Ms. Ref. No.: se-2020-81

Title: Structural control on fluid flow and shallow diagenesis: Insights from calcite cementation along deformation bands in porous sandstones

Journal: Solid Earth

Dear Editor Randolph Williams,

We would like to submit our revised manuscript "Structural control on fluid flow and shallow diagenesis: Insights from calcite cementation along deformation bands in porous sandstones" by Leonardo Del Sole, Marco Antonellini, Roger Soliva, Gregory Ballas, Fabrizio Balsamo and Giulio Viola for consideration and eventual publication, as a research article, in the Special Issue in Solid Earth titled: "Faults, fractures, and fluid flow in the shallow crust".

We thank the Editor for his constructive comments and the help to improve our manuscript. We hope to have addressed all issues raised in the review.

All authors have approved the revised manuscript and agree with its submission to Solid Earth.

Looking forward for your feedback,

Kind regards,

Leonardo Del Sole, Marco Antonellini, Roger Soliva, Gregory Ballas, Fabrizio Balsamo and Giulio Viola

Response to Editor Comments

Ref: se-2020-81

Title: Structural control on fluid flow and shallow diagenesis: Insights from calcite cementation along deformation bands in porous sandstones

Journal: Solid Earth

CommentResponse authorDear Dr Del Sole, Thank you for diligently addressing my previous comments on your manuscript. I find that this manuscript is much improved from the previous, particularly in the areas of readability/grammar and in providing a more nuanced discussion of data interpretation. There are, however, a few outstanding issues that need to be addressed. I would like to reconsider this manuscript following minor revisions. My hope is that this will be the last round and afterwards we can proceed to publication.Suggested edits have been implemented and they are tracked in the revised manuscript version with changes tracked" and "manuscript without tracked changes" may not coincide. The line numbering we use in this document (<i>Author Response to Editor V2</i>) refers to the revised manuscript with tracked change file.Below you will find several comments related to grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in it surrent form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science.After some thought we decided to remove the "slow down" effect you mention that your inference is that the reat of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occum". This is entirely counter to the classical goochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well.After some thought we decided to remove the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute science in the strate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wi	Major comments				
Dear Dr Del Sole, Thank you for diligently addressing my previous comments on your manuscript. I find that this manuscript is much improved from the previous, particularly in the areas of readability/grammar and in providing a more nuanced discussion of data interpretation. There are, however, a few outstanding issues that need to be addressed. I would like to reconsider this manuscript following minor revisions. My hope is that this will be the last round and afterwards we can proceed to publication. Below you will find several comments related to grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science. "Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in ement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction limited, then growth rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport rakes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute seiving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the brooder	Comment	Response author			
addressing my previous comments on your manuscript. I find that this manuscript is much improved from the previous, particularly in the areas of readability/grammar and in providing a more nuanced discussion of data interpretation. There are, however, a few outstanding issues that need to be addressed. I would like to reconsider this manuscript following minor revisions. My hope is that this will be the last round and afterwards we can proceed to publication. Below you will find several comments related to grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major davances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science. "Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rate of thrasport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute seiving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	Dear Dr Del Sole, Thank you for diligently	We appreciate very much the constructive comments			
 manuscript I find that this manuscript is much improved from the previous, particularly in the areas of readability/grammar and in providing a more nuanced discussion of data interpretation. There are, however, a few outstanding issues that need to be addressed. I would like to reconsider this manuscript following minor revisions. My hope is that this will be the last round and afterwards we can proceed to publication. Below you will find several comments related to grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science. "Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader 	addressing my previous comments on your	of the Editor and the help to improve our paper.			
 improved from the previous, particularly in the areas of readability/grammar and in providing a more nuanced discussion of data interpretation. There are, however, a few outstanding issues that need to be addressed. I would like to reconsider this manuscript following minor revisios. My hope is that this will be the last round and afterwards we can proceed to publication. Below you will find several comments related to grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science. "Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entriely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate-limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport anakes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated for mosolute sivening then that is no problem, buy your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader 	manuscript. I find that this manuscript is much	We hope to have addressed all issues raised in the			
of readability/grammar and in providing a more nuanced discussion of data interpretation. There are, however, a few outstanding issues that need to be addressed. I would like to reconsider this manuscript following minor revisions. My hope is that this will be the last round and afterwards we can proceed to publication. Below you will find several comments related to grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science. "Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that 1 admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute seiving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	improved from the previous, particularly in the areas	review.			
nuanced discussion of data interpretation. There are, however, a few outstanding issues that need to be addressed. I would like to reconsider this manuscript following minor revisions. My hope is that this will be the last round and afterwards we can proceed to publication. Here is the tracked in the revised manuscript with changes tracked" and "manuscript without tracked changes" may not coincide. The line numbering we use in this document (<i>Author Response to Editor V2</i>) refers to the revised manuscript with tracked change file. Below you will find several comments related to grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science. "Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly iust pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	of readability/grammar and in providing a more	Suggested edits have been implemented and they are			
however, a few outstanding issues that need to be addressed. I would like to reconsider this manuscript following minor revisions. My hope is that this will be the last round and afterwards we can proceed to publication.Please, consider that the line numbering of the "revised manuscript without tracked changes" may not coincide. The line numbering we use in this document (<i>Author Response to Editor V2</i>) refers to the revised manuscript with tracked change file.Below you will find several comments related to grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concents are present with respect to two areas of the presented science.We thank the Editor for the comment."Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well.See also the response below.If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broaderPlease, refer to t	nuanced discussion of data interpretation. There are,	tracked in the revised manuscript.			
addressed. I would like to reconsider this manuscript"revised manuscript version with changes tracked" and "manuscript without tracked changes" may not concide. The line numbering we use in this document (<i>Author Response to Editor V2</i>) refers to the revised manuscript with tracked change file.Below you will find several comments related to grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science.We thank the Editor for the comment."Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical goochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well.See also the response below.If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated form solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broaderSee also the response below.	however, a few outstanding issues that need to be	Please, consider that the line numbering of the			
following minor revisions. My hope is that this will be the last round and afterwards we can proceed to publication.and "manuscript without tracked changes" may not coincide. The line numbering we use in this document (<i>Author Response to Editor V2</i>) refers to the revised manuscript with tracked change file.Below you will find several comments related to grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science.We thank the Editor for the comment."Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction limited, then present well.After some thought we decided to remove the "slow down" effect on the presipitation from the discussion (form solue science)If calcite growth is itransport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect a differentiated from solue sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	addressed. I would like to reconsider this manuscript	"revised manuscript version with changes tracked"			
be the last round and afterwards we can proceed to publication.coincide. The line numbering we use in this document (Author Response to Editor V2) refers to the revised manuscript with tracked change file.Below you will find several comments related to grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science.We thank the Editor for the comment."Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport rakes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broaderSee also the response below.	following minor revisions. My hope is that this will	and "manuscript without tracked changes" may not			
publication.document (Author Response to Editor V2) refers to the revised manuscript with tracked change file.Below you will find several comments related to grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science.We thank the Editor for the comment."Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well.See also the response below.If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broaderdocument (Author Response to Editor V2) refers to the tables)If calcite growth is transportthe rate of the slow own" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broaderAfter some thought we decided to remove the "slow down" effect as the posite.	be the last round and afterwards we can proceed to	coincide. The line numbering we use in this			
the revised manuscript with tracked change file.Below you will find several comments related to grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science.We thank the Editor for the comment.''Slow Down'' Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down'' effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well.See also the response below.If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broaderSee also the response below.	publication.	document (Author Response to Editor V2) refers to			
Below you will find several comments related to grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in its current 		the revised manuscript with tracked change file.			
grammar and presentation. These are extremely minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science. "Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that 1 admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	Below you will find several comments related to	We thank the Editor for the comment.			
 minor, as your most recent revision made major advances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science. "Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate-limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader 	grammar and presentation. These are extremely				
advances in this area. The manuscript in its current form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science. "Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	minor, as your most recent revision made major	Please, refer to the following responses for detailed			
form reads very well. More major concerns are present with respect to two areas of the presented science.of the Editor."Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well.See also the response below.If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broaderof the Editor.	advances in this area. The manuscript in its current	explanations and revisions made about the comments			
present with respect to two areas of the presented science.After some thought we decided to remove the "slow down" effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reaction. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well.After some thought we decided to remove the "slow down" effect on the precipitation from the discussion (former lines 576-577) since we have not yet a solid physico-chemical basis to support it. Now we keep it as an observation (lines 566-574).If calcite growth is invariant to transport rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broaderAfter some thought we decided to remove the "slow down" effect on the precipitation from the discussion (former lines 576-577) since we have not yet a solid physico-chemical basis to support it. Now we keep it as an observation (lines 566-574).	form reads very well. More major concerns are	of the Editor.			
science."Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well.See also the response below.If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broaderAfter some thought we decided to remove the "slow down" effect on the precipitation from the discussion (former lines 576-577) since we have not yet a solid physico-chemical basis to support it. Now we keep it as an observation (lines 566-574).See also the response below.See also the response below.	present with respect to two areas of the presented				
 "Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate-limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader 	science.				
discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	"Slow Down" Effect: The first, is that in your new	After some thought we decided to remove the "slow			
 that your inference is that the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate-limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader 	discussion of the "slow down" effect, you mention	down" effect on the precipitation from the discussion			
reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	that your inference is that the rate of the surface	(former lines 576-577) since we have not yet a solid			
accumulation. You then posited based on this inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	reaction is the rate-limiting step in cement	physico-chemical basis to support it. Now we keep it			
 inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader 	accumulation. You then posited based on this	as an observation (lines 566-574).			
 "may not have time to occur". This is entirely counter to the classical geochemical view of rate-limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rate of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader 	inference that if flow is "fast", then the reaction				
counter to the classical geochemical view of rate- limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	"may not have time to occur". This is entirely				
 limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader 	counter to the classical geochemical view of rate-	See also the response below.			
surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	limiting reactions. Specifically, if the rate of the	Å			
overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	surface reaction is the rate-limiting step, then the				
rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	overall rate of calcite growth is invariant to transport				
of google, but it illustrates the point well. If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	rates. See this image that I admittedly just pulled off				
If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	of google, but it illustrates the point well.				
If calcite growth is transport limited, then growth rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader					
rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	If calcite growth is transport limited then growth				
surface reaction limited, then the rate of transport makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	rates are proportional to the rates of transport. If it is				
makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	surface reaction limited then the rate of transport				
argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	makes no difference. Thus, if you wish to continue to				
from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	argue for the "slow down" effect as differentiated				
explanation of it must be consistent with the broader	from solute sieving then that is no problem, but your				
explanation of thirds be consistent with the broader	explanation of it must be consistent with the broader				
hody of knowledge considering reactive transport. In	hody of knowledge considering reactive transport. In				
short. I think your proposed mechanism needs a hit	short I think your proposed mechanism needs a bit				
more thought	more thought				
Oxygen Isotope Interpretations: Lappreciate your We have stated the fluid δ^{18} O values in the	Oxygen Isotope Interpretations: Lappreciate your	We have stated the fluid $\delta^{18}\Omega$ values in the			
doing the calculation to estimate fluid d180 values.	doing the calculation to estimate fluid d180 values	manuscript and we have added a brief discussion			
but in this version you simply mention that you did considering the δ^{18} O values expected for meteoric	but in this version you simply mention that you did	considering the δ^{18} O values expected for meteoric			

that and that they are consistent with your interpretations. The values need to be: 1) stated explicitly in the manuscript (rather than pointing the reader toward the Supplement); and 2) discussed relative to the expected values for meteoric fluids in the study area. The values you list in the supplement are a bit heavy for meteoric fluids - is this consistent with what has been observed for modern precipitation/ground water in the study area, or better yet, any values obtained from paleoclimatic studies?	water for both Loiano (lines 695-702) and Bollène (lines 753-756 and 766-768). Unfortunately, we do not have any direct constrains on the timing of cement precipitation, thus comparing the fluid δ^{18} O we found with those from the past could be challenging, and they might also not be available for the area. However, we are considering performing some U-Pb dating of cements in the future, to constrain the time of cement formation. This would allow us also to better infer the depth at which the precipitation took place. We have also added a line to highlight that further analysis are necessary to better constrain the conditions of the cementing fluids (lines 702-703).
See: Giustini, Francesca, Mauro Brilli, and Antonio Patera. "Mapping oxygen stable isotopes of precipitation in Italy." Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies 8 (2016): 162-181	The reference was added (lines 1041-1042) and used in the text (lines 697-698) to discuss the $\delta^{18}O_{\text{fluid}}$ we calculated with those expected for meteoric fluids in the study area. See also the response just above
Specific Comments	the study from see also the response just above.
89: As this sentence is currently constructed, an additional comma after "between" would be preferable.	Ok, we have done that (line 89).
92: Suggest rephrasing for english grammar clarity: "Our study also allows evaluation of the impact of"	Ok, suggestion taken and implemented (line 92).
252: Thank you for adopting the more standard azimuth notation. Something has gone wrong here though - N334E?? That does not make sense. It should just be left at "334°". The N and E information are redundant. Please address here and throughout.	Ok, we have done that (lines 189 and 261-262).
544-546: There is a problem with the logic here, in that a classical view of surface reaction limited growth implies that the overall growth rate is invariant with respect to the rate of transport.	The Editor is right. The sentence was removed (former lines 576-577) as also the discussion about the "slow down" effect on the precipitation. Please, see also the response above in the major comments section.
555-560: The point I was making in my previous comments was that we very frequently see preferential calcite cementation of deformation bands that do not record significant cataclasis or fracturing. This implies that there must be something else (or at least something in addition to the role of fracturing) in helping to localize calcite cements in those structures. This makes them different from quartz cements, where the main thing seems to be fracturing. You do not need to change anything here. I bring this up only as an interesting point of discussion and potential impetus for future work.	Yes, this is an interesting point indeed. We thank the Editor for the comment.
585-590: I appreciate your adding this paragraph in response to my comments, but I do not think you actually need it. The other modifications you have made to this section make it a bit superfluous. You are welcome to keep it if you chose, but its	we nave removed that paragraph (former lines 645-646).

elimination may make for more concise reading.	
611-621: This still needs work. The opening	We revised the paragraph considering the Editor
sentence should more reasonably stated that	comment. We nope that now it is clearer (lines 692-
Oxygen isotope data also support a meteoric	705).
environment" or similar, and then go on to state the	
nothing on their own, and I also find the notion of any particular d13C value being indicative of meteoric environments preferentially questionable.	manuscript and we have added a brief discussion considering the δ^{18} O values expected for present-time meteoric fluids characterizing the area of Loiano (lines 605, 702)
that are consistent with a meteoric origin, but I do	(Intes 093-702).
 not think they can be an independent indicator. Oxygen, however, can. Toward that end, a bigger problem is that you mention calculating the fluid d18O, but do not discuss the inferred values here? Its a fundamental data type you argue to support your interpretation - it cannot be relegated to the supplemental information and not stated. The calculation can reasonably reside in the supplement, but you need to actually state the inferred fluid d18O values. I see in the supplement that the values you calculate are between about -7 and -3. These actually strike me as slightly heavy for meteoric fluids, but those do vary by quite a lot over the surface of the earth. You will also need some brief discussion as to whether 	Unfortunately, we do not have any direct constrains on the timing of cement precipitation, thus comparing the fluid δ^{18} O we found with those from paleoclimatic the past could be challenging. However, we are considering performing some U-Pb dating of cements in the future, to constrain the time of cement formation. This would allow us also to better infer the depth at which the precipitation took place. We have also added a line to highlight what a future research direction could be to properly constrain the conditions of the cementing fluids (lines 702-703). Please, see also the response above.
these values are consistent with meteoric fluids in the	
study areas, either now or from a paleoclimatic	
perspective around the inferred time of cement	
formation.	Ob the contenes was shereed (line 724 725)
where fluids slow down in the vicinity of DBs.	Ok, the sentence was changed (line 754-755).
650-655: Same problem mentioned above.	We revised the paragraph considering the Editor comment. We hope that now it is clearer (lines 751- 768).
	We have stated the fluid δ^{18} O values in the manuscript and we have added a brief discussion considering the δ^{18} O values expected for present-time metaoric fluids characterizing the area of Ballàna
	(lines 753-756 and 766-768).

Structural control on fluid flow and shallow diagenesis: Insights from calcite cementation along deformation bands in porous sandstones

Leonardo DEL SOLE¹, Marco ANTONELLINI¹, Roger SOLIVA², Gregory BALLAS², Fabrizio BALSAMO³, and Giulio 5 VIOLA¹

¹BiGeA - Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences, University of Bologna, Via Zamboni 67, 40126 Bologna, Italy

²Laboratoire Géosciences Montpellier, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, Université des Antilles, Montpellier, France.

