

10



Reverse time migration (RTM) imaging of iron-oxide deposits in the Ludvika mining area, Sweden

Yinshuai Ding¹ and Alireza Malehmir¹

5 1, Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, SE 75236, Uppsala, Sweden
 Correspondence to: Yinshuai Ding (yinshuai.ding@geo.uu.se)

Abstract. To discover or delineate mineral deposits and other geological features such as faults and lithological boundaries in their host rocks, seismic methods are a qualified choice, given their resolution power at depth. One major goal for seismic methods is to produce a reliable image of the subsurface given the typical discontinuous geology in crystalline environment with low signal-to-noise ratio. In this study, we investigate the usefulness of reverse time migration (RTM) imaging algorithm in hardrock environment by applying it to a legacy 2D dataset, which was acquired in the Ludvika mining area of central Sweden. We provide a how-to solution for applications of RTM in future and similar datasets. When using the RTM imaging

technique properly, it is possible to obtain high-fidelity seismic images of the subsurface. Due to good amplitude preservation in the RTM image, the imaged reflectors provide indications to infer their geological origin. Aside from the chosen seismic

- 15 imaging algorithm, we illustrate that two other important factors for successful RTM imaging workflows are the suitable acquisition and careful data pre-processing. Exemplified with the Ludvika legacy data, the RTM method allows imaging the iron-oxide deposits at a great level of detail down to 1200 m depth as shown from previous studies. It also provides muchimproved images of the lithological contacts and crosscutting features relative to the mineralized sheets. Some of the imaged crosscutting features are considered to be crucial when interpreting large-scale geological structures of the site and the likely
- 20 disappearance of mineralization at depth. The RTM imaging workflows have the potential to be used on hardrock seismic data and for deep targeting mineral deposits, a key message we would like to deliver in this article.

1 Introduction

Seismic methods are becoming promising for mineral exploration, especially for the deep-targeting purposes (Malehmir et al., 2012b and references therein). Compared to other geophysical methods (e.g., gravity, magnetic and/or electromagnetic), the

25 wave-equation-based active-source seismic methods utilize man-made seismic energy (e.g., a sudden impact produced by drophammer) that propagates through and interacts with various subsurface entities (Eaton et al., 2003). Among various geophysical methods, seismic methods can provide a qualified image of the targets with high resolution at depth when the survey is designed properly and when conditions are met. In such a seismic survey, a proper source should be employed to





generate seismic wavefields with sufficient energy and frequency bandwidth (e.g., Brodic et al., 2019; Pertuz et al., 2020). The 30 adequate energy ensures the wavefields propagate down to the depth of targets and travel back to the surface. A reasonable frequency bandwidth of the wavefields contributes to a suitable resolution image of the target area (ten Kroode et al., 2013).

Although the seismic methods have well been established and proven successful to delineate complex geological structures in sedimentary basins for hydrocarbon exploration (e.g., Sheriff and Geldart, 1995), their applications to delineate deep targets

- for mineral exploration are still relatively limited (Malehmir et al., 2012a and references therein; Buske et al., 2015; Malehmir et al., 2020a and references therein). There are several reasons for this hurdle. First, exploring and exploiting deep-seated deposits is economically costlier compared to that at the shallow subsurface (<500 m). Second, the heterogeneous and structurally complex hardrock environment (Cheraghi et al., 2013; Bellefleur et al., 2018; Bräunig et al., 2020), in which metallic deposits are mostly found, make imaging targets as seismically continuous reflections a great challenge. However,
- 40 exploration of mineral deposits (especially the deep ones) using seismic methods has become more appreciated by both the industry and the academia (Malehmir et al., 2020 and references therein). Two main factors can be accounted for this success. First, seismic data acquisition and processing have become much cheaper and more affordable by exploration companies. Still, mining companies are to be encouraged to employ the seismic method more routinely. Second, seismic imaging techniques have computationally been feasible and well developed to handle complex subsurface structures (O'Brien, 1983; Bednar,
- 45 2005). Regardless, the potential of prestack depth imaging algorithms (e.g., Kirchhoff and RTM) in imaging hardrock environment still need to be explored with efforts and case studies. Though Kirchhoff prestack depth imaging algorithms have been attempted with a few good illustrating results (Bellefleur et al., 2018; Bräunig et al., 2020), direct targeting deep mineral deposits using the RTM methods has rarely been applied to mineral exploration data examples.

