Uncertainties in breakup markers along the Iberia-Newfoundland margins illustrated by new seismic data

Annabel Causer[1], Lucía Pérez-Díaz[1,2], Jürgen Adam[1] and Graeme Eagles[3]

[1]Earth Sciences Department, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, TW20 0EX, United Kingdom
[2]Department of Earth Sciences, Oxford University, Oxford, OX1 3AN, United Kingdom
[3]Alfred Wegener Institut, Helmholtz Zentrum für Polar und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven, Germany

Correspondence to: Annabel Causer (annabel.causer.2017@live.rhul.ac.uk)

Abstract.

Plate tectonic modellers often rely on the identification of "break-up" markers to reconstruct the early stages of continental separation. Along the Iberian-Newfoundland margin, so-called "break-up markers" include interpretations of old magnetic anomalies from the M-series, as well as the "J-anomaly". These have been used as the basis for plate tectonic reconstructions are based on the concept that these anomalies pinpoint the location of first oceanic lithosphere. However, uncertainties in the location and interpretation of break-up markers, as well as the difficulty in dating them precisely, has led to plate models that differ in both the timing and relative palaeopositions of Iberia and Newfoundland during seperation.

We use newly available seismic data from the Southern Newfoundland Basin (SNB) to assess the suitability of commonly used break-up markers along the Newfoundland margin for plate kinematic reconstructions. Our data shows that basement associated with the younger M-Series magnetic anomalies is comprised of exhumed mantle and magmatic additions, and most likely represents transitional domains and not true oceanic lithosphere. Because rifting propagated northward, we argue that M-series anomaly identifications further north, although in a region not imaged by our seismic, are also unlikely to be diagnostic of true oceanic crust beneath the SNB. Similarly, our data also allows us to show that the high amplitude of the J Anomaly is associated with a zone of exhumed mantle punctuated by significant volcanic additions, and at times characterised by interbedded volcanics and sediments. Magmatic activity in the SNB at a time coinciding with M4 (128 Ma), and the presence of SDR packages onlapping onto a basement fault suggest that, at this time, plate divergence was still being accommodated by tectonic faulting.

We illustrate the differences in the relative positions of Iberia and Newfoundland across published plate reconstructions and discuss how these are a direct consequence of the uncertainties introduced into the modelling procedure by the use of extended continental margin data (dubious magnetic anomaly identifications, breakup unconformity interpretations). We conclude that a different approach is needed for constraining plate kinematics of the Iberian plate pre M0 times.

1 Introduction

Over the past decade, plate tectonic modellers working on divergent settings have focused their efforts on better-constraining the early stages of continental separation, partly driven by the oil and gas industry's move to more distal and deeper exploration targets (Péron-Pinvidic and Manatschal, 2009; Skogseid, 2010; Nirrengarten et al., 2017; Sandoval et al., 2019). As of today, bridging the gap between the onshore and offshore geological evolution of rifted continental margins still presents a challenge, due to the difficulty in unequivocally interpreting the complex geology of extended continental margins (Alves and Cunha, 2018; Keen et al., 2018).

When studying divergent settings, the onset of seafloor spreading is often based on so-called "breakup markers" that originate in tectonic interpretations made along the extended continental margins. Identified and mapped from geophysical data, these features include depositional unconformities (e.g. Pereira et al., 2011; Soares et al., 2012; Decarlis et al., 2015), packages of landward dipping reflectors (e.g. Keen and Voogd, 1988), and seismic amplitude changes in the top-of-basement surface (e.g. Tucholke et al., 2007), interpreted to mark the change from continental to oceanic crust. These interpretations are utilised as the basis for many computer-generated plate reconstructions, which are in turn highly susceptible to uncertainties associated with the interpretation and mapping of said breakup markers. A recent global census and detailed analysis of these markers highlighted the very large average locational (167 km) and temporal (>5 Myr) uncertainties associated with defining them (Eagles et al., 2015).

Uncertainties of this kind, and their impact on tectonic reconstructions, have been illustrated by, for example, the alternative scenarios proposed in the literature for the movements of the Iberian plate between the Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous (Srivastava et al., 1990, 2000; Sibuet and Collette, 1992; Sibuet et al., 2007; Greiner and Neugebauer, 2013; Barnett-Moore et al., 2016). Rotational poles derived from interpretations of the location of the continent-ocean boundary (COB), for example, have often resulted in overlaps of known continental crust along the Iberia-Africa plate boundary (e.g. Srivastava and Verhoef, 1992). Such overlaps are not present in kinematic models built on the basis of magnetic anomalies, which assume Iberia moves together with Africa for much of this time period e.g. (Sibuet et al., 2012). They are greatly reduced in so-called "deformable" plate models that account for continental margin deformation during continental breakup (Ady and Whittaker, 2018; Müller et al., 2019; Peace et al., 2019). Because these models undo stretching deformation, the large uncertainty in COB location estimates reduces to a much smaller uncertainty envelope of palinspastically-restored equivalents (Eagles et al., 2015). This reduction is unlikely to be useful because, as those authors note, the restoration is achieved using rotations about a stage pole that is determined using an arbitrary choice of post-stretching COB estimate, and whose formal statistical uncertainty is of a similar size to, or larger than, the restored envelope. Hence, the shape of the pre-

stretching COB estimate is sensitive to post-stretching COB estimates to an extent that is likely to be larger than the uncertainty that the palinspastic reconstruction technique generates for it.

The West Iberia and Newfoundland margins are considered by many as the type-example for magma-poor passive rifted margins (Boillot et al., 1995; Whitmarsh and Wallace, 2001; Reston, 2007; Tucholke and Sibuet, 2007; Péron-Pinvidic and Manatschal, 2009). The continental margins are the result of Late Triassic to Early Cretaceous rifting and separation of the North American and Eurasian plates. This pair of conjugate margins has been the focus of more than 40 years of intense research, including extensive geophysical surveying and drilling campaigns as part of the Ocean Drilling Programme (ODP) and Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) (e.g. Whitmarsh and Sawyer, 1996; Wilson et al., 1996). Research has revealed the margins' tectonic asymmetry and the gradual proximal to distal transition from regions of highly extended continental crust to zones of exhumed mantle locally intruded by pre or post-breakup magmatic intrusions. Despite this, the detailed plate kinematics, the age of distinct rift episodes, the timing of final breakup, and the significance of pre-existing structures and lithological heterogeneity are still heavily debated. The difficulty in identifying, mapping and dating the COB along this pair of conjugate margins is evident in the wide range of candidate COBs suggested in the literature (Fig. 1) (i.e. Eagles et al., 2015 and refs. therein). The age of final break-up and formation of first oceanic crust is particularly uncertain. Drilling results and breakup unconformity identifications date the onset of seafloor spreading at the Aptian-Albian transition (113 Ma) (Tucholke and Sibuet, 2007; Boillot et al., 1989). This is significantly younger than the age of the oldest isochrons interpreted from magnetic reversal anomalies (M20-145 Ma to M0-120 Ma) offshore Iberia (Srivastava et al., 2000) (Fig. 1). The discrepancy means that interpretation of these anomalies in terms of M-series isochrons is disputed. Although interpreted by some studies as markers of first oceanic lithosphere (e.g. Vissers and Meijer, 2012; Sibuet, et al., 2004), others have shown that they may instead be associated with igneous bodies located within zones of exhumed mantle (e.g. Sibuet et al., 2007; Sibuet et al., 2012).

Here we describe and interpret three previously-unpublished 2D seismic profiles imaging the regional tectonic structure and crustal architecture of the Southern Newfoundland margin from the shelf to the deepwater oceanic basin. The three profiles are chosen from among a large regional grid of data as the three most likely candidates for a conjugate to the IAM-5 deep seismic profile on the Iberian margin, and thus suitable for a detailed assessment of the possible effects of across-axis asymmetry on uncertainties in breakup markers (see Pinheiro et al., 1992; Afilhado et al., 2008; Neves et al., 2009). Our interpretations underline and add to knowledge of the structural and kinematic complexity of the transitions between continental and oceanic crust at the Iberia-Newfoundland conjugate margins, specifically the Southern Newfoundland Basin (SNB) that contribute to the challenges faced by plate modellers when reconstructing this pair of conjugate margins. Our new seismic data shows that, within the SNB, neither M-series magnetic anomalies nor the commonly used J-anomaly are diagnostic of true oceanic crust. Extrapolating these regional observations beyond the extent of the SNB is challenging due to the high along-strike structural variability of the margin (e.g. Nirrengarten et al., 2018). As we will show, our

extrapolations are consistent with the growing literature questioning the validity of these anomalies as kinematic markers for Iberia-Newfoundland kinematic modelling (e.g. Bronner et al., 2011; Stanton et al., 2016; Nirrengarten et al., 2017).

100

Furthermore, we review a number of published studies in order to examine the uncertainties of available plate kinematic reconstructions of the Iberia-Newfoundland conjugate margin (Srivastava et al., 1990; Seton et al., 2012; Greiner and Neugebauer, 2013). We do this by (a) examining the locations, within our new seismic data, of "breakup markers" commonly used by those studies and (b) utilising these published rotation schemes to reconstruct conjugate margin transects

105   into their pre-drift positions, examining the consequences of choosing alternative rotation parameters.

2 Study area – tectonic evolution and controversies

The formation of the Iberian - Newfoundland conjugate margins are primarily a result of a series of northward propagating Late Triassic to Early Cretaceous episodes of rifting (Manatschal and Bernoulli, 1999; Wilson et al., 2001; Alves, et al.,

110   2009). Progressive extension and final localization of the divergent plate boundary at a mid-ocean ridge led to the separation of the North American and the Iberian plates. Unlike the classic textbook examples of passive margin architecture, continental and oceanic crust are not juxtaposed along these margins, but separated by a very wide continent-ocean transition zone (150-180 km, (Eagles et al., 2015) (Fig. 1). Geophysical research into the Iberian - Newfoundland margins has, to an extent, illustrated the gradual change from continental crust through structurally complex regions of exhumed continental

115   mantle and into purely oceanic crust (e.g. Dean et al., 2015). Although transition zones like this have been widely studied over the past decade (e.g. Whitmarsh and Wallace, 2001; Manatschal et al., 2001; Pérez-Gussinyé and Reston, 2001; Péron-Pinvidic and Manatschal, 2009; Mohn et al., 2012), the identification so-called break-up features, which cannot be confidently attributed to either crustal type, renders kinematic reconstructions based on them difficult and susceptible to large uncertainties. In the literature, this transition is often referred to as continent-ocean transition zone (COTZ) (Minshull

120   et al., 1998; Dean et al., 2000; Davy et al., 2016).

