Dear editors, Chris Colletini and Federico Rossetti,

We are pleased to see the decision of the journal. We here give our responses to the comments raised by the editor, which are given in **bold** lettering to help distinguish from the comments of the editor.

5

Thanks for your consideration and with sincere regards, Bjarne Almqvist and Hagen Bender, on behalf of the author team

### 10 Two comments were raised by the topical editor, which we address here:

1) The first paragraph of the abstract is describing something that the Authors are not able to achieve with their dataset since they say that "Analysis of structural and magnetic fabric data yield no kinematic information on the direction and sense of seismic slip". Therefore, I suggest to re-adjust this first paragraph in order to be more consistent with main findings of the manuscript. For example, the Authors can add a sentence clearly stating the

15 general problem being addressed by the study, i.e. difficulties in using magnetic anisotropy from small samples to infer fault kinematics.

We have modified the abstract in the new version of manuscript, which is given at the end of this document, with track changes to indicate the modified parts. We have changed the text mainly to emphasize the findings of sample size and accordingly moved some text, towards the beginning of the abstract. We have added a final sentence to the to conclude our study.

2) Some figures have to be improved. Figure 2: add some details to show: a) the 3 cm wide fault vein, that is foliation-parallel and exhibiting a 5-mm-thick band of bluish, altered pseudotachylyte at its top; b) the brittle, steeply W-dipping normal faults crosscutting the ductile fabric & calcite slickenfibres on some of the fault planes.

25 steeply W-dipping normal faults crosscutting the ductile fabric & calcite slickenfibres on some of the fault planes. In a new figure 2 we have indicated a) the fault vein thickness and b) the steeply dipping normal faults.

Some labelling or numbers in figures 7, 8, 10, 12, 13 are too small.

We have modified the labelling in figures to larger font size and new figures are submitted.

30

# Magnetic properties of pseudotachylytes from western Jämtland, <u>central-Central</u> Swedish Caledonides

Bjarne S.G. Almqvist<sup>1</sup>, Hagen Bender<sup>2</sup>, Amanda Bergman<sup>2,3</sup>, Uwe Ring<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Geophysics, Villavägen 16, 752 36 Uppsala, Sweden <sup>2</sup>Department of Geological Sciences, Stockholm University-, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden <sup>3</sup>Ramböll Sverige AB, Box 17009-, Krukmakargatan 21, 104 62 Stockholm, Sweden

Correspondence to: Bjarne Almqvist (bjarne.almqvist@geo.uu.se)

# 1 Abstract

Fault kinematics can provide information on the relationship and assembly of tectonic units in an orogen. Magnetic fabric 40 studies of faults where pseudotachylytes form have recently been used to determine direction and sense of seismic slip in prehistoric earthquakes. Here we apply the methodology to study magnetic fabrics of pseudotachylytes in field structures in of the Köli Nappe Complex (central Swedish Caledonides), with the aim to determine fault kinematics and decipher the role seismic faulting in the assembly of the Caledonian nappe pile. Because the pseudotachylyte veins are thin, we focused on small (c. 0.2 cm<sup>3</sup>) samples for measuring the anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility. The small sample size challenges conventional 45 use of magnetic anisotropy and results acquired from such small specimens demand cautious interpretation. Importantly, thew find that magnetic fabric results show inverse proportionality among specimen size, degree of magnetic anisotropy and mean magnetic susceptibility, which is most likely an analytical artifact related to instrument sensitivity and small sample dimensions. In general, however, it is shown that the principal axes of magnetic susceptibility correspond to the orientation of foliation and lineation, where the maximum susceptibility  $(k_1)$  is parallel to the mineral lineation and the minimum 50 susceptibility (k<sub>3</sub>) is dominantly oriented normal to schistosity. Furthermore, the studied Pseudotachylytes pseudotachylytes develop distinct magnetic properties, where, pristine Pristine pseudotachylytes preserve a signal of ferrimagnetic magnetite

- that likely formed during faulting. In contrast, portions of the pseudotachylytes have altered, with tendency of magnetite to break down and formation ofto form chlorite. Despite magnetite breakdown, the altered pseudotachylyte mean magnetic susceptibility is nearly twice that of altered pseudotachylyte, likely originating from the Fe-rich chlorite, as implied by
- 55 temperature-dependent susceptibility measurements and thin section observations. Analysis of structural and magnetic fabric data indicates that seismic faulting occurred during exhumation into the upper crust but yield no kinematic information on the direction and sense of seismic slip. Additionally, The-the combined structural field and magnetic fabric data suggest that seismic faulting was postdated by brittle E–W extensional deformation along steep normal faults. Although the objective of finding kinematic indicators for the faulting was not fully achieved, we believe that the results from this study may help guide
- 60 future studies of magnetic anisotropy with small specimens (<1 cm<sup>3</sup><sub>k</sub>), as well as in the interpretation of magnetic properties of pseudotachylytes. Importantly, the magnetic fabric results show inverse proportionality among specimen size, degree of magnetic anisotropy and mean magnetic susceptibility, which is most likely an analytical artifact related to instrument

Formatted: Superscript

sensitivity and small sample dimensions. This finding implies magnetic anisotropy results acquired from small specimens demand cautious interpretation.

#### 65 2 Introduction

85

Pseudotachylytes are fault rocks that represent quenched frictional melts generated during co-seismic slip (Magloughlin and Spray, 1992; Sibson, 1975). Pseudotachylytes have been documented in fault zones within the Köli Nappe Complex, central Sweden (Beckholmen, 1982). Since the discovery of these localities almost four decades ago, understanding of pseudotachylyte generation has improved fundamentally (Lin, 2008; Rowe and Griffith, 2015). For example, pseudotachylyte

- 70 characteristics have been used to infer dynamics of seismic faulting (e.g., Di Toro et al., 2005). A recently developed approach exploits magnetic properties and anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility of pseudotachylytes for deducing the focal mechanism of ancient earthquakes (Ferré et al., 2015). In an attempt to find the kinematics of a ductile-to-brittle shear zone in the Köli Nappe Complex, we adopted this method to the pseudotachylytes in the Köli Nappe Complex. Information about fault kinematics could offer evidence for nappe stacking dynamics along this shear zone within the Köli Nappe Complex (e.g.,
- 75 Bender et al., 2018). Additionally, the pseudotachylyte data can be compared to kinematic data from late and post-orogenic extensional faults that cross-cut the nappe architecture (Bergman and Sjöström, 1997; Gee et al., 1994), which is important to understand the relationship between top W shear sense late orogenic extensional phase and brittle deformation related to pseudotachylyte formation. It is found that the magnetic fabric reflects the petrofabric, but does not reveal the direction or sense of seismic slip. Observations made on the magnetic properties of pseudotachylytes reveal differences bulk susceptibility
- 80 of altered and pristine pseudotachylytes. An additional insight provided in this work is that magnetic fabric studies that use small to very small sample size (i.e., 0.03 cm<sup>3</sup>) need to be carefully considered given potential measurement-related artifacts.

# 2.1 Rock magnetism and its application to pseudotachylytes

Frictional melting significantly affects magnetic properties of fault rocks. The newly crystallized mineral assemblage of pseudotachylytes is distinctly different from that of its host rock (Ferré et al., 2012). The rapid quenching leads to a remanent magnetization, which is acquired coseismically but sometimes contains post-seismic superimposed magnetizations, and hence impacts interpretation of paleomagnetism (Ferré et al., 2014; Fukuchi, 2003). Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility of pseudotachylytes may also record information about the viscous flow of the friction melt (Ferré et al., 2015; Scott and Spray, 1999). Comparison of fault plane geometry and orientation with the magnetic fabric and petrofabric has been used to deduce earthquake kinematics and focal mechanism (Ferré et al., 2015).

90 The magnetic fabric of a rock is defined by its anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS), which in turn reflects the sum of individual magnetic responses of the rock-forming minerals (Borradaile, 1987). To use magnetic fabrics for inferring flow direction and sense, the carriers of rock magnetism must be known (Cañón-Tapia and Castro, 2004). In general, minerals respond in three fundamental ways to applied magnetic fields: diamagnetic, paramagnetic or ferromagnetic sensu lato (Butler, 1998; Tauxe, 2010). Depending on which of these behaviors is dominant in a rock specimen, AMS needs to be interpreted in different ways. Low susceptibility of diamagnetic minerals generally makes them subordinate contributors to the bulk rock AMS (Hirt and Almqvist, 2012, and references therein). Most rock-forming minerals are paramagnetic, for which AMS is foremost controlled by crystallography. AMS in paramagnetically-dominated rocks reflects the crystallographic preferred orientations of these minerals (Hirt and Almqvist, 2012). However, pseudotachylytes have been shown to contain authigenic magnetite produced during frictional melting (Nakamura et al., 2002). For ferromagnetic minerals, AMS is mainly controlled by grain shape and orientation distribution, with the exception of hematite (Borradaile and Jackson, 2010). With few exceptions, paramagnetic and ferromagnetic minerals express normal AMS fabrics. In such fabrics, the longest grain dimensions coincide with the maximum principal AMS axes (Tarling and Hrouda, 1993). For these cases the flow direction can be deduced from the magnetic lineation (e.g., Ernst and Baragar, 1992).

