Looking beyond kinematics: 3D thermo-mechanical modelling reveals the dynamics of transform margins

Anthony Jourdon[1], Charlie Kergaravat[1], Guillaume Duclaux[2], Caroline Huguen[1]

1- Research & Development, Total S.A., Pau, France
2- Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, IRD, Géoazur, France

Correspondence to: Anthony Jourdon (jourdon.anthon@gmail.com)

Abstract

Transform margins represent ~30% of the non-convergent margins worldwide. Their formation and evolution have ~~long~~ traditionally been addressed through kinematic models that do not account for the mechanical behaviour of the lithosphere. In this study, we use high resolution 3D numerical thermo-mechanical modelling to simulate and investigate the evolution of the intra-continental strain localization under oblique extension. The obliquity is set through velocity boundary conditions that range from 15° (high obliquity) to 75° (low obliquity) every 15° for strong and weak lower continental crust rheologies. Numerical models show that the formation of localized strike-slip shear zones leading to transform continental margins always follows a thinning phase during which the lithosphere is thermally and mechanically weakened. For low (75°) to intermediate (45°) obliquity cases, the strike-slip faults are not parallel to the extension direction but form an angle of 20° to 40° with the plate~~s'~~ motion vector while for higher obliquities (30° to 15°) the strike-slip faults develop parallel to the extension direction. Numerical models also show that during the thinning of the lithosphere, the stress and strain re-orient while boundary conditions are kept constant. This evolution, due to the weakening of the lithosphere, leads to a strain localization process in three major phases: (1) strain initiates in a rigid plate where structures are sub-perpendicular to the extension direction; (2) distributed deformation with local stress field variations and formation of transtensional and strike-slip structures; (3) formation of highly localized plates boundaries stopping the intra-continental deformation. Our results call for a thorough re-evaluation of the kinematic approach to studying transform margins.

1. Introduction

Transform margins, represent ~30% of the non-convergent margins worldwide (Mercier de Lépinay et al., 2016; ~~Mélody~~ Philippon & Corti, 2016). Transform continental margin refers to the continent-ocean transition derived from a transform plate boundary that accommodates, or has accommodated, ocean spreading (Basile, 2015; Mascle & Blarez, 1987). Transform continental margins are comprised of transform faults that connect divergent margins~~Transform continental margins are limited by transform faults connected with divergent margins~~ at both ends. In contrast with continental passive margins, continental transform margins have received limited attention, probably due of their non-cylindrical nature and the steep geometry of the deformed structures that make it difficult to image them with seismic reflection methods.~~their imaging by reflection seismic.~~

Kinematic interpretations of transform margins are mainly based on a conceptual model (Basile, 2015; Basile et al., 2013; Mascle & Blarez, 1987; Scrutton, 1979). This conceptual model was first established from ~~seismic lines interpretations~~the interpretation of seismic reflection profiles along the conjugate Equatorial Atlantic margins (Fig. 1) assuming inherited plate boundaries (Mascle & Blarez, 1987). It then became more widely used and applied to other ~~plate~~ continental margins around the world such as the South African margin (Parsiegla et al., 2009), the Antarctic Southern Exmouth Plateau along the South Australian margin (Lorenzo & Vera, 1992), the West Greenland margin (Suckro et al., 2013). This original conceptual model involves the formation of offset intra-continental rift segments linked by a transform fault since the early stages of extension. As a consequence, the whole rift-transform fault system evolves synchronously during continental thinning and oceanic accretion. The onset of oceanic accretion marks the start of the triple junction migration along the transform margin at half the spreading velocity (Basile, 2015; Gerya, 2012). The transform continental margin is then considered active during the migration of the oceanic accretion axis along the continental domain. Whether transform faults originate pre- or syn-rifting or even post-continental break-up is still a matter of debate. However, some oceanic transform faults can form without any continental inheritances as showed by the presence of many transform faults along the mid-oceanic ridges due to the plate kinematics and oceanic lithosphere rheology (Langemeyer et al., 2021). More recently, based on natural examples, Bellahsen et al. (2013) and Basile (2015) highlighted that transform faults can form either synchronously with the syn-rift structures and may reactivate~~d~~ or cross-cut inherited structures (e.g. Equatorial Atlantic; Gulf of California, Fig. 1), or develop after the oceanic spreading starts, to connect offset oceanic ridges (e.g. Woodlark basin e.g. Taylor et al., 2009).

This conceptual model and its offspring based on rigid plate tectonics do~~es~~ not reflect the whole intra-continental deformation phase associated with progressive strain localization and structure~~s~~ re-orientation (Ammann et al., 2017; Brune, 2014; Brune & Autin, 2013; Mondy et al., 2018; Le Pourhiet et al., 2017). This has first order implications on tectonic plate~~s~~ reconstructions and the interpretation of a margin's progressive deformation history. In highly oblique systems, during the intra-continental stage, the relative plate motion between two divergent segments is mostly accommodated by strain partitioning along transfer fault zones (Milani & Davison, 1988). In order to better understand the dynamics of such transform margins, from initiation in continental domains to maturity, it is therefore ~~required~~ necessary to account for lithosphere physical properties. Both analogue and numerical modelling studies have focused on the formation and evolution of transform continental margins. Different modelling approaches have been used to investigate parameters that control intra-continental deformation and transform margin formation, all of them implying oblique plate motion (rift obliquity controls the orientation and proportion of normal~~ and~~, strike-slip and oblique-slip faults). On the one hand, this obliquity can be either imposed through initial conditions with oblique pre-existing weak zones representing a structural inheritance (Agostini et al., 2009; Ammann et al., 2017; Brune et al., 2012; Clifton et al., 2000; Corti, 2012; Duclaux et al., 2020; Mart & Dauteuil, 2000; Tron & Brun, 1991; Withjack & Jamison, 1986) or imposed en-échelon offset weak zones (Allken et al., 2012; Le Calvez & Vendeville, 2002; Liao & Gerya, 2015; Le Pourhiet et al., 2017; Zwaan et al., 2016). On the other hand, obliquity can be set through boundary conditions with oblique extension (e.g. Brune, 2014; Brune et al., 2012; Brune & Autin, 2013; Persaud et al., 2017) or pure shear conditions (Gerya & Burov, 2018; Jourdon et al., 2020; Le Pourhiet et al., 2018) relative to the domain borders.

Except in experiments approaching pure strike-slip conditions, models show that the onset of intra-continental deformation ~~always~~ localizes on structures at half the angle of obliquity (i.e. the angle between extension-perpendicular direction and rift trend) ~~closely orthogonal to the extension direction~~ (Brune, 2014; Duclaux et al., 2020; Withjack & Jamison, 1986). Then, depending on the obliquity

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

(defined as the angle between the plate motion direction and the average rift trend) the deformation evolution differs. Resulting rifts systems are generally classified in three categories: (1) low obliquity, (2) intermediate obliquity and (3) high obliquity.

Low obliquity systems are close to orthogonal extension. For models with oblique extension or oblique weak zones it represents angles from 60° to 90° between extension direction and weak zones trend. In models involving offset rifts, low obliquity is reached for offsets of 100 km and less (Allken et al., 2012; Liao & Gerya, 2015; Le Pourhiet et al., 2017). In these systems, the deformation is almost always orthogonal to the extension direction and the developing structures are mainly extensional.

Intermediate obliquity is reached in models involving an oblique weak zone or oblique extension for angles of extension between 30° and 60° (Agostini et al., 2009; Brune, 2014; Corti, 2012; Duclaux et al., 2020), while for models with offset weak zones, an offset of 100 km to 300 km is required (Le Pourhiet et al., 2017). In this context, once the continental lithosphere has thinned enough, the rheology of the whole system evolves and the deformation regime changes to reach transtensional deformation. Large scale strike-slip structures develop to connect isolated rift basin~~s~~ segments and accommodate the ~~obliquity~~strike-slip component of deformation. However, strike-slip structures are not parallel to the extension direction as transform faults are in natural systems.

Finally, high obliquity represents systems in which the deformation regime approaches pure strike-slip conditions. These conditions can be reached for obliquities lower than 30° between the rift trend and the extension direction (Agostini et al., 2009; Ammann et al., 2017; Brune, 2014) or offset between rifts larger than 300 km (Le Pourhiet et al., 2017). This highly oblique deformation regime is rarely simulated except in setup with periodic or open boundary conditions, due to a strong limitation in models' setups associated with the use of free-slip boundary conditions on the vertical boundaries of the model. Indeed, free-slip boundary conditions that are generally used for vertical boundaries trending parallel to the extension direction physically prevent deformation in the direction normal to the face (i.e. if free-slip is applied to a boundary of normal x, no deformation can occur in x direction along this border). Therefore, models involving oblique or offset weak zones show that in high obliquity contexts two independent rifts develop and never link (Le Pourhiet et al., 2017) suggesting a natural propensity for segmented rifts systems rather than oblique ones. However, this context seems to be the best candidate to form transform faults parallel to the extension direction segmenting two spreading systems. Indeed, Ammann et al., (2017) showed that a transform fault can develop in a highly oblique weak zone forming an angle of 16° with the extension direction if intense softening is applied. This softening is set in their models through low viscosity magmatism, allowing the viscosity in the weak zone to drop ~~of~~ by 4 to 6 orders of magnitude compared to the surrounding material.