³Next, Natural and Experimental Tectonic Research Group, Department of Chemistry, Life, Sciences and Environmental Sustainability, University of Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze 157A, 43124 Parma, Italy

Correspondence: Leonardo Del Sole (leonardo.delsole@unibo.it)

Abstract. Porous sandstones are important reservoirs for geofluids. Interaction therein between deformation and cementation during diagenesis is critical since both processes can strongly reduce rock porosity and permeability, deteriorating reservoir quality. Deformation bands and fault-related diagenetic bodies, here named "structural and diagenetic

- 15 heterogeneities", affect fluid flow at a range of scales and potentially lead to reservoir compartmentalization, influencing flow buffering and sealing during production of geofluids. We present two field-based studies from Loiano (Northern Apennines, Italy) and Bollène (Provence, France) that elucidate the structural control exerted by deformation bands on fluid flow and diagenesis recorded by calcite nodules associated with the bands. We relied on careful *in situ* observations through geo-photography, string mapping, and UAV, photography, integrated with optical, scanning electron- and
- 20 cathodoluminescence microscopy, and stable isotope (δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O) analysis of nodules cement. In both case studies, one or more sets of deformation bands precede and control selective cement precipitation. Cement texture and cathodoluminescence patterns, and their isotopic composition suggest a <u>precipitation from</u> meteoric fluids. In Loiano, deformation bands acted as low-permeability baffles to fluid flow and promoted selective cement precipitation. In Bollène, clusters of deformation bands restricted fluid flow and focused diagenesis to parallel-to-band compartments. Our work shows that deformation bands
- 25 control flow pattern within a porous sandstone reservoir and this, in turn, affects how diagenetic heterogeneities are distributed within the porous rocks. This information is invaluable to assess the uncertainties in reservoir petrophysical properties especially where structural and diagenetic heterogeneities are below seismic resolution.

1 Introduction

10

1

ha eliminato: aerial

ha eliminato: environment for nodule formation

ha eliminato: rriers

Porous rocks, such as sandstone and carbonate, are important reservoirs for geofluids. Structural and diagenetic processes commonly affect the petrophysical properties and reservoir quality in these rocks. The importance of the interaction between deformation and structures, fluid flow, and diagenetic processes has been emphasized only during the

- 35 last two decades (e.g. see the recently coined term "structural diagenesis", Laubach et al., 2010; Mozley and Goodwin, 1995; Eichhubl et al., 2009; Balsamo et al., 2012; Philit et al., 2015; Antonellini et al., 2017, 2020; Del Sole et al., 2020). If deformation influences diagenesis and vice-versa, a feedback can eventually develop between these two processes. Early diagenesis influences the mechanical properties of rocks (Antonellini et al., 2020), and, in turn, their mechanical stratigraphy (Laubach et al., 2009; La Bruna et al., 2020). "Structural and Diagenetic Heterogeneities" (referred to as SDH from now on)
- 40 can determine the textural characteristics (e.g. grain-size, -shape, relative proportion of grains and matrix material) as well as the petrophysical and mechanical properties of the rock volume hosting them (Antonellini and Aydin, 1994; Aydin, 2000; Faulkner et al., 2010; Bense et al., 2013; Pei et al., 2015; Del Sole et al., 2020). Cement precipitation in granular porous siliciclastic rocks leads to porosity loss and reduction in permeability (Tenthorey et al., 1998; Morad et al., 2010) and, in turn, overall reservoir quality deterioration (Ehrenberg, 1990; Morad et al., 2010). Carbonate cement is commonly
- 45 concentrated within a few, specific horizons or nodules with various shapes and arrangements (Kantorowicz et al., 1987; Bjørkum and Walderhaug, 1990; Mozley and Davis, 1996) making porosity and permeability prediction more challenging (Davis et al., 2006; Morad et al., 2010). Furthermore, cement increases the mechanical strength of the host rock (Dvorkin et al., 1991; Bernabé et al., 1992, Boutt et al., 2014) influencing fault-zone architecture and potential fault reactivation (Dewhurst and Jones, 2003; Flodin et al., 2003; Wilson et al., 2006; Williams et al., 2016; Philit et al., 2019; Pizzati et al., 50 2019).

Granular or porous sediments and sedimentary rocks commonly contain sub-seismic resolution strain localization features referred to as deformation bands (Aydin, 1978; DBs from now on). The effects of DBs on fluid flow can vary significantly, depending on several factors, such as their permeability contrast relative to the host rock, their thickness, density, distribution, orientation, segmentation, and connectivity (Antonellini and Aydin, 1994; Gibson, 1998; Manzocchi et

al., 1998; Sternlof et al., 2004; Shipton et al., 2005; Fossen and Bale, 2007; Torabi and Fossen 2009; Rotevatn et al., 2013; Soliva et al., 2016). In some cases, DBs may act as conduits for fluids (Parry et al. 2004; Sample et al. 2006; Petrie et al., 2014; Busch et al., 2017). In most of cases, however, they are associated with significant porosity and permeability reduction relative to the host rock (Antonellini and Aydin, 1994; Fisher and Knipe, 2001; Shipton et al., 2002; Sternlof et al., 2004; Balsamo and Storti, 2010; Ballas et al., 2015; Fossen et al., 2017; Del Sole and Antonellini, 2019), thus inducing
permeability anisotropy and reservoir compartmentalization. This might impact negatively upon production from faulted siliciclastic systems (Edwards et al., 1993; Lewis and Couples, 1993; Leveille et al., 1997; Antonellini et al., 1999; Wilkins et al., 2019) and flow-based models and simulations (Sternlof et al. 2004; Rotevatn and Fossen, 2011; Fachri et al., 2013; Qu and Tveranger, 2016; Romano et al., 2020).

Cement has been found in association with DBs. Localization of cement along these structural features may 65 significantly enhance porosity and permeability reduction caused by mechanical crushing and reorganization of grains, thus

increasing their sealing or buffering potential (Edwards et al., 1993; Leveille et al., 1997; Fisher and Knipe, 1998; Parnell et al., 2004; Del Sole et al., 2020). The occurrence, distribution, and petrophysical properties of cement along DBs need, therefore, to be properly characterized and implemented into reservoir quality modelling to predict porosity and permeability, as well as their heterogeneity (e.g. Morad et al., 2010).

70

90

0 Models of calcite cementation, in particular, are fundamental for predicting sandstone and fault-rock properties such as porosity, permeability, compressibility, and seismic attributes. Diagenetic processes related to fluid-flow mechanisms and evolution within DBs are not fully constrained, and in particular, how DBs steer the origin and distribution of calcite cement remains poorly understood. Different processes account for enhanced fluid flow within DBs, such as unsaturated flow relative to the host rock in arid to semiarid vadose zones, (Sigda et al., 1999; Sigda and Wilson, 2003; Wilson et al., 2003);

- 75 and transient dilation in the early stage of DB formation (e.g. Antonellini et al., 1994; Main et al., 2000). As well, these mechanisms have been employed to explain the occurrence of cement and other processes (e.g. cementation, hydrocarbon inclusion entrapment, removal of iron oxide coatings) in and around the band (Fowles and Burley, 1994; Labaume and Moretti, 2001; Ogilvie and Glover, 2001; Parnell et al., 2004; Parry et al., 2004; Sample et al., 2006; Wilson et al., 2006; Cavailhes et al., 2009; Balsamo et al., 2012; Lommatzsch et al., 2015). These mechanisms, however, appear to be limited to
- 80 specific conditions (e.g. cement precipitation in the early stage of DB formation, or in vadose environments) and they assume that DBs behaved as fluid "conduits" in order to explain the occurrence of cement or other authigenic products within these structures. Nevertheless, a significant number of studies on DBs show that in most cases they are baffle or seals to fluid flow (see Ballas et al., 2015 for a review). Much less attention has been paid to fluid flow and diagenetic mechanisms leading to (post-DBs formation) selective cementation in association with low-permeability baffle, DBs (e.g.
- 85 Philit et al., 2015), and models of cement precipitation in these DBs are limited to quartz cement and are mostly experimental (Lander et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2015). Different mechanisms, then, need to be invoked to explain the occurrence of (carbonate) cement in association with DBs in a broader set of conditions.

The aim of our work is to elucidate the influence of DBs on fluid flow and their role in fostering diagenesis and localizing diagenetic products in porous sandstones. The novelty of our work is that by using a multiscalar and a crossdisciplinary approach integrating structural and diagenetic analysis, we assess the control exerted by DBs on flow pattern

- and diagenetic heterogeneities origin and spatial distribution, by means of the systematic characterization of the occurrence, and the spatial and microstructural relationship between. DBs and cement nodules in two porous sandstone reservoir analogs. We examine two field sites in Italy and France where calcite cement nodules are spatially associated with DBs. The comparison between the two locations with different geological settings makes it possible to derive general conclusions that
- 95 can be extended to other cases where DBs and diagenetic processes interact. Our study <u>also</u> allows, evaluation of the impact of both structural and structural-related diagenetic heterogeneities on present-time fluid circulation and on subsequent deformation.

2 Geological framework

ha eliminato: /barrier

ha eliminato: also to ha eliminato: e

2.1 Loiano field site, Northern Apennines (Italy)

105

The Loiano study area is in the Northern Apennines (Emilia-Romagna region, Italy), 20 kilometers to the south of the city of Bologna (Fig. 1a). The Northern Apennines are an orogenic wedge formed in response to the Upper Cretaceous-Eocene closure of the Ligurian-Piedmont ocean (Marroni et al., 2017) and the subsequent Oligocene-Miocene convergence and collision between the Adriatic Promontory and the Sardinia-Corsica Block, of African and European origin, respectively (Vai and Martini, 2001). Our work focused on the Loiano Sandstones of the Epiligurian Successions (Fig. 1a-c), the Middle Eocene to Middle Miocene siliciclastic infill of thrust-top, piggy-back basins discordant to the underlying Ligurian units, which migrated passively to the NE during the Apennines orogeny atop of the entre orogenic wedge (Vai and Martini, 2001).

110 The 300-1000 m thick, Late Lutetian-Bartonian Loiano Sandstones are a fan-delta to proximal turbidite deposit (Papani, 1998). They are medium- to coarse-grained, poorly consolidated, immature arkosic sandstones and conglomerates deposited in a relatively small lenticular basin (a few tens of km in width and length; Fig. 1a, c). They are composed of 49 to 60% quartz and of 39–48% feldspar, the rest being rock fragments, detrital carbonate clasts, and minor accessories (Del Sole and Antonellini, 2019).

115 2.2 Bollène field site, Southeast Basin (Provence, France)

The Bollène site is in the Southeast Basin of Provence (France), 15 kilometers to the north of the city of Orange (Fig. 2a). The Southeast Basin is a triangular region between the Massif Central to the north-west, the Alps to the east, and the Mediterranean Sea to the south. It is a Mesozoic cratonic basin on the edge of the Alpine orogen, approximately 200 km long and 100 to 150 km wide. Three main tectonic episodes affected the region (Arthaud and Séguret, 1981; Roure et al.,

- 120 1992; Séranne et al., 1995; Champion et al., 2000): SSW-NNE Pyrenean contraction from Paleocene to Oligocene, NW-SE Gulf of Lion extension from the Oligocene to early Miocene (rifting), and, lastly, SW-NE Alpine contraction from Miocene to Quaternary (Fig. 2a). The site of Bollène is exposed in a quarry (Figs. 2c) located in Turonian sand (low cohesion sandstone), between 10 and 200 m thick in thickness and is situated north of the E-W Mondragon anticline (Fig. 2b, c). The Turonian sands at the Bollène quarry are laminated, fine to coarse grained with modal and bimodal grain size distributions;
- 125 they formed in deltaic and aeolian environments (Ferry, 1997). The host sands are not cemented. They are composed of 88 to 92% quartz, the rest being feldspar. The median grain diameter (D₅₀) is 0.31 mm, i.e. medium sand. Their porosities range from 20 to 43%, and the precise value at study site is 22% (Ballas et al., 2014).



- 130 Figure 1. (a) Schematic geologic map and (b) cross-section of the Northern Apennines near Bologna (Italy), modified from Picotti and Pazzaglia, 2008. (c) Geologic map of the study area and location of the studied outcrops (red dotted line). This map is constructed from data of the *Regione Emilia-Romagna* (http://www.regione.emilia-romagna.it/). Location of (c) is indicated by a red square in (a). (d) Lower-hemisphere equal-area projection indicates the orientation of the different sets of DBs (298 data points) and poles to bedding at the study site. DBs associated with carbonate nodules are highlighted by a red line. DBs azimuth (strike; N ± 90°) frequency rose diagram and
- 135 dip angle (°) plotted against frequency. Best-fit Gaussian curves superimposed on the corresponding data histograms (frequency distributions). Gaussian peaks and related standard deviations (± sd) are indicated for each population.





Figure 2. (a) Schematic geologic map and (b) cross-section of the South East Basin, Provence, France. The main tectonic episodes
affecting the region are reported in (a). (c) Geologic map and stratigraphic column of the Bollène quarry. Location of map in (c) is indicated by a red square in (a), and red open circles indicate some of past studies locations (see Wibberley et al., 2007; Saillet and Wibberley, 2010; Ballas et al., 2012, 2013, 2014; Soliva et al., 2013; Philit et al., 2018). (a), (b), and stratigraphic column in (c) are modified from Philit et al., (2015, 2018). Geological map in (c) is modified from Ballas et al. (2012). (d) Lower-hemisphere equal-area projection indicates the orientation of the different sets of DBs (64 data points) at the study site. DBs associated with carbonate nodules are highlighted in red. Dotted lines indicate the main attitude of tabular carbonate nodules. DBs azimuth (strike; N ± 90°) frequency rose

diagram and dip angle (°) plotted against frequency. Best-fit Gaussian curves superimposed on the corresponding data histograms (frequency distributions). Gaussian peaks and related standard deviations (± sd) are indicated for each population. Number in square brackets [n] are the same as used in Fig. 6b to rank different sets of DBs.

3 Methods

150 3.1 Outcrops analysis

The geometry and distribution of DBs and nodules were documented by detailed field mapping at different scales for both sites. At the Loiano site, a map (370 m^2) at the 1:25 scale $(1 \text{ cm} \approx 4 \text{ m})$ was made by standard topographic compass and tape mapping (Fig. 3). The Bollène quarry site pavement was mapped using a DJI PHANTOMTM drone. Photographs were taken at different heights above the ground surface and were then used to build a 3D mesh and extract high-resolution

155 orthophotos using Agisoft PhotoScan Metashape software (© Agisoft LLC). The high-resolution orthophoto mosaic (1 px ≈ 1-1.5 mm) was used for the detailed mapping of DBs and nodules. Furthermore, DBs and nodule patterns, as well as their characteristics and spatial relationships, were documented in the field on high-resolution photographs (15 megapixels), both in Loiano (Figs. 4 and 5) and Bollène (Figs. 7 and 8). Oriented samples were collected for thin section preparation, microstructural, and stable isotopes analysis. The orientation of DBs was measured at each site and plotted in lower 160 hemisphere equal area stereograms, rose diagrams, and frequency histograms (Figs. 1d and 2d) using the Daisy3 software

3.2 Microstructural analysis

(Salvini, 2004).

Polished thin sections of host sandstones, DBs, and nodules were analyzed by standard petrographic microscopy, cold cathodoluminescence, and backscattered electron imagery using a JEOL JSM-5400, and a FEI Quanta FEG 200 165 environmental scanning-electron microscope (SEM). These microscopy techniques were used to examine the textural characteristics (e.g. grain-size, -shape, -arrangements, -contact relationships) and microstructures of host rock and DBs, as well as the cement distribution and texture (e.g. cement type and degree of cementation, cement-crystals-size, -shape) (Figs. 9, 10, and 11). In particular, cold cathodoluminescence (CL) analysis of carbonate cement in nodules was conducted with a CITL Cold cathodoluminescence 8200 Mk5-1 system (operated at 14-15kV beam energy and 250µA beam current)

- 170 equipped with a standard petrographic microscope (Olympus BH41). CL was used to describe the cement-crystal properties (texture, fabric, luminescence) and the micron-scale spatial distribution and textural relationship among the cements, framework detrital grains, and the fractures (*sensu lato*). This information is used to (i) understand the interrelation between deformation, fluid flow, and diagenesis (e.g. cement precipitation); (ii) assess the relative timing of each process; (iii) describe porosity evolution with time; and (iv) understand the mechanisms and the geochemical environment of cement precipitation when coupled with other tools (e.g. stable isotopes analyses). The CL features (color, brightness) of the carbonate minerals are controlled primarily by the relative abundances of Mn²⁺, REEs, and Fe²⁺. These differences, in turn,
- reflect specific physio-chemical conditions of formation waters during mineral growth, including fluid chemistry (salinity), pH/Eh, temperature, pressure, ions activity, biological activity (e.g. Marshall, 1988; Barnaby and Rimstidt, 1989; Machel, 2000; Hiatt and Pufahl, 2014).

180 3.3 Stable isotope characterization

Stable carbon and oxygen isotope data from cements from within carbonate nodules were used to constrain the geochemical environment of precipitation and possible source of fluids. Powder samples for bulk rock carbon and oxygen stable isotopes analysis were ground with a dental drill from not weathered or altered sections of the nodules. A total of 46 sites were sampled from nodules in Loiano (n=30; Fig. 12a) and Bollène (n=16; Fig. 12b). Powders samples were analyzed

185 with a Thermo Finnigan DELTA plus XP mass spectrometer coupled with a Thermo Finnigan Gas Bench II gas preparation and introduction system. δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O are referred to the international standard V-PDB (Vienna-Pee Dee Belemnite). Isotope determination analytical precision was 0.10% and 0.15‰ V-PDB for carbon and oxygen, respectively. The uncertainty was c. 0.15‰ for carbon and c. 0.20‰ for the oxygen isotopes.

