Matrix gas flow through 'impermeable' rocks - shales and tight 1 sandstone

2

Ernest Rutter¹, Julian Mecklenburgh¹, Yusuf Bashir^{1,a} 3

4 ¹Rock Deformation Laboratory, Dept. of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Manchester,

5 Manchester M13 9PL, UK.

6 ^aNow at: Department of Petroleum Resources, Abuja, Nigeria.

7 Correspondence to : E. Rutter (e.rutter@manchester.ac.uk)

8 Abstract. The effective pressure sensitivity of gas flow through two shales (Bowland and Haynesville shales) and

9 a tight gas sandstone (Pennant sandstone) was measured over the typical range of reservoir pressure conditions.

10 These are low permeability rocks such as can be exploited as caprocks above reservoirs that might be developed to

11 store compressed air, methane, hydrogen or to bury waste carbon dioxide, all of which may become important

12 components of the forthcoming major changes in methods of energy generation and storage. Knowledge of the

13 petrophysical properties of such tight rocks will be of great importance in such developments. All three rocks

14 display only a small range in \log_{10} permeability at low pressures, but these decrease at dramatically different rates

15 with increasing effective pressure, and the rate of decrease itself decreases with pressure, as the rocks stiffen. The

pressure sensitivity of the bulk moduli of each of these rocks was also measured, and used to formulate a 16

17 description of the permeability decrease in terms of the progressive closure of narrow, crack-like pores with

18 increasing pressure. In the case of the shales in particular, only a very small proportion of the total porosity takes

19 part in the flow of gases, particularly along the bedding layering.

20 Key words: Permeability, shales, sandstone, bulk modulus, pressure sensitivity, gas porous flow

21 Supplementary data file: DF1.csv and Matlab scripts at https://doi:10.5281/zenodo.5914205

22 1. Introduction

23 Shales (laminated mudstones) are of particular importance because their fine grain size and tight pore structure

24 gives them a particularly low matrix permeability and hence makes them excellent cap rocks for the containment

25 of oil, water and gases. This includes their future use as a sealant for the storage containment of fuel gases

26 hydrogen and methane, compressed air storage and for the disposal deep underground of waste liquids and gases,

27 including waste carbon dioxide. Organic shales are source rocks for petroleum and become source, reservoir and

28 seal for unconventional natural gas (shale gas). The enormous economic importance of shales cannot be

29 overstated, and this demands an ever-increasing understanding of their petrophysical properties.

30 Compared to conventional reservoir rock materials (sandstones, limestones), shales are particularly difficult to

31 work with. Their commonly laminated nature makes them often highly fissile, with a tendency to split along the

32 layering. Thus coring and cutting operations for sample preparation are often difficult, and their physical

33 properties (elasticity, mechanical strength, permeability, elastic wave velocities) are generally anisotropic.

34 Determination of properties that involve working with elevated pore pressures become time-dependent, according

35 to the slow rates of fluid permeation though the microstructure in response to applied effective pressure changes,

36 and the rock itself may display time-dependent deformation (creep) under load. Mineralogically, shales can be

37 highly variable, particularly with respect to the relative proportions of the major mineral components: framework

38 silicates, clays and other phyllosilicates, and carbonates (Lazar et al. 2015; Diaz et al. 2013; Dowey and Taylor

39 2020), and this can be expected to be reflected in the spectrum of petrophysical properties of shales. 40 In contrast to shales, tight gas sandstones (e.g. Zee Ma et al., 2016)) may display similarly low permeabilities 41 and porosities, but lack extreme fissility and typically possess a matrix of coarser-grained framework silicate 42 minerals (quartz and feldspar), with primary pore spaces filled with some detrital micas but also authigenic 43 growths of clay minerals and hydrated oxide phases. Thus their properties tend to form an upper (more permeable 44 and less anisotropic) bound to the range of properties displayed by shales. For this reason, we have included for 45 comparison in this study such a rock type. Here also we present a study of the matrix permeability of two, rather 46 different shales. Permeability and storativity were measured parallel to the layering under hydrostatic loading 47 conditions as a function of total confining pressure and pore pressure of argon gas, and normal to layering at one 48 pore pressure only. Results were fitted to a simple physical model. The spectrum of behaviours observed provides 49 insight into the physical controls on the matrix permeabilities of these rocks.

50

2. Sample materials and characterization

Two shale samples recovered from depth in boreholes were used. The samples are strikingly mineralogically and microstructurally different. They were characterized mineralogically by quantified X-ray diffraction analysis, which was also used to estimate grain density using published mineral densities. All samples were oven dried at 60 °C until constant weight (at least one week), and then maintained at that temperature until use. All experiments were carried out in this oven dried state. Other than with the degree of water saturation in the as-supplied state, it can be very difficult to test shales with varying degrees of controlled or with total water saturation. The sandstone studied was from a surface exposure but was treated in the same way as the shales.

58 2.1 Pennant sandstone.

59 This is a hard, grey marine sandstone (Fig. 1a and b) of upper Carboniferous age (Kelling 2017), that outcrops 60 in south Wales, Great Britain. We have previously reported rock mechanics studies on this rock in Hackston and 61 Rutter (2016) and Rutter and Hackston (2017). All measurements reported were made normal to bedding. Bedding 62 planes are not apparent in hand specimen.

63 Modal proportions (vol% solids): Quartz + Feldspar 73.7; Phyllosilicates 9.8; (estimated uncertainties ± 4%
64 of cited percentages)

67 2.2 Bowland Shale. This is a phyllosilicate-rich, carbonate-poor siliceous mudstone (Fig. 1c), very pyrite-rich,
68 (8.3 wt%), of lower Carboniferous age. It was the target formation for exploitation of shale gas in Northern
69 England.

- 70 Depth 2060.55 m. Provider sample identifier IG 5-8W. Location: west Manchester, UK.
- 71 Modal proportions (vol% solids): Quartz + Pyrite 38.4; Phyllosilicates 61.6; Carbonates 0 (estimated
- 72 uncertainties $\pm 4\%$ of cited percentages)
- 73 Grain density 2842 ± 120 kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714 ± 38 kg m⁻³: Total porosity $4.50 \pm 0.02\%$ from XRD; $4.6\% \pm 100$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714 ± 38 kg m⁻³: Total porosity $4.50 \pm 0.02\%$ from XRD; $4.6\% \pm 100$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714 ± 38 kg m⁻³: Total porosity $4.50 \pm 0.02\%$ from XRD; $4.6\% \pm 100$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714 ± 38 kg m⁻³: Total porosity $4.50 \pm 0.02\%$ from XRD; $4.6\% \pm 100$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714 ± 38 kg m⁻³: Total porosity $4.50 \pm 0.02\%$ from XRD; $4.6\% \pm 100$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714 ± 38 kg m⁻³: Total porosity $4.50 \pm 0.02\%$ from XRD; $4.6\% \pm 100$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714 ± 38 kg m⁻³: Total porosity $4.50 \pm 0.02\%$ from XRD; $4.6\% \pm 100$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714 ± 38 kg m⁻³: Total porosity $4.50 \pm 0.02\%$ from XRD; $4.6\% \pm 100$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714 ± 38 kg m⁻³: Total porosity $4.50 \pm 0.02\%$ from XRD; $4.6\% \pm 100$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714 ± 38 kg m⁻³: Total porosity $4.50 \pm 0.02\%$ from XRD; $4.6\% \pm 100$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714 ± 38 kg m⁻³: Total porosity $4.50 \pm 0.02\%$ from XRD; $4.6\% \pm 100$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714 ± 38 kg m⁻³: Total porosity $4.50 \pm 0.02\%$ from XRD; $4.6\% \pm 0.02\%$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714 ± 38 kg m⁻³: Total porosity $4.50 \pm 0.02\%$ from XRD; $4.6\% \pm 0.02\%$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714 ± 38 kg m⁻³: Total porosity $4.50 \pm 0.02\%$ from XRD; $4.6\% \pm 0.02\%$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density $2714 \pm 0.02\%$ kg m⁻³: Bulk density 2714
- 74 0.1 using a helium porosimeter.
- Total organic carbon 1.14 ± 0.2 wt%; Water loss from drying 0.74 ± 0.15 vol%, hence initial water saturation =
- 76 13%.

77 2.3 Haynesville Shale

- 78 This is a phyllosilicate-poor, carbonate-rich siliceous mudstone (Fig. 1d). Pyrite-poor (0.7 wt%), of upper
- Jurassic age (Hammes et al. 2011), successfully exploited for shale gas in the southern United States.
- Bo Depth 3730.6 m. (Sample identifier). Location: Hewitt Land LLC well, Caspian Field, de Soto parish, Louisiana,
 USA.
- 82 Modal proportions (vol% solids): Quartz + Feldspar + Pyrite 34.5; Phyllosilicates 13.4; Carbonates 52.1;
- 83 (estimated uncertainties $\pm 4\%$ of cited percentages)
- $\label{eq:grain density 2703 \pm 120 kg m^{-3}: Bulk density 2453 \pm 35 kg m^{-3}: Total porosity 9.26 \pm 0.04 \% from XRD, 7.6\% \pm 0.1 m KRD, 7.6\% \pm 0.1 m KRD$
- using a helium porosimeter. Total organic carbon 1.3 ± 0.2 wt%.



- 86
- 87 Figure 1: Microstructures of the rocks tested.

```
88 (a) Back-scattered electron (BSE) image and (b) optical image (PPL) of Pennant sandstone, bedding trace parallel to
```

long side of image, showing large quartz grains (mid-grey in (a)) with sutured contacts caused by pressure solution and
 remaining pore spaces largely filled by iron hydroxide (white in (a)) and authigenic clay minerals (light grey in (a)),

- 91 reducing the overall porosity to 4.6%
- 92 (c) Microstructure (Plane-polarized light (PPL) image of polished thin section) of Bowland shale, finely and
- homogeneously banded with elongate clusters of organic material and pyrite (black) and silt-sized grains of quartz in a
 matrix of elongate clusters of phyllosilicate (clay + detrital micas) grains.
- 95 (d) Microstructure of Haynesville shale. (PPL image of polished thin section, long side of image is parallel to layering).
- 96 Bioturbation destroys continuity of layering. Rock is only weakly banded but nevertheless fissile; bedding-parallel
- 97 cracks can be seen, opened during thin-section preparation. Calcareous fossil fragments and authigenic calcite-filled
- voids, in matrix of finer grained phyllosilicate (clays + detrital micas) and fine silt-sized framework silicates.