In this study, our main goal is to demonstrate the usefulness of the seismic methods in deep-targeting of iron-oxide deposits 50 with the employment of the RTM imaging algorithm (Baysal et al., 1983; Zhou et al., 2018) in a legacy dataset. The dataset was acquired in the Ludvika mining area (Blötberget), from the central Sweden in 2016. Although there have been several studies (Balestrini et al., 2020; Bräunig et al., 2020; Markovic et al., 2020; Maries et al., 2020) on this 2D dataset, RTM as a method honouring the two-way-wave equation has not been applied to it yet. In this work, we show that advanced imaging methods such as RTM can produce qualified images to aid better understanding of the deposits and other geological features 55 in the host rocks.

This paper covers three key parts. First, we provide a brief review of the study area. Second, we apply the RTM imaging method to the dataset after a comprehensive data pre-processing. Third, we study and interpret the resultant RTM image by integrating with other geological and geophysical datasets.





2 Background of the study area

- 60 The Ludvika mining area belongs to the Paleoproterozoic Bergslagen mineral district (Figure 1), which has a long history for iron ore mining (Magnusson, 1970). The ore deposits are primarily magnetite and hematite as sheet-like bodies. At the Blötberget site where this study focuses, the sheet-like mineral horizons show a moderate dip (around $30 - 45^{\circ}$) towards the south-southwest based on the core logging data (Maries et al., 2017) and a recent 3D reflection survey in the area (Malehmir et al., 2020b).
- Due to the dropping steel prices on the global market, the mining operations had to be stopped in 1979. However, because of recent feasibility studies and improved resources, as well as the growing iron ore market, Nordic Iron Ore (NIO) plans to reopen the mine and exploit the deposits at depth level of 400-420 m in the near future. Relevant to this study is the work by Maries et al. (2017) on downhole logging study of the iron-oxide deposits at the site. As shown in the logging data, the strong density contrast between the iron-oxide deposits (~ 4500 kg.m⁻³) and their igneous host rocks (~ 2500 kg.m⁻³) should produce a strong impedance contrast as a good target for the seismic methods. However, the resistivity of the deposits is not
- considerably strong as normally targeted for base metals (it is only on the order of 1000 ohm.m) and very similar to that of the host rocks (around 3000-5000 ohm.m) to make the resistivity-based methods (such as EM) suitable for depth delineation of deposits.



75 **Figure 1.** (a) Total-field aeromagnetic and (b) geological maps of Blötberget within the Ludvika Mines of Bergslagen mineral district in central Sweden. 2D seismic survey (blue line) and borehole BB14004 are used in this study.





3 RTM imaging on the legacy Blötberget dataset

3.1 Review of the seismic data acquisition

80

The seismic survey was conducted along a 2D profile in 2016 (e.g., Markovic et al., 2020) following a feasibility study of a seismic landstreamer survey (Malehmir et al., 2017). In order to better image the deposits, the seismic profile was designed to run approximately perpendicular to the strike of the known mineralized sheets. In the survey, 451 receivers were deployed (Figure 1) of which 427 were cable-connected geophones (blue line) and 24 were wireless recorders (red line) deployed at the southern end of the profile. A 10-Hz spike-type geophone was used as the sensor. As for the receivers' spacings, the cabled recorders were deployed at every 5 m while the wireless recorders at every 10 m. Nonetheless, due to many practical limitations

- 85 (ground conditions, water streams, forest roads, etc.), the receiver spacing was never in reality exactly 5 m and/or 10 m. The source used was a 500-kg Bobcat-mounted drophammer. The source locations were collocated with the receiver locations. The sampling rate was set as 1 ms and recording time to 2 s. To avoid violating the 2D assumption of the subsurface below the seismic profile, we only use 369 receivers and sources that form a rather straight line in this study. The remaining 82 receivers and sources are not used due to the fact that they make a strong bend towards the northern-west end of the profile. Details of
- 90 the survey can be found in Markovic-Juhlin et al. (2020) and Maries et al. (2020).