4 Deformation bands and cement: field observations

190 4.1 Loiano

At the study site, bedding strikes NW-SE and dips at an average of 38° to the NE (Fig. 1d). Deformation bands cluster into three different trends striking 340° (NNW-SSE), 26° (NNE-SSW to NE-SW), and 81° (ENE-WSW) (Fig. 1d). All DBs dip moderately to steeply mostly in the west and south quadrants (Fig. 1d). Deformation bands commonly occur with a positive relief and appear as whitish linear traces with minor undulations forming eye and ramp structures, where they branch and merge (Figs. 3 and 4). Already at the outcrop they exhibit a significant reduction in grain size and porosity in comparison to the surrounding host rocks (Fig. 4f). Deformation bands occur both as single features and as clusters or zone of bands, i.e. in narrow zones with variable thickness (0.8-60 cm) with sub-parallel DBs (up to 40). Single DBs accommodate minor offsets from a few millimeters up to 40 mm, whereas clusters can accommodate offsets up to 0.5 m (Fig. 4b, d). Deformation bands display a variety of apparent normal and strike-slip offsets (Fig. 3). Different sets of DBs

-(ha eliminato: N
-(ha eliminato: E
)(ha eliminato: N
Y	ha eliminato: E
Y,	ha eliminato: N
ľ	ha eliminato: E

show ambiguous and conflicting crosscutting relationships. Field observations indicate that the NNW-SSE and NNE-SSW sets have mutual crosscutting relationships typical of faults forming synchronously (Fig. 3).

The peculiar characteristic of the Loiano Sandstones is the occurrence of spatially heterogeneous carbonate cement in the form of isolated or multiple spheroids or irregular nodules and continuous tabular nodules (Figs. 4 and 5). The nodules

- 210 weather out in positive relief, because they are more resistant to weathering than the weakly cemented host rock. Isolated nodules range in diameter (major horizontal axis) from 0.2 m to 3 m (Fig. 4c, d) whereas tabular concretions have a thickness ranging from 0.10 to 0.8 m and a long axis ranging from 3 up to 15 m in length (Fig. 5a). Generally, the nodule shape in Loiano is similar to that of an oblate spheroid. There is no evidence of spherical nodules or prolate spheroids. The volume of the carbonate nodules ranges from 0.001 m³ to > 10 m³. Nodules form about 20% of the exposed outcrop volume.
- 215 Two types of nodules can be distinguished depending on whether they are associated with DBs or clusters (i.e. DBs-parallel nodules; Figs. 3, 4, and 5a-c) or with bedding planes (i.e. bedding-parallel nodules; Figs. 3 and 5d, e). The former represent roughly 75% of the total nodules in the study area and are the main target of this work. The association between DBs and nodules occurs in the form of (i) parallelism and spatial overlap between DBs and nodules and (ii) confinement of the nodules by the DBs. In all cases, nodules are oriented with the major axis (elongation direction) parallel to the DBs and the
- 220 minor-axis (i.e. thickness) perpendicular to them. Deformation band-parallel nodules are isolated ellipsoids (Fig. 4b, d), or, alternatively, continuous tabular objects (Figs. 4a and 5a). Nodules may be located along the DB (or zone of bands) trace (Figs. 4a, d and 5a, b), placed in between and confined by DBs (Figs. 4b, e, and 5c), or they may be asymmetrically placed on one side of the DBs (Figs. 4c and 9e). In some cases, nodules lie at the intersection of different DBs planes (Fig. 3). Some DBs are not spatially associated with nodules (Figs. 3 and 4b). Among the multiple sets of DBs, those mostly associated with
- 225 carbonate nodules are the NNW-SSE and the NNE-SSW one. As a result, most nodules are elongated along these two structural directions (Figs. 1d and 3; see also Fig. 1b in Del Sole and Antonellini, 2019). The other sets are rarely associated with carbonate nodules. Nodules are never cut across by the DBs.

Bedding-parallel nodules are either isolated (Fig. 3), multiple but laterally discontinuous (Figs. 3 and 5d, e), or laterally continuous layers with a tabular geometry (Fig. 3; e.g. "nodular beds" in Del Sole et al., 2020). Nodular beds are

- 230 continuous pervasively-cemented layers that extend along the bedding plane for several meters (up to 15 m in length) and a nearly constant thickness of c. 35-50 cm. Nodules along bedding planes are more rounded and with gentle boundaries (Fig. 5d, e) than those associated with DBs, which are, instead, more tabular and exhibit angular and sharp boundaries (Figs. 4 and 5a-c). In some cases, nodule geometry and elongation direction follow both bedding surfaces and DBs (Fig. 3). Nodules, despite being ubiquitous in the sandstone, are mostly observed within coarse levels with grain size equal or larger than
- 235 medium sands (0.25-0.5 mm). We did not observe any nodules in sedimentary rocks with grain size finer than sand (siltstone and clay). Bedding-parallel nodules are commonly located in sandstone levels confined between clay/silty levels or finegrained-sand levels (Figs. 3 and 5d, e).

A set of joints and veins (Fig. 5a, b) were found exclusively within the carbonate nodules. They postdate DBs and nodules and do not propagate into the surrounding host sandstone.



Figure 3. Outcrop map that documents geometry and distribution of DBs and nodules in a portion of the study area in Loiano. The righthand panel fits on top of the left-hand panel. ZB – zone of bands. The inset (© Google Earth) shows the map location in the study area.





Figure 4. Relationships between nodules and DBs. Deformation bands occur either as single structures or organized in clusters (ZB). Nodules along DBs (or ZB) are isolated (b, d, c), or continuous with a tabular geometry (a). Nodules are located along the DB trace (a, b, d), or they are asymmetrically placed on a side of the DB (c). (e) Isolated nodule in between and confined by ZB. DBs are whiter than host rock, exhibit a positive relief, and a clear reduction in grain size and a lower porosity, both visible to the naked eye (f). The pen in (c, d) is
14 cm in length. The arrow-scale in (b, e) is 10 cm in length. The position of (a, b) is indicated in Fig. 3.





emphasized mostly by clay and silt horizons, sporadic well-defined thin levels of gravel, and the alignment of bedding-parallel nodules. (d) Black arrows point to multiple but laterally discontinuous bedding-parallel nodules. Here, a single DB (white line) crosses a beddingparallel nodule without causing any offset. The position of (d) is indicated in Fig. 3. (e) Photomosaic showing a series of laterallydiscontinuous nodules just below, above or in between several continuous impermeable clay-rich levels. The deformation pattern changes depending on the host rock properties (e.g. sorting degree, porosity ϕ , grain-size; see Supplement S1 for details). Cataclastic deformation is accompanied by clay smear (see inset) where DBs cut thin dark-colored clay levels.

4.2 Bollène

260

- At Bollène, DBs occurs as belonging to three different trends oriented (i) NW-SE to NNW-SSE (set 1: 334°; Figs. 2d and 6b), (ii) NE-SW to ENE-WSW (set 2: 61° and set 3: 67°; Figs. 2d and 6b), and (iii) ESE-WNW (set 4: 278°; Figs. 2d and 6b). Trend (i) can be divided in two subsets; one is characterized by normal offsets NW-SE conjugate bands, moderate dip angles (50-60°) to SW (Figs.7a and 8a, c), and just a few to NE; a second one is characterized by dominant dextral strikeslip kinematic bands with higher dip angles (70-90°), and a NNW-SSE trend (Figs. 6b and 7f). Trend (ii) can be divided in two sets; one set is characterized by dominantly left-lateral and minor right-lateral subvertical strike-slip conjugate bands
- 270 striking NE-SW to ENE-WSW (set 2 in Fig. 6b; Fig. 7a-c); a second set is instead characterized by a set of conjugate DBs with moderate dips (~60°), NE-SW orientation (set 3 in Fig. 6b), and undetermined kinematic (likely normal-sense). Trend (iii) is composed of ESE-WNW conjugate bands, with reverse kinematics and low dip angles (30-40°). In the field, DBs appear as whitish linear traces with minor undulations and characteristic eye structures where they branch and merge (Figs. 6b and 7). In most cases, DBs weather out in positive relief. Frequently DBs occur in narrow zones (a few millimeters up to
- 5-15 cm in thickness). Field observations indicate that ESE-WNW DBs are crosscut by NE-SW strike-slip DBs (Fig. 6b). The latter also crosscut also the NW-SE/NNW-SSE set (Fig. 7a-c, f). Bedding is oriented NW-SE and dips gently (<10°) to the S (Fig. 2b, d). Bedding is difficult to recognize on the floor of the quarry, because of its low dip and the massive texture of the rock (Fig. 6b). The Turonian sandstones outcrop on the quarry floor. There are two lithotypes. The first one is represented by massive porous sands with DBs and localized carbonate cementation (see description below). The second one is characterized by a massive calcrete level with tabular geometry (see Supplement S2 for details).</p>

The Turonian Sandstones in the Bollène quarry are characterized by a spatially heterogeneous cementation (Fig. 6b). These diagenetic heterogeneities occur as spherical and tabular nodules (Figs. 7 and 8). Spherical nodules are arranged as isolated bodies within the surrounding host rock (Figs. 7c, g and 8a, d, e) or aggregated in tabular clusters (Fig. 7a, d). Nodules weather out in positive relief. Spherical nodules range in diameter from a few millimeters (0.004-0.005 m) to a few

- 285 tens of cms (0.2 m), whereas tabular ones have a thickness ranging from a few cms to 0.1 m and a long axis up to 5 m in length (Figs. 6b and 7a-c). Assessment of nodules lateral extension is hampered by the presence of vegetation and debris cover whereas subsurface extension cannot be measured, because of the limited vertical exposures of the outcrops. Hence, the values reported here are minimum values. In general, the nodule shape may be approximated by a sphere where length, width, and thickness are "equal" and by an oblate spheroid where length and width are larger than the nodule thickness.
 - 14

ha eliminato: N ha eliminato: E ha eliminato: E ha eliminato: E ha eliminato: N ha eliminato: N ha eliminato: E ha eliminato: N ha eliminato: E ha eliminato: E

Carbonate nodule volume ranges from 10^{-7} (small spherical nodules) to > 2.5 m³ (tabular nodules assuming length = width = 5m, and thickness = 0.1m). In Bollène, the nodules are all spatially and geometrically associated with DBs (i.e. DBs-parallel

- 300 nodules; Figs. 6b and 7). This association occurs in the form of (1) parallelism between DBs and nodules, (2) geometric congruence between the DBs trend and the nodule (or nodules cluster) shape, and (3) confinement of nodules in parallel-tobands compartments. In all these cases, tabular nodules and clusters of spherical nodules are oriented with the major axis (elongation direction) parallel to the DBs and the minor-axis (i.e. thickness) perpendicular to them (Figs. 7a and 8a). Unlike what we have seen in Loiano, in Bollène nodules are in compartments among DBs. Carbonate nodules are associated with
- 305 the NW-SE/NNW-SSE DBs set (Figs. 6b and 7a, f). Although this set is conjugate with bands dipping to the SW and to the NE, the tabular cement bodies dip only to the SW (Figs. 2d and 8a-c). No nodules are cut by the NW-SE bands. The NE-SW/ENE-WSW strike-slip bands cut through the NW-SE/NNW-SSE bands and the associated NW-SE-trending carbonate nodules (Figs. 7a-c, f). There is clear evidence of these crosscutting relationships both at the outcrop and at the micro-scale (see Sect. 5.2). For this reason, we focus on the NW-SE DBs and nodules in the remaining part of this study.

15



Figure 6. (a) Aerial photograph of the study site (© Google Earth). (b) Orthophoto that documents geometry and distribution of DBs and carbonate nodules in the Bollène quarry. Lower-hemisphere equal-area projection indicating the orientation of the cataclastic structures measured in (1) NW-SE/NNW-SSE normal and (dextral) strike-slip bands associated with tabular and spherical nodules; (2) NE-SW/ENE-315 WSW strike-slip bands; (3) NE-SW bands with undetermined kinematics; (4) ESE-WNW reverse-sense bands.



Figure 7. Calcite cement occurs in the form of isolated (a, c, g) or clusters (a, d) of (S) spherical nodules and continuous (t) tabular nodules (a-c). Nodules are arranged in compartments parallel to clusters of NW-SE normal bands (a-c) and to NNW-SSE dextral strikeslip bands (f, g). NE-SW/ENE-WSW strike-slip bands displace both the NW-SE bands and their associated nodules (a-c, f). (e) Spherical nodule (about 5 cm in diameter) in spatial superposition with a NW-SE DB that does not displace the cement. Lens-cover in (a, f) has a 5.5 cm diameter. The figures (a-d, f, g) are in map-view. The position of (a, f) is indicated in Fig. 6b. Hammer length in (b) is 30.5 cm.



325 Figure 8. Typical relationships between nodules and DBs. (a) Continuous tabular nodule (t) and isolated spherical nodules (S) aligned parallel to the NW-SE normal-sense bands dipping SW (~55°; red lines in the inset stereoplot). The tabular bodies dip to the SW parallel to the bands (blue lines in the stereoplot in the inset). The position of (a) is indicated in Fig. 6b. (b) Hand specimen and polished thin sections impregnated with blue-dyed resin of a tabular nodule in (a). (c) Polished thin sections impregnated with blue-dyed resin of a tabular nodule in (a). (c) Polished thin sections impregnated with blue-dyed resin of a tabular nodule in (a). (c) Polished thin sections impregnated with blue-dyed showing two sub-parallel NW-SE normal-sense bands dipping SW. Mapping of microfractures developed at grain contacts consistent with Hertzian
330 contacts (e.g. Eichhubl et al., 2010; Soliva et al., 2013) endorses the normal kinematic of these bands (see Supplement S1 for details). "Up" refers to the topography. Close-up on spherical (d-e) and tabular (f) nodules from the field. Pen-marker length in (a, f) is about 13.5 cm. Lens-cover in (d) has a 5.5 cm diameter.

5 Deformation bands and cement: textural and microstructural characteristics

5.1 Loiano

- 335
- Host rock total porosity (minus-cement \$\phi\$) is between 20-26% (Fig. 9; Del Sole and Antonellini, 2019). Porosity is predominantly intergranular, whereas intragranular (e.g. pores within bioclasts) and "oversize" pores are due to dissolution
 - 18

of detrital grains (Figs. 9 and 10). Deformation band total porosity (minus-cement ϕ) is lower by an order of magnitude (below 5%) than the host rock porosity (Fig. 9d). In the nodules, the host rock porosity is almost completely filled by cement (Fig. 10), so that the remnant porosity (voids) is low (down to 1.3%) (Fig. 9a, c). The presence of cement within the DBs 340 enhances the porosity reduction caused by grain crushing and compaction (Fig. 9d). The microstructure of the DBs is

345

characterized by reduced of grain size, porosity and pore size than in the host rock (Fig. 10i-l). Within the DBs a few coarse grains are surrounded by a fine-grained matrix.

Despite the different effects of mechanical and chemical compaction and minor authigenic alterations (refer to Supplement S1 for details), the major diagenetic components of the Loiano Sandstone are calcite cements. These cements fill mainly intergranular, and at lesser extent intragranular (intraskeletal) pore spaces, intragranular fractures, and they encase the framework grains and all other diagenetic features. Bedding-parallel nodules (Fig. 10a-h) are characterized by a mosaic

- texture of blocky sparite to poikilotopic bright orange to orange CL calcite cement. Crystal-size is typically 40-100 µm and up to 300 µm (Fig. 10g, h). This cement phase is the most widespread one and it is almost everywhere uniform in terms of texture and CL-pattern, if not for some minor dark-CL sub-zones (Fig. 10g, h). A minor calcite cement phase is associated 350 with detrital carbonates (bioclasts) and it shows a bright-orange CL (Fig. 10c-d). It occurs as pore-lining formed by elongate
- and sharp rhombohedral shape calcite (dogtooth) that outlines the outer rim of the bioclasts (Fig. 10c) and drusy mosaic calcite that fills intraskeletal pores, outline bioclasts, and fills intragranular fractures in bioclasts (Fig. 10d). This subordinate phase was observed only in bedding-parallel nodules. Pore-lining cement around bioclasts is present only where there was pore-space (now filled), whereas it is absent where other grains are in contact with the bioclast. All cement phases described
- above (intergranular, intraskeletal, and pore-lining) encase compacted grains, and cements are undeformed still preserving the original shape. Pore-filling cement in DBs-parallel nodules (Fig. 10i-n) show a similar texture and CL-pattern to that described for the main intragranular cement phase in the bedding-parallel nodules (Fig. 10a-h). The main features that differentiate DB-parallel nodules from bedding-parallel ones are the finer crystal size of calcite within DBs, (Fig. 10i-l) and the absence of a bright-orange CL cement phase described in association to bioclasts in bedding-parallel nodules (Fig. 10c,
- 360 d). Some bright-orange CL cement was observed only in detrital form (crushed) within the DB (Fig. 10k). The pore filling in DBs is fine-grained sparite; no evidence was found of crushed calcite crystals belonging to the dominant calcite phase. The finer fraction within the DBs is a matrix made up of comminuted angular and fine-grained clasts (flakes) of feldspar and in a smaller degree quartz, encased by the cement (Fig. 10j-l). Similarly, the cement fills the microfractures that cut through coarser grains and it encase the fine-grained clasts that are present within these fractures. Although, these microfractures are
- 365 frequent in the host rock sectors in proximity to the DB (Fig. 10i), they were observed also in bedding-parallel nodules (Fig. 10a, b). Host rock volumes within DBs-parallel nodules are still characterized by blocky sparite cement with some minor dark-CL growth sub zones (Fig. 10i, m, n), similarly to what was observed in bedding-parallel nodules.