The complex architecture of the Iberian - Newfoundland margins is the result of a sequence of extensional deformation episodes beginning with an initial "wide-rift" phase during late Triassic-earliest Jurassic times (Manspeizer, 1988; (Manatschal and Bernoulli, 1998; Tucholke et al., 2007; Péron-Pinvidic et al., 2007), followed by the localisation of

125   extension and related crustal thinning along the distal part of the future margins. This resulted in the exhumation of subcontinental mantle rocks within the transition zones, which formed prior to seafloor spreading sometime in the Early Cretaceous (Malod and Mauffret, 1990; Manatschal and Bernoulli, 1999; Dean et al., 2000; Péron-Pinvidic et al., 2007; Tucholke et al., 2007). The exact age of the onset of seafloor spreading is controversial and has been inferred on the basis of regional correlations, magnetic anomaly interpretations, and drilling results to date from as early as Valanginian (Wilson et

al., 2001) or Barremian (Whitmarsh and Miles, 1995; Russell and Whitmarsh, 2003), to as late as around the Aptian – Albian boundary (Tucholke et al., 2007b; Péron-Pinvidic et al., 2007; Mauffret and Montadert, 1987; Boillot et al., 1989; Eddy at al., 2017).

One of the difficulties in reconstructing the separation of the Iberian - Newfoundland margins is presented by the complex kinematic history of the Iberian plate (Barnett-Moore et al., 2016; Nirrengarten et al., 2017; Ady and Whittaker, 2018; Peace et al., 2019). Although currently part of the Eurasian plate, the Iberian plate moved independently between the Late Jurassic and sometime in the Paleogene (Fig. 2). During the Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous, the Iberian plate was separated from the African, North American and European plates by divergent plate boundaries (Le Pichon and Sibuet, 1971) (Fig. 2, a-c). During Aptian time, relative motions between the African, Iberian and Eurasian plates underwent a period of re-organisation (Roest and Srivastava, 1991; Pinheiro et al., 1996; Rosenbaum et al., 2002; Seton et al., 2012; Tavani et al., 2018). It is broadly accepted that the Iberian plate undertook an anticlockwise rotation of around 35° with respect to the Eurasian plate, resulting in the opening of the Bay of Biscay along its northern margin (Fig. 2, b-c) (Van der Voo, 1969; Choukroune, 1992; Sibuet et al., 2004; Gong et al., 2008). Considerable controversy still exists as to the exact nature, timing and consequences of this rotation, with conflicting scenarios having been proposed by authors based on interpretations of geological and geophysical observations (Olivet et al., 1984; Srivastava et al., 2000; Gong et al., 2008; Vissers and Meijer, 2011). Kinematic reconstructions can be split into two end member groups. In one, the Bay of Biscay is depicted as having opened in a scissor-like fashion, with the hinge of the scissors located in south-eastern corner of the Bay of Biscay (Srivastava et al., 2000) (as shown in Fig. 2d). In the other, opening happens in a left lateral manner (Olivet, 1996). The anticlockwise rotation of Iberia as recorded in paleomagnetic data (e.g. Gong et al., 2008) is most closely replicated by models depicting a scissor-type opening (Srivastava et al., 2000). However, models like these imply significant compression further east along the IB-EUR plate boundary (e.g. Schoeffler, 1965; Matthews and Williams, 1968; Masson and Miles, 1984; Roest and Srivastava, 1991; Sibuet and Collette, 1991; Sibuet, and Srivastava, 1994; Srivastava et al., 1990, 2000; Cadenas et al., 2018; Peace et al., 2019), which is not supported by field geology (Lagabrielle et al., 2010; Tugend et al., 2014). In contrast to the modelled major crustal thickening, the presence of numerous bodies of sub-continental mantle rocks exposed along the North Pyrenean Zone suggests the formation of extensional basins during the Cretaceous (Bodinier et al., 1988; Lagabrielle et al., 2010; Vauchez et al., 2013; Tugend et al., 2014, 2015; Teixell et al., 2018). Although some authors have interpreted these basins as having formed in a back-arc setting resulting from the subduction of older oceanic lithosphere from north of Iberia beneath Europe (Sibuet et al., 2004; Vissers and Meijer, 2012), they can also be understood together with the opening of the Bay of Biscay as results of oblique-divergent motion between Iberia and Europe, along the North Pyrenean Fault (e.g. Olivet et al., 1996; Lagabrielle and Bodinier, 2008). Although in this model the fit of Iberia and Eurasia, derived by fitting the prominent regional magnetic J Anomaly, deteriorates to the north, it is favoured by many (Stampfli et al., 2002; Jammes et al., 2009; Handy et al., 2010).

Partial closure of the Bay of Biscay between Late Cretaceous and Oligocene times led to the formation of the Pyrenees (Bullard et al., 1965; Van der Voo, 1969; Muñoz, 2002; Sibuet et al., 2004; McClay et al., 2004; Gong et al., 2008) (Fig. 2, e-f). In the early Miocene, the plate boundary between Iberia and Eurasia became inactive and the Iberian plate was incorporated into the Eurasian plate (Van der Voo and Boessenkool, 1973; Grimaud et al., 1982; Sibuet et al., 2004; Roest and Srivastava, 1991; Vissers and Meijer, 2012) so that the boundary between Eurasia and Africa ran south of Iberia and into the North Atlantic along the Azores-Gibraltar Fracture Zone (AGFZ) (Le Pichon and Sibuet, 1971; Sclater et al., 1977; Grimaud et al., 1982; Olivet et al., 1984; Roest and Srivastava, 1991; Zitellini et al., 2009). The present-day AGFZ (Fig. 1) is a complex plate boundary that accommodates relatively small differences between Eurasian-North American and African-North American seafloor spreading rates and directions along the Mid-Atlantic Ridge in the forms of minor extension at its western end (Searle, 1980), right-lateral strike-slip along its middle reach, and transpression in the east (e.g. Grimison and Chen, 1986; Srivastava et al., 1990; Jiménez-Munt and Negredo, 2003).

2.1 Break-up markers along the Iberian – Newfoundland margins

It is generally agreed that statistical fitting of fracture zone trends and oceanic isochrons determined from magnetic anomalies is the most accurate method of modelling the relative motions of plates for the last 200 Ma (Müller et al., 1997; Seton et al., 2012). This is a consequence of the relatively small locational error and relatively high interpretational confidence compared to other geological and geophysical markers (Müller et al., 2008; Seton et al., 2012; Eagles et al., 2015). However, the presence of magnetic reversal anomalies is not of itself diagnostic of crustal type, particularly along passive margins with wide transitional zones, such as the Iberian – Newfoundland margins. Within COTZs, it is possible that magnetic anomalies resulting from the presence of intrusive igneous bodies within the upper crust or exhumed sub-continental mantle can be erroneously attributed to basaltic oceanic crust (e.g. Cannat et al., 2008). Similarly, oceanic crust formed at mid-ocean ridges that are overlain by a significant thickness of sediment (Levi and Riddihough, 1986) or formed at ultra-slow spreading centres may not give rise to strong magnetic signatures (Roest and Srivastava, 1991; Jokat and Schmidt-Aursch, 2007).

Accordingly, whilst some researchers have interpreted magnetic anomalies as isochrons dating back to Late Jurassic (Chron M20, 146 Ma) to model relative motions of the Iberian and North American plates (Srivastava et al., 2000), their utility can be disputed by contradictory geological evidence from drill core data. At Site 1070 on the Iberian margin (Fig. 1), for instance, serpentinised peridotite was drilled from the location of a magnetic anomaly that had been previously defined in terms of seafloor spreading at the time of chron M1 (~125 Ma; Whitmarsh et al., 1996; Tucholke and Sibuet, 2007). Similarly, at ODP Site 1277 basement associated with M1 has recently be interpreted as asthenospheric melts emplaced prior or coeval to mantle exhumation at 115Ma (Eddy et al., 2017). Numerous seismic surveys off both the Iberian and

Newfoundland margins interpret the presence of transitional crust oceanwards of M0 (120 Ma), the youngest of the M-Series isochrons (Shillington et al., 2006; Dean et al., 2015b; Davy et al., 2016).

Several other M-Series isochrons have been interpreted along the North Atlantic margins from magnetic anomalies that are often characterised by a somewhat subdued (<100 nT amplitude; Fig. 3b) magnetic signature. Although their sources too are debated, and sometimes suggested to lie within domains of exhumed mantle and thinned continental crust (Russell and Whitmarsh, 2003; Sibuet, et al., 2004) their apparent symmetry across the rift and parallel trend with respect to the continental margins has led many researchers to interpret them as indicators of the presence of old, pre-Albain in age, oceanic lithosphere. The uncertainties in the origin and interpretation of these anomalies also contribute to the generally large set of discrepancies between plate kinematic reconstructions of Iberia, and in understanding the development of the Bay of Biscay in Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous times (e.g. Srivastava et al., 1990; Whitmarsh and Miles, 1995; Srivastava et al., 2000; Barnett-Moore et al., 2016). For example, tectonic models using the M0 anomaly (125 Ma) result in a gap between eastern Iberia and Europe, the closure of which is difficult to reconcile with geological and geophysical data from the Pyrenees (Van der Voo, 1969; Gong et al., 2008; Lagabrielle et al., 2010; Tugend et al., 2014).

2.1.1 The "J" Anomaly

In addition to the interpretations of M-Series isochrons, a number of researchers have used a further regional magnetic lineation, known as the J anomaly, as a kinematic marker of the onset of seafloor spreading.

First acknowledged by Pitman and Talwani, (1972), the J anomaly is a high-amplitude anomaly identifiable on each side of the Southern North Atlantic Ocean south of the Galicia Bank and Flemish Cap regions (Fig. 3a). Based on its high amplitude and apparent symmetry across the rift, many have favoured the use of the J Anomaly over the M-Series as a kinematic marker. As a result, the J Anomaly has formed a basis for many plate kinematic reconstructions of the Iberia-Newfoundland conjugates (e.g. Srivastava et al., 1990, 2000; Sibuet, et al., 2004).

