

# Two subduction-related heterogeneities beneath the Eastern Alps and the Bohemian Massif imaged by high-resolution P-wave tomography

Jaroslava Plomerová<sup>1</sup>, Helena Žlebčíková<sup>1</sup>, György Hetényi<sup>2</sup>, Luděk Vecsey<sup>1</sup>, Vladislav Babuška<sup>1,†</sup> and  
5 AlpArray-EASI and AlpArray working groups<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Geophysics, Czech Academy of Sciences, 141 31 Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

† deceased

10 <sup>‡</sup> For the complete team list visit the link which appears at the end of the paper

*Correspondence to:* Jaroslava Plomerová (jpl@ig.cas.cz)

**Abstract.** We present high-resolution tomographic images of the upper mantle beneath the E. Alps and the adjacent  
15 Bohemian Massif (BM) in the North based on data from the AlpArray-EASI and AlpArray Seismic Networks. The  
tomography locates the Alpine high-velocity perturbations between the Periadriatic Lineament and the Northern Alpine  
Front. The northward-dipping lithosphere ~~ee-keel~~ is imaged down to ~200-250 km depth, without signs of delamination. ~~The~~  
~~small~~ ~~Little~~ amount of crustal shortening compared to that in the Western Alps and the bimodal character of the positive  
perturbations with a separation beneath the ~~Tauern Window~~ indicates, ~~and~~ a dual source of the velocity heterogeneity, most  
20 probably being formed by a mixture of a fragment of detached European plate and ~~we associate it with~~ the Adriatic plate  
subductions. Detached high-velocity heterogeneity, sub-parallel to and distinct from the E. Alps heterogeneity is imaged at  
~100-200 km depths beneath the southern part of the BM. We associate this ~~heterogeneity anomaly~~ with the western end of a  
SW-NE striking heterogeneity beneath the south-eastern part of the BM, imaged in models of larger extent. The strike,  
parallel with the Moldanubian/Brunovistulian mantle-lithosphere boundary in the BM and with the westernmost part of the  
25 Carpathian front, leads us to consider potential scenarios relating the heterogeneity to (1) a remnant of the delaminated  
European plate, (2) a piece of continental-and-oceanic lithosphere mixture related to the building of the BM, particularly to  
the closure of the old Rheic ocean during the MD/BV collision, or (3) a lithospheric fragment going through to the NW  
between the E. Alps and W. Carpathians fronts in a preceding subduction phase. The study is dedicated to our outstanding  
and respected colleague Vladislav Babuška, who coined innovative views on the European lithosphere and died on March  
30 30, 2021.

## 1 Introduction

Teleseismic body-wave tomography represents a powerful tool to study regional velocity structure of the upper mantle and to image velocity anomalies, particularly those related to subducted plates in collision zones. The Alps have developed at a collision zone of the Eurasian and Adriatic plate since the Variscan orogeny (Fig.1). The classical concept assumed the European lithospheric slab subducted south-eastward/southward along the entire Alps (Laubscher, 1970; Mueller, 1982) without any segmentation. However, interactions of the European lithosphere with the translating and rotating Adriatic plate and several micro-plates involved in the collision, tearing and retreat of the slabs, resulted in the bended (arcuate) shape of the western Alpine mountain range on the surface and in the complicated geometry of the rigid lithosphere penetrating into the ductile mantle.

Early tomographic models of Europe (Aki et al., 1977, Spakman, 1990) have been replaced with more advanced models of segmented Alpine slab during last decades (Wortel and Spakman, 2000; Kissling et al., 2006; Malusa et al., 2021, for reviews). For the first time, Babuška et al. (1990) imaged ~~two segments of Alpine slab, one dipping SE-S beneath the Western Alps and another dipping N beneath the Eastern Alps, with the Alpine slabs separated into two segments, one beneath the Western and second beneath the Eastern Alps, with opposite polarity and~~ a gap in between them. The density of stations and teleseismic rays enabled to resolve the high-velocity heterogeneities only at 1.5° by 1.5° grid, but the bended shape of the south-eastward dipping subduction of the European plate in the Western Alps and steep northward dipping lithosphere beneath the Eastern Alps, with a gap between the two Alpine slabs, were evident. More recent tomographic studies from data recorded in regional passive seismic experiments with densely spaced stations resolved the Alpine subductions at finer grids and confirmed the suggested change in polarity between the distinct Western and Eastern Alpine lower lithosphere roots (Lippitsch et al., 2003; Mitterbauer et al., 2011; Karousová et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2016; Hua et al., 2017). The standard isotropic regional velocity tomography (e.g., Piromallo and Morelli, 2003; Koulakov et al., 2009) based on pre-AlpArray data (Hetényi et al., 2018a), imaged the south-eastward dipping curved slab of the Eurasian lithosphere in the Western Alps and the northward dipping plate beneath the Eastern Alps of similar sizes (geometry), though interpret them differently. Dando et al. (2011) interpret high-velocity heterogeneities at the bottom of their regional tomographic model of the upper mantle beneath the Alpine-Pannonian region (Lombardi et al., 2009, Hetényi et al., 2009) as a graveyard of relict downwelling of continental lithosphere.

