980) to their high frequency amplitude spectra as we will explain in the following section. One major issue when calculating spectral content of very few data samples is spectral leakage, as a result of the finiteness of the time window under study. In order overcome this problem Thomson (1982) proposed the multitaper method, which we employ using *mtspec* (Prieto et al., 2009; Krischer, 2016).

5

2 Method

A velocity spectrum $A(\omega)$ of a direct body wave phase originating from a source *j* recorded at a station *i* can be described as (Sanders, 1993):

$$A_{i,j}(\omega) = S_j(\omega)I_i(\omega)R_i(\omega)G_{i,j} \cdot e^{\frac{-\omega t_{i,j}^*}{2}}$$
(1)

10 where ω is the angular frequency. $S_j(\omega)$ describes the source spectrum and $I_i(\omega)$ the instrument response. $R_i(\omega)$ is the receiver site effect. $G_{i,j}$ is the frequency independent geometric loss. The exponential term depends on the angular frequency ω and t^* , the path integrated attenuation from the source to the receiver:

$$t^* = \int Q^{-1} / v ds \tag{2}$$

with Q as the dimensionless quality factor, velocity v of the medium and ds a segment along the ray path from the source
to the receiver. Attenuation is considered here as a combination of intrinsic and scattering losses. Instead of estimating a total t* describing the full ray path's attenuation we estimate a local t* from velocity spectra of two earthquakes sharing the

greater part of their ray paths from the seismogenic zone to a receiver. Site effects as well as the receivers response functions cancel out when two spectra recorded at the same site are analyzed by means of amplitude ratios. Let $A_{j,0}$ and $A_{j,1}$ be two velocity amplitude spectra of events E_0 and E_1 (in the following referred to as *first* and *second* event of a couple) recorded at

a station *j* (Figure 1). We assume that their source spectra $S_0(\omega)$ and $S_1(\omega)$ resemble each other to a degree where the effect of random perturbations at high frequencies average out when the proposed method is applied to many couples. Taking the natural logarithm of the spectral ratio of $A_{i,0}$ and $A_{i,1}$ yields:

$$\ln(A_{i,0}(\omega)/A_{i,1}(\omega)) = \ln(\frac{G_{i,0}}{G_{i,1}}) - \omega\delta t^*/2$$
(3)

with

25
$$\delta t^* = t^*_{i,0} - t^*_{i,1}$$
 (4)

This equation describes a linear relation with frequency independent geometrical losses to the left of the negative sign in equation 3. The slope k of a line fitted to equation 3 can be used to derive the attenuation time t^* in between the two sources from which Q^{-1} can easily be inferred using equation 2.

The far field amplitude spectrum $A(\omega)$ of P and S phases can be parameterized as follows: a seismic moment dependent low 30 frequency plateau, the corner frequency f_c and the high-frequency spectral decay approximately proportional to ω^2 resulting



Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the geometrical constraints and the employed parameters. The triangle represents a recording station at the surface. Attenuation is estimated for the traversing distance ray path segment (D_t , green dashed line). Geometrical constraints respect the passing distance (D_p). Grey shaded area illustrates the Fresnel volume of the first event.



Figure 2. Ray segments with respect to stations *LBC* and *NKC*. Source region seen from West (left) and from South (right). The colors indicate the station at which the displayed ray segment arrived as well as the underlying source of the segments. Grey points show hypocenters which occurred during the investigated time interval but were not attributed to an event couple.

from finiteness of particle rise time and the rupture duration (Aki and Richards, 2002). To remove the dependence on seismic moment we investigate the high-frequency spectral decay, only. Furthermore, exploiting spectral content above the corner frequency also reduces source directivity effects on attenuation estimates (Cormier, 1982).

We infer the corner frequency based on previous studies on NW Bohemia seismic swarms. We use a relation proposed by 5 Michálek and Fischer (2013) to calculate source radii r based on the moment M_0 of an event:

$$r = 0.155 \cdot M_0^{0.206} \tag{5}$$

where $M_0 = 1.38M_L + 10.3$. The resulting source radii r are then converted to f_c using

$$f_c = k\beta/r \tag{6}$$

with k = 0.32 (Madariaga, 1976), and $\beta = 3.5$ km/s, which is a reasonable assumption for the source region (Michálek and 10 Fischer, 2013). We increase the lower frequency limit (f_{min}) used for our spectral analysis by additional 5 Hz with respect to f_c to account for uncertainties in M_0 and to ensure linearity of the high frequency decay. This approach allows frequency bands being wide enough for employing a stable linear regression. The upper frequency limit f_{max} was chosen dependent on the Fresnel volume (see below) of a couple's first event (Figure 1) and the upper corner frequency of the anti-alias filter of the recording equipment which is approximately 85 Hz. We calculate the power spectral density using the multitaper spectral

- 5 analysis method (*MTM*) (Thomson, 1982; Park et al., 1987). With this method the time series is multiplied with several orthogonal slepian tapers which are resistant to spectral leakage. The power spectral density is then reconstructed after Fourier transformation of the tapered samples and a weighted summation of the resulting spectra. A more exhaustive explanation can be found in Park et al. (1987). The applied code is a *Python* wrapper to the *Fortran* routine *MTSpec* (Prieto et al., 2009; Krischer, 2016). *MTM* achieves stable spectral estimates also for very short time windows. A critical parameter of the *MTM* is
- 10 the number of slepian tapers as it balances the smoothness and precision of spectral estimates. We use the implemented default, which is

$$N_{tapers} = int(bw \cdot 2) - 1 \tag{7}$$

where bw is the *bandwidth_factor* which we set to bw = 4. Lower values prove to increase the number of outliers due to increased spectral leakage. Higher values did not change the results significantly but are more expensive to compute.