The amplitude, from trough to peak, of the J Anomaly is generally 500 – 600 nT in the South Newfoundland Basin (SNB) and conjugate Tagus Abyssal Plain (TAP) (Tucholke et al., 1989), reaching maxima of around 1000 nT over the southeast Newfoundland Ridge and conjugate Madeira Tore Rise (Fig 3b-c). The J Anomaly coincides with a structural step in the basement in the TAP (Tucholke and Ludwig, 1982) and with discontinuous basement ridges in the SNB (Tucholke et al., 1989).

The origin and subsequent significance of the J anomaly has been interpreted in two ways in published literature. The first of these interpretations suggests that the J anomaly is the oldest magnetic isochron of true oceanic origin formed by seafloor

spreading and representative of the beginning of the M-series magnetic anomalies (Keen et al., 1977; Sullivan, 1983; Klitgord and Schouten, 1986). It may be interpreted as a superposition anomaly formed by spreading during the periods of isochrons M0 - M1 (Rabinowitz et al., 1978; Tucholke and Ludwig, 1982) or M0 – M4 (Whitmarsh and Miles, 1995), (Fig. 3b-c). In both cases, the J anomaly is seen as the boundary between first formed oceanic crust and exhumed mantle (Reston and Morgan, 2004).

The alternative interpretation of the J anomaly (Bronner et al., 2011), suggests that it expresses magmatic basement ridges dating from the Late Aptian (120 – 113Ma) during the time immediately preceding steady-state seafloor spreading. Both the unusually high amplitude and variable width of the J anomaly are explained by Bronner et al., (2011) as being the result of the interplay between excess surface magmatism and the locations of underplated bodies at depth. The apparent northward decrease in J anomaly amplitude and distance to chron C34 are interpreted as evidence for a northward propagating breakup. Agreeing with this line of interpretation, Nirrengarten et al., (2017) go on to question its validity as an indicator of first seafloor spreading processes, suggesting the J Anomaly is a result of multiple magmatic events which occurred both during and after the formation of oceanic crust. Conversely, Gillard et al., (2016) interpret the high amplitude J Anomaly as a relic of syn-rift magmatism which occurred during mantle exhumation pre-dating the onset of seafloor spreading.

3 Dataset and Methods

A well-constrained plate rotation scheme for the separation of the Iberian and Newfoundland margins could be used to align regional seismic transects and generate a virtual rift-spanning seismic transect at the point of breakup. Currently, the difficulties of interpreting pre-Campanian seafloor and breakup markers from the margins mean that no such rotation scheme exists entirely independently of existing interpretations of presumed-conjugate pairs of seismic profiles. Work is underway on producing an independent model by combining the less controversial histories of pre-Campanian seafloor spreading between neighbouring Africa, North America and Eurasia in a quantitative regional plate circuit model (Causer et al. in prep).

To add to the range of candidate conjugate profile pairs, we describe and interpret here a number of previously unpublished regional 2D seismic profiles in the SNB. The discussed seismic data were obtained from TGS-NOPEC's Southeast Grand Bank 2014 data set, which comprises 34 2D seismic lines covering a combined area of 10,678 km^2. The lines discussed were acquired using a Geostreamer 24 bit (GAS) with 31.25 m shot point interval from a 4880 cubic inch airgun and a streamer which was 10050 m in length. Data processing comprised Kirchhoff Curved ray pre-stack time migration and post-migration conditioning: F-X deconvolution, band-pass filter, scaling, and stretching to depth, providing high resolution images of the crustal structure offshore Newfoundland. Within the entire dataset, the three lines shown here were chosen as they were

previously unpublished and span across the portion of the margin where J and M-series anomalies are found. They extend from the continental slope, through highly-extended continental crust and into exhumed mantle domains. None of these seismic lines extend far enough oceanward to image acoustic basement that can be confidently attributed to true oceanic crust. They do, however, image transitional crust previously associated with the J anomaly (M4 – M1, Whitmarsh and Miles, 1995).

The stratigraphic framework of the SNB has not been investigated in detail as part of this study. Due to the lack of drilling data, sediments have been grouped into Synrift 1, Synrift 2, Breakup-sequence, and Post-Rift packages based on seismic-stratigraphic observations. Synrift 1 corresponds to a sedimentary sequence that formed during fault-controlled extension, and is characterised by reflectors which mimic changes in basement structure, often short in length and at times chaotic, and onlapping structural highs. Synrift 2 is instead characterised by more continuous reflections, arising from what we interpret as infill strata deposited between the end of fault-controlled rifting and onset of seafloor spreading, also known as "sag sequence" (Masini et al., 2014). Based on its high amplitude and continuous nature, we consider our Breakup sequence to mark the rupture of the lithosphere and onset of seafloor spreading, which we later tentatively date as taking place near the Aptian – Albian boundary (e.g. Mauffret and Montadert, 1987; Boillot et al., 1988; Pinheiro et al., 1992; Tucholke et al., 2007; Péron-Pinvidic et al., 2007). Although new research (Alves and Cunha, 2018) in the conjugate Tagus Abyssal Plain (TAP) proposes the presence of two break-up sequences, the first of which initiated in Berriasian times, (145 Ma) our new seismic dataset does not allow us to repeat such an interpretation. Finally, post-rift strata are found overlying a prominent unconformity. They have been dated at DSDP Site, 398, on the Iberian margin (Fig. 1), as Cenomanian in age (Wilson et al., 1989; Alves et al., 2003; Soares et al., 2012).

4 Results

4.1 Line A – Southern South Newfoundland Basin

Line A, located in the southern South Newfoundland basin, is a 444 km long margin-scale 2D seismic section, which images the entire crust beneath the Grand Banks area and offshore Newfoundland. Part of this line is shown in figure 5a. This 2D seismic section extends from the continental slope, through the COTZ into the distal domain.

The crust of the continental shelf beneath the Southern Grand banks is tectonically thinned by a crustal scale rift margin fault seen in the landward part of the profile between 2 and 6-7 s TWT (Fig. 5). Its hanging wall is deformed by numerous landward-dipping intra-rift faults with variable offsets. At depth this large fault is traceable to around 10 s TWT, coinciding with our interpretation of the seismic Moho (Fig. 5b).

More distally, the margin is characterised by a series of domino-style rotated fault blocks, bounded by landward dipping faults of varying displacements (Fig. 5b). At depth, these faults seem to terminate against a high amplitude reflector traceable to depth (Fig. 5c). This high amplitude reflector can be traced to the top basement and interpreted as an exhumation fault marking the distal extent of thinned continental lithosphere. Oceanward of this point, the basement is deformed by a series of alternating landward and oceanward dipping normal faults (Fig. 5d). This change in seismic character of the basement and its coincidence with the high amplitude reflector can be interpreted as the transition from highly extended continental crust to exhumed mantle. Landward of this location, the continental crust in the rift basin has been thinned progressively via landward dipping intra-rift faults and larger oceanward dipping faults, possibly detached at depth (Fig. 5a-b). However, eastward of the high-amplitude reflector the imaging of acoustic basement is poor due to the presence of high-impedance post-rift strata.

In the most seaward part of the profile, high amplitude reflectors are traceable within what we interpret as a volcanic edifice (Fig 5c). Within it, reflectors dip in opposing directions, which may be a result of velocity pull-up (e.g. Magee et al., 2013). Short discontinuous reflectors within the volcanic edifice are observed to on-lap on to syn-rift 1 strata and the interpreted top of the exhumed mantle (Fig. 5e). Although sediments associated with break-up and post-rift sequences also on-lap this syn-rift 1 / basement high, their seismic character is noticeably different. On-lapping reflectors within the volcanic edifice are shorter, brighter than and not as planar as those observed in the breakup and post-rift sequences (Fig. 5e). Beneath these brighter non-planar reflections the basement is poorly imaged, Accordingly, we interpret the internal high-amplitude reflectors as sills, as interpreted elsewhere in the basin (e.g. Hansen et al., 2004) as well as on Lines B and C. We have also tentatively identified a potential hydrothermal vent dyke, marked by a vertical zone of chaotic and low-amplitude reflectivity topped by a conical body situated on the flank of a basement high (e.g. Planke et al., 2005). Imaging beneath the edifice is poor, rendering interpretations of the underlying basement difficult.

4.2 Line B – Central South Newfoundland Basin

Line B, located in the central South Newfoundland Basin images a 264 km long crustal transect from unequivocal continental crust beneath the landward continental shelf, through highly extended continental crust in the COTZ, and into a zone of exhumed mantle with magmatic additions (Fig. 6 a-b).

The proximal part of the margin is characterised by numerous parallel oceanward-dipping normal faults following a staircase-like pattern. Their vertical extents are difficult to map with certainty. Some of these faults are seen to terminate downwards against a high amplitude reflector, which we interpret as a deep-seated landward dipping detachment fault originating at the basinward limit of continental lithosphere (Fig. 6c). Oceanward of this high amplitude reflector, the

transition from highly extended continental crust to zones of exhumed mantle is marked by a smoother seismic characteristic of top basement.

In the exhumed mantle zone, a prominent basement high bisects the breakup sequence. The internal structure of the high is poorly imaged, making interpretations within it challenging (Fig. 6d). Landward of this high, a series of large basement faults bound a relatively-symmetrical 80 km wide sub-basin infilled with a thick syn-rift sedimentary sequence. Towards the seaweard part of the profile we interpret a package of seaward dipping reflectors (Fig. 6e), the top of which is marked by a high amplitude reflector. This package coincides with the interpreted location of the J Anomaly. Here, by analogy to drilled margins with similar characteristics (e.g. the south Australian margin, Ball et al., 2013), we suggest the acoustic basement to comprise a mixture of sediments and lava flows. Laterally, SDRs are seen to onlap onto a fault, perhaps indicating a degree of control by extension processes on magmatism (Fig. 6e).

4.3 Line C – Northern South Newfoundland Basin

Line C, located in the northernmost part of the South Newfoundland Basin is a 444 km long section which images the continental margin across the Grand Banks and offshore Newfoundland. Figure 7a-b shows a 180 km long oceanward segment of this seismic line, focusing on the continental shelf, highly extended continental crust and the COTZ.

At the base of the continental slope, which is characterised by a series of oceanward dipping faults, a landward dipping high-amplitude reflector can be traced to a depth equivalent of 10 s TWT. Oceanward, the basement is characterised by regularly spaced landward-dipping domino-style rotated fault blocks (Fig. 7c), above which we identify the presence of sedimentary packages corresponding to syn-rift 1, syn-rift 2 and the breakup sequence.