Here we investigate numerically the effect of oblique velocity boundary conditions ~~extension~~ on strain partitioning and localization during early rifting and break-up in the continental lithosphere using non-free slip and oblique boundary conditions and different lower crustal rheologies. We first present high resolution 3D numerical thermo-mechanical models illustrating the evolution of intracontinental rifting processes and strike-slip deformation leading to the formation of transform/strike-slip margins. We then discuss the implication of the crustal rheology on strain localization and strike-slip deformation. Finally, we compare the models' results to emblematic natural examples of transform margins and propose a simplified tectonic evolution model for the formation of transform margins ~~in intermediate and highly oblique extension~~undergoing intermediate and highly oblique extension.

2. Setup for thermo-mechanical numerical modelling

2.1.Modelling approach and initial conditions

In order to model the long term deformation of the lithosphere we use pTatin3D (May et al., 2014, 2015), a highly scalable, massively parallel implementation of the finite element method. It employs an Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE) discretization together with the material point method to solve the conservation of mass and momentum for an incompressible fluid coupled with energy conservation.

The geometry of the modelled domain is 1200 km in the *x* direction, 600 km in the *z* direction and 250 km in the vertical *y* direction (Fig. 2a). Two sets of models are conducted: the first set involves ten models with a resolution of 512x256x128 elements while the second set involves three high resolution models of 1024x512x256 elements for a resolution about 1 km x 1 km x 1 km. The initial lithosphere geometry involves four flat layers. The crust is divided into an upper crust from y=0 km to y=-20 km and a lower crust from y=-20 km to y=-40 km. The upper crust is simulated with a quartz flow law (Ranalli & Murphy, 1987) when viscous deformation takes place. Since the lower crust rheology is known to have a first order control on strain localization (Allken et al., 2012; Brune et al., 2017; Corti, 2012; Jourdon et al., 2020; Le Pourhiet et al., 2017) we conducted all the experiments with two different lower crust rheologies. The “weak” lower crust models involve a quartz flow law (Ranalli & Murphy, 1987) while the “strong” lower crust models involve an anorthite flow law (Rybacki & Dresen, 2000). The mantle is also divided into two layers, the lithosphere mantle (from y=-40 km to y=-120 km) and the asthenosphere mantle (from y=-120 km to y=-250 km) that share the same rheology simulated with an olivine flow law (Hirth & Kohlstedt, 2003). To simulate the brittle parts of the lithosphere we use the Drucker-Prager pseudo-plastic yield criterion adapted to continuum mechanics (see equation A5).

Table 1: parameters used for the different flow laws in the model

	Units	Quartz	Anorthite	Olivine
Reference		Ranalli and Murphy 1987	Rybacki and Dresen 2000	Hirth and Kohlstedt 2003
A	MPa^{-n}.s^{-1}	6.3x10^{-6}	13.4637	1.1x10^{5}
n		2.4	3	3.5
Q	KJ~~/~~.mol^{-1}	156	345	530
V	m^{3}.mol^{-1}	0	3.8x10^{-5}	18x10^{-6}

Formatted: Superscript

The initial temperature field is set with a steady-state analytical solution (Turcotte & Schubert, 2002)

$$T_{init} = T_{y=0} + \frac{-y q_m}{k} + H \frac{y_p^2}{k}\left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-y}{y_p}\right)\right) \quad (1)$$

with $T_{y=0}$ = 0°C, an incoming mantle heat flux q_m = 20 mW.m^{-2}, a radiogenic heat production H = 1.2x10^{-6} W.m^{-3}, a characteristic radiogenic layer of y_p = 40 km and a conductivity of 3.3 W.m^{-1}.K^{-1}. With this analytical solution the temperature at the Moho (40 km depth, Fig. 2c) is 610°C and the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary (1300°C) lies at 120 km depth (Fig. 2c). Then, from 120 km to

250 km depths we prescribe a linear increase of the temperature representing an adiabatic gradient of 0.5°C/km (Fig. 2c). Although this second part of the geotherm is not at steady state, the cooling by diffusivity is very slow (less than 2°C/Myr for the maximum cooling rate) and it ~~allows to keep~~maintains reasonable conductivity values in the asthenosphere (3.3 $W.m^{-1}.K^{-1}$).

The initial radiogenic heat production is set as an exponential decay of heat production with depth according to Turcotte & Schubert (2002) as follow:

$$H = H_0 \exp\left(\frac{-y}{y_p}\right) \tag{2}$$

for a surface production H_0 of 1.2×10^{-6} $W.m^{-3}$.

In order to initiate the deformation in the central part of the model we define three weak zones that could represent tectonic inheritances in which we prescribe an initial amount of plastic strain that reduces the friction angle as:

$$\varphi = \varphi_0 - \frac{\varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_{min}}{\varepsilon_{max} - \varepsilon_{min}}(\varphi_0 - \varphi_\infty) \tag{3}$$

Where φ is the friction angle, φ_0 the initial friction angle (30°), φ_∞ the minimum friction angle (5°), ε_p the plastic strain and ε_{min} and ε_{max} the minimum and maximum values of plastic strain between which the plastic strain softening is applied (respectively 0 and 1). The geometry consists in three ~~cubic~~ cuboid damage~~ed~~ zones with ~~a side length of~~dimension 200 km x 200 km x 100 km and centred at x = {200; 600; 1000} and z = 300 km.

2.2. Boundary conditions

The boundary conditions to solve the conservation of momentum are defined with velocity vectors oblique to the boundary (Fig. 2b). On faces normal to the z-axis, we impose the same velocity on the whole face with opposite directions between face z_{min} and face z_{max}. On faces normal to the x-axis, we impose approximately periodic boundary conditions (Fig. 2b) where the velocity vectors flips 180° at the centre of the z axis. The angle of extension α is determined as the angle between the velocity vector and z, the horizontal direction normal to x (Fig. 2b). For every model,~~s~~ we impose a velocity vector of norm $||\vec{v}|| = 0.5$ cm/a on each face. This velocity simulates a total extension rate of 1 cm/a corresponding to an average of the varying extension rate during the evolution of a rift system. Each component of the velocity vector is therefore computed as:

$$\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_x = \sqrt{||\vec{v}||^2 - v_z^2} \\ v_z = ||\vec{v}|| \cos\alpha \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

The basal boundary condition is defined as a constant inflow to compensate the outflow as:

$$v_y = \frac{2||\vec{v}||.Lx.Ly}{Lx.Lz} \tag{5}$$

Where Lx, Ly and Lz are the length of the domain in the corresponding direction.

The boundary conditions to solve the conservation of energy are null heat fluxes on vertical boundaries, $T_{y=0}$=0°C and $T_{y=bottom}$=1365°C.

3. Post-processing

In order to best interpret the tectonic evolution of the oblique rift models we choose to represent the stress inferred deformation regime, the finite strain and the beta factor in map views. We also display cross-sections oriented either perpendicularly to the strike-slip structures or to the extensional ones on which the second invariant of the strain rate tensor is computed as follow:

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla\vec{v} + \nabla\vec{v}^{T}\right) \tag{6}$$

Where $\dot{\varepsilon}$ is strain rate tensor and v the velocity vector. The second invariant is then computed as:

$$\dot{\varepsilon}^{II} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}} \tag{7}$$

With the Einstein summation convention.

The stress inferred deformation regime is used to determine whether the ~~which is the~~ dominant instantaneous deformation regime ~~knowing as~~is extensional, transtensional, strike-slip, transpressional ~~and~~ or compressional. This method has been used in several studies (Brune, 2014; Brune & Autin, 2013; Buchmann & Connolly, 2007; Delvaux et al., 1997; Hergert & Heidbach, 2011; Simpson, 1997) and ~~allows better interpreting~~facilitates the interpretation of the active tectonic structures. The detailed method is ~~well expressed~~described in Brune et al. 2014 ~~in order to compute~~where the regime stress ratio (RSR) ~~giving~~ is computed as a scalar ranging from 0 to 3 corresponding to a continuous evolution from extension, transtension, strike-slip, transpression and compression. ~~On~~ In Figures 3, 5, 7 we represented each of these deformation regimes with different colours. The following table 2 ~~displays~~ shows the upper and lower bounds of each deformation regime.

Table 2: Regime Stress Ratio (RSR) values and corresponding interpretation

RSR value	**Strain regime**
0 – 0.75	Extension
0.75 – 1.25	Transtension
1.25 – 1.75	Strike-slip
1.75 – 2.25	Transpression
2.25 – 3.0	Compression

The maps of finite strain (Fig. 3, 5, 7) display both plastic strain and the mantle exhumation age ~~when~~ which is indicative of the time when the mantle starts to exhume. The plastic strain is computed as the cumulative deformation over time when deformation occurs under the Drucker-Prager yield criterion (eq. A6). The mantle exhumation age is designed to be compared with oceanic seafloor age or magnetic anomalies. It is computed as the time at which the particles cooled down below 800°C (Jourdon et al., 2020).