To evaluate any sign of dissolution in nodules, we carefully checked cement crystals morphologies adjacent to poorly- or non-cemented host rock sectors at the edges of nodules. Here, cement crystals boundaries are regular and sharp 370 (Fig. 10m, n).





Figure 9. Host rock (HR) and DBs porosity and relationships between cement and DBs in Loiano Sandstones. (a) Polished thin sections impregnated with blue-dyed resin. The section shows a DB (arrow) separating two host rock sectors: in the right-hand side there is 375 extensive calcite cementation whereas on the left-hand side cementation is poor. (b, c) Secondary electrons and (d) backscattered electrons SEM images from different sectors of the section (a). Porosity (\$\$) estimations data in (a-d) from Del Sole and Antonellini (2019). (e) Field example where a nodule is asymmetrically placed on a side of the DBs, similarly to what is observed in (a). See text for further details.



380 Figure 10. Natural- and CL-light photomicrographs showing the microstructure, and cement textures in (a-h) bedding-parallel and (i-n) DBs-parallel nodules. (a-b, d) Microfractures forms at grain contacts due to stress concentration at contact points and (i) they are common in the host rock areas close to the DB. (b) Feldspars break mainly by cleavage-controlled intragranular fractures (white dotted line). Some framework grains show planar to slightly undulated framework grain-to-grain contacts. Detrital carbonate clasts and (c-d) bioclasts are partially dissolved at grain contacts. (e) Some detrital grains are corroded and coated or partially replaced by cement. (f) Syntaxial overprowth cement on a detrital carbonate clast (D). Bright-CL (c) circumgranular pore-lining (dogtooth texture) and (d) intraskeletal

- 385 overgrowth cement on a detrital carbonate clast (D). Bright-CL (c) circumgranular pore-lining (dogtooth texture) and (d) intraskeletal (drusy mosaic) calcite cement was observed only in bedding-parallel nodules. The main cement phase is characterized by bright-orange to orange-CL calcite cement that fills intergranular porosity and intragranular fractures both in (a, b, e-h) bedding-parallel nodules and (i-n) DB-parallel ones. (g-h, m-n) Host rock volumes in nodules are characterized by blocky sparite to poikilotopic calcite cement with minor dark-CL sub-zones, whereas (j-l) the cement in DBs is fine-grained sparite. (m-n) At nodules edges, cement crystal rims are regular and sharp suggesting absent or negligible dissolution. Bright grains in (k, l) are detrital calcite (D). Qz quartz; Fs feldspar; M mica; Rf -
- rock fragment; B bioclast; φ pore space; C calcite cement; TL transmitted light. See text and Supplement SI for further details.

5.2 Bollène

The host sands at Bollène are weakly cemented, with the exception of localized carbonate cementation described above. Host rock grains are mostly rounded and lack a fabric (Fig. 11a, f). Here, we describe the microstructure of NW-SE/NNW-SSE normal-sense and strike-slip bands, and NE-SW/ENE-WSE strike-slip bands sets. The most recognizable features that characterize both DBs sets are the reduction of grain size, porosity, and a tighter packing relative to the host rock (Fig. 11). NE-SW strike-slip bands (Fig. 11i-l) have a higher degree of grain comminution, porosity reduction, and tighter packing when compared to NW-SE bands (Figs. 8c and 11g-h). Most grains within the bands are fractured and angular. Despite the strong comminution, a few rounded large survivor quartz grains are preserved in the DBs matrix (Fig. 11g, h, k). Fine angular grains that are mostly comminued feldspars fragments and secondarily quartz and minor oxides make up the matrix. We observed also fine particles of crushed calcite cement among the matrix grains within NE-SW bands (Fig. 11k, l). In some cases, the grains in the host rock areas in proximity to the DB are encased by relatively undeformed

 405 (Fig. 11c).
 The main cement in spherical and tabular nodules is a poikilotopic calcite that infills intergranular pores (Fig. 11ae). Most of the cement is non-luminescent (dark luminescence) under CL (Fig. 11c, d) but a few crystals show partial overgrowths with bright-orange CL color (Fig. 11e). When the crystal has a heterogeneous CL-pattern, the non-luminescing zones are mainly in the crystal core whereas the luminescing sub-zones are mostly at the crystal edges (Fig. 11e). A very thin

carbonate cement (Fig. 11i, j). Some grains in the host rock are corroded and partially replaced or coated by calcite cement

410 film (up to c. 10µ thick or less) of bright-orange CL calcite cement commonly coats the detrital grains (Fig. 11c), and it is visible also under natural-light (Fig. 11b). In the nodules, some of the intragranular microfractures at contact points are filled by cement (Fig. 11a); a few are not (Figs. 11b). The cements described above (pore-filling and grain-coating) are relatively undeformed (i.e. no microfractures, no twin-lamellae) and still preserving the original shape, except where the NE-SE/ENE-

22

ha eliminato:

ha eliminato: Microfractures

ha eliminato: ary Material (

ha eliminato:

WSW strike-slip bands crosscut the cement nodules. At the crosscutting site, indeed, and more specifically in the host rock sectors in proximity to the NE-SW/ENE-WSW bands, we observe intragranular fractures at contact points and the onset of

420 cement comminution between quartz clasts (Fig. 11i, j). Fine particles of crushed detrital calcite cement are found among the cataclastic matrix grains within NE-SW/ENE-WSW strike-slip bands where they interact with nodules (Fig. 11k, l). At the microscale, no preferential or significant calcite cementation was observed in association with the NW-SE bands. The association between cements and these latter bands was observed only at the mesoscale (see Sect. 4.2).



Figure 11. Natural- and CL-light photomicrographs showing the internal texture and microstructure of (a-e) spherical and tabular nodules and (f-l) DBs. (a, b, f) Host rock grains are mostly rounded and nearly undisturbed. Some microfractures break framework grains at contact points. In nodules, (a) some of the microfractures are filled; (b) a few are not. (g, h) Microfractures are more frequent approaching the DB and (j) they are preferentially oriented with respect to the band (white arrows). Cement fills intergranular pore-space and intragranular fractures. (c-e) The major diagenetic component is poikilotopic spar cement with dominant dark-luminescence and (e) minor

- bright-orange CL growth sub-zones. (c) A very thin film of bright-orange CL calcite cement often coats detrital grains. Minor diagenetic alterations are corroded detrital grains that are partially replaced by calcite cement; see inset in (c). (g, h) NW-SE bands and (i, l) NE-SW strike-slip bands show a similar pattern, but NE-SW bands feature a high degree of grain comminution and porosity reduction. (i, j) NE-SW strike-slip bands crosscut cement nodules; intragranular fractures (white arrows) and incipient stage of cement comminution (black
- 435 arrows) between quartz clasts in the host rock sectors in proximity these bands. (k, l) Fine particles of crushed detrital calcite cement (D) are found among the matrix grains at the crosscutting site. The inset in (a) is a backscattered electrons SEM image. Qz - quartz; Fs feldspar; ϕ - pore space; C - calcite cement; TL - transmitted light. See Supplement S1 for details.

6 Cement stable isotopes geochemistry

6.1 Loiano

440

430

Cement from the nodules of the Loiano samples has $\delta^{13}C$ values between -7.68 and -1.47 ‰ (V-PDB) and $\delta^{18}O$ values between -4.42 and -1.35 ‰ (V-PDB) (Fig. 12a). The cement from DBs-related nodules is characterized by isotope compositions between -5.41 and -1.47 % (V-PDB) for δ^{13} C, and between -4.42 and -1.40 % for δ^{18} O (V-PDB). The cement from bedding-parallel nodules has isotope compositions between -7.68 and -5.94 ‰ (V-PDB) for $\delta^{13}C$, and between -2.09 and -1.35 ‰ (V-PDB) for δ¹⁸O. Both cement groups (DBs-parallel and bedding-parallel nodules) have a relatively narrow 445 range of oxygen isotopic composition featuring a near-vertical alignment in the $\delta^{18}O-\delta^{13}C$ cross-plot. DBs-parallel nodules show a slightly wider span of δ^{18} O composition when compared to bedding-parallel nodules. However, carbon isotopic composition shows a wide range of variability, both when considering the total isotopic composition data and when considering the cement groups data.

6.2 Bollène

450

455

Stable isotope analysis of the Bollène samples also defines two groups of data in the $\delta^{18}O-\delta^{13}C$ space (Fig. 12b). The cement group referring to the DBs-related nodules has δ^{13} C values between -7.73 m and -4.68 ‰ (V-PDB) and δ^{18} O values between -7.70 and -5.88 ‰ (V-PDB). The other group is from cement sampled in a calcrete level observed within the same Turonian sandstone few meters above the studied outcrop (see Sect. 4.2 and the Supplement S2 for details), and it is characterized by isotope compositions between -2.54 and -2.39 % (V-PDB) for δ^{13} C, and between -6.58 and -6.32 % (V-PDB) for δ^{18} O. Both cement groups have a relatively similar δ^{18} O signature and a relatively narrow range of δ^{18} O

25

composition varying only between -7.70 and -5.88 % (V-PDB). In a similar way, cement sampled from the calcrete has a

ha eliminato: ary Material (ha eliminato:

narrow range of δ^{13} C composition and show the heavier δ^{13} C values in the data set. However, δ^{13} C composition of DBs-460 related nodules has a wider variability range and is the most depleted in the data set.



Figure 12. Stable isotopes analysis results. (a) Cumulative isotopic data characterizing the DBs-parallel nodules (black full-dots) and bedding-parallel ones (empty-dots) inside the Loiano Sandstones. (b) Isotopic data from the DBs-related nodules (black full-dots) and cement sampled in the calcrete (empty-dots) in Bollène quarry (see the Supplement S2 for details).

465 7 Discussion

470

In the following, we compare the two field sites highlighting their similarities and differences concerning the interaction between deformation, fluid flow, and diagenesis. We discuss the influence of DBs on fluid flow, their role in enhancing diagenesis and localizing diagenetic products (nodules). Finally, we propose an explanation for the geochemical environment within which fluids were sourced and precipitated the nodule cement. We then explore the implications of SDH upon subsurface fluid flow and reservoir characterization.

7.1 Cement distribution and its relationship with deformation bands

The distinctive feature of the *Loiano Sandstones* is a spatially heterogeneous cementation in the form of nodules. Field evidence indicates that DB formation predates calcite cementation. All nodules are spatially related to DBs (Figs. 3 and 5a-c) except for those that are situated along bedding planes (~25% of the total nodules; Figs. 3 and 5d, e). In contrast, not all

- 475 DBs are associated with nodules. A clear correspondence always exists between shape and elongation direction of the nodules and the DBs direction. This pattern is observed also from aerial photographs (Del Sole and Antonellini, 2019). Localized cement along these structural features itself is an indication that deformation preceded cement precipitation (e.g. Eichhubl et al., 2004). If the sandstones were completely cemented at first, and then completely removed except from the DBs, the cement in the DB's matrix would most likely have been preserved in orange-reddish hues (oxidation residues); but
- 480 nothing like that was observed. Moreover, cement morphologies adjacent to the porosity at nodules edges imply that cement dissolution has not occurred (Fig. 10m, n), thus excluding that nodules, both those parallel to bedding and those parallel to DBs, are relicts from an overall dissolution process. No DBs crosscut the cement, at least for those sets that are spatially related to nodules (NNW-SSE to NE-SW) indicating that cementation postdate DBs development. In support to this, the precipitation of cement and (the consequent) lower porosity would favor the formation of joints over DBs in the sandstone
- 485 (Flodin et al., 2003; Aydin et al., 2006; Fig. 5a, b). The presence of pore-filling cement would increase the strength of the sandstone (Del Sole et al., 2020), preventing rotation and sliding of particles, increase rock cohesion (Bernabé et al., 1992) and grain contact area, thus yielding a uniform contact stress distribution and higher stiffness (Dvorkin et al., 1991). Extensive cement, then, would inhibit DBs development.
- Results from microstructural observations show that intergranular cement in the nodules encloses the grains both
 within host rock and DBs, and it overprints burial-related mechanical and chemical compaction features (Fig. 10a-h). This evidence suggests that the formation of authigenic cements occurred after significant compaction (Cibin et al., 1993; Milliken et al., 1998). Estimated burial depths referred to the top of the Loiano Sandstones are 800-1000 m (Cibin et al., 1993) and 700-1200 m (McBride et al., 1995). Transgranular microfractures at grain contacts are due to stress concentration at contact points and they are interpreted as load-bearing structures within the granular framework (e.g. Antonellini et al., 1994; Eichhubl et al., 2010; Soliva et al., 2013). In DBs-parallel nodules samples the cement that fills the transgranular
- fractures is in continuity (i.e. same textural and CL characteristics) with the pore-filling cement outside the fractures. The presence of undeformed cement within structural-related features such as microfractures and crushed grains (Fig. 10i-1), both within and outside the DBs, proves that cement precipitation occurred after (at least after the early stages of) deformation.
- The bands are the main controlling factor on the location, geometry, and elongation direction of DBs-parallel 500 nodules. The occurrence and location of bedding-parallel nodules, instead, is controlled by grain-size and contrast in grainsize within the host rock. Although bedding-parallel nodules are found in all sands, they are more common within coarsegrained levels (≥ medium sands; i.e. size range: 0.25-0.5 mm). There are no nodules in sediments below the sand range or in layers with permeability below 100 mD (Del Sole et al., 2020). Moreover, bedding-parallel nodules are often restricted to sand level in contact above and/or below with clay/silty levels (Fig. 5d, e). Hence, grain size, and permeability variations are
- 505 the most important factors controlling diagenesis and nodules formation in Loiano. The grain size and permeability variations as dominant control on nodules development in porous media is also reported by other authors (Mozley and Davis, 1996; Hall et al., 2004; Davis et al., 2006; Cavazza et al., 2009; Balsamo et al., 2012). In general, bedding-parallel nodules show a more rounded morphology when compared to DBs-related nodules. The former nodule type owns its smooth
 - 27

morphology to a homogeneous and isotropic weathering; the sharp and squared shapes of DB-s parallel nodules is probably 510 due to the anisotropy introduced by the DBs in the host rock that influences the cementation. The interplay between band strength and erosion may also be influent on nodule shape.

In the *Bollène quarry*, all calcite nodules occur in association with the DBs, and in particular with the NW-SE/NNW-SSE set (Fig. 6b). At this site, we observe complex relationships among multiple deformational and diagenetic events. Timing of bands and nodules is inferred from crosscutting relationships. There is no evidence of low-angle ESE-

- 515 WNW reverse-sense DBs crosscutting the cement nodules, whereas NE-SW to ENE-WSW trending strike-slip DBs offset the reverse-sense bands, the NW-SE bands, and the NW-SE-trending cement nodules (Figs. 6b and 7). The localization and parallelism between DBs and cement is similar in the two field sites, with the exception that NW-SE-trending nodules and DBs in *Bollène* are not superposed. Here, DBs are always overprinted by cement but the spatial overlap between DBs and nodules (Fig. 7e) is unusual. Nodules occur in compartments that are spatially confined by DBs zones. Tabular nodules and
- 520 clusters of spherical nodules are oriented with the major axis parallel to the NW-SE DBs (Figs. 6b and 7a). The NW-SE bands do not crosscut the cement, therefore, calcite cementation occurred between the NW-SE bands formation (Pyrenean contraction or Oligocene-Miocene extension?) and the NE-SW strike-slip bands (Miocene-Quaternary age Alpine shortening?). Please refer to Supplement, \$1, for details on how DBs relate to the tectonics of the area. Microstructural observations show that the dominant phase of intergranular calcite cement encloses the grains within the nodules and it
- 525 overprints only a proportion of the transgranular microfractures at grains contact points. All microfractures in the nodules are filled by a cement that is in continuity, same texture and CL characteristics, with the pore-filling cement outside the grain. Unfilled microfractures (Fig. 11b) were not connected to the pore network and they were potentially quickly isolated by the calcite mineral growing in the pore space. It is less likely that they formed after cement precipitation, otherwise the cement would have been broken.