3.2 Data Pre-processing

The aim of data pre-processing is to strengthen the reflected events in terms of amplitudes and continuity. For the preprocessing of the seismic data, we designed a 6-step workflow as shown in Figure 2.



95 **Figure 2.** The six-step data pre-processing workflow for the RTM imaging. In this study, offset regularization and surface-wave suppression had the most significant roles.





Step 1. We applied automatic gain control (AGC) (e.g., Yilmaz, 2001) to balance the amplitudes at different offsets and different arrival times. The amplitudes of the raw data (Figure 3a) are more balanced after AGC (Figure 3b), though noise is also amplified at the same time. This step was necessary to reduce the amplitude of the surface-waves as they dominate the seismic signal.

Step 2. We used a bandpass filter (10-35-150-200 Hz) to maintain data in this frequency band based on the analysis of the frequency band in the first breaks (Figure 3c).

105 **Step 3.** We performed surface-consistent refraction static corrections to compensate for the near surface effects due to the different surface topography and the low-velocity glacial covers. The irregularity in time of the first arrivals is mitigated after the refraction static corrections (Figure 3d).

Step 4. We practiced offset regularization (Figure 3e) of the dataset along a 2D smooth-curved line and using a simple linear interpolation method. We regularized both the receiver spacing and source spacing to be 5 m from the original irregular

110 spacings using a cubic function. After data regularization, we obtained a more even fold coverage required for RTM imaging. AS a result, the shot and receiver points were regularized to 415 from the original 369. Such even fold coverage should contribute to an amplitude-balanced image, although one needs to use and inspect the interpolated seismic traces with cares.

Step 5. We suppressed the surface-waves (Figure 3f) using the curvelet filtering method (Candès et al., 2006). The geometrical difference of surface waves (almost linear events) and reflections (non-linear events) provides a feasibility to sperate them

115 using curvelet filtering. After removing the strong surface-waves with large amplitudes, the relative weaker reflection events show up clearly in the dataset.

Step 6. We muted the direct arrivals and the ambient noise above the first breaks (Figure 3g) to reduce artefacts in the final seismic section.







Figure 3. (a) Example raw shot gather after AGC application (Step 1). (b) After bandpass filtering (Step 2). (c) After refraction static corrections (Step 3). (d) After data regularization (Step 4). (e) After surface-wave suppression (Step 5) and (f) after top muting (Step 6). The yellow ellipses mark a clear reflection which we interpret to be from the iron-oxide deposits.

125 Note that we only used a bandpass filter to supress parts of the noise. When forming an RTM image using the filed data in the next section, the random noise in the data tends to be cancelled because of the cross-correlation imaging condition.

3.3 RTM imaging

120

RTM imaging algorithm requires three ingredients (1) a source wavelet, (2) a shot gather, and (3) a smooth but reasonable velocity model. Using the source wavelet and the migration velocity model, one can then forward propagate wavefields from

- 130 the source side. Using the shot gather and the migration velocity model, the backward-propagating wavefields from the receiver side can then be modelled. Cross-correlation between the forward-propagating wavefields and the backward-propagating wavefields forms a partial RTM image from a single shot gather. During the cross-correlation, an illumination compensation using source-side wavefields is done to balance the amplitudes in the seismic section (e.g., Valenciano and Biondi, 2003). The final RTM section is obtained by summing all the partial RTM images of all the shot gathers.
- 135 For the Blötberget seismic dataset, we used a staggered finite-difference modelling method (2nd order in time, 4th order in space) to realize the RTM. The source signal was chosen to be a Ricker wavelet (Figure 4a) with a peak frequency of 70 Hz





and with a time step of $dt = 5 * 10^{-4}$ s. The dt used in RTM is half of the sampling rate in the data. Hence, our data was upsampled to dt by a linear interpolation before it was being back propagated. The size of the 2D migration velocity (Figure 4b) was set 401 (vertical) by 415 (horizontal). The grid interval was set 5 *m* along both directions (dx = dz = 5 m). To obtain a relatively good migration velocity model, we performed the semblance velocity analysis (e.g., Zhou, 2014). In doing this, the

