Orogenic lithosphere and slabs in the greater Alpine area - Interpretation of mantle structure in the Alps-Apennines-Pannonian region from teleseismic Vp studies
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Abstract
Based on recent results of AlpArray, we propose a new model of Alpine collision that involves subduction and detachment of anomalously thick (180-200 km) European lithosphere. Our approach combines teleseismic P-wave tomography and existing Local Earthquake Tomography (LET) allowing us to image the Alpine slabs and their connections with the overlying orogenic lithosphere at an unprecedented resolution. The images call into question the conventional notion that slabs comprise only seismically fast lithosphere and suggest that the mantle of parts of the downgoing European Plate is heterogeneous, containing both positive and negative Vp anomalies of up to 5-6%. We attribute these to seismic anisotropy and/or compositional differences inherited from the Variscan and pre-Variscan orogenic cycle, rather than to thermal anomalies. In contrast, the lithosphere of the Adriatic Plate is thinner (100-120 km) and has a lower boundary approximately at the interface between positive and negative Vp anomalies.

Horizontal and vertical tomographic slices reveal that beneath the Central and Western Alps, the European slab dips steeply to the S and SE and is only locally still attached to the Alpine lithosphere. However, in the Eastern Alps and Carpathians, this slab is completely detached from the orogenic crust and dips steeply to the N-NE. This along-strike change in attachment coincides with an abrupt decrease in Moho depth below the Tauern Window, the Moho being underlain by a pronounced negative Vp anomaly that reaches eastward into the Pannonian Basin area. This negative Vp anomaly is interpreted to represent hot upwelling asthenosphere that heated the overlying crust, allowing it to accommodate Neogene orogen-parallel lateral extrusion and thinning of the ALCAPA tectonic unit (upper plate crustal edifice of Alps and Carpathians) to the east. A European origin of the northward-dipping, detached slab segment beneath the Eastern Alps is likely since its imaged down-dip length matches estimated Tertiary shortening in the Eastern Alps accommodated by originally south-dipping subduction of European lithosphere.

A slab anomaly beneath the Dinarides is of Adriatic origin and dips to the northeast. There is no evidence that this slab dips beneath the Alps. The slab anomaly beneath the northern Apennines, also of Adriatic origin, hangs subvertically and is detached from the Apenninic orogenic crust and foreland. Except for its northernmost segment where it locally overlies the southern end of the European slab of the Alps, this slab is clearly separated from the latter by a broad zone of low Vp velocities located south of the Alpine slab beneath the Po Basin. Considered as a whole, the slabs of the Alpine chain are interpreted as highly attenuated, largely detached sheets of continental margin and Alpine Tethyan oceanic lithosphere that locally reach down to a slab graveyard in the Mantle Transition Zone (MTZ).

1 Introduction
The prevailing paradigm of mountain building in the greater Alpine area (Fig. 1) involves subduction of European continental lithosphere that is some 100-120 km thick beneath the upper Adriatic Plate, lithosphere thickness being based largely on seismological criteria (Jones et al., 2010; Geissler et al., 2010; Kissling et al., 2006). We refer to this as the standard lithosphere model of continental subduction to distinguish it from a new model here involving the subduction and partial detachment of much thicker, compositionally heterogeneous European mantle. We base this model on recent P-wave images of the AlpArray seismological campaign (Paffrath et al., 2021b) presented below.

We use the terms plate and slab in a strictly structural-kinematic sense to refer to bodies of compositionally heterogeneous mantle and crust that have moved coherently with respect to markers in both the mantle and at the surface. As pointed out by Artemieva (2011), different
geophysical techniques have given rise to a multitude of definitions of the lithosphere based on seismic, thermal, electrical, rheological, and petrological properties. Our definition therefore differs from strictly seismological definitions, which treat plates as seismically fast mantle lithosphere (e.g., Piromallo & Morelli, 2003; Wortel & Spakman, 2000). Implicit in the structural-kinematic definition of lithosphere we use is that the base of the lithosphere is a shear zone that accommodates the relative motion of plates.

Figure 1: Tectonic map of the Alpine chain and its foreland, including Variscan units. Thin red lines – main Tertiary tectonic faults after Schmid et al. (2004) and (2008); Thin black lines – tectonic lineaments separating Variscan tectonometamorphic domains after Franke (2017, 2000), Mazur et al. (2020), and Schulmann et al. (2014). Blue lines are traces of vertical tomographic profiles in Figs. 3-7. The numbers of the traces are in accordance with their appearance in Appendix A. Thick red line along the NAF marks the Oligo-Miocene plate boundary in the Alps; Yellow line along the SAF marks the presently active plate boundary in the Alps; Green units are the Adria-Europe suture marking the Late Cretaceous-to-late Eocene plate boundary.


As in other orogenic belts, the standard model of lithospheric subduction in the Alps was initially supported by teleseismic body-wave studies showing fast seismic velocity anomalies dipping...
beneath the Alpine-Mediterranean mountain belts (Wortel and Spakman, 2000). They are often inclined in the same direction as the dipping Moho that define the base of the orogenic crust (Waldhauser et al., 2002; Lipititsch et al., 2003; Spada et al., 2013). These seismically fast domains are inferred to reflect negative temperature anomalies that mark descending slabs of cold subcontinental lithospheric mantle, whereas positive anomalies at the base of, and surrounding, these seismically fast domains are often interpreted as warm asthenospheric mantle (e.g., Spakman and Wortel, 2004). In the Alps, the base of the subducting European Plate has thus been taken to be the boundary between seismically fast and slow domains (respectively, blue and red domains in most tomographic slices), whereas its top is the interface with the upper Adriatic Plate.

Seismological studies in the Western Alps have shown that this interface includes subducted crust down to depths of > 90 km (Lipititsch et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2015, 2016), corroborating geological evidence of deeply subducted and exhumed fragments of oceanic and continental crust (e.g., Chopin, 1984; Schertl et al., 1991) and mantle (Brener and Brey, 1997) preserved in the Alps (Agard and Handy, 2021).

When assessing the geometry of subduction and plate boundaries in the Alps, it is important to distinguish the Late Cretaceous-Paleogene Adria-Europe subduction plate boundary represented by the Tethyan ophiolite belt along the Alps (Fig. 1) from the Oligo-Miocene collisional boundary exposed along the northern Alpine orogenic front (labeled NAF in Fig. 1). Both of these boundaries differ from the Pliocene-to-active plate boundary along the Southern Alpine Front (SAF in Fig. 1). In the analysis below, these differently aged boundaries provide important kinematic and time constraints for the tectonic interpretation of mantle anomalies. None of these geological boundaries coincide at the surface, nor are they expected to merge at depth given that the Alps have experience changes in the amount and direction of shortening with time (Schmid et al., 2004; Handy et al., 2010). This is especially true of the eastern part of the Alps, where Paleogene N-S shortening and subduction has given way to Mio-Pliocene eastward lateral extrusion of orogenic crust and possibly upper mantle (e.g., Ratschbacher et al., 1991) that is still ongoing during continued Adria-Europe N-S convergence (e.g., Grenzerzy et al., 2005; Serpelloni et al., 2016).

Controversy on Alpine subduction has arisen because the SE dip of the Alpine slab anomaly in the Central and Western Alps (Lipititsch et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2015, Lyu et al. 2017) indicating “classical” SE-directed subduction of the European slab (e.g., Argand, 1924; Pflifner et al., 1997; Schmid et al., 1996) contrasts with a dip to the NE of a positive Vp slab anomaly in the eastern part of the chain, i.e., east of 12-13°E in Fig. 1 (Lipititsch et al., 2003; Mitterbauer et al., 2011; Karosovov et al., 2013, Zhao et al. 2016). This NE dip is inconsistent with SE-directed Alpine subduction inferred from the uniformly S-dip and N- to NW-directed shear sense indicators of sutured oceanic lithosphere and crustal nappes all along the Alps (e.g., Schmid et al., 2004), including the Eastern Alps (e.g., Handy et al., 2010 and refs. therein). The plate tectonic affinity of this part of the slab beneath the Eastern Alps therefore remains unclear and debated. Proponents of a European origin propose the existence of a very steeply NE-dipping overturned to subvertically oriented slab that detached from the crust east of the Tauern Window (Mitterbauer et al., 2011; Rosenberg et al., 2018). Proponents of an Adriatic origin of this slab based their interpretation on the tomographic images of Lipititsch et al. (2013; their Fig. 13c) that depict a moderately NE-dipping slab still attached to the still undeformed parts of the Adriatic Plate. They therefore proposed a late-stage reversal of subduction polarity (Schmid et al., 2004; Kissling et al., 2006; Handy et al., 2015). A recent review by Kästle et al. (2020) that also takes surface wave tomography into consideration considers the possibility that this slab has a combined European-Adriatic origin, as discussed in Handy et al. (2015).
In this paper, we interpret vertical and horizontal tomographic slices of the Alps generated by integrating crustal and mantle tomographic P-wave models gleaned from the AlpArray seismological network (Hetényi et al., 2018). This new method, described in the next section and in detail in Paffrath et al. (2021b), employs teleseismic tomography and integrates the crust/uppermost mantle models of Diehl et al. (2009) and Tesiuro et al. (2008) as a priori information into the teleseismic inversion, weighted according to its reliability. This allows us to image the Alpine slabs and their potential connections with the orogenic edifice as well as the fore- and hinterland lithospheres at an unprecedented resolution. The images presented in sections 3 and 4 call into question the conventional notion based on seismological criteria that slabs comprise only seismically fast mantle lithosphere that is some 100-120 km thick. Instead, they suggest that the down-going European Plate in the Alps is much thicker and contains positive and negative seismic anomalies inherited from pre-Alpine (Variscan) events that, given their age, are likely to be of structural and compositional, rather than thermal nature. In section 5, we showcase evidence for large-scale delamination of the slabs in the Alps and northern Apennines, with slabs that have been partly to entirely detached from their orogenic edifices. The discussion in section 6 revisits the debate on subduction polarity in light of the new data and touches on some implications of widespread delamination and slab detachment for crustal seismicity and foreland basin evolution.