530 7.2 Role of deformation bands on fluid flow and diagenesis

535

The localized diagenesis observed in form of nodules at Loiano and Bollène provides evidence for the effect of structural heterogeneities, such as DBs, on fluid flow in porous sandstones (Eichhubl et al., 2004, 2009; Balsamo et al., 2012; Philit et al., 2015; Del Sole and Antonellini, 2019; Pizzati et al., 2019; Del Sole et al., 2020). The petrophysical properties (porosity, permeability, capillary entry pressure) of DBs influence fluid flow and localize diagenesis and cement precipitation.

Cataclastic DBs increase flow tortuosity in reservoirs and produce capillary barriers that severely baffle the flow at the reservoir scale and limit cross flow between host rock compartments (Harper and Mofta, 1985; Edwards et al., 1993; Lewis and Couples, 1993; Antonellini and Aydin, 1994; Leveille et al., 1997; Gibson, 1998; Antonellini et al., 1999, 2014; Sternlof et al., 2004; Rotevatn and Fossen, 2011; Ballas et al., 2012; Medici et al., 2019; Romano et al., 2020). Smaller pores

540 within bands result in higher capillary forces than in the host rock. This may cause higher water saturation within the bands

28

ha eliminato: ary ha eliminato: Material (ha eliminato:)

ha spostato in basso [2]: A marked grain-surface roughening and reduction of grain-size, porosity, and pore-size characterize the DBs presented in this work. In *Loiano*, the combined effect of cataclasis and compaction in the DBs causes porosity reduction by one order of magnitude, permeability reduction by three orders of magnitude, and advective velocity reduction by 2 orders of magnitude with respect to the host rock (Del Sole and Antonellini, 2019; Supplement S3). Similarly, DBs in the *Bollene* quarry have lower permeability (up to 3 orders of magnitude) and porosity (up to 50%) (Ballas et al., 2014; Supplement S3) when compared to the host rock. C

- 555 with respect to the host rock (Tueckmantel et al., 2012; Liu and Sun, 2020). Higher degree of flow tortuosity (reduction in pore interconnectivity) and lower porosity and permeability within the bands may increase the fluid retention time regardless of the water-saturation conditions (Antonellini et al., 1999; Sigda and Wilson, 2003; Wilson et al., 2006). Recently, Romano et al. (2020) documented with single and multiphase core flooding experiments that cataclastic bands can strongly influence the fluid velocity field. Other authors (Taylor and Pollard, 2000; Eichhubl et al., 2004) recognized that a slower rate of solute transport relative to the fluid within the bands causes the formation and local perturbation of diagenetic alteration fronts. In light of these considerations and the temporal and spatial relationships between bands and cements obtained from field and
- light of these considerations and the temporal and spatial relationships between bands and cements obtained from field and microstructural observations, we discuss a model for selective cement precipitation associated with DBs. In our model we assume a reservoir in saturated conditions (see also Sect. 7.3).
- A marked grain-surface roughening and reduction of grain-size, porosity, and pore-size characterize the DBs presented in this work. In *Loiano*, the combined effect of cataclasis and compaction in the DBs causes porosity reduction by one order of magnitude, permeability reduction by three orders of magnitude, and advective velocity reduction by 2 orders of magnitude with respect to the host rock (Del Sole and Antonellini, 2019; Supplement S3). Similarly, DBs in the *Bollène* quarry have lower permeability (up to 3 orders of magnitude) and porosity (up to 50%) (Ballas et al., 2014; see also Supplement S3) when compared to the host rock, A lower permeability, a higher degree of tortuosity (i.e. lower pore size)
- 570 and reduced section area available for flow (i.e. lower porosity) in the DBs compared to the host rock may cause a flow <u>"slow down"</u> In *Loiano*, the "slow down" effect would be more pronounced when considering the normal-to-DB flow than the parallel-to-DB one given that normal-to-DB permeabilities are lower (1 order of magnitude in average) when compared to parallel-to-DB ones (Del Sole et al., 2020). Cataclasis has competing effects on advective flow velocity; it causes (i) an increase of flow velocity linked to the porosity reduction and (ii) a decrease of the hydraulic conductivity (if the hydraulic
- 575 gradient does not change). The decrease of hydraulic conductivity (3 orders of magnitude; Del Sole and Antonellini, 2019) dominates over the flow velocity increase caused by porosity reduction (1 order of magnitude; Del Sole and Antonellini, 2019). As a result, there is a net decrease in advective flow velocity in the DBs. A reduction in flow velocity (i.e. slower flow path in the DB with respect to the host rock) might increase the residence time of the fluid migrating through the reactive material (see next paragraph).
- 580

A <u>first</u> mechanism responsible for cement nucleation in association with DBs would be the presence of highly reactive crushed and pervasive fractured siliciclastic grains within the cataclastic DBs (e.g. Lander et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2015). The comminuted material of the DBs owns a large amount of reactive surface area (nucleation spots) and very tiny pores spaces among the crushed grains. With these conditions, cement precipitation requires less free energy to occur (Wollast, 1971; Berner, 1980) whereas greater cement abundances (e.g. Walderhaug, 2000) and faster rates of cement

585 emplacement (Lander et al., 2008; Williams et al., 2015) are promoted. Despite the fact that the role of fracturing in promoting cement precipitation in sandstones has been explored essentially for quartz cement, we think that this mechanism can be applied to calcite cement too. There is plenty of evidence of calcite precipitation over a silica substrate (e.g. Stockmann et al., 2014), but it would require either more time or a higher degree of supersaturation (e.g. Noiriel et al., 2016) ha eliminato: propose

ha spostato (inserimento) [2]

ha eliminato: We propose that

ha eliminato: the

ha eliminato: presence of the bands in *Loiano* and *Bollène* slowed down the fluid flow. This would have happened because the bands have lower permeability and hydraulic conductivity

ha eliminato: and hydraulic conductivity

ha eliminato: than

ha eliminato:

ha eliminato: (Ballas et al., 2014; Del Sole and Antonellini, 2019; Del Sole et al., 2020; see also Supplement S3)...

ha eliminato: , enabling precipitation to take place (Bott, 1995; Walker and Sheikholeslami, 2003). A "slow down" of the flow, then, A "slow down" of the flow, then, could kinetically favor cement precipitation. In fact, for most rock-forming minerals at ambient temperatures, chemical reactions at the solid surface are slow and thus rate limiting. If flow is fast, the reaction might not have time to occur. At lower flow velocities the precipitation reaction has more time to proceed before the fluid leaves the system. Moreover, the precipitation of calcite over a non-calcite-based material would require more time to occur when compared to a carbonate substratum (Stockmann et al., 2014). This "slow down", alone, could represent one of the first trigger to promote preferential cement precipitation within the band or in its proximity as observed in the field."

ha eliminato: A "slow down" of the flow, then, could kinetically precipitation. In fact, for most rock-forming minerals at ambient temperatures, chemical reactions at the solid surface are slow and thus rate limiting. If flow is fast, the reaction might not have time to occur. At lower flow velocities the precipitation reaction has more time to proceed before the fluid leaves the system. Moreover, the precipitation of calcite over a non-calcite-based material would require more time to occur when compared to a carbonate substratum (Stockmann et al., 2014). This "slow down", alone, could represent one of the first trigger to promote preferential cement precipitation within the band or in its proximity as observed in the field.⁵

ha eliminato: second

- 625 to occur (i.e. to lower the energy barrier for nucleation) when compared to a carbonate substratum. This mechanism has already been proposed to explain the presence of (quartz and calcite) cement within the bands pore space and contrast in the degree of cementation between the bands and the surrounding rock (Antonellini et al., 1994; Knipe et al., 1997; Fisher and Knipe, 1998; Milliken et al., 2005; Philit et al., 2015; Del Sole and Antonellini, 2019; Pizzati et al., 2019). In this work we show that DBs are a preferred site of cement precipitation. Within the DB there are more nucleation spots for cement nucleation and smaller pores that lead to a fast pore-clogging. DBs pores close faster than host rock ones. Once the cement begins to precipitate, the fresh carbonate substrate (cement) could enhance further precipitation (e.g. Noiriel et al., 2016).
- This mechanism may be relevant for *Loiano* where the calcite cement fills small pore spaces among fresh quartz and feldspar surfaces created during fracturing. This process can explain why in most cases DBs are more cemented than the surrounding host rock. On the contrary, this process was less relevant in the *Bollène quarry* where the bands are not cemented by 635 carbonate and the cementation is localized in compartments between zones of bands rather than within them. The
- arrangement of nodules in Bollène indicates that the low permeability DBs hindered the crossflow, and restricted the fluid flow and focused the diagenesis to parallel-to-bands compartments.

A <u>second</u> mechanism could have worked in combination with the presence of more reactive fine-grained comminution products to promote cementation in the DBs in *Loiano*. According to their experiments on analog fault gouge, 640 Whitworth et al. (1999) suggested a membrane behavior for faults in sandstone during cross-fault flow and solute-sievingaided calcite precipitation. A membrane effect and solute-sieving by faults may locally increase the concentrations of components needed for calcite cementation (e.g. Ca and bicarbonate) on the high-pressure side of the membrane and induce precipitation. The DBs could have acted as a semipermeable membrane in baffling chemically reactive flow and favor cement precipitation. This process may explain a higher concentration of cement along the DBs in nodules, and the asymmetric distribution of cement on one side of DBs (upstream side; Figs. 4c, e and 9a, e). An analogous mechanism was proposed by other authors to explain the occurrence of preferred and asymmetric distribution of the authigenic alterations (carbonate and clay cements, Eichhubl, 2001; hematite bleaching, Eichhubl et al., 2004) on the upstream side of DBs in

650

sandstones.

Other factors that may have locally favored (the initiation of) calcite cement precipitation, are the growth of cement on detrital grains (*Loiano*, Fig. 10e; *Bollène*, Fig. 11c) and the presence of broken detrital carbonate clasts (e.g. shell fragments) that act as a "seed" (cement nucleation sites) (e.g. Bjørkum and Walderhaug, 1990). The latter case was observed in *Loiano*, mainly in bedding-parallel nodules (Fig. 9c, d). The mechanisms discussed above explain how and why cement precipitation would occur within the band and in its proximity, as observed on-site. Our field observations confirm the theoretical and flow simulations as well as the analog experiments which demonstrated that DBs can negatively affect the fluid flow in porous sandstones (e.g. Rotevatn and Fossen, 2011; Antonellini et al., 2014; Romano et al., 2020) and enhance

655

cement precipitation (e.g. Lander et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2015).

7.3 Structural diagenesis scenario for carbonate nodules formation

30

ha eliminato: . The low-porosity angular fine-grained cataclastic matrix within the bands offers a lower energy barrier for cement nucleation (Wollast, 1971; Berner, 1980), so that it is not necessary for fluids or brines to reach carbonate saturation for cement precipitation at grain contacts (e.g. De Yoreo and Vekilov, 2003)...

ha eliminato: third

ha eliminato: .

The "slow down" effect does not consider a "filter" effect that would allow the physical accumulation of the solute on the high-pressure side of the membrane and aid cement precipitation. Conversely the "solute-sieving" model (Whitworth et al., 1999) does not take into consideration the effect of the "membrane" on the flow velocity, and in the experiments the solution is forced through the "membrane" at constant solution flux (flow rate). For these reasons, we think that both models, which are similar, could have worked to trigger cement precipitation and nodule formation.⁶

ha eliminato: We need to know the geochemical conditions of the fluids and the reaction kinetics to be able to assess the processes of cernent precipitation in the band and its evolution through time. This, however, is very complex, to assess. Also, we believe that the supersaturation conditions of the source fluids would change over time (limited availability of carbonate to dissolve in the sandstone framework). For this reason, a coupled fluid flow reactive model is necessary to evaluate the effects of the flow and that of the geochemical conditions; this is still under investigation and will be presented in a future work.[¶]

We integrate here the petrographic observations and stable isotope characterization of cements with the meso-scale spatial organization and the micro-scale textural relationships between nodules and DBs to discuss the geochemical conditions and potential fluid sources that controlled the formation of carbonate nodules in the studied areas. In *Loiano*, the first calcite cement to precipitate was the intraskeletal and pore-lining cement associated with bioclasts in bedding-parallel nodules (Fig. 9c-d). The cement fabric and textures, circumgranular dogtooth and void-filling drusy mosaic, suggest a phreatic environment (Longman, 1980; Moore, 1989; Adams and Diamond, 2017). Drusy calcite spars can result from replacement of aragonite in bioclasts in meteoric environments (Flügel, 2013). The second, more pervasive phase of cementation is documented by the intragranular cement observed in all the nodules. The mosaic of blocky sparite with coarse crystals and homogeneous distribution also point to phreatic conditions (Longman, 1980; Flügel, 2013; Adams and Diamond, 2017). The intergranular cement pattern is analogous in DBs-parallel nodules and bedding-parallel nodules

695 Oxygen isotope data suggest a meteoric environment (Fig. 12a). According to the compilation made by Nelson and Smith (1996), the moderately depleted δ¹⁸O and δ¹³C values we found in both types of nodules (Fig. 12a) are grouped in the field of "meteoric cements" (Nelson and Smith, 1996), and are supporting of precipitation under meteoric conditions. The δ¹⁸O values of DBs-parallel nodules cement correspond to parent fluids with oxygen isotope composition (δ¹⁸Ontiud) varying from -5.42 to -2.31‰ V-SMOW (precipitation at 14 °C) or from -4.01 to -0.90‰ V-SMOW (precipitation at 20 °C; see

meaning they probably formed in a similar phreatic environment.

- **700** Supplement S4 for details on back-calculation of δ^{18} O of parent fluids). These values are slightly less depleted if compared with the expected δ^{18} O of present-day meteoric fluids characterizing this area (-8 + -6‰; Giustini et al., 2016). The isotopic signal of the cementing fluids may have been buffered by the interaction with the host rock (dissolution of detrital marine shells in the sandstone framework; e.g. Fig. 10c-d), or by the mixing with local formation water (McBride et al., 1995), or for instance, by more regional factors (e.g. atmospheric temperature, humidity, precipitation, seasonality of precipitation and
- 705 recharge, vegetation, etc.; Cortecci et al., 2008; Jasechko, 2019). In this view, further investigations would be needed to properly constrain the cementing fluids conditions (e.g. clumped isotopes, fluid inclusions). The δ¹³C composition of cement (Fig. 12a), however, seems to reflect soil weathering processes as the primary source of bicarbonate dissolved in the waters (Hudson, 1977; Nelson and Smith, 1996), and supports a precipitation form meteoric fluids, DBs-parallel and beddingparallel nodules show a similar composition for δ¹⁸O, however bedding-parallel nodules have more depleted δ¹³C values.
- 710 This might reflect a higher contribution of organic carbon from soil-derived CO₂ (Hudson, 1977), possibly indicating that bedding-parallel nodules formed in shallower conditions with respect to DBs-parallel nodules. Another explanation is that the two types of nodules were formed by different episodes of water income with different (external) environmental conditions. The difference in isotopic composition between these two types of nodules, indeed, suggests different cement precipitation timing and water compositions as proposed by McBride et al. (1995) and Milliken et al. (1998). Phreatic
- 715 meteoric conditions for the nodules formation point to a shallow diagenesis, and it is consistent with the shallow burial depths estimated for the Loiano Sandstones (see Sect. 7.1).

(ha eliminato: T				
~(ha eliminato: negative,				
(ha eliminato: ggest				
)	ha eliminato: a				
ha eliminato: environment ha eliminato: of precipitation (Nelson and Smith, 1996)					
)(ha eliminato: P	

ha eliminato: supports our interpretation of the fluid source (see Supplement S4 for details)...

Cementation patterns can be used to infer the paleo-fluid flow direction at the time of calcite precipitation (Mozley and Goodwin, 1995; Mozley and Davis, 1996; Cavazza et al., 2009; Eichhubl et al., 2009; Balsamo et al., 2012). The different spatial arrangements between DBs and nodules in *Loiano* make the paleo-fluid flow direction reconstruction challenging. The asymmetric distribution of cement in some nodules associated with DBs can be explained by lateral fluid circulation (Fig. 13a), and cement would accumulate on the upstream side of the DBs (Fig. 4c, 9, and 13a). In other cases,

730

cement is roughly symmetrical with respect to the bands, or it is placed where conjugate bands intersect (Figs. 4b, 5c, and 13a). The most likely interpretation is that both lateral flow under saturated conditions and "direct" meteoric infiltration from the surface, with percolation through the rock, contributed to the formation of nodules in *Loiano* (Fig. 13a).

