

1 **Transverse jointing in foreland fold-and-thrust belts: a remote sensing**
2 **analysis in the eastern Pyrenees**

3

4 Stefano Tavani¹, Pablo Granado², Amerigo Corradetti³, Thomas Seers³, Josep Maria Casas²,
5 Josep Anton Muñoz²

6

7 1 - DISTAR, Università degli Studi di Napoli “Federico II”, Via Cupa Nuova Cintia 21,
8 80126, Naples, Italy

9 2 - Institut de Recerca Geomodels, Departament de Dinàmica de la Terra i de l’Oceà,
10 Universitat de Barcelona, C/ Martí i Franques s/n, 08028, Barcelona, Spain

11 3 - Department of Petroleum Engineering, Texas A&M University at Qatar. Education City,
12 PO Box 23874, Doha, Qatar

13

14 **Abstract**

15 Joint systems in the eastern portion of the Ebro Basin of the eastern Pyrenees enjoy near
16 continuous exposure from the frontal portion of the belt up to the external portion of its
17 associated foredeep. Utilizing orthophoto mosaics of these world class exposures, we have
18 manually digitized over 30000 joints within a 16x50 km study area. The mapped traces
19 exhibit orientations that are dominantly perpendicular to the trend of the belt (transverse) and,
20 subordinately, parallel to the belt (longitudinal). In particular, joints systematically orient
21 perpendicular to the trend of the belt both in the frontal folds and in the inner and central
22 portion of the foredeep basin. Longitudinal joints occur rarely, with a disordered spatial
23 distribution, exhibiting null difference in abundance between the belt and the foredeep. Joint
24 orientations in the external portion of the foredeep become less clustered, with adjacent areas
25 dominated by either transverse or oblique joints. Our data indicates that joints in the studied
26 area formed in the foredeep in response to a foredeep-parallel stretching, which becomes
27 progressively less intense within the external portion of the foredeep. There, the minimum
28 stress direction becomes more variable, evidencing poor contribution of the forebulge-
29 perpendicular stretching on stress organization.

30

1 **Introduction**

2 Fractures can be effective pathways for fluid flow (e.g. Laubach et al., 2019), thus
3 impacting production of hydrocarbons (Barr et al., 2007; Engelder et al., 2009; Questiaux et
4 al., 2010) and geothermal water (Haffen et al., 2013; Vidal et al., 2017), the pathways and
5 fates of contaminants released from deep geological radioactive waste repositories
6 (Berkowitz et al., 1988; Iding and Ringrose, 2010) and the sustainable management of
7 groundwater (Masciopinto and Palmiotta, 2013). Associated with crustal tension, joints are
8 ubiquitous open-mode fractures occurring in a range of tectonic settings, including collisional
9 belts. In collisional settings, layer bending and stretching during the growth of thrust-related
10 anticlines has conventionally been invoked as the principal causative process for the
11 development of joints oriented approximately parallel (e.g. Ramsay, 1967; Murray, 1968) and
12 perpendicular (e.g. Dietrich, 1989; Lemiszki et al., 1994) to the trend of the belt and of the
13 thrust related anticlines. However, the frequently observed obliquity between joints (and
14 other meso-scale structures) and the trend of the hosting anticlines (e.g. Tavani et al., 2019;
15 Beaudoin et al., 2020), along with the documented occurrence of joints in exposed forelands
16 (e.g. Dunne and North, 1990; Zhao and Jacobi, 1997; Billi and Salvini, 2003; Whitaker and
17 Engelder, 2006), has more recently led to the conclusion that, in many cases, joints and other
18 kinds of extensional fractures exposed in thrust and fold belts have developed prior to folding
19 and thrusting, within the foreland region (e.g. Doglioni, 1995; Zhao and Jacobi, 1997;
20 Tavarnelli and Peacock, 1999; Lash and Engelder, 2007; Branellec et al., 2015; Basa et al.,
21 2019; Giuffrida et al., 2019; Martinelli et al., 2019; Carrillo et al., 2020), where joints are
22 layer-perpendicular and commonly oriented parallel (longitudinal) and perpendicular
23 (transverse) to the belt-foredeep-forebulge trend (Tavani et al., 2015).

24 A partially unresolved question in foreland deformation relates to the development of
25 transverse joints, which requires a tensile minimum stress oriented parallel to the foredeep.
26 Even in arched systems, the forebulge, the foredeep, and the belt tend to be nearly parallel to
27 each other locally (e.g. the Hellenic arc, the Apennines-Calabrian arc, the Betic-Rif arc). The
28 shortening direction in the inner portion of the foredeep (subjected to layer parallel
29 shortening) and the stretching direction in the forebulge (where bulge-perpendicular
30 stretching induced by lithospheric outer arc extension operates) are nearly parallel in a belt-
31 perpendicular transect (Fig. 1). In addition, in the innermost portion of the foredeep, where
32 layer parallel shortening operates, the σ_2 is typically vertical and the σ_3 is positive,