On Figures 3, 5, 7, the beta factor represents the crustal thinning as a ratio of the crust thickness at a given time over the initial crust thickness ~~as~~:

$$\beta_n = \frac{hc_{t=n}}{hc_{t=0}} \tag{8}$$

Where β_n is the beta factor at time n, $hc_{t=n}$ is the crustal thickness at time n, and $hc_{t=0}$ is the initial crustal thickness.

Figure 11 represents the rift obliquity with respect to the extension direction. In order to compute the rift obliquity we define two boundaries depending on the beta factor value. The boundary labelled OCT (Ocean-Continent Transition) corresponds to the highest beta factor value (i.e. the location where the crust is the thinnest before the mantle starts to exhume~~exhumed mantle~~) and the ~~boundary~~ lines labelled "necking" is the beta factor equal 2 contour ~~corresponds to the contour of the beta factor value equal to 2~~. Then we extract discrete points located on these contours and use the dot product between a vector defined by two points located on these contours ($\overrightarrow{u_c}$) and a vector defining the boundary condition velocity ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{V}}\cancel{\overrightarrow{v_B}}$) to compute the angle γ such as:

$$\gamma = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{u_c}\cdot\overrightarrow{V}\cancel{\overrightarrow{v_B}}}{\|\overrightarrow{u_c}\|\times\|\overrightarrow{V}\cancel{\overrightarrow{v_B}}\|}\right) \tag{9}$$

In order to highlight the first order structure orientation we then average the value of γ on rift segments of 20 km.

4. Numerical models results

In this study, we conducted ten experiments with a resolution of ~2 km x 2 km x 2 km per element. Five simulations with a weak lower crust, and five simulations with a strong lower crust for angles of extension α = 15°, 30°, 45°, 60° and 75° have been run. From these ten simulations setups three were selected and run at higher resolution (~1 km x 1 km x 1 km per element). The three selected simulations are weak lower crust; α = 60°; and strong lower crust; α = 45° and 15°. These three models better capture the detailed structures associated with evolution of offset rift basins linked by strike-slip structures and allow imaging very precisely the progressive formation and evolution of strike-slip and transform margins. Therefore, we present in details the evolution through time and space of these three models before summarising the results of all the lower resolution simulations.

4.1. Model 1: Weak lower crust, 60° extension

Deformation starts to localize around 5 Myr and isolated grabens ~~individualise~~form. These grabens are limited by extensional to transtensional en-échelon faults oriented almost perpendicularly (~N110) to the extension direction (Fig. 3a and 4A). From 15 Myr, as strain localizes more intensively in basins, the en-échelon deformation re-organizes (Fig. 3g and 4C). Newly formed strike-slip faults in shear zones oriented N75 link the N110 trending normal faults in the basins. The initial normal faults that are no more active start to rotate clockwise along the diffuse strike-slip structures (Fig. 3h and 3k). A differential thinning of the crust occurs between strike-slip fault zones and dip-slip deformation zones leading to basins ~~individualization~~ formation (Fig. 3i). Then, strike-slip linkage occurs (Fig. 3j) to form large-scale transfer zones (~100 km long; ~50 km width) between offset basins. The major large-scale transfer zone is localized along pre-existing damaged zone. Strike-slip shear zones display a N60 ~~surface orientation~~strike and a dip of 90° (Fig. 4D and 4E, Cross section b-b') while newly formed divergent shear zones show a ~N95 surface orientation. Strike-slip and divergent shear zones form an angle of 35° between each other and an angle of 30° and 65° with the extension direction. With the strike-slip strain localization, small regions with transient compressional stress regimes appear in the strike-slip to divergent transition zones accommodating the clockwise rotation (Fig. 3g and 3j). During the rifting phase, normal faults formed at the early stages of thinning are passively rotating. As they approach the strike-slip transfer zones their orientation change through time from N110 (at 5 Myr, Fig. 3a) to N10 (at 30Myr, Fig. 3p) showing a clockwise rotation of ~100°. Finally, when the mantle exhumes and ridge accretion takes place (Fig. 3p), the continental lithosphere ~~retrieves~~ resumes ~~a~~ rigid behaviour and deformation only localizes in the mantle along transfer fault zones oriented N80 to N100.

The final geometry of the continental margins is dominated by divergent segments that are parallel to the exhumation age mantle stripes (i.e., to magnetic anomalies, Fig. 3q), while margin segments located close to strike-slip faults zones are perpendicular to these mantle stripes (Fig. 3q). Offset divergent basins are bounded by strike slip fault zones controlling ridge propagation. The orientation of these segments highlights that the ridge propagation along strike stops until the strike-slip faults zones start to accommodate mantle exhumation. As shown by the evolution of the rift through time, under constant plate kinematics, the active deformation regime changes and re-orients.

4.2. Model 2: Strong Lower Crust, 45° extension

In this model, the deformation starts to localize as extensional shear zones at the edges of the initial damaged zones (Fig. 5a and 6A). Inside the weak zones, active deformation zones trend perpendicular (~N130) to the extension direction while at the weak zones edges deformation is oriented N110. The stress field ~~evidences~~ shows weak and diffuse compression that accommodates the variation in shear zones orientation ~~variations~~ between damaged zones (Fig. 5a). Areas situated between localized shear zones show a diffuse strike-slip stress field (~200 km wide for a strain rate second invariant of 10^{-18} s^{-1}). As thinning progresses, the deformation localizes more intensively in the basins along shear zones oriented N110 (Fig. 5d and 6B). Between the basins, the active deformation is localizing along N70 transfer zones with a sigmoidal shape. The shear zones orientation evolves from N90 at the edge of the basins to N70 (Fig. 5d) where elongated lower crustal domes exhume in the transition zones between basins and strike-slip shear zones (Fig. 5e). The associated stress field also display variations from purely strike-slip to transtensional (Fig. 5d). At 10 Myr, the transfer zones show transtensional deformation in the most localized deformation area (Fig. 5d), while at 15 Myr strike-slip deformation dominates (Fig. 5g). Once pure strike-slip deformation takes place in the central part of the transfer zones (from 15 Myr), strain partitioning intensifies. The transfer zones are divided in three domains, (1) the most external domain dominated by pure extension, (2) a transitional domain dominated by transtensional deformation and (3) the central domain dominated by pure strike-slip (Fig. 5g). These three domains are visible in cross-section (Fig. 6C, cross-section a-a' and e-e') where two normal shear zones located on the borders of the transfer zones accommodate the thinning of the lithosphere while in the centre a vertical shear zone accommodates the horizontal displacement. From 18 Myr, a ridge dynamics takes place in the basins (Fig. 5j and 6D) where the deformation is highly localized along two symmetrical shear zones accommodating the oceanic spreading. The transition region between basins and transfer zones displays small en-échelon shear zones with compressional stresses at their tips accommodating local clockwise rotation (Fig. 5j). At 30 Myr, the continental crust in the transfer zones finally breaks up and the oceanic domain display a suite of interconnected basins with sigmoid shapes (Fig. 5q).

The final structure of the continental margins (at 30 Myr) shows a spatial repetition of three segments associated respectively with divergent, transtensional and strike-slip kinematics. The divergent segments are parallel to the mantle exhumation age stripes and to the main necking faults located along the initial weak zones (Fig. 5q). Transitional segments, located between the divergent and the strike-slip segments show exhumed lower crustal and mantle domes (Fig. 5q and 6F). The deformation pattern combines strike-slip, extensional and transitory compressional structures formed during the evolution of the rift system. They are related to stress field dynamics with rotation between basins that are mainly divergent and transfer zones that are mainly strike-slip. Finally, the strike-slip segments form the third part of the margins where the mantle exhumed domain is the narrowest. The deformation pattern is relatively simple with a strike-slip shear zone at the centre and only few preserved normal faults in the thinned continental domain.

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

4.3.Model 3: Strong Lower crust, 15° extension

In this model, the deformation initiates as a wide and diffuse strike-slip region without clear individual faults or shear zones, excepted along the model borders (Fig. 7a and 8A). At 10 Myr, the deformation already localizes along a central vertical strike-slip shear zone oriented N90 and two surrounding normal shear zones (Fig. 7d and 8B cross-section a-a'). From this stage, the deformation in the central part of the model located between initial damaged weak zones evolves from strike-slip to transtensional and extensional (Fig. 7g and 7j). Basins developed in these zones accommodate the maximum vertical displacement (Fig. 7l). At the same time, between and around these basins, the deformation progressively localizes as transtensional to vertical strike-slip shear zones oriented N70 to N90 (Fig. 7j and 8D). Then at 25 Myr the deformation partitions between large scale (~500 km long) strike-slip shear zones oriented N80 and extensional shear zones in between (Fig. 7m and 8E). The strike-slip motion leads to the clockwise rotation of individualized blocks. This rotation produces transient compressive strain in the hinges of the blocks (Fig. 7m and 7p). While during the first deformation phase (from 0 Myr to 20 Myr) the extensional deformation localized along N90 shear zones, during the second phase the extensional structures located between the large scale transform faults display a N105 orientation (Fig. 7m and 7p). Compared to the two previous models, the crust thins slower and at 30 Myr the mantle is not exhumed yet. In addition, the maximum crustal thinning occurs between the initial damaged zones between the strike-slip shear zones. However, the cross-section b-b' (Fig. 8B) following the orientation of the transform faults and crossing the normal faults perpendicularly shows a hyper-thinned margin over ~300 km. The high obliquity of the extension velocity favours horizontal displacements over vertical ones. This results in a relative dextral motion of ~200 km on each transform shear zones in 30 Myr while continental break-up has not yet occurred.