- Calcite (i.e. diffusive supply of Ca²⁺ and HCO³⁻) is possibly derived from the infiltration of CaCO₃-saturated 735 meteoric fluids carrying soil-derived CO₂ (Hudson, 1977; Nelson and Smith, 1996), and/or it is locally derived from detrital carbonate grains in the sandstone layers or from intra-formational shale beds and calcite-rich clays layers (McBride et al., 1995; Milliken et al., 1998). In both scenarios calcite precipitates in correspondence of zones of DBs (DBs-parallel nodules) and <u>close to</u> low conductivity layers (bedding-parallel nodules). McBride et al. (1995) suggest that calcite precipitation along faults (DBs) in *Loiano* was induced by the mixing of locally derived formation water with meteoric water introduced along
- 740 the faults, or alternatively, by a loss (exsolution) of CO₂ along the fault zones. These mechanisms, however, imply that DBs were fluid conductive. This hypothesis is at odds with our measurements of the DBs hydraulic behavior (Del Sole and Antonellini, 2019; Del Sole et al., 2020). More likely, carbonate DBs cementation resulted by CO₂-saturated groundwater (Fig. 13a). We cannot exclude a role played by normal faults in the area (Picotti and Pazzaglia, 2008; Picotti et al., 2009; Fig. 2a), that might have steered regional subsurface fluid circulation. These faults could have cut through top/bottom seals and
- 745 driven fluid migration from top/underneath aquifers (Fig. 13a). Episodic fault activity can also favor (episodic) horizontal fluid migration along layering at the time of faulting, and possibly explains the occurrence of nodules (Fig. 13a) and their different isotopic signature (Fig. 12a). From our observations, we can say that the selective cementation process in the Loiano Sandstones depends on "regional" hydrological factors (e.g. topographic gradient, bedding, faults?) coupled, locally, to the presence of DBs.
- 750 In the *Bollène quarry*, the relative timing of DBs formation and cementation in the Turonian Sandstones is complex to unravel. Carbonate cementation occurred in between distinct deformation phases with multiple DBs formation (see Sect. 7.1). The dominant dark cathodoluminescence pattern and homogeneous distributed poikilotopic spar texture could suggest an oxidizing (high pO₂) meteoric phreatic environment (Longman, 1980; Moore, 1989; Flügel, 2013; Hiatt and Pufhal, 2014). Oxygen isotope data also support a meteoric source for the fluids (Fig. 12a). The range of moderately depleted δ¹⁸O
- 755 and δ¹³C values of nodules in Bollène is consistent with a meteoric environment in a continental setting (Nelson and Smith, 1996). The δ¹⁸O values of nodules cement correspond to parent fluids with oxygen isotope composition (δ¹⁸O_{fluid}) varying between -7.85 and -5.98‰ V-SMOW (see Supplement S4 for details on back-calculation of δ¹⁸O of parent fluids). These values are consistent with the expected δ¹⁸O of present-day meteoric fluids characterizing this area (e.g. Genty et al., 2014; Jasechko, 2019), thus supporting a precipitation form meteoric fluids.

ha eliminato: where fluid flow slows down close to

ha eliminato: invariably negative	
(ha eliminato: are	
ha eliminato: of the parent fluid)

ha eliminato: supports our interpretation of the fluid source (see Supplement S4 for details)...

estimated, through stratigraphic constrains, to be 400 \pm 100 meters (Ballas et al., 2013; Soliva et al., 2013). This data supports the shallow conditions for nodules diagenesis in Bollène. The phreatic environment is more probable given that in vadose conditions we should have observed meniscus cements, and because massive calcrete such as observed in the study area generally form in groundwater environment (e.g. Alonso-Zarza, 2003). <u>The δ^{18} O values of cement from the calcrete</u> layer correspond to parent solutions with δ^{18} O varying from -6.70 to -6.44‰ V-SMOW (see Supplement S4), suggesting a meteoric source of fluids. In the vadose zone, besides, DBs would enhance unsaturated flow relative to the host rock (Sigda et al., 1999; Wilson et al., 2006; Cavailhes et al., 2009; Balsamo et al., 2012).

770

Field evidence suggests that clusters of low permeability DBs in *Bollène* impeded cross-fault flow since no cement was found in superposition with the DBs. The presence of nodules in between the DBs clusters implicates that the DBs forced the fluid flow and localized the diagenesis in parallel-to-bands compartments. This evidence and the fact that nodules are homogenous along their elongation direction discredit the hypothesis of lateral flow. The cement could have been originated from a (i) downward fluid flow directly from infiltration of meteoric waters, or (ii) an upward flow of basinal fluid (pressurized aquifer) along fractures and faults pathways in the carbonate rocks (Fig. 13b). In both cases, the water flow was potentially driven from the vertical continuity of DBs clusters, that have acted as the propagation features of faults in

- 780 overlying (i) or underlying (ii) series and aquifers (Fig. 13b). This scenario might explain why the cement is found only in association with the NW-SE DBs. In both cases (i) and (ii), the constituent necessary for the precipitation of cement in nodules (i.e. Ca and bicarbonate) would come from the surrounding carbonates. Above the Turonian sandstones there are several carbonate layers in the Upper Turonian and Santonian interval (Fig. 2c; Ferry, 1997), whereas below there are carbonates belonging to the Jurassic and Cretaceous series (Fig. 2b, c; Debrand-Passard et al., 1984). In the first case (i)
- 785 continental meteoric waters saturated with meteoric carbon dioxide have dissolved the necessary constituents along their path through the rock succession toward the high-porosity Turonian Sandstones. The water percolation through the soil favored fluids acidification. Similar depleted δ^{18} O values between nodules and cement from the calcrete level (Fig. 12b) support the (i) hypothesis, and they may have originated from similar surficial cement source from downward water flow in association with variations of bicarbonate concentration and/or pH in the water table. In the second (ii) hypothesis nodule
- 790 cement resulted from CO₂ exsolution during the upward flow of basinal brine or CO₂-saturated groundwater in a pressurized aquifer.





7.4 Implications for subsurface fluid flow, reservoir characterization, and resources development

Models for calcite cementation are of fundamental importance for predicting sandstone and fault-rock properties such as porosity, permeability, compressibility, and seismic attributes. In Loiano, zone of DBs has acted as fluid flow 800 baffles. First, they buffered the fluid flow and localized cement precipitation, acting as areas of preferential cementation in otherwise excellent porosity sandstones. The resulting diagenetic products enhance porosity and permeability reduction caused by cataclasis, further affecting subsequent fluid circulation. The presence of structural-related cement in the form of concretions i) strengthens the rock volume, ii) degrade porosity and permeability increasing the buffering effect or sealing capacity of zone of DBs, and iii) impart mechanical and petrophysical anisotropy to the host rock (Del Sole et al., 2020). We 805 think that it is important to consider the possibility of concretions to form in association with faults within siliciclastic reservoirs, especially where these structures (DBs) are below seismic resolution (e.g. Del Sole et al., 2020). It is also critical to understand SDH spatial organization, extension, continuity, density, their hydraulic role in terms of fluid flow circulation as well as their mechanical influence on the host rock. This information should be included in a robust reservoir

- characterization and, in general, it is beneficial during geofluids exploration and energy appraisal, resources development strategies (groundwater, geothermal, hydrocarbon), well production, reservoir simulation modeling, geomechanical 810 evaluation of a drilling site, and other environmental and industrial operations (e.g. waste fluid disposal; groundwater contaminants; geologic CO₂ sequestration; Enhanced Oil Recovery [EOR]). The incorporation of this information into aquifer/reservoir (flow) models requires implicit representation of the SDH network and the upscaling of its structural and petrophysical properties (e.g. Fachri et al., 2013; Antonellini et al., 2014). When the cementation is heterogeneous, such as in
- 815 the examples presented in our work, it could be difficult to model and predict, especially when data are spatially discontinuous (e.g. wells). In these cases, outcrop-based studies allow continuous and more reliable reconstruction of the cement distribution. The characterization of SDH network distribution (e.g. Del Sole et al., 2020) allows to predict where (i.e. location and volumes) and how (i.e. spatial organization) the reservoir compartments are arranged and how the fluid circulation can be affected. The kind of study that we present here might be helpful to extract those statistical parameters necessary to implement reservoir studies that account for heterogeneity in petrophysical properties and their association with
- 820

8 Conclusions

seismic/subseismic structural heterogeneities.

In this contribution, we present two examples of structural control exerted by DBs on fluid flow and diagenesis recorded by calcite nodules strictly associated with DBs. The objective of this research was to constrain the role of DBs in affecting the flow pattern and in localizing cement precipitation in porous sandstones, as well as to elucidate the mechanisms 825 involved in these processes. The major results of our study can be summarized as follows:

35

ha eliminato: slowed

ha eliminato:

ha eliminato:

- (1) In both study sites, one or more sets of DBs precede and control selective calcite cement precipitation in the form of nodules. The later localization of cementation along these structural features results in a complex and spatially heterogenous cementation pattern (SDH).

(2) Selective cementation of nodules associated with DBs indicates interaction between deformation structures, fluid flow,

- 835 and chemical processes. The volumetrically significant presence of cement (10-25% of the exposed outcrops volume) indicates that fluid flow and mass transport have been strongly affected by the presence of low permeability DBs.
 - (3) Two main processes are discussed to explain selective carbonate cementation associated with DBs in Loiano. (i) The high concentration of nucleation sites on the fine-grained comminution products with increased reactive surface area of the pore-grain interface and small pore throats in the DB trigger cement precipitation and fast pore-clogging with respect
- 840 to the host rock, (ii) Solute-sieving across the DB (membrane effect) promotes Ca and bicarbonate concentration increase on the upstream side,
 - (4) In Bollène no clear superposition among bands and cement was observed. Here, the clusters of bands acted as hydraulic barriers to crossflow, thus compartmentalizing fluid circulation and localizing diagenesis in volumes arranged parallel to the bands.
- 845 (5) In both areas, cement textures, cathodoluminescence patterns and their isotopic signature, suggest that the cement in the nodules precipitated in a phreatic environment from fluids of meteoric origin.
 - (6) In a framework of late-stage diagenesis (post-DBs formation) and saturated conditions (phreatic environment), the processes commonly employed to explain focused fluid flow and preferential cement precipitation associated with DBs, such as "transient dilation" and "capillary suction" (see Sect. 1), appear not to be pertinent. In Bollène and Loiano the DB h C and h and the bind the
- 850 DBs buffered and compartmentalized fluid flow and localized diagenesis.
 - (7) Further analyses, such as flow simulations and cement precipitation modeling, are deemed necessary to further explore micro-scale fluid flow and diagenetic mechanisms that drove preferential calcite cement precipitation along DBs in the studied porous sandstones.
 - (8) DBs control flow pattern and affect how diagenetic heterogeneities are distributed within a porous sandstone. The
- 855 association of diagenetic cementation with DBs further increases the flow-buffering potential of these structural features. It also creates SDH that impart a mechanical and petrophysical anisotropy to the host rock volume and can seriously affect the subsurface fluid circulation in porous sandstones. These features should be considered during reservoir characterization especially where SDH are below seismic resolution.

Data availability. All the data produced and used to write the paper are contained in it and in the Supplement. More detailed information will be made available on request by contacting the corresponding author.

Author contribution. MA and LDS conceived the paper. LDS collected and processed field and laboratory data, provided their interpretations, drew the figures and wrote the manuscript, and did the revisions. RS and GB contributed to field work

ha spostato (inserimento) [1]

ha eliminato: hree

- ha eliminato: low permeability
- ha eliminato: s

ha eliminato: growth rates

ha eliminato: The DBs slow down the advection velocity and promote cement precipitation in the low velocity zone. (

ha spostato in alto [1]: The high concentration of nucleation sites on the fine-grained comminution products with increased reactive surface area of the pore-grain interface in the DB triggers cement precipitation and fast growth rates.

ha eliminato: (iii)

ha eliminato: Our hypotheses are supported by field and microstructural observations and petrophysical data...In Loiano, In Loiano, nechanisms (i) through (iii) of bullet (3) likely contributed to selective cement precipitation within the bands and in their proximities, and asymmetric cement distribution with respect to the bands. In

ha eliminato: In Loiano, mechanisms (i) through (iii) of bullet contributed to selective cement precipitation within the bands and in their proximities, and asymmetric cement distribution with respect to the bands. In

ha eliminato: and only the first mechanism (i) applies

ha eliminato:

ha eliminato: invariably negative $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{18}O$ values

ha eliminato: a shallow

ha eliminato: meteoric

ha eliminato: environment for the formation of nodules

890 in Bollène study site and to cathodoluminescence data interpretation. MA, FB, and GV participated to field work in Loiano study site. All authors actively participated in discussing the results and drawing the conclusions, and critically revised the manuscript.

Competing interest. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments. LDS kindly acknowledge N. A. Vergara Sassarini for fruitful discussion concerning the interpretation of
 cathodoluminescence imaging data and M. Pizzati for technical support during sampling for stable isotope analysis. The
 Laboratoire Géosciences Montpellier is acknowledged for hosting LDS as a visiting PhD student in the period between April
 and July 2019, during which the cathodoluminescence analysis and the fieldwork in *Bollène quarry* were carried out. The
 authors wish also to thank P. Iacumin, E. M. Selmo, and A. Di Matteo for stable isotope analysis at the SCVSA Department
 (University of Parma). Constructive criticisms and comments by James P. Evans and Geoffrey C. Rawling greatly improved
 our manuscript. This research is part of a PhD project of the first author. LDS dedicates this work to the loving memory of

References

915

Antonio Del Sole.

- Adams, A. and Diamond, L. W.: Early diagenesis driven by widespread meteoric infiltration of a Central European carbonate ramp: A reinterpretation of the Upper Muschelkalk, Sediment. Geol., 362, 37-52, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2017.10.002, 2017.
 - Alonso-Zarza, A. M.: Palaeoenvironmental significance of palustrine carbonates and calcretes in the geological record, Earth Sci. Rev., 60(3-4), 261-298, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-8252(02)00106-X, 2003.
 - Antonellini, M. and Aydin, A.: Effect of faulting on fluid flow in porous sandstones: petrophysical properties, AAPG Bull., 78 (3), 355–377, https://doi.org/10.1306/BDFF90AA-1718-11D7-8645000102C1865D, 1994.
- 910 Antonellini, M., Aydin, A., and Pollard, D.D.: Microstructure of deformation bands in porous sandstones at Arches National Park, Utah, J. Struct. Geol. 16 (7), 941–959, https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(94)90077-9, 1994.
 - Antonellini, M., Aydin, A., and Orr, L.: Outcrop-aided characterization of a faulted hydrocarbon reservoir: Arroyo Grande oil field, California, USA, in: Faults and Subsurface Fluid Flow in the Shallow Crust, edited by: Haneberg, W. C., Mozley, P. S., Moore, J. C., and Goodwin, L. B., American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC, 113, 7-26, https://doi.org/10.1029/GM113p0007, 1999.
- Antonellini, M., Cilona, A., Tondi, E., Zambrano, M., and Agosta, F.: Fluid flow numerical experiments of faulted porous carbonates, northwest Sicily (Italy), Mar. Pet. Geol., 55, 186–201, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2013.12.003</u>, 2014.

Antonellini, M., Mollema, P.N., and Del Sole, L.: Application of analytical diffusion models to outcrop observations:



920	implications for mass transport by fluid flow through fractures, Water Resour. Res. 53 (7), 5545-5566,	
	https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR019864, 2017.	Codice campo modificato
	Antonellini, M., Del Sole, L., and Mollema, P.N.: Chert nodules in pelagic limestones as paleo-stress indicators: a 3D	
	geomechanical analysis. J. Struct. Geol, 132, 103979, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2020.103979</u> , 2020.	Codice campo modificato
	Arthaud, F. and Séguret, M .: Les structures pyrénéennes du Languedoc et du Golfe du Lion (Sud de la France). Bull. Soc.	
925	<u>Géol. Fr. 23, 51-63, 1981.</u>	
	Aydin, A.: Small faults formed as deformation bands in sandstones, Pure Appl. Geophys. 116, 913-930, 1978.	
	Aydin, A.: Fractures, faults, and hydrocarbon entrapment, migration and flow, Mar. Pet. Geol., 17(7), 797-814,	
	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-8172(00)00020-9, 2000.	
	Aydin, A., Borja, R.I. and Eichhubl, P.: Geological and mathematical framework for failure modes in granular rock, J.	
930	Struct. Geol. 28 (1), 83–98, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2005.07.008, 2006.	Codice campo modificato
	Ballas, G., Soliva, R., Sizun, J.P., Benedicto, A., Cavailhes, T., and Raynaud, S.: The importance of the degree of cataclasis	
	in shear bands for fluid flow in porous sand- stone, Provence, France, AAPG Bull., 96 (11), 2167-2186,	
	DOI:10.1306/04051211097, 2012.	
	Ballas, G., Soliva, R., Sizun, J. P., Fossen, H., Benedicto, A., and Skurtveit, E.: Shear-enhanced compaction bands formed at	
935	shallow burial conditions; implications for fluid flow (Provence, France), J. Struct. Geol., 47, 3-15,	
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2012.11.008, 2013.	
	Ballas, G., Soliva, R., Benedicto, A., and Sizun, J.P.: Control of tectonic setting and large-scale faults on the basin-scale	
	distribution of deformation bands in porous sandstone (Provence, France), Mar. Pet. Geol., 55, 142-159,	
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2013.12.020, 2014.	Codice campo modificato
940	Ballas, G., Fossen, H., and Soliva, R.: Factors controlling permeability of cataclastic deformation bands and faults in porous	
	sandstone reservoirs. J. Struct. Geol. 76, 1-21, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2015.03.013</u> , 2015.	Codice campo modificato
	Balsamo F. and Storti F.: Grain size and permeability evolution of soft-sediment extensional sub-seismic and seismic fault	
	zones in high-porosity sediments from the Crotone basin, southern Apennines, Italy, Mar. Pet. Geol., 27, 822-837,	
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2009.10.016, 2010.	Codice campo modificato
945	Balsamo, F., Storti, F., and Gröcke, D.R.: Fault-related fluid flow history in shallow marine sediments from carbonate	
	concretions, Crotone basin, south Italy, J. Geol. Soc. 169 (5), 613-626, http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/0016-76492011-	Codice campo modificato
	<u>109</u> , 2012.	
	Barnaby, R.J. and Rimstidt, J.D.: Redox conditions of calcite cementation interpreted from Mn and Fe contents of authigenic	
	calcites. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 101, 795-804, https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-	Codice campo modificato
950	<u>7606(1989)101<0795:RCOCCI>2.3.CO;2,</u> 1989.	
	Bense, V. F., Gleeson, T., Loveless, S. E., Bour, O., and Scibek, J.: Fault zone hydrogeology, Earth Sci. Rev., 127, 171-192,	
	https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.earscirev.2013.09.008, 2013.	
	Bernabé, Y., Fryer, D.T., and Hayes, J.A.: The effect of cement on the strength of granular rocks, Geophys. Res. Lett. 19	
	38	

