

Interactive comment on “Magmatic sulphides in high-K calc-alkaline to shoshonitic and alkaline rocks” by Ariadni Georgatou and Massimo Chiaradia

Ariadni Georgatou and Massimo Chiaradia

ariadni.georgatou@unige.ch

Received and published: 9 September 2019

Dear members of the Editorial Board,

First of all, we would like to thank Dan Smith for taking the time to read, correct and comment this manuscript. All suggestions will be taken into consideration and after receiving all comments by the remaining reviewer/s, we will address all concerns raised in detail and upload a revised version of the paper as well as possible additional files or results.

Before doing so we would like to answer regarding the DOI link for the datasets af-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



ter Dan Smith's following comment : "Specific comments DOI links to additional data: None of the DOI links worked for me. If the data are published elsewhere they should be formally cited. If they are as yet unpublished they should be included as supplementary information with this manuscript"

Our answer: The datasets have been uploaded in figshare which provided the DOI inserted in the manuscript (10.6084/m9.figshare.8230787). Although the link won't activate before the article gets published, the following link <https://figshare.com/s/773ea334e212bec02610> has been created and provided upon the article's submission in order for the reviewers to be able to download the dataset.

The uploaded supplementary material on figshare corresponds to the following data: Table S1: major element whole rock XRF analysis, Table S2: trace element whole rock XRF analysis, Table S3: trace element LA-ICP-MS analysis, Table S4: microprobe-EPMA sulphide analysis and Table S5: reconstructed sulphide area % bulk composition.

Please let us know in case we need to clarify something more on this matter.

Thank you in advance for your time,

On behalf of all authors,

Ariadni Georgetou

Interactive comment on Solid Earth Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2019-106>, 2019.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

