



The abyssal giant pockmarks of the Book Bahama Escarpment: Relations between structures, fluids and carbonate physiography

4

5 Thibault Cavailhes¹, Hervé Gillet¹, Léa Guiastrennec-Faugas¹, Thierry Mulder¹, Vincent

- 6 Hanquiez¹
- 7 ¹ Université de Bordeaux (UMR EPOC OASU CNRS 5805) Allée Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire CS 50023 33615
- 8 Pessac, France
- 9 Correspondence to: Thibault Cavailhes (thibault.cavailhes@u-bordeaux.fr)
- 10 11

Abstract.

This study reports the discovery of such as a byssal giant pockmarks located at the toe of the Bahamian 12 13 carbonate platform, along the Black Bahama structurally-controlled Escarpment (BBE) that exhibits up to 4 km of 14 submarine elevation above the San Salvador Abyssal Plain (SSAP). Analysis of seismic reflection and bathymetric 15 data collected during the CARAMBAR 2 cruise revealed the presence of 29 pockmarks; their water depths range 16 from - 4584 m to -4967 m whereas their bathymetric depressions are elliptical in shape, range in diameter from 17 255 m to 1819 m, and in depth from 30 m to 185 m. The pockmarks alignment trends parallel to the BBE as well 18 as the structural lineaments of the area, exclusively between 2200 and 5000 m from its toe, and overlies a buried 19 carbonate bench in which a high-amplitude seismic anomaly has been detected. The pockmark density interestingly 20 increases where the recognized structural lineaments intersect the BBE.

21 The aforementioned observations suggest an atypical relationship between the spatial occurrence of the abyssal fluid releases, the carbonate platform tectonic structures, the buried carbonate bench that underlies the hemipelagites in the San Salvador abyssal plain and the party ography of the area. Indeet he ground water 22 23 24 entrance during low-level stands, the dissolution of evaporites by meteoric water, the platform-scale thermal 25 convection and the seawater entrance at the platform edge most probably act in concert to favor the circulation of 26 brines and therefore the corrosion within the Bahamian carbonate platform. These mechanisms are particularly 27 efficient along the structural heterogeneities (i.e. faults and fractures) which act as fluid conduits and control the 28 physiography of the area by maintaining the location of the sedimentary pathways. The dense fluids migrate along 29 the faults towards the BBE free edge and are subsequently trapped into the buried carbonate bench that laterally 30 disappears below the low-permeability deep-sea hemipelagics of the SSAP. In consequence, the trapped corrosive 31 fluids dissolve the carbonates preferentially along the tectonic structures such as the Samana Fracture Zone, at the 32 origin of the BBE curvature and triggers collapse-structures in the overlying fine-grained deposits generating giant 33 pockmarks. This structurally-directed process of dissolution is believed to have played a major role in the BBE 5-34 6 km erosional retreat and also probably explains the occurrence of plunge pools in the area.

- 35
- 36
- 37





1. Introduction

38 39

40 Localizing, describing and understanding the zones of the upper crust where the fluids enter, flow, are 41 stored and leak, are critical to discuss and quantify the geo-bio-chemical fluxes between the lithosphere, the 42 hydrosphere and the atmosphere of the Earth (e.g. Dickens, 2003). Since the 1970's, our 4D-conception of the 43 upper crust fluid circulation has been significantly improved by the description and the analysis of submarine fluid-44 escape features distribution and morphologies (e.g. King and Mac Lean, 1970; Hornbach et al., 2007). Firstly, 45 seafloor seepages have major impacts on seabed stability, implying potential hazards to offshore infrastructures as well as to coastal infrastructures by changing the failure rock mechanics that potentially leads to submarine 46 47 escarpment destabilization and possibly to tsunamis (e.g. Orange et al., 2002 and herein references). Secondly, 48 their distribution affine our knowledge of the freshwater distribution, storage and flows in coastal and marine 49 systems where the freshwater supply to cities remains problematic (Garven and Freeze, 1984; Post et al., 2013). 50 Thirdly, fluid-escape features distribution has also helped the oil and gas exploration geologists, which have used 51 their presence to detect offshore seepages related to underlying petroleum systems since the 1930's (Abrams and 52 Segall, 2001). Lastly, long-term seeping fluids through the seafloor has been identified as the primary elargy for 53 chemosynthetic benthic ecosystems that currently localize a poorly understood submarine high biomass and 54 productivity (Dando et al., 1991; Ondréas et al., 2005).

55

56 Pockmark definition

57 King and Mac Lean (1970) first defined the structures discovered off Nova Scotia as follow "Pockmarks are cone-shaped depressions... possibly formed by ascending gas لمعتر bsurface water leakage from underlying ... 58 59 sediments". These crater-like features commonly occur in fine-grained sediments, have circular to ellipsoidal 60 depression shapes and can reach up to 4000 m in diameter (Cole et al., 2000; Michaud et al. 2005). They have been observed in all ranges of water depth, from shallow-water environments (e.g. ~ -10 m, Backshall et al., 1979) 61 62 to deep-sea water (~ -3160 m in Marcon et al., 2014) and are considered as giants pockmarks in the case their 63 diameter is greater than 250 m (Foland et al., 2007; Pilcher and Argent, 2007). They have been recognized in 64 different settings such as open oceanic environment (Michaud et al., 2005), lakes (e.g. Chapron et al., 2004), active 65 margins (Salmi et al., 2011), passive margins (Ondréas et al., 2005), along a volcanic ridge (Michaud et al., 2005), 66 in clastic environments (Sultan et al., 2010), in carbonate environments (Backshall et al., 1979; Betzler et al., 2011) 67 and even proposed for explaining some observed Mars surface circular morphologies (Komatsu et al., 2011). Rare 68 fossil palaeo pockmarks have been described in outcrop studies such as the pressfrom Cantabria in Spain or the 69 southeast basin of France (e.g. Agirrezabala et al., 2013; Gay et al., 2019).

The fluid sources related to pockmarks commonly originate from shallow subsurface sediments (e.g.
Baltzer et al. 2017), dewatering and degassing of the gas-charged seafloor (Agirrezabala et al., 2013), buried salt
diapirs (e.g. Taylor et al., 2000; Gay et al., 2019), drowned carbonate banks (e.g. Betzler et al., 2011), buried
unconformities (e.g. King and MacLean, 1970), buried sedimentary channels (Picher et al., 2007; Gay et al., 2003;
Ondreas et al., 2005), buried Mass Transport Deposits (Bayon et al., 2009) and/or underlying petroleum systems
(Pilcher and Argent, 2007). The nature of the involved-fluids can be of various origins such as biogenic gas (e.g.
Cole et al., 2000; Marcon et al., 2014), thermogenic gas such as hydrates (Pilcher and Argent, 2007), hydrocarbons





seeps and/or sand injectites (Cole et al., 2000), fresh water (Chapron et al., 2004) as well as brines and sulfides(Paull and Neumann, 1987).

The fluid ascending movements giving birth to pockmarks can be favored along structural surfaces or rocky basements features in the sediments (e.g. Marcon et al., 2014) and through fault-zones of different scales (e.g. Sultan et al., 2010; Micallef et al., 2011). Fault-strike pockmarks is the relevant terminology for the linear arrangement of pockmarks along the strike of an underlying buried fault (Pilcher and Argent, 2007) whereas fault hanging-wall pockmarks are located above the footwall cut-offs of a fluid-rich unit (Maestro et al., 2002).

85 Current-day carbonate platforms are commonly subject to dissolution, corrosion, dolomitization and 86 dedolomitization by fresh and saline groundwater in the first kilometers deep (e.g. Sanford and Konikrow, 1989; 87 Hugues et al., 2007; Wierzbicki et al., 2006). The structural heterogeneities are the most efficient fluids entrance, 88 pathway, storage and seepage available spaces in carbonate rocks, allowing both episodic and persistent subsurface 89 fluid-flows through times and overprinting an anisotropy of hydraulic properties (90 permeability (Sibson, 1996). At the cm-scale, preexisting fractures and faults control the distribution, the 91 orientation and the intensity of the carbonate dissolution by the circulating brines (defect-driven kinetic reactions); 92 the channelized shape of dissolution targets fractures and zones of structural weakness, preferentially along their 93 strikes (Gouze et al., 2003; Garcia-Rios et al., 2015; Privalov et al., 2019). The structurally-controlled dissolution 94 of carbonates, in particular by brines, is poorly understood and remains to be documented at the km-scale.

95 The Bahama platform offers, within a same succession many reservoir rocks with a wide range of 96 porosities (thick shallow water platform limestones and dolomites), organic matter-rich source rocks (restricted 97 marine limestones) as well as the presence of vertical and lateral seals (thick restricted marine anhydrites and 98 restricted marine halites), all needed for petroleum systems (Walles, 1993). In addition, the Black Bahama 99 Escarpment (BBE) offers a 4 km-high submarine topography that increases the vertical interacting section between 100 groundwater and seawater, where the structural lineaments sharply dissect and controls the submarine 101 physiography. This provides an ideal-study, case to better constrain a structurally-controlled and dissolved 102 carbonate system at the >km-scale. Indeed, evidences such as significant changes in temperature around faults 103 demonstrate the direct and efficient hydrologic relations between surface and great depths (3500 m) into the 104 platform (Walles, 1993). Drilling and core data show that hydrodynamic alteration processes in carbonates near 105 major fault surfaces create buried dissolution conduits (e.g. 8 meters high, $\phi = 100\%$) at a depth of 4000 meters in 106 the Great Bahama Bank (Walles, 1993). Solution collapse brecciation of dolomite sequences, in response to the 107 dissolution of imbedded anhydrites by corrosive fluids along faults, are suspected to cause those surprising 108 reservoir properties within the platform.

In this paper, (i) we address the distribution, the morphometry and the different mechanisms at the origin of the spectacular abyssal giant pockmarks alignment located at the toe of the BBE (Fig. 1). In doing so, we use the bathymetric/seismic data of CARAMBAR 2.0 scientific cruise (December 2016-January 2017) in order to (ii) document and discuss the interactions between the km-scale structural features of the area, the carbonate platformscale circulation and the current-day physiography. To our knowledge, pockmarks have never been described on modern sea-floor below the Carbonate Compensation Depth (CCD), in the scale contexts (>3000 m of water depth), at the toe of a structurally-controlled mega-carbonate escarpment such as the BBE (> 4 km-high).





2. Geological setting

2.1 Tectonic setting and platform establishment

120 121

118

119

The Balansi is a post-rift feature mostly made of a stretched pre-Triassic continental crust (Mullins and 122 123 Lynts, 1977) where a large carbonate platform developed in the Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous in response to 124 high carbonate production rates (Freeman-Lynde et al., 1981; Carlo, 1996). The clockwise rotation of the North 125 American continental structural block caused a complex transtensional ocean-continent transition where N-S striking normal basement faults (e.g. Black Plateau) have coexisted with E-W striking pre-Jurassic basement 126 127 Atlantic Ocean fracture zones (e.g. Blake Spur FZ, Great Abaco FZ; Sheridan, 1974). Since the early Cenozoic, 128 active faulting occurred in response to the tectonic interactions between the southern Cuban orogeny and the 129 Mesozoic-aged tectonic structures (Masaferro et al., 2002; Kindler et al., 2011). Post-Oligocene reactivation of 130 vertical basement faults have been reported by Mullins and Van Buren (1981) in Walker's Cay (northern Bahamas) 131 as well as a Neogene to present-day folding in Santaren anticline (Masaferro et al., 2002) and during the 132 Quaternary-aged tectonic tilting using the Sunniland Fracture Zone along the Bahama escarpment (Kindler et al., 133 2011; Fig. 1a).