	(14), 1511–1514, https://doi.org/10.1029/92GL01288, 1992.	
955	Berner, R.A.: Early Diagenesis: a Theoretical Approach, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.	
	Bjørkum, P.A., and Walderhaug, O.: Geometrical arrangement of calcite cementation within shallow marine sandstones,	
I	Earth Sci. Rev. 29 (1–4), 145–161, https://doi.org/10.1016/0012- 8252(90)90033-R, 1990,	ha eliminato: ¶
I	Boutt, D. F., Plourde, K. E., Cook, J., and Goodwin, L. B.: Cementation and the hydromechanical behavior of siliciclastic	Bott, T. R.: Fouling of heat exchangers. Elsevier Science B.V. The Netherlands, 1995
	aquifers and reservoirs, Geofluids, 14(2), 189-199, https://doi.org/10.1111/gfl.12062, 2014.	
960	Busch, B., Hilgers, C., Gronen, L., and Adelmann, D.: Cementation and structural diagenesis of fluvio-aeolian Rotliegend	
	sandstones, northern England, J. Geol. Soc. London, 174(5), 855-868, https://doi.org/10.1144/jgs2016-122, 2017.	Codice campo modificato
	Cavailhes, T., Soliva, R., Benedicto, A., Loggia, D., Schultz, R.A., and Wibberley, C.A.J.: Are cataclastic shear bands fluid	
	barriers or capillarity conduits? Insight from the analysis of redox fronts in porous sandstones from Provence,	
	France. In: 2nd EAGE International Conference on Fault and Top Seals: From Pore to Basin Scale, September 21-	
965	24, 2009 - Montpellier, France, 3 pp, https://doi.org/10.3997/2214-4609.20147185, 2009.	Codice campo modificato
	Cavazza, W., Braga, R., Reinhardt, E. G., Zanotti, C.: Influence of host-rock texture on the morphology of carbonate	
	concretions in a meteoric diagenetic environment, J. Sediment. Res., 79(6), 377-388,	
	https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2009.047, 2009.	
	Champion, C., Choukroune, P., and Clauzon, G.: La déformation post-miocène en Provence occidentale. Geodinamica Acta	
970	13, 67-85, https://doi.org/10.1080/09853111.2000.11105365, 2000.	
	Cibin, U., Cavazza, W., Fontana, D., Milliken, K.L., and McBride, E.F.: Comparison of composition and texture of calcite-	
	cemented concretions and host sandstones, Northern Apennines, Italy, J. Sediment. Res., 63 (5), 945-954,	
1	https://doi.org/10.1306/D4267C4E-2B26-11D7-8648000102C1865D, 1993.	
	Cortecci, G., Dinelli, E., and Mussi, M.: Isotopic composition and secondary evaporation effects on precipitation from the	
975	urban centre of Bologna, Italy. Period. Miner. 77, 55-63, doi:10.2451/2008PM0004, 2008.	
I	Davis, J. M., Roy, N. D., Mozley, P. S., and Hall, J. S.: The effect of carbonate cementation on permeability heterogeneity in	
	fluvial aquifers: An outcrop analog study, Sediment. Geol., 184(3-4), 267-280,	
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2005.11.005, 2006.	
1	Debrand-Passard, S., Courbouleix, S., and Lienhardt, M.J.: Synthèse géologique du Sud- Est de la France: stratigraphie et	ha eliminato: De Yoreo, J.J. and Vekilov, P.G.: Principles of
980	paléogéographie. In: Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières, Mémoire, vol. 215 (Orléans), 1984.	crystal nucleation and growth, Rev. Mineral. Geochem. 54 (1), 57– 93, ¶
	Del Sole, L. and Antonellini, M.: Microstructural, petrophysical, and mechanical properties of compactive shear bands	https://doi.org/10.2113/0540057, 2003.
	associated to calcite cement concretions in arkose sandstone, J. Struct. Geol. 126, 51-68,	
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2019.05.007, 2019.	Codice campo modificato
	Del Sole, L., Antonellini, M., and Calafato, A.: Characterization of sub-seismic resolution structural diagenetic	
985	heterogeneities in porous sandstones: Combining ground-penetrating radar profiles with geomechanical and	
	petrophysical in situ measurements (Northern Apennines, Italy), Mar. Pet. Geol., 117, 104375,	
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2020.104375, 2020.	Codice campo modificato
	39	

995	Dewhurst, D. N. and Jones, R. M.: Influence of physical and diagenetic processes on fault geomechanics and reactivation, Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 78, 153-157, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-6742(03)00124-9, 2003.	
	Dvorkin, J., Mavko, G., and Nur, A.: The effect of cementation on the elastic properties of granular material, Mechanics of	
	Materials, 12(3-4), 207-217, https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-6636(91)90018-U, 1991.	
000	Edwards, H. E., Becker, A. D., and Howell, J. A.: Compartmentalization of an aeolian sandstone by structural	
	heterogeneities: permo-Triassic Hopeman Sandstone, Moray Firth, Scotland, Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ., 73(1), 339-	
	365, https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1993.073.01.20, 1993.	
	Ehrenberg, S. N.: Relationship between diagenesis and reservoir quality in sandstones of the Garn formation, Haltenbanken,	
	mid-Norwegian Continental shelf, AAPG Bull., 74(10), 1538-1558, https://doi.org/10.1306/0C9B2515-1710-11D7-	Codice campo modificato
005	<u>8645000102C1865D</u> , 1990.	
	Eichhubl, P.: Paleo-Fluid Flow Indicators, Stanford Rock Fracture Project, Vol. 12., pp. 10,	
	https://stacks.stanford.edu/file/druid:jp813ns8076/RFP_2001_Eichhubl.pdf, 2001.	
	Eichhubl, P., Taylor, W.L., Pollard, D.D., and Aydin, A.: Paleo-fluid flow and deformation in the Aztec Sandstone at the	
	Valley of Fire, Nevada-evidence for the coupling of hydrogeologic, diagenetic, and tectonic processes, Geol. Soc.	
010	Am., 116 (9–10), 1120–1136, 2004.	
	Eichhubl, P., Davatzes, N.C., and Becker, S.P.: Structural and diagenetic control of fluid migration and cementation along	
	the Moab fault, Utah, AAPG Bull., 93 (5), 653-681, <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-</u>	Codice campo modificato
	<u>7606(1974)85<1515:CATGOF>2.0.CO;2</u> , 2009.	
	Eichhubl, P., Hooker, J.N., and Laubach, S.E.: Pure and shear-enhanced compaction bands in Aztec Sandstone, J. Struct.	
015	Geol. 32 (12), 1873–1886, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2010.02.004, 2010.	
	Fachri, M., Rotevatn, A., and Tveranger, J.: Fluid flow in relay zones revisited: Towards an improved representation of	
	small-scale structural heterogeneities in flow models, Mar. Pet. Geol., 46, 144-164,	
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2013.05.016, 2013.	Codice campo modificato
	Faulkner, D. R., Jackson, C. A. L., Lunn, R. J., Schlische, R. W., Shipton, Z. K., Wibberley, C. A. J., and Withjack, M. O.: A	
020	review of recent developments concerning the structure, mechanics and fluid flow properties of fault zones, J.	
	Struct. Geol., 32(11), 1557-1575, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2010.06.009, 2010.	Codice campo modificato
	Ferry, S. (Ed.): Actes des Journées Scientifiques CNRS/ANDRA, Apport des forages ANDRA de Marcoule à la	
	connaissance de la marge crétacée rhodanienne. Etude du Gard Rhodanien/EDP sciences, Bagnols-sur-Cèze, pp. 63-	
	91, 1997.	
025	Fisher, Q. J. and Knipe, R.: Fault sealing processes in siliciclastic sediments, Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ., 147(1), 117-134,	
	https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1998.147.01.08, 1998.	Codice campo modificato
	Flodin, E., Prasad, M., and Aydin, A.: Petrophysical constraints on deformation styles in Aztec Sandstone, southern Nevada,	
	USA, Pure and Applied Geophysics 160, 1589–1610, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-003-2377-1</u> , 2003.	Codice campo modificato
	40	

	Flügel, E.: Microfacies of carbonate rocks: analysis, interpretation and application, Springer Science & Business Media,	
030	2013.	
	Fossen, H. and Bale, A.: Deformation and their influence on fluid flow, AAPG Bull., 91, 1685-1700,	
	https://doi.org/10.1306/07300706146, 2007.	Codice campo modificato
	Fossen, H., Soliva, R., Ballas, G., Trzaskos, B., Cavalcante, C., and Schultz, R. A.: A review of deformation bands in	
	reservoir sandstones: geometries, mechanisms and distribution, in: Subseismic-Scale Reservoir Deformation, edited	
035	by: Ashton, M., Dee, S.J., and Wennberg, O.P., Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ., 459(1), 9-33,	
	https://doi.org/10.1144/SP459.4, 2017.	Codice campo modificato
	Fowles, J., and Burley, S.: Textural and permeability characteristics of faulted, high porosity sandstones, Mar. Pet.	
	Geol., 11(5), 608-623, https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-8172(94)90071-X, 1994.	
	Genty, D., Labuhn, I., Hoffmann, G., Danis, P.A., Mestre, O., Bourges, F., Wainer, K., Massault, M., Van Exter, S., Régnier,	
040	E., Orengo, P., Falourd, S., and Minster, B.: Rainfall and cave water isotopic relationships in two South-France	
	sites. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 131, 323-343, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.01.043, 2014.	Codice campo modificato
•	Gibson, R. G.: Physical character and fluid-flow properties of sandstone-derived fault zones, Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ., 127(1),	
1	83-97, https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1998.127.01.07, 1998.	Codice campo modificato
	Giustini, F., Brilli, M., and Patera, A.: Mapping oxygen stable isotopes of precipitation in Italy. Journal of Hydrology:	
045	Regional Studies, 8, 162-181, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2016.04.001, 2016.	Codice campo modificato
1	Hall, J. S., Mozley, P., Davis, J. M., and Roy, N. D.: Environments of formation and controls on spatial distribution of	
	calcite cementation in Plio-Pleistocene fluvial deposits, New Mexico, USA. Journal of Sedimentary	
	Research, 74(5), 643-653, https://doi.org/10.1306/020904740643, 2004.	Codice campo modificato
	Harper, T. and Moftah, I.: Skin effect and completion options in the Ras Budran Reservoir, in: Society of Petroleum	
050	Engineers Middle East Oil Technical Conference and Exhibition. SPE, 13708, 211-226,	
	https://doi.org/10.2118/13708-MS, 1985.	
	Heald, M.T. and Renton, J.J.: Experimental study of sandstone cementation, J. Sediment. Res., 36 (4), 977-991,	
	https://doi.org/10.1306/74D715D7-2B21-11D7-8648000102C1865D, 1966.	Codice campo modificato
	Hiatt, E. E. and Pufahl, P. K.: Cathodoluminescence petrography of carbonate rocks: a review of applications for	
055	understanding diagenesis, reservoir quality and pore system evolution. Short Course, 45, 75-96, 2014.	
	Hudson, J.D.: Stable isotopes and limestone lithification, J. Geol. Soc. London, 133, 637-660,	
1	https://doi.org/10.1144/gsjgs.133.6.0637, 1977.	Codice campo modificato
	Jasechko, S.: Global isotope hydrogeology-Review. Reviews of Geophysics, 57(3), 835-965, DOI:10.1029/2018RG000627,	
	<u>2019.</u>	
060	Kantorowicz, J.D., Bryant, I.D., and Dawans, J.M.: Controls on the Geometry and Distribution of Carbonate Cements in	
	Jurassic Sandstones: Bridport Sands, Southern England and Viking Group, Troll Field, Norway Geol. Soc.	
	Spec. Publ., 36, 103-118, <u>https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1987.036.01.09</u> , 1987.	Codice campo modificato
	41	

	Knipe, R. J., Fisher, Q. J., Jones, G., Clennell, M. R., Farmer, A. B., Harrison, A., Kidd, B., McAllister, E., Porter, J.R., and	
	White, E. A.: Fault seal analysis: successful methodologies, application and future directions, Norwegian	
065	Petroleum Soc. Spec. Publ., 7, 15-38, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0928-8937(97)80004-5, 1997.	Codice campo modificato
	La Bruna, V., Lamarche, J., Agosta, F., Rustichelli, A., Giuffrida, A., Salardon, R., and Marié, L.: Structural diagenesis of	
	shallow platform carbonates: Role of early embrittlement on fracture setting and distribution, case study of Monte	
	Alpi (Southern Apennines, Italy), J. Struct. Geol., 131, 103940, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2019.103940, 2020.	Codice campo modificato
	Labaume, P., and Moretti, I.: Diagenesis-dependence of cataclastic thrust fault zone sealing in sandstones. Example from the	
070	Bolivian Sub-Andean Zone, J. Struct. Geol. 23, 1659-1675, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(01)00024-4</u> , 2001.	Codice campo modificato
	Lander, R. H., Larese, R. E., and Bonnell, L. M.: Toward more accurate quartz cement models: The importance of euhedral	
	versus noneuhedral growth rates, AAPG Bull., 92(11), 1537-1563, https://doi.org/10.1306/07160808037, 2008.	Codice campo modificato
	Lander, R.H., Solano-Acosta, W., Thomas, A.R., Reed, R.M., Kacewicz, M., Bonnell, L.M., and Hooker, J.N.: Simulation of	
	fault sealing from quartz cementation within cataclastic deformation zones, in: AAPG Hedberg Conference Basin	
075	and Petroleum Systems Modeling: New Horizons in Research and Applications, May 3-7, 2009 - Napa, California,	
	<u>USA, 2 pp, 2009.</u>	
	Laubach, S. E., Olson, J. E., and Gross, M. R.: Mechanical and fracture stratigraphy, AAPG Bull., 93(11), 1413-1426,	
	https://doi.org/10.1306/07270909094, 2009.	Codice campo modificato
	Laubach, S.E., Eichhubl, P., Hilgers, C., and Lander, R.H.: Structural diagenesis, J. Struct. Geol. 32 (12), 1866-1872,	
080	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2010.10.001, 2010.	Codice campo modificato
	Leveille, G. P., Knipe, R., More, C., Ellis, D., Dudley, G., Jones, G., Fisher, Q.J., and Allinson, G.: Compartmentalization of	
	Rotliegendes gas reservoirs by sealing faults, Jupiter Fields area, southern North Sea, Geol. Soc. Spec.	
	Publ., 123(1), 87-104, <u>https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1997.123.01.06</u> , 1997.	Codice campo modificato
	Lewis, H. and Couples, G. D.: Production evidence for geological heterogeneities in the Anschutz Ranch East field,	
085	western U.S.A., in: Characterization of fluvial and eolian reservoirs, edited by: C. P. North and D. J. Prosser, Geol.	
	Soc. Spec. Publ., 73, p. 321-338, <u>https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1993.073.01.19</u> , 1993.	Codice campo modificato
	Liu, Z., and Sun, Y.: Characteristics and formation process of contractional deformation bands in oil-bearing sandstones	
	in the hinge of a fold: A case study of the Youshashan anticline, western Qaidam Basin, China, Journal of	
	Petroleum Science and Engineering, 106994, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2020.106994, 2020.	Codice campo modificato
090	Lommatzsch, M., Exner, U., Gier, S., and Grasemann, B.: Structural and chemical controls of deformation bands on	
	fluid flow: interplay between cataclasis and diagenetic alteration: structural and Chemical Controls of Deformation	
	Bands on Fluid Flow, AAPG Bull., 99 (4), 689–710, DOI: 10.1306/10081413162, 2015.	
	Longman, M.W.: Carbonate diagenetic textures from nearsurface diagenetic environments, AAPG Bull., 64, 461-487,	
	https://doi.org/10.1306/2F918A63-16CE-11D7-8645000102C1865D, 1980.	Codice campo modificato
095	Machel, H. G.: Application of cathodoluminescence to carbonate diagenesis, in: Cathodoluminescence in geosciences, edited	