- We impose strong geometrical constraints to select event couples with respect to a station as sketched in Figure 1. Ray tracing is done based on a 1D velocity model suggested by Alexandrakis et al. (2014) for the seismogenic region combined with a regional crustal model proposed by Málek et al. (2000) (Fig. 4, left panel). The first geometrical constraint is the traversing distance (D_t , green dashed line, Fig 1) between an event E_0 with respect to perpendicular projections of other hypocenters onto that path. The second constraint is the passing distance (D_p , red dashed line in Fig 1) of that projection of E_1 onto the ray
- 20 between E_0 and the station. We defined a minimum traversing distance of $D_t \ge 1500$ m to ensure that the signal of the second event is attenuated sufficiently to be detectable in the couple's spectral ratio. Subsequent to geometrical preselection upper frequency limits of the analyzed bandwidth are potentially corrected to lower values dependent on the 2nd Fresnel volume in between event E_0 and a station. The wavelength from which this frequency limit can be deduced is given as (Matsumoto et al., 2009):

$$25 \quad \lambda = D_p^2 \frac{D_t + x}{D_p x n} \tag{8}$$

 D_p and D_t are passing distance and the traversing distance as defined above. x is the distance from the passing point with respect to the second event E_1 to the receiver (Figure 1). n is the number of the Fresnel volume. The frequency limit can then be deduced from the wavelength and the wave velocity of the underlying medium. This approach provides a physically meaningful limitation to impose on the frequency bandwidth. It ensures that E_1 is located within the Fresnel volume (grey shaded area in Figure 1) for the antira analyzed frequency band

30 shaded area in Figure 1) for the entire analyzed frequency band.

With this approach we get an estimate for the attenuation along the traversing distance (green dashed line in Figure 1) and when repeated for a large number of event couples can retrieve a median attenuation for the entire source region. The described method is advantageous over other methods which require handcrafted features like onset duration picking as it can be automatized given that an onset catalog is at hand.



Figure 3. Mapping potential locations of future seismic stations favorable to resolve Q at the swarm using the described method. The size of the blue points for each tested location represents the relative number of arriving shared ray paths from event couples. Red dots show the seismicity of the investigated swarm. Green triangles indicate locations of the WEBNET stations.

3 Synthetic Study

In order to evaluate the expected number of exploitable couples given the geometrical constraints we calculate the relative number of pairs at discrete surface points covering the region of North-West Bohemia. The size of the blue points in Figure 3 represents the relative number of arriving shared ray paths from event pairs at possible station sites based on ray tracing

- 5 through a 1D layered model (Fig. 4). Largest numbers of pairs are expected along a North-South striking patch which follows the striking direction of the main fault plane. However, in this case study we use only those stations which provide continuous recordings for the investigated time span. These are stations *KRC*, *LBC*, *NKC*, *SKC* and *VAC*. After geometrical filtering we expect stations *NKC* and *LBC* to produce the highest number of couples since they provide continuous recordings for the entire time period and are in a favorable lateral location. Most other stations are located where no or a negligible number of
- 10 event pairs are expected. Figure 2 shows the rays which penetrate the source volume and fulfill the geometrical requirements described above. It shows that for events recorded at the most significant stations *NKC* and *LBC* the highest ray density and therefore sensitivity is in the lower half of the seismogenic zone. This bias is more pronounced for recordings at station *LBC*. Also, these ray segments sample the volume up to approximately 500 m to the West of the seismic swarm.
- We use synthetic waveforms calculated using reflectivity method (Wang, 1999) employing the same 1D velocity model as
 15 for ray tracing (Figure 4). The model simplifies the true conditions and therefore produces comparably clear phase onsets. However, the recorded data are also dominated by relatively little scattering and sharp onsets (Fischer et al., 2010). The effects of scattering on the final results will be discussed in greater detail in sections 5.

Hypocentral locations and origin times are taken from the double difference relocated catalog of Fischer and Michálek (2008). All synthetic sources are double couple sources with mean strike, dip and rake set to 170 ± 10 , 80 ± 10 and -30 ± 10 degrees,

20 respectively, uniformly distributed in all three domains. The mean strike, dip and rake values are the predominant source types stated by Fischer et al. (2014) which were retrieved based on polarity analysis of P phases. The seismogenic zone (depth 8500



Figure 4. Synthetic velocity and attenuation model used for validation of the method. The seismogenic zone is marked by light blue. The attenuation in that zone is expected to be decreased with respect to the regional attenuation model.

m - 10500 m in Figure 4) has a Q_p of 100 and Q_s of 50. It is overlain by a more complex attenuation structure, characterized by higher Q values.

In order to mimic uncertainties in origin times, locations and velocity model travel times are perturbed by 10 ms, uniformly distributed. The uncertainties of the velocity model have an effect only in the source region since both rays of a couple traverse through the same overlaying velocity model.