The final structure of the continental margins (at 30 Myr) shows three strike-slip dominated shear zone that are parallel to the extensional direction limiting two elongated extensional segments (Fig. 7p and 7q).

4.4.Effect of obliquity and rheology on strain localization and rift evolution

Figure 9 shows the results of all 2 km x 2 km x 2 km resolution simulations at 30 Myr. The models ~~results~~ show that for the same extension direction, the rheology of the crust exerts a first order control on the rift evolution and margin final structure. The 75° oblique extension models are drastically different for a strong (Fig. 9q and 9r) and a weak lower crust (Fig. 9s and 9t). Although this model has only a~~the~~ small degree of obliquity, a weak lower crust leaves more freedom in the model for stress rotation in the crust and favours the development of individual offset basins which are linked by transfer fault zone. This transfer fault zone results from the evolution of the en-échelon distributed deformation that progressively localizes as described in ~~the~~ section 4.1 (Model 1). For a strong lower crust, the deformation localizes faster on fewer shear zones and basins develop with a small offset. This strain localization behaviour is different for a direction of extension of 45°. For these simulations, the model with a strong lower crust (Fig. 9i and 9j) develops offset basins while for a weak lower crust (Fig. 9k and 9l) basins are aligned. Finally, for high obliquity (α=15°) both strong (Fig. 9a and 9b) and weak lower crust (Fig. 9c and 9d) models show that the strike-slip deformation drives the evolution of the rift and results in large-scale transform shear zones.

These results tend to demonstrate that in order to form large scale strike-slip deformation that evolves into strike-slip margin segments, the early formation of offset basins is essential in case with ~~in contexts of~~ low to intermediate obliquity. However, in ~~context of important obliquity~~high obliquity cases, the strike-slip deformation drives the evolution of the system as soon as the deformation starts to localize.

The modelled rift evolution shows that the deformation of the continental lithosphere takes place in three stages. The first stage corresponds to the initiation of the deformation in a rigid plate. For obliquity angles larger than 30° the deformation always initiates along extensional shear zones oriented sub-perpendicular to the extension direction. On the contrary, for obliquity angles below 30° the deformation initiates along strike slip shear zones almost parallel to the imposed velocity field vectors. This behaviour corresponds to the expected strain localization in a rigid plate. However, the second stage of deformation marks significant change in ~~strain~~ stress regime and strain localization. The timing for the initiation of this second stage may differ depending on the initial rheology of the lithosphere (strong and weak crust) and the angle of extension but it is always observed. During this phase, the stress field changes and the initial shear zones start to re-orient. For angles of obliquity greater than 30° the strike-slip deformation takes place along transfer fault zones to link the offset basins and accommodate the oblique component of the velocity field. Rotation of former faulted blocks is the result of this stress field reorientation at the corner between transfer fault zones and divergent segments, where lower crust exhumation may occur. While for angles of obliquity lower than 30°, the initial strike-slip deformation partitions to later form extensional shear zones that accommodate the vertical displacement. Mechanically, the rheology of the lithosphere evolves from a rigid continuous plate to a weakening domain in which the deformation localizes and temperature increases, which contributes to the weakening of the lithosphere. This deformation stage is transient and evolves to the third deformation stage which corresponds to the formation of a new plate boundary where the deformation is highly localized and partitioned along a continuous shear zone separating again two rigid plates.

4.5. Lithosphere thickness evolution

Figure 10 shows the evolution through time of the crustal thickness and thinning rate for each model. Thickness evolution curves display the crustal thickness evolution in a selected zone located in a basin (i.e. where the extension is maximal), in a strike-slip transfer zone, and in the transition zone between the basin and the transfer zone. At first order, the thinning of the crust is faster in low obliquity models than in high obliquity models (Fig. 10). Low to intermediate oblique extension models favour the formation of extensional shear zones delimiting large basins between which strike-slip transfer zones develop and therefore the vertical displacements are greater than the horizontal displacements. ~~Oppositely~~In contrast, high obliquity extension favours the formation of long strike-slip structures between which small basins develop. In this context the horizontal motion is predominant over the vertical motion and the thinning of the continental crust and lithosphere ~~is~~ progresses 2 to 4 times slower than under low and intermediate obliquity extension for the same plate velocity. The rheology of the crust also controls the thinning rate since the deformation is more distributed in a weak crust than in a strong crust, a longer time is necessary to achieve the same thinning of the crust.

For low to intermediate obliquity models (from $\alpha = 75°$ to $\alpha = 45°$) the thinning of the crust occurs in different phases related to the deformation regime of the lithosphere. The first thinning phase is fast (between 3 mm/yr and 4 mm/yr) and corresponds to the localization of extensional structures. Then, during the second phase (stress re-orientation), ~~while~~ as the strike-slip structures start to form, the thinning of the crust slows down (between 1 mm/yr and 2 mm/yr). This slowing down is marked by a peak in the thinning rate curves. A delay can also be observed between basins and strike-slip transfer zones where achieving the same amount of thinning can take more time due to the decrease of the vertical velocity component and the increase of the horizontal one in strike-slip shear zones.

5. Comparison with previous modelling studies

5.1. Strain localization

Modelling experiments involving oblique boundary conditions (Brune, 2014; Brune et al., 2012; this study), offset weak zones (Allken et al., 2012; Le Pourhiet et al., 2017; Zwaan et al., 2016), or oblique weak zones (Agostini et al., 2009; Ammann et al., 2017; Corti, 2012; Duclaux et al., 2020) show that strain localization in the continental lithosphere always begins as extensional structures ~~sub-perpendicular~~approximately striking at half the angle of obliquity to the extension direction for angles between extension direction and the weak zones larger than ~30°. Then, as the lithosphere thins and weakens due to mechanical and thermal softening, deformation patterns evolve, and strain is partitioned between extensional and strike-slip segments. Nevertheless, the orientation of these strike-slip segments is not parallel to the imposed plate motion direction, but to local variations of the velocity field. Moreover, the orientation of the tectonic structures changes through time although the global plate motion is kept constant (Brune, 2014; Duclaux et al., 2020; Jourdon et al., 2020; Philippon et al., 2015; Le Pourhiet et al., 2017). For angles of obliquity lower than ~30° the models with oblique boundary conditions show that strike-slip deformation dominates (Withjack & Jamison, 1986) at the onset of intra-continental rifting. Then, localization leads to strain partitioning between pure strike-slip shear zones and extensional shear zones accommodating a small amount of vertical motion and promoting crustal thinning. However, in these highly oblique cases, the vertical motion is very low and the continental lithosphere thins two times slower than for the same velocity with lower obliquity (Fig. 10) (e.g. Brune et al., 2018). Among the models involving cylindrical boundary conditions but offset or oblique weak zones, this degree of obliquity is never reached due to the free-slip boundary condition. Except in the presence of very efficient mechanical softening processes (Ammann et al., 2017), two rifts develop and never link (e.g. Le Pourhiet et al., 2017). Therefore, to study systems with very high obliquity, models need to take into account limitations associated with the boundary conditions they use.

5.2. Obliquity and offset structures

Brune et al., (2012)~~ and ~~, Brune et al., (2018) and ~~(Christian~~ Heine & Brune, (2014) showed that oblique rifting requires less forces than cylindrical rifting during the deformation of the continental lithosphere. However, transform and strike-slip margins do not represent the majority of non-convergent margins (Mercier de Lépinay et al., 2016). Models suggest that the formation of offset structures is essential ~~to~~ for ~~produce~~ producing the association of strike-slip or transform segments and divergent segments (e.g. Allken et al., 2012; Ammann et al., 2017; Duclaux et al., 2020; Le Pourhiet et al., 2017; Zwaan et al., 2016). Although the formation of offset structures is intrinsic in models involving offset or oblique weak zones and cylindrical boundary conditions, with oblique boundary conditions the deformation does not necessarily develops offset basins linked by strike-slip shear zones (Brune, 2014; Brune et al., 2012). Thermo-mechanical numerical models involving oblique boundary conditions applied to a uniform lithosphere with one straight weak zone (Brune, 2014; Brune et al., 2012) shows that the deformation localizes to progressively form a unique straight shear zone and straight margins. However, the resolution of these experiments was 3 times lower (in each spatial direction) than in our study, which also contributes to different strain localization patterns. Models conducted in this study have the same oblique boundary conditions but present three separated weak zones rather than a continuous one. These weak zones allow more freedom for structures to develop inside the model and favour the localization of offset structures. For low to intermediate obliquity, they favour the formation of offset basins while for high obliquity they favour the formation of offset strike-slip shear zones. As shown on Figure 9, the offset between structures is essential to facilitate strain partitioning and to form divergent and strike-slip segments. In models where basins

Field Code Changed

form aligned ~~to~~ with each other, only one straight transtensional shear zone develops, ~~while where~~whereas in basins (or strike-slip structures) that form with an offset, the deformation partitions between divergent and strike-slip segments (Fig. 11). A first order implication of this result is that although oblique rifting may be ubiquitous (Brune et al., 2018; ~~Mélody~~ Philippon & Corti, 2016) structural inheritance and previous geodynamic events should play an important role in the initial localization pattern of offset structures and therefore transform margins.