	by: Pagel, M., Barbin, V., Blanc, P., and Ohnenstetter D., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 271-301,	
	DOI:10.1007/978-3-662-04086-7, 2000.	
	Main, I. G., Kwon, O., Ngwenya, B. T., and Elphick, S. C.: Fault sealing during deformation-band growth in porous	
	sandstone. Geology, 28(12), 1131-1134, https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2000)28<1131:FSDDGI>2.0.CO;2,	Codice campo modificato
100	2000.	
	Manzocchi, T., Ringrose, P. S., and Underhill, J. R.: Flow through fault systems in high-porosity sandstones. Geol. Soc.	
	Spec. Publ., 127(1), 65-82, https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1998.127.01.06, 1998.	Codice campo modificato
	Marroni, M., Meneghini, F., and Pandolfi, L .: A revised Subduction inception model to explain the late cretaceous,	
	double-vergent orogen in the precollisional Western Tethys: Evidence from the Northern Apennines, Tectonics, 36,	
105	2227-2249. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004627, 2017.	Codice campo modificato
	Marshall, D.J.: Cathodoluminescence of geological Materials. Unwin Hyman, Boston, 1988.	
	McBride, E.F., Milliken, K.L., Cavazza, W., Cibin, U., Fontana, D., Picard, M.D., and Zuffa, G.G.: Heterogeneous	
	distribution of calcite cement at the outcrop scale in tertiary sandstones, northern Apennines, Italy. AAPG Bull., 79	
	(7), 1044–1063, https://doi.org/10.1306/8D2B21C3-171E-11D7-8645000102C1865D, 1995.	Codice campo modificato
110	Medici, G., West, L. J., Mountney, N. P., and Welch, M.: Permeability of rock discontinuities and faults in the Triassic	
	Sherwood Sandstone Group (UK): insights for management of fluvio-aeolian aquifers worldwide. Hydrogeology	
	Journal, 27(8), 2835-2855, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-019-02035-7, 2019.	Codice campo modificato
	Milliken, K.L., McBride, E.F., Cavazza, W., Cibin, U., Fontana, D., Picard, M.D., and Zuffa, G.G.: Geochemical history	
	of calcite precipitation in Tertiary sandstones, northern Apennines, Italy, in: Carbonate cementation in sandstones,	
115	edited by: Morad, S., International Association of Sedimentologists Special Publication 26, pp. 213-239,	
	https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444304893.ch10, 1998.	Codice campo modificato
	Milliken, K. L., Reed, R. M., and Laubach, S. E.: Quantifying compaction and cementation in deformation bands in	
	porous sandstones, in: Faults, fluid flow, and petroleum traps, edited by: R. Sorkhabi and Y. Tsuji, AAPG Mem.,	
	85, 237–249, DOI:10.1306/1033726M85252, 2005.	
120	Moore, C.H.: Carbonate Diagenesis and Porosity, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1989.	
	Morad, S., Al-Ramadan, K., Ketzer, J. M., and De Ros, L. F.: The impact of diagenesis on the heterogeneity of sandstone	
	reservoirs: A review of the role of depositional facies and sequence stratigraphy, AAPG Bull., 94(8), 1267-1309,	
	https://doi.org/10.1306/04211009178, 2010.	Codice campo modificato
	Mozley, P. S. and Davis, J. M.: Relationship between oriented calcite concretions and permeability correlation structure	
125	in an alluvial aquifer, Sierra Ladrones Formation, New Mexico, J. Sediment. Res., 66(1), 11-16,	
	https://doi.org/10.1306/D4268293-2B26-11D7-8648000102C1865D, 1996.	Codice campo modificato
	Mozley, P.S. and Goodwin, L.B.: Patterns of cementation along a Cenozoic normal fault: a record of paleoflow	
	orientations, Geology 23 (6), 539-542, https://doi.org/10.1130/B25618.1, 1995.	Codice campo modificato
	Nelson, C.S. and Smith, A.M.: Stable oxygen and carbon isotope compositional fields for skeletal and diagenetic	
	43	

130	components in New Zealand Cenozoic nontropical carbonate sediments and limestones: a synthesis and review,		
	New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics, 39, 93-107, https://doi.org/10.1080/00288306.1996.9514697,	 Codice campo modificato)
	1996.		
	Noiriel, C., Steefel, C. I., Yang, L., and Bernard, D.: Effects of pore-scale precipitation on permeability and flow.		
	Advances in water resources, 95, 125-137, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.11.013, 2016.	 Codice campo modificato)
135	Ogilvie, S. R. and Glover, P.W.: The petrophysical properties of deformation bands in relation to their		
	microstructure, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 193(1-2), 129-142, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(01)00492-7, 2001.	 Codice campo modificato)
	Papani, L.,: Le arenarie di Loiano nel contesto dell'Appennino settentrionale. Ph.D. thesis. Universita` di Bologna,		
	Bologna, pp. 40, 1998.		
	Parnell, J., Watt, G. R., Middleton, D., Kelly, J., and Baron, M.: Deformation band control on hydrocarbon migration, J.		
140	Sediment. Res., 74(4), 552-560, https://doi.org/10.1306/121703740552, 2004.	 Codice campo modificato)
	Parry, W. T., Chan, M. A., and Beitler, B.: Chemical bleaching indicates episodes of fluid flow in deformation bands in		
	sandstone, AAPG Bull., 88(2), 175-191, https://doi.org/10.1306/09090303034, 2004.	 Codice campo modificato)
	Pei, Y., Paton, D. A., Knipe, R. J., and Wu, K.: A review of fault sealing behaviour and its evaluation in siliciclastic		
	rocks. Earth Sci. Rev., 150, 121-138, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.07.011, 2015.	 Codice campo modificato)
145	Petrie, E. S., Petrie, R. A., and Evans, J. P.: Identification of reactivation and increased permeability associated with a fault		
	damage zone using a multidisciplinary approach. J. Struct. Geol., 59, 37-49,		
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2013.11.008, 2014.	 Codice campo modificato)
	Philit, S., Soliva, R., Labaume, P., Gout, C., and Wibberley, C.: Relations between shallow cataclastic faulting and		
	cementation in porous sandstones: first insight from a groundwater environmental context. J. Struct. Geol., 81, 89-		
150	105, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2015.10.001, 2015.	 Codice campo modificato)
	Philit, S., Soliva, R., Castilla, R., Ballas, G., and Taillefer, A.: Clusters of cataclastic deformation bands in porous		
	sandstones. J. Struct. Geol., 114, 235–250, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2018.04.013, 2018.	 Codice campo modificato)
	Philit, S., Soliva, R., Ballas, G., Chemenda, A., and Castilla, R.: Fault surface development and fault rock juxtaposition		
	along deformation band clusters in porous sandstones series. AAPG Bull., 103(11), 2731-2756, doi:		
155	10.1306/01211917256, 2019.		
	Picotti, V. and Pazzaglia, F. J.: A new active tectonic model for the construction of the Northern Apennines mountain		
	front near Bologna (Italy). J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth, 113(B8), doi:10.1029/2007JB005307, 2008.		
	Picotti, V., Ponza, A., and Pazzaglia, F. J.: Topographic expression of active faults in the foothills of the Northern		
	Apennines. Tectonophysics, 474(1-2), 285-294, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2009.01.009, 2009.		
160	Pizzati, M., Balsamo, F., Storti, F., and Iacumin, P.: Physical and chemical strain-hardening during faulting in poorly		
	lithified sandstone: The role of kinematic stress field and selective cementation. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 132 (5-6):		
	1183–1200, https://doi.org/10.1130/b35296.1, 2019.	 Codice campo modificato)
	Qu, D. and Tveranger, J.: Incorporation of deformation band fault damage zones in reservoir models. AAPG		
	44		

165	 Bull., 100(3), 423-443, DOI:10.1306/12111514166, 2016. Romano, C. R., Zahasky, C., Garing, C., Minto, J. M., Benson, S. M., Shipton, Z. K., and Lunn, R. J.: Sub-core scale fluid flow behavior in a sandstone with cataclastic deformation bands. Water Resour. Res., DOI: 10.1029/2019WR026715, 2020. Rotevatn, A. and Fossen, H.: Simulating the effect of subseismic fault tails and process zones in a siliciclastic reservoir analogue: implications for aquifer support and trap definition. Mar. Pet. Geol., 28, 1648-1662, doi:10.1016/j.mymetree.2011.07.005.2011 	
11/0	Rotevatn A Sandye T H Keilegaylen F Kolyukhin D and Fossen H Deformation bands and their impact on	
	fluid flow in sandstone reservoirs: the role of natural thickness variations. Geofluids, 13(3), 359-371.	
	https://doi.org/10.1111/gfl.12030, 2013.	Codice campo modificato
	Roure, F., Brun, J.P., Colletta, B., and Van den Driessche, J.: Geometry and kinematics of extensional structures in the	
175	Alpine Foreland Basin of southeastern France. J. Struct. Geol., 14, 503-519, https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-	Codice campo modificato
	<u>8141(92)90153-N</u> , 1992.	
	Saillet, E. and Wibberley, C.A.J.: Evolution of cataclastic faulting in high-porosity sandstone, Bassin du Sud-Est,	
	Provence, France. J. Struct. Geol., 32, 1590-1608, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2010.02.007, 2010.	Codice campo modificato
	Salvini, F.: Daisy 3: The Structural Data Integrated System Analyzer Software. University of Roma Tre, Rome, available at:	
180	http://host.uniroma3.it/progetti/fralab/Downloads/Programs/, 2004.	Codice campo modificato
	Sample, J. C., Woods, S., Bender, E., and Loveall, M.: Relationship between deformation bands and petroleum migration	
	in an exhumed reservoir rock, Los Angeles Basin, California, USA. Geofluids, 6(2), 105-112, doi:10.1111/j.1468-	
	8123.2005.00131.x, 2006.	
	Séranne, M., Benedicto, A., Labaume, P., Truffert, C., and Pascal, G.: Structural style and evolution of the gulf of Lion	
185	Oligo-miocene rifting: role of the Pyrenean orogeny. Mar. Pet. Geol. 12 (8), 809-820, https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-	Codice campo modificato
	<u>8172(95)98849-Z</u> , 1995.	
	Shipton, Z. K., Evans, J. P., Robeson, K. R., Forster, C. B., and Snelgrove, S.: Structural heterogeneity and permeability in	
	faulted eolian sandstone: Implications for subsurface modeling of faults. AAPG bulletin, 86(5), 863-883,	
	https://doi.org/10.1306/61EEDBC0-173E-11D7-8645000102C1865D, 2002.	Codice campo modificato
190	Shipton, Z.K., Evans, J.P., and Thompson, L.B.: The geometry and thickness of deformation-band fault core and its	
	influence on sealing characteristics of deformation-band fault zones, in: Faults, fluid flow, and petroleum traps,	
	edited by: R. Sorkhabi and Y. Tsuji, AAPG Mem., 85, 181-195, DOI:10.1306/1033723M853135, 2005.	
	Sigda, J.M. and Wilson, J.L.: Are faults preferential flow paths through semiarid and arid vadose zones? Water Resour. Res.	
	39 (8), <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2002WR001406</u> , 2003.	Codice campo modificato
195	Sigda, J. M., Goodwin, L. B., Mozley, P. S., and Wilson, J. L.: Permeability alteration in small-displacement faults in poorly	
	lithified sediments: Rio Grande Rift, Central New Mexico, in: Faults and Subsurface Fluid Flow in the Shallow	
	Crust, edited by: Haneberg, W. C., Mozley, P. S., Moore, J. C., and Goodwin, L. B., American Geophysical Union,	
	45	

	Washington, DC, 51-68, https://doi.org/10.1029/GM113p0051, 1999.	
	Soliva, R., Schultz, R.A., Ballas, G., Taboada, A., Wibberley, C., Saillet, E., and Benedicto, A.: A model of strain	
200	localization in porous sandstone as a function of tectonic setting, burial and material properties; new insight from	
	Provence (southern France). J. Struct. Geol., 49, 50-63, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2012.11.011, 2013.	Codice campo modificato
	Soliva, R., Ballas, G., Fossen, H., and Philit, S.: Tectonic regime controls clustering of deformation bands in porous	
	sandstone. Geology 44 (6), 423-426, doi:10.1130/G37585.1, 2016.	
	Sternlof, K. R., Chapin, J. R., Pollard, D. D., and Durlofsky, L. J.: Permeability effects of deformation band arrays in	
205	sandstone. AAPG Bull., 88(9), 1315-1329, https://doi.org/10.1306/032804, 2004.	Codice campo modificato
	Stockmann, G. J., Wolff-Boenisch, D., Bovet, N., Gislason, S. R., and Oelkers, E. H.: The role of silicate surfaces on	
	calcite precipitation kinetics. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 135, 231-250,	
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.03.015, 2014.	Codice campo modificato
	Taylor, W. L. and Pollard, D. D.: Estimation of in situ permeability of deformation bands in porous sandstone, Valley of	
210	Fire, Nevada. Water Resour. Res. 36(9), 2595-2606, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000WR900120, 2000.	Codice campo modificato
	Tenthorey, E., Scholz, C.H., Aharonov, E., and Leger, A.: Precipitation sealing and diagenesis 1. Experimental results. J.	
	Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth 103 (B10), 23951-23967, https://doi.org/10.1029/98JB02229, 1998.	Codice campo modificato
	Torabi, A., and Fossen, H.: Spatial variation of microstructure and petrophysical properties along deformation bands in	
	reservoir sandstones. AAPG Bull., 93(7), 919-938, https://doi.org/10.1306/03270908161, 2009.	Codice campo modificato
215	Tueckmantel, C., Fisher, Q. J., Grattoni, C. A., and Aplin, A. C.: Single-and two-phase fluid flow properties of cataclastic	
	fault rocks in porous sandstone. Mar. Pet. Geol., 29(1), 129-142, doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2011.07.009, 2012.	
	Vai, G. B., Martini, I. P. (Eds.): Anatomy of an orogen: The Apennines and Adjacent Mediterranean Basins, p. 637,	
	Dordrecht, Netherlands, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.	
	Walderhaug, O.: Modeling quartz cementation and porosity in middle Jurassic Brent Group sandstones of the Kvitebjørn	
220	field, Northern North Sea, AAPG Bull., 84, 1325-1339, 2000.	
	Whitworth, T. M., Haneberg, W. C., Mozley, P. S., and Goodwin, L. B.: Solute-sieving-induced calcite precipitation on	ha eliminato: Walker, P. and Sheikholeslami, R.: Assessment of
	pulverized quartz sand: experimental results and implications for the membrane behavior of fault gouge, in: Faults	flow reaction. Chemical engineering science, 58(16), 3807-3816,
	and Subsurface Fluid Flow in the Shallow Crust, edited by: Haneberg, W. C., Mozley, P. S., Moore, J. C., and	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2509(03)00268-9,¶ 2003.¶
	Goodwin, L. B., American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC, 149-158, https://doi.org/10.1029/GM113p0149,	
225	1999.	
	Wibberley, C.A.J., Petit, JP., and Rives, T.: The mechanics of fault distribution and localization in high-porosity sands,	
	Provence, France, Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ., 289, 19-46, DOI: 10.1144/SP289.3, 2007.	
	Wilkins, S. J., Davies, R. K., and Naruk, S. J.: Subsurface observations of deformation bands and their impact on	
	hydrocarbon production within the Holstein Field, Gulf of Mexico, USA, Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ., 496,	
230	https://doi.org/10.1144/SP496-2018-139, 2019.	Codice campo modificato
	Williams, R.T., Farver, J.R., Onasch, C.M., and Winslow, D.F.: An experimental investigation of the role of microfracture	

	surfaces in controlling quartz precipitation rate: applications to fault zone diagenesis. J. Struct. Geol., 74, 24-30,	
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2015.02.011, 2015.	Codice campo modificato
	Williams, R. T., Goodwin, L. B., and Mozley, P. S.: Diagenetic controls on the evolution of fault-zone architecture and	
240	permeability structure: Implications for episodicity of fault-zone fluid transport in extensional basins. Geol. Soc.	
	Am. Bull., 129(3-4), 464-478, https://doi.org/10.1130/B31443.1, 2016.	Codice campo modificato
	Wilson, J. E., Goodwin, L. B., and Lewis, C. J.: Deformation bands in nonwelded ignimbrites: Petrophysical controls	
	on fault-zone deformation and evidence of preferential fluid flow. Geology, 31(10), 837-840,	
	https://doi.org/10.1130/G19667R.1, 2003.	Codice campo modificato
245	Wilson, J.E., Goodwin, L.B., and Lewis, C.: Diagenesis of deformation band faults: record and mechanical consequences of	
	vadose zone flow and transport in the Bandelier Tuff, Los Alamos, New Mexico. J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth 111	
	(B9), doi:10.1029/2005JB003892, 2006.	
	Wellast D. Vinatic expects of the mulastion and ensuth of calaits from anyong solutions in Carbonate Coments edited	

Wollast, R.: Kinetic aspects of the nucleation and growth of calcite from aqueous solutions, in: Carbonate Cements, edited by: Bricker, O.P., Mackenzie, F.T., J. Hopkins Press, 79, 264–273, 1971.