3D reflection seismic imaging of the iron-oxide deposits in the Ludvika mining area (Sweden) using a focusing pre-stack depth migration approach

Felix Hloušek¹, Michal Malinowski^{2,3}, Lena Bräunig¹, Stefan Buske¹, Alireza Malehmir⁴, Magdalena Markovic⁴, Lukasz Sito⁵, Paul Marsden⁶, Emma Bäckström⁶

¹TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Freiberg, Germany
 ²Institute of Geophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland
 ³Geological Survey of Finland, Espoo, Finland
 ⁴Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
 ⁵Geopartner, Poland

⁶Nordic Iron Ore AB, Ludvika, Sweden *Correspondence to*: Felix Hloušek (felix.hlousek@geophysik.tu-freiberg.de)

Abstract.

5

10

We present pre-stack depth imaging results for a case study of 3D reflection seismic exploration at the Blötberget iron-oxide

- 15 mining site belonging to the Bergslagen mineral district in central Sweden. The goal of the study is to directly image the orebearing horizons and to delineate their possible depth extension below depths known from existing boreholes. For this purpose, we applied a tailored pre-processing workflow as well as two different seismic imaging approaches, Kirchhoff pre-stack depth migration (KPSDM) and Fresnel Volume Migration (FVM). Both imaging techniques deliver a well resolved 3D image of the deposit and its host rock, where the FVM image yields a significantly better image quality compared to the KPSDM image.
- 20 We were able to unravel distinct horizons, which are linked to known mineralization and provide insights on their possible lateral and depth extent. Comparison of the known mineralization with the final FVM reflection volume suggests a good agreement of the position and the shape of the imaged reflectors caused by the mineralization. Furthermore, the images show additional reflectors below the mineralization and reflectors with opposite dips. One of these reflectors is interpreted to be a fault intersecting the mineralization, which can be traced to the surface and linked to a fault trace in the geological map. The
- 25 depth imaging results can serve as the basis for further investigations, drillings and follow-up mine planning at the Blötberget mining site.

1 Introduction

In the last decades the need for raw materials has increased worldwide (e.g. Dubiński,2013 and references therein; Paulick and Nurmi, 2018). This increasing demand accounts also for the European Union. However, in contrast to this demand, current

30 exploration and mining activities and the development of new mineral resources is still on a low level. Several mines were abandoned between the 60s and 80s of the last centuries, since mining in Europe was too expensive (e.g. Crowson, 1996;

Berverksstatistik, 2013) and global prices were constantly falling. In recent years, the European Union has aimed to reactivate activities related to the exploration and production of critical minerals, with a special focus on the so-called critical raw materials (e.g. Malehmir et al., 2012; Malehmir et al., 2020). In that context, a reliable and cost-effective exploration of such

- 35 minerals is an important step in the early stage of the whole raw materials value chain. Therefore, the European Union supported several projects that focus on the improvement of this exploration stage, e.g. through the EU funded project Smart ExplorationTM (Mahlemir et al., 2019), which had the primary goal to improve and to create new ways for mineral exploration using geophysical methods.
- 40 Seismic methods play an important role in the mineral exploration (Eaton et al., 2003a; Eaton et al., 2003b). They have the potential to allow for a high-resolution characterization of mineral deposits at depth. Especially, reflection seismic surveys can yield a structural image of potential deposits, their host rocks and other geological structures related to the understanding of their genesis such as faults and fracture systems. However, reflection seismic methods in mineral exploration are not yet as well established as in hydrocarbon exploration (L'Heureux et al., 2005). Their application is often challenged by the
- 45 corresponding hardrock environment causing strong scattering of the seismic wavefield as well as by complex 3D structures, since the geological units can show strongly varying strike and dip directions, which may intersect each other. Furthermore, the expectable signal-to-noise ratio is rather low due to low impedance contrasts and strong scattering attenuation. Additionally, typical land seismic issues, such as irregular source and receiver spacing, often poor source and receiver coupling, topographic effects and strong near-surface velocity gradients must be considered during seismic data processing.
- 50 Despite these challenges, reflection seismic starts to gain increased popularity for mineral exploration (Malehmir et al., 2012). Several studies have shown the potential of 2D and 3D reflection seismic investigations for mineral exploration (e.g. Milkereit, et al., 1996; Urosevic et al., 2012; Cheraghi et al., 2012; Malehmir et al., 2012 and references therein; Bellefleur et al., 2015), but methodological improvements are still needed on the seismic imaging side especially in the case of complex subsurface structures and in the case of irregular acquisition geometries, which are typical for seismic surveys in populated areas due to
- 55 the environmental and accessibility issues.

The work presented in this paper has been performed as part of the Smart ExplorationTM project and focuses on imaging mineral resources using reflection seismic methods with a special focus on pre-stack depth imaging techniques. We showcase this approach for an investigation area located in the Bergslagen mining district in central Sweden (Figure 1). The deposit itself consists mainly of magnetite and hematite, which occurs in 30-50 m thick sheet-like bodies dipping towards the South-East to

- 60 around 850 m depth (Maries et al., 2017; Malehmir et al., 2017). 2D reflection seismic profiles had already been acquired during 2015 and 2016 crossing the known mineralization perpendicular to its main strike direction. The combined dataset was successfully processed using a standard time-domain processing and post-stack imaging workflow (Markovic et al., 2020) and Kirchhoff pre-stack depth migration (KPSDM) as well as focusing imaging approaches (Bräunig et al., 2019). The results of these 2D surveys show a clear image of the expected mineralized bodies and their surrounding structures at depth. The obtained
- 65 seismic images show that the known mineralization likely extends deeper than previously known from borehole investigations.

The images also show internal structures (e.g. faults causing vertical offsets) within the lateral extent of the reflectors. Furthermore, several reflectors with a opposite dip direction were mapped in the reflection seismic images. In particular, one of these reflections is of greater interest, since it apparently marks the lowermost end of the deposits. However, in order to reveal the true 3D structure and to better evaluate the potential resources, a sparse 3D seismic survey was conducted in

70 April/May 2019. The results of a conventional post-stack time migration workflow were shown in Malehmir et al. (2021). Here, we present the corresponding results of a pre-stack depth imaging workflow applied to the same data set in order to provide further support and improved images of the subsurface but also show the potential of depth imaging algorithms for such a dataset and geological complexity.

For the previously acquired 2D seismic data, Bräunig et al. (2019) demonstrated a suitable imaging workflow with pre-stack depth migration as the last step resulting in a final depth image. Furthermore, Bräunig et al. (2019) showed that the application of focusing pre-stack depth migration techniques, such as Fresnel Volume Migration (FVM) (Lüth et al., 2005; Buske et al., 2009), Coherency Migration (Hloušek et al., 2015a) or Coherency based Fresnel Volume Migration (Hloušek et al., 2015b) can improve the resulting image of the mineralization for the 2D data set and therefore allows for a more detailed interpretation

80 the new 3D data set and compare it to the result of a basic KPSDM. The migration is guided by a careful pre-processing sequence, including static corrections, and by a reasonable choice of a migration velocity model.

compared to a simple KPSDM approach. Following these promising results, we applied the focusing FVM approach also to



85

Figure 1: Geological map and survey layout with source (red) and receiver (blue) positions of the 3D survey and the local coordinate system used for first-break traveltime tomography and depth imaging (black box). Courtesy of the Geological Survey of Sweden.