- 140 relatively good migration velocity model, we performed the semblance velocity analysis (e.g., Zhou, 2014). In doing this, the reflected signal from the deposits was the main constraint. Thus, the velocity above the deposits were well constrained while the other areas are less. To mitigate the numerical artefacts from the boundaries of the velocity model, we added the perfectly matched layers (PML) (e.g., Komatitsch and Martin, 2007) on the four edges of the velocity model. Each PML has a thickness of 50 grid points. With the 4-sides PML, the wavefield modelling had no free-surface condition.
- We ran the RTM for all the 415 shot gathers to obtain 415 partial images. Then, we applied a Gaussian smoothing filter to suppress noise with smaller wavelengths ($\lambda < \sim 10$ m, i.e., 2-grid size) in the partial images. Summing the smoothed 415 partial images, we obtained the final RTM image (Figure 5).



Figure 4 (a) Ricker wavelet (70 Hz) was chosen as the source wavelet, which generates forward propagating wavefields. (b) Migration velocity model used for the forward and backward propagations.







Figure 5. Final RTM section of the Blötberget legacy dataset. The green triangles are where common image gathers (CIG) are shown later in the article.

4 RTM results and their interpretations

- 155 Compared to the previous studies (Balestrini et al., 2020; Bräunig et al., 2020; Maries et al., 2020; Markovic et al., 2020) using the same dataset, the RTM image (Figure 5) is much more promising. We validated the trustworthiness of the imaged reflectors in the RTM image in two ways. First, we analysed six common image gathers (CIG) (e.g., Yan and Xie, 2011) from the image. Second, we integrated the results with other available geological and geophysical data to verify our interpretation of the reflectors in the section.
- 160 For a trace in the final image (Figure 5) at a specific spatial location (75, 325, 575, 825, 1075, and 1325 m distances along the profile), its corresponding common image gather (CIG) was formed by extracting the seismic traces from different partial images at the same spatial location. In our case, the CIG was composed of seismic traces extracted at those specific image locations from 415 single-shot partial images. In a single CIG gather, the traces were indexed by the offset between a source location and its specific CIG location in the RTM image. The flatness of continuous events in the CIG provided a qualitative
- 165 evaluation of the fidelity of imaged reflectors. We extracted six CIGs from the RTM image (Figure 5) at 75, 325, 575, 825, 1075, and 1325 m (Figure 6). In the CIGs, the events reflected from the iron-oxide deposits are quite continuous and flat (Figure 6a-d) from zero offset to the far offset (~ 900 m), while events reflected from the inferred faults (Markovic et al., 2020) do not show continuity across the whole section (Figure 6e & 6f). The less continuous flattened events in the CIG corresponding to the inferred fault planes are likely due to the inaccuracy of the velocity model in the area of the inferred
- 170 faults.







Figure 6. Six CIG gathers (a) 75 m, (b) 325 m, (c) 575 m, (d) 825 m, (e) 1075 m and (f) 1325 m distances along the RTM section extracted to present the quality of the RTM section (see Figure 5). The green receiver in each CIG collocates with their CIG positions in the original image. The yellow boxes highlight where the flat events are present.

- The CIGs only show the trustworthiness of the seismic image in an image-wise sense. Only from the seismic image itself, it is difficult to deduce what the imaged reflectors represent geologically. Hence, one should also integrate the results with other geological and/or geophysical data to assist and validate the interpretations of the seismic image. In our study area, four other datasets are available for this purpose. First, we have an existing 3D ore block model from the boreholes. Second, two inferred 3D fault planes have recently been imaged and picked from the recent 3D survey (Malehmir et al., 2020b). Third, one borehole (BB-14004) near the seismic acquisition line has both the density and sonic logging data (Figure 7a & 7b) (Maries et al., 2017). Using the density data and P-wave sonic data, we calculated their reflection coefficients (Figure 7c) along the wellbore trajectories. Convolving the calculated reflection coefficients (Figure 7c) with a 70-Hz Ricker wavelet, we obtained a synthetic seismic trace along the wellbore trajectory (Figure 7d). Fourth, there is a high-resolution (200 m flight spacing) aeromagnetic
- data (Figure 8) available in this study area. The calculated magnetic anomaly data from it are used as well for the interpretation.
 Treating the RTM image as a dataset, we have 5 datasets in total. Assembling these 5 different datasets in 3D (Figure 8), we
- can note their spatial relationships and correlations.