We conclude with a conceptual 3-D visualization of mantle structure beneath the Alps and Apennines that serves as a vehicle for assessing the interaction of slabs and asthenosphere at depths down to the Mantle Transition Zone (MTZ).

2. Methodology

The images of velocity anomalies in this paper are derived from a 3D-model of P-wave velocity in the crust and mantle below the greater Alpine region (Paffrath et al., 2021b). This is obtained by tomographic inversion of teleseismic P-wave travel time residuals measured on records of the AlpArray Seismic Network (Paffrath et al., 2021a). Travel time residual is the difference between the observed and a theoretical travel time calculated for a standard earth model. Calculation of the travel-time residuals and the inversion procedure are described here in turn, as outlined in Paffrath et al. (2021b, their chap. 2 and Appendix A1).

The travel-time database comprises 162366 onsets of 331 teleseismic earthquakes of magnitude 5.5 or higher at epicentral distances between 35 and 135 degrees occurring between January 2015 and July 2019. Paffrath et al. (2021b) subtracted the array average from these residuals on an event-to-event basis. This procedure avoids errors in earthquake origin time and reduces influences of heterogeneous earth structure outside the inversion domain (see Paffrath et al., 2021a) on obtaining highly accurate travel-time residuals suitable for teleseismic inversion.

Inversion of the travel-time residuals to obtain the P-wave velocity perturbations in the depth slices and profiles of Vp anomalies below is a complex process. The aim of inversion is to find a model that reduces the misfit between the observations and predictions of travel times to a certain threshold value. Iteration of the inversion ends if either the uncertainties or if the misfit reduction stagnates when executing further iterations.

Because teleseismic waves propagate subvertically through the crust, the resolution of strongly heterogeneous crust is poor. Correcting for heterogeneities requires a velocity model of the crust, termed an a priori model (e.g., Kissling, 1993), that is based on independent data, e.g., reflection and refraction seismics, receiver functions, local earthquake tomography. The standard correction method involves computing travel-time residuals for the crustal model on the assumption of idealized wave fronts, then subtracting these residuals from the observed residuals.
This oversimplifies the true ray paths, which are refracted in the crust and underlying mantle depending on vertical and azimuthal incidence. The novel approach of Paffrath et al. (2012b) entails creating a starting model for inversion by superposing a 1-D standard earth model (here taken to be model AK135 of Kennett et al., 1995) and a 3-D g priori model of the crust and uppermost mantle, then damping the inversion according to the reliability of the g priori model (see below and Paffrath et al., 2021b on inversion regularisation). The purpose of the g priori model is to account for strongly heterogeneous velocity structure, particularly in the crust. In constructing their g priori crustal model, Paffrath et al. (2021b) discretize the EuCRUST-07 model of Tesauro et al. (2008) and the fully three-dimensional, high-resolution P-wave velocity model of Diehl et al. (2009) for the central Alpine region. In addition, information on Moho depth in the Alpine region is refined using the study of Spada et al. (2013). The P-wave velocity at a given point in the g priori model is a weighted average of the Diehl and Tesauro models, with weights depending on the reliability of Diehl’s model as measured by the values of the diagonal elements of the resolution matrix (RDE). For values of RDE above 0.15 the crustal model is dominated by Diehl’s model, whereas for values below, the model of Tesauro et al. (2008) takes over smoothly (see Paffrath et al. 2001b, their Fig. 2 for the areas in which these models dominate). For regions of the inversion domain beyond the extent of the g priori crustal model, Paffrath et al. (2021b) assume the modified standard earth model AK135 of Kennett et al. (1995) and the 1D-reference model used by Diehl et al. (2009). The advantage of this multifaceted approach is that it provides a comprehensive model of crust and mantle structure that allows for refined interpretation of the orogenic crust and its transition to the underlying mantle lithosphere, including subducted slabs. Paffrath et al. (2021b) assess the resolution of mantle structures imaged in this study by employing different general tests, as well as very specific resolution tests that focus on crucial, smaller scale structures in the Alps, e.g., gaps and different dip directions of slabs. Among the general tests are two checkerboard tests which regularly alter the velocity of the mantle in a synthetic model by applying a perturbation of +/- 10% in P-wave velocity on different cell sizes of 2 x 2 x 3 grid points and 3 x 3 x 4 grid points (Fig. 7 in Paffrath et al. 2021b). Gaps between the cells remain unperturbed in order to analyse smearing throughout the irradiated model domain.

Checkerboard tests show that, due to the uneven event distribution, smearing is more prominent in the NW-SE direction (Paffrath et al. 2021b, their Figs. 8-9). Hence, velocity anomalies in cross sections of slabs that dip in this vertical plane tend to be elongated in a down dip direction and lose amplitude, whereas structures trending perpendicular to this direction tend to be broadened along strike of the slab (Paffrath et al. 2021b, their Fig. 10). Generally, vertical smearing is greater at shallow depths and horizontal smearing increases with depth. Whereas the general recovery of the positions of the coarse checkerboard anomalies (75 x 75 x 60 km) is excellent down to the bottom of the inversion domain at 600 km, the amount of smearing increases with depth, decreasing the resolution below ~400 km to several tens of kilometers.

For smaller scale anomalies (50 x 50 x 45 km), recovery of the pattern in checkerboard tests is impeded already below ~300 km depth, where smearing in the NW-SE direction as well as with depth becomes more significant. Also, the amplitude of these smaller anomalies decreases strongly at greater depths. Paffrath et al. (2021b) state that anomalies below the 600 km depth marking the lower boundary of their inversion domain may be amplified and thus appear to lie above this boundary. This is due to the hybrid approach of their tomography which only accounts for three-dimensional velocity perturbations within the inversion domain. To conclude this section, Paffrath et al. (2021b) show that their source-receiver setup is able to distinguish fundamental differences in the...
geometry of slabs on the scale of tens to hundreds of km, thus aiding us in interpreting these structures.

3. Mantle velocity structure

For highlighting and interpreting the major mantle structures, we found it useful to trace contours of both positive and negative velocity anomalies in horizontal tomographic depth slices, then superpose these traces on the tectonic map of the Alps (Fig. 2) and compare them with anomaly contours in profiles across the orogen (Figs. 3-6). The surface location of the aforementioned plate boundaries on these profiles are used as markers (e.g., Fig. 7). Also included in the profiles is the trace of the 7.25 km/s iso-velocity contour from the P-wave local earthquake tomography images of Diehl (2009). We use this contour as a proxy for the Moho in the entire Alps in lieu of other Moho models which are either local in their coverage (e.g., Behm et al., 2007; Brückl et al., 2007 in the Eastern Alps) or were found to provide inconsistent estimates of the Moho depth (Spada et al., 2013, e.g., in the Apennines and Ligurian region). The reader is referred to Kind et al. (2021) for a reassessment of Moho depth. The crustal structure in the profiles is taken from Alpine cross sections of Schmid et al. (2004, 2013, 2017) and Cassano et al. (1986), whereas schematic Variscan crustal cross sections are from Franke et al. (2017), Franke (2020), Mazur et al. (2020) and Schulmann et al. (2014).

