Near surface structure of Sodankylä area in Finland, obtained by passive seismic interferometry

Nikita Afonin^{1,5}, Elena Kozlovskaya^{1,2,4}, Suvi Heinonen², Stefan Buske³

¹Oulu Mining School, POB-3000, FIN-90014, University of Oulu, Finland

²Geological Survey of Finland, P.O. Box 96, FI-02151, Espoo, Finland

³TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Institute of Geophysics and Geoinformatics, Freiberg, Germany

⁴Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory, University of Oulu, Finland

⁵N. Laverov Federal Center for Integrated Arctic Research of the Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Arkhangelsk, Russia

10

5

Correspondence to: Nikita Afonin (nikita.afonin@oulu.fi)

Abstract. Controlled-source seismic exploration surveys are not always possible in nature-protected areas. As an alternative, application of passive seismic techniques in such areas can be proposed. In our study, we show results of passive seismic interferometry application for mapping the uppermost crust in the area of active mineral exploration in Northern Finland. We

- 15 are utilizing continuous seismic data acquired by Sercel Unite Wireless multichannel recording system along several profiles during XSoDEx (eXperiment of SOdankylä Deep Exploration) multidisciplinary geophysical project. The objective of XSoDEx was to obtain a structural image of the upper crust in the Sodankylä area of Northern Finland in order to achieve a better understanding of the mineral system at depth. The key experiment of the project was a high-resolution seismic reflection experiment. In addition, and-continuous passive seismic data was acquired in parallel with reflection seismic data acquisition.
- 20 Due to this, the length of passive data suitable for noise cross-correlation was limited from several hours to couple of days. In addition, <u>A</u>analysis of the passive data demonstrated that dominating sources of ambient noise are non-stationary and have different origin across the XSoDEx study area. As the long data registration period and isotropic azimuthal distribution of noise sources are two major conditions for<u>-diffuse wavefield suitablenecessary for</u>-Empirical Green's Functions (EGFs) extraction under diffuse field approximation assumption, it was not possible to apply the conventional techniques of passive
- 25 seismic interferometry. To find the way to obtain EGFs, we used numerical modelling <u>in order</u> to investigate the properties of seismic noise originating from sources with different characteristics and propagating inside synthetic heterogeneous Earth models<u>representing-that models</u> real geological conditions in the XSodEx study area. The modelling demonstrated that scattering of ballistic waves on irregular shape heterogeneities_x such as massive sulphides or mafic intrusions, could produce diffused wavefield composed mainly of scattered surface waves. This scattered wavefield can be used to retrieve reliable
- 30 Empirical Green Functions (EGFs) from short-term and non-stationary data, <u>ifusing</u> a special technique called "signal-to-noise ratio stacking" (SNRS) <u>is applied</u>. The EGFs calculated for the XSoDEx profiles were inverted in order to obtain S-wave velocity models down to the depth of 300 meters. The obtained velocity models agree well with geological data and complement the results of reflection seismic data interpretation.

1 Introduction

- 35 Exploration of new mineral deposits is an important actual task because the modern world needs many types of minerals for functioning (Reid, 2011). Most of the shallow mineral deposits around the world nowadays are well known and exploration of new deep mineral deposits becomes more difficult than earlier (Vasara, 2018). That is why the cost-effective exploration is techniques are required eness of exploration also decrease. Moreover, there is the problem that application of controlled-source seismic exploration is not always possible in nature-protected areas. Particularly in the Arctic areas, non-invasive,
- 40 environmentally friendly exploration is relevant. As an alternative, application of passive seismic techniques in such areas has been proposed. The main advantage of passive seismic methods is possibility to study the subsurface in remote areas with minimum impact to environment (Polychronopoulou et al., 2020).

Passive seismic interferometry is cost-efficient methodology with relatively simple setup of field experiments. This methodology allows retrieving impulse response of a medium, called (Empirical Green's Function (EGF)) from ambient seismic noise recorded at two receivers, assumed that the noise field is diffuse (Lobkis and Weaver, 2001; Campillo and Paul, 2003). If this condition is satisfied, it is possible to retrieve both surface and body waves from seismic noise by passive seismic interferometry based onusing either crosscorrelation, autocorrelation, deconvolution or cross-coherence of seismic records (Wapenaar et al., 2011). As shown in Ricker and Claerbout (-1999), the condition of diffuse noise field is satisfied if the noise sources are distributed isotopically around seismic recorders and the noise registration time is long enough. The methodology

- 50 to retrieve empirical GreensEGF functions_{3,7} using crosscorrelation or autocorrelation of ambient seismic noise, has been successfully applied in numerous studies (e.g. Shapiro and Campillo, 2004; Roux et al., 2005; Ruigrok et al., 2011; Draganov et al., 2009; Poli et al., 2012; Tibuleac et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2015; Taylor et al., 2016; Afonin et al., 2017; Oren and Nowack, 2016; Romero et al., 2018). In addition to ambient seismic noise interferometry, the coda wave interferometry was proposed (Aki and Richards, 2002;Campillo et al., 2003; Snieder et al., 2002; Snieder, 2006). This methodology is also based
- 55 on diffuse filed approximation and it is widely used for different purposes, such as estimating nonlinear behavio<u>u</u>r in seismic velocity (Snieder et al., 2002), monitoring of stress changes inside the studied medium (Grêt et al., 2005, 2006) and determination of <u>the</u> third order elastic constants in a complex solid (Payan et al., 2009). Numerous studies describe results of successful application of passive seismic interferometry for exploration and other applied geophysical purposes (e.g. Cheraghi et al., 2017; Roots et al., 2017; Dantas et al., 2018; Abraham et al., 2019; Polychronopoulou et al., 2020; Planès et al., 2020).
- 60 In spite of these numerous studies, there is the problem that the conditions of the diffuse noise field from sources outside an observation area are difficult to satisfy in many practical situations. One important These conditions is are isotropic (that is, when the waves arrived from all azimuths) and homogeneous (when the waves arrived from all azimuths and have near the same energy) azimuthal distribution of noise sources. In order to satisfy this condition, and long registration time is required. However, the diffuse wavefield for empirical Green's functions EGFs evaluation can exist also under other conditions.
- 65 Wapenaar (2004) demonstrated that empirical Green functions EGFs could be retrieved from cross correlation of two recordings of a wavefield at different receiver locations at the free surface in the case when diffuse wavefield is produced by

many uncorrelated sources inside the <u>subsurfacemedium</u>. Wapenaar and Thorbecke (2013) also considered conditions for <u>empirical Green's functionEGFs</u> retrieval from ambient noise <u>originating from</u> a directional scatterer in a homogeneous embedding medium <u>that is</u>, illuminated by a directional noise field.