While resolution of early tomography of the Alpine region allowed researchers to deal only with the large and most distinct heterogeneities in the upper mantle, accumulation of high-quality data from the dense AlpArray network motivated us to search finer images of the upper mantle and to search answers on segmentation of the Alps, dip directions of the subductions,

their relevance to European or Adriatic plate, extent of slab delamination and particularly, to elucidate the smaller size heterogeneity beneath the Bohemian Massif north of the E. Alps subduction. We concentrate in this paper on imaging the European/Adria plate collision across the Eastern Alpine transect aiming at understanding orogen-forming processes and present high-resolution tomographic images of the upper mantle beneath the E. Alps and the adjacent Bohemian Massif (BM) in the North. The upper mantle beneath the BM is slow; in general (e.g., Piromallo and Morelli 2003; Amaru 2007; Koulakov et al. 2009, Fichtner and Villaseñor, 2015). We show that ~~based on~~ data from the AlpArray-EASI (Hetényi et al., 2018b) and AlpArray Seismic (AASN) networks (AlpArray Seismic Network, 2014; 2015; Hetényi et al., 2018a), ~~the our~~ tomography ~~localizes-shows~~ ~~the western end of~~ a ~~small-size~~ high-velocity heterogeneity ~~imaged~~ at ~100-200 km depths beneath the south-eastern part of the BM (referred to as HV-BM throughout the paper), sub-parallel to and distinct from the E. Alps high-velocity heterogeneity (labelled HV-EA). Kästle et al. (2018) identified in their surface-wave tomography approximately 1° to the north of the Periadriatic Fault a ~~similar-subparallel-fast~~ high-velocity heterogeneity, ~~similar to HV-BM, but further to the west, in their surface-wave tomography~~. Considering the NE continuations of the HV-BM as imaged in body-wave tomography of a larger extent (e.g., Karousová et al., 2013; Paffrath et al., 2021), the heterogeneity strikes with the SW-NE trend, in parallel with the boundary of the Moldanubian (MD) and Brunovistulian (BV) mantle lithosphere in the BM, and the westernmost part of the Carpathian front. Finding a secondary slab/thickened and cold lithosphere north of the Alps strengthen our motivation to determine its proper location and discuss hypotheses regarding its origin. Besides linking the shallow ~~most probably dual source~~ heterogeneity beneath the Eastern Alps to subduction of the Adriatic plate, we also present and discuss in our paper three potential scenarios of the origin of the positive heterogeneity located beneath the southern Bohemian Massif (HV-BM).

## 2 Data

High spatial density of stations, involved in passive seismic experiments, high-quality of recorded data and dense ray-coverage of the upper mantle under the study are essential pre-requisites for reliable high-resolution tomographic imaging. The AlpArray passive seismic experiment, realized in a broad European cooperation (Hetényi et al., 2018a), provided the necessary high-quality recordings for such a study. We collected recordings from stations of the AlpArray Seismic Network (AASN, doi.org/10.12686 /alparray/z3\_2015) and AlpArray-EASI network (doi.org/10.12686 /alparray/xt\_2014). All the AASN stations installed in a 200km-wide band (Fig.1) along the densely spaced stations of the AlpArray-EASI complementary experiment (Hetényi et al., 2018b) were selected for this study. The N-S band of the EASI stations is oriented perpendicularly to the E. Alpine chain (crest) and runs through the BM in the north to the Adriatic sea in the south at length of ~540 km.