Figure 2: Horizontal Vp tomographic slice at 120 km depth in the mantle. Blue and red areas represent positive (Vp) and negative (-Vp) anomalies, respectively. Contours of slow anomalies emphasized with thick red lines. Solid red contours - negative velocity anomalies interpreted to correspond to pre-Alpine compositional domains; dashed red lines - negative velocity anomalies, interpreted to correspond to positive thermal anomalies in the mantle (see text for explanation). Thin black lines indicate the traces of all the profiles displayed in Figures 3 to 6. Thick blue lines – main Alpine structures: NAF - North Alpine Front, SAF – Southern Alpine Front; Other Alpine

A striking feature in horizontal slices at 100 to 220 km depth is the lateral continuity of anomalies of up to 5-6% which reaches from the northern Alpine foreland across the Alpine orogenic front to beneath the Western and Central Alps, as well as the westernmost part of the Eastern Alps (Fig. 2, solid red contours). In three profiles crossing these parts (profiles B, 1 of Figs. 3B, 3C, 4A), +Vp and -Vp anomalies in the 100-220 depth interval form coherent, inclined layers and together outline a package that dips beneath the Alpine front to below the center of the orogen. The base of this package is interpreted to be the base of the lithosphere, or lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary, LAB, which corresponds to the base of the moving plate and/or slab in a strictly structural-kinematic sense. The layered structure making up the European lithosphere (see next chapter below) continues down-dip to the SE and beneath the core of the orogen, where it is interrupted, marking a slab tear (Figs. 3B, 4A). The putative location of the Alpine Tethys suture projected downward into the mantle in all profiles (dashed green line) is hypothetical and drawn in order to show the affinity of the former Adria-Europe plate boundary to the European slab (see chapter 5). Profile 8 across the foreland of the southernmost Western Alps (Fig. 3A) contains part of the Moldanubian core of the Variscan orogen (Franke et al., 2017) and differs from other profiles across the Alps in featuring only a high velocity layer to some 150 km depth and dipping slightly beneath the front of the Alps.
Figure 3: Cross sections of the Western and Central Alps along traces of profiles 8, 7 and B shown in inset: (A) Western Alps; (B) Western Alps from the Bresse Graben to the Northern Apennines, parallel to profile B of Lippitsch et al. 2003; (C) Central Alps from the Variscan Belt to the Po Basin and Northern Apennines. Black solid lines: outlines of the European lithosphere, Adriatic lithosphere and detached to semi-detached slab material. Green dashed line – putative trace of Alpine Tethys suture based on the location of this suture in the schematic crustal cross sections depicted above the Moho proxy. The Moho proxy follows the Vp contour of 7.25 sec$^{-1}$ obtained from the 3D crustal model of Diehl et al. (2009), part of the prior model for obtaining crustal correction that was incorporated into the tomographic model (Section 2). Geological cross sections largely after Schmid et al. (2004, 2013, 2017) and Cassano et al. (1986); Variscan crustal cross sections after Franke (2017, 2000), Mazur et al. (2020), and Schulmann et al. (2014).

Strong $V_p$ anomalies of 3-5% (contoured solid red in Fig. 2) generally underlie the central and western parts of the Moldanubian domain in the Alpine foreland, and run somewhat oblique to the Variscan domain boundaries. They are not aligned with the Tertiary Bresse and Rhine Grabens of Oligocene age (Fig. 1). Further to the east, in the area traversed by profiles 2 and 3 (Fig. 2), the subhorizontally oriented European lithosphere is characterized by dominantly positive velocity anomalies that cross beneath the front of the Alps and abut a low velocity area in the central part of the Alps (see Figs. 4B & 4C; stippled red contours in Fig. 2). A large 2% positive anomaly underlies...
the Moldanubian and Tepla-Barrandean domains beneath the foreland of the Eastern Alps, but does not extend beneath the orogenic front of the Eastern Alps (Fig. 2; profiles 2 and 3 in Figs. 4B, 4C).

**Figure 4**: Cross sections of the Eastern Alps along traces 1, 2 and 3 shown in inset: (A) profile 1 (TRANSALP profile) from the Variscan foreland to the Po Basin. The thick European lithosphere has the same structure as beneath the Central Alps (Fig. 3) and is partly detached; (B) Profile 2 (EASI profile) from the Variscan Belt to the Dinaric Front and Adriatic Plate. The base of the European lithosphere is mostly undefined seismically and the European slab is detached; (C) Profile 3 (ALP01 profile) from the Variscan Belt to the Adriatic Plate. See legend of Fig. 3 for further explanations. Alpine and related structures: NAF - North Alpine Front, SAF – Southern Alps Front; PFS - Periadriatic Fault System, TW – Tauern Window, DF – Dinaride Front

In the Eastern Alps, the negative anomaly contours at 120 km depth in Fig. 2 (dashed red contours) form a broad maximum of 2-5% in map view located between the Northern and Southern Alpine fronts, and extending eastward from beneath the middle of the Tauern Window to the Pannonian Basin. Beneath the Eastern Alpine foreland, the upper 80-100 km are characterized by a broad, moderately positive \( V_p \) anomaly of 1-2%. This eastern area shows no horizontal layering of \( \Delta V_p \) and \( \Delta V_s \) anomalies (profiles 2 and 3 of Figs. 4B, 4C), in contrast to the layering seen beneath the foreland in the profile immediately to the west (profile 1, Fig. 4A). The mantle structure beneath the core of the Eastern Alps (profile 15, Fig. 5A) and beneath the transition to the Pannonian Basin (profiles 3 and 15, Figs. 4C, 5A) is marked by a shallow, strongly negative anomaly lid and, at depths between 150 and 400 km, by a strong, blob-like positive anomaly (5-6%) surrounded by a negative anomaly and unconnected to the Alpine-Carpathian foreland (profiles 2, 3, 12 in Figs. 4B, 4C, 6A).

The pronounced E-W change in anomaly structure below the core of the Alps is best seen in the orogen-parallel profiles (profile 15, Fig. 5A), where the 150-200 km thick positive-negative velocity layering characteristic of the Central and Western Alps gives way in the Eastern Alps, more precisely beneath the western Tauern Window, to a negative anomaly extending down to about 130 km underlain by the deep (130-300 km) positive anomalies mentioned above. In the next chapter, we interpret this orogen-parallel change to represent a first-order difference in the structure and composition of the subducted and delaminated slabs beneath the Alps.
The transitional area between Eastern Alps and Western Carpathians (profile 5, Fig. 5B) and the Pannonian Basin (profile 11, Fig. 6B) is characterized by widespread negative anomalies and by the almost complete absence of positive anomalies above the 410 km discontinuity marking the top of the Mantle Transition Zone. These negative anomalies extend to the shallow mantle and directly underlie the 7.25 km/s Moho proxy marking the base of thinned orogenic crust. Weak positive anomalies directly below the Moho are restricted to small parts of the Pannonian Basin (profile 11 in Fig. 6B). However, stronger positive anomalies underlying the Moho are found beneath the Adriatic Sea (profiles 1, 2, 3 and 11, Figs. 4A-C, 6B), marking the still largely undeformed part of the plate of the same name.

**Figure 5**: Cross sections of the Alps along traces 15, 5 and 16 shown in inset: (A) Orogen-parallel profile 15 from the Central Alps across the Eastern Alps to the Pannonian Basin; note the decrease in thickness of the European lithosphere before its delamination from the crust at and east of latitude 12°E crossing the area of the western part of the Tauern Window (TW); (B) Profile 5 from the Variscan Belt in the northwest to the Pannonian Basin in the southeast across the transitional area between Eastern Alps and Western Carpathians. The European lithosphere has completely delaminated during Neogene stretching in the greater Pannonian area that formed a backarc basin in the upper plate consisting of the ALCAPA and Tisza Mega-units during Carpathian rollback subduction. See legend of Fig. 3 for further explanations; (C) Profile 16 from the Western Alps across the Po Basin to the northern Dinarides (same as profile M1 in Paffrath et al. 2021b); note the apparent dip of the still-attached
European slab beneath the Western and Central Alps, as well as the trace of a slab of Adriatic lithosphere under the northern Dinarides.

Beneath the northern Dinarides (profile 11, Fig. 6B), no positive anomaly deeper than 100 km is observed. The resolution is poor in this area, but the interpretation is in line with previous teleseismic studies (e.g., Bijwaard & Spakmann, 2000; Wortel & Spakmann, 2000; Piromallo and Morelli, 2003; Spakman and Wortel, 2004; Serretti and Morelli, 2011; Koulakov et al., 2009).

However, somewhat further to the north, beneath the northernmost Dinarides in Istria crossed by profile 16 (Fig. 5C), a generally northeast-dipping slab anomaly is fairly well resolved beneath the Dinaride front, reaching a depth of about 140 km. Note that profile 16 is the same as that presented as profile M1 in Paffrath et al. (2021b).

Figure 6: Profiles 12 and 11 along traces shown in Figs. 1 and 2 across the greater Alpine area, Adria and the Apennines: (A) Profile from the Variscan Belt across the Eastern Alps to the northern Apennines and the Ligurian Basin. The European slab beneath the Alps is detached, whereas the Adriatic slab beneath the Apennines hangs subvertically and is partly overturned (see text); (B) Profile from the Central Western Carpathians across Pannonian Basin, northern Dinarides, Adriatic plate, Central Apennines to the Tyrrhenian Sea. The European lithosphere is completely delaminated, the Adriatic slab beneath the Dinarides is almost absent and the Adriatic slab beneath the Apennines is detached from a remnant of the Adriatic lithosphere beneath the Adriatic Sea. See legend of Fig. 3 for further explanations. Alpine faults and related structures (labeled red): NAF - North Alpine Front, SAF – Southern Alps Front; PFS - Periadriatic Fault System, SEMP – Salzach-Ennstal-Mariazell-Puch Fault, MHF – Mid-Hungarian Fault Zone, CF – Carpathian Front, DF – Dinaric Front, AF – Apennine Front.