#### 3 Regional geological context, field and macroscopic appearance of fault veins

- 105 In western Jämtland, the Köli Nappe Complex mainly consists of greenschist- to amphibolite-grade, metavolcanic and metasedimentary rocks exposed in the Tännforsen Synform (Figure 1; Beckholmen, 1984). Mineral and stretching lineations trend E–W to SE–NW. Foliations dip shallowly and their strikes generally conform to the shape of the synform. Several minor and two major fault zones separate the thrust sheets of the Köli Nappe Complex. The fault zones show ductile to brittle structures that are associated with pseudotachylyte (Beckholmen, 1982). We investigated the structurally highest of these fault
- 110 zones, the Finntjärnen fault zone (Figure 1). The Köli Nappe Complex and its underlying units are crosscut by the Røragen Detachment and associated brittle, W-dipping normal faults (Bergman and Sjöström, 1997; Figure 1; Gee et al., 1994). At Finntjärnen (63.389350N, 12.480276E), the schistosity of the micaschist host rock dips shallowly to the WNW (overall host rock schistosity S 302/15, Figure 2a). Mineral and stretching lineations are shown by the orientation biotite and boudinaged amphibole crystals in the foliation plane, and plunge shallowly to the W (overall host rock lineation L 262/08, Figure 2a).
- 115 Mylonitic shear sense indicators associated with the ductile fabric were not observed, although top-ESE shear sense indicators in mylonites were mapped regionally by Bender et al. (2019) in the middle and lower Köli nappe. Fault veins commonly occur as foliation-parallel generation veins and crosscutting injection veins (Figure 2a, Figure 3).

The fault veins contain both pseudotachylyte and subordinate ultracataclasite. Macroscopically, four different types of fault rock can be distinguished: (1) **fractured host rock/protolith** occurs in mm to cm wide domains between brittlely undeformed host rock and fault veins. It appears very similar to the host rock, features microscopic fractures and <1 mm to 10 mm-wide injection veins; (2) **cataclasite** has the same color as the host rock, but is much finer grained and appears as patches within fault veins; (3) **pseudotachylyte**, which is grouped into preserved pst and altered pst, based on the degree of alteration that the pst has experienced; pseudotachylyte being fault rock with the microstructural evidence for frictional melting. Preserved pseudotachylytes displays compositional flow-banding and consists of massive, bright gray, amorphous matrix containing <2

mm-sized clasts of host rock fragments and minerals; **altered pseudotachylyte** is bluish gray and massive, exhibits layerparallel banding and generally sharp boundaries to unaltered pseudotachylyte (Figure 3). On slabs cut from an oriented sample (for details, see section 4.1), the coseismic slip direction cannot be deduced from fabrics in the fault vein.

At the outcrop scale, offset schistosity planes and compositional layering in micaschist along brittle W-dipping faults 130 commonly occurs (Figure 2b). From orientations and slip sense of these faults, P- and T-axes indicating E–W extension were calculated (Figure 2b). These axes give a kinematic solution with extensional (T) and contractional (P) directions of strain (Fossen, 2010). Calcite-filled veins crosscut the ductile fabric and the fault veins (Figure 3). The faults are likely late or postorogenic structures that cut across the earlier structures related to nappe emplacement.

#### 4 Sampling, materials and analytical techniques

#### 135 4.1 Sample preparation

An oriented sample of a foliation-parallel fault vein with host rock on either side was collected in the field (sample AB15, schistosity 341/30, mineral lineation  $L_{AB15}$  269/07; Figure 2 and 3). The hand specimen was cut with a diamond saw into 5 to 10-mm-thick slabs parallel to the lineation and perpendicular to the foliation. A thin section was prepared from one of these slabs. The rest of the slabs were cut into lineation-parallel sticks of approximately equal width and height. From these, 116

- 140 cube-shaped specimens were cut for magnetic experiments; x-axes of the cubes are parallel to  $L_{hr}$  and z-axes are perpendicular to  $S_{hr}$ . Due to small spatial distribution of host/fault rock, specimen cubes are unconventionally small (side length  $5.3 \pm 1.2$  mm, volume  $0.17 \pm 0.13$  cm<sup>3</sup>; uncertainty levels here and throughout the manuscript are  $1\sigma$ ) compared to standardsize specimens (7 to 11 cm<sup>3</sup>) used in paleomagnetism (Table S1). Therefore, shape and size of cube dimensions were compared to properties of the AMS ellipsoid and uncertainties related to the cube dimension were also investigated. Despite expending
- 145 particular care in avoiding to cut specimens with different types of host/fault rock, specimens with mixed rock type occur. Approximate modal proportions for each rock type per specimen are presented in Table S2 in the electronic supplementary material.

### 4.2 Magnetic properties

#### 4.2.1 Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility

- 150 Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) was measured using a MFK1-FA susceptibility bridge (Agico, Inc.) operated at 200 A/m alternating current field and 976 Hz frequency. A semi-automatic sample rotation scheme was used, with manual orientation of the cubic sample in three unique positions and measurements during sample rotation, effectively yielding high resolution measurements in the three body planes of the specimen. Orientation parameters used for data acquisition with Safyr4W software were P1 = P3 = 6 and P2 = P4 = 0 so that specimen x-axes plunge parallel to L<sub>hr</sub> and specimen z-axes point
- 155 upward perpendicular to  $S_{hr}$  ("Safyr4W User Manual," 2011). The AMS is expressed by the orientation and magnitude of the

principal axes of susceptibility k<sub>1</sub> ≥ k<sub>2</sub> ≥ k<sub>3</sub>. Further parameters describing AMS data include the mean susceptibility k<sub>m</sub> = (k<sub>1</sub> + k<sub>2</sub> + k<sub>3</sub>) / 3, magnetic foliation F<sub>m</sub> = k<sub>2</sub> / k<sub>3</sub>, magnetic lineation L<sub>m</sub> = k<sub>1</sub> / k<sub>2</sub> and Jelinek's parameter for the degree of anisotropy P<sub>j</sub> (Jelinek, 1981). The shape of the susceptibility ellipsoid is described by T = (ln[F<sub>m</sub>] - ln[L<sub>m</sub>]) / (ln[F<sub>m</sub>] + ln[L<sub>m</sub>]). Only at T = +1 and T = -1 is the AMS ellipsoid rotational oblate and prolate, respectively. For 0 < T < +1, the AMS ellipsoid is oblate; for 0 > T > -1, it is in contrast prolate (Jelinek, 1981). Mean susceptibility k<sub>m</sub> has been normalized for specimen volume. The standard error (s) of the mean susceptibility is expressed by s = S<sub>0</sub> / 6N - 5, where S<sub>0</sub> is the residual sum of squares given by S<sub>0</sub> = S - R, and N = 2. The sum of squares (S) is calculated considering all measured components z<sub>0</sub> such that

$$S = \sum_{i}^{12} z^2$$

165 For data visualization, specimens containing more than one host/fault rock type were plotted based on their modal composition. The specimens were accounted to the dominant host/fault rock type composing the specimen. One specimen containing three rock types and 12 specimens composed of two rock types with each 50% mode were considered as mixed analyses. These data are therefore only presented in the data tables but were excluded from orientation and parameter analysis of AMS data.

#### 4.2.2 Frequency dependence of susceptibility

- 170 Frequency-dependent magnetic susceptibility was measured using a MFK1-FA susceptibility bridge (Agico, Inc.) operated at 200 A/m AC field and frequencies of  $F_1 = 976$  Hz and  $F_3 = 15$  616 Hz. In order to minimize the effect of anisotropy, all measurements were performed with the sample cubes oriented in the same position with their positive x-axes horizontally pointing toward the operator (POS. 1 in "Safyr4W User Manual," 2018; www.agico.com). Frequency dependence can be generally inferred when the mass dependent susceptibility (k; used in this study) measured at  $F_1$  is higher than  $F_3$ . Frequency
- 175 dependence is used to identify superparamagnetic magnetite, as a narrow grain size distribution, ranging from ~15 up to ~30 nm, show frequency dependent susceptibility (Hrouda, 2011). The method was here used to help answer the question if very fine-grained magnetite formed during partial melting and recrystallization associated with the fault-slip that formed the pseudotachylyte.

# 4.2.3 Temperature dependence of susceptibility

- 180 Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility was measured using a MFK1-FA, equipped with a CS4 furnace. Six sample cubes were analyzed individually; two sample cubes were analyzed together (AB15-13 and AB15-61) because of their small volumes. The samples were ground to a powder with an agate mortar, being careful not to contaminate the sample with outside iron particles or magnetic phases from other materials. Magnetic susceptibility measurements at 200 A/m AC field and 976 Hz frequency were conducted from room temperature up to 700°C, and subsequently cooled back to room temperature,
- 185 with a heating/cooling rate of 11.8 °C/min. Specimen AB15-67 was measured in air; all other specimens in argon atmosphere.

Thermomagnetic data of the empty furnace were smoothed (5-point running mean) and subtracted from the sample thermomagnetic data using the Cureval8 software (Agico, Inc.).

# 4.2.4 Hysteresis

Hysteresis loops were performed with a LakeShore vibrating sample magnetometer with a maximum applied field of 1 T. Data

- 190 processing was performed with the MATLAB toolbox HystLab (Paterson et al., 2018), using a linear high-field slope correction and automatic drift correction. The hysteresis data was normalized by the mass of the specimen. The extracted hysteresis parameters included saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ), saturation remanent magnetization ( $M_{rs}$ ) and coercivity ( $H_c$ ). In addition, parameters of the induced hysteretic ( $M_{ih}$ ) remanence hysteresis ( $M_{rh}$ ) curves are presented in the results section, where the two parameters are defined as half the sum and half the difference between the upper and lower hysteresis branches,
- respectively (Paterson et al., 2018). The noise of the measurements is expressed by the root-mean-square (RMS) noise after paramagnetic slope correction and represents the signal to noise ratio of the hysteresis measurements (Paterson et al., 2018).

#### 4.3 Shear sense determination using AMS

Obliquity between shear plane and magnetic fabric may be used to determine the sense of slip. Progressive shearing rotates maximum and intermediate principal axes of strain and AMS toward the shear plane (Borradaile and Henry, 1997). Kinematics

200 are indicated in a plane perpendicular to the shear plane (i.e., fault vein margins) that contains the minimum and maximum AMS axes (cf. Figure 26 in Borradaile and Henry, 1997; and Figure 3 in Ferré et al., 2015). In this case, magnetic foliations are inclined toward the slip direction, which gives the sense of shear.