99 Defining velocity anisotropy as $2(V_{max} - V_{min})/(V_{max} + V_{min})$, the anisotropies of Bowland and Haynesville shales

are respectively 30.7% and 32.2% at 100 MPa total confining pressure. The velocity anisotropy of Pennant
 sandstone at elevated pressure was not determined. At room pressure it is 15.5% comparing velocity normal to

bedding (slower) with mean velocity parallel to bedding, whilst it is 3.1% for velocities measured in the plane of

103 the bedding. Anisotropies will be less at elevated pressure.

The wt% values for the mineralogical composition of all rock types were converted to vol% using tabulated
 densities from the literature (Mavko et al., 2009; Mondol et al., 2008), and together with averaged mineral elastic
 properties the bulk elastic properties of the rocks estimated were as Voigt-Reuss-Hill (VRH) averages assuming
 zero porosity. These are listed in Table 1.

Some comparisons of behaviour are made with previously published (Mckernan et al., 2017) data on Whitby
 shale. This is a well-foliated, silt-bearing, clay-rich, carbonate-poor mudstone of Liassic age, with 8.1% total
 porosity and 1.5% volume amorphous organic matter.

111

112

- 113 Table 1: Phase fractions, mineral densities and Voigt-averaged bulk and shear moduli K_v and G_v (from literature)
- and calculated zero porosity elastic moduli as Voigt-Reuss-Hill (VRH) averages (GPa) for Bowland and Haynesville
- 115 shales and for Pennant sandstone. Organic fraction not included. Mineral phase Reuss-average elastic moduli can be
- $\label{eq:calculated} calculated from the other values supplied. K_0 = bulk modulus, G_0 = shear modulus, E_0 = Young's modulus (VRH-$

117 averaged whole-rock values assuming isotropy). Modal volume percent is % of the solids.

118

Bowland Shale IG5-8WC								
Phase	Wt%	±Error%	Densit m ⁻³	y kg	Vol%	K۱	/ GPa	Gv GPa
Quartz	30.98	1.42	2648		33.64	12	2.73	14.90
Pyrite	8.32	0.44	5020		4.77	6.	63	5.36
Muscovite 2M	60.44	2.04	2844		61.11	35	5.55	21.61
Kaolinite	0.26	2.60	1580		0.48	.0	072	.0067
Total	100.0				100.0			
Zero porosity moduli (GPa):		VRH(K₀)		VRH(G₀)		VRH(E _o)		
		52.79		40.69		97.13		

119

Haynesville Shale YB	03					
Phase	Wt%	±Error%	Density kg m ⁻³	Vol%	Kv GPa	Gv GPa
Albite	10.49	0.505	2610	11.01	5.59	3.22
Ankerite Fe0.55	4.65	0.36	3050	4.17	4.80	2.46
Calcite	47.22	1.25	2712	47.69	32.94	15.24
Clinochlore IIb-24.11	0.41	2.90	3880	2.26	1.37	
Muscovite 1M	9.97	1.46	2844	9.50	5.27	3.36
Pyrite	1.27	0.10	5020	0.69	.958	.775
Quartz	18.71	0.74	2648	19.35	7.32	8.57
Siderite	0.47	0.07	3960	0.33	.408	.168
Orthoclase	3.20	0.46	2540	3.45	1.61	.815
Total	100			100.1		
Zero porosity moduli (GPa)				VRH(G₀)	VRH(E₀)	VRH(K₀)
				60.57	34.91	87.86

Pennant Sandstone Pe2						
Phase	Wt%	±Error%	Density	Vol%	Kv GPa	Gv GPa
			kg m ⁻³			
Albite	16.14	0.70	2610	16.46	8.20	4.72
Phyllosilicates	10.48	1.5	2840	9.81	6.10	3.71
Quartz	73.37	2.8	2648	73.73	27.77	32.50
Total	99.99			100.0		
Zero porosity mo		VRH(K₀)	VRH(G₀)	VRH(E₀)		
		41.55	40.42	91.57		

122

3. Experimental Methods

124 **3.1** Permeability measurements

125 Permeability measurements were made on cylindrical samples of either 25.4 or 20 mm nominal diameter, cut to 126 lengths of the same order or shorter. The latter is generally necessary for very low permeability rocks, but quite 127 apart from this it was not possible to obtain long cores from slabbed drill cores of the shales. Problems were also 128 encountered during shale specimen preparation owing to the friable nature of these materials. Porous sintered 129 stainless steel (316L) filter plates (17% porosity) were placed at either end of the sample to spread the pore fluid 130 uniformly over the ends of the rock samples. The assembly was jacketed in a heat-shrinkable polymer jacket, so 131 that pore fluid pressures less than the confining pressure could be applied. Confining pressures (hydraulic oil, a 132 synthetic ester, di-octyl sebacate, trade name Reolube DOS®) ranging up to a little over 100 MPa were used. This 133 fluid has the advantage of a relatively small rate of change of viscosity with pressure (see Rutter and 134 Mecklenburgh 2017 and 2018 for further details). In all experiments argon gas was used as the pore fluid, at 135 pressures ranging up to 80 MPa. The higher viscosity of a liquid pore fluid would have led to very long experimental durations. The confining and pore pressures ranges cover the full extent of likely pressures to be 136 137 encountered in engineering operations to depths of ca 4 km. 138 Although it was intended that experiments would be carried out under hydrostatic confinement conditions, the 139 presence of a contrast in elastic properties of the specimen against the porous end plates and the steel loading

- presence of a contrast in clastic properties of the specificity against the porous cital plates and the steer roading
- 140 pistons induces a shear stress along these interfaces. This in turn causes the stress state in the specimen to deviate
- 141 from hydrostatic and to reduce the average mean stress. Deviations from hydrostatic loading are most severe when
- 142 the length of the specimen becomes less than twice the diameter. For this reason, mechanical testing of rocks is
- usually carried out on specimens with a length:diameter ratio of 2.5:1 or more. Finite element analysis (FEA) of
- the stress state in rocks confined between steel end plates were carried out to assess the expected departures from
- hydrostatic loading, and the effects predicted must be borne in mind when interpreting the permeability data.

146 Table 2: Elastic constants of the components in the finite element models.

	Young's Modulus E GPa	Poisson's Ratio
Sample	60	0.250
Piston	190	0.265
Spacer (17% Porosity)	108.6	0.260

147



Figure 2: Results of finite element analyses showing stress profiles of mean stress, axial normal stress and radial normal stress along the axes of samples respectively of length:diameter ratios (a) 2.5:1 and (b) 1:1, each with a diameter of 25.4 mm. At the top of each figure is a scaled schematic of the assembly; notice the aspect ratio of the sample in each case. Externally applied hydrostatic stress was 200 MPa. For the longer sample the stress state in the greater part of the sample was near homogeneous, but for the shorter one a differential stress on the order of 7% of the applied hydrostatic stress was induced.

156 Figure 2 presents the results of finite element analyses showing stress profiles along the axes of samples 157 respectively of length: diameter ratios (a) 2.5:1 and (b) 1:1, with a hydrostatic pressure of 200 MPa applied to the 158 outer cylindrical surfaces. At each end of the sample a 3 mm thick, porous sintered steel disk was placed. 159 Positions of boundaries between the solid steel pistons, the porous disks and the sample material are indicated. In 160 both cases the sample diameter was 25.0 mm. Along-axis stress component variations were more varied than 161 across the radius. Most of the stress heterogeneity (departure from the applied 200 MPa hydrostatic pressure) 162 resides in and immediately adjacent to these disks, and for each stress component is of similar magnitude for both 163 specimen lengths. Within the greater part of the sample volume in each case the axial normal stress is higher than 164 the radial normal stress, and these components are similar to the principal stress values. For the longer sample, the 165 stress state is near hydrostatic over 0.8 of the specimen length, but in the case of the shorter sample the stress 166 components are notably non-hydrostatic over most of the specimen length, with maximum differential stress 167 reaching 15 MPa (7% of the applied hydrostatic stress) in the central part of the sample.

168 A small number of permeability measurements were made using the pulse-transient-decay method of Brace et al.

169 (1968), as modified by Cui et al. (2009). We have previously shown (Mckernan et al. 2017) that this method

170 produces data in excellent agreement with the oscillating pore pressure method, which was used for almost all of

the experimental results reported here (Kranz et al., 1990; Fischer and Paterson, 1992; Faulkner and Rutter, 2000;

172 Bernabé et al., 2006; Mckernan et al., 2017). Whilst keeping the confining pressure constant and after

173 establishing a constant pore pressure in the sample, a sinusoidal oscillation of pore pressure, of known period and

- 174 of amplitude about 1 MPa, was applied at one end of the sample (upstream). As the pressure wave propagated
- through the sample it became phase-shifted and lost amplitude. The amplitude ratio (gain) and phase shift angle
- 176 were measured. The solution to the transport equation for these measured parameters is given by Bernabé et al.,

177 (2006) in terms of two dimensionless numbers, η and ξ , from which permeability and sample storativity can be 178 calculated using

188
$$\xi = \frac{SL\beta}{\beta_D}, \quad \eta = \frac{STk}{\pi L\mu\beta_D} \tag{1}$$

179 Here, S is cross-sectional area of the sample (normal to flow path), L is specimen length, β_D is downstream 180 volume storativity and β is specimen storativity, T is the period of the pore pressure oscillation, k is specimen 181 permeability, and μ is viscosity of the pore fluid. Argon gas viscosity as a function of pressure data was reported 182 by Michels et al., (1954). Storativity is the product of the volume of the space occupied by the fluid with the pore 183 fluid (isothermal) gas compressibility. Argon compressibility is non-linear over the pore pressure range used (Gosman et al., 1969) and substantially non-ideal above about 20 MPa. $\xi \approx \phi V_s / V_d$ where ϕ is specimen effective 184 185 porosity, V_s is total specimen volume and V_d is downstream reservoir volume. It cannot be assumed that effective 186 (conductive) porosity estimated from permeability measurements will necessarily be equal to total porosity 187 measured independently.