A large, subvertically dipping positive anomaly directly below the Northern Apennines in profile 12 (Fig. 6A) is only connected to the crust near the Ligurian Sea and disconnected from the flat-lying high Vp mantle below the undeformed part of the Adriatic Plate further to the NE. This Adri- derived slab dips down to the 410 km discontinuity. Further to the southeast beneath the Tuscan Apennines in profile 11 (Fig. 6B), this anomaly is completely disconnected from the orogenic crust and dips steeply to the SW in a depth interval of 100-350 km. In a profile parallel to the Apennines (profile 7, Fig. 3B), this positive anomaly is seen to lose its connection with the orogenic crust.
4. Choices in the interpretation of seismic structure

The tomographic profiles in Figs. 3-6 depict relative velocities as percentages of deviation from a mean velocity model for crust and mantle (Paffrath et al. 2011b). There are two main challenges in interpreting the anomaly patterns down to a depth of around 600 km: (1) Distinguishing the effects of the present thermal state of the rocks from composition and structural anisotropy on the anomalies. This is difficult, if not impossible, in the absence of corroborative evidence from independent approaches, e.g., heat flow, gravity, conductivity and/or other seismological methods; (2) accounting for poor resolution of the tomographic images that often precludes a unique determination of the geometry of the anomalies. This is especially true of anomalies at great depth in the mantle, where vertical smearing blurs the images (Foulger et al., 2013).

Further headway in interpretation can be made by invoking geological criteria and what we broadly call “the geodynamic context” in order to weigh the consistency, and therefore the plausibility, of some interpretations over others. To illustrate this important point, we consider profile B across the Central Alps in Fig. 3C, shown without interpretation in Fig. 7A and with two contrasting interpretations in Figs. 7B and 7C. This profile is a good place to begin our interpretative foray, because the geology (i.e., structure, kinematics, metamorphism, thermal and stratigraphic ages) is well known along this classic section of the Alps (e.g., Schmid et al., 1996, 2004) and previous active-source seismology provides tight constraints on the crustal structure down to the Moho (Pfiffner and Hitz, 1997) and other sources in NFP-20 volume (Pfiffner et al. 1997, eds).

The uninterpreted profile B of Fig. 7A shows two main features: (1) the positive-negative anomaly layering extending down to about 200 km observed in the Alpine foreland and extending to well south of the Northern Alps Front down to 180 km depth, as described in the previous section, and (2) domains of deep-seated positive anomalies labeled with question marks, one dipping northward from the Southern Alps down to the 410 km discontinuity below the Alpine foreland, a second minor one dipping southward below the Po Basin. In Fig. 7B, the positive-negative anomaly layering is interpreted to make up a coherent kinematic entity that moved as a unit with respect to the orogenic front and was subducted to the south beneath the Adriatic Plate. The -Vp layer is interpreted to form the bottom half of the lithosphere, i.e., the non-convecting part of the mantle, where vertical smearing blurs the images (Foulger et al., 2013).

In the interpreted profile B (Fig. 7B) other -Vp anomalies in the mantle occur immediately beneath the Moho in the cores of the Alps and Apennines where the Moho lies at c. 50 km depth and where the lower crust is also characterized by low Vp. Finally, a deep-seated -Vp anomaly is found below the Adriatic lithosphere, between the detached part of the European slab and the...
Northern Apennines slab derived from Adriatic lithosphere (Fig. 7B). In the former case, the $V_p$ anomaly in the mantle immediately below the Moho is interpreted to manifest a depression of the absolute velocities by the occurrence of hydrous, less-dense and therefore seismically slower material in the subduction channel. In the case of the deep-seated $V_p$ anomaly labeled “upwelling asthenosphere”, the negative anomalies of up 5-6% could possibly be caused by still hot, upwelling asthenosphere. However, as argued in section 6.5, this would need a $\Delta T$ of some 600-700°C resulting in temperatures well above 1400°C. Following the suggestion by Giacomuzzi et al. (2011), we envisage hydrated, possibly decarbonated mantle (Malusà et al. 2018, 2021) in a backarc position behind the descending non-detached and detached parts of the European lithosphere rather than still-existing, substantially elevated temperatures as a suitable explanation for the low $V_p$ in this area.

Figure 7: Raw image of vertical tomographic profile B across the Central Alps and two alternative interpretations: (A) Raw image showing layered positive and negative $V_p$ anomalies extending from the Variscan Belt to south of the Northern Alpine Front (NAF, see also Fig. 2); (B) Preferred interpretation shown in Fig. 3C, indicating coherence of layered positive and negative $V_p$ anomalies that are interpreted as thick and old (Variscan or older?) European lithosphere dipping to the south beneath the Alps. The European slab is detached. In contrast, the Adriatic lithosphere beneath the Po Basin and Apennines is thin and underlain by a large negative anomaly interpreted as upwelling asthenosphere; (C) Standard interpretation of lithosphere as comprising only positive $V_p$ anomalies, thought to be old, cold lithosphere. The long N-dipping positive $V_p$ anomaly is interpreted as delaminated lithospheric root of the Alps, mostly derived from the European Plate, but still partly attached to the Adriatic Plate (see text for discussion).

In the contrasting interpretation shown in Fig. 7C, all anomalies are considered primarily to reflect temperature anomalies, such that positive anomalies at depths below the Moho are
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interpretation of subducted lithosphere, whereas negative anomalies below the Moho are equated with hot asthenosphere and are not part of a subducted plate. This is in line with the rheological properties of the descending sheet of rigid and cold lithosphere. Thus, the base of the descending lithospheric plate only 80 km thick, whereas the long north-dipping, positive anomaly domain in this profile could be interpreted as subducted Adriatic lithosphere connected to Adriatic lithosphere beneath the Po Basin and the Adriatic Sea (Fig. 7C). If true, this would imply an anomalously thin European continental lithosphere and necessitate hundreds of kilometers of shortening in the Alps within predominantly S-facing folds and thrusts for which there is no geological evidence. Most folding and thrusting in the Alps is N- to NW-vergent, as documented by more than a century of detailed study. Within the Southern Alps where S-vergent thrusting is indeed observed, only about 72 km of shortening was accommodated, mostly in Oligo-Miocene time (Schönborn, 1992; Schmid et al., 1996; Rosenberg and Kissling, 2013). This effectively precludes any scenario involving north-directed subduction of large amounts of Adriatic lithosphere beneath the Alps. Moreover, the continuity of the NW-dipping + Vp anomaly in Fig. 7C may reflect smearing, which is prevalent in profiles with this azimuthal orientation (see section 2 above). This leaves Fig. 7B with its anomalously thick (180-200 km) subducting European lithosphere as the preferred interpretation. The total length of subducted European slab according to the interpretation in Fig. 7B is roughly 400 km, as measured between the Northern Alpine Front down to the 410 km discontinuity (see also profile 6 in Appendix A1). This is consistent with the amount of shortening in the Alps since European lithosphere entered the subduction zone in the Alps in Eocene time (Schmid et al., 1997; Handy et al., 2010), lending further support to our interpretation. We return to this point in section 6 below.

5. Regional tectonic interpretation

In interpreting the images in Figs. 3-6 and all the additional profiles in Appendix A, we marked boundaries (black lines) around kinematically coherent images whose geometry is consistent with available data on Moho depth and with the kinematic history of tectonic units exposed at the surface. Dashed solid black lines delimit very poorly defined or even putative boundaries. The base of the European foreland lithosphere in the Central Alps is well defined and taken to be the base of the + Vp layer at about 180 km depth, as discussed in the previous section (profiles 1, 4, 6, 9, 15 and B in Appendix A). In other profiles, especially in the Eastern Alps where the base of the lithosphere is poorly defined, we placed the lower boundary at approximately the same 180-200 km depth to avoid abrupt along-strike variations in lithospheric thickness beneath foreland crust with the same Variscan and pre-Variscan history. Thus, some boundaries are drawn across seismically fast and slow domains, highlighting the difficulty of using solely seismological criteria to define the LAB (Artemieva, 2011). In Figs. 8 and 9, we include two horizontal depth slices at 240 km and 90 km, respectively, to show the main structures outlined by velocity anomalies in map view.

5.1 Alps

European lithosphere of Variscan and/or pre-Variscan origin originating in the Alpine foreland is evident in all cross sections of the Central Alps (Figs. 3, 4), though its base in the Eastern Alps is undefined (e.g. profile 2 in Fig. 4B). Beneath the Central Alps and westernmost Eastern Alps, this lithosphere dips to the S to become the thick, subducted European slab (profiles B and 1 in Figs. 3C, 4A), whereas in the Western Alps (profiles 8 and 7 in Figs. 3A, B) and in the Eastern Alps east of 12°E (profiles 2, 3 and 12 in Figs. 4B, C, 6A), the European slab is completely detached from its foreland.
Only in the transitional area between Western and Central Alps is the slab still tenuously connected to the European lithosphere of the Alpine foreland (profile 16, Fig. 5C). The moderate dip and inordinate length of the slab beneath the entire E-W extent of the Po Basin in this particular profile reflect the fact that this W-E running profile obliquely slices the European slab at a high angle to the SE dip of Alpine subduction in the Western and Central Alps. Moreover, the E-dipping positive anomaly seen in Fig. 5C comprises different pieces, with the positive anomaly at the eastern end (below the Adriatic plate east of the Lessini Mountains, at a depth of around 350-450 km) originating from a south-dipping slab fragment below the Eastern Alps depicted in Fig. 4A. This easternmost part of the positive anomaly in profile 16 of Fig. 5C also slices minor, discontinuous relics of Alpine Tethys south of the main slab in the Eastern Alps (see lower right-hand side of N-S trending profile 2 in Fig. 4B).