- 70 In our paper, we examine application of passive seismic interferometry for the case when noise is strongly directional and receiver array is semi-linear. For this, we use continuous seismic data recorded during XSoDEx (eXperiment of SOdankylä Deep Exploration) project in northern Finland (Buske et al., 2019). We analysze the ambient seismic noise recorded by stations located in different sub-regions of the XSoDEx study area in order to understand spatial and temporal distribution of noise sources. We perform numerical modelling of propagation of seismic wavefieldsignals corresponding to identified noise sources
- 75 through various-synthetic models that,-representing certain types of heterogeneities in our study area (mafic intrusions, faults, massive sulfides, mafic intrusions, faults). We show by numerical modelling that direct waves, generated by various sources are scattered on these heterogeneities and produce diffused wavefield. Therefore, empirical Greens functionEGFs can be retrieved by crosscorrelation of this wavefield recorded at different locations (Wapenaar, 2004; Wapenaar and Thorbecke, 2013; van Manen et al., 2005). For evaluation of empirical Greens functionsEGFs, we apply the advanced-method of passive seismic interferometry (Afonin et al., 2019) with that weso-called signal-to-noise ratio stacking (SNRS) algorithm. We show

results of application of passive seismic interferometry for mapping the uppermost crust in the area of XSoDEx project.

2 Experiment description

The XSoDEx seismic survey was conducted in the Central Lapland Greenstone belt in Northern Finland, around Sodankylä region (Figure 1). The aArea is famous for its mineral deposits, including operating Kittilä Gold mine west from the survey 85 area and Kevitsa Ni-Cu mine that also has significant amounts of platinum, palladium, gold and cobalt. The seismic survey lines are crossing varying geology including outcrops of Archean basement and layered mafic intrusions (Buske et al., 2019). Within the XSoDEx project, Geological Survey of Finland, TU Bergakademie Freiberg and University of Oulu acquired seismic reflection and refraction data using the Vibroseis © truck of TU Bergakademie Freiberg and partly explosive sources during July and August 2017 resulting in four seismic profiles of total length of approximately 80 km recorded along existing 90 roads (Ffigure 1): Pomokairantie line (about 37.5 km); Alaliesintie line (about 14 km); Sakatti line (about 20 km); Kuusivaarantie line (about 16 km). The seismic reflection data were recorded in a roll-along scheme by a maximum 3.6 km long spread of cabled vertical-component geophones with 10 m spacing. The seismic reflection layout was designed to map crustal structures down to a minimum of 3 km depth in detail. The seismic refraction data were recorded separately along all reflection profiles by the other set of instruments that included 60 vertical component 5 Hz geophones and 40 three-component 95 MEMS accelerometers with Sercel Unite wireless autonomous data acquisition units by Sercel Ltd. Receiver spacing for Sercel Unite wireless system was approximately 160 meters and the whole spread for recording refraction data was intended to be about 12 km long. The sampling rate was typically 250 sps, with the exception of one high-resolution 1 km long profile. For this profile the distance between recording units was 10 m and the sampling rate was 500 sps. The plan was to keep 75 100 When the Vibroseis © truck moved to the large enough distance from the 25 receivers at the beginning of the spread, those <u>RAUs were demobilised to be charged during the night time.</u> Correspondingly, the charged 25 RAUs were deployed at the end of the line. In the field conditions, the deployment and demobilisation time schedule was adopted to take into account the progress of active seismic experiment. These instruments were installed with interstation distances of 160 m, maximum offsets of about 10 km and recorded continuous seismic data with the sampling frequency of 500 Hz. Refraction seismic data was extracted from the continuous data during periods when active seismic source was in operation, while the passive seismic data

were accumulated during periods when active seismic source was not working (night time, spare days). <u>Generally, the length</u> of time intervals for continuous passive data recording was about 8-9 hours. Thus, the XSoDEx experiment provided a good opportunity to verify results of passive seismic interferometry with controlled-source seismic data, to identify limitations of this technique in areas of generally low level of high-frequency anthropogenic noise and to propose possible improvements of

110 known techniques.

115

3 Ambient seismic noise in XSoDEx study area

As One of the important features of the studied area is the population density in northern Finland is low, this results in low level of anthropogenic high-frequency noise in the XSoDEx study area. presenting only a few possible noise sources (mine with open pit, several roads, dams and river). In addition to microseismic noise, there are several local noise sources in the XSoDEx study area. They are-industrial noise sources: from-Kevitsa Mine, traffic noise from the roads and noise from waterpower plants of Kitinen River.

For estimation and comparison of noise level for different XSoDEx profiles, we used vertical components of ambient seismic noise recorded by wireless seismic receivers with 5 Hz geophonesstations. The data was not acquired during night-time on Sundays, when the anthropogenic activity is minimal. The noise For the calculation of spectraums were estimated using , we

- 120 used-the whole periods-length of continuous datarecords (usually about 8-9 hours): in order to This allowed us to estimate averaged characteristics of ambient noise. The sources of anthropogenic noise in our study area may be considered in an approximation of quasi-stationary noise sources during considered time intervals. For example, mining activity in Kevitsa mine is not changing during long time and mining machinery, excavation, transportation of ore, blasting in the production area are producing seismic signals of similar amplitudes and frequencies for different time periods. The dams can be also considered
- 125 as sources of quasi-stationary signals. Generally, the roads may be used by transport of different types and, as result, the traffic noise may have some temporal differences. Nevertheless, the roads in our study area are characterized by generally low traffic. For example, the traffic along all the roads along which the XSoDEx seismic data was acquired was several cars per day. The highway no. 4 (Fig. 1) is characterised by slightly larger traffic during weekdays, but it is still very quiet during night- time and weekends. during data acquisition. Although the spectrums were calculated for different time intervals, it is possible to
- 130 compare them for obtaining qualitative information about differences in seismic noise level. One of the important features of the studied area is presenting only a few possible noise sources (mine with open pit, several roads, dams and river). These sources may be considered in an approximation of quasi-stationary noise sources during considered time intervals because for

example activity in mine is not change during a long time (pumps working permanently, producing quasi-harmonical waves of the same amplitudes and frequencies for different times). Concerning dams, the same situation. Of course, the road may be

135 used by transport of different types and as result, the noise, produced by traffic may have differences. Nevertheless, roads on considered area, characterized by low traffic (several cars per day) and as result, we can neglect this. The data was not acquired during nighttime on Sundays, when anthropogenic activity is minimal

-As one can see in Figure 2, the noise amplitude and its frequency spectra differ significantly at stations located at different sites in XSoDEx area.