189 The apparatus used was the same as used for experiments reported by Rutter and Mecklenburgh (2017; 2018).

190 Pressure transducers with a resolution of 0.02 MPa were used for pore pressure measurements, and confining

191 pressure was measured to an accuracy better than 0.3 MPa. The minimum pore pressure used was 10.0 MPa. This

is sufficiently high to avoid exsorption of gas from mineral surfaces and to avoid slip flow of gas through pore

spaces (Knudsen/Klinkenberg effect, Mckernan et al. 2017). It was determined that the experimental assembly

shows no detectable gas flow when a rock sample is replaced by an impermeable steel plug.

3.2 Error, uncertainty and reproducibility

- 196 Accuracy of reported permeability depends on uncertainties of the parameters in Eq. (1). η and ξ can be 197 measured to within about 2% of the true value, and *S*, *T L* and μ to within 1%. The least certainly known 198 parameter is the downstream volume, which is determined as the difference between the total volume of the pore 199 pressure pipework measured with and without the downstream pipework connected, each measured by the pore
- 200 pressure change produced by a known volumometer piston displacement. The downstream reservoir volume V_d
- 201 was measured to be $445 \pm 30 \text{ mm}^3$, including the volume of the downstream porous steel filter. These
- uncertainties translate to an accuracy of log_{10} permeability of ± 0.1 log units. This is small, given that permeability
- varies with pressure by 1 to 3 orders of magnitude.
- The largest apparent uncertainties in reported permeability data arise from hysteretic changes in the behaviour of the rock itself as effective pressure is cycled and will be discussed when the data are presented.

206 3.3 Bulk modulus measurements

- 207 Bulk modulus measurements as a function of confining and pore pressures were made as far as possible on
- 208 physically the same samples that were used for the permeability measurements, to avoid any influence of
- 209 mineralogical or microstructural differences. Measurements were made over a range of total confining pressures
- 210 up to 200 MPa, after the permeability measurements were made, with constant pore pressures of argon gas,
- typically at nominally 10, 35, 67 and 100 MPa. The method involved measuring volume of pore fluid (argon gas)
- 212 progressively expelled as the total confining pressure was increased at constant pore pressure. This measures the

compressibility of the pore spaces. P-wave acoustic velocity measurements were made at the same time, althoughthese data are not reported here.

Unlike for permeability measurements, porous steel plates were not used at the ends of the specimens for pore fluid displacement measurements. For the relatively porous and permeable Haynesville shale and Pennant sandstone, a short hole, normally 15 mm long and 1.5 mm diameter, was drilled into the end of the specimen facing the pore pressure inlet pipe, to facilitate flow of gas into and out of the specimen. This was thought to be unlikely to be adequate for the lower porosity and permeability Bowland shale, therefore samples were cut in half parallel to the long axis so that a 2 mm thick, porous steel plate could be inserted, to facilitate gas flow over a wide

surface area of the rock, yet without affecting the P-wave velocity along the length of the specimen.

When considering the results, the procedure for pressure application is of importance. For the tests with pore pressure, the application of a confining pressure slightly greater than the eventual pore pressure was made, followed by application of the pore pressure. Then the total confining pressure was increased stepwise away from the constant pore pressure. Thus tests at high pore pressure have been exposed to much higher effective pressures before application of pore pressure, than when the test pore pressure is to be low.

227 When pore pressure was made non-zero, constant pore pressure was maintained using a servo-controlled pore 228 volumometer. Each applied increment of the confining pressure caused a small elastic contraction of the pore 229 volume that attempts to raise the pore pressure. The servo-controller backs off the moveable piston in the pore 230 volumometer in order to keep the pore pressure constant. The distance swept by the volumometer piston at 231 constant pore pressure allows the volume of gas expelled to be measured to a resolution of 0.4 mm³. In this way the history of pore volume change at constant pore pressure during progressive loading by the confining pressure 232 can be determined. The compressibility of the pore space C_{pc} is given by the fractional change in pore volume V_p 233 234 in response to a change in confining pressure P_c at constant pore pressure P_p (Zimmerman, 1991), and is the 235 reciprocal of the dry pore space bulk modulus K_{ϕ} :

239
$$C_{pc} = \frac{1}{K_{\phi}} = \frac{1}{V_p} \left(\frac{\partial V_p}{\partial P_c} \right)_{Pp}$$
(2)

Note $V_p = \phi V_b$, where V_b is the total sample volume. K_{dry} is the bulk modulus of the porous aggregate. Its reciprocal, compressibility C_{bc} , the bulk volume change in response to a change in confining pressure at constant pore pressure, is defined by

240
$$C_{bc} = \frac{1}{K_{dry}} = \frac{1}{V_b} \left(\frac{\partial V_b}{\partial P_c}\right)_{Pp}$$
(3)

where V_b is the bulk volume, including the pore space. The zero-porosity bulk modulus of the constituent mineral aggregate is defined as K_o (Table 1), then the dry bulk modulus K_{dry} (= K_{bc}) is given (Mavko et al., 2009) by

243
$$\frac{1}{K_{dry}} = \frac{1}{K_o} + \frac{\phi}{K_{\phi}}$$
(4)

244 Decrease in permeability with increasing Terzaghi effective pressure $(P_c - P_p)$ (Terzaghi, 1923) is primarily due to 245 the pressure dependence of K_{dry} , leading to progressive closure of pore space. Thus the independent determination of 246 K_{dry} from pore volumometry measurements provides a basis for the interpretation of the pressure sensitivity of 247 permeability. 248 Note that we have no means of measuring directly the influence of pore pressure change on bulk deformation of 249 the sample, characterized by the compressibility C_{bp} , or

250
$$C_{bp} = \frac{1}{K_{bp}} = \frac{1}{V_b} \left(\frac{\partial V_b}{\partial P_p}\right)_{P_c}$$
(5)

251 This would require strain gauges or equivalent to be mounted on the outer surface of the rock sample (e.g.

Hasanov et al., 2019, 2020). However, it can be obtained from

254
$$\frac{1}{K_{bp}} = \frac{1}{K_{bc}} - \frac{1}{K_{o}}$$
(6)

253 (Mavko et al., 2009).

Biot and Willis (1957), Skempton (1960) and Nur and Byerlee (1971) obtained a theoretical expression for the

effective pressure coefficient (Biot coefficient) *m* for elastic *deformations* (including deformations of pore spaces)

of a mechanically linear, homogeneous and isotropic rock, so that effective pressure $P_{eff} = (P_c - mP_p)$, and

$$m = 1 - \frac{K_{dry}}{K_o} \tag{7}$$

Note that this effective pressure coefficient is not necessarily the same as that describing empirically the influence
of pore pressure on permeability (called *n* in this paper), nor on elastic wave velocities nor the failure

261 characteristics of rocks (whether frictional sliding or intact rock failure).

262 *m* is also given by

265

263
$$m = \frac{K_o}{K_{bp} + K_o} = \frac{K_o - K_{bc}}{K_o} = 1 - \frac{K_{\phi}}{K_{\phi} + K_0 \phi}$$
(8)

264 Sample storativity is related to these stiffness parameters by

$$\beta = \frac{1}{K_{bp}} + \phi \left(\frac{1}{K_f} - \frac{1}{K_o} \right) \tag{9}$$

where K_f is pore fluid bulk modulus (Hasanov et al., 2019).

267 In all calculations we assume K_o is negligibly sensitive to effective pressure, compared to porous rock stiffnesses

268 such as K_{dry} , following data for K_o for minerals such as quartz via ultrasonic measurements (e.g. Calderón et al.,

269 2007, who give $K_o = 37.5$ (GPa) + 4.7*P(GPa)). Similar pressure coefficients are reported for a wide range of other

270 silicate minerals (Anderson, 2007) and for phyllosilicates (Zanazzi and Pavese, 2002).

271 4. Experimental results

A full tabulation of experimental results is given in the supplementary data file DF1.

273 4.1 Permeability results

274 Experimental conditions and results are presented graphically in Figs. 3 through 8. The first pressure cycle

applied to most rocks results in higher permeabilities and a relatively rapid rate of decrease of permeability with

276 pressure, as inelastic cracks become progressively and permanently closed. Subsequent pressure cycles up to the

- 277 maximum pressure previously attained are more nearly elastic and reproducible, although there can be a small
- tendency to reduce permeability slightly with subsequent pressure cycles. The first stage in a suite of permeability

measurements covering a wide range of confining and pore pressures therefore must be to take the sample to the
maximum effective pressure to which it is to be exposed, to ensure closure of these inelastic cracks and pores up
to that pressure.

282 4.1.1 Form of data and reproducibility

In the regime of elastic behaviour permeability (as $\log k$) is not usually linear, neither on a k vs P_c plot nor even on a $\log k$ vs P_c plot but is concave upwards (Fig. 3). The decrease of permeability with effective pressure is due to elastic closure of conductive cracks and pores, and this is expected to become more difficult as the porous material stiffens at higher pressure. Thus although it is common, and useful for the purpose of modelling reservoir behaviour (e.g. Kwon et al., 2001; Bustin et al., 2008; Cui et al., 2009; Heller et al., 2014; Mckernan et al., 2017) to describe quantitatively the relationship between $\log_{10} k$ and P_c by making a least-squares linear fit to the data, a better description would take into account the curvature.

290 In order to estimate the reproducibility of the permeability data, a determination of the standard error was made

about a polynomial fit to the 10 MPa pore pressure data (after the first pressure cycle) for each rock type. For

Bowland shale it is $\pm 0.22 \log_{10} k$ units, for Haynesville shale it is $\pm 0.19 \log_{10} k$ units and for Pennant sandstone it is

293 $\pm 0.10 \log_{10} k$ units.