1 horizontal, and parallel to the trend of the belt (Tavani et al., 2015). This is evidenced by the
2 occurrence of bedding-perpendicular pressure solution-vein pairs (e.g. Railsback and
3 Andrews, 1995; Evans and Elmore, 2006; Quintà and Tavani, 2012; Weil and Yonkee, 2012)
4 and/or conjugate strike-slip faults at a high angle to bedding (e.g. Marshak et al., 1982,
5 Hancock, 1985, Erslev, 2001; Lacombe et al., 2006; Amrouch et al., 2010, Weil and Yonkee,
6 2012) occurring in foreland areas and in the adjacent fold and thrust belts worldwide,
7 although in many cases structures associated with this strike-slip regime do not develop
8 during layer parallel shortening (e.g. Soliva et al., 2013). Given the above, there should be an
9 area in between the inner portion of the foredeep and the peripheral bulge where σ_1 becomes
10 vertical and where σ_3 is still horizontal and parallel to the belt (Fig. 1a). This scenario could
11 explain the development of layer-perpendicular transverse extensional structures, with
12 transverse extensional faults or veins expected to develop where σ_3 is positive. In this
13 scenario, transverse joints occurring in this zone of localized tension could only develop as
14 cross-joints (e.g. Gross, 1993) of the longitudinal set formed in the forebulge. This simplified
15 model does not explain the documented occurrence of transverse joints in areas where
16 longitudinal joints do not occur or represent the cross-joint set (e.g. Zhao and Jacobi, 1997;
17 Quintà and Tavani, 2012). This framework does not admit a simple stress permutation in the
18 foredeep and requires a negative (tensile) σ_3 connected to a foredeep-parallel stretching
19 component (Fig. 1b). Lithospheric bending of the foredeep, both along the horizontal plane
20 (e.g. Doglioni, 1995) and along the vertical plane parallel to the trench (Quintà and Tavani,
21 2012), has been invoked as a process able to produce foredeep-parallel stretching.

22 Continuous exposures across the entire foreland region of the eastern Pyrenees allows
23 investigation of the primary mechanism responsible for transverse joint development
24 described above. Tens to hundreds of meters long joints affect the sedimentary sequence of
25 the Ebro foredeep basin (Fig. 2a), and are found tilted within the frontal structures of the
26 Pyrenean belt (Fig. 2b). These pre-folding joints are exceptionally exposed and mappable
27 from orthophotos (Fig. 2c-f), from which they can be traced almost continuously from the
28 external portion of the foredeep until the thrust belt. We have remotely mapped 30059 joints
29 traces from the aforementioned orthophoto dataset and obtained their azimuthal distributions
30 across the study area. Subsequently, this extended lineament database has been used to
31 constrain the causative mechanism behind transverse jointing in the Ebro foredeep basin.

32

1 Geological framework

2 The study area is situated in the eastern Ebro foreland basin within an area connecting
3 the eastern Pyrenees with the Catalan Coastal Ranges (Fig. 3a). The Pyrenees is an EW-
4 striking orogenic system that formed as the Iberian and European plates collided from Late
5 Cretaceous to Miocene times (Roest and Srivastava, 1991; Rosenbaum et al., 2002; Muñoz,
6 1992, 2002), and constitutes an asymmetric, doubly vergent orogenic wedge above the
7 northward subduction of the Iberian lithosphere beneath the European plate (Chevrot et al.,
8 2018). As a result, the Ebro basin formed as a flexural foreland developed on the downgoing
9 Iberian plate at the southern margin of the chain (Beaumont et al., 2000). In the study area, to
10 the south of the Ebro Basin (Fig. 3a), the Catalan Coastal Ranges developed as a Paleogene
11 intraplate left-lateral transpressional system (López-Blanco, 2002; Santanach et al., 2011).
12 The low-displacement character of thrusts and the absence of an associated foredeep both
13 evidence the limited importance of this range. A series of NE-SW and NW-SE trending
14 extensional faults strike parallel and perpendicular to the Catalan Coastal Range respectively,
15 which are a result of the late Oligocene-Miocene opening of the NW Mediterranean basin
16 (Vegas, 1992; Sàbat et al., 1995; Granado et al., 2016a).

17 The study area where joint traces have been digitized is delimited to the north by the
18 frontal Pyrenean thrusts and by the Eocene Bellmunt anticline (Figs. 3a,b). This anticline
19 comprises the Paleocene to upper Eocene foredeep infill. Immediately to the south of the
20 anticline (i.e. < 5 km), this multilayer becomes sub-horizontal and thins southward where the
21 Paleozoic to Mesozoic foredeep floor gently rises up and becomes exposed (Fig. 3a). There,
22 this pre-orogenic succession is slightly tilted to the north by uplift in the footwall of NW-SE
23 striking late Oligocene to Neogene extensional faults. Further to the southwest, this
24 succession is affected by the Paleogene contractional structures of the Catalan Coastal
25 Ranges (Fig. 3b).

26 In the field, joints are constantly bedding-perpendicular, regardless of the bedding dip
27 (Fig. 2a,b), and they are characterized by the occurrence of either a single set (Fig. 2c) or by a
28 ladder pattern (Fig. 2d,e). In the latter case, the few E-W striking joints are almost
29 everywhere perpendicular to the N-S striking set and abut on it (Fig. 2e). This indicates that
30 E-W striking joints are cross-joints formed perpendicular to, and about synchronously with,
31 the N-S striking joint set and that N-S joints formed in response to a negative (tensile)
32 minimum stress (e.g. Bai and Gross, 1999; Bai et al., 2002).

1

2 **Data and Methods**

3 Joints have been digitized within the 16.4x49.2 km area displayed on Figure 3, on 25 and 50
4 cm/px orthophotos provided by the Spanish Instituto Geográfico Nacional via the PNOA
5 (Plan Nacional de Ortofotografía Aérea) project (<https://pnoa.ign.es/>). The open source
6 geographical information system QGIS 3.4 has been used to manually digitize 30059 joint
7 traces. A collection of these traces seen on orthophotos is provided in Figure 2c-f. Joints have
8 been digitized in Bartonian and, subordinately, in Lutetian and Priabonian sedimentary rocks
9 (Fig. 3c). The NE and SE portions of the study area are highly vegetated (Fig. 3d,e) and only
10 a few joint traces have been mapped there. Quaternary sediments unaffected by joints crop
11 out in the central portion of the study area (Fig. 3d).