Figure 7. Logging data from BB14004. (a) Density, (b) P-wave velocity values and (c) Calculated reflection coefficients. (d) The synthetic trace using a 70-Hz Ricker wavelet. The iron-oxide deposits (530-570 m) produced strong seismic signal in the synthetic trace.



190

195

Figure 8. 3D view integrating the RTM section with other geophysical data. (a) M1 and M2 are 3D ore block models intersecting the strong reflectors in the seismic section. These imaged strong reflectors also correlate well with the high magnetic anomaly seen on the northern part of the profile in the overlaid magnetic map. (b) Two inferred fault planes (F1 and F2) as mapped by the recent 3D seismic data in the area and borehole BB-14004 (solid red line) intersecting the deposits. A small discrepancy between the imaged reflectors and the inferred fault planes (F1 and F2) might be due to the out-of-the-plane nature of these features producing a biased dip in the 2D RTM plane.





We interpreted the seismic image in the contexts of the four different datasets as above-mentioned. For a better illustration, we also show the integrated datasets along the 2D seismic section (Figure 9). First, we extracted the magnetic anomaly data along the seismic acquisition line (Figure 9a). Based on the high values of the magnetic anomaly data in the northern east part 200 along the profile, the position of the deposits is indicated well. From 1000 m to 1500 m distance along the profile, the magnetic anomaly bulges up again, which we related to a shallow reflector (R2 in Figure 9b) in the seismic section. Second, we plotted the intersections between the two mineralized sheets and the RTM image (M1 and M2 in Figure 9b). The two intersection lines match well with the negative peaks in the seismic section. Based on the continuity of the reflectors at the deposit depths, it is highly reasonable that the mineralised sheets extend down to approximately 1000 m than the ore block model. Third, we 205 marked out the intersections between the two inferred fault planes and the RTM image (F1 and F2 in Figure 9b). The intersection due to the deeper fault matches well with the reflector, which is cross-cutting the deposit reflectors. However, the intersection due to the shallow fault matches well only at the deeper part around the deposits. Fourth, we projected the 1D synthetic seismic trace from BB-14004 on the 2D image (Figure 9b). The large amplitudes in the synthetic seismic trace at a depth interval of 500-600 m matches well with the reflectors in the image. Additionally, the reflector imaged above the deposits 210 also matches well with weak amplitude in the synthetic seismic trace at a depth interval of approximately 350-370 m (R1 in

Figure 9b). We attributed this reflector to a contact (Figure 7) between volcanic and migmatitic rocks based on the borehole core logging information. There are other reflectors clearly shown up in the RTM image. However, we did not interpret them due to the lack of other geological and geophysical data at their locations.







215 **Figure 9.** (a) Total-field magnetic anomaly along the seismic section. (b) The intersections of two deposit surfaces (M1 & M2) and the intersection of the two fault planes (F1 & F2) are plotted. The synthetic trace is plotted along the well trajectory. R1 is interpreted to be from a lithological contact (see Figure 8). R2 may be a weak iron-oxide mineralization as it appears in a region with slightly higher magnetic properties than the neighbouring areas.

5 Discussion

220 Seismic methods are useful in depicting the geometry of mineral deposits and subsurface structures and assisting mineral exploration and future mine planning applications. Every step in the seismic methods should be designed and applied properly for detecting the potential deep mining targets, from data collection in the field to data processing and imaging in the office.

The seismic data acquisition should provide data with good spatial and temporal sampling. In the current 2D case, when considering the sheet-like targets having a dip at certain depth, one needs to set up the orientation and the length of the seismic





line accordingly. The proper orientation of the profile ensures obtaining a nearly true dip of the target in the image. The proper length of the profile allows receiving the reflected signal from the target at depth.