294 4.1.2 Influence of confining (P_c) and pore pressures (P_p) on permeability

307Figure 3: Matrix permeability of Pennant sandstone for flow normal to bedding, and for Bowland and Haynesville308shales for flow parallel to layering, as a function of effective pressure $(P_c - nP_p)$ over a wide range of pore pressures of309argon gas. Data of Mckernan et al. (2017) for Whitby shale sample RA6 at a constant argon gas pore pressure of 25310MPa are also shown for comparison. In each case data from the first pressure cycle up to the maximum effective311pressure attained has been excluded. All rocks show permeability decreasing more slowly with effective pressure at312higher effective pressures. Error bars are shown as estimated for the 10 MPa pore pressure data.

- Figure 3 shows the influence of effective pressure on matrix permeability over a range of pore pressures, for
- Haynesville and Bowland shales for flow parallel to layering and for Pennant sandstone normal to bedding after
- 315 the first pressure cycle. They are expressed as $\log_{10} k$ versus effective pressure $(P_c nP_p)$, where n is the

- 316 empirical pore pressure parameter describing the influence of pore pressure on permeability. Fit parameters,
- 317 including *n*, were obtained by non-linear least squares fitting using Microsoft Excel[®], from which n = 0.86 for
- **318** Pennant sandstone and is 0.99 for Haynesville shale. For the Bowland shale the data showed that permeability
- varied over almost four orders of magnitude, much greater than for the other two rock types, and as a result it
- 320 was evident that parameter *n* tended to increase with the value of Terzaghi effective pressure $(P_c P_p)$, varying
- 321 from unity at low pressures to 1.6 at high effective pressures. The least squares best-fit curve to each of these
- data sets is shown in Fig. 3. For all three rocks the form of the behaviour is similar, each showing a decreasing
- 323 slope at higher effective pressures, as would be expected from pressure-induced constriction of pore spaces. The
- 324 permeability of Pennant sandstone showed the least sensitivity to effective pressure variations, whilst the
- **325** Bowland shale displays a far greater sensitivity of permeability to effective pressure. The Haynesville shale takes
- an intermediate position that is closely comparable to the data for Whitby shale (sample RA6 taken from the data
- reported by Mckernan et al., 2017 for pressure cycles 2, 3. 4 and 5).
- 328 Whilst these rocks display relatively small differences in permeability at low effective pressures, increase in
- 329 pressure results in markedly divergent trends, resulting in large differences in permeability developing over the
- range of effective pressures expected to encountered under reservoir conditions. This observation emphasises the
- importance of understanding the pressure sensitivity of shales that are to be exploited for engineering purposes.
- **332 4.1.3 Influence of flow direction at constant pore pressure.**



Figure 4: Comparison of data at 10 MPa pore pressure for flow parallel and normal to layering in the two shales.
Parallel flow data are shown without the first pressure cycle, during which some pores become permanently closed.
Normal-to-layering flow data are shown including the first pressure cycle. For Bowland shale, flow normal to layering
is slower, but for Haynesville shale there is little effect, except that pressure sensitivity is less for flow normal to
layering.

Flow normal to layering in shales is often much slower than flow parallel to layering, but not always. Layernormal flow was therefore measured for these rocks using shorter samples than for flow along the layering, and only at 10 MPa argon pore pressure (Fig. 4). However, for Haynesville shale the direction of flow makes little difference, except that pressure sensitivity is reduced for layer-normal flow, as would be expected if flow parallel to the layering is dominated by low aspect ratio, crack-like pores that are relatively compressible. The different pressure sensitivities of permeability mean that (after the first pressure cycle) flow along the layering becomes faster at low effective pressures, but slower at higher effective pressures. Bowland shale shows a small reduction

in permeability for flow normal to layering relative to parallel to layering (post the first pressure cycle), and there

is also some indication of a reduced pressure sensitivity, although the dataset is small.

356 4.1.4 Storativity of the rocks

357 Oscillating pore pressure permeametry yields a dimensionless permeability parameter η and a dimensionless 358 storativity parameter ξ (Eq. (1)), which is the ratio of the accessible pore volume in the rock to the downstream 359 reservoir volume. A plot of experimentally measured log gain vs signal phase shift angle lies along a line of constant ξ 360 if the sample storativity is constant (Fig. 5). Thus the effective (conductive) porosity of the sample during the course

- of the experiment can be calculated. The conductive porosity of many rocks is smaller than the total porosity.
- 362 The total porosity also corresponds to a particular value of ξ . If all of the porosity were to be involved in the flow,
- 363 these ξ values will be equal. Note that a value of $\xi = 1$ corresponds to the downstream volume of the apparatus being
- equal to the pore volume of the rock sample. A storativity can also be calculated from data from elastic pore

365 compressibility measurements. Hasanov et al. (2019) calculated storativity in these two ways.

Figure 5a shows log gain vs phase angle data for Haynesville shale for flows both parallel and normal to layering.

367 Figure 5b shows corresponding data for Bowland shale and Pennant sandstone, but insufficient data was obtained for

368 Bowland shale normal to layering, given its much lower permeability. For flow along the layering, both of the shale

- types show $\xi < 0.1$, corresponding to the conductive porosity being much smaller (< 1%) than the total porosity of the
- 370 rocks (respectively 4.5% and 9.3%). Thus whilst the bulk of the pore space can contribute to gas storage, only a very

371 small fraction of well-connected porosity contributes to gas flow along the layering in the shales.

- The log gain vs phase angle data was non-linear least-squares fitted to obtain an average value for ξ for each rock type, subject to the constraint that ξ is constant. For Haynesville shale for flow across the layering ξ lies along the trend $\xi = 0.39$, corresponding to a conductive porosity of ~6.0%. Thus flow across the layering 'sees' more of the total porosity than flow along the layering, though still substantially less than the amount of total porosity. Whitby shale (Mckernan et al., 2017) displays the same effect. In marked contrast, for the Pennant sandstone $\xi = 2.72$. This is close to the value of $\xi = 2.67$ corresponding to the total porosity (4.6%) of the rock, implying a high degree of
- 378 connectivity between the pore spaces in Pennant sandstone.







381 Figure 5: Log gain vs phase angle data from oscillating pore pressure me

382	(a) Haynesville shale. $\xi = 1.9$ would correspond to total porosity 9.3% for flow in the sample parallel to layering if
383	all porosity participates in the flow. Observed $\xi = 0.39$ normal to layering is much greater than parallel to
384	layering $\xi = 0.074$, but both are substantially less than that corresponding to total porosity. Flow parallel to
385	layering only 'sees' or 'uses' about 4% of the total pore space, and normal to layering about 42% of the total pore
386	space.

387(b) Bowland shale. $\xi = 0.51$ would correspond to total porosity 9.3% for flow in the sample parallel to layering if all388porosity participates in the flow. Observed $\xi = 0.093$ for flow parallel to the layering corresponds to a conductive389porosity (0.82%) much less than total porosity. In contrast, data for Pennant sandstone show observed $\xi = 1.58$ 390to be closer to that $\xi = 2.67$ which corresponds to the total porosity of the rock.

391 4.1.5 Bulk moduli of compressibility for Pennant sandstone

- 392 Bulk modulus of porosity K_{ϕ} (defined in Eq. (2)) and its effective pressure sensitivity can be measured from the
- 393 volume of argon expelled from the rock during increments of confining pressure at constant pore pressure, and
- 394 K_{dry} can be calculated using Eq. (4) (Fig. 6a). K_o is the mineral bulk compressibility estimated as the VRH
- average at zero porosity (given for these rocks in Table 1).
- 396 K_{ϕ}/ϕ is the value of the pore bulk modulus referred to the total volume of the rock, rather than to the pore space
- 397 volume. K_{ϕ}/ϕ and K_{dry} versus Terzaghi effective confining pressure are shown in Fig. 6 for Pennant Sandstone.
- **398** K_{dry} is asymptotic to K_o (41.5 GPa) at high pressure.

- 400 and defined in $P_{eff} = P_c m P_p$ is given in terms of the bulk moduli K_{dry} and K_o in Eq. (7). In Fig. 7 the resultant m
- 401 versus effective pressure curves are shown for both Pennant sandstone and Haynesville shale. Bulk moduli are
- 402 isotropic properties with values unaffected even when the aggregate displays preferred orientation (shape and
- 403 crystallographic) of constituent grains (Andrews, 1978; Mendelson, 1981).





405 Figure 6:

- 406 (a) Volumetric strain (with respect to whole sample volume) for Pennant sandstone at four different constant gas
 407 pore pressures. There is no significant effect of magnitude of pore pressure. About 20% of the total pore
 408 volume is elastically reduced over a range of 200 MPa effective pressure.
- 409(b) Pore bulk modulus K_{ϕ}/ϕ from gas expulsion data in (a) for Pennant sandstone, and whole rock bulk modulus410calculated from K_{ϕ}/ϕ and K_0 (41.5 GPa). Pore spaces become rapidly less compliant as effective pressure411increases.



414m from bulk modulus data and Eq. (7) for (a) Pennant sandstone and (b) Haynesville shale. The decrease of m with415 P_{eff} arises from the stiffening of the pore spaces with effective pressure, and the effect is greater for the shale than416for the sandstone.

417 At low pressure K_{dry} is much less than K_o , hence *m* approaches 1. As K_{dry} increases with pressure it approaches 418 K_o , hence *m* decreases with pressure, and will eventually reach zero when all pore space has collapsed. Any small 419 increase of K_o with pressure has been ignored (e.g. Calderón et al., 2007). The variation of *m* with pressure forms 420 the basis for describing the decrease in permeability observed as effective pressure increases.

421 4.1.6 Bulk moduli of compressibility for Haynesville shale

- 422Pore volumometry by the expelled gas volume method during progressive increase in confining pressure was423carried out on the two shale samples used (Fig 8). The resolution of the pore volume change data is poor because424the specimen size was rather small (1.9 cm long). The rapid increase in slope translates to a rapid rise of calculated425 K_{dry} compared to Pennant sandstone, until it is a substantial fraction of K_o (61 GPa). However, the total amount of426gas expelled corresponds to a closure of about 2% of the initial porosity (0.15% of the whole sample volume).427Figure 7b shows pore pressure coefficient *m* calculated from the pore volumometry. *m* decreases rapidly because428the K_{dry} value rises rapidly to become a substantial fraction of K_o . It is not clear why the measurements at two
- different pore pressures are so different, but it is thought to be attributable to different degrees of gas trapping in
- 430 poorly connected pore spaces.