- 134
- 135

2.2 Current-day physiography and fluid escape features

136

137 The present-day morphology of the Blake Bahama Escarpment (BBE) has an erosional origin (~5-6 km of retreat, up to 4.2 km high), essentially developed during the Cretaceous (Freeman-Lynde and Ryan, 1985) and 138 139 Tertiary times (Schlager et al., 1984), nevertheless the erosion is probably still active. This erosional retreat 140 overprinted a buried "bench-shaped" morphology exhibiting a relict ~5-6 km-wide flat carbonate surface currently 141 buried by Miocene to Quaternary sediments lying in the San Salvador Abyssal plain (Schlager et al., 1984). Consecutive States I to the Blake Escarpment (10-15 km of retreat), an Oligocene unconformity (A^u) is present at the top 142 143 of the Cretaceous carbonates of the buried-bench and predates the Miocene massive sequences of turbidites and 144 the Quaternary hemipelagites (Paull and Dillon, 1980). Contouritic deposits are present at the toe of the BBE at 145 least since the Oligocene in response to abyssal currents activity Bliefnick et al., 1983; Mulder et al., 2019). The 146 aforementioned erosion at the origin of the BBE would be mainly due to the following mechanisms acting in 147 concert in non-quantified proportion at different times: (i) the circulating saline waters and brines that seep from 148 the base of the escarpment dissolve the carbonates and therefore destabilize the slopes (Walles, 1993; Henderson 149 et al., 1999; Paull and Neumann, 1987), such as described along at least 10% of the Florida carbonate Escarpment 150 base (Paull et al., 1988; Chanton et al., 1991). These are made of 94% of seawater and 6% of dense brines (Chanton 151 et al., 1991) and reach temperatures up to 115°C (Paull and Neumann, 1987). The combination of hydrogen 152 sulfides (HS⁻) and oxygenated seawater (from the platform slope) favors acids (H⁺) formation that corrode the toe 153 of the escarpment along open vertical fractures. The slope of the escarpment steepens with depth and inner platform 154 carbonate facies at its base strongly suggest that collapse dismantlement operate, in depth in response to brine 155 seeps. (ii) Locally, the tectonic activity related to movements along fracture zones implies rock jointing and slope 156 destabilization (Freeman-Lynde and Ryan, 1985).





In contrast, the following mechanisms have been interpreted as non-significant to destabilize the slopes of the BBE: (i) the dissolution by oceanic corrosive water below the CCD (Freeman-Lynde and Ryan, 1985) has been excluded by Peterson, (1966) and Paull and Neumann, (1987). (ii) The removal of sediments at the base of the slope by abyssal currents (Schlager et al., 1984; Freeman-Lynde and Ryan, 1985) has been excluded by Paull and Neumann (1987). Indeed, the authors clearly show evidences for such similar erosional structures along the Florida escarpment where the abyssal currents are clearly absent. In addition, (iii) the bioerosion has probably a very limited impact as argued in Freeman-Lynde and Ryan (1985).

164

- 165
- 2.3 Carbonate platform circulation

3. Methodology and database

166

167 Fluids circulation within the Bahamas carbonate platform has operated at different times, involving a 168 wide range of fluid volumes, natures (freshwater, seawater and brines) and mechanisms; this has therefore needed 169 efficient and long-term motors, of circulations (Melim and Masaferro, 1997). The fluids circulation in a non-170 quantified proportion is related to: (i) the thermally driven circulation causing density gradients between cold 171 ocean waters and ground-waters of the carbonate platform that are warmed by the geothermal heat flux (Kohout 172 et al., 1977; Whitaker and Smart, 1990). (ii), The lateral flow due to an across-the-bank head difference (Whitaker 173 and Smart, 1993; Whitaker et al., 1994) and the reflux of mesosaline brines along the platform (salinity of 38-45 174 (%) also cause circulation that have important diagenetic implications such as pervasive secondary dolomitization 175 thanks to the magnesium available in the seawater (Simms, 1984; Whitaker and Smart, 1990). The circulation of 176 saline ground water within carbonate platforms is so called the Kohout convection (Hugues et al., 2007). (iii) The 177 topographically-driven meteoric groundwater is probably a key factor efficiently driving fluid-circulation into the carbonate platform, in particular during low level stands (Wilson, 2005). The flooding of the banks at the last-178 deglaciation also strengthened the thermal convection and Sawart, 1988; Whitaker et al., 1994). (iv) 179 180 Jointing eases the dissolution by increasing surface area exposed to corrosive waters (Freeman-Lynde and Ryan, 181 1985) and most probably enhances the existing circulation by supplying efficient, both structur my orous and permeable km-scale fluid-pathways. 182

- 183
- 184
- 185

186 New data set was acquired during the Leg 2 of the CARAMBAR 2 cruise from December 2016 to January 187 2017 onboard the R/V l'Atalante. The survey covered the southern part of Exuma Sound, the Exuma Plateau, the Black Bahama Escarpment (BBE) and the adjacent San Salvador abyssal Plain, including 20.395 km² of multibeam 188 bathymetry and backscatter and 2149 km of HR seismic profiles Bathymetry and backscatter data have been 189 190 obtained using a Konsberg EM122/E 0 multibeam echo sounder, and the high-resolution (HR) multichannel 191 seismic system uses a 192 channels steamer and related four 35/35 cu in GI air guns (penetration 1-2.5 s two-way 192 travel *m*. Pockmarks and structural lineaments have been mapped using their physiographic expressions such 193 as depression, local changes in isobaths trends, as well as their seismic expression where 2D seismic data were 194 available (Fig. 2).

- 195
- 196 197

4. Results





198

199 4.1 Submarine current-day physiography

The studied area is located on an ocean-continent transition that has beer transforming oceancontinent passive margin (Mullins et al., 1992; Fig. 1a). The Sunniland Fracture Zone (SFZ) bounding the San Salvador Abyssal Plain (SSAP) is parallel to the oceanic pale transform faults identified on the sea floor of the North Atlantic Plate (NAP); this major transform tectonic corridor trends perpendicular to the magnetic anomalies of the oceanic crust and sharply controls the Eastern side of the ahamas submarine physiography (Fig. 1a). Only few morphologies and reliefs of the studied area are simply parallel to the N-S striking tensional basement faults recognized in Sheridan's works (1974) that control the Black Plateau area shape.

207 Based on the analysis of bathymetric maps, the Drowned Barrier Reef (DBR), the Exuma Canyon (EC), the Samana Reentrant (SR), the Exuma Valley (EV), the Exuma Plateau (EP), the South Exuma Plateau Valley 208 209 (SEPV), the Crooked Canyon (CC), the BBE, the plunge pools, and the contouritic (ep)sits have been named consistently to Mulder et al. (2019) (Fig.1b). The generally N-S trending carbonate BBE car hup to 4200 m 210 of submarine elevation above the San Salvador Abyssal Plain (SSAP) and exhibits an 18 km long drvature located 211 212 at ~74°10'W; 23.32'N. The BBE curvature is exactly located results intersection between the SFZ and the BBE. The SFZ appears to be made of different sets of tectonic features ting N110, N145 and E-W (upper right corner 213 214 of the figure 1b). The 090E structural lineaments orientation definitely control must be southern part of 215 the BBE, the northern edge of the Samana Reentrant, the EV and the SEPV. The N110 and N145 trending 216 lineaments (mean ~N130 direction) control the submarine morphologies of the southern edge of the Samana 217 Reentrant (SR), the transition between the EV and the SR, as well as the NW-SE trending BBE curvature (Fig. 218 1b). Regarding the oceanic crust structure in the SSAP, the lineaments are mostly N160 to N-S oriented and 219 controls the elongation of the distal lows where sediments are preferentially deposited (right part of the Figure 1b). 220 In addition, the N-S basement-inherited BBE trend is very similar to the Crooked Canyon orientation. The 221 contourites are present at the toe of the BBE (e.g. Fig. 2a and 2b) with the exception of the BEE Durvature area 222 (Fig. 2c).

Summarizing these observations, the physiographic sketches of figure 1b reveals that ocean-continent transition inherited structural lineaments control the BBE trends and curvatures, the submarine giant canyon orientations (Mulder et al., 2019) as well as the abyssal topographies, clearly expressed by the structurallycontrolled isobaths contours. This is consistent with the conceptual view of Sheridan (1974) arguing for the presence of structural blocks individualizing the Atlantic continental margin by N-S tensional faults and major strike-slip features accommodating block rotation.

229 4.2 Pockmark Regional distribution

230 29 abyssal pockmarks have been mapped at the toe of the BBE, in the western part of the SSAP lying at 231 ~ - 4900 m of depth (Fig.1b and 2); they occupy ~20% of the base of the carbonate escarpment, i.e. a total of 21.5 232 km / 102 km long (Fig.1b). Pockmarks depths range from - 4584 m to - 4967 m, exclusively underneath the 233 Carbonate Compensation Depth of the area (~ - 4500 m; Heath and Mullins, 1984) (Fig. 2; Table 1). They are 234 spectacularly all located between 2 km and 5 km seaward of the toe of the BBE (isobath - 4000m). The mean 235 pockmark diameters range from 255 m to 1819 m and form depressions ranging from 30 m to 185 m of depth 236 without any clear preferential direction of elongation (refer to the 4.4 section for details). The pockmarks of this 237 study (29/29) are so called giant pockmarks considering their diameters wider than 250 m, following the





238 classification of Foland et al., (1999). Pockmarks are not randomly distributed in the studied area and show a high 239 concentration nearby the BBE curvature (Fig. 1b and 2c). Pockmarks density increases at the vicinity of the BBE 240 curvature where (i) the structural lineaments of the SFZ intersect the BBE and (ii) where the contouritic deposits 241 are absent. The pockmarks have been classified in two families: the inner pockmarks (n=7; d escarpment = 2 - 3 km) 242 and the outer pockmarks (n=22; d escarpment = 3 - 5 km). In order to facilitate the description of the bathymetric map 243 (Fig.1 and 2), the pockmarks have been numbered from the south to the north (Fig. 2a to 2d); In the case of 14a, 244 14b, 17a and 17b, each couple of overlapping pockmarks have the same number. . This study therefore 245 distinguishes four areas of interest where a detailed analysis of abyssal pockmarks is proposed (Fig. 2a, b, c and 246 d).

Pockmark 1 is located in contouritic deposits and clearly appears isolated from the other pockmarks
(around 7 km southwards the pockmark 2; Fig.2a and 2b). It seems aligned with the E-W trending structural
lineament bounding the north of the EC and the southern *plunge pool* recognized in Mulder et al. (2019) (Fig. 1b).
This is also, with the pockmarks 23 to 27, one of the pockmarks of the study piercing contouritic deposits.

Pockmarks 2, 4, 6, 7 and to 8 appear to be N-S aligned and located at ~3500 m from the BBE (Fig. 2b).
In contrast, the pockmarks 3 and 5 are 1000 m to 2000 m closer to the BBE than 2, 4, 6, and 7. We so call these pockmarks, inner pockmarks (Fig. 2b). The spacing between the pockmarks is comprised between 0 m for the overlapping pockmarks (i.e. #7 and #8) and 1200 m (i.e. #2 and #4).