- 140 Station V1 was installed in the area characterized by the highest noise level for all frequencies analyszed. It can be explained by location of this station close to the Kevitsa mine and the dam of a waterpower plant. Seismic noise recorded by station P2 has the lower amplitude than the noise recorded by V1 station. It can be suggested that the main noise sources at that station were the dam and the highway no. 4a road and the dam. A narrow peak at frequencies of about 26-30 Hz is seen in the seismic noise spectrum of station V2. We suggest that the main noise source at the V2 was the dam. Unexpected results of noise level
- 145 estimations were obtained for stations P2 and A. As one can see in Figure 2, station P2 is characterized by relatively high level of seismic noise. At the same time, seismic noise at station A is relatively low, despite similar distance from these stations to the dam and to the road. We need to remember, however, that data acquisition along different profiles was made during different time periods, so probably some additional high-frequency noise source was acting at P2 during the data acquisition period.
- 150 It is clear that dominating noise sources are different across the area of our study, and general condition for passive seismic interferometry (the sources need to be isotopically and homogeneously distributed around the study area) is not satisfied. However, local sources of high intensity can be used for evaluation of EGF for selected profiles. According to the results of spectral analysis and a-priori knowledge about locations of potential noise sources, the following possible candidates for sources of signals for passive seismic interferometry can be proposed:
- 155 1) Kevitsa mine, because all the profiles are located at distances of about 6 42 km from the mine;
 2) Kitinen River and waterpower plants located on the river, because three of four profiles are located along the river;
 3) The waves that are scattered on heterogeneities and can produce diffused wavefield, as proposed by van Manen et. al. (2005), Wapenaar (2004), Wapenaar et. al. (2015);

4) We can also use the signals from Vibroseis © and explosions recorded in XSoDEx refraction experiment, in order to utilize propagation of surface waves to long offsets. Such analysis is not possible with the data acquired in typical near-vertical reflection experiments, because only short offsets and limited recording times are used. In addition, active sources have relatively high frequencies/frequencies, and they can be used only for shallow subsurface investigation.

In the next chapter, we investigate the wavefield produced by these possible sources using numerical modelling.

4 Numerical modelling of seismic wavefield from different sources

- 165 There is a number of previous theoretical and numerical studies of the wavefield from various sources scattered on heterogeneities of elasticmechanical properties (Aki, 1969; Wu and Aki, 1985; Frankel and Clayton, 1986; Gritto et al., 1995; Bohlen et al., 2003). They showed that the scattered wavefield can be quite complicated, depending on the shape of heterogeneity and its elastic properties, location of the receiver in the far field or near field and other factors. For simulation of seismic wavefield propagation in the bedrock typical for XSoDEx area, we used SOFI3D software, which solves a wave
- 170 equation by finite-difference method (https://git.scc.kit.edu/GPIAG-Software/SOFI3D/tree/Release). For simulation of the wavefield scattered on heterogeneities we developed synthetic model based on a-priori knowledge about geological structure of the study area. These main features are sub-: presence in the medium of vertically oriented mafic and ultra-mafic intrusionsdykes of irregular shape -inside generally felsic bedrock composed of intruded into-granites, gneisses and quarzites. In some places the bedrock is overlaid by quaternary sediments with thickness up to several dozen metres -(Leväniemi et al., 2018, Karjalainen, 2019). 175

We used background velocities of Vp=5600 m/s, Vs=3500 m/s and density= 2650 kg/m³ corresponding to felsic rocks. The embedded vertical high velocity bodies were representing mafic rocks intruded into dykesthe felsic rocks. The bodies were of 30-150 m wide with depths varying randomly from 60 m to 600 m with the following physical properties (Figure 3): Vp_ =6500 m/s, Vs ==3700 m/s, density is 2800 kg/m³. We also assumed an uppermost 60 m thick layers representing quaternary

- 180 sediments with Vp=2000 m/s, Vs=1200 m/s and density is 1600 kg/m³. The following elastic properties of air were used as boundary conditions of the model: Vp=330 m/s, Vs=0, density is 1.25 kg/m³. As sources, we used: 1) plane waves from sources located out of line in far field area, with frequencies of 50 Hz and 2.5 Hz and with an incidence angle of 45 degrees; 2) blast with dominant frequency of 30 Hz, located in the beginning of the profile; 3) water power plant (stationary noise with frequency of 2.5 Hz), located in-line with the profile. The assumption about major sources was made based on analysis of spectra, presented in Section 3, and our knowledge about locations of industrial objects, roads and other objects of human activities
- 185

(Figure 2). In the modelling, we used the grid size of 30 m.

The first synthetic signal was a plane wave originating from a source in the far-field area. The wave front propagated from the depth of 6000 m with the angle of 40 degrees with respect to the profile direction and arrived at the surface at the incidence angle of 45 degrees. As one can see ion synthetic seismogram in Figure 4, the recorded wavefield consists of the first arrival, 190 several reflected waves and numerous scattered waves with apparent velocities of 2100-2500 m/s corresponding to surface waves. Figure 5 shows an example of particle motion diagrams (Figure 5-c) and results of spectral analysis of these arrivals (Figure 5,-b). Due to elliptical polarization and dependence of phase velocity on frequency, one can conclude, that these scattered waves are surface Rayleigh waves. As these surface waves have stochastic directivities, superposition of them may be considered as diffused wavefield that can be used, in principle, to estimate EGFsmpirical Greens functions.

In this synthetic example, we demonstrate that the diffuse wavefield consisting of low-frequency (5-20 Hz) surface waves 195 (Rayleigh) can be produced by scattering of a high-frequency (50 Hz in our case) plane wave at velocity heterogeneities. We considered monochromatic plane wave, but in real in-ambient noise, many frequencies are usually present, thus theand scattering would be more pronounced.

The second example simulates propagation of the signal originating from <u>a</u> production blast in the Kevitsa mine <u>-recorded by</u> sensors of the Sakatti profile (Fig. 1). Figure 6 shows results of modelling of the wavefield produced by the blast and propagating in the model with stochastically distributed heterogeneities. <u>The source function of the blast in this case</u>, is delta function and the source is located in-line with the profile of seismic sensors. We selected this source position for modelling the blasts, produced near Kevitsa mine and recorded by sensors of the Sakatti profile (fig. 1). As seen, the wavefield consists of only direct P-wave arrival, reflected P-wave, multiples of P-waves, reflected from vertical heterogeneities, and surface Love

205 wave.- In that case, surface Rayleigh waves are absent from the wavefield. The scattered wavefield can be seen after approximately 2 sec at offsets of 2000-3600 m. -

The third synthetic example corresponds to the direct wave continuously produced by waterpower plant, which could also be scattered on heterogeneities and produce diffused wavefield. As positions of all the dams on Kitinen river are well known and all of them are located in-line with the Sakatti profile, we used the in-line position of the source in our simulation. As an input

- 210 signal, we used a real seismic signal recorded by station V1 that was located at the shortest distance from the waterpower plant (Figure 2). The spectral-time diagram of the signal is presented in Figure 7. As one can see, there are several ranges of frequencies with some increasing of amplitudes (about 5 Hz, 12.5 Hz and 20-50 Hz). there are several spectral peaks with frequencies of about 5 Hz, 12.5 Hz and 20-50 Hz. According to (Antonovskaya et al.; (2017; 2019), seismic noise generated by waterpower plants may correspond to a set of spectral peaks between 3.6 Hz and about 50 Hz. The other spectral
- 215 peaksrelatively high amplitudes could be due to production activities (transportation, excavation and othersete.) at the Kevitsa mine. Therefore, in this case we have complex contribution of all these sources to the noise wavefield.