442 Figure 8:

447

443	(a)	Pore volumetric strain (as fraction of total specimen volume) vs Terzaghi effective pressure for
444		Haynesville shale at the pore pressures indicated. Pore volume loss is approx. only 2% of the initial pore
445		volume of the rock. Logarithmic fits to two of the data sets are shown.
446	(b)	The gradients of the fitted lines in (a) correspond to the pore compressibility, and were used to obtain K_{dry}

(b) The gradients of the fitted lines in (a) correspond to the pore compressibility, and were used to obtain K_{dry} vs P_{eff} , as shown in (b) for the two pore pressures used. $K_o = 61$ GPa.



449 **Figure 9:**

450 (a) Pore volumometry of Bowland shale at 34.5, 48 and 69 MPa gas pore pressure. There is no significant difference at

451 the three pore pressures, so that a single polynomial function can be fitted to all the data. The slope of the curves 452 corresponds to the pore compressibility, which decreases markedly with increasing effective pressure.

453 (b) Shows calculated K_{dry} bulk modulus of the sample (pore pressure = 69 MPa) from pore volumometry measurements 454 (inverted triangle symbols). K_0 = 52.8 MPa. Also plotted is the m value from pore volumometry (square symbols) for 455 Bowland shale at 69 MPa pore pressure.

- 456 A large specimen (25 mm diameter and 50 mm long) was used for these measurements on Bowland shale, cored457 parallel to the layering. Because this is a low permeability rock, a 2 mm thick longitudinal slab of porous sintered
- 458 stainless steel was deployed as described earlier, to facilitate gas flow between the rock pores and the pore
- 459 pressure system. During pressure cycling it was necessary to correct data for the storativity of this plate. Figure 9
- 460 shows pore volumometry at 34.5, 48.1 and 69 MPa MPa argon gas pore pressure and K_{dry} data for Bowland shale.
- 461 Measurements were very reproducible and, unlike the Haynesville shale sample, there was no significant effect of
- the magnitude of the pore pressure used. The amount of gas expelled during an effective confining pressure cycle
- 463 of 150 MPa corresponds to closure of ~8.4% of the initial (4.5% porosity) pore space, or about 0.04% of the total
- 464 rock volume. As also observed for Haynesville shale, this represents a very small fraction of the total porosity.
- The poroelastic coefficient *m* calculated from the volumometry data is shown in Fig. 9b. Like the Haynesville shale, the poroelastic coefficient obtained from pore volumometry decreases substantially with Terzaghi effective pressure but does so at a similar rate to the Haynesville shale.
- 468

469 5. Discussion

470 5.1 Generation of pore pressure during undrained loading

471 If drainage channelways become constricted during application of increments of $P_{\rm c}$ whilst $P_{\rm p}$ is also high, the 472 rock might become effectively undrained and hence pore pressure increments can arise. The magnitude of an 473 induced pore pressure under undrained conditions can be estimated from the Skempton parameter B, where

474
$$dP_p(\text{induced}) = BdP_c = \frac{C_{PP} + C_0}{C_{PP} + C_f} dP_c$$
(10)

475 B is the Skempton B parameter of soil mechanics (Lockner and Stanchits, 2002). C_{pp} is the compressibility of the 476 pore space arising from a change in pore pressure, and is usually much less than the compressibility of the pore 477 fluid C_f . Thus B will lie between 0 and 1.0. Because usually $C_{pp} >> C_o$ (where $C_o = 1/K_o$),

478
$$B \approx \frac{C_{PP}}{C_{PP} + C_f} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{C_f}{C_{PP}}}$$
(11)

479 For a gas saturated rock $C_f > C_{pp}$, hence $B \rightarrow 0$, and a gas-saturated rock will therefore never develop appreciable 480 pore pressures, especially at high porosities and from low initial gas pressures even when undrained, hence was 481 not considered to be an issue in the present experiments.

482 For a liquid-saturated rock however, this will not be true. B will approach 1 when $C_{pp} >> C_f$. For liquid-483 saturated porous sandstones under hydrostatic loading, Green and Wang (1986) found that under undrained 484 conditions, induced pore pressures were close to the applied confining pressures over a range of 60 MPa confining 485 pressure, thus the mean externally applied stress is almost totally transferred to the pore fluid via the 486 compressibility of the pore spaces.

487 The time constant for the dissipation of excess pore pressure in a region of characteristic dimension L in a 488 material of permeability k is on the order of

489
$$t = \frac{\phi \mu (C_f + C_{PP}) L^2}{k}$$
(12)

- 490 t is the time required for pressure to decay by factor 1/e at distance L. The ratio $k / \phi \mu (C_f + C_{pp})$ is the hydraulic 491 diffusivity κ (dimensions m²s⁻¹)(Zimmerman, 1991). For water, viscosity μ is 0.001 Pa s. Taking the bulk 492 modulus K_f (= 1/fluid compressibility, C_f) to be 2 GPa, and the permeability to be 10^{-18.5} m² for Haynesville shale 493 at about 5 MPa effective pressure (this is the highest permeability measured, which would apply after an excess fluid pressure had been generated by compaction), $\kappa \sim 6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$. This leads to $t \sim 60$ s for L = 2 cm. This 494 495 assumes water and gas permeabilities are the same at the same pressure conditions, but permeability to water may 496 be about one order of magnitude lower (Faulkner and Rutter, 2001) in foliated clay-bearing rocks. Time t is 497 shorter by a factor 1/30 when the pore fluid is gas owing to its lower viscosity (Gosman et al., 1969). This
- 498
- equation is for constant k, but when k is a strong function of P_{eff} , decreasing perhaps 300-fold at high effective
- 499 pressures, up to 5 minutes may be required for small pore pressure transients to decay.

500 5.2 Simple model for pressure-dependence of permeability

501 The simplest approach to describing the influence of pore space geometry and connectivity on permeability is to

- 502 regard the pores as a bundle of circular capillary tubes, so that the equation for viscous Poiseuille flow can be
- 503 applied and permeability calculated as a function of capillary tube radius. The circular capillary tube is a special
- 504 case of flow through tubes of elliptical cross section. In this case the flow rate then becomes acutely sensitive to

- the short radial dimension of the tube, and the more eccentric the tube cross-section the greater will be the
- sensitivity of its shape to externally applied effective pressure (Seeburger and Nur, 1984). Ma et al. (2018) imaged
- 507 connected pores spaces in shales, including Haynesville shale, as thin, crack-like shapes lying parallel to bedding
- and of nanometric widths. Such pores in shales are not identical to straight capillary tubes of elliptical cross
- section, but we can explore the extent to which the pressure sensitivity of observed permeability can be modelled
- as such (Mckernan et al., 2017).

511 For a single tube cross-section of long axis 2c and short axis 2b the volume flow rate q of a fluid of viscosity μ 512 along a hydraulic pressure gradient dP_p/dx is well known to be

513
$$q = \frac{\pi}{4\mu} \left(\frac{b^3 c^3}{b^2 + c^2} \right) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}P_p}{\mathrm{d}x} \right) \tag{13}$$

and for *N* parallel tubes embedded in an elastic matrix of volume *V* and intersecting a 1 m² area normal to their length the total flux Q = Nq. Separating out the viscosity and pressure gradient, the permeability k_o of the array is $k_o = (N \pi / 4) (b^3 c^3 / (b^2 + c^2))$. Dimension *c* does not change with externally applied pressure for the elliptical crack, whereas for the tapered crack it does, such as to keep the aspect ratio approximately constant (Mavko and Nur, 1978), and Seeburger and Nur (1984) found that there is little difference in the effect of hydrostatic pressure on flow rate when the tube cross section is elliptical or tapered. In terms of aspect ratio of an assumed elliptical cross section $\alpha = b/c$, thus

$$k = \frac{N\pi}{4}c^4 \left(\frac{\alpha^3}{1+\alpha^2}\right) \tag{14}$$

522 The porosity $\phi = N\pi b c$. Parameters α , c and N that satisfy Eq. (4) are non-unique. N can be increased whilst pore 523 aperture is decreased, keeping k unchanged. A further constraint is therefore required, and this is provided by the 524 porosity ϕ , which is already known as a property of the material. Porosity is given by $\phi = N c^2 \alpha \pi$. Thus Eq. (14) 525 becomes

526
$$k = \frac{\phi c^2}{4} \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{1 + \alpha^2} \right)$$
(15)

527 Applying a hydrostatic pressure *P* to a solid bearing elliptical cracks reduces the *b* dimensions of all pore spaces, 528 and hence reduces the hydraulic transmissivity. The spatial density of the ellipses is assumed to be sufficiently 529 small that the elastic strain fields of each do not interact significantly. From Seeburger and Nur (1984), following 530 Walsh (1965) and Mavko and Nur (1978) the bulk modulus K_{dry} of a solid of volume *V* containing *N* tubular 531 cracks of elliptical cross section and semi-major axis *c* is given by

532
$$\frac{1}{K_{dry}} = \frac{1}{K_0} + \frac{1}{K_0} \left[2Nc^2 d \; \frac{1-v^2}{1-2v} \right]$$

533 Thus

521

534
$$\frac{K_0}{K_{dry}} - 1 = 2Nc^2 d \ \frac{1 - v^2}{1 - 2v}$$
(16)

535 *d* is the elliptical section tube length in the third dimension (= $V^{(1/3)}$).