Pockmarks 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14a, 14b, 15, 16, 17a, 17b, 18, 19, 20 and 21 are located within the BBE curvature (~74°10'W; 23.32'N; (Fig. 2c). More than half of the studied pockmarks (15/29) are therefore located in the BBE curvature where structural lineaments cross the BBE. Pockmarks 14a, 14b, 17a and 17b are called inner pockmarks because they are located at 2709 m and 2838 m from the BBE (Table 1). In contrast, the other pockmarks form a chain more distant to the BBE (around 4000 m; Fig. 2c). Contouritic deposits seems to be absent from this area (Fig. 1b). Pockmark density is maximum in this area.

Pockmarks 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 27 are located in the northern part of the studied area where a contouritic
body has been mapped (Fig. 1b). The pockmarks water depths range from -4710 m to -4812 m whereas the inner
pockmark 26 is located at only 2211 m from the BBE, which contrasts with the others located at around 4000 m
from the BBE (Fig. 2d).

265

266 4.3 Vertical architecture and pockmarks

267

268The geological detailed interpretations of seismic profiles in figure 3 are given in figure 4: Both figures269have to be read simultaneously. The figure 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, 3e and 3f respectively correspond to the figure 4a,2704b, 4c, 4d, 4e and 4f. These figures exhibits two BBE-perpendicular-trending seismic lines (Fig. 3a, 4a and 3d,2714d) and one single BBE-parallel trending seismic line (Fig. 3b and 4b), which are all localized in Figure 1.

Sedimentary reflectors – The offshore seismic reflectors are believed to exhibit respectively from the
subsurface to the surface, the top of the oceanic crust (basement), the top Kimmeridgian sedimentary package
and the top of the Tithonian sedimentary package, consistently with the work of Schlager et al. (1984) (Fig.
3a and 4a). These interpreted sedimentary packages are westwards dipping and thickening, despite the fact
their clear seismic expressions is blurring towards the BBE. The oceanic crust is around 0.3 s TWT deep in
the eastern part of the profile whereas it is 1.2 s TWT deep in the western part of the profile. Due to this





basement structural dip and the high sedimentary supply nearby the platform, the thickness of the sedimentary
package is maximum at the toe of the BBE. The Oligocene unconformity (A^u) has also been identified due to
its high-amplitude seismic reflections as well as the recognized "downlaps" in the overlying Miocene deepsea deposits (Fig. 3a and 4a; Paull and Dillon, 1980).

282 Buried bench - A 6 km wide buried carbonate bench exhibiting a typical blind facies is clearly identifiable 283 in figures 3a and 3d and is similar to the one described for the area (Schlager et al., 1984). The top of this 284 structure is located at around 6.5 s TWT and is overlain by contouritic deposits (Fig. 3a, 4a), except in the 285 BBE curvature surrounding where it lies under a few 200 to 300 ms thick toe of slope hemipelagic cover (Fig. 286 3d, 3f, 4d and 4f). The seismic signal expression of the bench is also similar to the wider one (10-15 km) 287 recognized at the toe of the Black Escarpment (Paull and Dillon, 1980). Subvertical seismic discontinuities 288 within the bench suggest the presence of well-defined linear, antithetic and therefore "brittle-stylized" collapse 289 faults (Fig. 4c) that have interestingly a "softer" shape in the overlying contouritic body, this latter being 290 probably less lithified than the bench carbonates.

291 Bright spot - The BBE - parallel seismic line crosses twice the BBE and exhibits diffusive high amplitude 292 seismic anomalies (bright spot) between 6.4 s and 7.0 s TWT. The depth of this feature is independent of the 293 seafloor water depth or relief, therefore demonstrating that this feature originates from subsurface processes (Fig. 294 3b, 4b). The perpendicular trending seismic line exhibits a V-Shape structure (cone of deformation) which is 295 located right above the buried carbonate bench and terminates on the flank of the pockmark #1. The V-Shape 296 structure is given by antithetic normal faults with sub seismic offsets that form a network apparently 297 accommodating downwards collapse movement (Fig. 3c; 4c)The base of the V-shaped structure is located within 298 the bench (Fig. 3c, 4c), between 0.6 s and 0.9 s underneath the seafloor depression. This bucket-shaped seismic 299 bright spot shows a significant contrast between high-amplitude layered facies recognized in its upper part, the 300 chaotic facies identified at its base and the low amplitude reflectors in the surrounding sedimentary rocks (Fig. 3c, 301 4c).

302 *Contourites* – The fine-grained deep-sea hemipelagics materializes the contouritic body and are crosscut
 303 by the pockmark #1 depression (fig. 3c). This depression is bounded by at least four anthithetic curved normal
 304 faults and shows a chaotic/disorganized facies in its center. The flanks of the pockmarks, made of at least three
 305 packages of contourite deposits, show increasing sedimentary thicknesses at the vicinity of the depression (Fig.
 306 4c). At least the upper package exhibits a hyperbolized seismic facies interpreted as a potential flank destabilization
 307 (zoom on fig. 4c).

The 23th of December 2016 (20h13 - 20h23 UT), we recorded with the multibeam echosounder the
acoustic response in the water column, in the frame of the Carambar 2 cruise. There was no evidence for acoustic
anomalies (fluid plum) in the overlying water columns of the pockmark #1 as well as the 28th of December (15h34
- 15h47 UT), within the water column of the pockmark #15,

312

313 4.4 Quantitative analysis

Water depths for the studied pockmarks are comprised between -4584 m and -4967 m (Fig. 5a). The deepest pockmarks are located within the BBE curvature where contouritic deposits are absent. The shallowest pockmark is the southern one (1) that is perched on contouritic deposits and isolated (-4584 m). The inner pockmarks at the vicinity of the BBE curvature (i.e. 14a, 14b, 17a, 17b) are clearly shallower than the outer ones





318 (9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, and 21). Consistently, the inner pockmark 26 is shallower than the 24, 25 and
319 27 outer ones.

All the giant pockmarks of this study are located between 2211 m (#26) and 4648 m (#25) away from isobath "- 4000 m" expressing the toe of BBE in this study (Fig. 5b). The 7 inner pockmarks are located between 2211 m (#26) and 2894 m (#3) from the BBE whereas the outer pockmarks are located between 2943 m (#9) and 4648 m (#25). The greatest distances between the BBE and the outer pockmarks are systematically less than the BBE erosional retreat (5-6 km) proposed in Freeman-Lynde and Ryan, (1985) and Schlager et al. (1980). Pockmarks appear to be always located right above the buried carbonate bench.

Pockmark mean diameters and pockmark shortest distances to the BBE appear dependent according to
 the positive correlation of the figure 5c. Two main trends can be individualized on this graph, both including inner
 and outer pockmarks. For both relations, closer from the BBE is the pockmark, smaller it is.

929 Pockmarks elongations have been measured for outer and inner pockmarks (Fig. 5d). Most of the 930 pockmarks are slightly elongated with the exception of the inner pockmark #5, which probably originated from 931 several underlying coalescent pockmarks. The related rose diagram highlights a slight N-S preferential direction 932 of elongation (Fig. 5d). The inner pockmarks seem to be generally smaller than the outer ones.

333 The pockmark depression depths positively correlate with the pockmark mean diameters (Fig. 5e). The 334 pockmark depression depths seem to be around 1/10 of the pockmark mean diameters. This scaling law is 335 consistent with the results from Pilcher and Argent (2007).

- 336
- 337 5. Interpretations and discussion
- 338

339 The physiographic sketch in figure 1 highlights that structural lineaments have most probably controlled 340 and localized through times persistent and well-defined sedimentary pathways from the platform to the San 341 Salvador abyssal plain such as the Great Abaco Canyon (Mulder et al., 2018; 2019). The studied fluid escape 342 features (pockmarks) occupies 20% of the BBE toe and are preferentially localized at the intersection between the 343 BBE and the inherited structural lineaments of the area (Fig. 1a). These observations demonstrate that structurally-344 driven fluid circulation along the lineaments and through the carbonate platform has probably played a key role 345 during the erosional process leading to the retreat of the BBE. The deep-sea release of fluids have most likely 346 corroded and destabilized the platform toe which is supported by the direct observations that this type of carbonate 347 escarpments currently exhibits unstable submarine slopes steepening with depth (Paull and Neumann, 1987; Fig. 348 6). The BBE erosional retreat has therefore preferentially reused the inherited faults initially formed by the existing 349 transforming Continent-Ocean-Transition (COT) to reach its present shape (Fig. 6a).

In this part of the manuscript, we (i) hierarchize the importance of the different controlling parameters explaining the pockmarks locations and we discuss (ii) the fluid origin, (iii) the platform-scale circulation, (iv) the timing for pockmark activity as well as the (v) the probable triggering/enhancing mechanisms for fluid releases. The structural, physical, chemical and time modalities leading to the current-day observed abyssal physiography are also discussed.

355

356 5.1 Controlling parameters for pockmark regional distribution





358 5.1.1. The distance to the BBE (first-order)

359

360 All the discovered pockmarks are located at less than 4.7 km from the toe of the BBE, in this study 361 expressed by the isobath -4000 m (Fig. 5b). 6 km is the width of the buried carbonate bench described in Schlager 362 et al. (1984) that is consistent with the amount of 5-6 km of erosional retreat proposed in Freeman-Lynde and Ryan 363 (1985). In this study, the 2D - seismic lines analysis corroborated that the aforementioned buried carbonate bench 364 is present all over the area and in particular underneath the studied giant pockmarks (e.g. Fig. 4d). These results 365 highlight the close genetic relationship between (i) the toe of the escarpment, (ii) the pockmarks occurrence and (iii) the presence of carbonate bench. Moreover, an N-S elongated pockmark-underlying-seismic-anomaly (high 366 367 amplitude) appears to be located into the buried carbonate bench (e.g. Fig. 3b, 4b). Thanks to the high quality of 368 the acquired data, we here propose that the fluids at the origin of the studied abyssal giant pockmarks are currently 369 expressed by the recognized underlying high-amplitude seismic anomalies (Fig. 3c), and originate from the buried 370 carbonate bench.

371

372 5.1.2 The regional faults (second-order)

373

374 The highest density of pockmarks has been identified where the BBE is structurally controlled by the 375 Sunniland Fracture Zone (SFZ; Kindler et al., 2011), leading to the BBE curvature (23°30'0''N in figure 1b, 376 pockmarks #9 to #21 in figure 5a). This fact implies a causal connection between pockmarks location and structural 377 lineaments in which the focused fluid migration is much more effective than non-focused flow through matrix 378 porosities of the carbonate sedimentary column (Fig. 1; e.g. Abrams, 1992). The permeability of fracture corridors 379 in carbonates, so called structural porosity, is commonly higher than the matrix permeability (e.g. Rotevatn and 380 Bastesen, 2014); This is coherent with the preferential pathways used by the fluids to pop up of the buried bench 381 (Fig. 6b). Strike-slip faults are more efficient to drain fluids along a vertical direction (Cavailhes et al., 2013) that 382 is consistent with the SFZ inherited architecture which is considered as an inherited strike-slip tectonic structure 383 (transform faults) related to the Atlantic ocean opening. In addition, Privalov et al. (2019) showed that, at the plug 384 scale, dissolution and corrosion in carbonates are both eased and preferentially localized along fractures, along 385 which cavities more easily form and grow. These structural heterogeneities are here expressed at the big scale by 386 the structural lineaments that control the dissolution distribution at the platform scale.