220

Figure 8 (a) shows synthetic seismograms of <u>the</u>-stationary wavefield corresesponding to <u>the</u> spectrogram presented in Figure 7. Analysis of <u>a</u> particle motion (Figure 8 (c)) shows that this stationary field is consisting of Rayleigh waves with apparent velocities of about 2100-2500 m/s. Figure 8(b) shows crosscorrelations of the first trace with all other traces in Fig. 8(a). As seen These crosscorrelations show also <u>the wavefield contains also</u> P and S wave arrivalss.

Results of our synthetic modeling demonstrate that the plane wave scattered at heterogeneities satisfies condition of diffuse wavefield and hence can be used to extract EGFs. The wavefield, produced by scattering of stationary signal from the waterpower plant can also be used in the cases when receivers are deployed within the first Fresnel volume area. Usage of the diffuse wavefield produced by scattering on local heterogeneities or <u>of the</u> stationary wavefield from a single source will have an advantage that in both cases the long registration time necessary for obtaining isotropic azimuthal coverage of ambient noise sources is not required. However, special analysis of the continuous data would be necessary, in order to extract the diffuse wavefield from the data. For this purpose, the SNRS algorithm described earlier in Afonin et al. (2019) can be used. The technique is based on the global optimization algorithm, in which the optimized objective function is a signal-to-noise ratio of an EGF, retrieved at each iteration. Maximizing the signal-to-noise ratio of the retrieved EGF is ensured by stacking

230 only cross- correlation functions coherent with each other and corresponding to the stationary phase area. <u>The details of the algorithm are provided in the Supplementary Material.</u>

5 Verifying passive seismic interferometry with the scattered wavefield using passive seismic data recorded during XSoDEx experiment

In order to demonstrate application of passive seismic interferometry with the scattered diffuse wavefield, we used passive
seismic data acquired in the XSoDEx experiment. We and applied the SNRS algorithm for diffuse field extraction and for the EGFs evaluation. From the XSoDEx lines, one is particularly suitable for such demonstration. In this short high-resolution profile (green line in Fig. 9) of total length of 1000 m both 1C and 3C Sercel wireless units were installed at distances of 10 m. The 3C sensors were installed between 1C sensors at distances varying from 20 to 30 m. The results of passive seismic interferometry along this line can be also verified using the active source seismic data acquired along the same line and results
of previous geophysical experiments and drilling in this area. The workflow for data analysis used in our paper is shown in

Fig. 10.

For retrieving of EGFs and further dispersion curve calculation, we used continuous passive seismic data recorded during the period of 21.08.2017 – 23.08.2017 (about 48 hours). The workflow for data analysis used in our paper is shown in Fig. 10.

- We made no *a-priori* assumptions about the nature and spatial distribution of noise sources. For calculation of EGFs, we applied such pre-processing procedures as removing mean and trend, spectral whitening and pre-filtering by the band pass filter of 1-100 Hz. After this, we calculated crosscorrelation functions <u>in such a way</u>, so that virtual sources of impulse signal were placed in the beginning, in the middle and in the end of the profile. The choice of virtual source positions is based on the <u>dominant</u> wavelength of the analysed signal <u>that</u>, which is about 400 m. An example of EGFs calculated with the SNRS algorithm and the correspondent dispersion curve are presented in Figure 11.
- 250 As seen from particle motion diagram calculated for one of the 3C sensors, the main arrival seen in EGFs corresponds to Rayleigh wave. A good coherence of waveforms of dispersed surface wave is also seen.

For calculation of velocity models from these three dispersion curves, we used Geopsy software (www.geopsy.org). We applied global optimization algorithm with 500 iterations to obtain the best solution. The starting model consisted of three major layers with properties presented in Table 1. The range of each property was selected using information about physical properties of rocks in the study area available from literature (Leväniemi, 2018, Schön, 2015).

255

D 1 1 4		1 1 6 1	1.	1.0		C 1'		
Lable	= Starting	model of fl	ie medilim	used for	inversion	of dis	nersion curve	26
r auto i	i stating	model of u	ic meanum.	useu ioi	mycraton	or una	persion curve	~0.

Depth	Vp, m/s	Vs, m/s	Rho, kg/m ³	rock types
1-50	700-1200	350-900	1200-1500	quaternary deposits, coarse-
				grained sorted sediments
50-200	5900-6200	1800-3600	2000-2300	granite, felsic volcanic rocks

Formatted: English (United States)

200-500	6300-6600	3300-3600	2000-2500	mafic volcanic rocks
---------	-----------	-----------	-----------	----------------------

We calculated 1D velocity models for each 100 m of the profile, using position of virtual sources at 0 m, <u>450 m</u> and 900 m
(Figure 11 (a)). After that, <u>using triangular and linear interpolation of 1D models</u>, we obtained a 2D model presented on Figure 12(a). The subplots 12(b) and 12(c) present results of global optimization of one selected dispersion curve. One interesting feature of this model is a layer with S-wave velocities of about 200-400 m/s and thickness of 20-38 m that may correspond to sediments. The thickness of sediments agrees well with the result of Åberg et al. (2017), who <u>interpolated results of used GPR</u> measurements and drilling information to obtain sedimentary thickness in this area. <u>According to themtheir results</u>, the sedimentary thickness ion the studied area isare about 25-30 m. The velocities of S-waves beneath the sedimentary cover will be discussed in Section 5.

We applied the same technique of EGFs calculation to the data with lower spatial resolution recorded along the part of Sakatti profile shown by blue in Figure 9. Particle motion diagram (Figure 13(c)) shows that evaluated EGFs contain mainly surface waves. Dispersion curves were calculated for each 500 m of the profile for obtaining 2D velocity model. For this, the virtual sources were placed at each 500 m and crosscorrelation functions were calculated between the virtual source receiver and all

neighbor receivers ,-located at distances no larger than 1000 m from the virtual source receivers. For calculation of dispersion curves, the MASW technique was used.

As one can see in Figure 13, the <u>our method of passive seismic interferometry with the SNSR</u> allowed us to evaluate dispersion curve for frequencies of about 3-7 Hz. Inversion of dispersion curves was used to obtain 1D velocity models that were combined into a 2D model (Figure 14(a)).