5.3. Transform and strike-slip margins

The kinematic conceptual model currently used to interpret and reconstruct the formation of transform margins (e.g. Basile, 2015; Mascle & Blarez, 1987) has already been questioned by thermo-mechanical models (e.g. Le Pourhiet et al. 2017). Indeed, our results show that during the intra-continental oblique rifting phase, the lithosphere does not behave solely as a rigid plate but shear structures are dynamic, and the deformation pattern changes as deformation progresses. The continental lithosphere rheology evolves through time in favour of mechanical and thermal softening (Fig. 12). Therefore, especially for obliquity angle greater ~~that~~ than 30°, it is highly unlikely that transform margins initiate from already segmented ridge-transform fault-ridge system, but rather emerge from the progressive evolution of the stress field coupled with local heterogeneities in the lithosphere. Moreover, although the definition that a transform fault is a strike-slip fault forming a plate boundary parallel to the plate relative motion, analogue and numerical models suggest that except for high obliquities, the strike-slip transfer zones formed during continental extension are not necessarily parallel to the global plate motion (Fig. 11) but to the local velocity field, which contrast with oceanic transform faults that form parallel to the plates motion (Gerya, 2012, 2013). On the one hand, for intermediate to low obliquity cases (45° to 75°), the modelled margins that develop strain partitioning display divergent segments oriented between 90° (orthogonal) and 60°, and strike-slip segments oriented between 20° and 50° with respect to the imposed extension direction (Fig. 11e to 11g). These margins present the first order characteristics of transform margins. Indeed, they display offset basins, strike-slip and divergent segments and rotation of the tectonic structures in the (inner or outer corner) concave and convex transition zones between the strike-slip and the divergent margins. On the other hand, the high obliquity margins develop strike-slip faults parallel to the global relative plate motion. The rift system is no ~~more~~ longer segmented in basins and transfer shear zones but displays pull-apart basins oriented between 0° and 30° with respect to the extension direction (Fig. 11a to 11d). Highly oblique systems, also ~~present a~~have very ~~s~~low extension rates (Fig. 10), a rather cold lithosphere (1300°C isotherm at 120 km while the crust is only few kilometre thick) and small length – large width basins. Our numerical models show that even a small amount of obliquity in the extension direction can result in important obliquity of the rift structures and trend (Fig. 11) and therefore corroborate that “oblique rifting [is] the rule not the exception” (Brune et al., 2018).

6. Comparison with natural cases

Figure 1 shows natural examples of transform margins formed at different obliquities and presenting very different structures. ~~Although~~ ~~T~~theThe numerical models presented in this study are not specifically designed for particular natural rifts, especially in terms of imposed velocities or tectonic inheritances~~.~~, For low to intermediate obliquity rift, the extension rates in the models represent an average of the natural rifting velocity during the evolution of the system. However, for high obliquity systems like the Gulf of California, the extension rates in the models are ~5 times lower. As a consequence, the relatively cold temperature showed in the high obliquity models might be higher in natural systems and could accelerate the strain localization processes. However, they share first order similarities with natural oblique rift systems.

~~Indeed, t~~The Gulf of California shows a rift system with small basins segmented by large scale strike-slip faults and a dynamics very similar to high obliquity extension models. In contrast, the Equatorial Atlantic displays large oceanic basins surrounded by continental margins showing alternating strike-slip transfer zones linking long divergent segments (hundreds of km). The African and South American margins share clearly more similarities with intermediate oblique extension models than highly oblique ones.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0,63 cm

6.1. Intermediate obliquity rift systems

The Equatorial Atlantic margins represent an historic natural case for which the conceptual and kinematic models of transform margins has been established (Basile, 2015; Mascle & Blarez, 1987). The Equatorial Atlantic is part of a larger scale rifting system leading to Gondwana fragmentation and individual offset basins connected by transform faults during the Mesozoic. Continental margins emerging from this major extensional event display individual offset basins connected by transform faults such as the Mozambique-East Antarctica margins (e.g. Thompson et al., 2019), the Central Atlantic margins (e.g. Schettino & Turco, 2009) and the Equatorial Atlantic margins (e.g. Heine et al., 2013). Kinematic reconstructions of the Equatorial Atlantic opening succeed to reconstruct the oceanic opening phase but present gaps, overlaps and misfits of major structures and cratonic bodies for the intra-continental rifting phase. These errors mainly come from the non rigid behaviour of the lithosphere and the locally varying velocity field that cannot be produced in kinematic models. Indeed, our models show that during the intra-continental rifting phase, the stress field, and therefore the structures associated, strongly varies along the rift. However, these variations are not due to ~~plates'~~ changes in plate kinematics~~ changes~~, as the imposed velocity boundary condition is constant in our models, but to a change in the rheological behaviour of the continental lithosphere. Indeed, while the continental lithosphere behaves as a rigid plate when the deformation is localized along its plate boundaries, the intra-plate strain localization process is characterized by the interactions between brittle and ductile domains of the lithosphere. Moreover, the crustal and lithospheric thinning allows advecting warm material from the exhuming mantle intensifying the non rigid behaviour of the lithosphere by increasing the intensity of ductile deformation. Numerical models show that this is precisely during this intra-continental rifting phase that strike-slip structures form and that rotation of early structures occurs (Fig. 12e) (e.g. Duclaux et al., 2020; Neuharth et al., 2021).

In the Equatorial Atlantic rift system, two offset basins (the Central Atlantic basin to the Northwest and the South Atlantic basin to the Southeast) connect in the future Central Atlantic basin forming an East stepping system of dextral strike-slip fault zones (e.g. Heine et al., 2013).

Along the Romanche transform fault (Fig. 1b), the finite deformation shows pull-apart basins with various faults orientations and isolated rotated blocks (e.g. Davison et al., 2016; Mascle & Blarez, 1987). Numerical models especially display structures rotation at the junction between divergent segments and transfer strike-slip shear zones. The rotation of tilted blocks associated with a horsetail splay is also observed at the junction between transform and divergent plate boundaries in analogue experiments as well (e.g. Basile & Brun, 1999).

Finally, the continental deformation along the Romanche Transform fault zone is not a single highly localized strike-slip fault but constitutes a deformation corridor of 40 km to 70 km wide in which normal, reverse, strike-slip faults, or a combination of these are present (Basile et al., 1993; Nemčok et al., 2012). This wide deformation zone in which a main strike-slip structure finally localizes well illustrates the progressive strain localization process as shown in numerical models.

6.2. High obliquity rift systems

The Gulf of California represents the most compelling example of a highly oblique rift system. ~~In relation with~~Located south of the dextral San Andreas Fault system, the Gulf of California is an active plate boundary formed in response to the relative motion between the Pacific and North America plates of ~ 5mm/a (Plattner et al., 2007). At ~12 Ma, subduction beneath Baja California ceased. A major change in plate kinematics occurred and a system of highly oblique extension was established as the current plate boundary localized in the Gulf of California ~8-6 Ma ~~Since ~12 Ma, the cessation of the Pacific plate's subduction beneath the Baja California led to a major change in plate kinematics~~ (Atwater & Stock, 1998; DeMets & Merkouriev, 2016; Lizarralde et al., 2007; McKenzie & Morgan, 1969).~~ This event is responsible of the highly oblique extension in the Gulf of California (Lizarralde et al., 2007)~~. The structural analysis performed on faults and shear zones shows that the average angle between the rift system and the extension direction is ~20° (e.g. Bonini et al., 2019). Moreover, the general trend of normal faults strike in the continental margin shows a NNW orientation while the strike-slip faults display a NW-SE strike, indicating a ~20˚ difference in orientation. ~~orientation. In the exhumed mantle domain~~In the deep basins of ~~-of~~ the northern Gulf of California (where the basement is likely composed of serpentinized mantle, e.g. ~~(J. W.~~ van Wijk et al., 2019), ~~normal~~oblique-slip faults strike NNE-SSW, perpendicularly to the strike-slip faults (Persaud et al., 2003). Several models were proposed to interpret the changes in the surface ~~deformation evolution~~geology through time and space in the Gulf of California ~~between~~ from ~12 Ma ~~and~~ to the present~~-day~~. These models involve two end-members, one implying a progressive change in the deformation regime firstly dominated by extension (between 12 Ma and 6 Ma) and followed by dextral shear (from 6 Ma to present) (e.g. Darin et al., 2016; Spencer & Normark, 1979; Stock & Hodges, 1989) and the other implying a coexistence of strike-slip faults and normal faults since ~12 Ma (e.g. Fletcher et al., 2007; Seiler et al., 2010).