The studied pockmarks show at least three morphometric similarities (i.e. diameter, water depth (- 4485 *m;* -4058 *m*) and presence along a structural lineament) with the *plunge pools* succinctly described in Mulder et al. (2018) (Fig. 1). These latter only differ in terms of (i) location in the geological system i.e., located into the platform incising canyon and (ii) the depression depth (> 300 m). Unfortunately, we did not collect 2D seismiclines crossing the *plunge pools*. However, a partial explanation for *plunge pools* static emplacement into the canyon (through times) could be the presence of an underlying cavity (doline-like feature) related to an underlying structurally-controlled preferential dissolution/corrosion along a preexisting tectonic structure.

394 5.1.3 Contourites, oceanic currents and buried sedimentary bodies (third-order)

Pockmarks can be present whether contouritic deposits are present or absent, therefore demonstrating that
abyssal contouritic deposits did not inhibit the fluid-escape piercing at the toe of the BBE. In contrast, in the areas
where the contouritic deposits are absent (e.g. #9 to #21, Fig. 2c), the pockmarks number is higher than in the areas





398 where the contourites are present (e.g. #1, Fig. 2a). In addition, the figure 4c shows that the pockmark #1 growth 399 and probable related fluid-release both occur during a multi-stages building of contourite bodies. These 400 observations would suggest that the contourites establishment (that is synchronous with the pockmark formation) 401 has an impact on the pockmarks field development in controlling the numbers of pockmarks in a given area. This 402 is consistent with the literature in which contourites are commonly considered as a seal for fluid escape structures 403 (Sun et al., 2010). The studied pockmarks are elliptical in shape (Fig. 4) without any clear relation with the 404 southwards stream bottom direction of the Deep Western Boundary Current (Meinen et al., 2013). This means that 405 based on our data, abyssal currents did not modify the studied pockmarks geometric shapes (Andersen et al., 2008; 406 Michel et al., 2017). Post-pockmark contourites may also hide some underlying buried pockmarks, not identified 407 on neither 2D seismic lines nor on bathymetric map. Based on our 2D seismic analysis, no underlying buried 408 sedimentary channels have been recognized underneath the abyssal mega-pockmarks of this study. This means 409 that there is no additional buried sedimentary body at the origin of the storage and release of fluids with the 410 exception of the carbonate bench.

411

412 5.2 Fluid origin and platform-scale circulation

413 5.2.1 Relation between the source depth and the pockmark diameter

414 The inner pockmarks mean diameters are smaller than the outer pockmarks ones (Fig. 5c). This 415 observation suggests a particular geometric relation between the pockmark diameters and their distances to the 416 BBE. Indeed, shallower the underlying source is located (overpressure location), smaller should be the pockmark 417 diameter because the collapse structure is bounded by normal faults (Fig. 6b). In consequence, we propose that the 418 top of the buried bench, trapping the fluids, exhibits its own eastwards dipping slope, probably acquired during 419 the Oligocene erosion phase (Paull and Dillon, 1980). Assuming a similar pockmark collapse faults dips for all 420 cases (either 60° , 70° or 80° in the figure 6d) and using the theorem of Pythagoras, we propose to quantitatively 421 estimate the depth of the overpressure location for the inner pockmarks. The base of the seismic anomaly where 422 the pockmark 1 roots is located at ~0.9 s below the seafloor i.e. 882 m - 1035 m in depth, assuming Vp velocities 423 in the range of 1.96-2.30 km/s (Hollister et al., 1972a; Hollister et al., 1972b). These estimations seem consistent 424 with a depth of ~ 1 km inferred from the 60° dipping normal faults (Anderson, 1951; Fig. 6c) commonly bounding 425 collapsing structures such as sketched in usual pockmark models (Gay et al., 2017).

426

427 5.2.2 Fluid circulation at the Platform scale

428

429 The purpose of this section is to qualitatively describe and synthetize the architecture and the mechanisms 430 of the fluid circulation in the Carbonate Bahama Platform (Fig. 7a). Surface seawater and meteoric waters both 431 enter into faults and fracture corridors of the Bahamas platform hosting carbonates (limestone, dolomite) and 432 evaporites (anhydrites, halites) (Fig. 7d). They form a ~1 km-deep meteoric aquifer in which part of the storage is 433 matrix-located whereas freshwater supplies are most probably structurally guided; indeed, fresh water has been 434 for instance recognized at ~3600 m of depth into the Great Bahama Bank (Walles, 1993; Fig.7a). Anhydrites such 435 as the Cedar Key formation (Chanton et al., 1991) can be located at ~1 km depth into the platform (Hugues et al., 436 2007) and have a transitory barrier effect on the downwards fluid flow, efficiently compartmentalizing most of the 437 fresh water aquifer circulation into the first kilometer. A fraction of this water dissolves these anhydrites,





438 increasing sulfates content in waters as well as water densities; this consequently enhances the water downwards 439 movement into the Bahamas platform. These brines-rich waters (SO42-) are subsequently heated by thermal flux 440 (e.g. 113°C at 4.6 km of depth; Chanton et al., 1991) generating formation of brines rich in sulfides (S2-) and 441 containing thermogenic methane (CH₄)(Fig.7b). These fluids migrate horizontally eastwards to the free edge of 442 the Bahamian platform (~10.6 cm an⁻¹; Henderson et al.1999), along the relatively high-permeability faults and 443 fractures systems (Fig. 7a; e.g., Sunniland Fracture Zone). In the BBE mixed water zone, the cold and oxygenated seawater microbially reduces sulfates ($SO_4^{2^2}$) to sulfides (S^{2^2}). Seawater and brines both keep on flowing through 444 445 the structural heterogeneities, towards the bench, due their abnormal high density. They are subsequently "trapped" 446 into the bench where the host-rock platform carbonates facies laterally and vertically changes to low-permeability 447 hemipelagic deposits. This phenomenon results in an identified area of mixed chemistry (Fig. 7b) and relative fluid 448 overpressure where the dissolution is probably over-developed (Corbella et al., 2004). The fluids are probably 449 episodically expelled through the top of the bench - which is pre-fractured by the structural lineaments whereas its 450 pressure occasionally exceeds the pressure of the overlying hemipelagics top-seal capillarity. A "doline-like-451 feature" takes place at the top of the carbonate bench (Oligocene A^u-unconformity), implying an overlying 452 pockmark as a surface expression of fluid-escapes in fine-grained sediments (Fig. 7a). These energy-rich fluids 453 may fuel chemosynthetic food chains such as shown in Chanton et al. (1991); however, we do not have any data 454 for discussing such food chains in the study area. Fluid-escape features that have been recognized by Paull and 455 Neumann (1987) are decametric in scale whereas the mega-pockmarks of this study are 10 to 100 times larger. 456 This is consistent with the model of Gay et al. (2019) showing sporadic decametric seeps within a giant pockmark 457 depression that act at different times as a function of available pathways in the sedimentary column and imply 458 fluids lateral migration.

459

460 5.3 Timing of activity, triggering and enhancing mechanisms

461 During the CARAMBAR 2 survey, there was no evidence for acoustic anomalies in the overlying-462 pockmark water columns (#1 and #15). This suggests that no fluid was presently leaking from the pockmarks #1 463 and #15. However, the seismic anomaly recognized into the buried carbonate bench suggests that the fluids were 464 present, at a non-evaluated pressure (Fig. 3c; 4c). As stated in the introduction, the following mechanisms probably 465 play a role in a non-quantified proportion, at different times-scales enumerated as follows:

466 467

468

469

(i) At the geologic time-scale, Kohout convection drives the platform fluid circulation (Morrow, 1998). Modelling suggests that the inflow of seawater within carbonate platforms would be similar without the heat flux; however, this latter tends to reduce the asymmetry of circulation (Hughes et al., 2007).

470 (ii) At the Milankovich timescales, topography-driven flow can also enhance the platform
471 circulation (Garven, 1995; Swennen et al., 2013) by the input of additional fresh water into the
472 platform during the low-level stands (Hughes et al., 2007). Indeed, the large emerged Bahamas
473 Platform (until/to -6000 years, Fauquembergue et al., 2018) probably supplied more fresh water
474 in the underlying system than during the high sea level periods. This enhances the underlying
475 dissolution by increasing chemical disequilibrium into the platform, implying significant source
476 ratio changes in the underlying aquifer between brines, fresh water and meteoric waters. In





477		addition, episodic fluid releases can also be directly related to the decrease of the overlying water
478		column height above the pockmark during low level stands (Riboulot et al., 2014).
479	(iii)	The erosion of the overlying contouritic body by an abyssal current, such as the WBUC (Meinen
480		et al., 2013), can facilitate pockmark formation or activity. Indeed, the removal of this overlying
481		material would change the pressure state of the underlying system (depressurization), therefore
482		triggering a fluid release.
483	(iv)	Earthquakes and volcanic eruptions occurrences have been correlated to tides (Kasahara, 2002)
484		whereas their highest severity occurs when the tidal forces are the strongest (Glaser, 2004).
485		Submarine earthquakes occurrence along deep mid-oceanic ridges (> - 2000 m) has been related
486		to low tides when ocean loading is at a minimum (Tolstoy et al., 2002). The logic would be that
487		fluids escaping from a pockmark-underlying chamber mechanically respond in the same way
488		during low tides and associated depressurization. This has been corroborated for shallow water
489		pockmarks affecting bioclastic carbonates where a relationship between seeps, tidal cycles and
490		possibly atmospheric cyclonic lows is proposed (Rollet et al., 2006). The total water pressure
491		generates a difference in interstitial pore pressure, which allows the expulsion of gas. However,
492		for abyssal pockmarks, this pressure change could be negligible comparing to a pressure of 4500
493		m – high water column.
494	(v)	Earthquake-induced circulation such as seismic pumping may have played a role in the system
495		(Sibson, 1996). Earthquakes could also destabilize the hemipelagics top sealing properties by
496		slope failures and submarine landslides (Masafero et al., 2011).
497	(vi)	Quaternary tectonic tilting using the Sunniland Fracture Zone along the Bahama Escarpment
498		(Kindler et al., 2011) may have reactivated faults and displaced spill points of the buried fluid
499		traps, generating leaking of fluids.
500		
501	6. Conc	lusions
502	This wo	with details and quantifies the distribution, the morphology and the vertical architecture of 29 newly
503	discovered abys	sal giant pockmarks located at the toe of the BBE: Their origins and the mechanisms leading to
504	their developme	nt are discussed consistently to the tectonic setting and the physiography of the area. The results
505	and interpretatio	ns can be summarized as follows:
506	- Poo	skmarks are all giant (>250 m) abyssal (> 3000 m of water depth) and atypically occurs in
507	car	bonate settings below the CCD
508	- Poo	where settings constrained along the BBE, at less than 5 km from its toe (- 4000 m) and right above a
509	bur	ied carbonate bench related to the BBE erosional retreat
510	- Po	ckmarks are preferentially located where the ocean-continent transition inherited structural
511	line	examents controlling the Black Bahama Escarpment morphology intersect the > 4 km-high
512	sub	marine carbonate escarnment (BBE)
513	- Th	BBE erosional retreat has preferentially reused the inherited faults initially formed during the
514	act	ivity of the transforming ocean-continent transition (such as the Sunniland Fracture zone). Its
515	nre	sent shape was mostly acquired by dissolution of carbonates by brines along tectonic structures
509 510 511 512 513 514 515	bur - Po line sub - Th act: pre	ied carbonate bench related to the BBE erosional retreat. ckmarks are preferentially located where the ocean-continent transition inherited structural aments controlling the Black Bahama Escarpment morphology intersect the > 4 km-high marine carbonate escarpment (BBE). the BBE erosional retreat has preferentially reused the inherited faults, initially formed during the ivity of the transforming ocean-continent transition (such as the Sunniland Fracture zone). Its sent shape was mostly acquired by dissolution of carbonates by brines along tectonic structures.