For verification of the modelling results we compared the velocity model in Figure 14(a) with the model obtained by inversion of dispersion curves estimated from surface waves produced by scattering of signal from the controlled source for the same part of Sakatti line (Figure 14 (b)). We compared velocity models, because they are obtained at the last step of data processing, where all <u>the</u> errors from previous steps are accumulated. In addition, we noticed that the differences between EGFs and dispersion curves for these two data sets are insignificant. As seen, the velocity models reveal the same <u>detailsdetails</u>, and the velocities are generally in good agreement. From Figure 13 (b) one can see that the width of error bars of dispersion curves are about 500 m/s. Differences in velocities between two 2D models (Ffigure 14) are within these limits. The differences in velocities are of the order of 100 m/s in the central part of the profile. The largest difference up to 600 m/s can be seen in the beginning of the profile (from 15000 to 15500 m) and it can be explained either by uncertainty in dispersion curves extraction

285 or by inversion errors.

270

6 Shear-wave velocity models obtained using Vibroseis © signal scattered at heterogeneities

Surface waves recorded in active source experiments (ground roll) usually considered as non-wanted signal and removed from the data during processing. However, S-wave velocity models can be obtained from Vibroseis© surface waves using MASW method (Al-Husseini et al., 1981; Mari, 1984; Gabriels et al., 1987; Park et al., 1999). In this case, the depth resolution for S- 290 wave velocity models is limited to several meters due to short offsets and small registration time in near-vertical reflection data. As the data in XSoDEx experiment was recorded at long offsets with the wireless equipment, such recordings can be used to obtain the S-wave velocities at larger depths.

Examples of raw shot gathers of Vibroseis© signals recorded in reflection experiment are presented in Buske et al. (2019). They used bandpass filters of 30-40-100-120 Hz to eliminate surface waves from raw reflection data. The Vibrator-sweep

- 295 frequencies were from 10 to 170 Hz. In raw reflection data the surface waves arrivals can be followed up to 2-3 sec atter rather short offsets of about 350 400 m. An example of record section compiled from wireless recorders data deployed at 160 m interstation distances is presented in Figure 15. In this section a vibrator signal, presented in Figure 16, was correlated with the traces recorded at long offsets. In Figure 15 one can see the first arrival of P-wave with velocity of about 5400 m/s and direct Rayleigh wave with velocity of about 880 m/s in frequency band of 20–100 Hz (Figure 15 (b)) that can be followed to offsets
- 300 of about 1 km. In the frequency band of 1-10 Hz (Figure 15 (a)), <u>the</u> surface waves cannot be followed to long offsets. The surface wave arrivals that can be correlated appear on several traces at short offsets. As seen in Figure 16 (b), the frequencies of the vibrator signal start from about 12 Hz and no lower frequencies are present.

We used the SNRS technique and continuous seismic recordings of XSoDEx experiment to obtain EGFs for all the XSoDEx profiles. In all EGFs the main phase seen was Rayleigh wave (Figure 17). As seen in Fig. 17 (a), the EGFs cannot be extracted

- 305 from our data using The necessity of using this technique was caused by impossibility to evaluate EGFs by conventional passive seismic interferometry (Figure 17 (a)). In all EGFs the main phase seen iswas Rayleigh wave (Figure 17 (b)). The EGFs were used to obtain dispersion curves and invert them using Geopsy inversion software and model parameters, presented in Table 1. Figure 18 shows the 2D velocity models to the depth of 300 m for all XSoDEx profiles to the depth of 300 m obtained by interpolation of 1D velocity models are presented in Figure 18₂. Tin which also the boundaries of major lithological units from Figure 1 are also indicated indicated. All the velocity models are shown in the same colour scale.
- 310 units from Figure 1 are <u>also indicated</u>. All the velocity models are shown in the same colour scale. The S-wave velocities along the XSoDEx <u>profiles</u> are generally varying from very low values of 200-400 m/s detected in some places <u>up to to</u>-3200 m/s. The uppermost layer with velocities of 200-400 m/s and <u>with</u> thickness up to 50 m corresponds to quaternary sediments, and the boundary between this layer and the lowermost part of velocity models is indicated also by <u>the</u> velocity contrast in 1D models. Independent information about thickness of sediments in our study area obtained by direct
- 315 drilling (summarised in Karjalainen, 2019) shows that the thickness of quaternary sediments there is no more than 40-50 meters. That is why it can be concluded that the S-wave velocities in the range of 800-3200 m/s correspond to different types of basement rocks. As these values are generally much lower than the values of S-wave velocities for the rocks of the Fennoscandian Shield obtained by laboratory measurements on rock samples (Kern et al., 1993, Dortman, 1992), they cannot be interpreted directly in terms of rock composition.

320 As known, non-zero azimuths to noise sources may only increase apparent velocities (e.g. Sadeghisorkhani et al., 2016). Therefore, it is necessary to find another explanation of generally low S-wave velocities of the basement rock in our study. One possible explanation is that the low values of shear wave velocities might be caused by non-zero azimuths to noise sources. However, it was demonstrated by previous studies that non-zero azimuth increases apparent velocity (e.g. Sadeghisorkhani et al., 2016). Formatted: English (United Kingdom)

al., 2016). That is why it is necessary to find another explanation of generally low S-wave velocities of the basement rock in our study. The S-wave velocities in the uppermost crust down to several kilometers were previously evaluated using surface waves by

Pedersen and Campillo (1991), Grad and Luosto (1992), Grad et al. (1998). They reveal low values of S-wave velocity in the shallow crust and low values of quality factor that is rapidly increasing at the depth of about 1 km. Moreover, Grad et al. (1998) found that the quality factor (<u>Q-factor</u>) in the Archean shallow crust of the Fennoscandian Schield is lower than that in the
Proterozoic crust. Grad and Luosto (1992) explained the low <u>Q-factor</u> we quality factor in the uppermost 1 km of the crust by increased cracks density. Therefore, increased crack density can explain also generally low S-wave velocities of the basement rocks revealed by our study. Consider that the wave is propagating through fractured medium consisting of granites and the fractures are filled with some clastic rocks. If the S-wave velocities in the granitic rock are about 3300 m/s and those in the clastic rocks are about 400 m/s (similar to the velocity in quaternary deposits), then the averaged velocity would be about 1700-2000 m/s, depending on crack density. Taking the general effect of fracturing into account, we conclude that the values

of S-wave velocities lower than 2000 m/s correspond to felsic rock, while the higher values of velocity correspond to mafic and ultramafic rocks (Figure 18).