2 Geology

The 3D seismic data were acquired over the Blötberget iron-oxide deposit of the Ludvika mines in central Sweden. The area 90 belongs to the Bergslagen mineral endowed district, which hosts a significant amount of iron oxides and sulphide deposits. It is historically well known because of its importance in the past mining industry (Stephens et al., 2009). In Blötberget, the deposits were mined until 1979 down to a level of 280-360 m (Malehmir et al., 2021). Several historical and newly drilled boreholes, investigated the mineralization mainly at a depth between 300 and 600 m (Maries et al., 2017). Borehole logs have shown that the mean bedrock velocity is varying between 5500-6000 m/s, The P-wave velocity of the main mineralization is

95 in the same range and shows only some small outliers with slightly higher velocities (Figure 2). Nevertheless, the main mineralization can be expected to be reflective, since the density log shows a strong increase of density for the mineralized zones.

The deposits are situated within volcano-sedimentary rocks of the Paleoproterozoic age (1.85-1.8 Ga), which are typically overprinted by various degree of metamorphism. More than 40% of the iron ore produced are of apatite-rich iron-oxide deposits

- 100 (Allen et al., 1996, Magnusson 1970), and considered to have a magmatic-hydrothermal origin (Jonsson et al., 2013). Especially the Blötberget area is known for a high-quality iron-oxide apatite-bearing mineralization. More than 50% of the iron is hosted in magnetite and sometimes hematite horizons. Hematite deposits are less massive, and their skarn host rock contains more quartz and feldspar. The mineralized bodies are intersected by mafic dykes and subvolcanic intrusions (Pertuz et al., 2021),
- 105 The typical sheet-like mineralization occurs at different levels. In Blötberget, two dominant apatite-rich mineralized bodies are dipping to the southeast at an angle of 45° down to a depth of 500 m (Figure 3). Below that they continue with slightly shallower dip down to a known depth of 800-850 m (Malehmir et al., 2017). No borehole data below 800 m are available and information on the lateral extent is missing. Therefore, interpretation using the newly acquired 3D seismic data set proves the depth extension of the mineralization conducted in the former seismic surveys and focuses on the lateral extent as well as
- 110 further characterization of the surrounding structures.



Figure 2: Downhole geophysical logs, theoretical reflection coefficients and synthetic seismograms from the hostrock and the main mineralization (from Maries et al., 2017).

115 3 Seismic data acquisition

130

The seismic data set for this study was acquired during spring 2019. Figure 1 shows a geological map together with the geometry of the seismic survey, including all source and receiver locations in red and blue, respectively. The black rectangle indicates the lateral extension and location of the resulting 3D seismic cube described later in details. Figure 3 shows a 3D perspective view onto the known mineralization (red and blue surfaces, their model is based on former mining activities,

120 borehole data and the previously acquired 2D seismic data), the surface topography and the acquisition geometry of the 3D survey.

The image cube (black rectangle in Figure 1, white box in Figure 3) has a horizontal extension of 2.3 km x 4.1 km. Its longer axis is oriented in NW-SE direction and follows the central line of the 3D survey, which is identical to the previous 2D seismic survey acquired in 2015 and 2016. Its shorter axis is perpendicular to it and runs almost parallel to the strike direction of the

125 main geological units of interest. The vertical extension of the cube in Figure 3 is 2.25 km (from -250 to 2000 m below sealevel).



Figure 3: Perspective 3D view of the known mineralization (red and blue layers/bodies) in the Blötberget area, together with the source and receiver positions (red and blue dots, respectively) of the 3D survey and a digital elevation model showing the topography in the study area.

For the 3D survey a combination of cabled and wireless receivers was used with 1266 receiver points in total. The 32t Vibroseis source of TU Bergakademie Freiberg was used as seismic source with a linear up-sweep of 20 s length, a frequency bandwidth

of 10-160 Hz and vertical stacking of three sweeps per source point. Overall 1056 source points were acquired, distributed

- 135 mainly along existing forest roads in the area and resulting in a rather irregular and sparse 3D geometry. The internal receiver spacing along the lines was 10 m or 20 m. The north-western part of the investigation area is covered relatively well with source-receiver azimuths in all directions, while the south-eastern part contains only receiver points but no shot points along the central line. The layout was chosen like that since the mineral deposit related structures of interest are striking from southwest to northeast and are dipping to the southeast. As a consequence of this survey layout, the near-surface part is covered
- 140 and illuminated well in 3D, while the deeper central parts are less well covered and illuminated. The layout of the survey was caused by two restrictions related to environmental and logistical issues: the first was the restriction for the used Vibroseis truck to the available roads, which was a problem in the south-eastern part of the central line which the truck could not enter due to weight limits on the access roads. The second limitation was related to the usage of cabled receivers and limited wireless recorders available for the survey. Moreover, the majority of the used wireless receiver system required a communication
- 145 between single receivers in the field so that a linear setup was also necessary for these receivers. A minor number (10%) of receivers were fully autonomous recording wireless stations, and these receivers were used to cross the main road interrupting the profile in the southwestern part of the layout and were distributed along the existing road which extends the layout to the southeast. All acquisition parameters are summarized in Table 1.

Acquisition parameters	
Number of live channels	1266 (fixed spread)
Acquisition system	Sercel 408 (cabled and wireless), Wireless Seismic RT2 (wireless)
Sampling interval	1 ms / 2 ms
Receiver spacing	10 m / 20 m along lines
Receiver type	Vertical component geophones (4.5 Hz, 10 Hz and 28 Hz)
Number of source points	1056 (along receiver lines)
Source spacing	10 m along receiver lines
Source type	32t Vibroseis truck
Sweep parameters	10-160 Hz linear upsweep, 20 s sweep length, 60% peak force
Number of sweeps per source point	3

150 Table 1: Acquisition parameters of the 3D reflection seismic survey.

4 Depth imaging

4.1 Imaging workflow

The imaging workflow consisted of five steps, which are described in detail in the following sections. The first step is the signal pre-processing of the data in the time domain. This step includes static corrections which are handled later. The fourth important part in our imaging workflow is the creation of a macro velocity model which together with the pre-processed data serves as an input for pre-stack depth migration as the final step in the workflow.

4.2 Data pre-processing

In general, the data set exhibits an excellent data quality for a hard rock setting with good signal-to-noise ratio, sharp first arrivals and several clear reflections visible already in the raw shot gathers (Figure 4a). The data set has been pre-processed following the processing flow listed in Table 2. The focus in the signal processing sequence was on a consequent suppression of surface waves and boosting the coherency of the reflected signals from the ore bodies and their surrounding structures. Figure 4 shows an exemplary single shot gather before and after pre-processing. It is clearly visible that the low frequency surface waves were successfully suppressed and that the visible reflection signals are enhanced. The latter appear clearer and

165 more continuous along the single receiver lines and are traceable throughout the whole shot gathers (see yellow arrows in Figure 4b).