#### 5 Microstructural description of host and fault rocks

#### 5.1 Host rock microstructure and petrography

- 205 Calcareous amphibole-biotite micaschist hosts the fault veins. Large biotite crystals are oriented sub-parallel to the foliation (Figure 4a). Some grains show minor replacement by chlorite. Very fine-grained (<5 μm), euhedral Ti-oxides occur in the center of patches where chlorite replaced biotite (Figure 5a). Amphibole is chloritized and only preserved as pseudomorphs (Figure 4a); their long axes have acute angles (<45°) to the foliation plane. The major opaque mineral is ilmenite (Figure 4a, Figure 5b). Ilmenite breakdown to Ti-oxide is observed at grain boundaries with biotite (Figure 5b). Boundaries between the brittlely undeformed and fractured host rock or fault or injection veins are sharp (Figure 4b). In the fractured host rock,</p>
- alteration of biotite is more pronounced.

### 5.2 Fault rock microstructure and petrography

215

Cataclastic fault rock appears bright in thin section and consists of granular lithic and mineral fragments (Figure 4c). It forms bulky to drawn-out patches that grade into compositional flow banding in fault veins mainly composed of pseudotachylyte (Figure 3). Within cataclasite patches, tens to hundreds of µm thin, curved to meandering pseudotachylyte veins occur (Figure

- 4c). The modal abundance of cataclasite patches decreases from bottom to top of the studied fault vein (Figure 3).
  Such structural evidence includes microcrystallites, sulfide/oxide droplets and spaced survivor clasts, which may display embayed edges witnessing their melt-assisted corrosion (Magloughlin and Spray, 1992; Kirkpatrick and Rowe, 2013). All of these features are expressed in the studied pseudotachylytes. Sulfide/oxide droplets are submicron in size (Figure 4d). Grain
- size of survivor clasts is on the order of 20 µm to 100 µm (Figure 4d, Figure 5c–d). Their shapes are generally round although some exhibit concave, serrated edges (Figure 5d). Quartz clasts are most common. A smaller amount of subhedral calcite occurs in the fault rock, which most likely represent survivor clasts.. Furthermore, <5-µm-long needle-shaped crystals without obvious shape-preferred orientation occur dispersed in cryptocrystalline or amorphous matrix (Figure 5d). The needle-shaped microcrystallites are probably biotite, as energy-dispersive spectroscopic X-ray (EDS) mapping indicates they are enriched in</p>
- 225 Al, K, Fe and Mg as compared to the matrix. However, their small size prevented an interpretable single-crystal spectrum. In some places, microcrystallites of unknown composition show dendritic patterns, possibly K-feldspar (sanidine and anorthoclase; Lin, 1994; Figure 5e).

A 4 to 10-mm-wide layer in the upper part of the studied fault vein exhibits a bubbly microstructure in transmitted light (Figure 4e–f). This spherically meandering microstructure represents chlorite alteration fronts replacing pristine pseudotachylyte

230 (Figure 5e). The fine-grained (5 to 15 μm) chlorite displays no shape-preferred orientation. Where chlorite has replaced pseudotachylyte, micron- to submicron-sized, rhomb-shaped Ti-oxide crystals are finely dispersed (Figure 5f–g). Their grain size decreases from center to rim of the chloritized domains.

Thin (<0.5 mm) antitaxial calcite + quartz veins with sharp edges cut across the host rock and all types of fault rock. They consist of mainly calcite and subordinate quartz. Euhedral pyrite occurs within such veins or in close proximity (<1 mm, Figure

235 3c). Vein orientations generally dip at high angles toward the W or are perpendicular to the foliation. Fibrous calcite, quartz and strain fringes around pyrite are compatible with E–W extension. The veins transect the boundaries between different fault rock types and the host rock without being offset at these boundaries.

#### 6 Rock magnetism results

#### 240 6.1 Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility and frequency dependent susceptibility

AMS data for all specimens are summarized in Table 1 and graphically presented in Figure 6. Magnetic anisotropy in host rock and fault rock specimens displays consistent orientations of principal axes. Maximum principal axes ( $k_1$ ) trend E–W and are subparallel to the host rock lineation for all rock types (Figure 6). Generally, all rock types show prolate AMS symmetry

as indicated by distribution of intermediate  $(k_2)$  and minimum principal axes  $(k_3)$  in a girdle perpendicular to  $k_1$ . Furthermore,

- shapes and orientations of the 95% confidence regions for mean  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  axes reflect the prolate AMS shape (Figure 6a, c–f). Symmetry of these confidence regions indicates that AMS fabrics are similar for the analyzed specimen groups (Borradaile and Jackson, 2010). However, intermediate and minimum principal axes for host rock specimens occur in two clusters (Figure 6a). One cluster has  $k_3$  axes perpendicular to the host rock foliation and  $k_2$  axes lying within the foliation plane (Figure 6b). The corresponding sub-fabric AMS ellipsoid approaches oblate shape ( $T = 0.21 \pm 0.19$ ). The magnetic foliation expressed by these specimens is subparallel to the schistosity S<sub>br</sub>. In the second cluster,  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  axes are inversely oriented.
- Measurements of anisotropy ( $P_j$ , T) scatter over similar ranges for all rock types (Figure 7a). The anisotropy degree  $P_j$  shows highest variation for host rock specimens ( $1.02 < P_j < 1.45$ ); lowest for altered pseudotachylyte ( $1.06 < P_j < 1.25$ ). However, the median  $P_j$  values are similar in all rock types ( $1.1 < P_j < 1.2$ ) and the middle 50% of these data overlap, when shown in box-and-whisker plots (Figure 7b). The symmetry of the magnetic fabric shows no co-variation with the degree of anisotropy
- 255 (Figure 7a). Shapes of AMS ellipsoids for individual specimens of all rock types range from oblate to prolate (Figure 7c). Overall, neither degree nor shape of the AMS ellipsoid define a magnetic fabric distinctive for one rock type or a group of several rock types. Nevertheless, the volume-normalized mean susceptibility of altered pseudotachylyte specimens is approximately twice as high (median  $k_m = 4.7 \times 10^{-3}$  [SI]) as that of all other rock types (median  $k_m = 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$  [SI]; Figure 8).
- 260 All samples were measured with the three available frequencies used for the MFK1-FA. Figure 9 show a comparison between mass dependent susceptibilities measured at frequencies F1 (976 Hz) and F3 (15616 Hz). Most samples fall along the 1:1 relationship, but it is possible to clearly differentiate samples of pristine pseudotachylyte that have relatively higher susceptibility compared to other samples (host rock, fractured host rock, cataclasite and altered pseudotachylyte). There is significant scatter in the data, particularly for the pristine pseudotachylytes. The length of the error bars shown in Fig. 9
- 265 represent one standard deviation based on repeated measurements, with at least three measurements per samples. However, there is a tendency for pristine pseudotachylyte samples to have slightly higher susceptibility at F1 (976 Hz) compared to measurements made at F3 (15616 Hz).

### 6.2 Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility

- 270 Thermomagnetic curves for heating and cooling of host rock, as well as for pristine and altered pseudotachylyte are presented in Figure a-c. With increasing temperature, host rock thermomagnetic data exhibit steadily decreasing magnetic susceptibility, followed first by a rapid increase to about twice the initial value at c. 500 °C, and then followed by a rapid decrease at c. 580 °C (specimens AB15-115, AB15-116; Figure d). During cooling, host rock specimens show a prominent rise in susceptibility at temperatures <600 °C and a peak at c. 430 °C. Pseudotachylyte specimens (AB15-12, AB15-13/61, AB15-62) show a small</p>
- 275 but noticeable drop in susceptibility at 550–590 °C (Figure e). During cooling, susceptibility rises sharply for all pseudotachylyte specimens at temperatures <590 °C (Figure b). Altered pseudotachylyte exhibits progressively decreasing</p>

susceptibility with increasing temperature without any significant drop (specimens AB15-43, AB15-67; Figure f). During cooling, susceptibility progressively increases to a peak at c. 300 °C and then gradually decreases again. For specimens AB15-43, there is a small sharp increase of susceptibility at 590 °C observed in the cooling curve.

### 280 6.3 Hysteresis loops

Magnetic hysteresis measurements show all rock types respond dominantly paramagnetically to applied high magnetic fields (Table 2, Figure a, e, i). Hysteresis results for pseudotachylyte-free specimens show either no or very minor ferromagnetic response. They have saturation magnetizations ( $M_s = 2.3 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Am}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ ) about one order of magnitude below those specimens containing pseudotachylyte ( $M_s = 1.73 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Am}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ ) (Table 2). Furthermore, pseudotachylyte-free

- 285 specimens have generally very open slope-corrected hysteresis loops, which do not display branches characteristic of ferromagnetic minerals (Figure b, j) (cf. Paterson et al., 2018). Slope-corrected hysteresis curves for these specimens accordingly also display atypical shapes, which may result from an artificial correction to the data (Figure c, k). Contrastingly, hysteresis loops for pseudotachylyte-bearing specimens show a ferromagnetic contribution in magnetic response. This is expressed weakly in the unprocessed hysteresis loop (Figure e), and more clearly after linear high-field slope correction (Figure
- 290 f; although hysteresis loops generally fail to close at high fields). Based on hysteresis parameters, pristine pseudotachylyterich specimens have the highest saturation remanent magnetization ( $M_{rs} = 3.9 \pm 1.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Am}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ ), compared to host rock ( $M_{rs} = 6.6 \pm 7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Am}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ ) and altered pseudotachylyte specimens ( $M_{rs} = 1.2 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Am}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ ). Magnetic hysteresis raw data is available in Table S3 in the electronic supplementary material.

# 295 6.4 Specimen size and shape

Specimen cube dimensions deviate moderately from neutral shapes. Their long edges are between 4.1% and 20.9% longer than their short edges. Prolate and oblate shapes are equally common (Figure 1a, Table S1). The shape parameters of specimen dimensions ( $T_d$ ) and magnetic anisotropy (T) are independent of each other (Figure 1b, Table S1). The degree of anisotropy of specimen shape and magnetic susceptibility show no significant correlation (Figure 1c).