516	- Fluids most probably circulate along the structural heterogeneities of the Bahamian platform, in
517	response to the Kohout convection; Brine (sulfide-rich) formation is related to the dissolution of deep
518	evaporite layers located ~1 km below the seafloor as well as geothermal heating. After oxidation
519	nearby the BBE, the sulfites partially transform into sulphates. This oxidation reaction is indeed
520	favoured by the inflow of oxygenized water through the fractured escarpment. The dense fluids are
521	subsequently laterally trapped into the 5 km wide buried carbonate bench, surrounded by low-
522	permeability hemipelagics, in which the dissolution is over-developed along fractures. The
523	dissolution of the buried bench carbonates implies overlying collapse structures in the fine-grained
524	deposits. In addition, when the critical pressure of the seal of the bench is exceeded, the fluids could
525	be expelled via the bench top and form fluid-escape-features locally piercing fine-grained
526	contourites.
527	
528	7. Acknowledgements
529	We thank the captain and crew of the R/V L'Atalante for the quality of the acquired data, and Ifremer-
530	Genavir (France) for cruise organization and technical support. We thank Mrs. XXXX and Mr. XXXX for their
531	constructive reviews on this manuscript. We acknowledge IHS for granting University of Bordeaux with academic
532	Kingdom® software licences.
533	
534	8. References
535	Abrams, M.A. and Segall, M.P., Best practices for detecting, identifying and characterizing near-surface
536	migration of hydrocarbons within marine sediments: Offshore Technology Conference in Houston, Texas, 2001.
537	doi.org/10.4043/13039-MS.
538	
539	Agirrezabala, L. M., S. Kiel, M. Blumenberg, N. Schäfer, and J. Reitner, Outcrop analogues of pockmarks
540	and associated methane-seep carbonates: A case study from Lower Cretaceous (Albian) of the Basque-Cantabrian
541	Basin, western Pyrenees: Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 390, 94-115, 2013. doi:
542	10.1016/j.palaeo.2012.11.020.
543	
544	Andersen, K. H., T. Lunne, T. J. Kvalstad, and C. F. Forsberg, Deepwater geotechnical engineering, Proc.
545	XXIV National Conference of the Mexican Society of Soil Mechanics, Aguascalientes, 1-57, 2008.
546	
547	Anderson, E.M., The dynamics of faulting and dyke formation with applications to Britain. Edimburgh.,
548	Oliver and Boyd, 206p, 1951.
549	
550	Backshall, D.G., Barnett, J., Davies, P.J., Duncan, D.C. Harvey, N., Hopley, D., Isdale, P.J., Jennings,
551	J.N. and Moss. R., Drowned dolines - the blue holes of the Pompey Reefs, Great Barrier Reef: Journal of
552	Australian Geology & Geophysics, 4, pp. 99-109, 1979.
553	
554	Bayon, G., Loncke, L., Dupré, S., Caprais, J.C., Ducassou, E., Duperron, S., Etoubleau, J., Foucher, J.P.,
555	Fouquet, Y., Gontharet, S., Henderson, G.M., Huguen, C., Klaucke, I., Mascle, J., Migeon, S., Olu-Le Roy, K.,





556	Ondréas, H., Pierre, C., Sibuet, M., Stadnitskaia, A., and Woodside, J., Multi-disciplinary investigation of fluid
557	seepage on an unstable margin: The case of the Central Nile deep sea fan: Marine Geology, 261, pp. 92-104, 2009.
558	
559	Betzler, C., Lindhorst, S., Hubscher, C., Ludmann, T., Furstenau, J., and Reijmer, J., Giant pockmarks in
560	a carbonate platform (Maldives, Indian Ocean): Marine Geology, 289, pp.1-16, 2011.
561	doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2011.09.004
562	
563	Bleifnick, D.M., Robertson, A.H.F., and Sheridan, R.E., Deposition and provenance of Miocene
564	intraclastic chalks, Blake-Bahama Basin, western North Atlantic, in Initial reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project,
565	Volume 44: Washington, D.C., U.S. Government Printing Office, p. 727-748, 1983.
566	
567	Carlo, D-L., Characterization of rock-magnetic signature during subaerial exposure in Platform
568	Carbonates from new providence, Bahamas: PhD Thesis, Ohio state University, 66 p., 1996.
569	
570	Cavailhes T., Sizun J.P., Labaume P., Chauvet A., Buatier B., Soliva R., Mezri L., Charpentier D., Leclere
571	H., Trave A., Gout C., Influence of fault rock foliation on fault zone permeability: The case of deeply buried
572	arkosic sandstones (Grès d'Annot, southeastern France), AAPG Bulletin, 97, 1521-1543, 2013. doi:
573	10.1306/03071312127.
574	
575	Chanton, J.P., Martens, C.S., and Paull, C.K., Control of pore-water chemistry at the base of the Florida
576	escarpment by processes within the platform: Nature, 349, pp. 229-231, 1991.
577	
578	Chapron, E., Van Rensbergen, P., De Batist, M., Beck, C., and Henriet, J.P., Fluid-escape features as a
579	precursor of a large sublacustrine sediment slide in Lake Le Bourget, NW Alps, France: Terra Nova, 16, pp. 305-
580	311, 2004. Doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3121.2004.00566.x
581	
582	Cole, D., Stewart, S.A. and Cartwright J.A., Giant irregular pockmark craters in the Palaeogene of the
583	Outer Moray Firth Basin, UK North Sea: Marine and Petroleum Geology, 17 pp. 563-577, 2000.
584	
585	Corbella, M., Ayora, C. Cardellach, E., Hydrothermal mixing, carbonate dissolution and sulfide
586	precipitation in Mississipi valley-type deposits: Mineralium Deposita, 39, p. 344-357, 2004. doi.10.1007/s00126-
587	004-0412-5
588	
589	Dando, P.R., Austen, M.C., Burke Jr., R.A., Kendall, M.A., Kennicutt II, M.C., Judd, A.G., Moore, D.C.,
590	O'Hara, S.C.M., Schmaljohann, R. and Southward, A.J., Ecology of a North Sea pockmark with an active methane
591	seep: Marine Ecology Progress Series, 70, pp. 49-63, 1991.
592	
593	Dawns, J.M., and Swart, P.K., Textural and geochemical alternations in late Cenozoic Bahamian
594	dolomites: Sedimentology, 35, pp.385-403, 1988.
595	





596	Dickens, G. R., Rethinking the global carbon cycle with a large, dynamic and microbially mediated gas
597	hydrate capacitor: Earth Planetary Science Letters, 213, pp. 169-183, 2003.
598	
599	Fauquembergue, K., Ducassou, E., Mulder, T., Hanquiez, V., Perello, M-C, Poli, E., Borgomano, J.,
600	Genesis and growth of a carbonate Holocene wedge on the northern Little Bahama Bank: Marine and Petroleum
601	Geology, 96, pp. 602-614, 2018. doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2018.05.013
602	
603	Foland, S., Maher, N., and Yun, J., Pockmarks along the California continental margin; implications for
604	fluid-flow, AAPG Bulletin, 83, 687-688, 1999.
605	
606	Freeman-Lynde R.P., Cita, M.B., Jadoul, F., Miller, E.L., and Ryan, W. B. F. Marine geology of the
607	Bahama Escarpment: Marine Geology, 44, p. 119-156, 1981.
608	
609	Freeman-Lynde R.P., and Ryan W.B.F., Erosional modification of Bahama Escarpment: Geological
610	Society of America Bulletin, 96, pp.481-494, 1985.
611	
612	Garcia-Rios, M., Luquot, L., Soler, J.M., and Cama, J., Influence of the flow rate on dissolution and
613	precipitation features during percolation of CO2-rich sulfate solutions through fractured limestone samples:
614	Chemical Geology: 414, pp. 95–108, 2015.
615	
616	Garven, Continental-scale groundwater flow and geological processes: Annual Review Earth Planetary
617	Sciences, 23, pp. 89-117, 1995.
618	
619	Garven, H., and Freeze, R.A., Theorical analysis of the role of groundwater flow in the genesis of
620	stratabound ore deposits; 2, Quantitative results: American Journal of science, 284, 10, pp.1125-1174, 1994. doi:
621	10.2475/ajs.284.10.1125
622	
623	Gay A., Lopez M., Cochonat P., Sultan N., Cauquil E. and Brigaud F., Sinuous pockmark belt as indicator
624	of a shallow buried turbiditic channel on the lower slope of the Congo Basin, West African Margin. In: Van
625	Rensbergen P, Hillis RR, Maltman AJ, Morley CK, eds. Subsurface Sediment Mobilization. Special Publications.
626	London: Geological Society of London, pp. 173-189, 2003.
627	
628	Gay, A., Cavailhes, T., Grauls, D., Marsset, B., Marsset, T., Repeated fluid-expulsions during events of
629	rapid sea-level rise in the Gulf of Lion, western Mediterranean Sea: Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France,
630	188, 24, 2017. doi: 10.1051/bsgf/2017190.
631	
632	Gay, A., Lopez, M., Potdevin, J-L, Vidal, V., Varas, G., Favier, A., and Tribovillard, N., 3D morphology
633	and timing of the giant fossil pockmark of Beauvoisin, SE Basin of France: Journal of the Geological Society, 176,
634	pp. 61-77, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1144/jgs2018-064.