Processing parameters		
Sweep correlation	Using theoretical sweep	
Vertical stacking	3 sweep records per source location	
Geometry setup	fixed 3D, sparse	
Amplitude normalisation	Surface-consistent for shots and receivers	
Minimum-phase conversion	Based on matching filter using theoretical sweep	
Refraction statics	Apply static correction based on refraction traveltimes,	
	shift to final datum of 250 m using 5000 m/s	
	replacement velocity	
AGC	200 ms window length	

170 Table 2: Pre-processing flow applied to the data set. All steps up to Amplitude scaling are identical up to step 5 in Table 2 of the processing in Malehmir et al. (2021).

Spiking deconvolution	80 ms operator length, single trace
Bandpass filter	15-35-145-165 Hz
Surface wave attenuation	Wavelet-transform based (v $\leq 2700~\text{m/s})$
FX deconvolution	Yes
Amplitude scaling	Whole-trace RMS amplitude balancing
Top mute	30 ms below the picked first-arrivals



Figure 4: An example shot gather of (a) the raw data and (b) after pre-processing as described in Table 2. The source position of the shown shot gather is located close to receiver number 1250. The yellow arrows mark some visible reflections interpreted as be caused by the mineralization in the data.

4.3 Refraction statics

In order to adequately account for the influence of the near-surface low-velocity weathering layer in combination with widely varying topography, we use 3D refraction static solutions based on a 3D first-arrival travel-time inversion, followed by a shift

- 180 to the final datum using a constant replacement velocity, which is in the range of the expected near surface bedrock velocity in our investigation area. Static corrections are reasonable in some cases as they basically remove the influence of the complete near surface weathering layer from the data. Since the velocities below the weathering layer are expected to be slowly varying laterally and with depth, a simple macro velocity model can then be used in the next step for migration.
- The first arrivals were manually picked for the whole data set and used to calculate refraction statics using two methods: (1) generalized refraction traveltime inversion (GLI3D, Hampson and Russell, 1984) and (2) first-arrival traveltime tomography (FATT) (Zhang and Toksöz, 1998). Both methods were implemented in Geotomo Inc. TomoPlus software. GLI3D is a very robust technique to invert refraction traveltimes using a layer-based model. Velocities in layers can vary laterally, except the shallowest one. FATT can be used to derive static solution in form of so-called tomostatics (e.g., Bräunig et al., 2019). This method can be advantageous over layer-based inversion in case of strong topography or lack of clearly defined refraction
- 190 interfaces, e.g., in mountainous areas (Cyz and Malinowski, 2013). On the other hand, there is an ambiguity in determination of the intermediate datum in tomostatics, which can affect final statics values. In case of the hard rock seismic, usually a simple two-layer refraction solution is used to represent glacial sediments and the bedrock.

For Ludvika data we tested both methods, using all the available picks in the inversion. GLI3D solution was based on a twolayer model. For the residual static calculations, only offsets between 200 m and 2000 m were used. Looking at the common-

- 195 receiver and common-shot stacks without statics application (Figure 5a and 5d), it is clear that the statics is a significant issue in our data. Although the receiver and shot static values obtained using both methods do not differ significantly, one can see that there is a better alignment of the energy visible in the stacks produced with the application of the GLI3D statics (Figure 5c and 5f), especially for the shot stack (e.g. in the vicinity of shot 800). Therefore, our final choice was to apply the GLI3Dderived statics to the data. Such choice allowed us to avoid a potential problem related to the fact, that in order to properly use
- 200 tomostatics in the depth-imaging workflow, it would require to apply the residual part of the statics to the data. Furthermore, we would need to set the migration traveltime calculation grid fine-enough to be able to reproduce the long-wavelength part of the tomostatics. This approach would have been computationally too expensive in 3D (Jones, 2018).



205 Figure 5: (a-c) Common-receiver and (d-f) common-shot stacks calculated for the data after simple linear-moveout (LMO) correction and with the application of the tomostatics (b-e) and GLI3D statics (c-f).

4.4 Migration velocity model building

As an input for pre-stack depth migration techniques a good macro velocity model in depth is needed. However, creating such a reliable migration velocity model can be a challenging task for hard rock settings, since clear reflections are often missing which are required for picking velocities within conventional velocity semblance analysis. What is also very special in such hard rock environments is the relatively homogeneous velocity distribution within crystalline formations, combined with relatively small velocity variations between different rock types and typically slightly increasing velocities with depth. Velocities up to 6000 m/s often appear already at shallow depths. In combination with an additional weathering layer in the

- 215 uppermost part, which is typically characterized by low velocities (<2000 m/s) and significant heterogeneity, a strong vertical velocity gradient can often be observed in the shallowest part of the subsurface. A high resolution near-surface velocity model would be required to accurately address this shallow strong velocity gradient (Jones, 2018). This would lead to a densely sampled migration velocity model, and therefore a high computational effort, since the velocities in the hard rock itself varies only very smoothly.
- 220 Here, the inverted near surface velocity model was only used to calculate static corrections, in contrast to the imaging workflow described in Bräunig et al. (2019) where the near surface velocity model was also used directly as part of the migration velocity model. Borehole investigations (Maries et al., 2011) have shown that the bedrock velocities are in the range of 5600 m/s down to the target depth. Bräunig et al. (2019) used a Migration Velocity Analysis (MVA) approach to extend the migration velocity model below the shallow tomographic model down to the target depth including also the borehole logging information from

- 225 Maries et al. (2017). As a constraint, common image gathers with the mineralization related reflector as a key horizon were used to iteratively update and improve the velocity model. Therefore, the derived velocity model can be considered to be reliable down to the depth of the expected mineralization. Here, we use the MVA derived part of the migration velocity model, which is basically a 1D gradient velocity model with slightly increasing velocities with depth. At the top of the velocity model we use the replacement velocity, which was also used during static corrections, as a starting value for the 1D gradient model.
- 230 The velocity values and the corresponding depths are summarized in Table 3. The values are linearly interpolated between the depth intervals and are kept constant within the depth intervals.

Table 3: 1D migration velocity model.