As before, we tentatively interpret the transition between extended continental crust and transitional crust from the smoothing of top basement. The COTZ is presumed to be floored by exhumed mantle, as recovered at sites 1276-1277 (Tucholke and Sibuet, 2007) further north in the Northern Newfoundland Basin (NNB). Within our interpreted region of exhumed mantle, individual fault blocks are no longer interpretable. The prominent basement high shown in figure 7d may be interpreted as a serpentinite diapir, as seen elsewhere within the Iberian Abyssal Plain and offshore the Galicia Bank region (e.g.(Boillot et al., 1980, 1995)

4.4 IAM5 – Tagus Abyssal Plain

The wide-angle 350 km long seismic profile IAM5 images crust from the continental slope into the distal domain of the Tagus Abyssal Plain (TAP) (Fig.9). Although previously described in detail in the literature, (e.g. Pinheiro et al., 1992;

Afilhado et al., 2008; Neves et al., 2009), we take this section into consideration in order to provide an Iberian conjugate to the new seismic profiles described previously.

360

IAM5 is characterised by large oceanward-dipping and smaller landward-dipping basement faults in the COTZ, some of which propagate upwards into 'undifferentiated' syn and post-rift sequences. A rise in basement toward the ocean is observed some 160 km from the base of the continental slope. Here, fault blocks still consistently dip toward the continent. Additionally in this distal domain, a high amplitude reflector is traceable above top basement, to 6s TWT. Although the syn

365   and post-rift breakup sequences are undifferentiated, the presence of sediments older than Base Cenozoic has not been interpreted within this high (see Neves et al., 2009).

5 Discussion

The Iberia-Newfoundland margins have been extensively surveyed and studied over the past decade. The three seismic lines

370   presented here, across the previously poorly-documented Southern Newfoundland Basin (SNB), further illustrate the complexity of this conjugate margin and are interpretable within the context of the existing and growing literature on extended continental margin processes. We interpret these lines as extending from the continental shelf, through highly extended continental crust and into distal deepwater basin characterised by the presence of exhumed mantle.

375   Our interpretations of the geological and structural history of the SNB also allow us to speculate about the origin of magnetic anomalies previously interpreted as diagnostic of oceanic lithosphere and extensively used as grounds upon which to base plate tectonic reconstructions of the North Atlantic.

5.1 Magnetic isochron interpretation: M-series and J-Anomaly

380   Some authors (e.g. Srivastava and Tapscott, 1986; Srivastava et al., 1990, 2000) identify the presence of M-Series magnetic reversal isochrons from magnetic anomalies recorded along the Newfoundland margin, attributing them to the presence of oceanic lithosphere. Our results do not support such an interpretation. Instead, along both lines A (Fig. 5) and B (Fig. 6) these anomalies (M1-M4) are sourced within zones of exhumed mantle which, in places, may be intruded by magmatic additions of uncertain age. In Line B (Fig. 6), the interpreted M-Series isochrons coincide with the high-amplitude

385   oceanward dipping reflectors that we interpret as SDR packages of interbedded volcanics and sediments. The formation of these features is usually associated with mantle dynamics during plate rupture rather than the formation of steady-state igneous crust (e.g. Keir et al., 2009). Here, they may indicate the "onset" of magmatic-driven extension (Tugend et al., 2018) preceding the establishment of seafloor spreading and production of true oceanic lithosphere. The volcanic edifice, sills and

feeder dykes in Line A (Fig. 5) may also be coeval with the final stages of plate rupture, or, a relic of post-rift magmatism

390 (Stanton et al., 2016). Post-rift volcanism associated with volcanism is generally associated with volcanic chains such as the Madeira Tore Rise, or isolated seamounts identified in the Northern Newfoundland Basin (Nirrengarten et al., 2017). However, to date no seamounts as shown in Line A have been identified in the SNB.

Our interpretations align with those of Russell and Whitmarsh, (2003) and Sibuet et al., (2004) who attribute the subdued

395 amplitudes of the Newfoundland margins' magnetic anomalies as indicative of source bodies in highly-extended continental crust and exhumed mantle, rather than the upper layers of a 'standard' 7 km-thick oceanic crust.

Our seismic Line B (Fig. 6) images crust associated with the J Anomaly in the SNB. The anomaly coincides with an area of interpreted interbedded sedimentary and igneous packages, which are on-lapping a basement fault. This might indicate that,

400 at the time of magmatism, plate divergence was still controlled by tectonic faulting and the transition to seafloor spreading had not yet occurred. Although we acknowledge that the limited quantity of new data available to us is not, on its own, sufficient to draw a complete picture, it suggests that the J anomaly does not represent a boundary between purely oceanic lithosphere and exhumed mantle transitional domains (e.g. Reston and Morgan, 2004), but instead that its source lies within or on the latter.

405

Although our results suggest that M-series magnetic anomaly isochrons within the Newfoundland margin do not originate from purely oceanic lithosphere, they can be used to estimate the minimum possible age of the basement underlying them. Based on this, we suggest that the Newfoundland margin may have been magmatically-influenced since the Early Aptian (coinciding with M4, ~128 Ma) (Fig. 5), earlier than previously thought (e.g. Tucholke and Ludwig, 1982; Tucholke et al.,

410 2007; Stanton et al., 2016).

According to Bronner et al., (2011) the J Anomaly results from Late Aptian (120 – 103 Ma) magmatism, preceding seafloor spreading. They suggested that northward propagating magmatism from which the J Anomaly originates began in the Northern Central Atlantic and was restrained at the Newfoundland Fracture Zone for 10 Myrs before reaching the NNB in

415 the Iberian-Newfoundland rift at the Aptian-Albian transition (112 Ma). Our results suggest a slightly different timing, with magmatic activity present in the SNB at a time coinciding with M4 (128 Ma), some 6-8 Ma younger than that proposed by Bronner et al., (2011). Although are data suggest an earlier age of magmatism than Bronner et al., (2011) we cannot comment on first ages of magmatism further north along margin.

420 Further north (e.g. Tucholke et al., 2007; Bronner et al., 2011; Nirrengarten et al., 2017), ODP drilling of rocks associated with the J Anomaly in the NNB revealed a similar assemblage of exhumed mantle and intrusive and extrusive mafic rocks.

The drilling results suggested that magmatic activity had been persistent from ~128 Ma (M4) to ~70 Ma (Jagoutz et al., 2007).

425   Although the J anomaly may be associated with events immediately preceding first seafloor spreading, these events are neither instantaneous in time nor isochronous along the margin, which renders the J Anomaly unsuitable as a kinematic marker.

5.2 Conjugate pair matching

430   The wide range of processes interpretable from our new data and previous studies of the Iberia-Newfoundland margins illustrates a degree of asymmetry that makes it impossible to unequivocally identify conjugate pairs of seismic transects from their geometric and stratigraphic characteristics alone. An alternative approach could be to select conjugates by rotating margin-wide seismic lines into coincidence at pre-drift times. However, the results of doing this are strongly dependent on the choice of rotation scheme and their inherent uncertainties. Figure 8 illustrates the wide range of pre-rift positions resulting from seven published plate kinematic models for Barremian times (Rowley and Lottes, 1988; Srivastava et al.,

435   1990; Sibuet and Collette, 1991; Labalis et al., 2010; Seton et al., 2012; Greiner and Neugebauer, 2013). Plate reconstructions to younger time slices are unsuitable for identifying conjugates because of the significant underlap they result in between the seismic surveys either side of the ocean. Similarly, full-fit reconstructions back to early Jurassic times result in large overlaps of the extended continental margins (Fig. 8).

440

Seton et al's. (2012) reconstruction (Fig. 8, b2) is based on an 'extreme-oceanic' interpretation, with magnetic isochron picks in the sequence back to M20 (Srivastava and Tapscott, 1986; Srivastava et al., 2000). This model keeps Iberia fixed to Africa throughout Barremian times. Alternatively, the model of Greiner and Neugebauer, (2013) (Fig. 8, b1), relies on the magnetic dataset of Srivastava et al., (2000) alone to produce best-fitting reconstructions of M-Series isochrons interpreted from dense

445   magnetic data off Newfoundland and sparser data off Iberia. In contrast, prior to chron M0, Srivastava et al's., (1990) (Fig. 8, b3) relies more strongly on seismic interpretations of conjugate changes in basement characteristics, conjugate fracture zones, and conjugate COB segments.

The reconstruction of Seton et al., (2013) results in significantly more overlap of the COTZ envelopes than that of Greiner

450   and Neugebauer, (2013). Overlaps in the COTZ suggest that the extended continental margins had not yet reached their present-day widths at this time. The early stages of continental separation, as described by these models, are subject to significant uncertainty, resulting from (a) the assumption that M-series anomalies are of oceanic origin and (b) the difficulty in interpreting subdued magnetic signals. This is illustrated by the differences in the reconstructions produced by the models,

shown in figure 8, b1 and b2. Despite the differences between the models of Greiner and Neugebauer, (2013) and Seton et al., (2013), both suggest Line B as a conjugate to IAM5 prior to seafloor spreading (Fig. 9).

Alternatively, the model by Srivastava et al., (1990) suggests a conjugate pair consisting of Line C and IAM5 (Fig 9). Their rotation scheme is derived from a model in which structural markers are used to constrain the position of Iberia during the Barremian, most notably Keen and Voogd's (1988) COB, which they interpreted to coincide with a prominent landward dipping reflector (the L reflector, see Reid, 1994). The use of this feature shifts Iberia's palaeo-position 50 – 100 km further south than that modelled using identified magnetic isochrons alone.

The validity of the 'L' reflector as a breakup marker can, however, be questioned on the basis of the huge variety of alternative COB interpretations published before and since Keen and Voogd's, (1988) study, which in this region differ by up to 200 km (Eagles et al., 2015). More specifically, Funck et al., (2003) identified the L Reflector offshore Flemish Cap to lie well inboard of the COTZ within the continental slope. We tentatively interpret a high landward dipping reflector traceable into the continental shelf in our Line C (Fig. 7), similar to the described 'L' Reflector thought to mark the COB.