The window length was 0.15 s for P and 0.3 s for S phases. The minimum allowed cross correlation of event couples in synthetic tests and later application to real data was set to 0.75. Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of a phase under consideration compared against a noise sample preceding the P phase has to be larger than 5 across the entire selected frequency band (after slight spectral smoothing to reduce effects of spectral notches). These two requirements efficiently reject outliers. The minimum

5

20

10 allowed bandwidth is 10 Hz, which excludes all events with magnitudes of less than 0.5, given the magnitude dependent lower frequency limit ($f_{min} = f_c + 5.0Hz$, where f_c is calculated using equation 6). The bandwidth threshold stabilizes the linear fit to the spectral ratio as it limits the minimum number of data points. We evaluate Q_p from vertical channels and Q_s on North-South and East-West components and average results for each couple.

Data availability of the recorded dataset has been accounted for. Synthetic traces were only produced for an event if the

15 recorded dataset contains data as well. All synthetic traces where convolved with the transfer functions of the WEBNET stations to generate realistic velocity traces.

Figure 5 shows distributions of retrieved Q^{-1} estimates from all event couples of the synthetic test using noise-free traces. In this as well as in the following test depicted in Figure 6 traces have not been convolved with a source time function (i.e. they have impulsive source durations). The resulting distributions show some scattering solutions. Peaks in both cases (Q_p and Q_s) resemble the targeted attenuation model (dashed, vertical line).

The next test depicted in Fig. 6 includes additive recorded noise. Data windows without seismic events in the field recorded data have been manually extracted and randomly added to synthetic traces to mimic realistic noise conditions. *P* phase results



Figure 5. Synthetic tests targeting $Q_p = 100$ (a)) and $Q_s = 50$ (b)) with noise free data. No source time functions have been convolved. The correct values for Q_p and Q_s are indicated by the vertical dashed lines. The station color coding as given in the legend is used consistently throughout all following images.



Figure 6. Synthetic tests with the same setup as in Figure 5 but with additive real recorded noise from analyzed stations. Again, traces have not been convolved with a source time function.

show a broadening of the distributions at all stations. While the distribution of station LBC still centers around the model value, the results of station NKC show only weak correlation with the correct model. This is a result of the location of station NKC close to the nodal plane of the dominant rupturing plane where smallest signal amplitudes are expected. S phase results match the model at NKC but show strong scattering at LBC as a result of the interference with the added noise as well as the P phase

5 coda.

In a next step (Fig. 7) we convolve synthetic Greens functions with realistic magnitude dependent source time functions. The applied source time function is half sine shaped where the slope of the high frequency spectral roll off is not dependent on the width of the applied pulse as can be seen in Figure 8 where normalized synthetic source spectra are depicted for different relative pulse widths. The vertical position of the spectral envelope changes with changing duration but the slope remains the



Figure 7. Synthetic tests with the same setup as in Figure 6. Synthetic traces were convolved with magnitude-dependent synthetic source time functions.



Figure 8. Normalized synthetic amplitude spectra of applied source time functions with different durations as a factor relative to the blue one (factor=1). The slope of the high frequency spectral roll-off is identical.

same for all depicted factorized pulse widths. Other than expected, this stabilizes results. This is a result of the pulse broadening which leads to a stabilization of *MTM* estimates as onsets become less transient.

The performed synthetic tests cannot reproduce waveforms in its full natural complexity. Nevertheless, they prove that the concept is capable to estimate attenuation of the anticipated region.

5 4 Application to North West Bohemia

North-West Bohemia is a favorable case for testing our approach. Several focal mechanism studies on earthquake swarms in this region indicate dominant principle faults striking at 169° and 304° (Vavryčuk, 2011), which have been active in different seismic sequences. Events occurring during a swarm tend to rupture on the same fault. This observation in combination with



Figure 9. Two P phase wavelets recorded at station LBC and their spectra from events with local magnitudes Ml=1.2 and Ml=1.4. First two panels show a) first and b) second event of the analyzed event couple. The grey shaded area in c) indicates the used frequency band. The cross-correlation coefficient is 0.91 and the attenuation was in this case estimated as $Q^{-1} \approx 0.006$

compactness of seismic clusters (Figure 10) explains the high similarity of waveforms observed for each swarm. We therefore also assume that source characteristics including rupture directivity effects are similar throughout each swarm cycle. By the time of the 2008 swarm the WEBNET stations were equipped with three component short period seismometers, except for station *NKC* located in the epicentral area which is a broad band station. All waveforms are sampled at 250 Hz. An example

- 5 of a P phase onset recorded at station *LBC* is shown in Figure 9 together with the estimated amplitude spectra. A manual revision of all event waveforms has been done to remove those which show indications of event doublets happening shortly after each other but not being indicated as such in the catalog. Spurious signal leftovers of a preceding event not necessarily cause high distortion of the fundamental P phase waveform and may thus not be removed by setting a cross correlation threshold. However, their effect lead to distortion at high frequencies of phase spectra and significantly increase the number of
- 10 outliers during the analysis. The catalog of *HypoDD* (Waldhauser and Ellsworth, 2000) relocated events is comprised of 3841 events and their associated P and S phase picks. When applied to station *LBC*, a total of 641 couples were used which fulfill the requirements in terms of SNR, cross-correlation and geometrical constraints. Results of P phases evaluated at station *LBC* (Fig. 11, left) have a median $\bar{Q}_p^{-1} = 0.019$, equivalent to $\bar{Q}_p = 53$. The distribution shows some negative results which do not have a physical meaning and are related to noise in spectral estimates. Results retrieved based on data from station *NKC* are
- 15 significantly more unstable, as Figure 11 (left) indicates. The distribution shows a large number of negative results. The median



Figure 10. WEBNET stations (triangles) and seismicity (blue circles) which occurred in North-West Bohemia during the investigated time period. Red triangle: temporary station installed by University of Potsdam in October, 2017. Stations in Nový Kostel (*NKC*) and Luby (*LBC*) are highlighted. All other stations produce no or insignificant number of data points.