Depth (m below sea-level)	Velocity (m/s)
-250 to -210	5000
500 to 1250	5600
1500	6000
2000	6500

235 **4.5 Pre-stack Depth Migration**

The application of the pre-stack depth migration approach plays a major role as the final step in our workflow. As for the 2D data, we initially applied KPSDM (Schneider, 1978; Buske et al., 1999), resulting in a first 3D seismic depth image of the investigation area. Subsequently, we applied FVM as an extension of KPSDM that limits the migration operator to the Fresnel Volume around back-propagated rays and focuses the image to the physically contributing part of the two-way travel-time 240 isochrone (Lüth et al., 2005; Buske et al., 2009). FVM was applied already successfully to hard rock reflection seismic data in 2D and 3D (e.g. Hloušek et al., 2015; Riedel et al., 2015; Hloušek and Buske, 2016; Jusri et al., 2018; Bräunig et al., 2019), including mineral exploration (Heinonen et al., 2019; Singh et al., 2019). A key point in 3D FVM is the 3D slowness estimation from the recorded data. The slowness is estimated directly from the recorded wavefield using a local slant stack method with the semblance (Neidell and Taner, 1971) for arbitrarily distributed receivers to estimate the most probable direction for the 245 emergent wavefield (Hloušek and Buske, 2016). Hence, the slowness estimation and therefore FVM is completely data driven and needs no *a-priori* information on strike and dip directions of the expected structures. This ability to image arbitrary dips and strikes without a priory information makes FVM extremely robust for imaging in hard rock environments, especially when the signal to noise ratio is low, the coverage of the data is sparse and the impedance contrasts of the expected structures are small as shown in Heinonen et al. (2019). Therefore, we used FVM as the preferred imaging technique for the 3D data set here

250 in this study.

The pre-stack depth migrations (KPSDM, FVM) were applied to each shot separately. The migration is performed on a uniform grid with a grid-spacing of 10 m in each direction. The result is a 3D image for each shot-gather which are finally stacked to the complete image (Buske, 1999).

As a first step, a constant migration velocity of 5600 m/s as a representative value for the bedrock in the investigation area was chosen for KPSDM in order to get an overview about the main structures and an impression of the reliability and robustness

- of the applied pre-stack depth migrated approach. Figure 6 and b show vertical depth slices of the resulting image cube along the Northeast-Southwest direction through the central part of the investigation area. 6a shows the plain image with two marked reflectors. The yellow arrows mark the expected main mineralization reflector, which is dipping to the southeast. At its lower end, this reflector is bounded by a crosscutting reflector (blue arrows) which is dipping into the opposite direction. This
- 260 crosscutting reflector was also present in the result of the earlier 2D survey (Bräunig et al., 2019, Markovic et al., 2020), but here this reflector appears much clearer and sharper. Even more reflections can be found already in this 3D KPSDM image, which will be described in detail using the FVM image below. Here we concentrate on the two mentioned reflectors for the evaluation of the imaging techniques and the used velocity model. The dip direction and dip angle are well visible in the seismic image. However, a detailed comparison of the image and the modelled mineralization (Figure 6b) shows that the
- 265 reflector is imaged around 50 m below the known model layers. The reason for this mismatch is due to the constant velocity of 5600 m/s used for the migration, which appears to be too high. Choosing iteratively different constant velocities for migration to find a representative effective medium velocity could improve the tie between the depths of the imaged reflector and the corresponding mineralization. Such an approach would be comparable to use different constant velocities for a time-to-depth conversion for time domain imaging techniques. However, such a calculation of an average medium velocity will not
- 270 consequently result in a robust migration velocity model for all depths and would not be the best choice to improve depth positioning as well as the image quality along all reflectors throughout the whole 3D model. Therefore, we omit this iterative improvement but rather concentrate on a more reliable 3D migration velocity model in the next step.



Figure 6: Depth slices through the (a) and (b) KPSDM result and (c) and (d) the FVM result using a constant velocity of 5600 m/s for migration. (a) and (c) without and (b) and (d) with the known mineralization layers in red and blue. The yellow and blue arrows in (a) and (c) mark the image of the main mineralization reflector and a crosscutting reflector dipping in the opposite direction, respectively. The gain for plotting was chosen, such that the reflection of the main mineralization appears in the same intensity for both techniques, KPSDM and FVM.

Before using this 3D migration velocity model, we wanted to improve the reflection seismic image and therefore applied the focusing 3D FVM approach. Figure 6c and d show the same vertical depth slices as in 6a and b but here for the FVM image cube. The arrows mark the same reflectors as in the KPSDM image: yellow arrows for the main mineralization and blue arrows for the crosscutting reflector. When comparing the KPSDM and FVM images (Figure 6a and 6b, respectively) a lot of similarities but also several significant differences can be observed. Since the used migration velocity model and the basic imaging technique are identical, the imaged structures appear at the same position and depth. Furthermore, all observable

285 structures in the FVM image are already part of the KPSDM image, but they are partly covered by incoherent noise and migration artefacts in the KPSDM image. In general, the FVM image appears much cleaner and clearer than the KPSDM image. This is caused by the restriction to the Fresnel zone along the corresponding travel-time-isochrones during FVM. Additionally, incoherent noise is reduced in the whole FVM image and the coherent reflections are more outstanding. To evaluate the quality of both migration results, we try to estimate the signal to noise ratio for both image cubes from KPSDM

- and FVM. Therefore, we normalize both volumes to the root mean square (RMS) of all amplitudes in the volume so that the variability of the amplitudes is in the same range. In a second step we calculate the median amplitude for all images and set this median in relation to the RMS value, assuming that the median amplitude value is representative for the noise present in the image cube. The ratio of these two values can be seen as improved signal to noise ratio and yields a roughly 7 times higher signal to noise ratio of the FVM image in comparison to the KPSDM image.
- 295 Due to the improved signal to noise ratio, the crosscutting reflector appears more continuous. Especially, its shallow part in the south-east is well visible in the FVM image (upper blue arrow in Figure 6c), while it is covered by incoherent noise in the KPSDM image (Figure 6a). Overall, the imaged reflectors are more continuous and easier to identify in the FVM result. As the next step, the constant migration velocity model was replaced by the 1D gradient model described in Table 3. Figure 7

shows the FVM result using this 1D gradient model for slowness calculation, raytracing within FVM and travel-time

- 300 calculation. The shown slice is located at the same position as the slices for the KPSDM image and the FVM image using a constant migration velocity (Figure 6). Here, the same main structures can be identified. The reflector related to the main mineralization is marked again with yellow arrows. Compared to the previous results it is imaged slightly shallower but with approximately the same dip angle. The reflector itself is more coherent than in the case of a constant migration velocity (Figure 6) and the image of the reflector appears straighter in its shape. The crosscutting reflector, marked with blue arrows in Figure
- 305 7a, is also imaged at shallower depths. In contrast to the main mineralization, the dip of the crosscutting reflector appears steeper when using the 1D gradient velocity model instead of the constant velocity for migration. Furthermore, the image of the reflector is more coherent and exhibits a higher amplitude. Figure 7b shows the FVM image based on the 1D gradient together with the known mineralization (blue and red bodies). The image of the reflector coincides precisely with the depth position and dip of the known mineralization. At the lower end of the model, the imaged reflector continues down to greater
- 310 depth and further to the southeast, where it ends at the crosscutting reflector.



Figure 7: Same depth slices through the FVM result using the 1D migration velocity model including information from MVA (Table 1): (a) without and (b) with the known mineralization in red and blue. The arrows in (a) mark the image of the main mineralization reflector and a crosscutting reflector dipping in the opposite direction (compare Figure 6c and 6d).