Discriminating between "good" and 'bad' reconstructions on the basis of the transects they reunite is clearly challenging. In the case discussed here, no strong arguments can be made regarding which of our new seismic lines (Line B or Line C) is the more likely conjugate to IAM5 based on their structural and stratigraphic characteristics. Neither line displays features which can be solely attributed to an upper/lower plate setting in asymmetric margins (e.g. Lister et al., 1986). . The proximal domains of both Line B and C in the SNB are characterised by progressive continental lithosphere thinning by tectonic faulting, in places observed to terminate against large continent-dipping detachment faults. Faulting of continental lithosphere can also be observed on the Iberian side in line IAM5, although in this case detachment surfaces are not imaged. Across the interpreted transitional domains, exhumed mantle, diapirs and extrusive flows are present in Lines B and C but absent in line IAM5, where underplating has been suggested instead, although its age is uncertain (Mauffret et al., 1989; Peirce and Barton, 1991; Pinheiro et al., 2004; Bronner et al., 2011). The Madeira Tore Rise, located at the distal end of IAM5, results from alkaline magmatism post-dating breakup, which may have also resulted in the formation of volcanic edifices such as that seen in Line A in the SNB.

These observations illustrate the challenge of discriminating between "good" and "bad" rotation schemes on the basis of the conjugate transects they produce. This challenge could be greatly eased if informed by robust plate models built from high-confidence data with quantified uncertainties.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we have presented and described three new seismic transects from the Southern Newfoundland Basin, and used them to discuss the validity of widely used so-called breakup markers along the Iberian – Newfoundland margins and the use of these features for plate kinematic modelling. In addition, we have illustrated the uncertainties in current plate models by restoring seismic transects to their pre-breakup locations utilising existing rotation schemes of Barremian age. Interpretation of our new seismic dataset has revealed that:

- M-series magnetic anomalies are not diagnostic of true oceanic crust beneath the SNB. Instead they are attributed to susceptibility contrasts between zones of highly-extended continental crust and exhumed mantle in the basin floor. Similarly, the high-amplitude J Anomaly coincides with a zone of exhumed mantle punctuated by significant volcanic additions, and at times characterised by interbedded volcanics and sediments.

- In the southern part of the Newfoundland margin, we suggest J-anomaly source bodies to be the result of mantle dynamics preceding plate rupture. Previously-published studies show that, further north, the J-anomaly is either too weak to recognise, or missing altogether. Although associated with events immediately preceding first seafloor spreading, these events are neither instantaneous in time nor isochronous along the margin, which renders the J Anomaly unsuitable as a kinematic marker.

- Our results show that magmatic activity was underway in the SNB at a time coinciding with M4 (128 Ma), earlier than previously thought. SDR packages onlapping onto a basement fault suggest that, at this time, plate divergence was still being accommodated, at least partially, by tectonic faulting.

- Differences in the relative positions of Iberia and Newfoundland according to published Barremian age plate reconstructions built on the basis of structural data vs. magnetic data illustrate the uncertainties introduced into the modelling procedure by the use of extended continental margin data (dubious magnetic anomaly identifications, breakup unconformity interpretations). In the SNB, we interpret the extent of the COTZ to reach oceanward to at least M0 (118 Ma). As a result, a complementary approach is needed for constraining plate kinematics of the Iberian plate pre M0 times. In this respect we anticipate the palaeoposition of Iberia could come to be more confidently reconstructed using a larger more comprehensive plate model that encompasses the central and southern North Atlantic Oceans.

- Our new data and previous studies of the Iberia-Newfoundland margins illustrate a diversity of features that define conjugate asymmetry and along-strike variability to the extent that it becomes impossible to unequivocally identify conjugate pairs of seismic transects from their geometric and stratigraphic characteristics alone. Although our new data do not provide sufficient clarity about conjugate pairs of, they are helpful to clarify the temporal context for future plate kinematic reconstructions.

- A robust plate kinematic model built from well-constrained spreading data and involving a larger plate circuit would provide the basis to generate virtual rift-spanning seismic transects at the time of continental break-up. This,

in turn, would make it possible to investigate further how the processes related to continental breakup are recorded in the sedimentary architecture of rifted margins. Such a plate model does not yet exist.

520

525

Acknowledgements: We thank TGS NOPEC for the provision of the seismic data for this paper, and the COMPASS Consortium, Royal Holloway University of London for funding support. We would also like to thank Alexander Peace for
530   his fair and constructive review of our manuscript. Figures were produced using the Generic Mapping Tools (GMT, (Wessel and Smith, 1991).

535

Fig. 1: (a) Study area showing the location of structural and tectonic features significant to our study. Red envelopes mark the extent of the COTZ as compiled by Eagles et al., (2015). Double black line: mid-ocean ridge; Red dashed lines: fracture zone traces. Orange lines: seismic profiles presented and discussed in this study. Background image is Sandwell and Smith (2014) gridded satellite altimetry. (b) and (c) Location of M-series magnetic isochron picks (Srivastava et al., 2000) and ODP Legs 210 (Tucholke et al., 2004), 103 (Boillot et al., 1987) and 173 (Whitmarsh et al., 1998) drill sites. FC; Flemish Cap, FP; Flemish Pass, GB; Galicia Bank, GBA; Grand Banks, GIB; Galicia Interior Basin, IAP; Iberian Abyssal Plain, IB; Iberia, MTR; Madeira-Tore Rise, NFL; Newfoundland, NNB; North Newfoundland Basin, SNB; Southern Newfoundland Basin, TAP; Tagus Abyssal Plain.

Fig. 2: Six stages of development of the North Atlantic, from Late Jurassic to Late Cretaceous. Bright green envelopes show the maximum extent of the COTZ (Eagles et al., 2015). Light green shading shows oceanic lithosphere extent according to Sibuet et al., (2007) for the Atlantic and Sibuet, et al., (2004) for the Bay of Biscay. Pink star: location of the triple junction between EUR-IB-NA. Adapted from Vissers and Meijer, (2012).

605

610

615

620

625

630

Fig. 3: (a) Location of magnetic profiles across the Southern Newfoundland Basin and conjugate Tagus Abyssal Plain shown in (b) and (c) respectively. (b) Four along-track magnetic anomaly profiles (solid black lines) from the SNB, shown alongside a synthetic anomaly isochron model for comparison (dashed line). Pink shading marks the high amplitude J Anomaly, identified as M0 - M1 by Rabinowitz et al., (1978). (c) Two along-track magnetic anomaly profiles (solid black lines) from the TAP, shown alongside a synthetic anomaly isochron model for comparison (dashed line).. Pink and blue shading indicate the high amplitude J Anomaly, identified as M0 – M4 by Whitmarsh and Miles (1995). (b) and (c) adapted from Srivastava et al., (2000). IAP; Iberian Abyssal Plain, JA; J Anomaly, NNB; Northern Newfoundland Basin, SNB; Southern Newfoundland Basin, TAP; Tagus Abyssal Plain.

Fig. 4: Map showing the positions of Iberia relative to North America from first unequivocal oceanic crust (83Ma), as modelled by Seton et al., (2012) for C3-C6, Srivastava et al., (1990) for C13 – C31 and C34, and Macchiavelli et al., (2017) for C33. Numerical chron ages are Gradstsein et al., (2012). Red lines show the position of seismic sections A – C, provided by TGS. CB; Carson Basin, FC; Flemish Cap, FP; Flemish Pass, GBA; Grand Banks, HB; Horseshoe Basin, NS; Newfoundland Seamounts, NNB; North Newfoundland Basin, SNB; Southern Newfoundland Basin, WB; Whale Basin.

650

Fig. 5: (a - b) Un-interpreted and interpreted seismic reflection profile ("Line A") from the southern South Newfoundland Basin. Interpretation shows the basement structure and sedimentary units. (c) Basement structure at the base of the continental slope, (d) Ocean-ward dipping reflectors in syn-rift 1 sediments, (e) Volcanic edifice present in the proto-oceanic zone with associated sills and magmatic vents. All data courtesy of TGS.

Fig. 6: (a - b) Un-interpreted and interpreted seismic reflection profile ("Line B") from the central South Newfoundland Basin. Interpretation shows the basement structure and sedimentary units. (c) Crustal collapse of the hanging-wall along a large scale landward-dipping fault resulting in extremely thinned continental crust, (d) Section of syn-rift sediments within the exhumed mantle zone, (e) Bright amplitude oceanward-dipping reflectors interpreted as a mixture of sediment and magmatic flows beneath an igneous top basement. All data courtesy of TGS.

Fig. 7: (a - b) Un-interpreted and interpreted seismic reflection profile ("Line C") from the northern South Newfoundland Basin. Interpretation shows the basement structure and sedimentary units. (c) Continental crust thinned by small normal faults, (d) Possible serpentinite diapir within the zone of exhumed mantle. All data courtesy of TGS.

Fig. 8: Reconstructions of the COTZ envelope from Eagles et al. (2015) at (a) Aptian, (b) Barremian and (c) Tithonian ('full fit) times, showing the range of virtual conjugates generated by alternative rotation schemes. Blue and red lines are the TGS Iberian and Newfoundland datasets, respectively. NFL: Newfoundland; IB: Iberia.

Fig. 9: Comparison of 'conjugate' seismic lines chosen on the basis of alternative rotation schemes for Barremian times. Conjugates according to (a) Greiner and Neugebauer, (2013) and Seton et al., (2013) and (b) Srivastava et al., (1990). Conjugate comparisons are hung on 10s TWT. Key as in figures 5-7.

References:

Ady, B. E., Whittaker, R. C.: Examining the influence of tectonic inheritance on the evolution of the North Atlantic using a palinspastic deformable plate reconstruction. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 470, 245–263, https://doi.org/10.1144/sp470.9, 2018.

Afilhado, A., Matias, L., Shiobara, H., Hirn, A., Mendes-Victor, L., and Shimamura, H.: From unthinned continent to ocean: The deep structure of the West Iberia passive continental margin at 38°N, Tectonophysics., 458, 9–50, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2008.03.002, 2008.

Alves, T, M., Cunha, T.: A phase of transient subsidence, sediment bypass and deposition of regressive–transgressive cycles during the breakup of Iberia and Newfoundland, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 484, 168–183, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.11.054, 2018.

Alves, T, M., Manuppella, G., Gawthorpe, R, L., Hunt, D, W., and Monteiro, J, H.: The depositional evolution of diapir- and fault-bounded rift basins: Examples from the Lusitanian Basin of West Iberia, Sediment. Geol., 162, 273–303, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0037-0738(03)00155-6, 2003.

Alves, T, M., Moita, C., Cunha, T., Ullnaess, M., Myklebust, R., Monteiro, J, H., and Manuppella, G.: Diachronous evolution of late jurassic-cretaceous continental rifting in the northeast atlantic (west iberian margin), Tectonics, 28, 1–32, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008TC002337, 2009.