12 Digitized traces have lengths ranging from 2 to 100 m, with an average of ~20 m (Fig.
13 3f). The frequency distribution of trace trend shows that the vast majority of the mapped
14 joints are approximately N-S striking (Fig. 3f). A second subordinate set corresponds to E-W
15 striking joints, which in the field occur as cross-joints (Fig. 2d). The frequency distribution of
16 trace trend is not symmetrical around these orthogonal sets, due to the presence of a third less
17 abundant set composed of NW-SE oriented joints (Fig. 2e,f). The NW-SE striking joints are
18 mostly seen in the southern portion of the study area, in exposures where the N-S striking set
19 is not occurring. As mentioned above, exposures are frequently characterized by a single set
20 (Fig. 2c,d). At many locations, the dominant set is accompanied by associated cross-joints
21 (Fig. 2d). Very rarely, two mutually oblique sets occur in the same exposure (Fig. 2f).

22 In order to evaluate the variability of joint traces, the 16.4x49.2 km study area has
23 been divided into meshes of equilateral triangular elements with edge lengths of 1025m
24 (Mesh 1) and 1640m (Mesh 2). At each node, mean value and variance of trace trends has
25 been computed using a circular moving window with a radius of 1200m (Mesh 1) and 1900m
26 (Mesh 2). The radius of the circular moving window is set to these values for two reasons: 1)
27 it is two orders of magnitude longer than the average length of joints; 2) it is large enough to
28 ensure that most of the nodes have data number >20, as only nodes with data number > 20
29 have been analyzed. Since trace trends are circular data with an angle (α) over a period of
30 180° , in agreement with Mardia (1975) we used equations 1 to 4 to derive at each node the
31 circular mean value (Mv_π), the circular variance (V_π) and the resultant length (R_π ; $V_\pi = 1-R_\pi$),
32 the latter spanning from 0 (unclustered distribution) to 1 (perfectly clustered distribution). In

1 the presence of cross-orthogonal joint sets, it is also useful using a period of $\pi/2$, thus
 2 modifying Mardia's equations and introducing the $Mv_{\pi/2}$ and $R_{\pi/2}$ parameters, which are
 3 computed using Equations 5 to 8.

4

$$C_{\pi} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \cos(2\alpha_i)}{n} \quad (1); \quad S_{\pi} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sin(2\alpha_i)}{n} \quad (2); \quad V_{\pi} = 1 - R_{\pi} = 1 - \sqrt{C_{\pi}^2 + S_{\pi}^2} \quad (3); \quad Mv_{\pi} = \frac{\operatorname{Arctan}(S_{\pi}/C_{\pi})}{2} \quad (4)$$

$$5 \quad C_{\pi/2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \cos(4\alpha_i)}{n} \quad (5); \quad S_{\pi/2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sin(4\alpha_i)}{n} \quad (6); \quad V_{\pi/2} = 1 - R_{\pi/2} = 1 - \sqrt{C_{\pi/2}^2 + S_{\pi/2}^2} \quad (7); \quad Mv_{\pi/2} = \frac{\operatorname{Arctan}(S_{\pi/2}/C_{\pi/2})}{4} \quad (8)$$

6

7 By using these four parameters together, instead for example of the classical k-means
 8 clustering analysis, it is possible to derive important considerations on the distribution of
 9 polymodal distributions in which two mutually orthogonal sets do occur, as illustrated in
 10 Figure 4. We compare the Mv and the R parameters computed using the π and $\pi/2$ periods. In
 11 the first example two parallel traces are analyzed, resulting in $Mv_{\pi/2} = Mv_{\pi}$, and $R_{\pi/2} = R_{\pi} = 1$
 12 (i.e. circular variance = 0). When data dispersion is slightly increased (Example 2), $Mv_{\pi/2}$ is
 13 still equal to Mv_{π} , whereas $R_{\pi/2}$ decreases faster than R_{π} . Further increase of data dispersion
 14 (Example 3), in an asymmetric distribution (i.e. non-orthogonal sets), causes additional
 15 decrease of $R_{\pi/2}$ with respect to R_{π} , and slight divergence between $Mv_{\pi/2}$ and Mv_{π} . In the
 16 presence of a cross-orthogonal subset, the statistical usefulness of $R_{\pi/2}$ becomes evident, as
 17 illustrated in Example 4. In this case, R_{π} rapidly approaches zero, suggesting high dispersion
 18 (i.e. unrepresentative Mv_{π}), whereas $R_{\pi/2}$ is essentially unaffected with respect to Example 2,
 19 indicating low dispersion and a representative $Mv_{\pi/2}$. However, the use of the $\pi/2$ period only
 20 returns results in the 0 to $\pi/2$ range, so that NW-SE trending traces result in a NE-SW
 21 trending mean value ($Mv_{\pi/2}$), as shown in the Example 5. In summary, Mv_{π} is useful to derive
 22 the mean direction, whereas $R_{\pi/2}$ and R_{π} should be used in conjunction to discriminate
 23 between populations in which oblique sets occur ($R_{\pi/2} < R_{\pi}$) from those in which two
 24 perpendicular sets occur ($R_{\pi/2} > R_{\pi}$).