635	Glaser, J.H., Breathing of the Seafloor: Tidal correlations of seismicity at Axial Volcano: Comment:
636	COMMENT: Geology, 31, 1, e3, 2004. doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613-31.1.e3
637	Gouze, P., Noiriel, C., Bruderer, C., Loggia, D. and Leprovost, R., X-ray tomography characterization
638	of fracture surfaces during dissolution: Geophysical Research Letter, 2003, 30, 5, pp. 1267, 2003. doi:
639	10.1029/2002GL016755
640	
641	Heath K.C., and Mullins H.T., Open-ocean, off-bank transport of fine-grained carbonate sediments in the
642	northern Bahamas. In: Fine-Grained Sediments: Deep- Water Processes and Facies (Ed. by D. A. V. Stow and D.
643	J. W. Piper). Spec. Publ. Geol. Soc. Lond. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, 15, pp.199-208, 1984.
644	doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1984.015.01.13
645	
646	Henderson, G. M., Slowey, N., C., and Haddad, G., A., Fluid flow through carbonate platforms:
647	constraints from ²³⁴ U/ ²³⁸ U and Cl ⁻ in Bahamas pore-waters: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 169, pp.99-111,
648	1999.
649	
650	Hollister, C.D., Ewing, J.I., Habib, D., Hathaway, J.C., Lancelot, Y., Luterbacher, H., Paulus, F.J., Poag,
651	C.W., Wilcoxon, J.A., and Worstell, P.: Deep Sea Drilling Program Volume XI, Shipboard Site Reports, Site 99,
652	Cat Gap, 1972a. doi:10.2973/dsdp.proc.11.102.1972
653	
654	Hollister, C.D., Ewing, J.I., Habib, D., Hathaway, J.C., Lancelot, Y., Luterbacher, H., Paulus, F.J., Poag,
655	C.W., Wilcoxon, J.A., and Worstell, P.: Deep Sea Drilling Program Volume XI, Shipboard Site Reports, Site 100,
656	Cat Gap, 1972b. doi:10.2973/dsdp.proc.11.103.1972
657	
658	Hornbach, M. J., C. Ruppel, and Van Dover C. L., Three-dimensional structure of fluid conduits
659	sustaining an active deep marine cold seep, Geophysical Research Letters, 34, 5, L05601,
660	doi:10.1029/2006GL028859, 2007.
661	
662	Hugues, J.D., Vacher, H.L. and Sanford W.E., Three-dimensional flow in the Florida platform:
663	Theoritical analysis of Kohout convection at its type locality: The geological Society of America, 35, 7, pp. 663-
664	666, 2007. Doi: 10.1130/G23374A.1.
665	
666	Kasahara J., Tides, earthquakes, and volcanoes: Science, 297, pp. 348-349, 2002.
667	
668	Kindler, P., Godefored, F., Chiarada, M., Ehlert, C., Eisenhauer, A., Frank, M., Hasler, C-A., and
669	Samankassou, E., Discovery of Miocene to early Pleistocene deposits on Mayaguana, Bahamas: Evidence for
670	recent active tectonism on the North American Margin: Geology, 39, pp.523-526, 2011.
671	
672	King, L. H., and MacLean, B., Pockmarks on the Scotian Shelf: Bulletin of the Geological Society of
673	America, 81, pp. 3141-3148, 1970.
674	





675	Kohout, F.A., Henry, H.R., and Banks, J.E., Hydrogeology related to the geothermal conditions of the
676	Floridan Plateau: Florida Bureau of Geology Special Publication 21, p. 1-39, 1977.
677	
678	Komatsu, G., Ori, G.G. Cardinale, M., Dohm, M.J., Baker, V.R., Vaz, D.A., Ishimaru, R., Namiki, N. and
679	Matsui, T., Roles of methane and carbon dioxide in geological processes on Mars: Planetary and Space Science,
680	59, pp. 169-181, 2011.
681	Maestro, A., Barnolas, A., Somoza, A., Lowrie, A., and Lawton, T., Geometry and structure associated
682	to gas-charged sediments and recent growth faults in the Ebro Delta (Spain): Marine Geology, 186, pp.351-368,
683	2002.
684	Marcon, Y., Ondréas, H., Sahling, H., Bohrmann, G., and Olu, K., Fluid-flow regimes and growth of a
685	giant pockmark: Geology, 42, 1, pp.63-66, 2014. doi:10.1130/G34801.1
686	
687	Masafero, J.L., Bulnes, M., Poblet, J. and Eberli, G.P., Episodic folding inferred from syntectonic
688	carbonate sedimentation: the Santaren anticline, Bahamas foreland: Sedimentary Geology, 146, pp. 11-24, 2002.
689	
690	Meinen, C., Johns, W., Garzoli, S.L., van Sebille, E., Rayner, D., Kanzow, T., Baringer, O., Variability
691	of the Deep Western Boundary Current at 26.5°N during 2004-2009: Deep-Sea Research II, 85, p.154-168, 2013.
692	doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.07.036
693	
694	Melim, L., and Masaferro, J-L., Subsurface geology of the Bahamas banks. In Vacher, H.L., Quinn,
695	T.M. (Eds), Geology and Hydrogeology of Carbonate Islands. Elsevier Science B.V, Amsterdam, Netherlands,
696	pp.161-182, 1997.
697	Micallef, A., Bernadt, C., and Debono, G., Fluid flow systems of the Malta Plateau, Central
698	Mediterranean Sea: Marine geology, 284, 1-4, pp. 74-85, 2011.
699	Michaud, F., Chabert, A., Collot, J-Y., Sallarès, V., Flueh, E.R., Charvis, P., Graindorge, D., Gustcher,
700	M-A. and Billas, J., Fields of multi-kilometer scale sub-scircular depressions in the Carbegie Ridge sedimentary
701	blanket: Effect of underwater carbonate dissolution: Marine Geology, 216, pp. 205-219, 2005.
702	
703	Michel, G., Dupré, S., Baltzer, A., Ehrhold, A., Imbert, P., Pitel, M., Loubrieu, B., Scalabrin, C., Lazure,
704	P., Marié, L., Geldof. And Deville, E., Pockmarks on the South Aquitaine Margin continental slope: The seabed
705	expression of past fluid circulation and former bottom currents: Comptes Rendus Geoscience, 349, pp. 391-401,
706	2017.
707	
708	Morrow, D.W., Regional subsurface dolomitization: models and constraints. Geoscience Canada 25, pp.
709	57–70, 1998.
710	
711	Mulder T., Gillet H., Hanquiez V., Ducassou E., Fauquembergue K., Principaud M., Conesa G., Le Goff
712	J., Ragusa J., Bashah S., Bujan S., Reijmer J.J.G., Cavailhes T., Droxler A.W., Blank D.G., Guiastrennec-Faugas
713	L., Fabregas N., Recouvreur A., Seibert C. Carbonate slope morphology revealing a giant submarine canyon (Little
714	Bahama Bank, Bahamas): Geology, 46, 31-34, 2018, doi: 10.1130/G39527.1.





715	
716	Mulder T., Gillet H., Hanquiez V., Reijmer J.J.G., Droxler A.W., Recouvreur A., Fabregas N., Cavailhes
717	T., Fauquembergue K., Blank D.G., Guiastrennec-Faugas L., Seibert C., Bashah S., Bujan S., Ducassou E.,
718	Principaud M., Conesa G., Le Goff J., Ragusa J., Busson J., and Borgomano J., Into the deep: A coarse-grained
719	carbonate turbidite valley and canyon in ultra-deep carbonate setting, Marine Geology, 407, pp. 316-333, 2019.
720	doi: 10.1016/j.margeo.2018.11.003.
721	Mullins, H., Breen, N., Dolan, J., Wellner, W., Petruccione, J.L., Gaylord, M., Andersen, B., Melillo,
722	A.J., Jurgens, A.D., Orange, D., Carbonate platforms along the southeast Bahamas-Hispaniola collision zone:
723	Marine Geology, 105, 169-209, 1992.
724	
725	Mullins, H. T., and Lynts, G.W., Origin of the northwestern Bahama Platform: Review and
726	Reinterpretation: GSA Bulletin, 88, pp. 1447-1461, 1977. doi.org/10.1130/0016-
727	7606(1977)88<1447:OOTNBP>2.0.CO;2
728	
729	Ondréas, H., Fouquet, K.O, Charlou, J.L., Gay, A., Dennielou, B., Donval, J.P., Fifis, A., Nadalig, T.,
730	Cochonat, P., Cauquil, E., Bourillet, J.F., Le Moigne, M. and Sibuet, M., ROV study of a giant pockmark on the
731	Gabon continental margin: Geo-Marine letters, 25, 5, pp. 281-292, 2005.
732	
733	Orange, D.L., Yun, J., Maher, N, Barry, J, and Greene G., Tracking California seafloor seeps with
734	bathymetry, backscatter and ROVs: Continental Shelf Research, 22(16), pp. 2273–2290, 2002.
735	
736	Paull C.K., and Dillon, W.P., Erosional origin of the Blake Escarpment: An alternative hypothesis:
737	Geology, 8, pp. 538-542, 1980.
738	
739	Paull C., and Neumann, A.C., Continental margin brine seeps: Their geological consequences: Geology,
740	15, pp. 545-548, 1987.
741	
742	Paull, C.K., Spiess, F.N., Curray, J.R., Twitchell, D., Morphology of Florida Escarpment chemosynthetic
743	brine seep community sites: deep-tow, seabeam, and GLORIA surveys: Conference: Annual meeting of the
744	American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Houston, TX, USA, 20-23 March, 72, 2, 1988
745	
746	Peterson, M.N. A., Calcite: Rates of dissolution in a vertical profile in the central Pacific: Science, 154,
747	pp. 1542-1544, 1966.
748	
749	Pilcher, R., and Argent, J., Mega-pockmarks and linear pockmark trains on the West African continental
750	margin: Marine Geology, 244, pp. 15-32, 2007. doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2007.05.002
751	
752	





753	Privalov, V., Randi, A., Sterpenich, J., Pironon, J., and Morlot, C., Structural control of a dissolution
754	network in a limestone reservoir forced by radial injection of CO2 saturated solution; Experimental results coupled
755	with X-Ray computed tomography: Geosciences, 9, 1, 33, 2019.
756	
757	Post, V. E.A, Groen, J., Kooi, H., Person, M., Ge, S. and Edmunds, W.M., Offshore fresh groundwater
758	reserves as a global phenomenon: Nature, 504, p.p. 71-78, 2013. doi:10.1038/nature12858.
759	
760	Rollet, N.G.A.L., Kennard, J.M., O'Brien, P.E., Jones, A.T., and Sexton, M., Characterization and
761	correlation of active hydrocarbon seepage using geophysical data sets: An example from the tropical, carbonate
762	Yampi Shelf, Northwest Australia, Marine Petroleum Geology, 23, pp.145-164, 2006.
763	
764	Rotevatn, A., and Bastesen, E., Fault linkage and damage zone architecture in tight carbonate rocks in the
765	Suez Rift (Egypt): Implications for permeability structure along segmented normal faults, in Spence, G.H., et al.,
766	eds., Advances in the Study of Fractured Reservoirs: Geological Society of London Special Publication, 374, pp.
767	79–95, 2014. doi: 10 .1144 /SP374 .1
768	
769	Salmi, M.S., Johnson, H.P., Leifer, I. and Keister, J.E., Behavior of methane seep bubbles over a
770	pockmark on the Cascadia continental margin: Geosphere, 7, 6, pp. 1273-1283, 2011. doi: 10.1130/GES00648.1
771	
772	Sanford, W., and Konikow, L., Porosity development in coastal carbonate aquifers: Geology, 17, pp. 249-
773	252; 1989.
774	
775	Schlager, W., Austin. J. A., Jr., Corso, W., McNulty, C. L, Fluegel, E., Renz, O., and Steinmetz, J. C.,
776	Early Cretaceous platform re-entrant and escarpment erosion in the Bahamas: Geology, 12, pp. 147-150, 1984.
777	
778	Shaw, J., Courtney, R.C., Currie, J.R., Marine Geology of Saint George's Bay, Newfoundland, as
779	interpreted from multibeam bathymetry and back-scatter data, Geo-Marine Letters, 17, pp. 188-194, 1997.
780	
781	Sheridan, R.E., Conceptual Model for the Block-fault origin of the North American Atlantic Continental
782	Margin Geoscyncline: Geology, 2, 9, pp.465-468, 1974. doi.org/10.1130/0091-
783	7613(1974)2<465:CMFTBO>2.0.CO;2
784	
785	Sibson, R., Structural permeability of fluid-driven fault-fracture meshes: Journal of structural Geology,
786	18, 8, pp.1031-1042, 1996. doi: 10.1016/0191-8141(96)00032-6.
787	
788	Sultan, N., Marsset, B., Ker, S., Marsset, T., Voisset, M., Vernant, A.M., Bayon, G., Cauquil, E., Adamy,
789	J., Colliat, J.L. and Drapeau, D., Hydrate dissolution as a potential mechanism for pockmark formation in the
790	Niger Delta: Journal of Geophysical Research, 115, B08101, 2010. doi:10.1029/2010JB007453
791	





