

Interactive comment on “Passive processing of active nodal seismic data: Estimation of V_p / V_s -ratios to characterize structure and hydrology of an alpine valley infill” by Michael Behm et al.

Erika Angerer (Referee)

erika.angerer@omv.com

Received and published: 3 April 2019

I agree with the summary of Prof Bleibinhaus.

The part of the paper that needs revision is the interpretation section. To me the results seem to be somewhat 'overinterpreted'. I would prefer it if this section gets shortened. The most speculative parts should be removed completely. This concerns mainly the interpretation of the resulting V_p/V_s ratio section. I find that the interpretation lacks factual evidence. Further, in Fig 11 the velocities obtained from the processing of the

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



active and passive seismic data are depicted next to a sonic log from a nearby well. There is a very significant difference between the seismic velocities and the sonic log velocities. This needs to be addressed in more detail.

SED

Interactive comment on Solid Earth Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2019-47>, 2019.

Interactive
comment