

Interactive comment on “The abyssal giant pockmarks of the Black Bahama Escarpment: Relations between structures, fluids and carbonate physiography” by Thibault Cavailhes et al.

Christian Berndt (Referee)

cberndt@geomar.de

Received and published: 23 February 2020

I have tried to review the manuscript "The abyssal giant pockmarks of the Black Bahama Escarpment: Relations between structures, fluids and carbonate physiography" but had to give up after the first paragraph of the results section.

Although I entered the review with a lot of good will, I had to give up because the manuscript is not in a reviewable state yet. The main problem is the language, but also the figures are not in good shape. Section 4.1 may serve as an example. There is not

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



a single sentence in the first two paragraphs that makes any sense.

SED

I have made plenty of comments on the manuscript (see attached pdf) and I am willing to review a revised version once the manuscript has been proof-read by a native English speaker and figures 1 and 2 have been redrafted. This revised version should ideally take my comments into account.

Christian Berndt, February 2020

Interactive
comment

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://www.solid-earth-discuss.net/se-2020-11/se-2020-11-RC1-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Solid Earth Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2020-11>, 2020.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