7 Conclusions

- In our study, we used <u>the datarecordings</u> of ambient noise recorded during short time period in a generally quiet area with low level of anthropogenic noise. We showed that the noise was non-stationary, and <u>that</u> the azimuthal distribution of noise sources was neither isotropic nor heterogeneous during the whole data acquisition period. In spite of that, we obtained good quality EGFs and dispersion curves and the S-wave velocity models showing presence and thickness of quaternary sedimentary cover and velocity heterogeneities in the bedrock that agree well with the geological data. We explain this by the fact that the ambient noise recorded during the XSoDEx experiment contained a large proportion of diffused wavefield produced by scattering of
- 345 plane waves from distant sources and ballistic waves produced by certain types of non-stationary sources on stochastically distributed heterogeneities in the uppermost crust. We demonstrated by numerical modelling that certain types of geological structures, particularly those composed of rocks with contrasting elastic properties, could scatter plane waves and ballistic waves from non-stationary sources and produce scattered Rayleigh waves. This scattered wavefield together with the ballistic wavefield from non-stationary sources can be used for EGFs evaluation, if the special algorithm of signal-to-noise ratio
- 350 stacking (SNRS) is applied. Our result is a practical illustration of the conclusions about retrieval of EGF from the scattered wavefield revealed previously by Wapenaar (2004) and Wapenaar and Thorbecke (2013). In certain geological areas, extraction of EGFs from the wavefield scattered at heterogeneities provides an opportunity to reduce the time for short-term passive seismic experiments.

8 Acknowledgements

- 355 The XSoDEx project was realised as a joint effort of Geological Survey of Finland (coordinator), TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Institute of Geophysics and Geoinformatics, Freiberg, Germany, and University of Oulu. The wireless equipment of the University of Oulu is jointly operated by Oulu Mining School and Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory of the University of Oulu. The field work of the University of Oulu personnel in summer, 2017 was supported by the Renlund Foundation. Financial support for processing and interpretation of the XSoDEx data used in this study was provided by Geological Survey of Finland
- 360 in 2018. Thanks to Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory staff for their kind assistance during XSoDEx survey. Particular thanks are to Hanna Silvennoinen, Jouni Nevalainen, Kari Moisio, Jari Karjalainen and Tommi Pirttisalo, whose participation in XSoDEx field survey was particularly important for safe and reliable operation of Sercel Ltd wireless equipment. Many thanks to Henrik Jänkävaara for his contribution in XSoDEx data processing.

The authors wish to acknowledge CSC – IT Center for Science, Finland, for computational resources. The numerical modelling of wavefields, presented in the work was funded by the Russian Ministry of Education and Science by a research project of state assignment 'Development of seismic methods for forecasting and reducing the consequences of natural and man-made disasters in the Western Arctic sector of the Russia' (0409-2015-0135, №AAAA-A18-118012490072-7).

References

Åberg, A. K., Salonen, V. P., Korkka-Niemi, K., Rautio, A., Koivisto, E., Åberg, S. C.: GIS-based 3D sedimentary model for 370 visualizing complex glacial deposition in Kersilö, Finnish Lapland, Boreal environment research, 22, 277-298,

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/257516, 2017
Abraham, E. M., Alile, O. M.: Modelling subsurface geologic structures at the Ikogosi geothermal field, southwestern Nigeria, using gravity, magnetics and seismic interferometry techniques, Journal of Geophysics and Engineering, 16(4), 729-741,

375 Afonin, N., Kozlovskaya, E., Kukkonen, I., and DAFNE/FINLAND Working Group: Structure of the Suasselkä postglacial fault in northern Finland obtained by analysis of local events and ambient seismic noise, Solid Earth, 8, 531–544, https://doi.org/10.5194/se-8-531-2017, 2017.

Afonin, N., Kozlovskaya, E., Nevalainen, J., Narkilahti, J.: Improving the quality of empirical Green's functions, obtained by cross-correlation of high-frequency ambient seismic noise, Solid Earth, 10(5), 1621-1634, https://doi.org/10.5194/se-10-1621-

380 2019, 2019.

385

Aki, K.: Analysis of the seismic coda of local earthquakes as scattered waves. Journal of geophysical research, 74(2), 615-631, 1969

Aki, K., Richards, P. G.: Quantitative seismology, 2002.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jge/gxz034, 2019

Al-Husseini, M. I., Glover, J. B., Barley, B. J.: Dispersion patterns of the ground roll in eastern Saudi Arabia, Geophysics, 46.2, 121-137, https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1441183, 1981

Antonovskaya, G.N., Kapustian, N.K., Moshkunov, A.I., Danilov, A.V., Moshkunov, K.A.: New seismic array solution for earthquake observations and hydropower plant health monitoring, Journal of Seismology, 21, 1039–1053, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-017-9650-8, 2017

Antonovskaya, G., Kapustian, N., Basakina, I., Afonin, N., Moshkunov, K.: Hydropower Dam State and Its Foundation Soil
 Survey Using Industrial Seismic Oscillations, Geosciences, 9(4), 187, https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences9040187, 2019

Buske, S., Hlousek, F., Jusri, T., XSoDEx: Reflection seismic data acquisition and processing report, Institute of Geophysics and Geoinformatics TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Germany, 57 pp., 2019

Bohlen, T., Mueller, Ch. And Milkereit, B.: Elastic Seismic Wave Scattering from Massive Sulfide Orebodies. On the role of Composition and Shape. In: Eaton, D.W., Milkereit, B., and Salisbury, M. Hardrock Seismic Exploration. Geophysical Developments No. 10, Society of Exploration Geophysics, pp. 70-89, 2003.

Campillo, M., and Paul, A.: Long-range correlations in the diffuse seismic coda, Science, 299(5606), 547-549, DOI: 10.1126/science.1078551, 2003

Cheraghi, S., White, D. J., Draganov, D., Bellefleur, G., Craven, J. A., Roberts, B.: Passive seismic reflection interferometry: A case study from the Aquistore CO2 storage site, Saskatchewan, Canada, Geophysics, 82(3), B79-B93,
400 https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2016-0370.1, 2017

Dantas, O. A. B., do Nascimento, A. F., Schimmel, M.: Retrieval of body-wave reflections using ambient noise interferometry using a small-scale experiment, Pure and Applied Geophysics, 175(6), 2009-2022, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-018-1794-0, 2018

Dortman, N. B.: Handbook Petrophysics, Nedra, Moscow, 390 pp, 1992

395

405 Draganov, D., Campman, X., Thorbecke, J., Verdel, A., Wapenaar, K.: Reflection images from ambient seismic noise, Geophysics, 74(5), 63–67, https://doi.org/10.1190/1.3193529, 2009 Frankel, A., Clayton, R. W.: Finite difference simulations of seismic scattering: Implications for the propagation of shortperiod seismic waves in the crust and models of crustal heterogeneity. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 91(B6),

Gabriels, P., Snieder, R., Nolet, G.: In situ measurements of shear-wave velocity in sediments with higher-mode Rayleigh waves, Geophysical prospecting, 35.2, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2478.1987.tb00812.x, 187-196, 1987

Grad, M., and Luosto, U.: Fracturing of the crystalline uppermost crust beneath the SVEKA profile in Central Finland, Geophysica, 28.1-2, 53, 1992