536 Taking $m = (1 - K_{dry}/K_o)$, the left hand side is m/(1 - m), and the expression can be rearranged with c^2 on the 537 left side:

538
$$c^{2} = \left(\frac{m}{1-m}\right) \left(\frac{1-2\nu}{1-\nu^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{2Nd}$$
(17)

539 This can replace c^2 in Eq. (15), to give :

540
$$k = \left(\frac{\phi}{8Nd}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}\right) \left(\frac{m}{1-m}\right) \left(\frac{1-2\nu}{1-\nu^2}\right)$$
(18)

541 m is measured by pore volumometry as a function of Terzaghi effective pressure hence k is a function of effective 542 pressure. For $b \ll c$ it is primarily the reduction of the b dimension with increasing pressure that reduces 543 permeability. However, Mavko and Nur (1978) and Seeburger and Nur (1984) showed that the bulk modulus of a 544 porous solid of given porosity is not affected by the shape (eccentricity) of the pores. All pores change volume by 545 the same fractional amount. Only the distortion under pressure of the more eccentric ones is likely to affect the 546 permeability, although all pores will affect the storativity, according to how well connected they are. The 547 'connected' porosity estimated from the log gain versus phase shift plot, that is much smaller than the total 548 porosity, is used in Eq. (18). Its small value implies that most of the porosity is not being inflated during the 549 passage of the pore pressure wave, hence during the time-scale of the pressure oscillation the greater part of the 550 porosity is closed off by the action of the effective pressure.

- 551 Eq. (18) can be fitted to the permeability data $\log k = f(P_{eff})$ measured for rock types studied using the non-linear
- 552 least-squares fitting routine Solver in MS Excel®, to estimate the parameters N, v and α . Via the inferred
- 553 effective porosity the conductive pore width can also be estimated. The results of the fitting exercise provide the
- 554 parameters for a bundle of capillary tubes that *behaves in the same way* as the measured rocks. This is not to say
- that the geometric arrangement of a simple capillary tube bundle corresponds to the pore space configurations in
- 555
- 556 these rocks, nor that a solution can be found for all rocks. The pressure sensitivity lies in the function that
- 557 describes m as a function of pressure, obtained from pore volumometry, and incorporating the effective pressure
- 558 coefficient *n*. Figure 10 shows the fit to the data for the Pennant sandstone; fit parameters are in Table 3.



Figure 10: Gas permeability data for Pennant sandstone normal to layering, for three constant pore pressures. The three continuous curves are for Eq. (18) non-linear least-squares fits to the data.

- 570 Unlike the relatively homogeneous distribution of pore channels in the shales down to the micron scale, in the 571 Pennant sandstone the greater part of the rock volume is not porous, as it comprises large quartz and feldspar 572 grains. The 4.6% porosity is contained mostly in the spaces originally between these grains that are now largely 573 filled with phyllosilicate and oxide phases, i.e. about 26% of the total rock volume, and is microstructurally in 574 some ways comparable to a shale. Therefore in Table 3 the estimated conductive channel dimensions are based on
- 575 flow through this reduced volume fraction.
- Figure 11 shows the fits to the permeability data for Haynesville shale. The cross-section shape of the elliptical
 tubes is extremely eccentric and the shorter width of the tubes is measured in nanometres. This is consistent with
 the observations of the dimensions of connected bedding-parallel porosity in the high-resolution tomography (CT)
 observations of Ma et al. (2018) for Haynesville shale from the same core section as sampled here.







Figure 12: Permeability of Bowland Shale versus total confining pressure for various values of constant gas pore pressure. The curve and data shown for Pp = 0.1 is the effective pressure fit to all the data as shown in Fig. 3, collapsed onto a single least squares best-fit curve $(\log_{10}k = -0.503 \log_{10}P_{eff} - 17.26)$ for a pore pressure coefficient made to vary linearly with Terzaghi effective pressure according to $n = (1+P_{eff}(MPa)/85)$. Measured data for the separate pore pressures are shown, with best-fit curves with the variable pore pressure coefficient. *n*-values are shown to indicate how

609 they increase from left to right.



610

611 Figure 13:

612(a) The experimentally observed variation of pore compressibility at 69 MPa pore pressure (filled circles) vs Terzaghi613effective pressure for Bowland shale, derived from the data in Fig. 9. The reciprocal of this compressibility is ϕ/K_{ϕ} .614This rate of reduction of compressibility with effective pressure cannot predict the observed pressure sensitivity of

615 permeability that is observed experimentally. The continuous curve shows what the trend would have to be like in

order that the single capillary tube model can behave in the same way as the rock.

(b) Schematic illustration of the porosity model best able to explain the permeability and bulk modulus data in the
 shales. Highly eccentric pores and cracks lie parallel to layering but are well-connected, accounting for easy gas

transport yet using only a small fraction of the porosity. These narrow pores are easily constricted by hydrostatic

- 620 pressure. Most of the storage capacity resides in the larger, equant pores of dimension about 1 micron that are poorly 621 linked and not easily closed down by hydrostatic pressure.
- 622
- 623
- 624
- 625
- 626
- 627
- 628
- 629
- 630

631Table 3: Fit parameters for the capillary tubes bundle model applied to describe the permeability of Haynesville shale632and Pennant sandstone at low effective pressures, when the permeabilities are not strikingly different. n is the pore633pressure multiplier for the permeability data, N is the number of pores intersecting a 1 m² area normal to the flow path,634a is the pore shape aspect ratio and v is the Poisson ratio. 2b is the mean short dimension (nm) of the elliptical cross

635 section and *s* is the average pore spacing (microns).

636			
637	Haynesville Shale		Pennant sst
638	n	0.99	0.86
639	Ν	1.03 E+11 m ⁻²	8.4 E+11 m ⁻²
640	α	0.0051	0.004
641	ν	0.17	0.10
642	2b	13.5 nm	21 nm
643	S	3.1 µm	1.5 μm
644	Conductive porosity	0.3%	3.8%
645			

646

647 The form of the curve of K_{dry} vs Terzaghi effective pressure does not permit the simple elliptical section 648 capillary tubes model to be fitted to Bowland shale, because the observed rate of decrease of m with effective 649 pressure is insufficiently rapid to explain the three orders of magnitude decrease of permeability observed over 650 this pressure range (see Fig. 12). Figure 13a compares the observed variation with effective pressure of pore 651 compressibility factor m to the variation that would be required to be able to make such a fit. It is inferred that 652 pressure must be able to act in this rock to close down pore connectivity in one or more additional ways to the 653 elastic compression of elliptical channel cross-sections. These could involve development of increased tortuosity 654 of channelways, or the existence of a more complex distribution of connected pores of different sizes and shapes. 655 The simple model of a set of similarly-sized and shaped channels that can behave in a comparable way to a real 656 pore network is clearly inapplicable to this rock.

657 **5.3** The effective pressure coefficients, *m* and *n*

658 In the context of permeability, n is the multiplier of pore pressure in the definition of the modification of 659 Terzaghi effective pressure that brings observed permeability data at different constant pore pressures onto a 660 common curve (e.g. Fig. 12), thus $P_{eff} = P_c - nP_p$. *n* takes a value close to unity in the case of the experimental 661 data on Haynesville shale and Whitby shale, and 0.86 in the case of Pennant sandstone. In other studies, observed 662 departures from unity have been attributed to, for example, differences in the roles of elastically stiff and 663 elastically soft mineral components surrounding the pore spaces in responses to changes in P_c relative to changes in P_p (e.g. Zoback and Byerlee, 1975; Kwon et al., 2001; Ma and Zoback, 2017), resulting in different rates of 664 665 change of pore volume with $P_{\rm c}$ and $P_{\rm p}$.

666 On the other hand, in Eq. (4), for a homogeneous, isotropic elastic matrix, it is the value of K_{dry} , the bulk

667 modulus of the porous rock, that determines the change in geometry of pore spaces, and hence permeability, in

- 668 response to effective pressure change. The theoretical expression for the effective pressure coefficient *m* for elastic
- *deformations* of a mechanically linear, homogeneous and isotropic rock is given by Eq. (7) and this parameter
- appears in the expression for the permeability according to the bundle of capillary tubes model (Eq. (18)). Using
- 671 the pore fluid displacement method (Figs. 6, 8 and 9) we have found that in all cases m decreases from near unity
- 672 with Terzaghi effective pressure according to the pressure dependence of K_{dry} , whereas for Pennant sandstone and
- Haynesville shale, observed *n* remains close to unity for permeability data and exceeds unity for Bowland shale over Terzaghi effective pressures from zero to *ca* 80 MPa, thus $m \neq n$. Nur and Byerlee (1971) took care to point
- 675 out that *m* as defined in Eq. (7) cannot generally be used as a predictor of effective pressure coefficient for
- 676 particular processes, like permeability, mechanical strength and elastic wave velocities, even though all involve
- 677 elastic distortions.
- **0**77 elastic distortions.
- As was pointed out earlier, pressure sensitivity of permeability according to the simple capillary bundle model
- 679 cannot behave in the same way as was observed experimentally for Bowland shale. Also, a single value of n
- 680 cannot reconcile permeabilities at different pore pressures for this rock. Figure 12 shows the permeability data for
- 681 Bowland shale separated into measurements at different pore pressures. By extending the collective fit between
- 682 log permeability and effective pressure shown in Fig. 12 to the data at each pore pressure, the downward
- 683 divergence of the curves becomes apparent. This can be described empirically by fitting a linear variation of *n*
- with Terzaghi effective pressure, such that n = 1 at low effective pressures, rising to n = 1.6 at the upper end of the
- 685 pressure range used. This is interpreted as a further manifestation of the pore structure complexities that mean that
- this Bowland shale cannot be described by a simple capillary tube bundle model.