In our study, the six-step pre-processing workflow was essential in preconditioning the data and hence obtaining a good RTM seismic section. This pre-processing workflow is recommended for future studies of RTM on hardrock seismic data. The

- 230 migration velocity model is another main factor that influences the accuracy of the resultant RTM image. If the data itself lacks reflection events to be used for a good velocity analysis across the whole velocity model, one needs to be careful with the interpretation of the reflectors in the final image. With the CIG analysis (e.g., Schleicher et al., 2008), it is possible to refine the velocity model in the future studies. Based on the flatness of those events in CIG images, we argue that the current velocity model works well for imaging the mineral deposits at the site, though the migration velocity needs to be updated for better
- 235 imaging other subsurface structures. An accurate 2D velocity model using VSP surveys could likely improve the RTM imaging results. However, the current study already supports that the RTM imaging methods to be attempted for even hardrock seismic datasets and for mineral exploration purposes.

A remaining topic that one should also consider is that if there is strong AVO/AVA (amplitude-versus-offset/angle) effect (Castagna and Backus, 1993; Hilterman et al., 2000) in the CIG images. Using Zoeppritz equations (Zoeppritz, 1919; Sheriff

- and Geldart, 1995), we calculated the AVA (amplitude-versus-angle) effect using a simple isotropic two-layer model (Figure 10a), which simulates physical properties of crystalline rocks but rather in a layered case. The amplitudes of P- and S-waves reflected from the horizontal contact between the granite and the iron-oxide mineralization show a strong variation (Figure 10b) versus the incident angles of the plane waves (P). Relevant to this specific legacy dataset, the dipping angle and the thickness of the mineralized sheets need further to be accounted for when studying their AVO effects. Since the CIGs produced
- from the RTM partial images are ideal for studying the AVO effect relative to the CIG locations (Yan and Xie, 2012), future studies should exploit this potential for better scrutinizing and extracting physical property information from seismic data. Additionally, crystalline environment with high degree of metamorphism may even show strong anisotropy to cause anisotropic AVO response (Asaka, 2018). Utilizing the isotropic/anisotropic AVO analysis as a supplementary tool to characterise various rocks and mineral deposits from the seismic data is ideal in mineral exploration (Harrison and Urosevic, 2012).







Figure 10. (a) A two-layer model with rock properties of granitic rock in the top layer and that of iron-oxide mineralization in the bottom layer. (b) The amplitude versus incident angle (AVA) response of the reflected P- and S-waves. The incident plane wave is P-wave with amplitude 1.

255 6 Conclusions

260

We have studied the application of RTM imaging method on a hardrock seismic dataset acquired for deep-targeting iron-oxide deposits in the Ludvika mining area of central Sweden. Using a 6-step pre-processing workflow, we suppressed the unwanted noise and improved the signal-to-noise ratio. Consequently, the reflected events from the deposits and other geological features were remarkably strengthened. The resultant RTM image shows clearly several reflectors, which are consistent when compared with four other independent datasets. From the known deposit model constrained from existing boreholes, two sets of strong seismic reflectors match well with the two iron-oxide mineralised bearing horizons. Two oppositely dipping reflectors,

interpreted to be from fault planes, intersect the two strong reflectors from the mineralization implying possibly a geological

control on the extension or termination of these deposits at depth.

Integrating the seismic image with the high-resolution magnetic anomaly data, a weak zone of iron-oxide mineralization can be interpreted at shallow depth. Using P-wave sonic data, density and core logging data, we identified one continuous reflector as the contact between volcanic and migmatitic rocks. AVO effect was also studied using a simple two-layer model since we speculated a possible AVO response in the CIGs. There may be opportunities for detailed AVO studies of dense metallic



270



deposits in either theoretical modelling or real field applications. In summary and exemplified with the Ludvika legacy seismic dataset, we illustrate the potential of advanced imaging methods such as RTM for deep targeting and imaging mineral deposits and their host rock structures.

7 Acknowledgements

This work was performed within the Smart Exploration project. The Smart Exploration was funded by European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program with the grant agreement no. 775971. We thank Nordic Iron Ore (NIO) AB for their collaboration in this study. Georgiana Maries is thanked for providing the density and sonic logs. The open-source

275 package CREWES was used for processing the seismic data partially.

References

Asaka, M.: Anisotropic AVO: Implications for reservoir characterization, Lead. Edge, 37(12), 916–923, doi:10.1190/tle37120916.1, 2018.

Balestrini, F., Draganov, D., Malehmir, A., Marsden, P. and Ghose, R.: Improved target illumination at Ludvika mines of Sweden through
 seismic-interferometric surface-wave suppression, Geophys. Prospect., 68(1), 200–213, doi:10.1111/1365-2478.12890, 2020.