Grad, M., Czuba, W., Luosto, U., Zuchniak, M.: QR factors in the crystalline uppermost crust in Finland from Rayleigh surface 415 waves, Geophysica, 34(3), 115-129, 1998

Grêt, A., Snieder, R., Aster, R. C., Kyle, P. R.: Monitoring rapid temporal change in a volcano with coda wave interferometry, Geophysical Research Letters, 32(6), https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL021143, 2005

Grêt, A., Snieder, R., Scales, J.: Time-lapse monitoring of rock properties with coda wave interferometry, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 111(B3), https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JB003354, 2006

420	Karjalainen, J.: Ambient noise H/V spectral ratio and its application for estimating thickness of overburden in ASODEx project	
	(Master's thesis), Oulu, Oulun yliopisto, teknillinen tiedekunta, kaivannaisala, geologia, 181 pp, 2019	Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)
•	Kern, H., Walther, C., Flüh, E. R., Marker, M.: Seismic properties of rocks exposed in the POLAR profile region-constraints	
	on the interpretation of the refraction data, Precambrian Research, 64 (1-4), 169-187, https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-	
	9268(93)90074-C, 1993	
425	Leväniemi, H., Melamies, M., Mertanen, S., Heinonen, S., Karinen, T.: Petrophysical measurements to support interpretation	
	of geophysical data in Sodankylä, northern Finland, Geological Survey of Finland Open File Work Report 25/2018, 2018	
	Lobkis, O. I., Weaver, R. L.: On the emergence of the Green's function in the correlations of a diffuse field. The Journal of	
	the Acoustical Society of America, 110(6), 3011-3017, 2001	
	Mari, J. L.: Estimation of static corrections for shear-wave profiling using the dispersion properties of Love waves, Geophysics,	
430	49(8), 1169-1179, https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1441746, 1984	
	Oren, C. and Nowack, R. L., Seismic body-wave interferometry using noise auto-correlations for crustal structure, Geophysical	
	Journal International, 208(1), 321-332, https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggw394, 2016	
	Park, C. B., Miller, R. D., Xia, J.: Multichannel analysis of surface waves, Geophysics, 64(3), 800-808,	
	https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1444590, 1999	
435	Payan, C., Garnier, V., Moysan, J., Johnson, P. A.: Determination of third order elastic constants in a complex solid applying	
	coda wave interferometry, Applied Physics Letters, 94(1), 011904, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3064129, 2009	
	Pedersen, H., and Campillo, M.: Depth dependence of Q beneath the Baltic Shield inferred from modeling of short period	
	seismograms, Geophysical research letters, 18.9, 1755-1758, https://doi.org/10.1029/91GL01693, 1991	
	Planès, T., Obermann, A., Antunes, V., Lupi, M.: Ambient-noise tomography of the Greater Geneva Basin in a geothermal	
440	exploration context, Geophysical Journal International, 220(1), 370-383, https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz457, 2020	
	Poli, P., Pedersen, H. A., Campillo, M., POLENET/LAPNET Working Group.: Emergence of body waves from cross-	
	correlation of short-period seismic noise, Geophysical Journal International, 188(2), 549-558, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-	
	246X.2011.05271.x, 2012	
	Polychronopoulou, K., Lois, A., Draganov, D.: Body-wave passive seismic interferometry revisited: mining exploration using	
445	the body waves of local microearthquakes, Geophysical Prospecting 68.1-Cost-Effective and Innovative Mineral Exploration	
	Solutions, 232-253, https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2478.12884, 2020	
	Reid, K. J.: The Importance of Minerals and Mining, Online Lecture, University of Minnesota, 2011	
	Rickett, J. and Claerbout, J.: Acoustic daylight imaging via spectral factorization: helioseismology and reservoir monitoring,	
	Geophysics, 18, 957-960, http://dx.doi.org/10.1190/1.1438420, 1999	
450	Romero, P. and Schimmel, M.: Mapping the basement of the Ebro Basin in Spain with seismic ambient noise autocorrelations,	
	Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 123(6), 5052-5067, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB015498, 2018	
	Roots, E., Calvert, A. J., Craven, J.: Interferometric seismic imaging around the active Lalor mine in the Flin Flon greenstone	
	belt, Canada, Tectonophysics, 718, 92-104, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.04.024, 2017	

Roux, P., Sabra, K.G., Gerstoft, P., Kuperman, W.A., Fehler, M.C.: P-waves from cross-correlation of seismic noise, Geophysical Research Letters, 32, L19303, doi:10.1029/2005GL023803, 2005

Ruigrok, E., Campman, X., Wapenaar, K.: Extraction of P-wave reflections from microseisms, Comptes Rendus Geoscience, 348(8-9), 512-525, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2011.02.006, 2011

455

- Sadeghisorkhani, H., Gudmundsson, Ó., Roberts, R., Tryggvason, A.: Mapping the source distribution of microseisms using noise covariogram envelopes, Geophysical Journal International, 205(3), 1473-1491, https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggw092, 2016
- 460 Shapiro, N. and Campillo, M.: Emergence of broadband Rayleigh waves from correlations of the ambient seismic noise, Geophysical Research Letters, 31.7, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL019491, 2004 Schön, J.H.: Fundamentals and principles of petrophysics, 2nd edition. Elsevier, 512 pp, 2015

Snieder, R., Grêt, A., Douma, H., Scales, J.: Coda wave interferometry for estimating nonlinear behavior in seismic velocity, Science, 295(5563), 2253-2255, DOI: 10.1126/science.1070015, 2002

465 Snieder, R.: The theory of coda wave interferometry, Pure and Applied geophysics, 163(2-3), 455-473, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-005-0026-6, 2006

Taylor, G., Rost, S., Houseman, G.: Crustal imaging across the North Anatolian Fault Zone from the autocorrelation of ambient seismic noise, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 2502–2509, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL067715, 2016

Tibuleac, I. M. and von Seggern, D.: Crust-mantle boundary reflectors in Nevada from ambient seismic noise autocorrelations, Geophysical Journal International, 189(1), 493–500, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05336.x, 2012

van Manen, D. J., Curtis, A., Robertsson, J. O.: Interferometric modeling of wave propagation in inhomogeneous elastic media using time reversal and reciprocity, Geophysics, 71(4), SI47-SI60, https://doi.org/10.1190/1.2213218, 2006 Vasara, H.: State and outlook of the mining industry, MEAE Business Sector Services, Spring 2018, Sector Reports, Ministry