Figure 8 shows a selection of more vertical depth slices through the FVM image cube based on the result using the 1D gradient velocity model. The slices are all oriented from northwest to southeast and have a spacing of 100-200 m. The location of each slice in the local coordinate system is indicated in the upper right corner of each subfigure. The slice in Figure 8a is located in the northeast of the investigation area at y=500 m. It shows a prominent reflector marked with M1 and this reflector can directly
be correlated to the upper main mineralization (red layer in 3). In this slice, the image of the reflector appears relatively curved and interrupted in the middle part. The curvature can be explained by the fact that the slice is located at the boundary of the investigation area and is therefore insufficiently illuminated. This could also be the reason for the interruption in the middle part of the reflector. Below the main reflector M1, several other low amplitude reflectors can be identified. In the second slice at y=700 m (Figure 8b) the reflectors are better illuminated. Now, the reflector M1 appears as a high amplitude coherent

- 325 reflector with only a slight curvature at the upper north-western end. It dips with about 30° to the southeast and is imaged between 240 m and 840 m depth. The dip angle and dip direction are in good agreement with the dip of 25° to 30° in the time migrated and depth converted image of Malehmir et al. (2021). The reflectivity below the M1 reflector in Figure 8b appears more coherent than in Figure 8a and distinct reflections can be identified which are marked by a green arrow. Furthermore, the previously described crosscutting reflector (compare Figure 7) is well visible (C1, blue arrow in Figure 8b). It dips with an
- 330 angle of approximately 25° to the northwest and is imaged between 400 m and 740 m depth. The reflectors M1 and C1 are intersecting at 725 m depth, where the C1 reflector marks the lower end of the coherent and straight image of the M1 reflector. Beside the C1 reflector, a second weaker reflector is visible at shallower depth (blue arrow). It dips with around 20° to the southeast and is imaged between 160 m and 360 m depth. This reflector is traceable only over some slices. At y=900 m (Figure 8c) it is almost not visible anymore. The M1 reflector appears again as a sharp and strong reflector. The dip is still around 30°,
- but the reflection can be traced between 35 m and 830 m depth with a spatial extent of approximately 1700 m in this slice.

Again, it is crossed at its lower end at 780 m depth by the C1 reflector. The C1 reflector is imaged slightly deeper than in the previous slice and shows approximately the same dip angle of about 25° . This changing depth suggests a 3D orientation of this reflector, which is not perpendicular to the slices selected here. Since the imaged depth is increasing, the true strike direction is oriented north-south. However, it is imaged clearly between 250 m and 990 m depth. Above the intersection with M1 it is

- 340 imaged as one continuous reflector, while it appears more disrupted below the intersection. There, it also intersects other coherent reflectors which are oriented subparallel to the M1 reflector (green arrow). This reflector is imaged between 500 m and 1000 m depth and is dipping with almost the same angle as reflector M1 to the southeast. The lower end of this reflector is also marked by the crosscutting C1 reflector. Besides these main reflections, also some deeper less strong and coherent reflectors can be observed. They are all dipping to the southeast and with an angle around 30°, comparable to the M1 reflector.
- 345 In the following slice at y=1000 m (Figure 8d) the overall structures are imaged in a similar pattern. The depth of the C1 reflector is slightly increasing, the reflector appears less continuous as before and shows a small offset at 480 m depth. The M1 reflector also appears less continuous, especially in the upper part it is less sharp and coherent. The deeper subparallel reflector also appears less coherent and continuous together with a broadened signature, which also accounts for a more complex 3D structure for the M1 reflector and the underlying reflectivity. This impression is confirmed by the image in the
- 350 next slice at y=1100 m (Figure 8e). There, the reflector M1 can still be identified, but is also intersected by a second, slightly deeper reflector with the same dip direction (M2). Also, the underlying subparallel reflectivity appears even more complex and less distinct than before. All reflectors dipping to the southeast have in common that they are confined by the crosscutting C1 reflector at their lower end. The image becomes again a little bit clearer in the slice at y=1200 m (Figure 8f). There the M2 reflector becomes the most prominent and coherent reflector. It is imaged between 190 m and 770 m depth and dips with an
- 355 angle of about 30° (the same dip as M1 reflector) to the southeast. The M1 reflector can be identified only in deeper parts between 550 m and 780 m depth with a slightly steeper angle than the M2 reflector. Below these two reflectors, again some parallel reflectors are visible with approximately the same dip direction (marked with two green arrows). The C1 reflector is still visible, although the signal is weaker compared to the previous slices. Here, several other reflectors with a comparable dip direction are present and marked with C0, C2 and C3. These reflectors exhibit a shorter spatial extent compared to the
- 360 others and are traceable only over some adjacent slices. Reflectors C2 and C3 can be found also in the next slice at v=1300 m (Figure 8g). They appear approximately at the same location, while the C1 reflector is not visible anymore. The same applies for the M1 reflector which is no longer distinguishable from the reflector M2. The reflector M2 is imaged between 180 m and 880 m depth, the underlying parallel reflectors (green arrows) are still visible but less distinct than before. The reflectivity in this area is more diffuse here. Although reflector C1 is not directly visible, the reflectivity of the M2 reflector and the
- 365

underlying reflectors end along a line which has the same dip as the C1 reflector before. The reflectivity in the last slice at y=1500 m (Figure 8h) is again more coherent. The M2 reflector is imaged again sharper but also steeper (35°) as before. The lower end is confined by an almost horizontal reflector (H1). The reflector C3 is still visible and shows a slightly listric shape. The underlying reflectivity (green arrows) is still present in this image.

The visibility of the important structures in the seismic volume can be summarized as follows: the reflectors M1 and M2 can

- 370 be traced over all shown slices. Since they are crossing each other and intersecting in some slices it is not always possible to distinguish between both reflectors. In all shown slices an underlying reflectivity can be observed. It consists of partly distinct reflectors which are dipping in the same direction and with a comparable dip angle as the M1 and M2 reflectors. The lower end of this reflectivity and the M1 and M2 reflectors is confined by the crossing C1 reflector which has an apparent dip in the opposite direction. Since the imaged depth is increasing for slices to the southwest, the strike direction of this reflector appears
- 375 more towards the north-south than the northeast-southwest direction. This orientation also explains why this reflector vanishes in the slices in the southwest, because it is not illuminated anymore by the combination of sources and receivers. However, the reflectivity of the M1 and M2 reflectors as well as the reflectivity of the underlying reflectors still ends at a line which could be an indirect hint for the lateral continuation of the C1 structure. A more detailed geological interpretation in relation to the known structures is given in the following section.



380 Figure 8: Depth slices through the final FVM result based on the 1D migration velocity model. The sections in (a) to (h) are spaced by 100-200 m in y-direction. Several reflectors are named and marked with arrows: Yellow marked reflectors correspond to the known mineralization, reflections marked with green arrows are located subparallel below the known mineralization and blue arrows indicate reflections dipping into the opposite direction of the known mineralization.