Baysal, E., Kosloff, D. D. and Sherwood, J. W. C.: Reverse time migration, GEOPHYSICS, 48(11), 1514–1524, doi:10.1190/1.1441434, 1983.

Bednar, J. B.: A brief history of seismic migration, GEOPHYSICS, 70(3), 3MJ-20MJ, doi:10.1190/1.1926579, 2005.

Bellefleur, G., Cheraghi, S. and Malehmir, A.: Reprocessing legacy three-dimensional seismic data from the Halfmile Lake and Brunswick No. 6 volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits, New Brunswick, Canada1, Can. J. Earth Sci., doi:10.1139/cjes-2018-0103, 2018.

Bräunig, L., Buske, S., Malehmir, A., Bäckström, E., Schön, M. and Marsden, P.: Seismic depth imaging of iron-oxide deposits and their host rocks in the Ludvika mining area of central Sweden, Geophys. Prospect., 68(1), 24–43, doi:10.1111/1365-2478.12836, 2020.

Brodic, B., Kunder, R. D., Ras, P., Berg, J. V. den and Malehmir, A.: Seismic Imaging Using Electromagnetic Vibrators - Storm versus Lightning, vol. 2019, pp. 1–5, European Association of Geoscientists & Engineers., 2019.

290 Buske, S., Bellefleur, G. and Malehmir, A.: Introduction to special issue on "hard rock seismic imaging," Geophys. Prospect., 63(4), 751– 753, doi:10.1111/1365-2478.12257, 2015.

Candès, E., Demanet, L., Donoho, D. and Ying, L.: Fast Discrete Curvelet Transforms, Multiscale Model. Simul., 5(3), 861–899, doi:10.1137/05064182X, 2006.

Castagna, J. P. and Backus, M. M.: Offset-Dependent Reflectivity? Theory and Practice of AVO Analysis, Society of Exploration 295 Geophysicists., 1993.

Cheraghi, S., Malehmir, A., Bellefleur, G., Bongajum, E. and Bastani, M.: Scaling behavior and the effects of heterogeneity on shallow seismic imaging of mineral deposits: A case study from Brunswick No. 6 mining area, Canada, J. Appl. Geophys., 90, 1–18, doi:10.1016/j.jappgeo.2012.12.003, 2013.





Eaton, D. W., Milkereit, B. and Salisbury, M. H.: Hardrock Seismic Exploration, Society of Exploration Geophysicists., 2003.

300 Harrison, C. B. and Urosevic, M.: Seismic processing, inversion, and AVO for gold exploration — Case study from Western Australia, GEOPHYSICS, 77(5), WC235–WC243, doi:10.1190/geo2011-0506.1, 2012.

Hilterman, F., Van Schuyver, C. and Sbar, M.: AVO examples of long-offset 2-D data in the Gulf of Mexico, Lead. Edge, 19(11), 1200–1213, doi:10.1190/1.1438506, 2000.

Komatitsch, D. and Martin, R.: An unsplit convolutional perfectly matched layer improved at grazing incidence for the seismic wave equation, GEOPHYSICS, 72(5), SM155–SM167, doi:10.1190/1.2757586, 2007.

Magnusson, N. H.: The Origin of the Iron Ores in Central Sweden and the History of Their Alterations, Svensk reproduktions AB (distr.)., 1970.

Malehmir, A., Urosevic, M., Bellefleur, G., Juhlin, C. and Milkereit, B.: Seismic methods in mineral exploration and mine planning — Introduction, GEOPHYSICS, 77(5), WC1–WC2, doi:10.1190/2012-0724-SPSEIN.1, 2012a.

310 Malehmir, A., Durrheim, R., Bellefleur, G., Urosevic, M., Juhlin, C., White, D. J., Milkereit, B. and Campbell, G.: Seismic methods in mineral exploration and mine planning: A general overview of past and present case histories and a look into the future, GEOPHYSICS, 77(5), WC173–WC190, doi:10.1190/geo2012-0028.1, 2012b.

