

Interactive comment on "Deciphering tectonic, eustatic and surface controls on the 20 Ma-old Burdigalian transgression recorded in the Upper Marine Molasse in Switzerland" by Philippos Garefalakis and Fritz Schlunegger

Kenneth Eriksson (Referee)

kaeson@vt.edu

Received and published: 12 July 2019

The authors have integrated a large data base consisting of previous studies and new observations to discriminate the effects of tectonics, eustatic sea level changes and variations in sediment flux in explaining the Upper Marine Molasse in the Swiss Alps. Discriminating between these controls in understanding the stratigraphic record has long been a subject of discussion amongst stratigraphers and sedimentologists and the authors are to be complimented on their contribution to this to this ongoing debate.

C1

The paper consists of 3 main sections, Chronology, Sedimentology and Controls. The first and third sections are well argued but the sedimentology sections requires major revision including drastic shortening and reference to modern and ancient analogs in support of the conclusions of depositional environments. Such references are surprisingly lacking but are essential to presenting convincing interpretations. Also, the sedimentology sections and vice versa. In its present form, the paper contains too much sedimentological detail that detracts from the overall message of the paper. I suggest reducing the sedimentological descriptions and interpretations by at least 50% in this paper and to prepare a separate paper that focusses on the sedimentology. The parts of the sedimentological analysis that are germane to this paper the recognition of shoreline and offshore subtidal sand shoals whereas the other details are not necessary for this paper.

Please also note the supplement to this comment: https://www.solid-earth-discuss.net/se-2019-27/se-2019-27-RC2-supplement.zip

Interactive comment on Solid Earth Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2019-27, 2019.