We have applied several procedures to check the data quality (Vecsey et al., 2017), particularly data completeness and correct timing, to eliminate periods with the uncorrected leap seconds or failures of clock synchronizations. In station

metadata files we fixed for example wrong channel naming, station mislocation, and incorrect units for poles and zeros (mixing Hz and radians/sec units). We collected seismograms from 1920 earthquakes recorded at 240 temporary and permanent stations involved in the AlpArray experiments, from which we selected a subset of 201 top-quality earthquakes from epicentral distances greater than  $30^\circ$  with as uniform as possible distribution relative to the region of the E. Alps (Fig. S1). On this data set we picked coherently teleseismic P-wave arrival times with a fully automatic picker TimePicker 2017 (Vecsey et al., 2021) developed in the ObsPy/Python platform (Krischer et al., 2015). The TimePicker 2017 is based on two-step signal cross-correlations, and allows us to measure absolute arrival times (Fig. S2a). ~~Instead of a subjective selection of a reference trace, we cross-correlate all pairs of traces, in the first step. For which each trace a time shift related to maxima of the cross-correlation function is determined. Event traces at individual stations are then shifted by a weighted average of the time-shifts gained from cross-correlations with all other stations which recorded the event. The low-noise beam trace, created as a stack of the shifted traces at all stations, form the reference trace for following performing new beam forming and arrival time picking of an event in the second cross-correlation step. A low noise beam trace created from stacked cross-correlated and shifted traces of an event serves as a reference in the second cross-correlation step and beam forming in the P-arrival time picking. This means, there is no subjective a-priori selected single reference trace.~~

In the second step, we correlate traces of all stations with the reference low-noise beam trace. The waveforms are aligned according to the times related to the maxima of the cross-correlation functions. The new low-noise beam is computed as a median of all aligned traces and the P-wave onset on the beam is determined automatically (see Fig. S2). Then, arrival times at individual stations are derived from differences between times of corresponding extremes. Arrival times on the station signals are measured by three different methods. The final times of individual extremes (green P1 and P2 in Fig. S2a) and their error estimates are computed from the normal distribution, which approximates a mixture of normal distributions of partial picks (for details see Fig. S2a). ~~The final time of each extreme (green P1 and P2 in Fig. S2a) and its error estimate is computed from the normal distribution, which approximates a mixture of normal distributions of partial picks.~~ We have applied the picker on full data set of 1920 teleseismic events recorded by the AASN. Figure S2b shows uncertainties of the measured P-wave arrivals, means and medians for both the complete dataset as well as for events selected for tomography (see below).

Each earthquake in the sub-set of selected 201 earthquakes was recorded at least by 50 stations of the array, i.e., at least 20% of all the stations in the area. In this data set, 130 rays per event sampled the mantle on average. The conditions assure sufficient stability of the reference level in computing the relative traveltimes residuals. The north-south elongated shape of the region oriented across the Alpine structures and perpendicular to the strike of the presumed subduction does not guarantee the same resolution along and across the strike. To eliminate mapping effects of heterogeneities aside the model into its internal part and to enhance the resolution in direction of the subductions, we selected additional rays coming from the northern and southern  $60^\circ$  wide azimuth bins. Only rays propagating through the model within the two azimuthal fans

130 (see Fig. S1b) have been included in the final tomographic inversions, tested, discussed and interpreted further. This data comes from 244 earthquakes, each of them being recorded by 120 stations on average, i.e., by 50% of stations in the region. Figure S5 demonstrates that potential bias coming from heterogeneities west and east of the N-S oriented elongated array is weak and that the events from the 60° cones illuminate better the Bohemian Massif (BM) - Eastern Alps (EA) structures. ~~The dataset with enhanced data from N and S provide sharper picture of the high velocity heterogeneities associated with the subducting slabs (see Fig. S5).~~