25

26 Results

27 Figure 5 displays attribute maps generated from Mesh 1 and Mesh 2 using the trace
 28 orientation parameters described above. Both Mesh 1 and Mesh 2 have $R_{\pi} > 0.5$ across almost
 29 the entire study area, with the only exception being in its NW corner. For $R_{\pi/2}$, in addition to
 30 the NW corner, the central portion of the study area has $R_{\pi/2} < 0.5$. Noteworthy differences

1 between R_π and $R_{\pi/2}$ occur: (1) in the NW corner ($R_\pi \ll R_{\pi/2}$) and (2) in the central area ($R_\pi \gg R_{\pi/2}$). The first area corresponds to a vegetated folded and faulted zone (Fig 3b-e).
2 Consequently, we consider the dataset poorly reliable in this location. In the central portion of
3 the study area, the difference between R_π and $R_{\pi/2}$ (which increases as data dispersion
4 increases) is less pronounced in Mesh 1 than Mesh 2. Thus, data dispersion increases with
5 increasing the search window size (1200m for Mesh 1 and 1900m for Mesh 2), evidencing
6 that joint orientation is changing in this area. In the rest of the analyzed foreland, $R_{\pi/2}$ has
7 values similar to R_π , indicating approximately unimodal data distribution within this region,
8 and poor spatial organization of the longitudinal cross-joints.
9

10 Distribution of Mv_π relates to the prevalence of NS-striking joints in the northern and
11 central portion of the study area. Towards the south, patches characterized by both N-S and
12 NW-SE-striking joints occur. High values of R_π and $R_{\pi/2}$ are characteristic of such subareas,
13 which as previously mentioned, is indicative of unimodal joint trace distributions.
14

15 Discussion

16 Remotely sensed and mapped joint traces in the eastern portion of the Pyrenees-Ebro
17 system show systematic distributions of azimuthal orientations. In the frontal portion of the
18 belt and within the foredeep, joints are mostly transverse (i.e. N-S-striking), with limited
19 occurrence of E-W-striking longitudinal cross-joints. Approaching the southern border of the
20 foredeep, joints exhibit both N-S and NW-SE orientations where the pre-Cenozoic floor of
21 the foredeep is exposed. Joints affect the Lutetian to Priabonian foredeep infill, and are found
22 tilted together with strata within the Bellmunt anticline (Fig. 2b), which is Priabonian in age
23 (Burbank et al., 1992). Given the above, jointing in the study area must have taken place
24 during or before the Priabonian. The occurrence of the N-S striking joints within the Ebro
25 foredeep is documented also to the west of the study area (e.g. Turner and Hancock, 1990),
26 where joint emplacement affects up to the Miocene (Arlegui and Simon, 2001). Transverse
27 joints, striking approximately NNE-SSW occur also to the SW in Bartonian to Priabonian
28 strata cropping out at the boundary between the Ebro basin and the Catalan Coastal Ranges
29 (Alsaker et al., 1996). These data indicate that transverse joints systematically developed in
30 the foredeep basin of the E-W oriented Pyrenean belt. Locally, the process of transverse
31 jointing occurred until the early Miocene (i.e. until the end of mountain building). Also, pre-
32 thrusting transverse extensional faults of upper Paleocene to lower Eocene age occur a few

1 tens of km to the NE of the study area (Carrillo et al., 2020), being presently incorporated
2 into the Pyrenean belt. Thus, we conclude that foredeep-parallel tension has established in the
3 foredeep of the Pyrenean belt since the Paleocene and until the end of convergence.
4 Transverse joints documented in this work clearly represent foredeep-related structures,
5 which can develop by (i) N-S oriented layer-parallel shortening (LPS) or (ii) E-W oriented
6 along foredeep stretching (Tavani et al., 2015). LPS is to be excluded, as the state of stress in
7 this case would include a positive minimum stress (Fig. 1). In agreement, LPS-related
8 extensional structures can form only due to fluid pressure contribution and they include mm-
9 to cm-long fractures filled with calcite (which is removed from pressure solution seams, Fig.
10 1; Tavani et al., 2015 and references therein). The type (joints with no calcite infill) and size
11 (tens of m-long) of transverse extensional structures described here are incompatible with the
12 layer-parallel shortening mechanism.

13 The relatively constant orientation of joints along the strike of the foredeep, the
14 occurrence of appreciable dispersion at the outer border of the foredeep, and the remarkably
15 poor abundance of longitudinal joints allow us to derive two major conclusions:

16 (1) The almost linear trend of the eastern Pyrenees facilitates the exclusion of planar arching
17 (e.g. Doglioni, 1995; Zhao and Jacobi, 1997) as the causative process for generating
18 foredeep-parallel stretching (i.e. required to establish the negative σ_3 responsible for
19 transverse jointing). Arching along the vertical plane parallel to the trench (Quintà and
20 Tavani, 2012) represents instead a viable mechanism for generating along-foredeep
21 stretching. This is analogous, albeit at a larger scale to the process of release faulting
22 described by Destro (1995). The basic concept behind this mechanism is the following: when
23 a straight line joining two fixed points - the tips of a fault in the case of Destro (1995) or the
24 edges of the foredeep in the case of Quintà and Tavani (2012) – becomes an arch, there is
25 stretching (Fig. 1b), which causes extensional stress parallel to the direction of elongation. In
26 essence, this mechanism is expected to operate in any doubly plunging foredeep, particularly
27 at its lateral edges, such as in the study area (Fig. 3a).

28 (2) Extension in the peripheral bulge, which is documented from many active and fossil
29 foredeep basins (e.g. Bradley and Kidd, 1991; Ranero et al., 2003; Tavani et al., 2015;
30 Granado et al., 2016b), including the lower Eocene foredeep basin presently incorporated into
31 the Pyrenees (e.g. Martinez et al., 1989; Pujadas et al., 1989) appears to be weakly influential
32 at the southern border of the study area (i.e. the upper Eocene peripheral bulge). Indeed, the

1 observed longitudinal joints are characteristically subordinated, forming locally as cross-joints
2 to the identified transverse set within the study area (Fig. 2d). The transverse set becomes less
3 organized at the southern margin of the foredeep, where patches of N-S and NW-SE
4 dominated domains do occur. This evidences the absence of a major forebulge-perpendicular
5 extension capable of systematically reorienting σ_3 at the external foredeep edge.