Ball, P., Eagles, G., Ebinger, C., McClay, K., and Totterdell, J.: The spatial and temporal evolution of strain during the separation of Australia and Antarctica, Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems., 14, 2771–2799, https://doi.org/10.1002/ggge.20160, 2013.

Barnett-Moore, N., Hosseinpour, M., and Maus, S.: Assessing discrepancies between previous plate kinematic models of Mesozoic Iberia and their constraints, Tectonics, 35, 1843–1862, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015TC004019, 2016.

Barnett-Moore, N., Müller, D. R., Williams, S., Skogseid, J., and Seton, M.: A reconstruction of the North Atlantic since the earliest Jurassic, Basin Res., 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12214, 2016.

Boillot, G., Beslier, M. O., Krawczyk, C. M., Rappin, D., Reston, T. J.: The formation of passive margins: Constraints from the crustal structure and segmentation of the deep Galicia margin, Spain. Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ., 90, 71–91, https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1995.090.01.04, 1995.

Boillot, G., Winterer, E. L., and Meyer, A. W.: Introduction, Objectives, and Principal Results: Ocean Drilling Program Leg 103, West Galicia Margin, Proc. Ocean Drill. Program, 103, Initial Reports 3–17, https://doi.org/10.2973/odp.proc.ir.103.101.1987, 1987.

830 Boillot, G., Girardeau, J., and Kornprobst, J.: The rifting of the Galicia margin: crustal thinning and emplacement of mantle rocks on the seafloor, Proc. Ocean Drill. Program, 103, 741–756, https://doi.org/10.2973/odp.proc.sr.103, 1988.

Boillot, G., Grimaud, S., Mauffret, A., Mougenot, D., Kornprobst, J., Mergoil-Daniel, J., and Torrent, G.: Ocean-continent boundary off the Iberian margin: A serpentinite diapir west of the Galicia Bank, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 48, 23–34, https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(80)90166-1, 1980.

835 Bronner, A., Sauter, D., Manatschal, G., Péron-Pinvidic, G., and Munschy, M.: Magmatic beakup as an explanaion for magnetic anomalies at magma-poor rifed magins, Nat. Phys., 5, 85–85, https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1201, 2011.

Bullard, E., Everett, J., and Smith, G, A.: The Fit of the Continents around the Atlantic, Philosphical Trans. R. Soc. London. Ser. A, Mathmatical Phys. Sci., 258, 41–51, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1965.0020, 1965.

Cadenas, P., Fernández-Viejo, G., Pulgar, J.A., Tugend, J., Manatschal, G., and Minshull, T.A.: Constraints Imposed by Rift
840 Inheritance on the Compressional Reactivation of a Hyperextended Margin: Mapping Rift Domains in the North Iberian Margin and in the Cantabrian Mountains, Tectonics, 37, 758–785, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016TC004454, 2018.

Cannat, M., Sauter, D., Bezos, A., Meyzen, C., Humler, E., and Le Rigoleur, M.: Spreading rate, spreading obliquity, and melt supply at the ultraslow spreading Southwest Indian Ridge, Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 9, 1–26,
845 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GC001676, 2008.

Choukroune, P.: Tectonic Evolution of the Pyrenees, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci, 20, 143–158, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.20.050192.001043, 1992.

Davy, R. G., Minshull, T. A., Bayrakci, G., Bull, J. M., Klaeschen, D., Papenberg, C., Reston, T. J., Sawyer, D. S., and Zelt, C. A.: Continental hyperextension, mantle exhumation, and thin oceanic crust at the continent-ocean transition, West
850 Iberia: New insights from wide-angle seismic, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth., 767–787, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JB012352, 2016.

Dean, S. L., Sawyer, D. S., and Morgan, J. K.: Galicia Bank ocean-continent transition zone: New seismic reflection constraints, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 413, 197–207, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.12.045, 2015a.

Dean, S. M., Minshull, T. A., Whitmarsh, R. B., and Louden, K. E.: Deep structure of the ocean-continent transition in the
855 southern Iberia Abyssal Plain from seismic refraction profiles: the IAM-9 transect at 40,20N, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 7443–7462, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JB900301, 2000.

Decarlis, A., Manatschal, G., Haupert, I., and Masini, E.: The tectono-stratigraphic evolution of distal, hyper-extended magma-poor conjugate rifted margins: Examples from the Alpine Tethys and Newfoundland-Iberia, Mar. Pet. Geol., 68, 54–72, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2015.08.005, 2015.

860 Eagles, G., Pérez-Díaz, L., and Scarselli, N.: Getting over continent ocean boundaries, Earth-Science Rev., 151, 244–265, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.10.009, 2015.

Eddy, M. P., Jagoutz, O., and Ibañez-Mejia, M.: Timing of initial seafloor spreading in the Newfoundland-Iberia rift. Geology 45, 527–530. https://doi.org/10.1130/G38766.1, 2017.

Funck, T., Hopper, J. R., Larsen, H. C., Louden, K. E., Tucholke, B. E., and Holbrook, W.: Crustal structure of the ocean-
865 continent transition at Flemish Cap: Seismic refraction results, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 2531, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JB002434, 2003.

Gillard, M., Manatschal, G., and Autin, J.: How can asymmetric detachment faults generate symmetric Ocean Continent Transitions? Terra Nov., 28, 27–34, https://doi.org/10.1111/ter.12183, 2016.

Gong, Z., Langereis, C. G., and Mullender, T. A. T.: The rotation of Iberia during the Aptian and the opening of the Bay of
870 Biscay, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 273, 80–93, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.06.016, 2008.

Greiner, B., and Neugebauer, J.: The rotations opening the Central and Northern Atlantic Ocean: Compilation, drift lines, and flow lines, Int. J. Earth Sci., 102, 1357–1376, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-012-0860-6, 2013.

Grimaud, S., Boillot, G., Collette, B. J., Mauffret, A., Miles, P. R., and Roberts, D. B.: Western extension of the Iberian-
European plate boundary during the Early Cenozoic (Pyrenean) convergence: A new model, Mar. Geol., 45, 63–77,
875 https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227(82)90180-3, 1982.

Grimison, N. L., and Chen, W.: The Azores-Gibraltar Plate Boundary: Focal mechanisms, depths of earthquakes, and their tectonic implications 91, 2029–2047, https://doi.org/10.1029/JB091iB02p02029, 1986.

Handy, M. R., Schmid, S., Bousquet, R., Kissling, E., and Bernoulli, D.: Reconciling plate-tectonic reconstructions of Alpine Tethys with the geological-geophysical record of spreading and subduction in the Alps, Earth-Science Rev., 102, 121–
880 158, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2010.06.002, 2010.

Hansen, D. M., Cartwright, J. A., and Thomas, D.: 3D Seismic Analysis of the Geometry of Igneous Sills and Sill Junction Relationships, Geol. Soc. Mem., 29, 199–208, https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.MEM.2004.029.01.19, 2004.

Jagoutz, O., Müntener, O., Manatschal, G., Rubatto, D., Péron-Pinvidic, G., Turrin, B. D., and Villa, I. M.: The rift-to-drift transition in the North Altantic: A stuttering start of the MORB machine? Geology, 35, 1087–1090,
885 https://doi.org/10.1130/G23613A.1, 2007.

Jammes, S., Manatschal, G., Lavier, L., and Masini, E.: Tectonosedimentary evolution related to extreme crustal thinning

ahead of a propagating ocean: Example of the western Pyrenees, Tectonics, 28, 1–24, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008TC002406, 2009.

890   Jiménez-Munt, I., and Negredo, A.M.: Neotectonic modelling of the western part of the Africa-Eurasia plate boundary: From the Mid-Atlantic ridge to Algeria, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 205, 257–271, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(02)01045-2, 2003.

Jokat, W., and Schmidt-Aursch, M.C.: Geophysical characteristics of the ultraslow spreading Gakkel Ridge, Arctic Ocean, Geophys. J. Int., 168, 983–998, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2006.03278.x, 2007.

Keen, C., Hall, B., and Sullivan, K.: Mesozoic evolution of the Newfoundland Basin, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 37, 307–320,
895   https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(77)90176-5, 1977.

Keen, C. E., Dickie, K., and Dafoe, L. T.: Structural Evolution of the Rifted Margin off Northern Labrador: The Role of Hyperextension and Magmatism, Tectonics, 37, 1955–1972, https://doi.org/10.1029/2017TC004924, 2018.

Keen, C. E., and de Voogd, B.: The Continent-Ocean Boundary at the rifted marin off Eastern Canada: New results from deep seismic reflection studies, Tectonics, 7, 107–124, https://doi.org/10.1029/TC007i001p00107, 198.

900   Keir, D., Bastow, I. D., Whaler, K. A., Daly, E., Cornwell, D. G., and Hautot, S.: Lower crustal earthquakes near the Ethiopian rift induced by magmatic processes, Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems., 10, 1–10, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GC002382, 2009.

Klitgord, K. D., and Schouten, H.: Plate kinematics of the central Atlantic, West. North Atl. Reg., https://doi.org/10.1130/DNAG-GNA-M.351, 1986.

905   Lagabrielle, Y., and Bodinier, J. L.: Submarine reworking of exhumed subcontinental mantle rocks: Field evidence from the Lherz peridotites, French Pyrenees, Terra Nov., 20, 11–21, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.2007.00781.x, 2008.

Lagabrielle, Y., Labaume, P., and De Saint Blanquat, M.: Mantle exhumation, crustal denudation, and gravity tectonics during Cretaceous rifting in the Pyrenean realm (SW Europe): Insights from the geological setting of the lherzolite bodies, Tectonics, 29, 1–26, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009TC002588, 2010.

910   Le Pichon, X., and Sibuet, J.: Western extension and boundary between European and Iberian Plates during the Pyrenean Orogeny. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 12, 83–88, https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(71)90058-6, 1971.

Levi, S., and Riddihough, R.: Why are marine magnetic anomalies suppressed over sedimented spreading centers? Geology, 14, 651–654, https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1986)14<651:WAMMAS>2.0.CO;2, 1986.

Lister, G. S., Etheridge, M. A., and Symonds, P. A., 1986. Detachment faulting and the evolution of passive continental margins, Geology, 14, 246–250, https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1986)14<246:DFATEO>2.0.CO, 1986.