In the Western Alps, detachment of the European slab (Figs. 3A, 3B) was previously noted by Lippitsch et al. (2003) and interpreted as a subhorizontal tear that is currently propagating from SW to NE towards the still-attached part of the slab in the western Central Alps (Kissling et al., 2006).

The detachment of this part of the slab (profile A in Appendix A), possibly combined with unloading due to glacial erosion and melting (Champagnac et al., 2007; Mey et al., 2016), have been deemed responsible for rapid Plio-Pleistocene exhumation and surface uplift of the Western Alps (Fox et al., 2015, 2016) which have the highest peaks (up to 5000 m) and greatest relief (3000 m) of the entire Alpine chain.

In the Eastern Alps, the detached European slab hangs subvertically to steeply N-dipping in a depth interval ranging from 150 to 350-400 km. We note that the pronounced along-strike change in orogenic mantle structure between nearby profiles 1 and 2 (Figs. 4A and 4B) does not coincide with the Austroalpine-Penninic boundary marking the Alpine Tethys suture between the Central and Eastern Alps at the surface. This along-strike change is best seen by comparing the mantle structure in an orogen-parallel profile with the location of the suture in the tectonic map (profile 15 in Fig. 5A) and its projected trace in the horizontal depth slice at a depth of 90 km (Fig. 9). Rather, it coincides with the northward projection of the Giudicarie Belt (marked GB in Fig. 1), a post-collisional fault of latest Oligocene to Miocene age (Pomella et al., 2012) which sinistrally offsets the eastern and southern part of the Alpine orogenic edifice, including the Periadriatic Fault System (Verwater et al., 2021). The northward projection of the Giudicarie Belt, which lies in the Tauern Window in map view, coincides with the westernmost point of eastward, orogen-parallel extrusion of the Alpine and Western Carpathian lithosphere in latest Oligocene to Miocene time (e.g. Scharf et al., 2013; Schmid et al., 2013; Favaro et al., 2017). This allochthonous block is referred to in the literature as the ALCAPA mega-unit. The orogenic Moho beneath this block shallows dramatically to the east (e.g., Grad et al., 2009; Kind et al., 2021), reaching a depth of some 20 km beneath the Pannonian Basin (profiles 15 and 5 in Figs. 5A, 8). The occurrence of negative Vp anomalies and reduced gravity anomalies (Zahorec et al., 2021) immediately below this shallow orogenic Moho in the Eastern Alps (e.g., profile 12, Fig. 6A, highlighted low Vp area in Fig. 9) strongly suggests that the entire lithospheric mantle reaching from the Tauern Window of the Eastern Alps to their transition with the western Carpathians (profile 5 in Fig. 5B) has been delaminated.

5.2 Pannonian Basin

The negative Vp anomaly of the Eastern Alps continues further to the NE to beneath the Vienna Basin and the Central Western Carpathians, as seen in the 90 km and 120 km depth slices (Figs. 2, 8). This is the area overlying slab remnants that have descended into the Mantle Transition Zone (e.g., profile 5 in Fig. 5B). The Central Carpathians host a province of 17-14 Ma post-collisional subalkaline magmatism (Seghedi and Downes, 2011; Seghedi et al., 2013) related to Miocene extension...
of the Pannonian domain, including the Western Carpathians. Given the fact that this magmatism
ended some 14 Ma ago, it is uncertain if the low $V_p$ anomaly in the Western Carpathians is solely
related to a persistent positive thermal anomaly. In this context, it is relevant to note that the area
of the Tisza mega-unit south of the Mid-Hungarian Shear Zone (MHZ in Fig. 1) and characterized by
high heat flux (Horváth et al., 2015, their Fig. 12) does not exhibit such a negative $V_p$ anomaly. This
indicates that present-day heat production does not everywhere correlate with negative
seismological anomalies.

Relicts of delaminated and detached European lithosphere can be detected at and below the
410 discontinuity beneath the Pannonian Basin (profiles 5 and 11 in Figs. 5B, 6B) as previously
discovered in the passive array swath experiment of Dando et al. (2011). As mentioned in discussing
Fig. 7, the 400 km down-dip length of the slab segments is broadly consistent with estimates of
shortening since the European slab entered the subduction zone after the closure of Alpine Tethys
at around 40 Ma (e.g., Schmid et al., 1996; Handy et al., 2010; Kurz et al., 2008). This suggests that
the detached slab remnants comprise mostly European lithosphere (Mitterbauer et al., 2011;
Rosenberg et al., 2018; Kästle et al., 2020).

5.3 Adriatic Plate

The Adriatic Plate is 100-120 km thick as defined by the lower limit of the horizontal $+V_p$ anomalies
beneath the Adriatic Sea. We label this as Adriatic lithosphere and regard it as Adriatic lithosphere
in a kinematic sense (e.g., profiles 1, 2, 3 and 12 in Figs. 4, 6A). This is less than half the thickness of
the European lithosphere. It is generally accepted that in the Alps the former Adriatic Plate formed
the upper plate during convergence, whereas in the Dinarides and Apennines, Adria is the
subducting plate. The Adriatic slab in the Apennines possibly has a simpler velocity structure than
the European slab in the Alps, comprising thinner and compositionally more homogeneous
lithosphere with only $+V_p$ anomalies (Fig. 6). In contrast to the European foreland (Franke, 2020),
most of the former Adriatic Plate was not affected by high-grade metamorphism and never
experienced the closure of various Paleozoic oceans. Instead, it has been interpreted as the
southern, Gondwana-derived foreland of the Variscan belt (Molli et al., 2020).

The Adriatic lithosphere is underlain by a pronounced low-velocity mantle in depth interval
of 150-350 km (profiles 8 and 3 in Figs. 3C, 4C right hand side; profile 12 in Fig. 6; profiles B, 3, 12,
11 in Appendix A). This thick low-velocity zone coincides at the surface in the eastern Po Basin and
northern Adriatic Sea with the Veneto volcanic province (Figs. 1, 8), which comprises mostly
primitive basalts diluted by a depleted asthenospheric mantle component (Macera et al., 2003). Its
age range between Late Paleocene to Late Oligocene (Becculava et al., 2007) spans the transitional
time from subduction to collision in the Alps (Handy et al., 2010 and refs therein). It is thus tempting
to attribute this magmatism to the combined effects of heat and fluid advection behind the
originally S-dipping European slab in the Alps (Macera et al., 2008). The release of water and
incompatible elements from deeply buried sediments along the slab interface may have caused
hydration of the overlying mantle, giving rise to an overall decrease in seismic velocity, as proposed
by Giacomuzzi et al. (2011) to explain the negative anomaly layer beneath the Adriatic Plate.

5.4 Apennines

Switches in the polarity of subduction are manifested at the surface by changes in thrust vergence
and location of the orogenic fronts at the Alps-Apennines and Alps-Dinarides transitions (Fig. 1). The
mantle structure at the Alps-Apennines transition is simpler than the complex surface fault
structure due to switching subduction polarity (Molli et al., 2010; Schmid et al., 2017) would
suggest. There, the European and Adriatic slabs are easily distinguished in profiles 8 and 7 (Fig. 3A,
In the horizontal slice at 240 km depth in Fig. 8, the two slabs cannot be distinguished at the resolution of the horizontal depth slice because they are very close to each other (see Figs. 3A, B). However, the horizontal slice at 90 km (Fig. 9) shows them separated by the downward projection of the Alpine Tethys suture. Note that the European slab beneath the Western and Central Alps was subducted to the SE below the Adriatic Plate prior to 35 Ma, ultimately leading to the Alpine Tethys suture depicted in Fig. 9. Adria-Europe suturing occurred before the Apennines formed in latest Oligocene to Miocene and Pliocene time. When considering profiles 8 and 7 in Fig. 3A, as well as profiles 12 and 11 in Figs. 6A and 6B in the following discussion, it is important to note that the Adria slab beneath the northern Apennines originally dipped to the SW when it was still attached to the then-still undeformed western part of the Adriatic Plate (Facenna et al. 2004, Schmid et al. 2017). Apenninic orogenesis involved E-directed rollback of this former Adriatic Plate that currently makes up the slab below the Northern Apennines.

In profile 12 (Fig. 6A) across the northern Apennines, the upper 200 km of the Adriatic slab anomaly dip to the NE and are hence overturned, as pointed out in section 3. This slab is detached from the Adriatic lithosphere and located in the NE foreland of the Apennines. Somewhat more to the south in profile 11 (Fig. 6B) across the central (Tuscan) Apennines, the Adriatic slab is normally inclined, i.e., dips to the SW, and completely detached from the orogenic wedge of the Apennines. In profile 7 (Fig. 3B) running parallel to the strike of the Apennines slab, a subhorizontal tear is clearly visible beneath the Tuscan Apennines at a depth of 80-100 km. We speculate that once the Apennines stopped advancing in Plio-Pleistocene time (e.g., Molli et al., 2010), the heavy Northern Apennines slab steepened. The subhorizontal tear visible in Fig. 3B appears to have propagated from SE to NW, i.e., in a direction of decreasing orogen-normal shortening in the Apennines and towards the pole for Neogene counterclockwise rotation of the Corsica-Sardinia block with respect to Europe (Speranza et al., 2002), also affecting the Apenninic orogen (Maffione et al., 2008). Partial tearing allowed the detached part of the slab in the SE to retreat and sink under its own weight, while the smaller, still-partly attached segment in the NW became vertical and locally overturned.