~~The numerical models presented in this study are not specifically designed for particular natural rifts, especially in terms of imposed velocities or tectonic inheritances. However, the~~The high obliquity numerical model (extension angle α=15°) shows striking first order similarities with the Gulf of California rift system and may bring new insights regarding the strain localization in highly oblique rifts such as the Gulf of California. For constant boundary conditions (1 cm/a, 15° obliquity) the strain localizes along normal shear zones forming a ~15° angle with the extension direction located at the boundaries of the rift system while in its central part a large scale strike slip shear zone develops. The deformation regime then evolves to transtension and forms pull-apart basins separated by strike-slip shear zones parallel to the plate motion~~,~~(e.g. Farangitakis et al., 2021; Persaud et al., 2017; van Wijk et al., 2017). The system then reaches a stable partitioned state with large transform faults in the central part of the rift separating pull-apart basins and normal faults on the edges parallel to the rift trend. Moreover, the high obliquity favours horizontal strike-slip motion over vertical motion resulting in a dextral displacement of 200 km while break-up ~~did~~ has still not occurred~~ yet~~. In the Gulf of California the strike-slip motion since the Miocene (~12 Ma) represents roughly 200 km to 300 km (DeMets & Merkouriev, 2016; Stock & Hodges, 1989) depending on whether the northern or central Gulf are considered, including also the Gulf of California Shear Zone in the slip budget (e.g. Bonini et al., 2019).

Therefore, the numerical model tends to show that the deformation could be partitioned since the onset of highly oblique continental rifting, but with a first phase of predominant extension preserved in the continental margin and predominant dextral shear in the nascent oceanic/exhumed mantle domain (Fig. 12a) and a second phase of predominant dextral deformation with pull-apart basins in between (Fig. 12b) followed by the rotation of extensional structures (Fig. 12c).

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Not Italic

Field Code Changed

Conclusion

Numerical models presented in this study show that:

- The strike-slip faults responsible for transform margins formation do not form parallel to the plate motion except for highly oblique extension (α > 30°)
- En-échelon deformation and offset basins are required to develop strike-slip linkage shear zones evolving to transform margin
- Localized strike-slip shear zones form after normal faults once the lithosphere is already thermally and mechanically weakened.
- The lithosphere weakening leads to stress and strain re-orientation under same kinematic boundary conditions

Figures captions

Figure 1: a) Simplified structural map of the Gulf of California rift system (modified from Bonini et al., 2019; Ferrari et al., 2018; Fletcher et al., 2007). Large white arrows display the plate motion between the North American plate and the Pacific plate from Plattner et al., (2007). b) Simplified structural map of the Equatorial Atlantic rift system at 110 Ma modified from Heine et al., (2013). FZ: Fault Zone. Half headed black arrows represent the shearing direction.

Figure 2: Numerical models setup. a) 3D spatial representation of the model domain with the 3 initially damaged zones. b) Schematic representation in map view of the velocity boundary conditions. α is the angle between the velocity vectors and the x direction. c) Yield stress envelopes and initial geotherm of strong and weak lower crust models.

Figure 3: Model 1, Weak lower crust, α = 60°, map views. Left column: Active strain regime, the intensity of the colours depends on the intensity of the second invariant of the strain rate. The background represents the topography with hill shading. The inset plot represents the strike of shear zones. Central column: Plastic strain computed from equation (~~A5~~A6) in the crust and exhumation ages of the mantle below 800°C isotherm. Right column: Beta factor of the crust computed with equation (8).

Figure 4: Model 1, Weak lower crust, α = 60°. Map views and cross-sections of simulated lithologies and second invariant of the strain rate tensor (equation 7).

Figure 5: Model 2, Strong lower crust, α = 45°, map views. Left column: Active strain regime, the intensity of the colours depends on the intensity of the second invariant of the strain rate. The background represents the topography with hill shading. The inset plot represents the strike of shear zones. Central column: Plastic strain computed from equation (~~A5~~A6) in the crust and exhumation ages of the mantle below 800°C isotherm. Right column: Beta factor of the crust computed with equation (8).

Figure 6: Model 2, Strong lower crust, α = 45°. Map views and cross-sections of simulated lithologies and second invariant of the strain rate tensor (equation 7).

Figure 7: Model 3, Strong lower crust, α = 15°, map views. Left column: Active strain regime, the intensity of the colours depends on the intensity of the second invariant of the strain rate. The background represents the topography with hill shading. The inset plot represents the strike of shear

zones. Central column: Plastic strain computed from equation (~~A5~~A6) in the crust and exhumation ages of the mantle below 800°C isotherm. Right column: Beta factor of the crust computed with equation (8).

Figure 8: Model 3, Strong lower crust, α = 15°. Map views and cross-sections of simulated lithologies and second invariant of the strain rate tensor (equation 7).

Figure 9: Map view of the 2 km x 2 km x 2 km resolution models at 30 Myrs. The two left columns display the strong lower crust models while the two right columns display the weak lower crust models. For each model the second invariant of the strain rate tensor and the plastic strain and mantle exhumation age are displayed.

Figure 10: Curves of crustal thickness in a), g) basins, b), h) transition zones, c), i) transfer/strike-slip zones for strong and weak lower crust models. Curves of crustal thinning rate computed as the time derivative of crustal thickness for d), j) basins, e), k) transition zones and f), l) transfer/strike-slip zones for strong and weak lower crust models and different obliquities.

Figure 11: Map view of each model representing the angle of the Ocean-Continent Transition (OCT) and the necking zone with respect to the extension direction. The background represents the topography with hill shading. Models with α = 15° and 45° with a strong lower crust and the model α = 60° with a weak lower crust are high resolution models (model 1, 2 and 3).

Figure 12: Schematic simplified evolution of intracontinental deformation leading to the formation of strike-slip and transform margins for high and intermediate to low obliquity based on numerical models results.

Appendix A

To model the deformation of the lithosphere at geological timescales we use pTatin3D (May et al., 2014, 2015). The code uses an Arbitrary Lagragian-Eulerian (ALE) discretization with the material point method to solve the conservation of momentum:

$$\nabla.(2\eta\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}) - \nabla P = \rho\vec{g} \tag{A1}$$

where η is the non-linear effective viscosity, $\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ the strain rate tensor, P the pressure, ρ the density, $\vec{g}$ the gravity acceleration vector. The conservation of mass is solved for an incompressible fluid:

$$\nabla.\vec{v} = 0 \tag{A2}$$

with $\vec{v}$ as the velocity vector.

To consider the interactions between deformation and temperature, the Stokes flow is coupled with the time dependent advection-diffusion energy conservation law:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \vec{v}.\nabla T = \nabla.(\kappa\nabla T) + \frac{H}{\rho Cp} \tag{A3}$$

where T is the temperature, $\vec{v}$ the velocity vector of the fluid, κ the thermal diffusivity and Cp is the heat capacity. The heat source H is the sum of the radiogenic heat production (eq. 2, in the main text) and the shear heating heat production Hs:

$$Hs = \frac{2\eta\dot{\varepsilon}^{II^2}}{\rho Cp} \tag{A4}$$

According to the Boussinesq approximation the material density may vary with pressure and temperature as:

$$\rho = \rho_0(1 - \alpha(T - T_0) + \beta(P - P_0)) \tag{A5}$$

where ρ_0 is the initial material density, α the thermal expansion coefficient and β the compressibility.

To solve the Stokes flow we use Q2-P1 elements while the energy conservation is solved with a Q1 discretization. The top boundary of the domain is defined with a free surface boundary condition evolving dynamically with the deformation.

Rheological model

The mechanical behaviour of the lithosphere at geological timescales is simulated with a visco-plastic rheology. The brittle parts of the lithosphere are simulated with the Drucker-Prager pseudo-plastic yield criterion adapted to continuum mechanics:

$$\eta_p = \frac{C\cos(\phi) + P\sin(\phi)}{\dot{\varepsilon}^{II}} \tag{A6}$$

where C is the cohesion (20 MPa), ϕ the friction coefficient, P the pressure and $\dot{\varepsilon}^{II}$ the second invariant of the strain rate tensor. To simulate the mechanical softening in brittle faults, we apply a simple linear decrease of the friction angle from 30° to 5° with accumulated plastic strain from 0 to 1 (equation 3 in main text). Moreover, laboratory experiments show that under high confining pressures (> 1GPa) rocks no more behave as brittle but as plastic materials (e.g. Kameyama et al., 1999; Precigout et al., 2007). To consider that change we limit the Drucker-Prager yield stress to a maximum deviatoric stress of 400 MPa according to the findings in Watremez et al. (2013).

The ductile deformation is modelled with the Arrhenius flow law for dislocation creep:

$$\eta_v = A^{-\frac{1}{n}} \left(\dot{\varepsilon}^{II}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \exp\left(\frac{Q+PV}{nRT}\right) \quad \text{(A7)}$$

where A, n and Q are material dependant parameters (see Table 1), R is the gas constant and V is the activation volume.

Acknowledgment

We thank~~s~~ the two reviewers Patricia Persaud and Sascha Brune for their constructive reviews which contributed improve the manuscript. We also thank the editor Susanne Buiter for considering our work.

Formatted: Normal, Justified

Formatted: Font:

Code availability

Models of this study were produced with pTatin (May et al., 2014, 2015), an open source code for geodynamics modelling publicly available at: https://bitbucket.org/ptatin/ptatin3d/src/master/.