Malehmir, A., Maries, G., Bäckström, E., Schön, M. and Marsden, P.: Developing cost-effective seismic mineral exploration methods using a landstreamer and a drophammer, Sci. Rep., 7(1), 10325, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-10451-6, 2017.

315 Malehmir, A., Manzi, M., Draganov, D., Weckmann, U. and Auken, E.: Introduction to the special issue on "Cost-effective and innovative mineral exploration solutions," Geophys. Prospect., 68(1), 3–6, doi:10.1111/1365-2478.12915, 2020a.

Malehmir, A., Markovic, M., Marsden, P., Gil, A., Buske, S., Sito, L., Bäckström, E., Sadeghi, M. and Luth, S.: Sparse 3D reflection seismic survey for deep-targeting iron-oxide deposits and their host rocks, Ludvika Mines-Sweden, Solid Earth Discuss., 1–29, doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2020-141, in discussion, 2020b.

320 Maries, G., Malehmir, A., Bäckström, E., Schön, M. and Marsden, P.: Downhole physical property logging for iron-oxide exploration, rock quality, and mining: An example from central Sweden, Ore Geol. Rev., 90, 1–13, doi:10.1016/j.oregeorev.2017.10.012, 2017.

Maries, G., Malehmir, A. and Marsden, P.: Cross-profile seismic data acquisition, imaging and modeling of iron-oxide deposits: a case study from Blötberget, south central Sweden, GEOPHYSICS, 1–66, doi:10.1190/geo2020-0173.1, 2020.

Markovic, M., Maries, G., Malehmir, A., Ketelhodt, J. von, Bäckström, E., Schön, M. and Marsden, P.: Deep reflection seismic imaging of iron-oxide deposits in the Ludvika mining area of central Sweden, Geophys. Prospect., 68(1), 7–23, doi:10.1111/1365-2478.12855, 2020.

O'Brien, P. N. S.: Aspects of seismic reflection prospecting for oil and gas, Geophys. J. Int., 74(1), 97-127, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1983.tb01872.x, 1983.

Pertuz, T., Malehmir, A., Bordic, B., Bäckström, E., Marsden, P., de Kunder, R. and Bos, J.: Broadband seismic data acquisition using an E-vib source for enhanced imaging of iron-oxide deposits, Sweden, in Expanded abstracts, Society of Exploration Geophysicists., 2020.

330 Schleicher, J., Costa, J. C. and Novais, A.: Time migration velocity analysis by image-wave propagation of common-image gathers, in SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts 2008, pp. 3133–3137, Society of Exploration Geophysicists., 2008.

Sheriff, R. E. and Geldart, L. P.: Exploration Seismology, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge., 1995.

Ten Kroode, F., Bergler, S., Corsten, C., de Maag, J. W., Strijbos, F. and Tijhof, H.: Broadband seismic data — The importance of low frequencies, GEOPHYSICS, 78(2), WA3–WA14, doi:10.1190/geo2012-0294.1, 2013.





335 Valenciano, A. A. and Biondi, B.: 2-D deconvolution imaging condition for shot-profile migration, in SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts 2003, pp. 1059–1062, Society of Exploration Geophysicists., 2003.

Yan, R. and Xie, X.: Angle gather extraction for acoustic and isotropic elastic RTM, in SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts 2011, pp. 3141–3146, Society of Exploration Geophysicists., 2011.

Yan, R. and Xie, X.-B.: AVA analysis based on RTM angle-domain common image gather, in SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts 2012, pp. 1–6, Society of Exploration Geophysicists., 2012.

Yilmaz, Ö.: Seismic Data Analysis, Society of Exploration Geophysicists., 2001.

Zhou, H.: Practical Seismic Data Analysis, High. Educ. Camb. Univ. Press, doi:10.1017/CBO9781139027090, 2014.

Zhou, H., Hu, H., Zou, Z., Wo, Y. and Youn, O.: Reverse time migration: A prospect of seismic imaging methodology, Earth-Sci. Rev., 179, 207–227, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.02.008, 2018.

345 Zoeppritz, K.: VII b. Über Reflexion und Durchgang seismischer Wellen durch Unstetigkeitsflächen, Nachrichten Von Ges. Wiss. Zu Gött. Math.-Phys. Kl., 1919(1), 68–84, 1919.