The horizontal depth slice at 90 km in Fig. 9 shows the area traversed by profiles 12 and 11 discussed above that is characterized by low $V_p$, and interpreted to outline lithospheric delamination during slab detachment. These areas extend from NW to SE along the front of the NE-facing Apennines nappe stack. This indicates that the Adriatic slab below the Apennines has detached from the little-deformed Apennine Plate in the Adriatic Sea almost all along the strike of the Northern and Central Apennines. Note that this area of delamination is slightly NE of the outline of the detached and subvertical Adriatic slab shown in the horizontal depth slice at 240 km depth (Fig. 8).

### 5.5 Dinarides

Our data only cover the area of the northern Dinarides and the Dinarides-Alps transition area in Slovenia (Stipčevič et al., 2011, 2020). Collisional shortening after the closure of the Neotethyan oceanic tract in the northern Dinarides started earlier than in the Alps; major collisional shortening lasted from Late Cretaceous to Oligocene time, with only very minor shortening in the Miocene (e.g., Schmid et al., 2008). In the Alps, collisional shortening after the closure of Alpine Tethys started later, namely in the late Eocene and lasted until Pliocene times. The transition between the Alps and the Dinarides is marked at the surface by the Southern Alpine Front that thrusts the Southern Alps southward over older NW-SE striking Dinaric thrusts (Fig. 1) in the late Miocene.
South-directed thrusting in this transition area, combined with dextral strike slip reactivating Dinaric structures, is still seismically active (e.g., Kastelic et al., 2008; see yellow line marking the presently active plate boundary in the Alps in Fig. 1).

An east- to northeast-dipping positive $V_p$ anomaly is partly imaged beneath the Dinarides in profile 16 (Fig. 5C), but is lacking in profile 11 (Fig. 6B) which crosses the frontal Dinarides to the south. Unfortunately, the resolution in this latter profile is very poor. A slab gap in the northernmost Dinarides has been recorded by global tomography (Bijwaard and Spakman, 2000; Piromallo and Morelli, 2003), possibly due to the previous inability of imaging a slab of only ≤140 km length in this area. Ustaszewski et al. (2008), Schefer et al. (2011) and Horvath et al. (2015) invoked asthenospheric upwelling at the SE limit of the Pannonian basin associated with the breakoff of part of the NE-dipping Adriatic slab. This is thought to have permitted asthenosphere to flow from beneath the Adriatic Plate to below the extending Pannonian Basin in the upper plate of the retreating Carpathian subduction (Jolivet et al., 2009; Handy et al., 2015; Horvath et al., 2015; Király et al., 2018).

5.6 Summary of the tectonic interpretation

We summarize our interpretations by combining all the profiles in Appendix A as a basis for the interpretation of horizontal depth slices (Figs. 8, 9). The 240 km depth slice in Fig. 8 maximizes the number of slabs intersected and exhibits various degrees of attachment of the slabs to their orogenic edifice and their forelands. The horizontal depth slice of Fig. 9 at 90 km visualizes areas of negative $V_p$ in the uppermost mantle.

**Figure 8**: Horizontal $V_p$ tomographic slice at 240 km. Blue and red areas represent fast and slow teleseismic P-wave anomalies, respectively. Isolines indicate deviation in % of P-wave velocities from the mantle model in Paffrath et al. (2021b). Green lines are boundaries of slabs at their intersection with the horizontal plane of the depth slice. The slab boundaries were obtained by projecting the interpreted slab outlines marked with black lines in the 19 profiles in Appendix A (traces shown as thin black lines) into the plane of the depth slice. Shades of green are based on inspecting the profiles (see text above and additional...

Figure 9: Horizontal Vp tomographic slice at 90 km depth. Blue and red areas represent fast and slow teleseismic P-wave anomalies, respectively. Isolines indicate deviation in % of P-wave velocities from the mantle model in Paffrath et al. (2021b). Thick dashed green line is the projection of the suture zone of Alpine Tethys down to 90 km based on interpretation of the profiles. This green line marks the southern boundary of the European Plate with the Adriatic Plate and the lithosphere of the Tisza megaunit beneath the Pannonian Basin. Note the variable P-wave velocities within the European lithosphere at this depth due to pre-Alpine tectogenesis. Areas outlined in red indicate areas with low Vp located within the Alpine-age orogens where shallow asthenosphere replaced delaminated mantle lithosphere after slab detachment in the Alps, Western Carpathians and the Apennines occurred.

Figure 8 shows that in the Alps, slab attachment is only complete in the Central and northern Western Alps between 7° and 10°E. This is corroborated by surface-wave tomography (Kaestle et al. 2018, their Fig. 12) indicating continuous positive velocity anomalies down to depths below 180 km in the Central Alps. Detachment is complete in the southernmost Western Alps and modest in the eastern Central Alps between 10° and 12°. It is complete in in the Eastern Alps east of about 12°E where we observe the detached Eastern Alps slab (Fig. 8) dipping to the NE (e.g., Lippitsch et al., 2003; see Figs. 4B, 4C and 6A). No significant positive Vp anomaly is seen at 240 km depth in the easternmost Eastern Alps and the Western Carpathians east of 15°E, where the relics of former
slabs reside below the 410 km discontinuity (see Fig. 5). Where detachment is complete, the slabs
have been supplanted by upwelling asthenosphere, as is seen by three areas of negative $V_p$
anomalies outlined in the depth slice for 90 km (Fig. 9) in the southern Western Alps, the Veneto
volcanic province and the Pannonian basin. In the Apennines, the Adriatic slab is locally hanging, but
mostly completely detached from its overlying orogenic root and foreland. There too, upwelling
asthenosphere has locally replaced the descending slab in the frontal, i.e., NE parts of the orogen,
eliminating the former connection of the slab with the remaining undeformed part of the Adriatic
Plate in the Adriatic Sea.

Figure 9 also features a dashed green line marking the location of the Alpine Tethys suture zone
projected from the crustal down to 90 km, separating the European lithosphere from the Adriatic
lithosphere. We emphasize that the downward projection of this suture in the profiles (dashed
green lines) is hypothetical in the sense that mapping it involved tracing the suture through
domains that were extensively modified during delamination and mantle upwelling. The severe
bending of the putative trace of this suture zone at the Alps–Apennines transition reflects
counterclockwise rotation of the Corsica–Sardinia block and the Ligurian Alps in Miocene time
(Schmid et al., 2017 and references therein). Likewise, bending of the projected suture north of the
Mid-Hungarian fault zone is due to the counterclockwise rotation of the Western Carpathians, also
in Neogene time (Márton et al., 2015).

6. Discussion

6.1 Subduction polarity — was there a switch in the Alps?
The polarity of subduction in the Alps, particularly at its junction with the northern Dinarides, has
been a bone of contention ever since the publication of P-wave tomographic images showing high
velocity anomaly some 200 km long dipping some 50° to the NE beneath the Eastern Alps (Babuska
et al. 1990) and connected with the upper mantle of the undeformed Adriatic Plate according to
Lippitsch et al. (2003). The Eastern Alps slab was thought to be separated from the SE-dipping
European slab anomaly in the Central and Western Alps by a decrease in strength of the positive
anomaly, interpreted by these authors as a slab gap in map view. The attribution of the Eastern Alps
slab to the Adriatic Plate by Lippitsch et al. (2003) was challenged by Mitterbauer et al. (2011),
whose teleseismic model showed a steeper (75° or more) and longer Eastern Alps slab reaching
down to the 410 km discontinuity. The Eastern Alps slab was thought to have been Adriatic
lithosphere that had been laterally wedged from the Dinarides (Lippitsch et al., 2003) or subducted
beneath the Eastern Alps in Neogene time (Schmid et al., 2004; Kissing et al., 2006; Handy et al.,
2015). Although N-directed subduction was inconsistent with north-vergent nappe stacking along
strike of the entire Alpine chain, these authors postulated a late-stage switch in subduction polarity
in Miocene times, i.e., after nappe stacking. Another possible problem with a Miocene switch in
subduction polarity is that the easternmost part of the slab anomaly imaged by Lippitsch et al.
(2003) is significantly longer (200 km) than the estimated amount of south-directed shortening in
the eastern Southern Alps, which amounts to ±50 km (Schönborn, 1999; Nussbaum, 2000). One way
to explain the excess slab length was also to take into account some 85 km of Miocene N-S
shortening accommodated in the Eastern Alps and some 55 km Miocene shortening taken up at the
front of the northernmost Dinarides (Ustaszewski et al., 2008, their fig. 6). Another way was to
assume that the eastern part of the slab is partly of European origin (Handy et al., 2015). Indeed,
recent models based on pre-AlpArray seismological data have combined ambient noise and P-wave
tomography to propose that Eastern Alps slab is actually a composite of predominantly European lithosphere and a subordinate amount of Adriatic lithosphere (Kästle et al., 2020).