5 Interpretation and discussion

- 385 The main mineralization including its surrounding host rock structures like the major crosscutting fault are successfully imaged, which is the basis for further structural interpretation. The reflectors related to the mineralization are clear, pronounced and with high amplitudes. They are partly intersecting with varying characteristics in lateral direction and in some parts, they exhibit a rather complex 3D shape. In order to verify the reliability of the image, we performed a detailed comparison of the imaged structures with the geological model of the known mineralization. Figure 9 shows a detailed view on the FVM image
- 390 together with the current model of the second known mineralization (blue body) (M2). The imaged position, the dip and the general shape of the reflectors fit almost perfectly to the corresponding position of the known geological model of the ore bodies. Furthermore, the reflector corresponding to the main mineralization (blue body in Figure 9) is traceable at least 300 m further downward from the known downdip end of the mineralization. Additionally, the seismic image reveals a bowl-type shape (likely a tight fold) of this reflector in crossline direction (parallel to the main strike direction), which can be followed
- 395 laterally even further upward beyond the known model of the mineralization (yellow ellipse in Figure 9a).



Figure 9: Perspective view (a) with and (b) without the model of the known mineralization (blue body). The zoomed inset shows a good agreement of the position, depth and shape of the known mineralization and the corresponding reflectors in the seismic image.

We tried to trace all imaged reflectors in the 3D FVM image cube and manually picked the horizons to verify, complement and extend the known structural model of the mineralization and its host rock structures. The reflectors were picked only when they showed coherent and strong amplitude over a certain distance and were clearly traceable within the 3D seismic image cube. Indirect structural indicators like phase offsets along the reflectors or positions where reflectors seemed to be truncated were not picked. Furthermore, partly reflective structures were not automatically connected but they were rather left as separate surfaces so that the interpretation of their possible connection was left as objective as possible. The picked horizons are shown

405 in Figure 10. Figure 10a and 10b represent perspective views on the models of the known mineralization together with the picked horizons. The view direction is from South to North (Figure 10a) and from East to West (Figure 10b), respectively. The picked horizons M1 and M2 are shown in red and blue in accordance to the known mineralization bodies, and the

crosscutting reflector C1 is shown in gold. For the picked C1 reflector, the corresponding horizon extends downward to its lower end at a depth of approximately 1000 m. It is illuminated by the source-receiver geometry mainly in the central part of

- 410 the investigation area. It presumably continues further up-dip (to the southwest), but with the given acquisition geometry it is not possible to illuminate it further towards the surface. The same applies to the lower end of this reflector. It is possible that the structure may continue deeper but it is not illuminated by the acquisition geometry. However, an extrapolation of this horizon (in form of a mean plane for all picks, purple plane in Figure 10c) shows its possible continuation within the image cube. The surface outcrop of this extrapolated horizon would be located in the western part of the image cube with a strike
- 415 direction almost north-south. Figure 10d shows where the mean plane would reach the surface and its relation to the known mapped surface geology. The surface location and strike direction of the mean plane fits perfectly to a mapped lineament in the geological map (yellow arrows in Figure 10d). Therefore, it is highly likely that this mapped fault and the imaged reflector refer to the same structure.

As described above the two main reflectors M1 and M2 show the same location, dip angle and shape as the known 420 mineralization. Beside this agreement, the reflectors show an about 300 m lateral and downward continuation of the previously known mineralization, meaning a potential continuation of the mineralized bodies and therefore additional resources. Assuming that the crossing reflector C1 is marking the lower end of the mineralization bodies, would allow to fill the gap between C1 and M2, which means an even larger downward continuation for this reflector, than it is directly visible in the FVM image.

425

Some of the imaged reflectors can be directly related to the geology. The M1 and M2 reflectors can clearly be interpreted as reflected signals from the main mineralization which are known to be characterized by a relatively high impedance contrast to the surrounding bedrock. The shape of both reflectors indicates a bowl shape of the mineralization bodies and that both are intersecting each other partly. The imaged reflectors also indicate a potential greater lateral extension of the mineralization.

430 The underlaying reflectivity (green arrows, M3) is only partly coherent and shows a shorter lateral extension, but as the strike and dip directions are identical to the overlaying mineralization we interpret these reflectors as also mineralization related meaning potential additional resources for this deposit.

Since C1 can be linked to a surface trace of a fault it can be interpreted as such. The weaker and shorter C0 reflector can also be interpreted as a fault or as the contact zone between intrusive and volcanic rocks (see also Figure 1). The other imaged

435 reflectors (C2, C3 and H1) are imaged only at greater depth, so no direct link to the surface geology is possible. A remarkable fact for these reflectors is, that they are imaged in the vicinity of the lower end of the imaged mineralization. They could be related to the formation of the whole deposit.

Reflector	Strike direction	Dip (°)	Interpretation
M1	SW-NE	25-30	Main mineralization
M2	SW-NE	25-30	Main mineralization
M3	SW-NE	25-30	Potential zones of mineralization
C0	SW-NE	20	Fault (uncertain)
C1	SSW-NNE	25	Fault
C2	SW-NE	25	?
C3	SW-NE	20	?
H1	SW-NE	5	?

440 Table 4: Characteristic and interpretation of the imaged reflectors

A comparison to the post-stack time migrated and time to depth converted result by Malehmir et al. (2021) shows a lot of similarities but also some differences. The main imaged reflectors (M1, M2 and C1, or F1 in Malehmir et al., 2021) are present 445 in both results. The C1 reflector is much better imaged in the pre-stack depth image from FVM. Here it appears as a relatively sharp and continuous reflector, especially in the direct vicinity of the lower end of the M1 and M2 reflectors. In contrast, the post-stack time migration image of this reflector is only piece-wise evident and less continuous, but it is imaged also in shallower depths. The reason for the better image here is presumably the opposite dip of the intersecting reflectors (C1 and M1, M2) which have to be adequately addressed during stacking in the post-stack approach and might have caused some 450 problems. Whereas, the different dip directions are naturally handled by the pre-stack depth migration approach and such the reflectors are imaged properly. Furthermore, the sharp image of the FVM allows for a detailed interpretation of the visible reflectivity and allows to trace individual reflectors through the migrated volume. Such, we were able to map the M1 and M2 reflectors resulting in that fold shape seen in Figure 9. Finally, the application of pre-stack depth migration directly results in

455 interpret the seismic image in depth. This conversion often is done with a velocity or a velocity-depth function to best fit apriori (e.g. borehole) information. The pre-stack depth image shown here is completely data-driven and nevertheless fits well to the a-priori information. This means that a high reliability of the resulting seismic image and especially the imaged depths and dips of the visible reflectors can be assumed. The used imaging techniques in conjunction with a careful pre-processing of the data are well applicable and tailored for mineral exploration in hard rock environments. Any *a-priori* information can be

a depth image, rather than in a time image. For the latter, a post migration, time-to-depth conversion is needed in order to

460

used for further optimization and validation. In that sense such imaging approaches are also interesting for the exploration of less well-known or explored potential mineral deposits.