792	Sun Q., Wu, S., Cartwright, J., and Dong, D., Shallow gas and focused fluid flow systems in the Pearl
793	River Mouth Basin, northern South China Sea: Marine Geology, 1, 14, pp.315-318, 2012
794	
795	Taylor, M.H., Dillon, W.P. and Pecher, I.A., Trapping and migration of methane associated with the gas
796	hydrate stability zone at the Blake Ridge Diapir: new insights from Seismic data: Marine Geology 164, 79-89,
797	2000.
798	
799	Tolstoy M, Vernon F L, Orcutt, J A, and Wyatt, F.K., Breathing of the seafloor: Tidal correlations of
800	seismicity at Axial volcano: Geology, 30, pp. 503-506, 2002
801	
802	Walles, F.E., Tectonic and diagenetically induced seal failure within the south-western Great Bahamas
803	Bank: Marine and Petroleum Geology, 10, pp. 14-28, 1993.
804	
805	Whitaker, F.F. and Smart, P.L., Active circulation of saline ground waters in carbonate platforms:
806	Evidence from the Great Bahama Bank: Geology, 18, pp. 200-203, 1990.
807	
808	Whitaker, F.F., and Smart, P.L., Circulation of saline groundwaters in carbonate platforms: A review and
809	case study from the Bahamas. In: A.D. Horbury and A.G. Robinson (Editors), Diagenesis and Basin Development.
810	Am. Assoc. Petrol. Geol. Studies Geol., 36, pp. 113-132, 1993.
811	
812	Whitaker, F.F. Smart, P.L., Vahrenkamp, V.C., Nicholson, H. and Wogelius, R.A., Dolomitization by
813	near-normal seawater? Field evidence from the Bahamas. In: B.H. Purser, M. Tucker and D.H. Zenger (editors),
814	Dolomites, A volume in Honour of Dolomieu, International Association of Sedimentology Special Publication,
815	21, pp.111-132, 1994.
816	
817	
818	Wierzbicki, R., Dravis, J., Al-Aasnm, Harland, N., Burial dolomitization and dissolution of Upper
819	Jurassic Abenaki platform carbonates, Deep Panuke reservoir, Nova Scotia, Canada: The American Association
820	of Petroleum Geologists, 90, 11, pp. 1843-1861. 2006. DOI: 10.1306/03200605074
821	
822	Wilson, A.M., Fresh and saline groundwater discharge to the ocean: A regional perspective: Water
823	Resources Research, 41, W02016, doi:10.1029/2004WR003399, 2005.
824	
825	9. Figure caption
826	
827	







828 829





831	Figure 1. (a) Structural Sketch of the Bahamas, Cuba and Hispaniola region. GGB -> Great Bahama Bank,
832	TO -> Tongue of the Ocean, ES -> Exuma Sound, NP, New Providence, LI, Long Island. SFOZ: Septentrional-Oriente
833	Fault Zone; NHF: North-Hispaniola Fault; SFZ: Sunniland Fracture Zone; NAP: North America Plate; CI Crooked
834	Islands; Ca I: Caicos Islands. SSAB: San Salvador Abyssal Plain. PPT: Puerto Rico Trench. Dark grey is used for both
835	platform water depth < 100 m, and the emerged areas. (b) Physiographic sketch in the Exuma Canyon area where all
836	the pockmarks of this study have been recognized; -3600 m isobath is located within the BBE slope.
837	
838	Figure 2. (a) Details of the Pockmark 1 area where contourites are present. (b) Details of the pockmark 2 to 8
839	area where inner chains and outer chains appear. (c) Details of pockmarks 9 to 21 area within the BBE curvature where
840	inner and outer chains have been identified. (d) Details of the pockmark 22 to 27 area. Slope maps are also provided
841	for each bathymetric map.
842	
843	
844	
845	
846	
847	
848	
849	
850	
851	
852	
853	







 \mathcal{O}





856	Figure 3: 2D seismic lines TWT (s) of the study area: (a) N080 trending line respectively showing from the
857	west (left) to the east (right) the BBE, the pockmark #1 and the San Salvador Abyssal Plain. (b) N170 trending line
858	respectively showing respectively from the south (left) to the north (right), the Exuma Canyon, the pockmark #1, the
859	BBE, the pockmark #2 and the layered northern contouritic deposits. (c) Details of (a) showing the pockmark #1 with
860	its underlying seismic anomaly. (d) N080-trending line crossing the pockmark #13 in the BBE curvature area. (e) Details
861	of (b) showing the pockmark #1 with its related underlying seismic anomaly. (f) Details of (b) showing the pockmark 20
862	in the BBE curvature area. The aforementioned seismic lines are also reported in Figure 2.
863	
864	
865	











869

870 Figure 4: Interpreted 2D seismic lines of the study area: (a) N080 trending line interpretation showing the top 871 basement, the top Kimmeridgian, the top Tithonian, the A^u unconformity and the post Miocene deposits. (b) N170 872 trending line interpretation showing the southern contouritic deposits where the pockmark #1 is located, the layered 873 northern contouritic deposits and the pockmark underlying seismic anomalies. (c) Interpreted details of (a) showing the 874 pockmark #1, piercing the contouritic deposits, the related underlying faults and seismic anomalies. (d) Interpreted 875 N080 trending line crossing the pockmark #13 and showing the underlying seismic anomalies as well as the related 876 collapse faults. (e) Interpreted details of (b) showing the lateral reflection within the pockmark #1 piercing the 877 contouritic deposits as well as its underlying seismic anomaly. (f) Interpreted details of (b) showing the normal faults 878 probably related to the pockmark formation in the BBE curvature area.

879 The aforementioned seismic lines are also reported in Figure 2.

- 880
- 881







884	
885	
886	
887	Figure 5: Graphs showing (a) the water depth for the 29 pockmarks of this study and a related S-N bathymetric
888	profile crossing the outer pockmarks. (b) S-N pockmarks numbering as a function of the distance to the BBE, here
889	expressed by the isobath (-4000 m). (c) Pockmark mean diameter as a function of the shortest distance to the BBE. (d)
890	Pockmark width as a function of pockmark length. Related rose diagram showing the elongation trend in the study
891	area. (e) Pockmark depth as a function of the pockmark mean diameter.
892	
893	
894	