Our new results clearly show that there is only one slab below the Alps, rather than the two proposed by adherents of a switch in subduction polarity. A switch in the polarity of subduction beneath the Alps can thus be ruled out based on our new data. The notion of only one continuous European slab beneath the Alps was previously advanced by Mitterbauer et al. (2011), with the added observation that this slab is overturned and acquires a northward dip in the Eastern Alps, as also noted in our profiles (Fig. 4). A comparison of profiles across the Eastern Alps between the model of Lippitsch et al. (2003) in Fig. 10A and this work (Fig. 10B) demonstrates the poor fit of the models and highlights why mantle delamination and slab detachment rather than a change in subduction polarity are the most recent processes to leave their imprint in the Eastern Alps. The most striking difference, apart from the length of the slab, is that the detached European slab according to our model has no connection to the Adriatic lithosphere from which it is separated by low-velocity upper mantle (Fig. 10B).

Figure 10: Two tomographic profiles along the trace of profile C (given in inset map) on the same scale: (A) Lippitsch et al. 2003; (B) this work. Yellow line in (B) is the outline of the slab anomaly in (A). The profiles show moderate agreement regarding slab detachment beneath the Eastern Alps, but disagreement regarding the dip and length of the slab anomaly. Our preferred model in (B) provides evidence for delamination of most of the underpinnings of Adria and Europe beneath the Alps, Adria and Apennines. A direct connection of the NE-dipping
slab beneath the Eastern Alps to the Adriatic lithosphere shown in (A) becomes untenable in light of the new data presented in (B).

The length of the slab measured in profiles varies along strike between 220 and ≥ 500 km, with even the latter estimates regarded as minima given that in some profiles the positive anomalies continue below the 410 km discontinuity into the Mantle Transition Zone (e.g., profile 8 in Fig. 3A and profiles 6, 8, A and C in Appendix A). These lengths are not a reliable measure of the amount of subducted lithosphere, because the slabs appear to be highly deformed and, anyway, resolution decreases at such depths (Foulger, 2013). Nevertheless, the range of lengths overlaps with palinspastic estimates of the total width of the Alpine Tethyan domain and its continental margins in the Alps subducted between 84 and 35 Ma as measured in a NNW-SSE direction parallel to Adria–Europe convergence (350-400 km, Le Breton et al., 2021; van Hinsbergen et al., 2020; 500 km, Handy et al., 2010). An interesting implication of this overall consistency between subducted and seismically imaged lithosphere is that potentially more of the Alpine subduction is preserved in the mantle than hitherto believed. Based on earlier teleseismic tomography, Handy et al. (2010) estimated a deficit between subducted and imaged lithosphere of between 10 and 30%, depending on the contour intervals of positive P-wave anomalies used in their areal assessments of positive anomalies.

The steep northward dip of the part of the European slab beneath the Eastern Alps must have been acquired after southward subduction of the European lithosphere stopped in this part of the Alps. The youngest exhumed high-pressure rocks that are testimony to an exhumed subduction zone in this part of the Alps are found in the central Tauern Window (Gross et al., 2000) and the age of subduction-related metamorphism is estimated to be around 35-45 Ma (Kurz et al., 2008; Ratschbacher et al., 2004 and refs. therein). A younger age range for this metamorphism was proposed (32-35 Ma, allanite U-Pb, Smye et al. 2011; Lu-Hf, Nagel et al., 2013), but these are inconsistent with evidence for substantial exhumation of high-pressure units before the intrusion of the Periadriatic plutons and the onset of movements along the Periadriatic Fault System (Rosenberg, 2004). The 35-45 Ma age range for HP metamorphism certainly pre-dates indentation of the eastern Southern Alps along the Giudicarie Belt starting at around 23 Ma (Scharf et al., 2013). Hence, roll back and steepening of the European slab, followed by slab detachment and rotation of the detached Eastern Alps slab into a steeply N-dipping orientation most likely occurred sometime within the 39-23 Ma time interval, most likely at around 23 Ma according to geological evidence (e.g., Scharf et al., 2013). The mechanisms of such rotation and verticalization during opening of the Pannonian backarc behind the European slab subducting beneath the Eastern Carpathians are unclear. The slab might have been twisted while still attached to a descending slab relict beneath the Pannonian Basin (profile 5 in Fig. 5B; Dando et al., 2011). However, we favor reorientation of the slab by asthenospheric flow during or after northward Adriatic indentation and slab detachment in Neogene time (e.g., Ratschbacher et al., 1991; Favaro et al., 2017). The arcuate convex-northward pattern of fast SKS directions beneath the Eastern Alps is suggestive of east-directed asthenospheric flow (e.g., Gorbani et al., 2015) and would be consistent with both of these interpretations.

6.2 Slab attachment and detachment

An intact slab dipping down to a depth of 300 km and beyond is only observed beneath the Western Central (Swiss-Italian) Alps between latitudes 7°E and 10°E (see area marked as un-detached in Fig. 8; profiles 6 and 9 in Appendix A. Interestingly, Singer et al. (2014) noticed that lower crustal seismicity in the European lithosphere is restricted to this same range of latitudes. They proposed
that this deep crustal seismicity is driven by stresses transferred to the foreland from the still-
attached segment of the European slab, which they argue is steepening as it retreats toward the
foreland. Kissling and Schlunegger (2018; their fig. 5c) present a schematic 3-D diagram of this
remaining undetached European slab, arguing that such slab retreat during attachment is
responsible for the striking isotatic disequilibrium between the low surface topography and the
thick crustal root (some 50 km, e.g., Spada et al. 2013) beneath this segment of the Alps.

Complete delamination during the advanced stages of detachment of the European
lithosphere occurred in the Eastern Alps and resulted in a broad zone of low-velocity material.
interpreted to be upwelling mantle (Fig. 9), typically at a depth between 70 and 130 km (e.g., profile
15 in Fig. 5A) east of 12°E (i.e., east of the western Tauern Window, Fig. 1). East of 15°E, no
substantial remnants of the European slab are found above the 410 km discontinuity (Fig. 8 and
profiles 5, 11, 10 in Appendix A). This conforms with the findings of Dando et al. (2011) and
indicates that roll back subduction in the Carpathians followed by detachment of the European slab
played a fundamental role in forming the greater Pannonian area (Horvath et al., 2006; Matenco
and Radijovčič, 2012). West of the Tauern window, between 12° and about 9.5°E traversed by
profile B (Fig. 3C), detachment is only moderate. A third area in the Alps where substantial
detachment occurs is the southern part of the Western Alps (profiles 8 in Fig. 3A, and A in Appendix
A) that is transitional to the northern Apennines. Such detachment was first noticed by Lippitsch et
al (2003; their profile A-A’), but recently refuted by Zhao et al. (2016). There, the detached
European slab of the Alps slab resides beneath the westernmost Apennines at a depth of 240 km,
while upwelling mantle occupies the area beneath the Western Alps at this same depth (Fig. 8).
The completely detached slab beneath most of the Northern Apennines (except for the
westernmost parts) hangs subvertically (profiles 11 and 12 in Fig. 6; profile C in Appendix A),
confirming the findings of Giacomuzzi et al. (2011, 2012) from teleseismic tomography, but at odds
with the interpretation of still-attached continental slabs without oceanic precursors in Sun et al.
(2019). A clear boundary between the European slab under the westernmost Apennines and the
delaminated Adriatic mantle lithosphere of the Northern Apennines slab cannot be resolved in the
horizontal depth slices, but is evident in profiles (e.g., Fig. 3A), where we interpret the boundary
between the two slabs to coincide with the Alpine Tethys suture.

Insight into the nature of the European lithosphere comes from the striking coincidence of
its lower layer of large -V_p anomalies (Figs. 2, 7) with NE-SW oriented SKS directions and 1-2s delay
times reported for this area in the literature (Barruol et al. 2011) and AlpArray studies (Link and
Rümpker, pers. comm.). Given a relation of 100 km thickness for every second of delay time, one
obtains a thickness of 100-200 km for the anisotropic layer, which matches the observed thickness
of the -V_p layer. A well-known effect of azimuthal anisotropy is to retard near-vertical incident body
waves (Hammond, 2014; Mnisterov et al., 2018), thus providing a possible explanation for the
anomalous -V_p layer. Taken together, this suggests that the -V_p layer in the lower European
lithosphere is structurally anisotropic and may have accommodated viscous flow. This is contrary to
previous interpretations in which the anisotropy was attributed to arc-parallel asthenospheric flow
around the European slab (Barruol et al., 2011). The NE-SW orientation of SKS directions below the
Central Alps is inconsistent with the SE-subduction direction of European lithosphere, leaving a Variscan or pre-Variscan age for lower lithospheric flow as the most likely alternative.