Figure 11. Attenuation results at stations LBC and NKC for Q_p (a)) and Q_s (b)). Median values are indicated by the overlined Q.

attenuation is $\bar{Q}_p^{-1} = 0.001$, equivalent to $\bar{Q}_p = 1000$. 1404 couples where used in this case.

5

Attenuation evaluated for S phases show almost zero centered distributions at both stations NKC and LBC which in turn means significant number of negative and therefore unphysical measures. Median attenuation values are $\bar{Q}_s^{-1} = 0.0023$ ($\bar{Q}_s = 435$) at station NKC and $Q_s^{-1} = 0.0037$ ($\bar{Q}_s = 270$) at stations LBC, respectively. Both values are comparably large compared to Q_p estimates from station LBC. A bias of these S phase attenuation measures is introduced by the P phase coda energy interfering

with S phases and therefore distorting the anticipated high frequency content.

In order to achieve a better understanding of the method's breakdown for P phase recordings of station NKC we disable the



Figure 12. Incidence angles plotted against Q_p^{-1} of rays originating from event couples recorded at station *LBC* (left) and *NKC* (right). $Q_p^{-1} = 0$ is highlighted with a dashed line.

cross correlation threshold and scrutinize Q^{-1} against a multitude of parameters for station *NKC* and *LBC*. Figure 12 shows incidence angles of rays of event couples on the y- and Q^{-1} on the x-axis. Incidence angles are estimated using a 1D raytracing algorithm (Heimann et al., 2017). By definition the incidence angle is almost identical for both events of a couple. It becomes evident from figure 12, b) that larger incidence angles (> 8 degrees) show a tendency at station *NKC* to produce negative Q^{-1}

5 while results from events with steep incidence angles produce positive Q^{-1} values. When compared to the same kind of plots for station *LBC* no such trend is evident.

Station *NKC* is located at the northern edge of the swarm's epicentral region. Hence, incidence angle approximately correlates with latitude, indicating a location dependent problem. The depth sections of results from stations *LBC* and *NKC* (Figure 13) show again that the attenuation is mostly positive (red) at station *LBC* (Fig. 13, a) and c)) in accordance with Figure 11. The

- 10 distribution of attenuation at station *NKC* (Fig. 13, b) and d)) indicates a trend of decreasing Q^{-1} values from North to South. This supports the hypothesis of a location dependent issue. Figure 13 d) shows accumulated positive results related to first events at 1.4 to 2.0 km North (x-axis) which coincides with the position of a small sub-cluster seen in Figure 13 b) below a depth of 9.8 km. From 1.2 to 1.4 km North the event couple distribution becomes more sparse. The separation of positive attenuation in the North and mostly negative attenuation in the South of that gap implies a systematic change in frequency
- 15 content from two separated segments of the swarm occurring along raypaths from the source region to station *NKC*. Figure 14 shows *P* phase waveforms of first events of couples recorded at station *NKC* and *LBC*, filtered between 1 and 30 Hz for events northern (Fig. 14, left panels) and southern (Fig. 14, right panels) of the aforementioned gap. While the used filter frequencies are actually below the exploited frequency band used in the analysis these waveforms demonstrate that the waveform complexity is significantly higher for events recorded at station *NKC* than for those recorded at station *LBC*
- 20 indicating that scattering plays a major role along the ray paths to station NKC. The average shape of the waveforms following the first onset pulse differ in the northern and the southern sections. Furthermore, there are P phases with flipped polarities



Figure 13. Spatial distributions of attenuation at station *LBC* (left) and *NKC* (right). The source region is depicted as seen from the West. The origin of the coordinate system corresponds to the southernmost event. Panels a) and b) show average color coded attenuation results of each used event. Panels c) and d) show the attenuation for each single event pair. First (deeper) events of each couple are represented by the x-axes whereas second events of each couple are represented by the y-axis. Attenuation at station *LBC* is mostly positive. Sub-horizontal seismicity gaps in panels a) and b) are caused by the set minimum distance (D_t) between two events.

which indicates that station NKC was situated closer to the nodal plane than station *LBC*. This aspect is in accordance with synthetic tests in chapter III which show worse performance of station *NKC* then station *LBC* for P phase measures.

5 Interpretation and Discussion

5

We present a newly developed method to estimate attenuation from spectral ratios of event couples. The short analyzed time windows are prone to spectral leakage which we mitigate by applying the multitaper approach. However, this method can only suppress leakage caused by windowing effects. Leakage from source effects such as finiteness of the slip and the rupture are not smoothed as they are expected source characteristics and not filter artifacts.

The given geometry and available data limit the perceptive field of the applied method mostly to the lower half of the seismic



Figure 14. *P* phase onsets of couples' first events in the northern and the southern part of the swarm area. Panel a) shows recordings at station *LBC*, b) recordings at station *NKC*.

swarm (Fig. 2). Rays arriving at station *LBC* show a worse penetration of the focal zone compared to those arriving at station *NKC* (Fig. 2) where the takeoff angle is almost perpendicular to the normal orientation of the main rupturing fault. Therefore, the setting of sources with respect to station *NKC* is favorable from a geometrical point of view as it can be considered to have a higher sensitivity for attenuation in the source volume.