6

7 **Conclusions**

8 Analysis of remotely sensed and mapped joints in the eastern Pyrenees and in the
9 adjacent Ebro foreland basin indicates that the emplacement of the dominant joint set within
10 the area, which strikes perpendicular to the trend of the foredeep occurred prior to folding and
11 developed in response to along-strike stretching caused by the plunging shape of the
12 foredeep. Joints developed in response to flexuring of the lithosphere at the peripheral bulge
13 do not occur in the area, suggesting that this mechanism has limited relevance to the observed
14 joint system. This is confirmed by the variability of joint orientations observed at the
15 foredeep external edge, negating the occurrence of a major forebulge-perpendicular extension
16 able to systematically orient the stress field at the foredeep edge.

17

18

19

20

21 **Data availability**

22 Digitized traces in shapefile format and bedding and joint data in csv format are in the
23 supplementary materials

24

25 **Author contributions**

26 ST, PG, AC, TS, JMC, and JAM contributed equally to the elaboration of the manuscript.

27

28 **Competing interests**

29 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

30

31 **Acknowledgements**

1 We thank Roger Soliva, Eric Salomon, and an anonymous referee for useful suggestions. The
2 Institut de Recerca Geomodels and the Geodinàmica i Anàlisi de Conques research group
3 (2014SGR467SGR) acknowledges financial support from the Agència de Gestió d'Ajuts
4 Universitaris i de Recerca (AGAUR) and the Secretaria d'Universitats i Recerca del
5 Departament d'Economia i Coneixement de la Generalitat de Catalunya. Financial support
6 from projects CGL2017-87631-P and PGC2018-093903-B-C22 from Ministerio de Ciencia,
7 Innovación y Universidades/Agencia Estatal de Investigación/Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo
8 Regional, Unión Europea is also acknowledged.

9

10 **References**

11 Alsaker, E., Gabrielsen, R. H., and Roca, E.: The significance of the fracture pattern of the
12 Late-Eocene Montserrat fan-delta, Catalan Coastal Ranges (NE Spain),
13 *Tectonophysics*, 266, 465-491, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(96\)00239-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(96)00239-9), 1996

14 Amrouch, K., Lacombe, O., Bellahsen, N., Daniel, J.M. and Callot, J.P.: Stress and strain
15 patterns, kinematics and deformation mechanisms in a basement-cored anticline: Sheep
16 Mountain Anticline, Wyoming, *Tectonics*, 29, TC1005,
17 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009TC002525>, 2010

18 Arlegui, L., and Simón, J. L.: Geometry and distribution of regional joint sets in a non-
19 homogeneous stress field: case study in the Ebro basin (Spain), *Journal of Structural
20 Geology*, 23, 297-313, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(00\)00097-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(00)00097-3), 2001

21 Bai, T., and Gross, M. R.: Theoretical analysis of cross-joint geometries and their
22 classification. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 104, 1163-1177,
23 <https://doi.org/10.1029/1998JB900044>, 1999

24 Bai, T., Martin, L., Gross, M. R. and Aydin, A.: Orthogonal cross joints; do they imply a
25 regional stress rotation? *Journal of Structural Geology*, 24, 77– 88,
26 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(01\)00050-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(01)00050-5), 2002

27 Barr, D., Savory, K.E., Fowler, S.R., Arman, K., and McGarry, J.P.: Pre-development
28 fracture modelling in the Clair field, west of Shetland, *Geol. Soc. Lond. Special
29 Publication*, 270, 205-225, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2007.270.01.14>, 2007

30 Basa, A., Ahmed, F., Bhattacharyya, K., and Roy, A.: Evolution and characterization of
31 fracture patterns: Insights from multi-scale analysis of the Buxa dolomite in the Siang

1 Valley, Arunachal Lesser Himalayan fold-thrust belt. *Journal of Structural Geology*,
2 123, 54-66, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2019.03.004>, 2019

3 Beaumont, C., Muñoz, J. A., Hamilton, J., and Fullsack, P.: Factors controlling the Alpine
4 evolution of the central Pyrenees inferred from a comparison of observations and
5 geodynamical models, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 105, 8121-
6 8145, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JB900390>, 2000

7 Beaudoin, N., Lacombe, O., David, M-E, and Koehn, D.: Does stress transmission in forelands
8 depend on structural style?. Distinctive stress magnitudes during Sevier thin-skinned
9 and Laramide thick-skinned layer-parallel shortening in the Bighorn Basin (USA)
10 revealed by stylolite and calcite twinning paleopiezometry, *Terra Nova*, <https://doi.org/10.1111/ter.12451>, 2020

12 Berkowitz, B., Bear, J., and Braester, C.: Continuum models for contaminant transport in
13 fractured porous formations, *Water Resour. Res.*, 24, 1225-1236,
14 <https://doi.org/10.1029/WR024i008p01225>, 1988

15 Billi, A., and Salvini, F.: Development of systematic joints in response to flexure-related fibre
16 stress in flexed foreland plates: the Apulian forebulge case history, Italy, *Journal of
17 Geodynamics*, 36, 523-536, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-3707\(03\)00086-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-3707(03)00086-3), 2003

18 Bradley, D. C., and Kidd, W. S. F.: Flexural extension of the upper continental crust in
19 collisional foredeeps, *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 103, 1416-1438, [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1991\)103<1416:FEOTUC>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1991)103<1416:FEOTUC>2.3.CO;2), 1991