300 Raw measurements of mean susceptibility ( $k_m$ ) and anisotropy degree ( $P_j$ ) are inversely proportional (Figure a). Additionally, the standard error of  $k_m$  decreases with increasing specimen volume and decreasing mean magnetic susceptibility (Figure b). Consequently, the AMS data are dependent on specimen size. Small specimen volumes result in larger uncertainties, which in turn causes higher  $P_j$  values. This observation is further discussed in section 7.4 which also discusses the limitation of specimen size in studies using AMS.

# 305 7 Discussion

310

# 7.1 Source of magnetic susceptibility and its anisotropy

Thermomagnetic heating curves for host rock specimens show decrease in magnetic susceptibility with increasing temperature until 400 °C, which is characteristic of paramagnetic behavior (Figure d) (Hunt et al., 1995). Formation of new magnetite at temperatures above 400 °C is indicated by the peak and sudden decrease in magnetic susceptibility at 580 °C, the Curie temperature of magnetite (Hunt et al., 1995). These results, together with magnetic hysteresis data (Table 2, Figure ), show

- that the magnetic susceptibility of the host rock micaschist arises from paramagnetic minerals. It follows that the AMS in the host rock is controlled by the crystallographic orientation of the paramagnetic minerals (Borradaile and Jackson, 2010). An AMS sub-fabric in host rock specimens has parallel magnetic and mineral lineations and sub-parallel magnetic and ductile foliations (Figure 6b). Shape-preferred orientation of tabular biotite crystals in the host rock (Figure 4a) implies
- 315 crystallographic *c*-axes of biotite are oriented perpendicular to the schistosity. This AMS sub-fabric is therefore inferred to originate from crystallographic preferred orientation of biotite, which in single crystals exhibits  $k_3$  axes subparallel to biotite crystallographic *c*-axes (Borradaile and Henry, 1997; Martín-Hernández and Hirt, 2003). The mean magnetic susceptibility  $k_m$  of host rock specimens ( $k_m = 2.62 \pm 0.46 \times 10^{-4}$  [SI]) is in the range of typical of schists ( $k_m = 0.026-3.0 \times 10^{-3}$  [SI]; Hunt et al., 1995). Single-crystal bulk susceptibility of biotite, muscovite and chlorite are on the same order of magnitude around  $10^{-4}$
- 320 [SI] (Martín-Hernández and Hirt, 2003). In the absence of magnetite, the host rock AMS most likely arises from these sheet silicates. Fractured host rock and cataclasite specimens without pseudotachylyte display the same magnetic properties as host rock specimens (Figures 7, 8, Tables 1, 2). This conformity suggests the same paramagnetic source of the AMS with contributions from biotite, white mica and chlorite.
- Pseudotachylyte thermomagnetic data shows a distinct drop in susceptibility from 550 °C to 590 °C, which indicates presence 325 of magnetite (Figure e). Hysteresis results of pseudotachylyte-bearing specimens show mixed paramagnetic and ferromagnetic behavior (Table 2, Figure e–g). The AMS of pseudotachylytes thus reflects the sum of paramagnetic and ferromagnetic minerals in these specimens. The narrow range of  $k_m$  does not offer the opportunity to isolate sub-sets (Table 1, Figure 8), which is a common approach to separate AMS sub-fabrics caused by paramagnetic and ferromagnetic minerals (Borradaile and Jackson, 2010). The presence of magnetite does not seem to increase  $k_m$  to values significantly higher than the (fractured)
- 330 host rock and/or cataclasite specimens (Figure 8). The ferromagnetic contribution to the pseudotachylyte AMS is consequently small. The pseudotachylyte AMS is therefore likely controlled by crystallographic preferred orientation of its paramagnetic minerals, that is most probably biotite, with a subordinate contribution from the shape preferred orientation of magnetite (cf. section 5.2). The nearly absent ferromagnetic response in the slope-corrected hysteresis curves likely means that values of  $M_s$ and  $M_{rs}$  are largely artifactual, and samples are dominated by the paramagnetic a signal. The exception is pristine pseudotachylyte that does show a weak ferromagnetic behavior after slope correction.

In altered pseudotachylyte specimens, successive decrease in magnetic susceptibility without significant drop at 580 °C during heating indicates dominant paramagnetic behavior. This behavior suggests that magnetite present in pristine pseudotachylyte

has been altered to an unknown phase in chloritized pseudotachylyte (Figure f). Magnetic hysteresis results confirm bulk paramagnetic behavior for altered pseudotachylyte (Table 2, Figure i-k). The mean magnetic susceptibility for altered

- 340 pseudotachylyte being about twice as high as that for other rock types (Table 1, Figure 8b), the AMS of altered pseudotachylyte apparently has an additional or a different mineral source than the other rock types. Notably, this observation is also made in the high-field susceptibility obtained from hysteresis measurements, which is nearly an order of magnitude higher than in other samples, including the pristine pseudotachylyte (Table 2). Bulk magnetic susceptibility for single-crystal chlorite without highsusceptibility mineral inclusions is about twice that of biotite and muscovite single crystals (Martín-Hernández and Hirt, 2003).
- 345 These sheet silicates were also argued to collectively cause AMS in host rock specimens, but in altered pseudotachylyte chlorite is much more abundant, making up to c. 50% of the mode (Figure 4e–f, Figure 5e–g). We infer that AMS in altered pseudotachylyte dominantly reflects the orientation distribution of chlorite. An alternative explanation for the high susceptibility in the altered pseudotachylytes is formation of metallic iron during faulting. Zhang et al. (2018) have noted formation of micron-size iron spherules in pseudotachylytes that were heated within a range of 1300 – 1500 °C, leading to
- 350 increased magnetic susceptibility. However, no such spherules are directly observed with SEM microscopy (Fig. 5), which makes it difficult to evaluate this potential origin for increased susceptibility.

# 7.2 Petrofabric versus magnetic fabric orientations

The margins of the fault vein are parallel to the slip plane in the Finntjärnen fault zone. Seismic faulting occurred parallel to the schistosity  $S_{hr}$  along subhorizontal, shallowly W-dipping shear planes (Figure 2, Figure 3). The slip direction is indicated

- 355 by subhorizontal E–W trending magnetic lineation in all fault rock types (Figure 6). This direction is consistent with mineral and stretching lineations expressed in the ductily deformed host rock. These orientations also coincide with the extension direction defined by crosscutting normal faults (Figure 2b). Obliquity between the pseudotachylyte magnetic foliation and fault vein margins would indicate the kinematics of seismic slip (Ferré et al., 2015). However, the AMS of both cataclastic and friction melt-origin fault rocks displays prolate symmetry and magnetic lineations that are parallel with the vein margins.
- 360 These results show that neither a magnetic foliation nor obliquity with the shear plane are developed, as would be expected from noncoaxial deformation (Borradaile and Henry, 1997). The observed AMS data raises several questions: (1) If such a kinematic model does not agree with the observed fault rock AMS, what process aligned the maximum principal axes? (2) How is it possible to explain the distribution of intermediate and minimum principal axes in a girdle perpendicular to the *k*<sub>1</sub> axes? (3) Why are AMS fabrics of all rock types compatible? The questions are challenging to answer but they are likely related, given that 1) the magnetic fabrics are co-axial in host rock and pseudotachylytes and 2) the petrofabric and magnetic
- fabric is co-axial, even though a pronounced magnetic foliation has not developed.

# 7.3 Deformation sequence and regional tectonic implications

Foliation-parallel fault veins, bound by narrow domains of fractured host rock, crosscut the ductile host rock fabric (Figures 2–4). Their formation thus postdated ductile upper-greenschist to amphibolite facies deformation, which is in line with previous

- 370 work (Beckholmen, 1982; 1983; 1984). The fault veins contain unmolten cataclasite, frictional melt-origin pseudotachylyte and altered pseudotachylyte in varying modal amounts. Spaced survivor clasts, microcrystallites and submicron sulfide/oxide droplets in pseudotachylyte identify these fault rocks as quenched, coseismic friction melts (Figure 4, Figure 5) (Magloughlin and Spray, 1992; Cowan, 1999; Rowe and Griffith, 2015). Chloritization of the pseudotachylyte groundmass, and pronounced replacement of biotite by chlorite in fractured host rock domains indicate that hydrothermal alteration was associated with
- 375 faulting. The chlorite microstructure suggests that recrystallization was static (Figure 5). After pseudotachylyte formation, ambient temperature conditions in the fault zone are therefore inferred to be of lower greenschist-facies (cf. Di Toro and Pennacchioni, 2004; Kirkpatrick et al., 2012). We deduce seismic faulting and subsequent alteration of fault rocks in the Finntjärnen fault zone occurred in the brittle–ductile transition zone near the base of the brittle crust. Assuming the typical temperature range of 300–350 °C, and depending on the thermal gradient, the faulting occurred at c. 12 ± 4 km depth (cf. 380 Sibson and Toy, 2006).
  - Brittle faults and fibrous calcite + quartz veins crosscut both the ductile host rock fabric and the fault veins at high angles. Their orientations relative to the fault vein geometry, together with microscopic and macroscopic observations (Figures 2–5), suggest that these E–W extensional structures formed latest. These structures are consistent with other extensional structures related to the Røragen Detachment west of the Tännforsen Synform (Figure 1) (Gee et al., 1994; Bergman and Sjöström, 1997).
- 385 In summary, seismic faulting in the Finntjärnen fault zone occurred after the formation of the upper greenschist-/amphibolitefacies schistosity, and prior to late-stage E–W extensional brittle structures. Structural overprinting relations imply transport of thrust sheets in the Köli Nape Complex during exhumation of these nappes from the middle to the upper crust. The sense of faulting can, however, not be deduced from the here presented data. Nevertheless, previous work in the area indicated that thrusting was toward the ESE (Bergman and Sjöström, 1997; Bender et al., 2018).
- 390 Structural and magnetic analysis of pseudotachylyte-bearing fault veins and their ductily deformed host rocks reveals that petrofabric and AMS are co-parallel. The accordance of these data indicate that ductile host rock fabrics and brittle fault rock fabrics developed in the same strain field. However, the orientations of AMS and petrofabric in host rock versus fault rock specimens could not be used for deducing the kinematics of ductile or seismic shear. Nevertheless, cross-cutting relations show that pseudotachylites formation in the Finntjärnen fault zone predated E–W extensional deformation.