897	Fi	gure 6: (a) Co	nceptual sketch s	showing how the B	BE erosio	nal retreat	probably us	e the structural l	ineaments
898	related to the TOC transition to reach its present-day physiography. (b) Conceptual sketch showing the relations								
899	between the depth of the fluid source and the radius of outer and inner pockmarks (c) Ouantitative estimation of the								
900	overpressure denth in the case of nockmark 1.								
901	overpressu	te uepin in inc	cuse of poening						
902									
902									
903									
904 005									
905									
906									
907									
908									
909									
910									
911	Fi	gure 7: (a) 3D	block diagram e	expressing the gene	ral under	standing of	f the system	including our ob	servations
912	and the pre	vious literatu	re understanding	g. (b) 2D understan	ding of th	e system sł	nowing the i	mportance of ter	nperature,
913	chemistry,	and structural	/sedimentologica	al geometries.					
914									
914 915	DOCKMARK			1					
914 915 916	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S)	WATER DEPTH (m)	POCKMARK DEPTH (m)	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m"	LENGTH (m)	LENGTH (°N) ORIENTATION	WIDTH (m)	WIDTH (°N) ORIENTATION	MEAN DIAMETER (m)
914 915 916 917	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S)	WATER DEPTH (m)	POCKMARK DEPTH (m)	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 2419	LENGTH (m)	LENGTH (°N) ORIENTATION 42	WIDTH (m)	WIDTH (°N) ORIENTATION 132	MEAN DIAMETER (m)
914 915 916 917 918	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4722 -4739	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 39	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 3428 2894	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 1045	LENGTH (°N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 697	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION 132 110 249	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871
914 915 916 917 918 919	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3 4 4 5	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4722 -4739 -4772 -4771	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 47 49	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 1045 940 1461	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 697 520 454	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION 132 110 249 115 269	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5
914 915 916 917 918 919	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4722 -4739 -4772 -4771 -4803 -4803	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 49 40 130	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474 3087 3563	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 1045 940 1461 1433 756	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 24 176	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 520 454 1008 753	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION 132 110 249 115 269 114 265	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 754,5
914 915 916 917 918 919 920	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 8	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4722 -4739 -4772 -4771 -4803 -4804 -4824 -4824	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 39 47 49 80 130 109 107	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474 3087 3563 3538	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 1045 940 1461 1433 756 489	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 24 176 85	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 697 520 454 1008 753 396	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION 132 249 115 269 114 266 175	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 754,5 442,5
914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921	POCKMARK NUMBER (From 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4722 -4739 -4772 -4771 -4803 -4841 -4824 -4834 -4871	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 39 47 49 80 130 109 30 47	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH '-4000 m'' 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474 3087 3563 3538 2943 3307	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 1045 940 1461 1433 756 489 255 487	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 24 176 85 154 170	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 697 520 454 1008 753 396 183 455	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION 132 249 115 269 114 266 1175 266 1175 244 260	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 754,5 442,5 219 471
914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 10 11	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4722 -4739 -4772 -4771 -4803 -4824 -4824 -4834 -4837 -4851 -4929	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 39 47 49 80 130 109 30 47 46 55	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH '-4000 m'' 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474 3067 3563 3538 2943 3307 3336 2943	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 1045 940 1461 1433 756 489 255 489 255 487 288 487 288 487	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 24 176 85 154 170 151	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 697 520 454 1008 753 396 103 455 223 455	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION 249 115 269 114 1266 175 244 260 241 241	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 754,5 442,5 219 471 255,5 125,5 125,5 125,5 127,5
914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 10 11 12 13	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4772 -4773 -4777 -4803 -4824 -4824 -4824 -4824 -4824 -4831 -4933 -4950	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 39 47 49 80 130 109 30 47 46 96 176	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH '-4000 m'' 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474 3087 3563 3538 2943 3337 3336 3336 3341 3638	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 1045 1461 1461 1461 1461 1461 1461 1463 489 255 489 255 489 255 288 1733 1712	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 24 176 85 154 170 151 22 144	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 697 520 454 1008 753 396 183 455 223 1125 1599	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION 249 113 269 114 266 175 244 260 241 241 212 244	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 754,5 442,5 219 471 255,5 1429 1655,5
914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 922 923	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14a 14a	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4722 -4729 -4772 -4777 -4803 -4821 -4824 -4824 -4834 -4831 -4851 -4851 -4950 -4830 -4830 -4850 -4830 -4850 -4830 -4850 -4850 -4850 -4850 -4850 -4851 -4850 -4851 -4850 -4851 -4850	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 39 47 49 80 130 109 30 47 46 96 176 58 58	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474 3067 3363 3338 2943 3336 3336 3336 3336 3336 3336 3336	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 1045 548 1461 1461 1461 1461 1461 1463 489 255 489 255 489 255 489 255 489 255 288 1732 288 1732 288 1732 248 247 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 248	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 24 176 85 154 85 154 151 22 144 151	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 697 520 454 1008 753 336 183 455 223 1125 1599 312	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION (*N) 132 110 249 115 269 114 266 175 269 114 266 175 260 241 112 260 241 112 234 241	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 754,5 442,5 219 471 255,5 1429 1655,5 379,5 379,5
914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 922 923 924	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14a 14b 15	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4722 -4739 -4772 -4771 -4803 -4824 -4824 -4824 -4824 -4831 -4851 -4950 -4830 -4866	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 39 47 49 80 130 109 30 47 46 96 176 58 43 151	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474 3667 3563 3538 2943 3307 3336 3336 3336 3336 3336 3336 333	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 940 1461 1433 756 489 255 487 288 1733 1712 288 1733 1712 288 1733 1713 1713 1713 1715 1733 1715 1733 1715 1733 1745 1755 175	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 24 176 85 154 151 22 151 22 144 151 151 445 93	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 697 520 454 1008 753 3396 183 455 223 1125 1599 312 288 1034	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION (*N) 132 110 249 115 269 114 266 175 269 114 266 275 244 260 241 212 234 241 234 241 235 183	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 754,5 219 471 255,5 1429 1655,5 379,5 338 1182
914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14a 14b 15 16	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4722 -4739 -4772 -4771 -4803 -4824 -4824 -4824 -4834 -4851 -4953 -4950 -4830 -4866 -4966 -4967	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 39 47 49 80 130 109 30 47 46 96 176 58 43 151 138	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474 3667 3563 2543 3307 3336 3338 2943 3307 3336 3336 3336 3336 2943 3367 3336 3336 3336 3363 2709 2842 4268 3898	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 940 1461 1433 756 489 255 487 255 487 1733 1712 447 388 1330 643	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 24 176 85 154 154 151 151 22 22 144 151 145 93 36	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 697 520 454 1008 753 396 183 455 223 1125 1599 312 288 1034 500	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION (*N) 132 110 249 115 269 114 266 175 244 260 241 212 234 241 234 241 235 183 126	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 754,5 442,5 219 471 255,5 1429 1655,5 1429 1655,5 379,5 338 1182 571,5
914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14a 14b 15 16 17a 17b	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4722 -4739 -4772 -4771 -4803 -4824 -4824 -4824 -4824 -4824 -4851 -4933 -4950 -4930 -4956 -4966 -4967 -4837	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 39 47 49 80 130 109 30 47 46 96 176 176 58 43 151 138 87 97	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474 3087 3563 2563 2563 2563 3538 2943 3307 3336 3341 3363 3341 3638 2709 2842 4268 3898 2736 7838	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 940 1461 940 1463 756 487 487 1733 1712 488 1733 1712 488 1733 663 371 388 1330 6643 371	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 24 176 85 154 170 151 22 144 151 22 144 151 145 93 36 162	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 697 520 454 1008 753 396 183 455 223 1125 1599 312 288 1034 500 198 470	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION (*N) 132 110 249 115 269 114 266 175 244 260 241 112 250 241 112 241 241 241 255 183 126 252 252 162	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 754,5 442,5 219 471 255,5 1429 1655,5 379,5 338 1182 571,5 284,5 482,5
914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 924 925 926	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 14 14 15 15 16 17 7 8 8	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4722 -4739 -4772 -4771 -4803 -4803 -4824 -4834 -4834 -4851 -4933 -4950 -4830 -4850 -4850 -4866 -4967 -4857 -4857 -4809	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 39 47 49 80 130 109 30 47 46 96 176 58 43 151 138 87 97 118	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474 3067 3563 2338 2343 3307 3336 3337 3336 3337 3336 3336 2343 3363 2799 2842 4268 3898 2736 2838 4114	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 1045 940 1461 463 756 487 285 285 487 1733 1712 447 388 1330 371 495 1819	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 24 176 85 154 170 151 22 144 151 22 144 151 22 144 151 22 165 162 72 168	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 697 520 454 1008 753 396 183 455 223 1125 1599 312 288 1034 500 198 470 1765	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION (*N) 132 130 249 115 269 114 266 175 244 260 261 241 241 241 241 241 235 183 125 235 183 126 252 252	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 754,5 442,5 219 471 255,5 1429 1655,5 379,5 338 1182 571,5 284,5 482,5 1792
914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 4 14 15 16 17 7 17 b 18 19 9 200	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4722 -4739 -4772 -4771 -4803 -4803 -4824 -4834 -4834 -4831 -4851 -4833 -4851 -4830 -4851 -4830 -4851 -4830 -4851 -4855 -485 -4855	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 39 47 49 80 130 109 30 47 46 96 176 58 43 151 138 87 97 118 61 122	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474 3067 3563 2358 2343 3307 3336 3338 2943 3307 3336 3341 3638 2709 2842 4268 3898 2736 2838 4114 4011 2669	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 1045 940 1461 1463 1463 1463 1463 1463 1463 1473 1735 489 255 487 288 1733 1712 487 388 1330 371 495 371 1819 710 1524	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 24 176 85 154 170 151 22 144 145 151 22 145 151 22 145 162 72 168 93 93 177	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 697 520 454 1008 753 396 183 455 223 1125 1599 312 288 1034 500 198 470 1765 680 1028	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION (*N) 132 110 249 115 269 114 266 175 244 260 241 260 241 112 234 241 235 183 126 235 183 126 252 252 162 152 258	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 754,5 442,5 219 471 255,5 1429 1655,5 379,5 338 1182 571,5 284,5 482,5 1792 695 1311
914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 4 14 15 16 17 3 14 4 14 5 15 16 17 3 14 8 19 20 21	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4772 -4773 -4772 -4771 -4803 -4803 -4824 -4834 -4834 -4831 -4833 -4950 -4830 -4830 -4866 -4967 -4835 -4857 -4897 -4897	POCKMARK DEPTH (m)	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474 3067 3563 3538 2943 3307 3336 3336 3341 3638 2709 2842 4268 3898 2776 2842 4268 3898 2776 2838 4114 4011 3648 3339	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 940 1461 1433 756 489 255 487 288 1733 1712 447 733 1712 447 388 1330 643 371 451 152	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 24 176 85 154 170 151 22 144 151 151 145 93 36 162 72 72 168 93 172 22	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 520 454 1008 753 366 183 455 223 1125 1599 312 288 1034 500 198 470 198 470 198 680 1038 1273	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION (*N) 132 249 1115 269 114 266 175 244 260 241 241 235 241 235 183 126 252 162 258 133 262 258	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 754,5 442,5 219 471 255,5 1429 1655,5 379,5 338 1182 571,5 284,5 482,5 1792 695 1311 1412,5
914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928	POCKMARK NUMBER (from N to S) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 0 11 11 12 13 14a 14b 15 16 17a 17b 18 19 20 21 22 22	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4722 -4739 -4772 -4771 -4803 -4803 -4841 -4834 -4834 -4831 -4834 -4831 -4833 -4950 -4830 -4830 -4830 -4830 -4830 -4830 -4857 -4837 -4837 -4757 -4757	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 39 47 49 80 130 109 30 47 46 96 176 58 43 151 138 87 97 118 61 133 151 67 134	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474 3067 3563 3338 2943 3307 3336 3336 3336 3341 3638 2709 2842 4268 3898 2709 2842 4268 3898 2736 2838 4114 4011 3648 3339	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 940 1461 1462 1465 14	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 25 179 24 176 85 154 170 151 151 151 151 144 151 151 144 151 162 72 168 93 36 162 72 22 60	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 697 520 454 1008 753 366 183 455 223 1125 1599 312 288 1034 500 198 470 198 470 198 470 198 1038 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION (*N) 132 249 115 269 114 266 175 244 260 241 112 255 143 125 125 143 241 255 162 252 162 258 183 262 258 183 262 112 150	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 754,5 442,5 219 471 255,5 1429 1655,5 379,5 338 1182 571,5 284,5 482,5 1792 695 1311 1412,5 537 537 537
914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 922 923 924 925 926 927 928	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 17 7 17 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23 24	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4772 -4773 -4773 -4771 -4803 -4841 -4824 -4834 -4834 -4831 -4851 -4851 -4851 -4851 -4856 -4950 -4866 -4966 -4966 -4966 -4967 -4835 -4857 -4857 -4857 -4897 -4757	POCKMARK DEPTH (m)	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 3428 2894 3650 2474 3067 3563 3538 2943 3307 3336 3334 3341 3638 2709 2842 4268 3898 2709 2842 4268 3898 2736 2838 4114 4011 3648 3339 3525 4171 3928	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 940 940 1461 1461 1461 489 285 487 288 1733 1712 447 288 1330 643 371 371 495 1330 643 371 1352 547 741	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 25 179 24 176 85 154 170 151 151 151 151 163 93 36 162 72 168 93 36 162 72 168 93 93	WIDTH (m) 1115 357 697 520 454 1008 753 396 183 455 223 1125 1599 312 288 1034 500 1034 470 1765 680 1038 1273 527 385 581	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION (*N) 132 249 115 269 114 266 175 244 260 241 260 241 112 234 241 235 183 126 252 162 258 183 126 252 162 258 183 262 112 150 180 185	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 754,5 442,5 219 471 255,5 1429 1655,5 379,5 338 1182 571,5 284,5 482,5 1792 695 1311 1412,5 537 566,5 661
914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929	POCKMARK NUMBER (From N to S) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 177 17b 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	WATER DEPTH (m) -4584 -4772 -4773 -4772 -4771 -4803 -4814 -4824 -4824 -4834 -4831 -4851 -4851 -4851 -4851 -4850 -4866 -4966 -4966 -4966 -4966 -4967 -4835 -4857 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4757 -4755	POCKMARK DEPTH (m) 184 47 39 47 49 80 130 109 30 47 46 96 176 58 43 151 138 87 97 118 151 67 118 185	DISTANCE (m) TO THE ISOBATH "-4000 m" 2965 3428 3650 2894 3650 2874 3367 3363 338 2943 3307 3336 3336 3331 3336 3334 3336 3334 3336 2709 2842 4426 3898 2736 2838 4114 4011 3648 3339 3525 4471 3928	LENGTH (m) 1213 548 940 1443 756 487 255 487 255 487 288 1733 1712 447 288 1733 1712 447 388 1733 1712 447 389 643 371 1584 1075	LENGTH (*N) ORIENTATION 42 20 159 25 179 24 176 85 154 154 151 22 144 151 151 145 151 145 151 145 151 145 162 72 168 93 36 162 72 22 60 93 1172 22 60 95 5	WIDTH (m) 11115 357 697 520 454 1008 753 336 133 455 223 1125 1125 1125 1125 1125 1125 1125 1034 500 198 470 1765 600 1038 1273 551 993	WIDTH (*N) ORIENTATION (*N) 132 110 249 115 269 114 266 175 244 260 241 112 234 241 112 234 241 235 183 126 252 162 252 162 252 162 155 183 262 252 112 150 185 208	MEAN DIAMETER (m) 1164 452,5 871 730 957,5 1220,5 734,5 442,5 219 471 255,5 1429 1655,5 379,5 338 1182 571,5 284,5 482,5 1792 695 1311 1412,5 537 566,5 661 1034

931

932

Table 1: Quantification of pockmarks attributes