- 5 Synthetic tests show that the method is capable to reproduce average source volume attenuation of $Q_p = 100$ and $Q_s = 50$ given the 2008 earthquake swarm hypocentral locations. In noise free condition a precise result can be achieved for both, P and S phases at both significant stations *NKC* and *LBC*. With additive recorded noise, the distribution of Q_P results broadens but still resembles the true attenuation with high precision at station *LBC*. Synthetic waveforms at station *NKC* suffer from weaker *SNR* at high frequencies indicating that the applied *SNR*-threshold of 5 is too optimistic. However, reducing this value
- 10 would also reduce the number of data points and therefore have a negative impact on the statistical significance of results. Q_s results at station *NKC* are more robust then at station *LBC*. Both measures, Q_s and Q_p , improve when convolving synthetic waveforms with synthetic source time functions as this stabilizes the multitaper spectral estimates.

The application to recorded P phase onsets shows fewer negative Q_p results at station LBC and than at station NKC which results in a distribution with a clearer offset with respect to $Q_p = 0$. Waveforms recorded at station LBC are significantly higher

15 correlated than those at station *NKC* where changing waveform polarities and high waveform complexity can be observed. We hypothesize that rays arriving at *NKC* experienced relatively stronger scattering or that a nearby reflector creates multiples which interfere with signals recorded at *NKC*. In the latter case, the reflector would have to be situated in a location where interactions with signals arriving at *LBC* are weaker. Mousavi et al. (2017) assume a highly fractured medium in combination with accumulated free gas or fluids which would cause a high attenuation in the source region and could therefore explain our

observations on low Q_p . A 3D V_p/V_s tomography by Alexandrakis et al. (2014) identified a low V_P/V_s ratio body directly overlaying the focal zone. The increased waveform complexity seen at station *NKC* can be a result of waveform interaction with that body. The effects do not necessarily have an influence on results at station *LBC* where rays have different paths and take off angles.

- 5 Another influence may be rooted in the different families of focal mechanisms. Vavryčuk et al. (2013) reported three different families of focal mechanisms for the 2008 swarm. While the slope of high frequency spectra should not directly be affected by the radiation pattern, there can be higher order effects like rupture propagation and rupture complexity. Dependent on the take off angle these rupture dynamics can affect the high frequency spectral roll off and therefore map into attenuation estimates (Kaneko and Shearer, 2015). *P* phase polarity changes at station *NKC* indicate that the station is located close to the nodal
- 10 plane of the main rupturing fault. This circumstance can increase the effect of the aforementioned effects seen at station *NKC*. If they differ systematically in the lower and upper source region, this can lead to biases in attenuation analysis due to the heterogeneous sensitivity across the fault plane. Still, we do not see such effects at station *LBC* and therefore speculate, that the dominating effect is the differing raypaths or a combination of both, raypath scattering and rupture dynamics.

Our findings in terms of P wave attenuation based on data from station LBC show similarly low values compared to results

- 15 by Weisło et al. (2018) who obtained $Q_p \approx 120$ for the source regions. Previous studies by Michálek and Fischer (2013) investigated source characteristics in NW Bohemia and suggested station dependent whole path integrated mean Q_P values ranging between 100 and 450. We find lower values which can be a result of hydration of the seismogenic zone. Haberland and Rietbrock (2001) also report highly increased attenuation (Q < 100) within earthquake cluster regions and postulated that this could be related to hydration or partial melting. For instance, melt migration has been postulated from the size and
- 20 migration pattern of earthquakes of the 2000 earthquake swarm (Dahm et al., 2009). On the other hand, Alexandrakis et al. (2014) interpret their results on velocity variations by dehydration processes. Our results deduced from station *LBC* for average attenuation are in line with previous findings pointing to high attenuation in the source volume.
 Encourse handwidth is a artifical perspector which is limited mostly by the appendix of the recording setup and sized.

Frequency bandwidth is a critical parameter which is limited mostly by the corner frequency of the recording setup and signal to noise ratio at high frequencies. Future plans of the Intercontinental Drilling Project (ICDP) include the installation of up to

- 25 4 borehole seismometers in NW Bohemia. It can be expected that our method will benefit from these measurements. Improved signal to noise ratios allow to sample and exploit information at higher frequencies which will stabilize the spectral estimate. Furthermore, higher sampling rates allow a better temporal (and therefore spectral) resolution of P and S phases. This will, in turn, also allow to use even shorter time windows. For the method discussed here, it would be favorable if at least one of these borehole stations will be situated in a location where a high number of ray path sharing couples can be found. The most
- 30 sensitive region follows the NNE SSW striking of the fault and concentrates in the North of the earthquake swarm (Fig. 3). In late September 2017 the University of Potsdam installed a short period seismometer close to the Czech-German border in Oberzwota (red triangle, map 10) which is a favorable location. The station recorded 1000 samples per seconds for 62 days during a period of relative quiescence. Nevertheless, approximately 30 events were recorded in the swarm area with local magnitudes down to Ml=0. Despite the installation directly on top of the weathering layer the recordings showed signal to
- 35 noise ratios larger than 5 at 120 Hz and above for smallest magnitudes. It becomes evident that even a surface mounted station

would allow to harness spectral information above the corner frequency of the WEBNET stations also for smallest magnitudes and which indicates that this will improve the resolution and robustness of our method once the ICDP borehole installations are operating.