# 395 7.4 Methodological remarks on AMS of small specimens

There is an apparent inverse relationship between  $k_m$  and  $P_j$ , as well as a linear relationship between degree of anisotropy and standard error of mean susceptibility. This effect appears to be caused by specimen size. The larger specimens (by volume) have in general higher bulk susceptibility, and  $P_j$  tends towards lower values ranging from 1.01 up to 1.10. Normalization for specimen volume has little impact in removing this bias and it is therefore evident that specimens with very small size are

<sup>400</sup> more likely to produce a large scatter in the degree of anisotropy. Although this seems evident, it is important to remark on. The issue with volume is an undesired artifact and it demonstrates the limitation of using small sample cubes in the current

setup with the MFK1-FA system. The effect is furthermore emphasized by the increase in  $k_m$  standard error as a function of  $P_{j,k}$ 

- Observations of magnetic anisotropy made in this study raises the issue of measuring AMS of specimens with small volume.
  Current equipment that exists commercially are not designed for handling small specimen volumes and in most applications the intended volume ranges from 7 to 11 cm<sup>3</sup> (representing standard size cubes and cylinders used in paleomagnetic and AMS studies). However, there is a growing interest for measurements of small specimens, as many AMS studies target geological structures that occur on the cm to sub-cm scale (e.g., Ferré et al., 2015). One of the challenges in using smaller specimens is clearly an increased uncertainty in manufacturing specimens that have appropriate dimensions. However, specimens can be
- 410 constructed with care to compensate for this effect, and in this study, we have demonstrated that the non-equidimensional effect is secondary in importance to the specimen volume. Furthermore, our AMS data show a consistent magnetic fabric in the different rock types, which suggests that they most likely represent the true rock fabric, although the magnitudes are variable. It is clear that great care has to be taken when evaluating the anisotropy parameters as a function of sample volume and the bulk susceptibility when small samples are measured. At the same time, there is a desire for further study with smaller

415 samples as this increases the scope of AMS measurements to different geological applications.

#### 8 Conclusions

Field, microstructural and magnetic fabric data from the Finntjärnen fault zone provide the following constraints on seismic faulting recorded by pseudotachylyte-bearing fault veins:

420

430

 Structural overprinting relations show that seismic faulting occurred during exhumation of the Köli Nappe Complex into the upper crust within the seismic zone, and before brittle E–W extension.

- (2) Neither the petrofabric nor magnetic fabrics reveal the coseismic slip direction, but both host-rock and pseudotachylyte magnetic fabrics indicate dominant E-W sub-horizontal to shallowly dipping (≤ 30°) transport direction, where the maximum axis of susceptibility is parallel to the structural lineation.
- (3) Chloritization of pseudotachylyte resulted in higher bulk magnetic susceptibility as compared to pristine pseudotachylyte. The relatively low amount of magnetite in pseudotachylyte is detectable by its magnetic behavior based on thermomagnetic curves, hysteresis loops and bulk susceptibility, but does not contribute substantially to the pseudotachylyte bulk magnetic behavior.
  - (4) Unconventionally small specimen size are promising for detailed and high-resolution measurements of geological features and structures. However, care is needed as the small specimens tend to increase the degree of anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility measurement data, and notably there is a close inverse relationship between specimen volume and standard error of mean susceptibility. Magnetic anisotropy results in small specimens demand cautious interpretation, but offers a promising new venue to study detailed geological features.

# 9 Data availability

All data that led to the conclusions of this paper are presented in the figures, tables and supplementary material.

#### 435 10 Supplement

Table S1: Mass, dimensions, volume and dimension parameters for individual specimen.

Please see separate file 'TableS1SpecimenMassSize.xlsx'

Table S2: Approximate proportions of different host and fault rock types for individual specimen.

Please see separate file 'TableS2RockTypeProportions.xlsx'

# 440 Table S3: Magnetic hysteresis raw data.

Please see separate file 'TableS3HysteresisRawData.xlsx'

#### 11 Author contribution

Field work was carried out by HB and AB. HB and BSGA conducted the magnetic experiments, processed and interpreted the results. HB and BSGA created figures and tables and wrote the initial draft, which was edited by all co-authors. The revised
 version of the manuscript was prepared by BSGA. The authors would like to thank Ann Hirt and an anonymous reviewer for valuable comments that helped to significantly improve the manuscript. The authors furthermore thank the editor

#### 12 Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# 13 References

450 Beckholmen, M.: Mylonites and pseudotachylites associated with thrusting of the Köli Nappes, Tännforsfältet, central Swedish Caledonides, Geologiska Föreningen i Stockholm Förhandlingar, 104(1), 23–32, 1982.

Beckholmen, M.: The deformation sequence in the Häggsjö-Musvalttjärnen-Rensjönäset area in western Jämtland, Swedish Caledonides, Geologiska Föreningen i Stockholm Förhandlingar, 105, 119-130, 1983.

Beckholmen, M.: Structural and Metamorphic Zonation in Tännforsfältet Western Jämtland, Swedish Caledonides, Univ., 455 Stockholm., 1984.

Bender, H., Ring, U., Almqvist, B. S. G., Grasemann, B. and Stephens, M. B.: Metamorphic zonation by out-of-sequence thrusting at back-stepping subduction zones: Sequential accretion of the Caledonian internides, central Sweden, Tectonics, doi:10.1029/2018TC005088, 2018.

Bergman, A.: The Pseudotachylites of Tännforsfältet, Western Jämtland, Swedish Caledonides, Stockholm University., 2017.
Bergman, S. and Sjöström, H.: Accretion and lateral extension in an orogenic wedge: Evidence from a segment of the Seve-Köli terrane boundary, central Scandinavian Caledonides, J. Struct. Geol., 19(8), 1073–1091, 1997.

Borradaile, G.: Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility: rock composition versus strain, Tectonophysics, 138(2–4), 327–329, 1987.

Borradaile, G.J. and Henry, B.: Tectonic applications of magnetic susceptibility and its anisotropy, Earth Sci. Rev., 42, 49-93, 465 1997

Borradaile, G. J. and Jackson, M.: Structural geology, petrofabrics and magnetic fabrics (AMS, AARM, AIRM), J. Struct. Geol., 32(10), 1519–1551, doi:10.1016/j.jsg.2009.09.006, 2010.

Butler, R. F.: Paleomagnetism: Magnetic domains to geologic terranes, Electron. Ed., 23, 1998.

Cañón-Tapia, E. and Castro, J.: AMS measurements on obsidian from the Inyo Domes, CA: a comparison of magnetic and 470 mineral preferred orientation fabrics, J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 134(3), 169–182, 2004.

Cowan, D.S.: Do faults preserve a record of seismic slip? a field geologist's opinion, J. Struct. Geol., 21, 995-1001, 1999

Dearing, J.A., Dann, R.J.L., Hay, K., Lees, J.A., Loveland, P.J., Maher, B.A. and O'Grady, K.: Frequency-dependent susceptibility measurements of environmental materials, 124, 228-240, 1996.

Ernst, R. E. and Baragar, W. R. A.: Evidence from magnetic fabric for the flow pattern of magma in the Mackenzie giant radiating dyke swarm, Nature, 356(6369), 511, 1992.

Ferré, E. C., Geissman, J. W. and Zechmeister, M. S.: Magnetic properties of fault pseudotachylytes in granites, J. Geophys.
Res. Solid Earth, 117(1), 1–25, doi:10.1029/2011JB008762, 2012.

Ferré, E. C., Geissman, J. W., Demory, F., Gattacceca, J., Zechmeister, M. S. and Hill, M. J.: Coseismic magnetization of fault pseudotachylytes: 1. Thermal demagnetization experiments, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 119(8), 6113–6135, 2014.

480 Ferré, E. C., Geissman, J. W., Chauvet, A., Vauchez, A. and Zechmeister, M. S.: Focal mechanism of prehistoric earthquakes deduced from pseudotachylyte fabric, Geology, 43(6), 531–534, doi:10.1130/G36587.1, 2015.

Fossen, H.: Structural Geology, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge., 2010.

Fukuchi, T.: Strong ferrimagnetic resonance signal and magnetic susceptibility of the Nojima pseudotachylyte in Japan and their implication for coseismic electromagnetic changes, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 108(B6), 1–8, 485 doi:10.1029/2002JB002007, 2003.

Gee, D. G., Lobkowicz, M. and Singh, S.: Late Caledonian extension in the scandinavian Caledonides-the Røragen Detachment revisited, Tectonophysics, 231(1–3), doi:10.1016/0040-1951(94)90126-0, 1994.

Hirt, A. M. and Almqvist, B. S. G.: Unraveling magnetic fabrics, Int. J. Earth Sci., 101, 613–624, doi:10.1007/s00531-011-0664-0, 2012.

490 Hrouda, F.: Models of frequency-dependent susceptibility of rocks and soils revisited and broadened, Geophys. J. Int., 187, 1259-1269.