- of Economic Affairs and Employment of Finland, http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-327-297-2, 2018
 Wang, T., Song, X., Han, H. X.: Equatorial anisotropy in the inner part of Earth's inner core from autocorrelation of earthquake coda, Nature Geoscience, 8, 224-227, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2354, 2015
 Wapenaar, K.: Retrieving the Elastodynamic Green's Function of an Arbitrary Inhomogeneous Medium by Cross Correlation, Physical review letters, 93(25), 254301, https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.254301, 2004
- 480 Wapenaar, K., Van Der Neut, J., Ruigrok, E., Draganov, D., Hunziker, J., Slob, E., Snieder, R.: Seismic interferometry by crosscorrelation and by multidimensional deconvolution: A systematic comparison, Geophysical Journal International, 185(3), 1335-1364, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05007.x, 2011

Wapenaar, K. and Thorbecke, J.: On the retrieval of the directional scattering matrix from directional noise, SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences, 6(1), 322-340, https://doi.org/10.1137/12086131X, 2013

485 Wu, R. S., Aki, K.: Scattering characteristics of elastic waves by an elastic heterogeneity. Geophysics, 50(4), 582-595, 1985





Figure 1: <u>The XSodEx seismic experiment: a) g-eographical location of the studyied area (red rectangle); b) (The XSoDEx survey</u> 490 <u>lines (coordinate system EUREF FIN TM35FIN); c) gGeological map of XSoDEx study area (Buske et. al., 2019). The XSoDEx survey lines are shown with black lines. Previous seismic reflection survey lines are plotted with blue lines.</u>





Figure 2: Illustration of noise level at <u>sixfour</u> stations located in different profiles of <u>the</u> XSoDEx experiment. Position of strong noise sources (Kevitsa mine <u>(vellow star)</u> and dams-of waterpower stations (<u>black triangles</u>)) and seismic stations selected for analysis <u>(blue circles)</u> are indicated. <u>Brown and white Yellow</u> lines show roads.- Inset figure shows comparison of ambient noise power spectral density estimated at selected stations.



Figure 3: The synthetic model used for investigation of wave propagation. Position of the seismic profile marked by the red line. 500 Low velocity corresponding to the air is indicated on the top by blue colour.



Figure 4: An example of synthetic seismogram (vertical component) of plane wave arrived with incidence angle of 45 degree and propagated through the synthetic model (0-4 sec). The seismogram shows first arrivals and numerous reflections. From about 1.5 seconds we can see scattered arrivals of different directions with apparent velocities of 2100 -2500 m/s. Several arrivals of scattered waves are indicated by red arrows.



Figure 5: Result of analysis of scattered arrivals in synthetic seismograms with apparent velocity of 2100 -2500 m/s for frequencies 2-20 Hz (Fig. 4): a) 3C seismogram for synthetic receiver located at distance of 2000 m from the source (Fig. 4); b) spectrogram of the scattered arrival, recorded by vertical component of synthetic receiver (white dashed line illustrate dispersion properties of the scattered arrival); c) particle motion diagrams, calculated using part of seismogram indicated by red lines in Figure 5 (a), which corresponds to scattered arrival.



Figure 6: Synthetic seismograms of the blast. <u>The coordinate of source</u> was located at offset of is-300 m: a) vertical channel Z; b) horizontal channel X; c) horizontal channel Y.





Figure 7: Spectrogram of the signal recorded by station V1 (location is shown in Figure 2) and used as a wavefield of the source in simulation.



Figure 8: Synthetic seismograms of the signal with the spectrogram presented in Fig. 7 and propagating in synthetic model shown
 in Fig. 3. The virtual source is locatedlocates at offset of 0 m: a) seismograms of vertical components; b) crosscorrelation functions of vertical components, calculated between the first trace and all other traces in (Ffigure 8 (ra); c) particle motion diagram of Rayleigh wave part in Fffgure 8 (b),b.

Formatted: English (United Kingdom) Formatted: English (United Kingdom)



525 Figure 9: Location of passive seismic profiles of XSoDEx Sakatti line: a) positions of high-resolution line (green color) and profile of lower resolution (blue line); b) The high-resolution line in which --rRed triangles marks positions of virtual sources; c) profile of lower resolution (red triangles indicate -positions of virtual sources),green line indicates high-resolution profile; blue line indicates profile of lower-resolution.



Figure 10: The workflow for data analysis



Figure 11: Example of EGFs with the correspondent dispersion curve, obtained from passive seismic data for high-resolution profile shown in Fig. 9: a) EGFs; b) dispersion curve, extracted by MASW technique; c) particle motion diagrams for part of EGF indicated in (a) by red lines.



regure 12: Results of inversion of inspersion curves obtained from passive seismic data of nigh-resolution ASODEx profile: a) 2D velocity model obtained by interpolation of 1D velocity models. Velocity colour scale is shown in the left, b) an example of 1D velocity model (black line) obtained by inversion of the dispersion curve in (c), corresponding to distance of 200 m in Fig. 12 (a); c) dispersion
 curve (black line), used for inversion of the velocity model in Fig. 12 (b). Colour scale corresponds to values of misfit function during different iteration steps in global optimization algorithm.



Figure 13: Example of EGFs with correspondent dispersion curve, obtained from passive seismic data: a) EGFs on frequency band 3-8 Hz; b) dispersion curve, calculated from EGFs by MASW technique; c) particle motion diagrams of a surface wave part of an EGF indicated by red lines.





Figure 14: Velocity models calculated by inversion of dispersion curves along the part of Sakatti profile marked by blue in Fig. 9.
 (a) S-wave velocity model obtained using passive seismic data; (b) S-wave velocity model obtained using seismic data, which contain
 signals produced by controlled source; c) differences between S-wave velocity models in plots (a) and (b), obtained using seismic data, which contain signals produced by controlled source and obtained using passive seismic data.



Figure 15: Crosscorrelation functions of signals, produced by Vibroseis[®] with the data recorded by wireless equipment at large offsets in frequency band of: a) 1-10 Hz, amplitudes normalized at maximum of each trace; b) 20-100 Hz. The signal used for cross correlation is shown in Fig. 16.



Figure 16: An example of Vibroseis[©] sweep, recorded by a wireless sensor placed near the vibrator in XSoDEx experiment: a) seismogram; b) spectrogram showing the frequency content of a vibrator signal.





Figure 17: An example of EGFs with correspondent dispersion curve of Rayleigh wave on frequency band 5-10 Hz, obtained by advanced method of passive seismic interferometry: a) EGFs, obtained by conventional method; b) EGFs, obtained by passive seismic interferometry; a) dispersion curve, extracted by MASW technique; c) particle motion diagrams for surface wave part of EGF marked by red in (a).



quaternary deposits, coarse-grained sorted sediments;

fractured felsic volcanic rocks;

- fractured mafic and ultramafic volcanic rocks;

mafic and ultramafic volcanic rocks.

565

Figure 18: Velocity models, calculated by inversion of dispersion curves, obtained by passive seismic interferometry for XSoDEx profiles shown in Fig. 1. The boundaries of geological units are marked by black dashed lines: a) Pomokairantie; b) Alaliesintie; c) Sakatti; d) Kuusivaarantie.