Potential for future works are the incorporation of a more detailed P-wave velocity model derived from e.g. full-waveforminversion (Singh et al., 2021) for static corrections or even directly as part of a 3D migration velocity model. Furthermore, the acquired 3D data set could be used for a 3D MVA using focusing pre-stack depth migration techniques to generate common

- 465 offset images, which then can be sorted into common image gathers depending not only on the offset, but also on the angles of illumination. Since the imaged structures are characterized by different strike directions and inclination angles, together with conflicting dip situations, more advanced investigations could be helpful. The already performed slowness calculation, which is needed for backpropagating the rays within FVM, could be used to distinguish between different emergent angles and directions for the reflected signals within the application of focusing 3D pre-stack depth migration variants. Furthermore,
- 470 the obtained structures are currently analysed and interpreted together with other geophysical findings and geological data in order to obtain a comprehensive 3D model of the mineral deposit. The latter can then serve as a reliable basis for prospective modelling as well as estimates of its economic potential.



475

Figure 10: Interpretation of the identified and picked horizons: (a) and (b) show perspective views on the picked horizons and the model of the known mineralization. The crosscutting reflector C1 is extrapolated using a mean plane (purple plane (c)), which intersects with the surface at a mapped fault line (yellow arrows in d). The view in (d) is identical to (c) but includes a map of the surface geology.

6 Conclusions

The acquired sparse 3D data set provides an excellent basis for the application of seismic processing and imaging techniques

- 480 in the framework of mineral exploration in hardrock geological settings. Our workflow includes the application of a tailored pre-processing flow as well as the application of a 3D Fresnel Volume Migration depth imaging technique. Both steps are accompanied by 3D first-break traveltime inversion to obtain static corrections within the processing flow, instead of handling static shifts through the detailed velocity model incorporated in traveltime calculation, which would not be practical, as it requires very fine model discretisation. The application of static corrections allows the usage of a simple 1D gradient velocity
- 485 for the migration. It results in a sharp image of the subsurface structures with a rather high accuracy in depth positioning and allows for a detailed interpretation. Nevertheless, all reflectors were also imaged using a constant migration velocity model, but they appear with a less accurate dip and depth in the 3D volume.

The chosen processing approach delivered a high-quality 3D seismic cube with several distinct structural features that could be correlated to the known mineralization and also provide information of its possible extension in lateral direction as well as towards greater depths. The study has shown that reflection seismic methods and depth imaging algorithms can deliver a high-

490 towards greater depths. The study has shown that reflection seismic methods and depth imaging algorithms can deliver a hig resolution 3D seismic image also for the given sparse and irregular acquisition geometry.

Acknowledgments

We thank all colleagues, students and young professionals involved in the project Smart Exploration. A special thanks to all
people involved in the field work of the 2019 survey and NIO for their support for planning and during the field campaign. The GOCAD Consortium and Paradigm are thanked for providing an academic license of GOCAD for 3D visualization and interpretation of the data. We acknowledge the usage of the Vibroseis truck of Technische Universität Bergakademie Freiberg, operated by the Institute of Geophysics and Geoinformatics and funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under grant no. INST 267/127-1 FUGG, which was used as the seismic source in this survey. We also gratefully acknowledge the 500
Halliburton Software Grant for the Technical University Bergakademie Freiberg, which enabled part of the data processing

- with their software package SeisSpace/ProMAX. The depth migrations were calculated with the help of the HPC cluster at TU Bergakademie Freiberg (DFG-grant INST 267/159–1 FUGG). IG PAS acknowledges the use of the Globe Claritas seismic processing package under the academic license from Petrosys Ltd and the TomoPlus software (Geotomo Inc.). We thank Juan Alcalde, one anonymous reviewer and the editor Liam Bullock for their helpful comments and suggestions on the origin
- 505 version of this article.

Funding

This research is part of the Smart Exploration project, which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No.775971.

Data availability

510 The presented data is available by contacting project coordinator Alireza Malehmir or the corresponding author.

Author contributions

AM and PM designed the survey. AM, MaM, LB, SB, LS, EB and FH contributed to the data acquisition. MiM performed the signal processing and calculated the static corrections. FH wrote the main content of this manuscript, applied KPSDM and FVM and created the 3D interpretation of the seismic data. All authors contributed to the interpretation and discussions of the results and to discussions during the processing of the data.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Allen, R.L., Lundström, I., Ripa, M., Simeonov, A., Christofferson, H.: Facies analysis of a 1.9 Ga, continental margin, back
arc felsic caldera province with diverse Zn-Pb-Ag-(Cu-Au) sulphide and Fe oxide deposits, Berslagen region, Sweden.
Economic Geology, 91, 979–1008, 1996.

Bellefleur, G., Schetselaar, E., White, D., Miah, K., Dueck, P.: 3D seismic imaging of the Lalor volcanogenic massive sulphide deposit, Manitoba, Canada, Geophysical Prospecting, 63, 813-832, doi: 10.1111/1365-2478.12236, 2015.

525

515

Berverksstatistik, 2013, Statistics of the Swedish mining in-dustry 2013. Report of Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning (in Swedish, partly translated in English), http://resource.sgu.se/produkter/pp/pp2014-2-rapport.pdf, accessed 1. December 2021.

Buske, S., Gutjahr, S. and Sick, C.: Fresnel volume migration of single-component seismic data. Geophysics, 74, WCA47-530 WCA55, 10.1190/1.3223187, 2009. Buske, S.: Three-dimensional pre-stack Kirchhoff migration of deep seismic reflection data. Geophysical Journal International, 137, 243-260, 1999.

- 535 Bräunig, L., Buske, S., Malehmir, A., Bäckström, E., Schön, M., and Marsden, P.: Seismic depth imaging of iron-oxide deposits and their host rocks in the Ludvika mining area of central Sweden, Geophysical Prospecting, 68, 24–43, doi: 10.1111/1365-2478.12836, 2020.
- Cheraghi, S., Malehmir, A., Bellefleur, G.: 3D imaging challenges in steeply dipping mining structures: New lights on
 acquisition geometry and processing from the Brunswick no. 6 seismic data, Canada, Geophysics, 77, WC109-WC122, doi: 10.1190/geo2011-0475.1, 2012.

Crowson, P., The European mining industry: What future? Resources Policy, 22(1-2), doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4207(96)00025-6, 1996.

545

Cyz, M. C., and M. M. Malinowski: Comparison of refraction and diving wave tomography statics solution along a regional seismic profile in SE Poland. In 75th EAGE Conference & Exhibition incorporating SPE EUROPEC 2013, pp. cp-348. European Association of Geoscientists & Engineers, 2013.

550 Dubiński, J.: Sustainable Development of Mining Mineral Resources, Journal of Sustainable Mining, 12(1), doi.org/10.7424/jsm130102, 2013.

Eaton, D., Milkereit, B., and Salisbury, M. (Eds.): Hardrock seismic exploration, SEG, Tulsa, USA, ISBN: 978-1-56080-114-6, 2003a.

555

Eaton, D.W., Milkereit, B., and Salisbury, M. (Eds.): Seismic methods for deep mineral exploration: Mature technologies adapted to new targets, The leading edge, 22, 580–585, 2003b. Hampson, D., and B. Russell: First-break interpretation using generalized linear inversion, Journal of the Canadian Society of Exploration Geophysicists, 20, 40-54, 1984.