Hunt, C.P., Moskowitz, B.M. and Banarjee, S.K.: Magnetic properties of rocks and minerals, Rock Physics and Phase Relations, A Handbook of Physical Constants, AGU Reference Shelf 3, 189-204, 1995

Jelinek, V.: Characterization of the magnetic fabric of rocks, Tectonophysics, 79 (3-4), T63-T67, 1981

495 Kirkpatrick, J.D. and Rowe, C.D.: Disappearing ink: How pseudotachylytes are lost from the rock record, J. Struct. Geol., 52,

183-198, 2013

Kirkpatrick, J.D., Dobson, K.J., Mark, D.F., Shipton, Z.K., Brodsky, E.E. and Stuart, F.M. et al.: The depth of pseudotachylyte formation from detailed thermochronology and constraints on coseismic stress drop variability, Journal of Geophysical Research, 117, B06406, 2012.

500 Lin, A.: Microlite morphology and chemistry in pseudotachylite, from the Fuyun Fault Zona, China, J. Geol., 102, 317-329, 1994.

Lin, A.: Fossil Earthquakes: The Formation and Preservation of Pseudotachylytes, Spinger., 2008.

Magloughlin, J. F. and Spray, J. G.: Frictional melting processes and products in geological materials: introduction and discussion, Tectonophysics, 204(3–4), 197–204, 1992.

505 Marrett, R. and Allmendinger, R. W.: Kinematic analysis of fault-slip data, J. Struct. Geol., 12(8), 973–986, doi:10.1016/0191-8141(90)90093-E, 1990.

Martín-Hernández, F. and Hirt, A.M.: The anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility in biotite, muscovite and chlorite single crystals, Tectonophysics, 367, 13-28, 2003

- Nakamura, N., Hirose, T. and Borradaile, G. J.: Laboratory verification of submicron magnetite production in 510 pseudotachylytes: Relevance for paleointensity studies. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 201(1), 13–18. doi:10.1016/S0012-
- 510 pseudotachylytes: Relevance for paleointensity studies, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 201(1), 13–18, doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(02)00704-5, 2002.

Paterson, G.A., Zhao, X., Jackson, M. and Heslop, D.: Measuring, processing, and analyzing hysteresis data, Geochem., Geophys., Geosys., 19, 1925-1945, 2018.

Rowe, C. D. and Griffith, W. A.: Do faults preserve a record of seismic slip: A second opinion, J. Struct. Geol., 78, 1-26,

515 doi:10.1016/j.jsg.2015.06.006, 2015.Scott, R. G. and Spray, J. G.: Magnetic fabric constraints on friction melt flow regimes and ore emplacement direction within the South Range Breccia Belt, Sudbury Impact Structure, Tectonophysics, 307(1–2), 163–189, doi:10.1016/S0040-1951(99)00124-9, 1999.

Sibson, R. H.: Generation of pseudotachylyte by ancient seismic faulting, Geophys. J. Int., 43(3), 775–794, 1975.

Tarling, D. and Hrouda, F.: Magnetic anisotropy of rocks, Springer Science & Business Media., 1993.

520 Tauxe, L.: Essentials of paleomagnetism, First Edit., University of California Press, Berkeley, Los Angeles, London., 2010. Di Toro, G., Nielsen, S. and Pennacchioni, G.: Earthquake rupture dynamics frozen in exhumed ancient faults., Nature, 436(August), 1009–1012, doi:10.1038/nature03910, 2005.

Di Toro, G. and Pennacchioni, G.: Superheated friction-inducde melts in zoned pseudotachylytes within the Adamello tonalites (Italian Southern Alps), J. Struct. Geol., 26, 1783-1801, 2004.

525 Di Toro, G., Nielsen, S. and Pennacchioni, G.: Earthquake rupture dynamics frozen in exhumed ancient faults, Nature, 436, 1009-1012, 2005.

Zhang, L., Haibing, L., Sun, Z., Chou, Y.-M., Cao, Y., Wang, H.: Metallic iron formed by melting: A new mechanism for magnetic highs in pseudotachylyte, Geology, 46, 779-782, 2018.



Figure 1. Geological map of the Tännforsen Synform and section A–A' across it (modified after Beckholmen, 1984). Structural position of tectonic units is indicated in the top left. Structurally lower faults are truncated by structurally higher faults within and beneath the Köli Nappe Complex. Stereographic projections (lower hemisphere, equal-area) show orientations of host rock schistosity (hr) sub-parallel to pseudotachylyte-bearing fault veins (pst) (data from this study and Bergman, 2017). The Røragen Detachment in the west cuts across all other units beneath it, illustrating that it developed structurally latest.



Figure 2. (a) Field photograph of the microstructurally investigated fault vein. It is 3 cm wide, foliation-parallel and exhibits a 5-mm-thick band of bluish, altered pseudotachylyte at its top. Note the crosscutting, steeply dipping fractures. Equal-area projections with poles to host rock schistosity planes (Shr), host rock lineations (Lhr) and orientation of the investigated sample AB15 (red great circle). Mean orientations for Shr and Lhr are indicated with large symbols. (b) Brittle, steeply W-dipping normal faults crosscut the ductile fabric. Sense of slip is indicated by calcite slickenfibres on some of the fault planes. Fault plane solution for these faults (bottom left) shows E–W extension (data processed with FaultKin 7, Marrett and Allmendinger, 1990).



550 Figure 3. Macroscopic appearance of sample AB15, showing thee foliation-parallel fault that exhibits the different kinds of faulted rock in the studied sample (i.e., brecciated host rock, altered pseudotachylyte, pseudotachylyte, and cataclasite); characterization of fault rock types is based on microscopic observations. The inset figure shows interpreted structures in the fault. The image represents the XZ plane of the finite strain ellipsoid, where X is parallel to the stretching lineation and Z is normal to the foliation plane.



Figure 4. Microstructural appearance of host rock and different fault rock types (plane polarized light). (a) Host rock: foliated micaschist with fine-grained (50–200 µm) quartz + white mica + plagioclase matrix and 1 to 10 mm big porphyroblasts of biotite (fresh: top, partly chloritized: middle right) and pseudomorphs of chlorite after amphibole (left). (b) Brittlely deformed domain in the host rock with multiple fractures filled with cataclasite (center) and/or pseudotachylyte (top and bottom). (c) Cataclastic fault rock: host rock fabric is completely obscured, some lithic fragments remain (bottom left), pseudotachylyte veins occur with patchy and diffuse borders (center, top right corner). (d) Pseudotachylyte: cryptocrystalline matrix containing 20–100-µm-sized, spaced survivor clasts of quartz and lithic fragments. (e–f) Spherulitic appearance of altered pseudotachylyte at different scales.



565 Figure 5. Back-scattered electron images of selected microscopic observations. Mineral abbreviations after Whitney and Evans (2010) (a) Breakdown of biotite to chlorite + Ti-oxide + unidentified K-phase. (b) Reaction rim around ilmenite inclusion in biotite: ilmenite + biotite = Ti-oxide + chlorite + unidentified K-phase. (c) Pseudotachylyte with vertical, crosscutting vein with calcite + quartz + pyrite. (d) Microstructure of pseudotachylyte. Calcite (light gray) and unknown, needle-shaped (white arrows) microcrystallites, and bright, sub-µm-sized oxide/sulfide droplets are dispersed in cryptocrystalline or amorphous matrix. Note embayed edges (black arrow) of an apatite survivor clast versus a much smaller, euhedral apatite crystal further left. (e) Meandering alteration front between chloritized and pristine pseudotachylyte. No preferred orientation of chlorite. Note, in contrast, the fan-like growth of microcrystallites in the matrix. (f:g) Micrometer-sized crystals of Ti-oxide (determined by EDS) finely dispersed in chlorite which replaced cryptocrystalline/amorphous pseudotachylyte (pst).



Figure 6. (a–f) Lower-hemisphere, equal-area plots for principal axes of magnetic anisotropy in different rock types. Comments about data presentation: (a) The measurement for specimen AB15-75 was excluded because it was considered as outlier due to its high  $k_m$  (cf. Table 1). (e) All data for specimens containing  $\geq$ 50% pseudotachylyte was plotted. (f) All data for specimens with containing  $\geq$ 50% altered pseudotachylyte was plotted.



Figure 7. Variation of anisotropy degree  $(P_j)$  and shape (T) parameters for AMS of different rock types. None of the rock type is distinct from the others based on these parameters (a). (b–c) Box-and-whisker plots for  $P_j$  and T. HR – host rock, FHR – fractured host rock, CC – cataclasite, PST – pseudotachylyte, APST – altered pseudotachylyte.



Figure 8. (a) Normalized mean susceptibility  $(k_m)$  versus degree of anisotropy  $(P_j)$ . The mean susceptibility of altered pseudotachylyte specimens is about twice that of the other rock type specimens (b). For abbreviations, see Figure 7.



Figure 9. Mass dependent susceptibility ( $\chi$ ) measured as a function of frequency. Error bars represent one sigma standard deviation from repeat measurements of bulk magnetic susceptibility ( $8 \ge n \ge 3$ ). Note that the presentation format of data for the different rock types differ compared Figures 7 and 8, which present the mean magnetic susceptibility ( $k_1 + k_2 + k_3/3$ ).



Figure 10. (a–c) Thermomagnetic curves for host rock, pristine and altered pseudotachylyte during heating from room temperature to 700 °C (red curves) and cooling back to room temperature (blue curves). Susceptibility measurements ( $k_{norm}$ ) were normalized based on the highest value of each sample during the experiment. For increased visibility of the heating curves, (d)-(f) show only the heating curves for the same specimens shown in (a)-(c).



Figure 11. Hysteresis results for selected host rock, pseudotachylyte and altered pseudotachylyte specimens. Dominant paramagnetic behavior of all samples is displayed in raw measurement curves (left column). (a), (e), and (i) show the raw hysteresis loop corrected for the sample mass. (b), (f) and (g) show the hysteresis curve after slope correction. (c), (g) and (k) show the induced hysteresis curve (Mih) and remanence hysteresis curve (Mrh). (d), (h) and (l) show the noise curve of the respective host rock, pseudotachylyte and altered pseudotachylyte, which have been slope corrected for the paramagnetic signal contribution. Processing of hysteresis loops was made with the HystLab software of Paterson et al. (2018).