687 5.4 Relationship between observed pressure-dependent permeability and mineralogy

- Several studies have reported the relationships between mineralogy of shales and related rocks and their
 petrophysical properties (e.g. Kwon et al., 2004; Ma and Zoback, 2017). The rocks used in this study display a
 spectrum of mineralogy that is reflected in their permeabilities, both in terms of absolute values and their
 sensitivity to effective pressure.
- 692 Pennant sandstone is typical of tight gas sands in which the load bearing framework is of continuous quartz and 693 feldspar grains with what would otherwise be a large porosity that is mostly filled with some detrital muscovite 694 plus diagenetically-introduced clay and oxide phases (Wilson and Pittman, 1977; Howard, 1992). Prior to the pore 695 filling there was a degree of intergranular pressure solution and formation of quartz overgrowths around quartz 696 grains. The protective armour around the filled pore spaces afforded by the quartz framework is thought to have 697 limited degree of compaction of the pore filling, in which most of the present porosity resides. Relative to the 698 volume of the inter-quartz spaces, the porosity of the filling would be $\sim 20\%$, and it is thought that this contributes 699 to the relatively high overall permeability and reduced pressure sensitivity of Pennant sandstone.
- 700 The Bowland and Haynesville shales are mineralogically and microstructurally strikingly different. It is
- 701 important to remember that these are particular samples taken from their respective sequences and may not be
- roce representative of their host sequences at all. The Bowland shale sample is a phyllosilicate-rich,
- carbonate-poor siliceous mudstone with sufficient phyllosilicate to form a contiguous matrix, and this is likely to
- be responsible for the relatively low bulk modulus (53 GPa) of the rock and hence low permeability. The
- Haynesville shale is a carbonate-rich (>50vol%), phyllosilicate-poor siliceous mudstone with a higher bulk
- modulus (61 GPa). The carbonate grains (fossil fragments and diagenetic carbonate) provide a stiff framework of

- 707 contiguous grains, helping to maintain open porosity and to resist its elastic compaction. Despite these qualitative
- 708 observations that can be made about how mineralogy and microstructure impacts upon permeability, the present 709 results do not form a basis for making any quantitative correlations.
- 710

711 5.5 Inference of key characteristics of pore space geometry in shales

712 Much has been written on pore space geometry based on SEM, TEM and Xray CT imaging of shales, but

713 important characteristics can be inferred from observations of bulk petrophysical properties. Key points noted in 714 the present study are:

- 715 • The storativities for both shales are extremely small for flow paths lying parallel to the layering, such that over 716 90% of the available pore space is not participating in the flow.
- 717 • At low effective pressures, the permeabilities of all three rocks are similar, but with increasing effective

718 pressures they diverge at markedly different rates. Marked sensitivity of permeability to effective confining

719 pressure implies that conductive (well-connected) pores are flat and crack-like. This is supported by permeability

- 720 modelling, that suggests that for a bundle of elliptical-section capillary tubes of equivalent permeability behaviour,
- 721 their aspect ratios are extremely small and the narrow dimension is expected to be in the nanometric range (Table 3).
- 722
- 723 • For flow normal to layering, at least in Haynesville shale, storativity is much greater than for flow across the 724 layering, but still implies that over half of the pore space is not participating in the flow.
- 725 • Permeability in both shales is very low under elevated effective pressures compared to Pennant sandstone,
- 726 which is of similar overall porosity, implying that connected pore spaces are narrow and/or poorly
- 727 connected/tortuous.

728 The above observations suggest that the effective configuration of pores spaces corresponds to the sketch shown 729 in Fig. 13b, with a population of highly oriented, crack-like pores parallel to layering that account for only a small 730 fraction of the total porosity but dominate the hydraulic transmissivity through the rock mass parallel to the 731 layering and also account for the low storativity associated with flow along the layering. These are poorly 732 connected to larger, probably more equant pores by conduction channels trending across the layering, and which 733 contain most of the gas storage space in the rock. The equant pores are 'seen' more easily for flow across the 734 layering, so that this flow is characterised by higher storativity, as demonstrated for Haynesville shale. Such 735 storage pores are likely to be much slower to drain (or to fill) in response to an applied pore pressure gradient than 736 implicit in the laboratory-measured permeability data. This suggests that permeabilities measured by transient 737 flow methods in the laboratory may lead to an over-conservative estimate of the potential for drainage of a gas reservoir in shale, and perhaps help partially to explain the long-term persistence of flows from some shale gas 738 739 reservoirs (e.g. Guo et al., 2017; Wang, 2017).

740 6. Conclusions

- 741 Permeabilities as functions of effective pressure were measured using the oscillating pore pressure method at 20
- 742 °C for three rocks (Haynesville and Bowland shales and Pennant sandstone) of low permeabilities and comparable

- porosities. Tests were at effective pressures ranging up to 90 MPa with argon gas as permeant. From exhibiting
- comparable permeabilities at low pressures they diverged markedly with increasing pressure. Pennant sandstone
- showed permeability reduction with pressure of less than ten-fold, Haynesville shale became less permeable by
- almost two orders of magnitude, whereas Bowland shale was reduced in permeability by more than 3 orders of
- 747 magnitude. The different pressure sensitivities of permeability correlated inversely with their (pressure sensitive)
- 748bulk moduli and qualitatively with mineralogical differences, going from a continuous framework of stiff quartz
- 749 grains (sandstone) through a carbonate-rich framework (Haynesville shale) to a contiguous matrix of phyllosilicate
- 750 grains (Bowland shale).
- High storativity of the sandstone implied that most of the available pore space was involved in the gas flow, but in the shales, for flow parallel to the layering, less than 10% of the available pore space was involved in the flow. For flow in the Haynesville shale across the layering a larger pore space fraction was involved, but still much less than all the available pore space. Thus only a small fraction of the total pore space can be inferred to be well connected in the shales. This implies that whilst the permeability we measure in the oscillating pore pressure experiment is that associated with gas transport through the rock mass, a lower effective permeability applies to the ability of the gas to flow into and out of the storage pores.
- A simple model of permeability was developed based upon connected pore space behaving in a way similar to a bundle of capillary tubes of highly eccentric cross section. By fitting the model to the experimental data, it was possible to demonstrate that this model behaved in a similar way to the rocks for the case of Pennant sandstone and Haynesville shale, but the model could not behave in a way compatible with the marked pressure sensitivity of permeability for the Bowland shale. It was inferred that a more complex distribution of connected pore spaces of
- varying dimension and tortuosity would be required to behave like the Bowland shale sample.

764 Author contribution

- EHR was responsible for the conceptualization and methodology of the study, carrying out the bulk of the
- resperiments, compilation and analysis of data and writing the manuscript. JM was responsible for the acquisition
- and management of financial support, carrying out the FEM analysis, contribution to experimental design and data
- 768 presentation, and preparation of the paper. YB carried out the experiments on Haynesville shale under the
- supervision of JM and EHR as part of his doctoral research.

770 Data availability

- All of the experimental data acquired in this research is freely accessible and collated in supporting datafiles
- 772 DF1.csv and Matlab scripts that describe the finite element simulations and permeability data processing. In
- correspondence with UK Research Council requirements the files are deposited in the UK National Geoscience
- 774 Data Centre, identified by the title of this paper. The files are also downloadable from
- 775 https://doi:10.5281/zenodo.5914205

The Authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

776 Acknowledgements

- This work was supported by UK Natural Environment Research Council grant NE/R017883/1 and was part of
- the Challenge 2 NERC Unconventional Hydrocarbons program. Y. B. was supported for a postgraduate research
 studentship by the Petroleum Technology Development Fund Nigeria.
- 780 Sections of borehole core of Bowland shale were kindly provided by Igas, and of Haynesville shale by BG
- 781 International, now Shell. X-ray diffraction characterization of test materials was carried out by John Waters
- 782 (University of Manchester). Total Organic Carbon measurements of Haynesville shale were carried out by Geir
- 783 Hansen of Applied Petroleum Technology AS (Norway). GKN sinter metal filters GmbH kindly donated the 2mm
- thick SIKA R1AX porous stainless-steel plates used in this work. Experimental Officers Stephen May and Lee
- 785 Paul contributed to equipment maintenance. Mike Chandler and Rochelle Taylor provided helpful discussions.
- 786 Prof C. David (University of Cergy-Pontoise) and an anonymous referee are thanked for helpful and constructive
- 787 reviews.

806

819

788 References

- Anderson, D. L.: Theory of the Earth. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 384 pp.
 https://resolver.caltech.edu/CaltechBOOK:1989.001, 2007.
- Andrews, K. W.: Elastic moduli of polycrystalline cubic metals. Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, 11, 25272534, 1978.
- 795 Bernabé, Y., Mok, U. and Evans, B.: A note on the oscillating flow method for measuring rock permeability.
- 796 International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 43(2), 311–316.
- 797 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2005.04.013, 2006.
- Biot, M. A. and Willis, D. G.: The Elastic Coefficients of the Theory of Consolidation. Journal of Applied
 Mechanics, 24, 594-601, 1957.
- Brace, W. F., Walsh, J. B. and Frangos, W. T.: Permeability of granite under high pressure. Journal of
 Geophysical Research, 73(6), 2225–2236. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/JB073i006p02225</u>, 1968.
- Bustin, R. M., Bustin, A.M.M., Cui, A., Ross, D., Pathi, V.M. and others.: Impact of shale properties on pore
 structure and storage characteristics. Paper presented at the SPE Shale Gas Production Conference, 16–18
 November 2008, Fort Worth, Texas, USA, 2008.
- 807Calderón, E., Gauthier, M., Decremps, F., Hamel, G., Syfosse, G. and Polian, A.: Complete determination of the808elastic moduli of α-quartz under hydrostatic pressure up to 1 GPa: an ultrasonic study. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter,80919, 436228, doi:10.1088/0953-8984/19/43/436228, 2007.
- Cui, X., Bustin, A. M. M. and Bustin, R. M.: Measurements of gas permeability and diffusivity of tight reservoir
 rocks: different approaches and their applications. Geofluids, 9(3), 208–223. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.14688123.2009.00244.x, 2009.
- 813 Diaz, H. G., Fuentes, C. C., Calvin, C., Yang, Y., MacPhail, K. and Lewis, R.: Evaluating the impact of
- mineralogy on reservoir quality and completion quality of organic shale plays. In: AAPG Rocky Mountain Section
 Meeting, Salt Lake City, Utah, pp. 22–24, 2013.
- B17 Dowey, P. J. and Taylor, K. G.: Diagenetic mineral development within the Upper Jurassic Haynesville-Bossier
 Shale, USA. Sedimentology 67, 47–77, doi: 10.1111/sed.12624, 2020.
- 820 Faulkner, D. R., and Rutter, E. H.: Comparisons of water and argon permeability in natural clay-bearing fault
- 821 gouge under high pressure at 20°C. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 105(B7), 16415–16426.
- 822 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2000jb900134, 2000.</u>