6 Conclusions

- 5 Applying the source couple amplitude spectral ratio method to differential phase measures is an alternative to methods which commonly exploit the lower frequency ranges. Theoretically, it is therefore able to achieve better resolution. Our synthetic study validates this. The geometrical constraints of this method require a high density of events as it is the case for natural earthquake swarms or seismic nests but also for hydrofracturing experiments.
- The application to data from the 2008 North West Bohemia earthquake swarm indicates source region $Q_p < 100$ based on data 10 recorded at station *LBC*. The sensitive region measures only approximately 2000 * 500 * 500 meters in North, East and West direction (Fig. 2). Results can therefore be considered of high spatial resolution. Nevertheless, the distribution of solutions significantly scatter and we see room for improvement e.g. through high frequency borehole recordings. We are not able to retrieve stable estimates at station *NKC* but instead see negative attenuation in southern and positive attenuation in the northern section of the swarm. *P* phase waveforms of the two sections show systematic differences at both significant stations which
- 15 indicates a North-South structural difference. Furthermore, this effect does not inflict on measures at station *LBC*. Given the fact that ray segments at *NKC* and *LBC* probe two different but directly neighboring media leads us to the conclusion that the fractured medium is highly concentrated along the source patch and that the surrounding medium can be considered much more dense or intact.

Author contributions. MK: Implementation, testing, evaluation and application to synthetic and recorded data, as well as paper writing.
SC: Scientific supervision, evaluation of tests and applications. MO: Scientific supervision, discussion, manuscript revision. TD: Scientific supervision, discussion, manuscript revision. FK: Scientific supervision, discussion, manuscript revision.

Competing interests. None

Acknowledgements. This work is part of the HISS project which is funded by the DFG ICDP. Project no.: CE 223/2-1

References

10

Aki, K.: Attenuation of shear-waves in the lithosphere for frequencies from 0.05 to 25 Hz, Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 21, 50–60, https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201(80)90019-9, 1980.

Aki, K. and Richards, P. G.: Quantitative seismology, University Science Books, Sausalito, California, 2 edn., 2002.

- 5 Alexandrakis, C., Calò, M., Bouchaala, F., and Vavryčuk, V.: Velocity structure and the role of fluids in the West Bohemia Seismic Zone, Solid Earth Discussions, 6, 511–534, https://doi.org/10.5194/sed-6-511-2014, http://www.solid-earth-discuss.net/6/511/2014/, 2014.
 - Bachura, M. and Fischer, T.: Coda Attenuation Analysis in the West Bohemia/Vogtland Earthquake Swarm Area, Pure and Applied Geophysics, 173, 425–437, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-015-1137-3, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-015-1137-3, 2016.
 - Bouchaala, F., Vavryčuk, V., and Fischer, T.: Accuracy of the master-event and double-difference locations: Synthetic tests and application to seismicity in West Bohemia, Czech Republic, Journal of Seismology, 17, 841–859, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-013-9357-4, 2013.
- Cormier, V. F.: the Effect of Attenuation on Seismic Body Waves, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 72, 169–200, 1982.
 Dahm, T., Fischer, T., and Hainzl, S.: Mechanical intrusion models and their implications for the possibility of magma-driven swarms in NW Bohemia Region, Studia Geophysica et Geodaetica, 52, 529, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11200-008-0036-9, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11200-008-0036-9, 2009.
- 15 Fischer, T. and Michálek, J.: Post 2000-swarm microearthquake activity in the principal focal zone of West Bohemia/Vogtland: Space-time distribution and waveform similarity analysis, Studia Geophysica et Geodaetica, 52, 493–511, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11200-008-0034-y, 2008.
 - Fischer, T., Horálek, J., Michálek, J., and Boušková, A.: The 2008 West Bohemia earthquake swarm in the light of the WEBNET network, Journal of Seismology, 14, 665–682, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-010-9189-4, 2010.
- 20 Fischer, T., Horálek, J., Hrubcová, P., Vavryčuk, V., Bräuer, K., and Kämpf, H.: Intra-continental earthquake swarms in West-Bohemia and Vogtland: A review, Tectonophysics, 611, 1–27, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.11.001, 2014.
 - Gaebler, P. J., Eulenfeld, T., and Wegler, U.: Seismic scattering and absorption parameters in the W-Bohemia/Vogtland region from elastic and acoustic radiative transfer theory, Geophysical Journal International, 203, 1471–1481, https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggv393, 2015.

Haberland, C. and Rietbrock, A.: Attenuation tomography in the western central Andes: A detailed insight into the structure of a magmatic
 arc, Journal of Geophysical Research, 106, 11 151, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JB900472, 2001.

Heimann, S., Kriegerowski, M., Isken, M., Cesca, S., Daout, S., Grigoli, F., Juretzek, C., Megies, T., Nooshiri, N., Steinberg, A., Sudhaus, H., Vasyura-Bathke, H., Willey, T., and Dahm, T.: Pyrocko - An open-source seismology toolbox and library, https://doi.org/10.5880/gfz.2.1.2017.001, http://pyrocko.org, 2017.

Kaneko, Y. and Shearer, P. M.: Variability of seismic source spectra, estimated stress drop, and radiated energy, derived from cohesive-zone

30 models of symmetrical and asymmetrical circular and elliptical ruptures, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 120, 1053–1079, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JB011642, 2015.

Madariaga, R.: Dynamics of an expanding circular fault, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 66, 639–666, http://bssa. geoscienceworld.org/content/66/3/639.abstract, 1976.