560 Heinonen, S., Malinowski, M., Hloušek, F., Gislason, G., Buske, S., Koivisto, E. and Wojdyla, M., Cost-effective seismic exploration: 2D reflection imaging at the Kylylahti massive sulfide deposit, Finland. Minerals, 9(5), 263, doi.org/10.3390/min9050263, 2019.

Hloušek, F. and Buske, S., Fresnel Volume Migration of the ISO89-3D data set. Geophysical Journal International, doi:10.1093/gji/ggw336, 2016.

Hloušek, F., Hellwig, O., Buske, S., Improved structural characterization of the Earth's Crust at the German Continental Deep Drilling Site (KTB) using advanced seismic imaging techniques. Journal of Geophysical Research (Solid Earth), 10.1002/2015JB012330, 2015.

570

Jones, I. F., Velocities, Imaging and Waveform Inversion: The Evolution of Characterising the Earth's Subsurface. EAGE Publications, 2018.

Jonsson E., Troll V.R., Hogdahl K., Harris C., Weis F., Nilsson K P., et al.: Magmatic origin of giant 'Kiruna-type' apatiteiron oxide ores in central Sweden. Scientific Reports 3, 1644, 2013.

Jusri, T., Bertani, R., Buske, S., Advanced three-dimensional seismic imaging of deep supercritical geothermal rocks in Southern Tuscany. Geophysical Prospecting, doi.org/10.1111/1365-2478.12723, 2018.

580 L'Heureux, E., Milkereit, B. and Adam, E.: 3D seismic exploration for mineral deposits in hardrock environments. CSEG Recorder, 30(9), 36-39, 2005.

Lüth, S., Buske, S., Goertz, A. and Giese, R., Fresnel-volume migration of multicomponent data. Geophysics, 70(6): 121-129, 2005.

585

Magnusson, N.H.: The origin of the iron ores in central Sweden and the history of their alterations, P.1, Sveriges geologiska undersökning, Serie C, Avhandlingar och uppsatser, Stockholm, 1970.

Malehmir, A., Durrheim, R., Bellefleur, G., Urosevic, M., Juhlin, C., White, D. J., Milkereit, B. and Campbell, G: Seismic
methods in mineral exploration and mine planning: A general overview of past and present case histories and a look into the future. Geophysics, 77(3): WC173-WC190, 2012.

Malehmir, A., G. Maries, E. Bäckström, M. Schön and P. Marsden: Developing cost-effective seismic mineral exploration methods using a landstreamer and a drophammer. Scientific Reports, 7(1), 2017.

595

Malehmir, A., Holmes, P., Gisselø, P., Socco, L.V., Carvalho, J., Marsden, P., Verboon, A.O., Loska, M.: Smart Exploration: Innovative ways of exploring for the raw materials in the EU. Proceedings 81st EAGE Conference and Exhibition 2019, doi.org/10.3997/2214-4609.201901668, 2019. Malehmir, A., Gisselø, P., Socco, V., Carvalho, J., Marsden, P., Verboon, A. O., Loska, M.: Smart Exploration inspires innovative geophysical solutions for mineral exploration in Europe. First Break, 38, doi.org/10.3997/1365-2397.fb2020088, 2020.

Malehmir, A., Markovic, M., Marsden, P., Gil, A., Buske, S., Sito, L., Bäckström, E., Sadeghi, M., and Luth, S.: Sparse 3D
reflection seismic survey for deep-targeting iron-oxide deposits and their host rocks, Ludvika Mines-Sweden, Solid Earth, 12, 483–502, doi.org/10.5194/se-12-483-2021, 2021.

Maries, G., Malehmir, A., Bäckström, E., Schön, M., and Marsden, P.: Downhole physical property logging for iron-oxide exploration, rock quality, and mining: An example from central Sweden, Ore Geology Reviews, 90, 1–13, 2017.

610

Maries, G., Malehmir, A., and Marsden, P.: Cross-profile seismic data acquisition, imaging and modeling of iron-oxide deposits: a case study from Blötberget, south central Sweden, Geophysics, https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2020-0173.1, 2020.

Markovic, M., Maries, G., Malehmir, A., von Ketelholdt, J., Bäckström, E., Schön, M., and Marsden, P.: Deep reflection
seismic imaging of iron-oxide deposits in the Ludvika mining area of central Sweden, Geophysical Prospecting, 68, 7–23, 2020.

Milkereit, B., Eaton, D., Wu, J., Perron, G., Salisbury, M. H., Berrer, E. K., & Morrison, G.: Seismic imaging of massive sulfide deposits; Part II, Reflection seismic profiling. Economic Geology, 91(5), 829-834, 1996.

620

Neidell, N. S. and Taner, M. T.: Semblance and other coherency measures for multichannel data. Geophsics, 36, 482-497, 1971.

Pertuz, T., Malehmir, A., Bos, J., Brodic, B., Ding, Y., de Kunder, R., Marsden, P.: Broadband seismic source data acquisition and processing to delineate iron oxide deposits in the Blötberget mine-central Sweden, Geophysical Prospecting, 2021.

Schneider W.A. Integral formulation for migration in two and three dimensions. Geophysics 43, 49–76, 1978.

Singh, B., Malinowski, M., Hloušek, F., Koivisto, E., Heinonen, S., Hellwig, O., Buske, S., Chamarczuk, M. and Juurela, S.,

630 Sparse 3D seismic imaging in the Kylylahti mine area, Eastern Finland: comparison of time versus depth approach. Minerals, 9(5), doi.org/10.3390/min9050305305, 2019.

Singh, B., Malinowski, M., Górszczyk, A., Malehmir, A., Buske, S., Sito, Ł. and Marsden P., 3D high-resolution seismic imaging of the iron-oxide deposits in Ludvika (Sweden) using full-waveform inversion and reverse-time migration, Solid Earth

635 Discussions, doi.org/10.5194/se-2021-122,, 2021.

Paulick, H., Nurmi, P. Mineral Raw Materials—Meeting the Challenges of Global Development Trends. *Berg Huettenmaenn Monatsh* **163**, 421–426, doi.org/10.1007/s00501-018-0779-8, 2018.

640 Riedel, M., Dutsch, C. Alexandrakis, C., Dini, I., Ciuffi, S., Buske, S., Seismic depth imaging of a geothermal system in southern Tuscany. Geophysical Prospecting, 10.1111/1365-2478.12254, 2015.

Stephens, M., Ripa, M., Lundström, I., Persson, L., Bergman, T., Ahl, M., et al.: Synthesis of the bedrock geology in the Bergsla gen region, Fennoscandian Shield, south-central Sweden. Geological Survey of Sweden (SGU), 2009.

645

Urosevic, M., Bhat, G., and Grochau, M. H., Targeting nickel sulfide deposits from 3D seismicreflection data at Kambalda, Australia, Geophysics, 77, WC123-WC132, doi: /10.1190/geo2011-0514.1, 2012.

Zhang J. and Toksöz M.N.: Nonlinear refraction traveltime tomography. Geophysics 63, 1726–1737, 1998.

650