135  
140  
145  
150  
155  
160  
Teleseismic data cannot resolve velocities in the crust itself due to their sub-vertical propagation at the very shallow depths. To avoid mapping effects from the crust into the velocity perturbations in the upper mantle (e.g., Karousová et al., 2012), we have introduced crustal corrections. Unfortunately, up to now, there is no uniform, sufficiently detailed, model of the crust for Europe, neither for the AlpArray region. For our body-wave studies in Europe we have collected accessible information on the crust for each station from different sources: from Karousová et al. (2012, and references therein) for the BM (mostly based on results of controlled source seismics); from, e.g., Di Stefano et al. (2011), Hua et al., (2017), Tesauro et al. (2008) for areas south of the BM; and from Hetényi et al. (2018b) ~~Crust corrections along the EASI transect were based on model by Hetényi et al. (2018b).~~ The crust is characterized beneath each station by the depth of Moho, the dip angle and dip direction if the Moho is not flat, the Moho jump if there is any, velocity in the crust, thickness of sediments and sediment velocity (Fig. S3). Sometimes there are significant differences between different models, in their overlapping parts. Therefore, we do not attempt creating any kind of a „fine“-gridded model of the crust. Instead, we tune the corrections individually beneath each station and correct the travel time residuals along each individually traced ray for the difference in the „real“ crust and the crust of the reference model. ~~(Fig. S3) for sediments (thickness, velocities) and variations in thickness and velocity of the crust. We compiled parameters for the crust corrections from different sources: from Karousová et al. (2012, and references therein) for the BM, from, e.g., Di Stefano et al. (2011), Hua et al., (2017), Tesauro et al. (2008) south of the BM. Crust corrections along the EASI transect were based on model by Hetényi et al. (2018b).~~ Carefully pre-processed P-wave travel-time residuals calculated relative to the IASPEI'91 velocity model (Kennett and Engdahl, 1991), corrected for the crust, normalized to (shifted by) the average residual per event and cleaned from outliers serve as input to the inversion in which we do not invert for the crust. With this approach we gathered a high-quality and uniform dataset of travel time residuals for a proper tomographic inversion to resolve structures in our target region and below the crust. Of course, ~~none~~ of crustal models remain idealized models and aren't hundred percent correct. Therefore, small “uncorrected” perturbations remain in each teleseismic tomography model of the upper mantle, which one needs to consider when interpreting the results.

### 160 3 Method

We retrieved the velocity perturbations in the upper mantle by isotropic mode of the coupled anisotropic-isotropic tomographic code AniTomo (Munzarová et al., 2018a) derived from the broadly used Telinv code (e.g., Weiland et al. 1995;

Arlitt et al. 1999; Lippitsch et al. 2003; Sandoval et al. 2004; Shomali et al. 2006; Eken et al. 2007; Karousová et al. 2012; 2013; Plomerová et al. 2016; Silvennoinen et al. 2016; Chyba et al. 2017).

165

Weak anisotropy with hexagonal symmetry, both with the high-velocity  $\mathbf{a}$  axis or with the low-velocity  $\mathbf{b}$  axis generally oriented in 3D, are assumed in coupled anisotropic-isotropic tomographic code AniTomo. Velocity at each point can be expressed as

$$v = \bar{v} \left( 1 + \frac{k}{2} \cos 2\alpha \right) \quad (1)$$

170 where  $\bar{v}$  is isotropic component of anisotropic velocity,  $k$  is strength of anisotropy and  $\alpha$  is an angle between the symmetry axis and wave-propagation direction (for details see Munzarová et al., 2018a). The linearized relation between a travel time residual  $\Delta t$  and perturbations of four anisotropic parameters  $\Delta \bar{v}$  (isotropic component of the anisotropic velocity),  $\Delta k$  (strength of anisotropy),  $\Delta \lambda$  and  $\Delta \theta$  (azimuth and inclination of the symmetry axis) at each grid node (indexed with  $i$ ) attains a form

$$175 \quad \Delta t = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial \bar{v}} \right)_i \Delta \bar{v}^i + \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial k} \right)_i \Delta k^i + \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial \lambda} \right)_i \Delta \lambda^i + \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial \theta} \right)_i \Delta \theta^i. \quad (2)$$

Due to the elongated shape of the region (Fig.1), which is not suitable for a coupled anisotropic-isotropic tomography and out of the scope of this paper, we apply on the AlpArray-EASI data only the isotropic mode of the AniTomo code, in the first step. Then formula (2) reduces to the relation between the travel-time residual and the isotropic-velocity perturbations

$$\Delta t = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial \bar{v}} \right)_i \Delta \bar{v}^i, \quad (3)$$

180 The system of linear equations is then solved with the standard damped least-square method (e.g., Menke, 1984)

$$\mathbf{m} = \left( \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{W}_D \mathbf{A} + \varepsilon^2 \mathbf{W}_M \right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{W}_D \mathbf{d}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{m}$  is vector of model parameters  $\Delta \bar{v}$  at all nodes. Data vector  $\mathbf{d}$  contains travel-time residuals  $\Delta t$  and matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  stores the partial derivatives from equation (2) or (3). Errors of arrival-time measurements are considered in weighting matrix  $\mathbf{W}_D$ . Damping factor  $\varepsilon^2$  stabilizes the ill-posed problem. Horizontal smoothing of model parameters can be achieved via matrix