35 Málek, J., Janský, J., and Horálek, J.: Layered Velocity Models of the Western Bohemia Region, Studia Geophysica et Geodaetica, 44, 475–490, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021859516682, http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1021859516682, 2000.

Krischer, L.: mtspec Python wrappers 0.3.2, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.321789, http://krischer.github.io/mtspec/, 2016.

- Matsumoto, S., Uehira, K., Watanabe, A., Goto, K., Iio, Y., Hirata, N., Okada, T., Takahashi, H., Shimizu, H., Shinohara, M., and Kanazawa, T.: High resolution Q-1 estimation based on extension of coda normalization method and its application to P-wave attenuation structure in the aftershock area of the 2005 West Off Fukuoka Prefecture Earthquake (M7.0), Geophysical Journal International, 179, 1039–1054, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2009.04313.x, 2009.
- 5 Michálek, J. and Fischer, T.: Source parameters of the swarm earthquakes in West Bohemia/Vogtland, Geophysical Journal International, 195, 1196–1210, https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggt286, 2013.
 - Mousavi, S., Haberland, C., Bauer, K., Hejrani, B., and Korn, M.: Attenuation tomography in West Bohemia/Vogtland, Tectonophysics, 695, 64–75, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.12.010, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040195116306096, 2017.
- 10 Park, J., Craig, R. L., and Vernon III, F. L.: Multitaper spectral analysis of high-frequency seismograms, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 12675–12684, https://doi.org/10.1029/JB092iB12p12675, http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/JB092iB12p12675/abstract, 1987.
 - Prieto, G., Parker, R., and Vernon III, F.: A Fortran 90 library for multitaper spectrum analysis, Computers & Geosciences, 35, 1701–1710, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2008.06.007, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0098300409000077, 2009.
- Sanders, C. O.: Local earthquake tomography: attenuation—theory and results, Seismic Tomography: Theory and Practice, pp. 676–694, 15 1993.
 - Thomson, D. J.: Spectrum estimation and harmonic analysis, Proceedings of the IEEE, 70, 1055–1096, https://doi.org/10.1109/PROC.1982.12433, 1982.
 - Toksöz, M. N., Johnston, D. H., and of Exploration Geophysicists, S.: Seismic wave attenuation, Geophysics reprint series, Society of Exploration Geophysicists, https://books.google.de/books?id=rjlNAQAAIAAJ, 1981.
- 20 Vavryčuk, V.: Principal earthquakes: Theory and observations from the 2008 West Bohemia swarm, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 305, 290–296, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.03.002, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012821X11001373, 2011.
 - Vavryčuk, V., Bouchaala, F., and Fischer, T.: High-resolution fault image from accurate locations and focal mechanisms of the 2008 swarm earthquakes in West Bohemia, Czech Republic, Tectonophysics, 590, 189–195, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.01.025, 2013.
- 25 Waldhauser, F. and Ellsworth, W. L.: A Double-difference Earthquake location algorithm: Method and application to the Northern Hayward Fault, California, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 90, 1353–1368, 2000.
 - Wang, R.: A simple orthonormalization method for stable and efficient computation of Green's functions, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 89, 733–741, http://www.bssaonline.org/content/89/3/733.short, 1999.

Wcisło, M., Eisner, L., Málek, J., Fischer, T., Vlček, J., and Kletetschka, G.: Attenuation in west bohemia: Evidence of high attenuation

30 in the Nový Kostel focal zone and temporal change consistent with Co2degassing, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, https://doi.org/10.1785/0120170168, 2018.

Response to reviewer #1:

All references in the comments below refer to the references in the paper.

1. 1. Page 5, Line 23-25, "The size of blue points in Figure 3 represents relative number of pairs based on ray tracing through a 1D layered model": What the blue dots in Figure 3 indicate is not clear. Earthquake swarms are indicated by red dots. Please elaborate more on the definition of "event couples" in this figure.

We extended the text in this section as well as in the figure caption to make clear that the blue points' size scales with the number of arriving ray paths from event couples at theoretical station sites given the geometrical constraints described in the text.

2. Page 8, Line 7-8, "S phase results match the model at NKC but show strong scattering at LBC". Can you elaborate why this is the case?

We extended the underlying paragraph to clarify this: "[...] as a result of the interference with the added noise as well as the P phase coda".

3. Source time function is half sine shaped but the width depends on the magnitude, which seems realistic. Can you comment on how this source time function is representative in this field? **The source time durations we used in the synthetic modeling are based on estimates for the stress drop and source dimensions taken from the paper by Michálek (2013) for the 2000 - 2008 swarm activity and are therefore assumed to be representative for the given task.**

4. Velocity model is 1D (see Fig 4), and high frequency component that is used is C2 SED Interactive comment Printer-friendly version Discussion paper around 80 Hz (see Fig.9c). The short wavelength component is around 60 m assuming Vp=5000m/s in this case. In 1D velocity model used in the test does not include any spatial heterogeneity in the order of this short wavelength. Can you comment on to what extent we can ignore effects of spatial heterogeneity that is sensitive to the high frequency components in the data? I found that the authors discussed the effect of wave scattering in section 4 and 5. It would be good to mention here that these effects will be discussed later

We used the velocity model suggested by Alexandrakis (2014) which we assume is the most realistic 1D velocity model available. Small scale heterogeneities are expensive to model as these effects in the temporal and spectral domain can easily be outweight by and also cause numerical instabilities. We added a comment on the following discussion in the text and also a sentence with regard to the actual waveforms recorded in NW Bohemia which is typified by clear onsets, as well (see Fischer (2010)).