21 Branellec, M., Callot, J. P., Nivière, B., and Ringenbach J. C.: The fracture network, a proxy
22 for mesoscale deformation: Constraints on layer parallel shortening history from the
23 Malargüe fold and thrust belt, Argentina, *Tectonics*, 34, 623-647,
24 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014TC003738>, 2015

25 Burbank, D. W., Puigdefàbregas, C., and Muñoz, J. A.: The chronology of the Eocene
26 tectonic and stratigraphic development of the eastern Pyrenean foreland basin,
27 northeast Spain, *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 104, 1101-1120,
28 [http://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1992\)104<1101:TCOTET>2.3.CO;2](http://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1992)104<1101:TCOTET>2.3.CO;2), 1992

29 Carrillo, E., Guinea, A., Casas, A., Rivero, L., Cox, N., and Vázquez-Taset, Y. M.: Tectono-
30 sedimentary evolution of transverse extensional faults in a foreland basin: Response to

1 changes in tectonic plate processes. *Basin Research*, <https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12434>,
2 2020

3 Chevrot, S., Sylvander, M., Díaz, J., Martin, R., Moutherneau, F., Manatschal, G., Masini, E.,
4 Calassou, S., Grimaud, F., Pauchet, H., and Ruiz, M.: The non-cylindrical crustal
5 architecture of the Pyrenees, *Scientific Reports*, 8, 9591,
6 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27889-x>, 2018

7 Destro, N.: Release fault: A variety of cross fault in linked extensional fault systems, in the
8 Sergipe-Alagoas Basin, NE Brazil, *Journal of Structural Geology*, 17, 615-629, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141\(94\)00088-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(94)00088-H), 1995

10 Dietrich, D.: Fold-axis parallel extension in an arcuate fold-and thrust belt: the case of the
11 Helvetic nappes. *Tectonophysics*, 170, 183-212, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(89\)90271-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(89)90271-0), 1989

13 Doglioni, C.: Geological remarks on the relationships between extension and convergent
14 geodynamic settings, *Tectonophysics*, 252, 253-267. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(95\)00087-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(95)00087-9), 1995

16 Dunne, W. M., and North, C. P.: Orthogonal fracture systems at the limits of thrusting: an
17 example from southwestern Wales, *Journal of Structural Geology*, 12, 207-215, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141\(90\)90005-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(90)90005-J), 1990

19 Engelder, T., Lash, G.G., and Uzcategui, R.: Joint sets that enhance production from Middle-
20 Upper Devonian gas shales of the Appalachian basin, *AAPG Bull.*, 93, 857-889,
21 <https://doi.org/10.1306/03230908032>, 2009

22 Erslev, E.A.: Multistage, multidirectional Tertiary shortening and compression in north-
23 central New Mexico, *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America*, 113, 63-74,
24 [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(2001\)113<0063:MMTSAC>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(2001)113<0063:MMTSAC>2.0.CO;2), 2001

25 Evans, M.A. and Elmore, R.D.: Fluid control of localized mineral domains in limestone
26 pressure solution structures, *Journal of Structural Geology*, 28, 284-301,
27 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2005.10.004>, 2006

28 Giuffrida, A., La Bruna, V., Castelluccio, P., Panza, E., Rustichelli, A., Tondi, E., Giorgioni,
29 M., Agosta, F.: Fracture simulation parameters of fractured reservoirs: Analogy with
30 outcropping carbonates of the Inner Apulian Platform, southern Italy, *Journal of
Structural Geology*, 123, 18-41, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2019.02.007>, 2019

1 Granado, P., Urgeles, R., Sábat, F., Albert-Villanueva, E., Roca, E., Muñoz, J.A., Mazzucca,
2 N., and Gambini, R.: Geodynamical framework and hydrocarbon plays of a salt giant:
3 the North Western Mediterranean Basin, *Petroleum Geoscience*, 22, 309-321,
4 <https://doi.org/10.1306/0323090803210.1144/petgeo2015-084>, 2016a

5 Granado, P., Thöny, W., Carrera, N., Gratzer, O., Strauss, P. and Muñoz, J.A.: Basement-
6 involved reactivation in fold and thrust belts: the Alpine-Carpathian Junction (Austria),
7 *Geological Magazine*, 153, 1100-1135, : <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756816000066>,
8 2016b

9 Gross, M. R.: The origin and spacing of cross joints: examples from the Monterey
10 Formation, Santa Barbara Coastline, California, *Journal of Structural Geology*, 15,
11 737-751, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141\(93\)90059-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(93)90059-J), 1993

12 Haffen, S., Géraud, Y., Diraison, M., and Dezayes, C.: Determination of fluid-flow zones in a
13 geothermal sandstone reservoir using thermal conductivity and temperature logs,
14 *Geothermics*, 46, 32-41, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2012.11.001>, 2013

15 Hancock, P.L.: Brittle microtectonics: principles and practice, *Journal of Structural Geology*,
16 7, 437-457, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141\(85\)90048-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(85)90048-3), 1985

17 Iding, M., and Ringrose, P.: Evaluating the impact of fractures on the performance of the In
18 Salah CO₂ storage site, *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 4, 242-248,
19 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2009.10.016>, 2010

20 Lash, G.G., and Engelder, T.: Jointing within the outer arc of a forebulge at the onset of the
21 Alleghanian Orogeny, *Journal of Structural Geology*, 29, 774-786,
22 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2006.12.002>, 2007

23 Lacombe, O., Mouthereau, F., Kargar, S., and Meyer, B.: Late Cenozoic and modern stress
24 fields in the western Fars (Iran): implications for the tectonic and kinematic evolution
25 of central Zagros, *Tectonics*, 25, TC1003, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005TC001831>,
26 2006