- 823 Fischer, G. J., and Paterson, M. S.: Measurement of permeability and storage capacity in rocks during
- deformation at high temperature and pressure. In Fault Mechanics and Transport Properties of Rocks, edited by B.
 Evans and T.-f. Wong, 213-251, Academic Press, San Diego, Calif., 1992.
- Gosman, A. L., McCarty, R. D. and Hust, J. G.: Thermodynamic properties of argon from the triple point to 300 K
 at pressures to 1000 atmospheres. In: National Standard Reference Data Series, National Bureau of Standards, 27.
 Washington, DC: US Department of Commerce, 1969.
- 825 washington, DC. 03 Department of Commerce, 1909. 830
- 831 Green, D. H. and Wang, H. F.: Fluid pressure response to undrained compression
- in saturated sedimentary rock. Geophysics 51, 948–956, 1986.
- 833 Guo, K., Zhang, B., Wachtmeister, H., Aleklettb, K. and Höök, M.: Characteristic Production Decline Patterns for
- 834 Shale Gas Wells in Barnett. International Journal of Sustainable Future for Human Security, J-Sustain. 5, 12-21.
- 835 DOI: 10.24910/jsustain/5.1/1221, 2017.
- Hammes, U., Hamlin, H. S. and Ewing, T. E.: Geologic analysis of the Upper Jurassic Haynesville Shale in east
 Texas and west Louisiana. AAPG Bull. 95, 1643–1666, 2011.
- 838

- 839 Hackston, A. and Rutter E.H.: The Mohr–Coulomb criterion for intact rock strength and
- 840 friction—a re-evaluation and consideration of failure under polyaxial stresses. Solid Earth 7,
- 841 493–508. (doi:10.5194/se-7-493-2016), 2016. 842
- Hasanov, A. K., Dugan, B. and Batzle, M. L.: Numerical simulation of oscillating pore pressure experiments and
 inversion for permeability. Water Resources Research, 56, e2019WR025681.
- 845 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR025681, 2020.
- 846

859

- Hasanov, A. K., Dugan, B., Batzle, M. L. and Prasad, M.: Hydraulic and poroelastic rock properties from oscillating pore pressure experiments. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 124, 4473–4491.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/</u> 2018JB017276, 2019.
- Heller, R., Vermylen, J. and Zoback, M.: Experimental investigation of matrix permeability of gas shales.
 American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, 98, 975–995, 2014.
- Howard, J. J.: Influence of authigenic clay minerals on permeability. In: (David W. Houseknecht and Edward D.
 Pittman; Eds), Origin, Diagenesis, and Petrophysics of Clay Minerals in Sandstones. SEPM Special Publication
 47, 257-264. DOI:10.2110/pec.92.47.0257, 1992.
- Kelling G.: Upper Carboniferous sedimentation in South Wales. In *The Upper Palaeozoic and post-Palaeozoic rocks of Wales* (ed. T.R. Owen), pp. 185–224. Cardiff, UK: University of Wales Press, 1974.
- Kranz, R. L., Saltzman, J. S. and Blacic, J. D.: Hydraulic diffusivity measurements on laboratory rock samples
 using an oscillating pore pressure method. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences 27(5),
 345–352. https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(90)92709-N, 1990.
- Kwon, O., Kronenberg, A. K., Gangi, A. F. and Johnson, B.: Permeability of Wilcox shale and its effective
 pressure law. J. Geophys. Res. 106, 19,339–19,353, doi:10.1029/2001JB000273, 2001.
- Kwon, O., Kronenberg, A. K., Gangi, A. F., Johnson, B. and Herbert, B. E.: Permeability of illite-bearing shale: 1.
 Anisotropy and effects of clay content and loading, J. Geophys. Res. 109, B10205, doi:10.1029/2004JB003052,
 2004.
- Lazar, O. R., Bohacs, K. M., Macquaker, J. H. S., Schieber, J. and Demko, T. M.: Capturing key attributes of
 finegrained sedimentary rocks in outcrops, cores, and thin sections: nomenclature and description guidelines. J.
 Sed. Res. 85, 230–246, 2015.
- Lockner, D. A. and Stanchits, S. A.: Undrained Poroelastic Response of Sandstones to Deviatoric Stress Change.
 J. Geophys. Res. 107, 2353, doi:10.1029/2001JB001460, 2002.
- 877 Ma, L., Slater, T., Dowey, P. J., Yue, S., Rutter E. H., Taylor, K. G. and Lee, P. D.: Hierarchical integration of
- **878** porosity in shales. Scientific Reports, 8:11683, DOI:10.1038/s41598-018-30153-x, 2018.
- 879

873

876

- Ma, X. and Zoback, M. D.: Laboratory experiments simulating poroelastic stress changes associated with
 depletion and injection in low porosity sedimentary rocks, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 122, 2478–2503,
- **882** doi:10.1002/2016JB013668, 2017.

909

- 883
 884 Mavko, G. M. and Nur, A.: 1978. The effect of nonelliptical cracks on the compressibility of rocks. Journal of
 885 geophysical research, 83, 4459-4468.
- 887 Mavko, G., Mukerji, T. and Dvorkin, J., The rock physics handbook: tools for seismic analysis of porous media,
 888 Cambridge, Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, UK. (Vol. 112, Issue 483).
- 889 https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.112.483.211-a, 2009.
- McKernan, R., Mecklenburgh, J, Rutter, E. H. and Taylor, K. G.: Microstructural controls on the pressuredependent permeability of Whitby mudstone. In: Rutter, E. H., Mecklenburgh, J. and Taylor, K. G. (eds)
 Geomechanical and Petrophysical Properties of Mudrocks. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 454,
 39-66. doi.org/10.1144/SP454.15, 2017.
- 894
 895 Mendelson, K. S.: Bulk modulus of a polycrystal, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.14 1307-1309, 1981.
 896

Michels, S., Botzen, A., and Schuurman, W.: The viscosity of argon at pressures up to 2000 atmospheres. Physica 20(7-12), 1141–1148. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-8914(54)80257-6</u>, 1954.

- 900 Mondol, N. H., Jahren, J. and Bjørlykke, K.: Elastic properties of clay minerals. The Leading Edge, 27, 758-770, 2008.
 902
- 903 Nur, A. and Byerlee, J. D.: An exact effective stress law for elastic deformation 904 of rocks with fluids. Journal of Geophysical Passargh 76, 6414, 6419, 1971
- of rocks with fluids. Journal of Geophysical Research, 76, 6414–6419, 1971.
- Rutter E. H. and Hackston, A.: On the effective stress law for rock-on-rock frictional sliding, and fault slip
 triggered by means of fluid injection. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 375: 20160001.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2016.0001, 2017.
- Rutter E. H., and Mecklenburgh J.: Hydraulic conductivity of bedding-parallel cracks in shale as a function of
 shear and normal stress. In: Geomechanical and petrophysical properties of mudrocks, Geological Society of
 London Special Publication vol. 454 (eds E. Rutter, J. Mecklenburgh, K. Taylor). London, UK: Geological
 Society of London. doi:10.1144/SP454.9, 2017.
- 914
 915 Rutter, E. H. and Mecklenburgh, J.: Influence of Normal and Shear Stress on the Hydraulic Transmissivity of Thin
 916 Cracks in a Tight Quartz Sandstone, a Granite, and a Shale: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 123,
- 917 1262-1285, 2018.
- Seeburger, D. A. and Nur, A.: A pore space model for rock permeability and bulk modulus. Journal of
 Geophysical Research. 89, 527-536. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/JB089iB01p00527</u>, 1984.
- 920 Skempton, A. W.: The pore pressure coefficient in saturated soils. Géotechnique 10, 186-187, 1960.
- 921 Terzaghi, K. V.: Die Berechnung der Durchassigkeitsziffer des Tones aus dem Verlauf der hydrodynamischen
 922 Spannungserscheinungen, Sitzungsber. Akad. Wiss. Wien Math Naturwiss. Kl. Abt. 2A, 132, 125-138, 1923.
- 923
 924 Walsh, J.: The effect of cracks on the compressibility of rock. Journal of Geophysical Research 70, 381–389.
 925 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/jz070i002p00381, 1965.</u>
- 926
 927 Wang, H.: What Factors Control Shale Gas Production and Production Decline Trend in Fractured Systems: A
 928 Comprehensive Analysis and Investigation. SPE Journal 22: 562-581. http://dx.doi.org/10.2118/179967-PA, 2017.
- 929 Wilson, M. D. and Pittman, E. D.: Authigenic clays in sandstones; recognition and influence on reservoir
- properties and paleoenvironmental analysis . Journal of Sedimentary Research 47: 3–31.
- 931 <u>doi.org/10.1306/212F70E5-2B24-11D7-8648000102C1865D</u>, 1977.

- 932 Zanazzi, P. F. and Pavese, A.: Behavior of micas at high pressures and temperatures. Reviews in Mineralogy and
- 933 Geochemistry, 46, Eds. A. Mottana, F.P. Sassi, J.B. Thompson and S. Guggenheim, Mineralogical society of
- 934 America, Washington D.C., 99-106, DOI:10.2138/rmg.2002.46.02, 2002.
- 235 Zee Ma, Y., Moore, W. R., Gomez, E., Clark, W. J. and Zhang, Y.: Tight Gas Sandstone Reservoirs, Part 1:
- 936 Overview and Lithofacies. <u>Unconventional Oil and Gas Resources Handbook;</u> Evaluation and Development,
- 937 Chapter 14. Elsevier, Amsterdam. 405-427 <u>doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-802238-2.00014-6, 2016</u>.
- 938
- 239 Zimmerman, R.W.: Compressibility of sandstones: Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 173 pp., 1991.
- Zoback, M. D. and Byerlee, J. D.: Permeability and effective stress: Bull. Am. Assoc. Petr. Geol. 59, 154–158, 1975.
- 942