Authors contribution

Anthony Jourdon designed, ran and post-processed the models, wrote the manuscript and produced the figures. Charlie Kergaravat and Guillaume Duclaux contributed to results discussion and interpretation, figures production and writing of the manuscript. Caroline Huguen contributed to the conceptualization of the study.

Competing interests

Three of the authors were or are employed by the energy company TOTAL S.A. Models computing was done on TOTAL's Pangea supercomputer.

References

Agostini, A., Corti, G., Zeoli, A., & Mulugeta, G. (2009). Evolution, pattern, and partitioning of deformation during oblique continental rifting: Inferences from lithospheric-scale centrifuge models. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *10*(11). https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GC002676

Allken, V., Huismans, R. S., & Thieulot, C. (2012). Factors controlling the mode of rift interaction in brittle-ductile coupled systems: A 3D numerical study. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *13*(5), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GC004077

Ammann, N., Liao, J., Gerya, T., & Ball, P. (2017). Oblique continental rifting and long transform fault formation based on 3D thermomechanical numerical modeling. *Tectonophysics*, (February), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.08.015

Atwater, T., & Stock, J. (1998). Pacific North America plate tectonics of the Neogene southwestern United States: an update. *International Geology Review*, *40*(5), 375–402. https://doi.org/10.1080/00206819809465216

Basile, C. (2015). Tectonophysics Transform continental margins — part 1 : Concepts and models. *Tectonophysics*, *661*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.08.034

Basile, C., & Brun, J. P. (1999). Transtensional faulting patterns ranging from pull-apart basins to

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

transform continental margins: An experimental investigation. *Journal of Structural Geology*, *21*(1), 23–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(98)00094-7

Basile, C., Mascle, J., Popoff, M., Bouillin, J. P., & Mascle, G. (1993). The Ivory Coast-Ghana transform margin : a marginal ridge structure deduced from seismic data. *Tectonophysics*, *222*, 1–19.

Basile, C., Maillard, A., Patriat, M., Gaullier, V., Loncke, L., Roest, W., … Pattier, F. (2013). Structure and evolution of the demerara plateau, offshore french guiana: Rifting, tectonic inversion and post-rift tilting at transform-divergent margins intersection. *Tectonophysics*, *591*, 16–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2012.01.010

Bellahsen, N., Leroy, S., Autin, J., Razin, P., d'Acremont, E., Sloan, H., … Khanbari, K. (2013). Pre-existing oblique transfer zones and transfer/transform relationships in continental margins: New insights from the southeastern Gulf of Aden, Socotra Island, Yemen. *Tectonophysics*, *607*, 32–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.07.036

Bonini, M., Cerca, M., Moratti, G., López-Martínez, M., Corti, G., & Gracia-Marroquín, D. (2019). Strain partitioning in highly oblique rift settings: Inferences from the southwestern margin of the Gulf of California (Baja California Sur, México). *Tectonics*, *38*(12), 4426–4453. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019TC005566

Brune, S. (2014). Evolution of stress and fault patterns in oblique rift systems: 3-D numerical lithospheric-scale experiments from rift to breakup. *Geochemistry*, *Geophysics*, *Geosystems*, *15*, 3392–3415. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005446.Received

Brune, S., & Autin, J. (2013). The rift to break-up evolution of the Gulf of Aden: Insights from 3D numerical lithospheric-scale modelling. *Tectonophysics*, *607*, 65–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.06.029

Brune, S., Williams, S. E., & Dietmar Müller, R. (2018). Oblique rifting: The rule, not the exception. *Solid Earth*, *9*(5), 1187–1206. https://doi.org/10.5194/se-9-1187-2018

Brune, S., Corti, G., & Ranalli, G. (2017). Controls of inherited lithospheric heterogeneity on rift linkage: Numerical and analog models of interaction between the Kenyan and Ethiopian rifts across the Turkana depression. *Tectonics*, *36*(9), 1767–1786. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004739

Brune, S., Popov, A. A., & Sobolev, S. V. (2012). Modeling suggests that oblique extension facilitates rifting and continental break-up. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *117*(B08402), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008860

Buchmann, T. J., & Connolly, P. T. (2007). Contemporary kinematics of the Upper Rhine Graben: A 3D finite element approach. *Global and Planetary Change*, *58*(1–4), 287–309. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2007.02.012

Le Calvez, J., & Vendeville, B. (2002). Experimental designs to model along-strike fault interaction fault interaction. *Journal of the Virtual Explorer*, *7*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3809/jvirtex.2002.00043

Clifton, A. E., Schlische, R. W., Withjack, M. O., & Ackermann, R. V. (2000). Influence of rift obliquity on fault-population systematics: Results of experimental clay models. *Journal of Structural Geology*, *22*(10), 1491–1509. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(00)00043-2

Corti, G. (2012). Tectonophysics Evolution and characteristics of continental rifting : Analog modeling-inspired view and comparison with examples from the East African Rift System. *Tectonophysics*, *522–523*, 1–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2011.06.010

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Darin, M. H., Bennett, S. E. K., Dorsey, R. J., Oskin, M. E., & Iriondo, A. (2016). Late Miocene extension in coastal Sonora, México: Implications for the evolution of dextral shear in the proto-Gulf of California oblique rift. *Tectonophysics*, *693*, 378–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.04.038

Davison, I., Faull, T., Greenhalgh, J., Beirne, E. O., & Steel, I. (2016). Transpressional structures and hydrocarbon potential along the Romanche Fracture Zone: a review. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, *431*, 235–248. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP431.2

Delvaux, D., Moeys, R., Stapel, G., Petit, C., Levi, K., Miroshnichenko, A., … San'kov, V. (1997). Paleostress reconstructions and geo- dynamics of the Baikal region, Central Asia, Part 2. Cenozoic rifting. *Tectonophysics*, *282*, 1–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(97)00210-2

DeMets, C., & Merkouriev, S. (2016). High-resolution reconstructions of Pacific-North America plate motion: 20 Ma to present. *Geophysical Journal International*, *207*(2), 741–773. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggw305

Duclaux, G., Huismans, R. S., & May, D. A. (2020). Rotation , narrowing , and preferential reactivation of brittle structures during oblique rifting. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *531*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115952

Farangitakis, G. P., McCaffrey, K. J. W., Willingshofer, E., Allen, M. B., Kalnins, L. M., van Hunen, J., … Sokoutis, D. (2021). The structural evolution of pull-apart basins in response to changes in plate motion. *Basin Research*, *33*(2), 1603–1625. https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12528

Ferrari, L., Orozco-Esquivel, T., Bryan, S. E., López-Martínez, M., & Silva-Fragoso, A. (2018). Cenozoic magmatism and extension in western Mexico: Linking the Sierra Madre Occidental silicic large igneous province and the Comondú Group with the Gulf of California rift. *Earth-Science Reviews*, *183*(June 2016), 115–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.04.006

Fletcher, J. M., Grove, M., Kimbrough, D., Lovera, O., & Gehrels, G. E. (2007). Ridge-trench interactions and the Neogene tectonic evolution of the Magdalena shelf and southern Gulf of California: Insights from detrital zircon U-Pb ages from the Magdalena fan and adjacent areas. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America*, *119*(11–12), 1313–1336. https://doi.org/10.1130/B26067.1

Gerya, T. (2012). Origin and models of oceanic transform faults. *Tectonophysics*, *522–523*, 34–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2011.07.006

Gerya, T., & Burov, E. (2018). Nucleation and evolution of ridge-ridge-ridge triple junctions : Thermomechanical model and geometrical theory. *Tectonophysics*, *746*, 83–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.10.020

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Gerya, T. V. (2013). Three-dimensional thermomechanical modeling of oceanic spreading initiation and evolution. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, *214*, 35–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2012.10.007

Heine, C., Zoethout, J., & Müller, R. D. (2013). Kinematics of the South Atlantic rift. *Solid Earth*, *4*(2), 215–253. https://doi.org/10.5194/se-4-215-2013

Heine, Christian, & Brune, S. (2014). Oblique rifting of the equatorial atlantic: Why there is no saharan atlantic ocean. *Geology*, *42*(3), 211–214. https://doi.org/10.1130/G35082.1

Hergert, T., & Heidbach, O. (2011). Geomechanical model of the Marmara Sea region—II. 3-D contemporary background stress field. *Geophysical Journal International*, *185*, 1090–1102. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.04992.x

Hirth, G., & Kohlstedt, D. L. (2003). Rheology of the Upper Mantle and the Mantle Wedge: A View from the Experimentalists. *Geophysical Monograph*, *138*, 83–105.

Jourdon, A., Le Pourhiet, L., Mouthereau, F., & Masini, E. (2019). Role of rift maturity on the architecture and shortening distribution in mountain belts. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *512*, 89–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.01.057

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Jourdon, A., Le Pourhiet, L., Mouthereau, F., & May, D. (2020). Modes of Propagation of Continental Breakup and Associated Oblique Rift Structures. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *125*(9), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JB019906

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Kameyama, M., Yuen, D. A., & Karato, S. (1999). Thermal-mechanical effects of low-temperature plasticity (the Peierls mechanism) on the deformation of a viscoelastic shear zone. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *168*, 159–172.