Figure 12. Comparison of shape parameters for specimen cubes and AMS ellipsoids. (a) Flinn diagram of specimen dimensions shows that cubes are not perfectly isometric. (b–c) Specimen shape does not seem to exert an influence on either shape or degree of magnetic anisotropy.



Figure 13. Influence of specimen size on the degree of magnetic anisotropy. (a) Without normalization for specimen volume, anisotropy degree ( $P_j$ ) decreases with increasing mean susceptibility ( $k_m$ ). (b) Positive correlation between analytical error (standard error,  $k_m$ ) and  $P_j$ . (c) Degree of anisotropy (Pj) as a function of standard error (see the methods section for its calculation).



Figure 14. Standard error of the mean susceptibility (expressed in %) as a function of mean susceptibility (not normalized for volume).

	k <sub>m</sub> (raw)	km (norm.)				<i>k</i> 1		<i>k</i> <sub>2</sub>		<i>k</i> <sub>3</sub>				
Specimen ID	× 10-6 [SI]	× 10 <sup>-6</sup> [SI]	std. err. [%]	Pj	Т	declination [°]	inclination [°]	declination [°]	inclination [°]	declination [°]	inclination [°]	F test	F12 test	F23 test
Host rock (n = 31).	Median k <sub>m</sub> =	$266 \times 10^{-6} \text{ SI}$ (a	ıll data); mea	$\ln k_m = 3$	332 ± 230	$ imes 10^{-6}$ SI (all da	ita); mean k <sub>m</sub> =	$262 \pm 46 \times 10^{-6}$ S	5I (without outli	ers)				
mode 76-100%														
AB15-35	17	331	2.5	1.32	0.79	266	6	358	20	159	69	18.0	29.7	0.3
AB15-36	17	346	2.2	1.35	0.59	266	3	357	32	172	57	28.5	39.0	1.6
AB15-37	15	324	3.7	1.45	0.65	265	6	360	36	166	53	15.2	21.8	0.6
AB15-38	35	730	1.6	1.17	0.80	269	0	359	30	179	60	13.8	21.4	0.2
AB15-72	43	317	0.7	1.12	0.47	301	16	66	64	205	20	29.5	38.5	4.7
AB15-73	32	271	1.5	1.24	0.36	270	15	100	75	0	3	29.2	7.1	29.3
AB15-74	51	277	1.2	1.04	0.12	223	58	103	17	4	26	1.6	0.9	1.3
AB15-75	172	1169	0.2	1.02	0.11	177	59	17	30	282	9	12.4	8.3	5.7
AB15-76	70	285	0.7	1.06	0.34	131	17	223	5	329	72	8.3	1.7	8.2
AB15-96	51	302	1.0	1.14	0.13	89	22	257	67	357	4	27.0	21.4	11.5
AB15-97	37	271	1.0	1.18	0.14	90	24	266	66	359	1	37.2	17.3	27.4
AB15-98	43	316	0.7	1.18	0.22	86	24	229	61	349	15	74.9	29.0	59.8
AB15-99	36	245	1.3	1.17	0.89	88	7	236	82	358	4	18.9	0.1	33.1
AB15-100	43	362	0.9	1.16	0.72	91	43	256	46	354	7	32.9	1.1	46.1
AB15-101	29	266	1.7	1.18	0.92	268	7	66	82	178	3	13.2	0.0	23.0
AB15-102	55	234	1.3	1.14	0.04	95	14	286	76	186	3	15.0	10.7	8.1
AB15-103	57	275	0.8	1.11	0.10	95	11	236	76	4	9	27.9	22.0	13.1
AB15-104	52	240	0.7	1.13	0.00	95	1	197	86	5	4	39.1	25.9	23.1
AB15-105	53	227	0.4	1.12	0.53	89	14	234	73	356	9	101.8	135.7	11.5
AB15-106	43	219	1.1	1.15	0.26	94	8	278	82	184	1	23.7	8.6	22.2
AB15-107	53	242	1.2	1.11	0.10	94	3	284	87	184	0	9.8	5.3	7.1
AB15-108	52	236	1.0	1.14	0.07	88	11	225	75	356	10	24.4	18.3	11.8
AB15-109	50	218	1.1	1.10	0.13	91	6	243	83	1	3	12.3	10.2	5.4
AB15-110	280	1060	0.6	1.02	0.18	143	14	312	76	52	3	0.7	0.4	0.8
AB15-111	65	212	0.9	1.06	0.02	113	7	22	6	251	81	5.7	3.3	3.2
AB15-112	66	216	1.0	1.18	0.52	108	14	17	3	276	76	42.4	5.8	52.9
AB15-113	71	210	1.5	1.17	0.29	118	13	26	9	263	75	15.5	4.3	14.3
AB15-114	63	193	0.4	1.23	0.46	110	8	19	3	269	82	368.6	62.0	423.9
AB15-115	72	213	0.2	1.21	0.57	111	7	20	4	263	82	1412.3	145.8	1812.5
AB15-116	75	217	0.4	1.19	0.36	108	4	18	7	228	82	342.4	84.7	347.0
AB15-117	92	261	0.4	1.16	0.34	110	7	19	5	257	82	171.3	42.8	171.8

Table 1. Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility data for host rock and different fault rock types.

Fractured host rock (n = 22). Median  $k_m = 247 \times 10^{-6}$  SI (all data); mean  $k_m = 254 \pm 32 \times 10^{-6}$  SI (all data)

mode 76-100%														
AB15-01	27	234	2.5	1.26	0.23	105	14	15	0	283	76	12.9	12.3	4.1
AB15-02	29	247	2.2	1.21	0.04	85	3	355	5	205	85	11.2	7.1	6.9
AB15-03	36	215	1.3	1.19	0.08	82	0	172	11	350	79	29.5	23.0	13.6
AB15-04	47	247	1.2	1.15	0.05	268	2	177	8	8	82	21.9	16.3	11.4
AB15-05	31	242	1.2	1.19	0.02	251	5	341	7	125	82	28.6	17.8	17.3
AB15-14	35	218	1.7	1.22	0.12	88	1	178	32	357	58	19.6	15.7	6.6
AB15-15	50	222	0.4	1.14	0.49	86	0	176	23	355	67	138.9	23.4	159.7
AB15-16	54	215	0.9	1.20	0.20	283	3	192	17	24	73	59.3	54.8	20.0
AB15-34	21	324	1.6	1.27	0.73	265	7	358	19	157	70	34.1	54.4	1.0
AB15-39	26	224	1.9	1.16	0.65	40	23	310	0	220	67	8.2	0.5	11.3
AB15-46	26	265	2.1	1.24	0.31	76	21	191	47	330	35	14.5	15.3	2.8
AB15-47	24	294	2.0	1.24	0.31	76	30	196	41	323	34	14.9	16.2	3.1
AB15-54	27	315	1.7	1.27	0.18	80	12	209	72	347	14	28.0	13.5	21.0
AB15-55	24	276	1.3	1.27	0.08	80	20	202	56	340	26	46.9	27.8	25.9
AB15-56	66	274	0.8	1.12	0.66	78	17	347	4	244	73	33.8	50.9	2.0
AB15-68	38	231	1.0	1.15	0.40	82	37	284	51	180	11	26.1	4.8	27.2
AB15-69	34	224	1.8	1.11	0.09	299	57	60	18	160	27	4.5	2.5	3.0
AB15-70	40	239	1.1	1.10	0.11	329	66	65	3	156	24	8.1	6.8	3.6
AB15-86	21	269	1.8	1.22	0.82	313	76	56	3	147	14	19.0	29.8	0.2
AB15-87	24	292	2.2	1.29	0.87	271	24	87	66	181	2	18.5	0.1	29.3
AB15-94	41	268	1.1	1.07	0.64	176	56	311	25	51	21	4.2	5.7	0.2
AB15-95	33	251	1.9	1.10	0.40	178	18	335	71	85	7	3.7	0.8	4.1
Cataclasite (n = 15). Me	dian $k_m = 3$	$06 \times 10^{-6}  \text{SI}$ (all	data); me	an k <sub>m</sub> =	462 ± 52	$6 \times 10^{-6}$ SI (all data); i	nean $k_m = 2k$	$84 \pm 44 \times 10^{-6}  \mathrm{SI}  (\mathrm{w}$	ithout outliers	5)				
mode 76-100%														
AB15-58	19	238	2.5	1.29	0.79	85	20	191	38	333	46	15.3	23.5	0.2
AB15-59	24	237	2.0	1.14	0.31	326	10	65	40	225	49	4.5	1.4	4.3
AB15-60	32	204	2.0	1.11	0.30	316	2	48	36	224	54	3.0	0.8	2.7