Macchiavelli, C., Vergés, J., Schettino, A., Fernàndez, M., Turco, E., Casciello, E., Torne, M., Pierantoni, P. P., and Tunini, L.: A New Southern North Atlantic Isochron Map: Insights Into the Drift of the Iberian Plate Since the Late Cretaceous, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth., 122, 9603–9626, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JB014769, 2017.

Malod, J. A., and Mauffret, A.: Iberian plate motions during the Mesozoic, Tectonophysics, 184, 261–278, https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(90)90443-C, 1990.

Manatschal, G., and Bernoulli, D.: Architecture and tectonic evolution of nonvolcanic margins: Present-day Galicia and ancient Adria, Tectonics, 18, 1099–1119, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999TC900041, 1999.

Manatschal, G., and Bernoulli, D.,: Rifting and early evolution of ancient ocean basins: The record of the Mesozoic Tethys and of the Galicia-Newfoundland margins, Mar. Geophys. Res., 20, 371–381, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004459106686, 1998.

Manatschal, G., Froitzheim, N., Rubenach, M., and Turrin, B. D.: The role of detachment faulting in the formation of an ocean-continent transition: insights from the Iberia Abyssal Plain, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 187, 405–428, https://doi.org/10.1144/gsl.sp.2001.187.01.20, 2001.

Manspeizer, W.: Triassic – Jurassic rifting and opening of the Atlantic: An overview, Developments in Geotectonics., 22, 41-79, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-42903-2.50008-7, 1988.

Masini, E., Manatschal, G., Tugend, J., Mohn, G., and Flament, J-M.: The tectono-sedimentary evolution of a hyper-extended rift basin: The example of the Arzacq-Mauléon rift system (Western Pyrenees, SW France), Int. J. Earth Sci., 103, 1569–1596, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-014-1023-8, 2014.

Masson, D. G., and Miles, P. R.: Mesozoic seafloor spreading between Iberia, Europe and North America, Mar. Geol., 56, 279–287, https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227(84)90019-7, 1984.

Matthews, D. H., Williams, C. A.: Linear Magnetic Anomalies in the Bay of Biscay : A Qualitative Interpretation, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 4, 315–320, https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(68)90094-0, 1968.

Mauffret, A., and Montadert, L.: Rift tectonics on the passive continental margin off Galicia (Spain), Mar. Pet. Geol., 4, 49–70, https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-8172(87)90021-3, 1987.

Mauffret, A., Mougenot, D., Miles, P. R., and Malod, J. A.: Cenozoic deformation and Mesozoic abandoned spreading

centre in the Tagus Abyssal Plain (west of Portugal): results of a multichannel seismic survey, Can. J. Earth Sci., 26, 1101–1123, https://doi.org/ 10.1139/e89-095, 1989.

McClay, K., Munoz, J. A., and García-Senz, J.: Extensional salt tectonics in a contractional orogen: A newly identified tectonic event in the Spanish Pyrenees, Geology, 32, 737–740, https://doi.org/10.1130/G20565.1, 2004.

945 Minshull, T. A., Dean, S. M., Whitmarsh, R. B., Russell, S. M., Louden, K. E., and Chian, D.: Deep structured the vicinity of the ocean-continent transition zone under the southern Iberia Abyssal Plain, Geology ,26, 743–746, https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1998)026<0743:DSITVO>2.3.CO;2, 1998.

Mohn, G., Manatschal, G., Beltrando, M., Masini, E., and Kusznir, N.: Necking of continental crust in magma-poor rifted margins: Evidence from the fossil Alpine Tethys margins, Tectonics, 31, 1–28,
950 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011TC002961, 2012.

Müller, R. D., Roest, W. R., Royer, J-Y., Gahagan, L. M., and Sclater, J. G.: Digital isochrons of the world's ocean floor, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth., 102, 3211–3214, https://doi.org/10.1029/96jb01781, 1997.

Müller, R. D., Sdrolias, M., Gaina, C., Roest, and W, R.,: Age, spreading rates, and spreading asymmetry of the world's ocean crust, Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 9, 1–19, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GC001743, 2008.

955 Müller, R. D., Zahirovic, S., Williams, S. E., Cannon, J., Seton, M., Bower, D. J., Tetley, M. G., Heine, C., Le Breton, E., Liu, S., Russell, S. H. J., Yang, T., Leonard, J., and Gurnis, M.: A Global Plate Model Including Lithospheric Deformation Along Major Rifts and Orogens Since the Triassic, Tectonics, 38, 1884–1907, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018TC005462, 2019.

Neves, M. C., Terrinha, P., Afilhado, A., Moulin, M., Matias, L., Rosas, F.: Response of a multi-domain continental margin
960 to compression: Study from seismic reflection-refraction and numerical modelling in the Tagus Abyssal Plain, Tectonophysics, 468, 113–130, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2008.05.008, 2009.

Nirrengarten, M., Manatschal, G., Tugend, J., Kusznir, N., and Sauter, D.: Kinematic Evolution of the Southern North Atlantic: Implications for the Formation of Hyperextended Rift Systems, Tectonics, 37, 89–118, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004495, 2018.

965 Nirrengarten, M., Manatschal, G., Tugend, J., Kusznir, N. J., and Sauter, D.: Nature and origin of the J-magnetic anomaly offshore Iberia–Newfoundland: implications for plate reconstructions, Terra Nov., 29, 20–28, https://doi.org/10.1111/ter.12240, 2017.

Olivet, J. L., Bonnin, J., Beuzart, P., and Auzende, J-M.: Cinématique de l'Atlantique Nord et Central, Publ. du C.N.E.X.O. Série "Rapports Sci. Tech., 54, 1–108, 1984.

970 Peace, A. L., Welford, J. K., Ball, P. J., and Nirrengarten, M.: Deformable plate tectonic models of the southern North Atlantic, J. Geodyn., 128, 11–37, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2019.05.005, 2019.

Peirce, C., and Barton, P.: Crustal structure of the Madeira–Tore Rise, eastern North Atlantic—results of a DOBS wide-angle and normal incidence seismic experiment in the Josephine Seamount region, Geophys. J. Int., 106, 357–378, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1991.tb03898.x, 1991.

975 Pérez-Diaz, L., and Eagles, G.: Constraining South Atlantic growth with seafloor spreading data, Tectonics, 33, 1848–1873, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014TC003644, 2014.

Pérez-Gunninyé, M., and Reston, T.J.: Rheological evolution during extension at nonvolcanic rifted margins: Onset of serpentinization and development of detachments leading to continental breakup, 106, 3961–3975, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JB900325, 2001.

980 Péron-Pinvidic, G., and Manatschal, G.: The final rifting evolution at deep magma-poor passive margins from Iberia-Newfoundland: A new point of view, Int. J. Earth Sci., 98, 1581–1597, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-008-0337-9, 2009.

Péron-Pinvidic, G., Manatschal, G., Minshull, T. A., and Sawyer, D. S.: Tectonosedimentary evolution of the deep Iberia-Newfoundland margins: Evidence for a complex breakup history, Tectonics, 26, 1–19,
985 https://doi.org/10.1029/2006TC001970, 2007.

Pinheiro, L. M., Whitmarsh, R. B., and Miles, P. R.: The ocean-continental boundary off the western continental continental margin of Iberia - II. Crustal structure in the Tagus Abyssal Plain, Geophys. J. Int., 109, 106–124, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1992.tb00082.x, 1992.

Pinheiro, L. M., Wilson, R. C., Pena dos Reis, R., Whitmarsh, R. B., and Ribeiro, A.: The Western Iberia Margin: a
990 Geophysical and Geological Overview, Proc. Ocean Drill. Program, Sci. Results 149, https://doi.org/10.2973/odp.proc.sr.149.246.1996, 1996.

Pitman, W. C., and Talwani, M.: Sea-Floor Spreading in the North Atlantic, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 83, 619–646, https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1972)83[619:SSITNA]2.0.CO;2, 1972.

Planke, S., Rasmussen, T., Rey, S. S., and Myklebust, R.: Seismic characteristics and distribution of volcanic intrusions and
995 hydrothermal vent complexes in the Vøring and Møre basins, Pet. Geol. North-west Eur., 2–13, https://doi.org/10.1144/0060833, 2005.

Rabinowitz, P., Cande, S., Hayes, D., Gradstein, F., Grant, A., and Jansa, L.: Grand Banks and J-Anomaly Ridge, Science., 323, 721–723, https://doi.org/10.1126/science/202.4363.71, 1978.

Reid, I. D.: Crustal structure of a nonvolcanic rifted margin east of Newfoundland, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 15161–15180, https://doi.org/10.1029/94JB00935, 1994.

Reston, T. J.: The formation of non-volcanic rifted margins by the progressive extension of the lithosphere: the example of the West Iberian margin, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 282, 77–110, https://doi.org/10.1144/SP282.5, 2007.

Reston, T. J., and Morgan, J. P.: Continental geotherm and the evolution of rifted margins, Geology, 32, 133–136, https://doi.org/10.1130/G19999.1, 2004.

Roest, W. R., and Srivastava, S. P.: Kinematics of the plate boundaries between Eurasia, Iberia, and Africa in the North Atlantic from the Late Cretaceous to the present, Geology, 19, 613–616, https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1991)019<0613:KOTPBB>2.3.CO;2, 1991.

Rosenbaum, G., Lister, G. S., and Duboz, C.: Reconstruction of the tectonic evolution of the western Mediterranean since the Oligocene, J. Virtual Explor., 8, 107-130, https://doi.org/10.3809/jvirtex.2002.00053, 2002.

Russell, S. M., and Whitmarsh, R. B.: Magmatism at the west Iberia non-volcanic rifted continental margin: Evidence from analyses of magnetic anomalies, Geophys. J. Int., 154, 706–730, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.2003.01999.x, 2003.

Sandoval, L., Welford, J. K., MacMahon, H., and Peace, A. L.:Determining continuous basins across conjugate margins: The East Orphan, Porcupine, and Galicia Interior basins of the southern North Atlantic Ocean, Mar. Pet. Geol., 110, 138–161, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.06.047, 2019.

Schoeffler, J.: Une hypothese sur la tectogenese de la chaine pyreneenne et de ses abords. Soc. Natl. des Pet. d'Aquitaine, 1965.

Sclater, J. G., Hellinger, S., and Tapscott, C.: The Paleobathymetry of the Atlantic Ocean from the Jurassic to the Present, J. Geol., 85, 509-552, https://doi.org/10.1086/628336, 1977.