27 Laubach, S. E., Lander, R. H., Criscenti, L. J., Anovitz, L. M., Urai, J. L., Pollyea, R. M.,
28 Hooker , J. N., Narr , W., Evans, M. A., Kerisit , S. N., Olson, S. N., Dewers, T.,
29 Fisher, D., Bodnar, R., Evans, B., Dove, P., Bonnell, L. M., Marder, M. P., Pyrak-
30 Nolte, M. P.: The role of chemistry in fracture pattern development and opportunities

1 to advance interpretations of geological materials. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 57, 1065–
2 1111, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019RG000671>, 2019

3 Lemiszki, P.J., Landes, J.D., and Hatcher, R.D.: Controls on hinge-parallel extension
4 fracturing in single-layer tangential-longitudinal strain folds, *Journal of Geophysical*
5 *Research: Solid Earth*, 99, 22027-22041, <https://doi.org/10.1029/94JB01853>, 1994

6 López-Blanco, M.: Sedimentary response to thrusting and fold growing on the SE margin of
7 the Ebro basin (Paleogene, NE Spain), *Sedimentary Geology*, 146, 133-154,
8 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0037-0738\(01\)00170-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0037-0738(01)00170-1), 2002

9 Mardia, K.V.: Statistics of directional data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B*
10 (Methodological), 37, 349-393, 1975

11 Marshak, S., Geiser, P.A., Alvarez, W. and Engelder, T.: Mesoscopic fault array of the
12 northern Umbrian Apennine fold belt, Italy: geometry of conjugate shear by pressure–
13 solution slip, *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 93, 1013-1022,
14 [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1982\)93<1013:MFAOTN>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1982)93<1013:MFAOTN>2.0.CO;2), 1982

15 Martinelli, M., Bistacchi, A., Balsamo, F., and Meda, M.: Late Oligocene to Pliocene
16 extension in the Maltese Islands and implications for geodynamics of the Pantelleria
17 Rift and Pelagian Platform, *Tectonics*, 38, 3394-3415,
18 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019TC005627>, 2019

19 Martinez, A., Verges, J., Clavell, E., and Kennedy, J.: Stratigraphic framework of the thrust
20 geometry and structural inversion in the southeastern Pyrenees: La Garrotxa Area,
21 *Geodinamica Acta*, 3, 185-194, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09853111.1989.11105185>,
22 1989

23 Masciopinto, C., and Palmiotta, D.: Flow and transport in fractured aquifers: new conceptual
24 models based on field measurements, *Transport in Porous Media*, 96, 117-133, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-012-0077-y>, 2013

26 Muñoz, J.A.: Evolution of a continental collision belt: ECORS-Pyrenees crustal balanced
27 cross-section. In: McClay (Ed.), *Thrust Tectonics*. Chapman & Hall, London, 235-246,
28 1992

29 Muñoz, J. A.: The Pyrenees. In: *The Geology of Spain*, W. Gibbons and M. T. Moreno (eds.),
30 pp. 370-385, Geological Society, London, U. K., 2002

1 Murray, G. H., Jr.: Quantitative fracture study - Sanish pool, McKenzie County, North
2 Dakota, AAPG Bull., 52, 57-65, 1968

3 Parés, J. M., van der Pluijm, B. A., and Dinarès-Turell, J.: Evolution of magnetic fabrics
4 during incipient deformation of mudrocks (Pyrenees, northern Spain), Tectonophysics,
5 307, 1-14, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(99\)00115-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(99)00115-8), 1999

6 Pujadas, J., Casas, J.M., Muñoz, J.A., and Sábat, F.: Thrust tectonics and paleogene
7 syntectonics sedimentation in the Empordà area, southeastern Pyrenees, Geodinamica
8 Acta, 3, 195-206, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09853111.1989.11105186>, 1989

9 Questiaux, J.- M., Couples, G.D., and Ruby, N.: Fractured reservoirs with fracture corridors,
10 Geophysical Prospecting, 58, 279-295, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2478.2009.00810.x>, 2010

12 Quintà, A., and S. Tavani: The foreland deformation in the south-western Basque–Cantabrian
13 Belt (Spain), Tectonophysics, 576, 4-19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2012.02.015>,
14 2012

15 Ramsay, J.G.: Folding and Fracturing of Rocks. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc. New
16 York. 568Pp, 1967

17 Railsback, B.L. and Andrews, L.M.: Tectonic stylolites in the ‘undeformed’ Cumberland
18 Plateau of southern Tennessee, Journal of Structural Geology, 17, 911-915,
19 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141\(94\)00127-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(94)00127-L), 1995

20 Ranero, C. R., Morgan, J. P., McIntosh, K., and Reichert, C.: Bending-related faulting and
21 mantle serpentinization at the Middle America trench, Nature, 425, 367-373,
22 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01961>, 2003

23 Roest, W. R., and Srivastava, S. P.: Kinematics of the plate boundaries between Eurasia,
24 Iberia, and Africa in the North Atlantic from the Late Cretaceous to the present,
25 Geology, 19, 613-616, [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1991\)019<0613:KOTPBB>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1991)019<0613:KOTPBB>2.3.CO;2), 1991

27 Rosenbaum, G., Lister, G. S., and Duboz, C.: Reconstruction of the tectonic evolution of the
28 western Mediterranean since the Oligocene, Journal of the Virtual Explorer, 8, 107-
29 130, 2002

30 Sàbat, F., Roca, E., Muñoz, J.A., Vergés, J., Sans, M., Masana, E., Santanach, P., Estévez,
31 A., and Santisteban, C.: Role of extension and compression in the evolution of the