4 34.9 0.7 51.2

2 11.5

78 1.2 0.1

21 51.0 83.8

42 113.2 164.0

71 22.8 2.3 30.3

74 38.7

56 9.6

74 75.5 66.3 30.2

0.8 15.1

8.2 44.9

1.9

1.3

0.4

2.3

9.5

AB15-78

AB15-79

AB15-17

AB15-18

AB15-19

AB15-20

AB15-21

AB15-40

mode 51–75% AB15-10 0.8 1.13 0.78

3.5 1.38 0.65

8.8 1.30 0.49

0.1 1.02 0.86

0.5 1.13 0.75

0.5 1.07 0.57

0.3 1.05 0.41

0.2 1.06 0.18

0.5 1.04

0.37

AB15-50	151	644	0.2	1.03	0.33	137	37	239	15	346	49	13.4	3.5	14.6
AB15-51	51	288	0.7	1.08	0.66	114	29	232	40	1	36	12.3	0.8	16.5
AB15-77	27	280	1.7	1.25	0.68	266	20	97	70	358	4	22.9	1.2	31.8
Pseudotachylyte ( $n = 1$	12). Median <i>k</i>	$m = 256 \times 10^{-6}$ S	SI (all data	ı); mean	$k_m = 250$	$6 \pm 40 \times 10^{-6}$ SI (al	l data)							
mode 76-100%														
AB15-12	19	274	2.9	1.22	0.35	98	6	190	15	346	74	7.1	7.8	1.4
AB15-13	12	241	1.7	1.34	0.32	82	5	207	82	352	7	43.6	49.6	9.9
AB15-49	45	304	0.9	1.08	0.25	129	29	237	28	2	47	8.5	2.7	7.6
AB15-61	36	276	1.6	1.11	0.02	115	15	16	32	226	54	5.4	3.5	2.7
AB15-62	50	244	0.9	1.08	0.04	99	16	353	44	204	42	8.3	5.0	4.1
AB15-80	25	275	1.4	1.32	0.63	263	43	86	47	354	1	52.3	3.4	67.0
AB15-89	22	175	1.6	1.13	0.71	84	7	334	71	177	18	7.6	0.4	11.3
AB15-90	31	236	1.5	1.22	0.42	89	43	269	47	179	0	24.2	4.0	25.4
mode 51-75%														
AB15-09	41	323	1.0	1.16	0.44	96	9	188	9	323	78	30.5	37.5	4.9
AB15-42	248	268	0.1	1.06	0.18	90	18	355	16	226	66	310.3	275.9	116.8
AB15-82	18	224	4.2	1.30	0.61	261	74	93	15	2	3	5.6	0.6	7.7
AB15-88	26	225	1.6	1.18	0.21	234	78	346	5	77	11	15.4	14.3	5.1
Altered pseudotachyly	yte $(n = 23)$ . N	Iedian $k_m = 468$	$3 \times 10^{-6}$ SI	(all data	); mean	$k_m = 469 \pm 43 \times 10$	<sup>-6</sup> SI (all data)							
mode 76-100%					-									
AB15-26	29	498	1.5	1.16	0.68	271	0	1	26	180	64	14.6	21.1	0.6
AB15-27	29	485	1.6	1.20	0.88	86	0	176	22	356	68	21.2	35.4	0.1
AB15-43	58	402	0.5	1.13	0.56	105	11	205	42	4	46	80.8	102.5	5.8
AB15-48	55	467	1.0	1.14	0.46	104	11	203	39	0	49	23.8	27.5	2.6
AB15-63	35	464	1.2	1.13	0.53	96	6	199	65	4	25	17.0	22.1	1.6
AB15-64	52	468	0.7	1.11	0.42	97	12	200	49	357	39	30.2	33.7	3.9
AB15-65	71	415	0.7	1.08	0.17	100	18	9	3	270	71	14.9	12.9	6.3
AB15-66	78	414	0.6	1.06	0.07	83	20	173	2	268	70	18.2	13.9	9.8
AB15-67	105	460	0.3	1.07	0.04	100	22	193	6	298	67	90.3	63.2	51.0
AB15-83	39	478	1.3	1.15	0.75	260	48	92	42	357	6	15.9	0.4	23.1
AB15-84	37	483	2.1	1.18	0.79	262	21	104	67	355	8	8.6	0.2	12.9
AB15-85	38	457	1.3	1.21	0.57	91	12	251	78	0	4	30.8	3.8	41.2
AB15-91	53	497	1.0	1.14	0.28	93	34	260	56	359	6	25.1	7.0	22.9
AB15-92	48	497	1.2	1.17	0.40	93	25	276	65	183	1	25.5	5.4	27.8
AB15-93	46	453	1.5	1.17	0.28	96	33	273	57	5	2	17.0	4.9	15.6
mode 51-75%														
AB15-23	38	466	1.6	1.17	0.65	87	5	183	44	352	45	14.3	19.4	0.6

AB15-28	31	512	1.4	1.21	0.62	88	5	181	34	350	55	28.7	38.8	1.4
AB15-29	27	563	1.2	1.25	0.49	85	1	175	5	341	85	58.8	79.0	7.3
AB15-30	26	506	1.5	1.23	0.78	88	3	357	29	184	61	27.4	42.1	0.4
AB15-44	136	399	0.3	1.08	0.63	102	15	194	7	311	74	122.1	175.3	8.5
AB15-45	150	394	0.4	1.08	0.11	83	26	182	17	301	58	45.1	24.9	34.5
AB15-52	46	515	1.0	1.09	0.42	112	9	9	55	208	33	9.2	2.1	9.7
AB15-53	52	507	1.1	1.07	0.29	112	12	2	59	209	28	4.3	1.5	4.0
Mixed specimens	with either more th	an two rock t	types or 50	/50 mod	e									
50% fractured host	rock, 25% pseudota	chylite, 25% a	altered pseu	ıdotachyli	ite									
AB15-71	58	254	0.9	1.06	0.54	52	81	267	8	177	5	6.2	0.7	8.2
50% cataclasite, 50	% pristine pseudotac	chylyte (n = 6	i). Median k	a <sub>m</sub> = 325 >	< 10 <sup>-6</sup> SI	(all data); mean $k_m = 66$	6 ± 592 × 10	<sup>-6</sup> SI (all data)						
AB15-07	42	278	1.1	1.17	0.58	103	6	197	36	6	54	26.4	34.5	1.7
AB15-08	56	327	0.6	1.14	0.18	65	3	155	2	273	87	65.1	24.9	51.4
AB15-11	26	323	1.5	1.15	0.24	73	9	339	24	182	64	12.4	11.1	3.4
AB15-41	218	281	0.3	1.07	0.17	90	15	356	17	219	67	87.9	75.4	33.5
AB15-57	69	1108	0.7	1.07	0.47	80	20	215	62	343	18	13.9	17.1	1.9
AB15-81	142	1680	0.2	1.04	0.82	271	66	89	24	179	1	46.6	0.8	75.6
50% pristine pseud	otachylyte, 50% alte	red pseudotac	chylyte (n =	6). Medi	$k_m = 4$	$48 \times 10^{-6}$ SI (all data);	mean $k_m = 42$	$27 \pm 57 \times 10^{-6}$ SI (a	ll data)					
AB15-22	30	348	1.3	1.17	0.93	87	4	356	25	185	65	22.0	37.3	0.0
AB15-24	35	460	1.2	1.17	0.72	87	3	179	31	352	59	26.0	38.0	0.7
AB15-25	31	369	1.6	1.16	0.73	88	2	179	33	355	57	13.4	19.6	0.3
AB15-31	25	460	1.7	1.22	0.77	83	1	352	27	176	63	20.3	31.2	0.4
AB15-32	25	493	1.7	1.23	0.64	84	0	354	28	174	62	22.9	32.3	1.1
AB15-33	29	435	1.7	1.21	0.74	262	1	352	23	170	67	18.8	29.0	0.5

Specimen				$B_c$							
ID	mass [mg]	$M_s$ [Am <sup>2</sup> /kg]	$M_{rs}$ [Am <sup>2</sup> /kg]	[mT]	$X [m^3/kg]$	Notes					
Host rock											
AB15-75	376	1.40E-04	2.06E-05	—	9.22E-08	very open loop					
						semi-closed loop, closing					
AB15-99	378	4.68E-04	1.78E-04	36.2	8.89E-08	loop					
AB15-115	881	9.41E-05	3.35E-05		8.25E-08	very open loop					
AB15-116	919	1.01E-04	3.00E-05		7.11E-08	very open loop					
Fractured host rock (*5 Vol-% pseudotachylyte)											
AB15-04	450	3.49E-04	1.92E-04	39.6	8.23E-08	open loop					
AB15-16	624	4.01E-04	2.30E-04	50.7	8.10E-08	open loop					
AB15-56*	587	1.46E-03	1.51E-04	12.5	9.12E-08	open loop, closing loop					
Cataclasite (	and *40 Vol-%	% or †10 Vol-%	pristine pseudota	ichylyte)							
AB15-17*	315	2.43E-03	3.30E-04	10.4	5.99E-08	open loop					
AB15-20*	1419	2.00E-03	2.16E-04	10.8	8.21E-08	open loop					
$AB15-60^{\dagger}$	382	1.88E-03	2.37E-04	10.8	7.37E-08	open loop					
Pseudotachylyte (and *20–40 Vol-% or <sup>†</sup> 5–10 Vol-% cataclasite)											
						semi-closed loop, closing					
AB15-12	162	1.41E-03	5.50E-04	31.2	5.60E-08	loop					
						semi-closed loop, closing					
AB15-13	112	2.01E-03	6.23E-04	28.0	6.30E-08	loop					
AB15-42*	2366	1.10E-03	1.49E-04	11.2	7.54E-08	open loop					
AB15-49*	378	1.79E-03	1.95E-04	10.8	7.71E-08	open loop, closing loop					
						semi-closed loop, closing					
AB15-611	306	2.31E-03	3.00E-04	12.0	7.20E-08	loop					
A D 15 (0 <sup>†</sup>	500	<b>2</b> 20E 02	4 275 04	17.2	5 515 00	semi-closed loop, closing					
AB15-62	508	2.38E-03	4.3/E-04	17.3	5.51E-08	loop					
AB15-89	282	1.72E-03	4.73E-04	25.4	4.81E-08	open loop					
Altered pseud	dotachylyte (*	and 5 Vol-% pr	istine pseudotach	iylyte)							
AB15-43	337	1.57E-04	1.12E-04	240.0	1.36E-07	very open loop					
AB15-48	285	2.17E-04	8.48E-05		1.75E-07	very open loop					
AB15-67	574	1.35E-04	1.13E-04	54.3	1.75E-07	very open loop					
AB15-84*	202	2.40E-04	1.92E-04	108.5	1.82E-07	very open loop					
AB15-91	263	2.65E-04	7.83E-05		2.00E-07	very open loop					

Table 2. Magnetic hysteresis parameters for processed hysteresis loops (automatic drift correction and linear high-field slope correction, with a cut-off field of 567 mT). Hysteresis data has been mass normalized.