Searle, R.: Tectonic pattern of the Azores spreading centre and triple junction, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 51, 415–434, https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(80)90221-6, 1980.

Seton, M., Müller, R. D., Zahirovic, S., Gaina, C., Torsvik, T., Shephard, G., Talsma, A., Gurnis, M., Turner, M., Maus, S., Chandler, M.: Global continental and ocean basin reconstructions since 200Ma, Earth-Science Rev., 113, 212–270, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.03.002, 2012.

Shillington, D. J., Holbrook, W. S., Van Avendonk, H. J. A., Tucholke, B. E., Hopper, J. R., Louden, K. E., Larsen, H. C.,

and Nunes, G. T.: Evidence for asymmetric nonvolcanic rifting and slow incipient oceanic accretion from seismic reflection data of the Newfoudland margin, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth., 111, B09402, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JB003981, 2006.

1030   Sibuet, J, C., and Srivastava, S. P.: Rifting consequences of three plate separation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 21, 521–524, https://doi.org/10.1029/93GL03304, 1994.

Sibuet, J, C., Srivastava, S. P., and Spakman, W.: Pyrenean orogeny and plate kinematics, J. Geophys. Res. B Solid Earth., 109, 1–18, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JB002514, 2004.

Sibuet, J. C., Srivastava, S. P., Enachescu, M., Karner, G. D.: Early Cretaceous motion of Flemish Cap with respect to North America: implications on the formation of Orphan Basin and SE Flemish Cap–Galicia Bank conjugate margins, Geol.
1035   Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 282, 63–76, https://doi.org/10.1144/SP282.4, 2007.

Sibuet, J. C., Rouzo, S., and Srivastava, S.P.: Plate tectonic reconstructions and paleogeographic maps of he central and North Atlantic Oceans, Can. J. Earth Sci., 49, 1567–1594, https://doi.org/10.1139/e2012-075, 2012.

Sibuet, J. C., and Collette, B.: Triple junctions of Bay of Biscay and North Atlantic: new constraints on the kinematic evolution, Geology, 19, 522–525, https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1991)019<0522:TJOBOB>2.3.CO;2, 1991.

1040   Skogseid, J.: The Orphan Basin – a key to understanding the kinematic linkage between North and NE Atlantic Mesozoic rifting, II Cent. North Atl. Conjug. Margins Conf. II, 13–23, 2010.

Soares, D. M., Alves, T. M., and Terrinha, P.: The breakup sequence and associated lithospheric breakup surface: Their significance in the context of rifted continental margins (West Iberia and Newfoundland margins, North Atlantic), Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 355–356, 311–326, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.08.036, 2012.

1045   Srivastava, S.P., and Verhoef, J.: Evolution of Mesozoic sedimentary basins around the North Central Atlantic : a preliminary plate kinematic solution, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 397–420, https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1992.062.01.30, 1992.

Srivastava, S.P., Schouten, H., Roest, W., Klitgord, K., Kovacs, L.C., Verhoef, J., and Macnab, R.: Iberian plate kinematics: a jumping plate boundary between Eurasia and Africa, Nature., 334, 756-759, https://doi.org/10.1038/344756a0, 1990.

1050   Srivastava, S. P., Sibuet, J. C., Cande, S., Roest, W. R., and Reid, I.D.: Magnetic evidence for slow seafloor spreading during the formation of the Newfoundland and Iberian margins, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 182, 61–76, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(00)00231-4, 2000.

Srivastava, S. P., and Tapscott, C. R.: Plate kinematics of the North Atlantic, Geol. North Am. M., 379–404, https://doi.org/10.1130/dnag-gna-m.379, 1986.

1055 Stampfli, G., Borel, G., Marchant, R., and Mosar, J.: Western Alps geological constraints on western Tethyan reconstructions The geodynamic framework of the western Alps, J. Virtual Explor., 8, 75–104, https://doi.org/10.3809/jvirtex.2002.00057, 2002.

Stanton, N., Manatschal, G., Autin, J., Sauter, D., Maia, M., and Viana, A.: Geophysical fingerprints of hyper-extended, exhumed and embryonic oceanic domains: the example from the Iberia–Newfoundland rifted margins, Mar. Geophys.
1060 Res., 37, 185–205, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11001-016-9277-0, 2016.

Sullivan, K. D.: The Newfoundland Basin: ocean-continent boundary and Mesozoic seafloor spreading history, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 62, 321–339, https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(83)90003-1, 1983.

Tavani, S., Bertok, C., Granado, P., Piana, F., Salas, R., Vigna, B., and Muñoz, J. A.: The Iberia-Eurasia plate boundary east of the Pyrenees, Earth-Science Rev., 187, 314–337, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.10.008, 2018.

1065 Teixell, A., Labaume, P., Ayarza, P., Espurt, N., de Saint Blanquat, M., and Lagabrielle, Y.: Crustal structure and evolution of the Pyrenean-Cantabrian belt: A review and new interpretations from recent concepts and data, Tectonophysics, 724–725, 146–170, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2018.01.009, 2018.

Tucholke, B., and Ludwig, W, J.: Structure and origin of the J Anomaly Ridge, western North Atlantic Ocean, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth., 87, 9389–9407, https://doi.org/10.1029/JB087iB11p09389, 1982.

1070 Tucholke, B. E., Sawyer, D. S., and Sibuet, J.-C.: Breakup of the Newfoundland Iberia rift, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 282, 9–46, https://doi.org/10.1144/SP282.2, 2007.

Tucholke, B. E., Sawyer, D. S., and Sibuet, J.: Breakup of the Newfoundland – Iberia rift, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 3, 9–46, https://doi.org/10.1144/SP282.2, 2007.

Tucholke, B. E., and Sibuet, J.-C.: Leg 210 Synthesis: Tectonic, Magmatic, and Sedimentary Evolution of the
1075 Newfoundland-Iberia Rift, Proc. Ocean Drill. Program, 210 Sci. Results 210., 1–56, https://doi.org/10.2973/odp.proc.sr.210.101.2007, 2007.

Tugend, J., Manatschal, G., and Kusznir, N. J.: Spatial and temporal evolution of hyperextended rift systems: Implication for the nature, kinematics, and timing of the Iberian- European plate boundary. Geology 43, 15–18, https://doi.org/10.1130/G36072.1, 2015.

1080 Tugend, J., Manatschal, G., Kusznir, N. J., Masini, E., Mohn, G., and Thinon, I.: Formation and deformation of hyperextended rift systems: Insights from rift domain mapping in the Bay of Biscay-Pyrenees, Tectonics, 33, 1239–1276, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014TC003529, 2014.

Van der Voo, R.: Paleomagnetic evidence for the rotation of the Iberian Peninsula, Tectonophysics, 7, 5–56, https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(69)90063-8, 1969.

1085 Van der Voo, R., and Boessenkool, A.: Permian paleomagnetic result from the Western Pyrenees delineating the plate boundary between the Iberian Peninsula and Stable Europe, J. Geophys. Res., 78, 5118–5127, https://doi.org/10.1029/jb078i023p05118, 1973.

Vauchez, A., Clerc, C., Bestani, L., Lagabrielle, Y., Chauvet, A., Lahfid, A., and Mainprice, D.: Preorogenic exhumation of the North Pyrenean Agly massif (Eastern Pyrenees-France), Tectonics, 32, 95–106, https://doi.org/10.1002/tect.20015, 1090 2013.

Vissers, R. L. M., and Meijer, P. T.: Iberian plate kinematics and Alpine collision in the Pyrenees, Earth-Science Rev., 114, 61–83, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.05.001, 2012.

Vissers, R. L. M., and Meijer, P. T.: Mesozoic rotation of Iberia: Subduction in the Pyrenees? Earth-Science Rev., 110, 93–110, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2011.11.001, 2011.

1095 Wessel, P., and Smith, W. H. F.: Free software helps map and display data, Eos, Trans. Am. Geophys. Union., 72, 441–446, https://doi.org/10.1029/90EO00319, 1991.

Whitmarsh, R., and Miles, P.: Models of the development of the west Iberia rifted continental margin at 40°30′N deduced from surface and deep-tow magnetic anomalies, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 3789–3806, https://doi.org/10.1029/94JB02877, 1995.

1100 Whitmarsh, R. B., and Miles, P. R.: Models of the development of West Iberia rifted continental margin at 40 degrees 30' N deduced from surface and deep-tow magnetic anomalies, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 3789–3806, https://doi.org/10.1029/94JB02877, 1995.

Whitmarsh, R. B., and Sawyer, D. S.: The ocean/continent transition beneath the Iberia Abyssal Plain and continental-rifting to seafloor-spreading processes, Proc. Ocean Drill. Progr. 149, 713–736, 1105 https://doi.org/10.2973/odp.proc.sr.149.249.1996, 1996.

Whitmarsh, R. B., Wallace, P. J.: The rift-to-drift development of the west Iberia nonvolcanic continental margin: a summary and review of the contribution of Ocean Drilling Program Leg 173, Proc. Ocean Drill. Program, Sci. Result 173, 1–36, https://doi.org/10.2973/odp.proc.sr.173.017.2001, 2001.

1110 Whitmarsh, R. B., White, R. S., Horsefield, S. J., Sibuet, J.-C., Recq, M., and Louvel, V.: The ocean-continent boundary off the western continental margin of Iberia: Crustal structure west of Galicia Bank, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 28291, https://doi.org/10.1029/96JB02579, 1996.

Wilson, R. C. L., Manatschal, G., and Wise, S.: Rifting along non-volcanic passive margins: stratigraphic and seismic evidence from the Mesozoic successions of the Alps and western Iberia, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 187, 429–452, https://doi.org/10.1144/gsl.sp.2001.187.01.21, 2001.

1115 Wilson, R. C. L., Sawyer, D. S., Whitmarsh, R. B., Zerong, J., and Carbonell, J.: Seismic stratigraphy and tectonic history of the Iberia Abyssal Plain, Proc. Ocean Drill. Program, 149 Sci. Results 149, https://doi.org/10.2973/odp.proc.sr.149.245.1996, 1996.

Zitellini, N., Gràcia, E., Matias, L., Terrinha, P., Abreu, M. A., De Alteriis, G., Henriet, J. P., Dañobeitia, J. J., Masson, D. G., Mulder, T., Ramella, R., Somoza, L., and Diez, S.: The quest for the Africa-Eurasia plate boundary west of the 1120 Strait of Gibraltar, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 280, 13–50, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.12.005, 2009.

1125