5. Figure 9: I did not find sentences explaining Fig. 9 in the main text. **We added a sentence and a reference regarding that figure in the text.**

6. Page 11, lines 10-11, "It becomes evident that larger incidence angles (> 8 degrees) show a tendency to produce negative Q^{-1} while results from events with steep incidence angles produce positive Q^{-1} values." : Please mention that this refers to Figure 12(b), otherwise it does not make sense.

We extended that sentence to highlight that this refers to station NKC and explicitly refer to that figure.

7. Page 13, Lines 21-22, "Mousavi et al. (2017) assume a highly fractured medium in combination with accumulated free gas or fluids. Our findings support this hypothesis." : I did not see the clear relation between the authors results and this hypothesis. Please elaborate more

We clarified in the text that the hypothesis by Mousavi et al. (2017) would cause high source volume attenuation which is supported by our observations on P-wave attenuation.

Other minor comments: **All mentioned points have been corrected.**

Response to reviewer #2

1. P3: the Method is described in a rather confusing way. Some examples: $-t^*$ in Eq(1) is different from t* in Eq(3). Instead t*ijshould be in Eq(1) and t* = t*i0 - t*i1 in Eq(3) - what do you mean by saying 'spectra S0 and S1 are similar' – I think they should be identical so that Eq(3) holds. To make it clear refer to 'below' where this is clarified - there is a mistake in Eq(3): logarithm is missing in front of G0/G1

We apologize and improved the methodological section considering all suggestions. We moved the clarification on what 'equal' means with respect to the two spectra to an earlier paragraph.

2. Fig. 2: the hypocenters are not visible: what about plotting them in the upper layer? **Done.**

3. P5/14: Please specify how are the upper frequency limits corrected to account for the Fresnel volume

We have added one short paragraph to specify the role of the Fresnel volume to limit the frequency content.

4. P5/23: The position of the blue points in Fig 3 is not clear; they do not appear to show the ray **We rewrote the caption of figure 3 to clarify the meaning of the blue points.**

5. P7/3: Which three requirements do you have in mind? I have found only two in the preceding text

Corrected.

6. P8: Please explain the difference between synthetic traces in Figs 5, 6 and 7. It is not clear which source time functions were used to generate Fig. 5, 6 and 7. Were these magnitude-dependent in Fig. 7 only? And what about Green functions? To my understanding, the synthetic traces in Fig.5 are computed using 1D model, which means (to my understanding) that the source time functions have been convolved with the appropriate Green function. So what is the difference in data for Figs 5 and 7? Possibly only two instead of three figures are necessary here?

We now explicitly mention in the caption and text the source time function used to plot figure 5, 6 and 7. This implies that figure 7 differs from the previous ones in the usage of the magnitude dependent source time function.

7. There is no reference in the text for Fig. 9 **We added a reference and text introducing that figure.**

8. Fig. 10: The swarm locations shown on the map look rather scattered – are these indeed the HypoDD locations you have used for the analysis?

The shown hypocentres are the hypoDD relocated events. We improved the map in general and use smaller points now.

9. Fig. 11: How did you determine the incidence angles: from ray tracing or these are measured from the seismograms?

We used a 1D raytracing algorithm. We clarified this in the text.

10. P11/10: that larger -> that for larger **corrected**

11. P11/17: I think that the sentence "This trend is mostly dependent..." is not necessary

We didn't remove this sentence as we think that this is a true feature. See reply no. 12.

12. Fig. 13: It would be more suitable to use a kilometer scale on this plot. Besides, the gap at 50.211 latitude appears enigmatic. I believe this plot should be station independent, because it shows coordinates of the events, so it should be visible at both the stations. Even if different events would be used at different stations, at least some indication of the gap should be visible also at LBC, provided there is some overlap between the event sets. Could you please show the vertical section of used relocations in order to identify the origin of the gap?

We use a kilometer scale now and modified the figure to show the contribution of deeper and shallower sources as a vertical section in the upper panels. The former latitude gap at station NKC was partially due to a plotting problem. However, some gap is still visible and resulting from the spatial non-homogeneous distribution of the deeper sources (Panel b)).

13. P12: I am not sure if only scattering is responsible for the reported waveform complexity at NKC. It could be caused by different effects. One of these could be the proximity to the nodal line, where the P-onsets are usually of emergent nature and later arrivals are more visible. The data shown however look quite impulsive, which could indicate that the two mechanisms have different nodal lines, which not close to the NKC projection. Another reason could be overlap of waveforms with opposite polarity, which makes the image more complex.

We actually consider different hypothesis to explain the complex signals at station NKC including scattering (P 13/13) but also focal mechanisms (P 13/5) and nodal lines (P 13/9). (Line numbers refer to the updated manuscript).

14. • P13/6: Here it would be helpful to discuss more the different ray direction and coverage of the focal zone for NKC and LBC as visible in Fig. 2, which could affect different sensitivity of the stations to attenuation.

We extended the paragraph discussing the focal zone penetration with respect to Figure 2.

15. P14/1-8: It would be suitable to refer here to the study of Wcislo et al (2018) who obtained similar Qp and Qs using different method on the same data. Mentioning this in Conclusions is too late; BTW Conclusions usually do not contain citations.

We now cite the work of Wcislo earlier in the discussion.