Langemeyer, S. M., Lowman, J. P., & Tackley, P. J. (2021). Global mantle convection models produce transform offsets along divergent plate boundaries. *Communications Earth & Environment*, *2*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00139-1

Liao, J., & Gerya, T. (2015). From continental rifting to sea fl oor spreading : Insight from 3D thermo-mechanical modeling. *Gondwana Research*, *28*(4), 1329–1343. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2014.11.004

Lizarralde, D., Axen, G. J., Brown, H. E., Fletcher, J. M., González-Fernández, A., Harding, A. J., … Umhoefer, P. J. (2007). Variation in styles of rifting in the Gulf of California. *Nature*, *448*(7152), 466–469. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06035

Lorenzo, J. M., & Vera, E. E. (1992). Thermal uplift and erosion across the continent-ocean transform boundary of the southern Exmouth Plateau. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *108*(1), 79–92. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(92)90061-Y

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Mart, Y., & Dauteuil, O. (2000). Analogue experiments of propagation of oblique rifts. *Tectonophysics*, *316*, 121–132.

Mascle, J., & Blarez, E. (1987). Evidence for transform margin evolution from the Ivory Coast–Ghana continental margin. *Nature*, *326*(6111), 378–381.

May, D. A., Brown, J., & Le Pourhiet, L. (2014). pTatin3D : High-Performance Methods for Long-Term Lithospheric Dynamics. *Proceeding SC'14 Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis:*, 274–284.

May, D. A., Brown, J., & Le Pourhiet, L. (2015). A scalable , matrix-free multigrid preconditioner for finite element discretizations of heterogeneous Stokes flow. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, *290*, 496–523. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2015.03.014

McKenzie, D., & Morgan, W. J. (1969). Evolution of Triple Junctions. *Nature*, *224*, 125–133. https://doi.org/10.1038/224125a0

Mercier de Lépinay, M., Loncke, L., Basile, C., Roest, W. R., Patriat, M., Maillard, A., & De Clarens, P. (2016). Transform continental margins – Part 2 : A worldwide review. *Tectonophysics*, *693*, 96–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.05.038

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Milani, E. J., & Davison, I. (1988). Basement control and transfer tectonics in the Recôncavo-Tucano-Jatobá rift, Northeast Brazil. *Tectonophysics*, *154*(1–2). https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(88)90227-2

Mondy, L. S., Rey, P. F., Duclaux, G., & Moresi, L. (2018). The role of asthenospheric flow during rift propagation and breakup. *Geology*, *46*(2), 103–106.

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Nemčok, M., Sinha, S. T., Stuart, C. J., Welker, C., Choudhuri, M., Sharma, S. P., … Venkatraman, S. (2012). East Indian margin evolution and crustal architecture: integration of deep reflection seismic interpretation and gravity modelling. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, *369*, 477–496. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP369.6

Neuharth, D., Brune, S., Glerum, A., Heine, C., & Welford, J. K. (2021). Formation of continental microplates through rift linkage: Numerical modelling and its application to the Flemish Cap and Sao Paulo Plateau. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020gc009615

Parsiegla, N., Stankiewicz, J., Gohl, K., Ryberg, T., & Uenzelmann-Neben, G. (2009). Southern African continental margin: Dynamic processes of a transform margin. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *10*(3). https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GC002196

Persaud, P., Tan, E., Contreras, J., & Lavier, L. (2017). A bottom-driven mechanism for distributed faulting in the Gulf of California rift. *Tectonophysics*, *719–720*, 51–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.11.024

Persaud, P., Stock, J. M., Steckler, M. S., Martín-Barajas, A., Diebold, J. B., González-Fernández, A., & Mountain, G. S. (2003). Active deformation and shallow structure of the Wagner, Consag, and Delfín Basins, northern Gulf of California, Mexico. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *108*(B7). https://doi.org/10.1029/2002jb001937

Philippon, M., Willingshofer, E., Sokoutis, D., Corti, G., Sani, F., Bonini, M., & Cloetingh, S. (2015). Slip re-orientation in oblique rifts. *Geology*, *43*(2), 147–150. https://doi.org/10.1130/G36208.1

Philippon, Mélody, & Corti, G. (2016). Obliquity along plate boundaries. *Tectonophysics*, *693*, 171–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.05.033

Plattner, C., Malservisi, R., Dixon, T. H., Lafemina, P., Sella, G. F., Fletcher, J., & Suarez-Vidal, F. (2007). New constraints on relative motion between the Pacific Plate and Baja California microplate (Mexico) from GPS measurements. *Geophysical Journal International*, *170*(3), 1373–1380. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2007.03494.x

Le Pourhiet, L., May, D. A., Huille, L., Watremez, L., & Leroy, S. (2017). A genetic link between transform and hyper-extended margins. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *465*, 184–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.02.043

Le Pourhiet, L., Chamot-Rooke, N., Delescluse, M., May, D. A., Watremez, L., & Pubellier, M. (2018). Continental break-up of the South China Sea stalled by far-field compression. *Nature Geoscience*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0178-5

Precigout, J., Gueydan, F., Gapais, D., Garrido, C. J., & Essaifi, A. (2007). Strain localisation in the subcontinental mantle — a ductile alternative to the brittle mantle. *Tectonophysics*, *445*, 318–336. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2007.09.002

Ranalli, G., & Murphy, D. C. (1987). Rheological stratification of the lithosphere. *Tectonophysics*, *132*, 281–295.

Rybacki, E., & Dresen, G. (2000). Dislocation and diffusion creep of synthetic anorthite aggregates. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *105*(B11), 26017–26036. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JB900223

Schettino, A., & Turco, E. (2009). Breakup of Pangaea and plate kinematics of the central Atlantic and Atlas regions. *Geophysical Journal International*, *178*(2), 1078–1097. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2009.04186.x

Scrutton, R. A. (1979). On Sheared Passive Continental Margins. *Developments in Geotectonics*, *15*,

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

293–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-41851-7.50020-0

Seiler, C., Fletcher, J. M., Quigley, M. C., Gleadow, A. J. W., & Kohn, B. P. (2010). Neogene structural evolution of the Sierra San Felipe, Baja California: Evidence for proto-gulf transtension in the Gulf Extensional Province? *Tectonophysics*, *488*(1–4), 87–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2009.09.026

Simpson, R. W. (1997). Quantifying Anderson's fault types. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *102*(17), 909–919. https://doi.org/199710.1029/97JB01274

Spencer, J. E., & Normark, W. R. (1979). Tosco-Abreojos fault zone: A Neogene transform plate boundary within the Pacific margin of southern Baja California, Mexico. *Geology*, *7*(11), 554–557. https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1979)7<554:TFZANT>2.0.CO;2

Stock, J. M., & Hodges, V. K. (1989). Pre-Pliocene extension around the Gulf of California and the transfer of Baja California to the Pacific plate. *Tectonics*, *8*(1), 99–115.

Suckro, S. K., Gohl, K., Funck, T., Heyde, I., Schreckenberger, B., Gerlings, J., & Damm, V. (2013). The davis strait crust-a transform margin between two oceanic basins. *Geophysical Journal International*, *193*(1), 78–97. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggs126

Taylor, B., Goodliffe, A., & Martinez, F. (2009). Initiation of transform faults at rifted continental margins. *Comptes Rendus Geoscience*, *341*(5), 428–438. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2008.08.010

Thompson, J. O., Moulin, M., Aslanian, D., de Clarens, P., & Guillocheau, F. (2019). New starting point for the Indian Ocean: Second phase of breakup for Gondwana. *Earth-Science Reviews*, *191*, 26–56. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.01.018

Tron, V., & Brun, J. P. (1991). Experiments on oblique rifting in brittle-ductile systems. *Tectonophysics*, *188*(1–2), 71–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(91)90315-J

Turcotte, D. L., & Schubert, G. (2002). *Geodynamics*. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Second Edition*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s007690000247

Watremez, L., Burov, E., D'Acremont, E., Leroy, S., Huet, B., Le Pourhiet, L., & Bellahsen, N. (2013). Buoyancy and localizing properties of continental mantle lithosphere: Insights from thermomechanical models of the eastern Gulf of Aden. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *14*(8), 2800–2817. https://doi.org/10.1002/ggge.20179

van Wijk, J., Axen, G., & Abera, R. (2017). Initiation, evolution and extinction of pull-apart basins: Implications for opening of the Gulf of California. *Tectonophysics*, *719–720*, 37–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.04.019

van Wijk, J. W., Heyman, S. P., Axen, G. J., & Persaud, P. (2019). Nature of the crust in the northern Gulf of California and Salton trough. *Geosphere*, *15*(5), 1598–1616. https://doi.org/10.1130/GES02082.1

Withjack, M. O., & Jamison, W. R. (1986). Deformation produced by oblique rifting. *Tectonophysics*, *126*(2–4), 99–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(86)90222-2

Zwaan, F., Schreurs, G., Naliboff, J., & Buiter, S. J. H. (2016). Insights into the effects of oblique extension on continental rift interaction from 3D analogue and numerical models. *Tectonophysics*, *693*, 239–260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.02.036

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)