1 geodynamic system, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 357, 405-420, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.09.021>, 2012

2

3 Whitaker, A. E., and Engelder, T.: Plate-scale stress fields driving the tectonic evolution of

4 the central Ouachita salient, Oklahoma and Arkansas, Geological Society of America

5 Bulletin, 118, 710-723, <https://doi.org/10.1130/B25780.1>, 2006

6 Zhao, M., and Jacobi, R.D.: Formation of regional cross-fold joints in the northern

7 Appalachian Plateau, Journal of Structural Geology, 19, 817-834,

8 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(97\)00009-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(97)00009-6), 1997

9

1 **Captions**

2 **Figure 1**

3 Scheme showing the architecture of a foreland fold-and-thrust belt and adjacent foredeep
4 basin, with syn-orogenic fracture patterns in the different structural domains of the orogenic
5 system. (A) The foredeep state of stress is governed by the permutation between the state of
6 stress in the layer-parallel shortening and peripheral bulge domains. (B) The foredeep state of
7 stress is controlled by the along-strike stretching of the foredeep.

8

9 **Figure 2**

10 Examples of pre-folding joints within the studied area. (A) N-S striking joint with plumose
11 structures in the foredeep sediments ($42^{\circ}02'39.7''N$; $2^{\circ}13'54.9''E$). (B) Tilted N-S and E-W
12 striking joints in the southern limb of the Bellmunt anticline, with the red arrow indicating an
13 E-W striking joint abutting on a N-S striking joint ($42^{\circ}05'39''N$; $2^{\circ}17'41.5''E$). The density
14 contours of poles to bedding and joints (in their present day orientation and after unfolding)
15 refer to data collected in the Bellmunt anticline area. (C to F) Examples of joints seen on
16 orthophotos. (C) Transverse joints. (D) N-S striking transverse joints with subordinate E-W
17 striking cross-orthogonal joints. (E) NW-SE striking joints. (F) Rare example of multiple
18 oblique sets occurring at the same exposure. Orthophotos are available from the Spanish
19 Instituto Geográfico Nacional (<https://pnoa.ign.es/>).

20

21 **Figure 3**

22 (A) Simplified geological map of the western Pyrenees and Catalan Coastal Ranges (based on
23 the Geological Map of Catalunya scale 1:250'000; <https://www.icgc.cat/en/Downloads>), with
24 N-S geological cross-section (modified from Parés et al., 1999). (B) Detailed geological map
25 of the study area, with digitized joints (C). (D) Orthophoto (<https://pnoa.ign.es/>) of the study
26 area, with digitized joints (E). (F) Frequency distribution of joint traces trend and length.

27

28 **Figure 4**

29 Examples of joint patterns and resultant M_v and R parameters calculated for the π and $\pi/2$
30 periods. For the five examples, we show the map view of the joints, the azimuthal frequency,
31 and the sin-cos coordinates of the resultant values of M_v and R . Note that the distance from
32 the center is proportional to R .

1

2 Figure 5

3 Results of circular statistics analysis for both Meshes 1 and 2. Length of traces of Mv_π is

4 proportional to R_π . Color code refers to R_π and $R_{\pi/2}$, whereas the orientation of traces is the

5 Mv . See text for details.

6

7

8




Figure 1 (Single column)

Scheme showing the architecture of a foreland fold-and-thrust belt and adjacent foredeep basin, with syn-orogenic fracture patterns in the different structural domains of the orogenic system. (A) The foredeep state of stress is governed by the permutation between the state of stress in the layer-parallel shortening and peripheral bulge domains. (B) The foredeep state of stress is controlled by the along-strike stretching of the foredeep.




Figure 2 (Double column)

Examples of pre-folding joints within the studied area. (A) N-S striking joint with plumose structures in the foredeep sediments ($42^{\circ}02'39.7''N$; $2^{\circ}13'54.9''E$). (B) Tilted N-S and E-W striking joints in the southern limb of the Bellmunt anticline, with the red arrow indicating an E-W striking joint abutting on a N-S striking joint ($42^{\circ}05'39''N$; $2^{\circ}17'41.5''E$). The density contour of poles to bedding and joints (in their present day orientation and after unfolding) refer to data collected in the Bellmunt anticline area. (C to F) Examples of joints seen on orthophotos. (C) Transverse joints. (D) N-S striking transverse joints with subordinate E-W striking cross-orthogonal joints. (E) NW-SE striking joints. (F) Rare example of multiple oblique sets occurring at the same exposure. Orthophotos are available from the Spanish Instituto Geográfico Nacional (<https://pnoa.ign.es/>).





Figure 3 (Single column)

(A) Simplified geological map of the western Pyrenees and Catalan Coastal Ranges (based on the Geological Map of Catalonia scale 1:250'000; <https://www.icgc.cat/en/Downloads>), with N-S geological cross-section (modified from Parés et al., 1999). (B) Detailed geological map of the study area, with digitized joints (C). (D) Orthophoto (<https://pnoa.ign.es/>) of the study area, with digitized joints (E). (F) Frequency distribution of joint traces trend and length.




Figure 4 (Single column)

Examples of joint patterns and resultant Mv and R parameters calculated for the π and $\pi/2$ periods. For the five examples, we show the map view of the joints, the azimuthal frequency, and the sin-cos coordinates of the resultant values of Mv and R. Note that the distance from the center is proportional to R.




Figure 5 (Single column)

Results of circular statistics analysis for both Meshes 1 and 2. Length of traces of $Mv\pi$ is proportional to $R\pi$. Color code refers to $R\pi$ and $R\pi/2$, whereas the orientation of traces is the Mv . See text for details. .