We consider a thermal anomaly unlikely to have caused the large -V_p anomaly in the European lithosphere because the ∆T-values corresponding to the observed 5-6% -V_p would be unrealistically high (300-600°C using the AV_T relations of Goes et al., 2000; Cammarano et al., 2003; Perry et al., 2006) for old, inactive mantle lithosphere in the down-going plate of a collisional orogen. Variscan crust in the foreland of the Alps underwent amphibolite- to locally granulite-facies regional metamorphism some 340-360 Ma ago, followed by calc-alkaline magmatism and thermal overprinting at 320-260 Ma (e.g., Matte 1986; Franke, 2000 and refs. therein). This Late Carboniferous to Early Permian magmatic event is at least 200 Ma older than the onset of collision in the Alps at 40-32 Ma (e.g., Handy et al., 2010 and refs. therein). Though it has been argued that compositional differences can stabilize vertical and horizontal thermal gradients (Jordan 1979, 1981), thus contributing to the longevity of the observed seismic heterogeneity, there is no known mechanism to maintain such a pronounced thermal anomaly for such a long time.

Regarding the Adriatic Plate, the question remains if the younger, low V_p patches attributed to Miocene asthenospheric upwelling following batholithic delamination (Fig. 9) still represent volumes of substantially elevated temperatures today, in view of the fact that water content in addition to temperature influences seismic wave velocities in the mantle (Karato and Jung, 1998; Shito et al., 2006), we propose that at least in the case of the Veneto volcanic province (Fig. 8) temperature is unlikely to be the dominant factor, especially given that present-day heat flow in the Adriatic region is low (Giacomuzzi et al., 2011).

6.4 Timing of slab detachment and its consequences

A rough estimate of the time since slab detachment in the Eastern Alps can be obtained from the average sink rate of slabs around the world (12 mm/a, van der Meer et al., 2010, 2018). The rate is derived from a compilation of teleseismically imaged slabs of known lengths and ages that are still attached to their lower plate lithospheres, mostly in Circum-Pacific convergent zones. When applied to the Eastern Alps, this approach yields minimum values of the time since detachment because delamination of the European lithosphere is inferred to have preceded slab detachment (Figs. 4 and 6). They range from 10 to 25 Mas, respectively, beneath the Eastern Alps and the Pannonian Basin. The 10-25 Ma time range since slab detachment encompasses the period of orogen-parallel extension and rapid exhumation and lateral escape in the Tauern Window (23-11 Ma, e.g., Scharf et al., 2013) and overlaps with the duration of extension in the Pannonian Basin (21-15 Ma, Horvath et al., 2015 and references therein). This supports our suggestion that lithospheric delamination slab detachment and asthenospheric upwelling were instrumental in triggering decoupling that enabled Neogene orogen-parallel lateral extrusion of the ALCPA tectonic megaunit (upper plate crustal edifice of Alps and Carpathians) towards the Pannonian Basin. This raises questions about the depth of detachment at the base of the ALCPA megaunit during its lateral extrusion and the nature of the Moho beneath the Pannonian Basin. Horvath et al. (2015) proposed that during lateral extrusion the extending crust of the ALCPA megaunit could directly overlay the hot asthenosphere of the Carpathian embayment and that since then, most of the Pannonian Basin cooled, allowing a new mantle lithosphere to grow. If correct, this would imply that the Moho imaged beneath the Pannonian Basin is of Miocene or younger age.

An intriguing aspect of Adriatic indentation and Alpine slab detachment is their potential effects on the fore- and hinterland basins of the Alps. The 25-10 Ma time window for slab detachment brackets the time when thrusting in the eastern Molasse basin stopped advancing (21-22 Ma) and changed from in-sequence to out-of-sequence (wedge-top) mode (Hinsch, 2013). It also...
includes the time when the basin rapidly filled with terrigeneous components at 19-18 Ma (Grunert et al., 2013), leading to a shift in the paleo-drainage direction from eastward to northwestward (Kuhlemann and Kempf, 2002). Subsequent uplift and erosion of the entire Molasse Basin at 10 to 5 Ma (Cederbom et al., 2011) was greater in the east (0.3-0.5 km) than the west (0.5-1.5 km, Baran et al., 2014). These first-order orogen-parallel variations in foreland basin fill and erosion may be related to the degree of slab attachment, with full attachment in the Central Alps lengthening the flexural response of the foreland to slab loading, whereas complete slab detachment and delamination in the east after 25-20 Ma (Handy et al., 2015) favored a very rapid decrease in basin depth (Genser et al., 2007). This period at 23 Ma coincided with the aforementioned onset of rapid exhumation in the Tauern Window (Fügenschuh et al., 1997) and eastward escape of the Eastern Alps into the Pannonian Basin in the upper plate of the retreating Carpathians (Ratschbacher et al., 1991; Scharf et al., 2013).

Finally, a rather vexing consequence of the calculations above is that the 25-10 Ma time window for slab detachment is younger than the 34-28 Ma age range of the Periadriatic calc-alkaline intrusive suite along the Periadriatic Fault (e.g., Rosenberg, 2004), which has been attributed to slab breakoff (von Blankenburg and Davies, 1995). We note that Oligo-Miocene calc-alkaline intrusives occur all along the Periadriatic Fault, extending from the Western Alps to the Mid-Hungarian Fault Zone in the Pannonian Basin (Fig. 1). This broad lateral extent (7.5-19°E, Fig. 1) encompasses the narrow corridor of slab attachment between 7-10°E in the Central Alps (Fig. 8), suggesting that the detached slab segments observed in this study may have had little, if anything, to do with Periadriatic magmatism. Thus, either our estimates of detachment times above are based on questionable assumptions and the time since detachment exceeded 25 Ma or there was an older late Oligocene breakoff event involving an originally longer slab than presently imaged. A further possibility is that the calc-alkaline magmatism with a lithospheric mantle component reflects deep-seated processes other than slab breakoff.

7. Conclusions

The images presented here resolve some long-standing debates while compelling us to reassess the role of plates and their structure in mountain-building. Figure 11 is a graphic attempt to visualize the complex 3-D geometry of mantle structure in the area covered by AlpArray. This figure is a composite view of the Alps seen from the SE, i.e., from a vantage point above the Dinarides, with the Adriatic Plate removed to reveal the slabs. The slabs and foreland structures were constructed from the interpreted outlines in the 12 profiles in Appendix A.
Figure 11: 3D-diagram of the slab beneath the Alps as viewed from the southeast. Slab geometry based on projections of all vertical tomographic profiles in Appendix B and horizontal sections from the model of Paffrath et al. (2021b). Tectonic map of the surface is simplified from maps of Schmid et al. (2004) and Schmid et al. (2008).

A prime outcome of this study is that the European and Adriatic Plates involved in Alpine collision have first-order differences in seismic structure: the down-going European lithosphere is thick (c. 180 km) and marked by laterally continuous positive and negative P-wave anomalies. These are believed to be inherited Variscan or pre-Variscan anisotropic and compositional differences. In the Central (Swiss-Italian Alps), they descend as part of a coherent slab from the Alpine foreland to beneath the Northern Alpine Front. In contrast, the Adriatic Plate is thinner (100-120 km) and has a poorly defined base at the lower boundary of +Vp anomalies. The underlying negative anomaly in the depth interval of 120-270 km is attributable partly to compositional effects (e.g., mantle hydration due to upwelling fluids from the Alpine slab) and partly to upwelling asthenosphere in the aftermath of delamination and slab detachment in the Alps and Apennines.

This fundamental difference in the structure of the lower and upper plates may be responsible for two of the most striking features of the Alps compared to other Alpine-Mediterranean orogens, namely the rugged, high altitude Alpine topography and the disproportionately large amount of accreted, deeply subducted and exhumed lower-plate units exposed in the deeply eroded core of the Alps (Fig. 11). Thick lithosphere is expected to be relatively stiff and buoyant upon entering collision, favoring tectonic underplating of accreted and subducted tectonic units as subduction proceeds. By comparison, “normal” lithosphere, as found in the Adriatic Plate and its slab beneath the Apennines, is expected to sink more easily under its own weight, favoring roll-back subduction, the development of low topography and upper plate extension with only limited exhumation of subducted units.

Another new outcome of this study is the widespread delamination and detachment of slabs observed in both the Alps and the Apennines. Detachment is complete in the southwesternmost...
Alps, and on a much larger scale, in the Eastern Alps (Fig. 11) and Western Carpathians. There, relicts of European lithosphere hang at various depth intervals, with generally greater depths towards the east extending down to the MTZ beneath the Pannonian Basin. Large-scale upwelling of asthenosphere was the response of the mantle to delamination of the European lithosphere and downward motion of detached slabs since at least 25 Ma. The asthenosphere above delaminated areas occupies very shallow depths, in some cases immediately below the Moho marking the base of thinned Alpine orogenic crust, which was stretched in Neogene time during lateral orogenic escape and upper-plate extension forming the Pannonian Basin.

In this study, we claim to have resolved the debate over the polarity of Alpine subduction beneath the Eastern Alps in favor of the model of a single European slab that originally subducted to the south. The presently steep, northward dip of the now-fragmented and deformed Eastern Alps slab segment (Fig. 11), which gave rise to the alternative view of Adriatic subduction in the first place, is clearly a secondary feature acquired during or after slab detachment.

A lesson learned in collating and interpreting this extraordinary data set has been that, after initially acquiring and processing seismological data, methodological development and tectonic interpretation must go hand-in-hand if they are to yield meaningful, testable models. Figure 11 is an initial model of tectonic boundaries based on an assessment of geophysical data in a plate kinematic context. The next step is obviously to parameterize this model in order to compare it with independent sources of data and determine its thermo-mechanical characteristics.
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