185  $\mathbf{W}_M$ . **There is no vertical smoothing in the code.** The inverse in equation (4) is approximated by truncated singular value decomposition. 3D ray-tracing bending technique Simplex (Steck and Prothero, 1991), in which ray paths are distorted by sinusoidal signals, is applied. Reliability of the model parameters for a given ray distribution and inversion setup can be assessed with resolution matrix  $\mathbf{R}$

$$\mathbf{R} = \left( \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{W}_D \mathbf{A} + \varepsilon^2 \mathbf{W}_M \right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{W}_D \mathbf{A}. \quad (5)$$

190 The area of about 400 000 km<sup>2</sup>, centred at 13.3°E 48.5°N, is approximated by 30-by-30 km cell size, horizontally. The images are calculated down to 435 km depth with 30 km spacing. To minimize creating false perturbations, we invert for the velocity perturbations only in the central 5 x 25 x 13 cells, which are well-sampled by criss-crossing rays (Fig. S1), i.e., in nodes between depths of 60 km and 420 km. The model covers the Eastern Alps and a core of the BM, an area of ca.

195 140 400 km<sup>2</sup> in total. Variance reduction of the final model for the chosen damping parameter attains 66% (Fig. S4), and we kept the results after the second iteration (Fig. S4) as a further iteration has not resulted in any significant change in the velocity perturbations.

#### 4 Results

200 The distinct, high-velocity, northward dipping, ~140km broad perturbations related to the E. Alpine root, imaged in the upper ~250 km of the mantle by previous tomography (Babuška et al., 1990, Karousová et al., 2013; Hetényi et al., 2018b), had a tendency to split, when we exploited data from the EASI experiment and nearby permanent stations (Plomerová et al., EGU 2018). However, “only” adding data from the AASN lead to the clear visualization of two separate sub-parallel high-velocity heterogeneities beneath the broader E. Alpine region, both dipping to the north and each about 80 km thick (Figs. 2 and 3), with a low-velocity separation zone of ~80-100 km extent.

205 A clear decrease of amplitudes with depth dominates in the horizontal depth slices (Fig. 2 – left) through the EASI-AA velocity-perturbation model. They exceed +/- 1% only exceptionally below 220 km depth (two deepest layers shown in Fig. 2). Negative perturbations in the two uppermost mantle layers concentrate along the Eger Rift (ER) and can be related to the lithosphere thinning in this region relative to the MD part of the BM (e.g., Plomerová and Babuška, 2010; Plomerová et al., 210 2016). At greater depth, the lower velocities dominate in the sub-lithospheric mantle beneath the whole BM (e.g., Amaru, 2007; Fichtner and Villasenor, 2015). The distinct positive velocity perturbations related to the E. Alpine root are located north of the PAL at ~47° N and are distinct down to 225km depth. At greater depths both the positive and weak negative perturbations are arbitrarily mixed and do not indicate any continuous object. Relatively smaller-size positive velocity heterogeneity, north of the strongest large one, lies beneath the southern BM at 100-200 km depth.

215 To have a better sense of both lateral and vertical changes of the perturbations and thus to the dip of the high-velocity heterogeneities, we contour the 1.5% positive perturbations between 90 and 210 km depth (Fig. 2-right). The contours clearly mark the northward dip of the EA slab, particularly in its eastern part (east of 13.3°E). A dip of the western rim of the slab is not clear from the contour curves only, as it appears to become steeper and thins significantly with depth. Similarly, it is difficult to judge a dip direction of the smaller-size, positive heterogeneity around ~48.7°N (HV-BM) in this visualization. 220 To image the dip-directions of the heterogeneities, we present five N-S vertical cross-sections through the EASI-AA model (Fig. 3 a-c), perpendicular to the strike of the mountain belt. The images of slab geometry suggest changes along-strike of the Alpine orogen, even on short distances, but one has to keep in mind changes in resolutions toward the margins of the model as well (see sections with synthetic tests). In general, the positive perturbations reach down to ~220 km. All perturbations below ~250 km depth are very weak without any clustering or evident association with the stronger heterogeneities above this level. The positive perturbations beneath the ~~HV~~-BM, mapped only between ~100 km to ~220 km

230 depths, (marked as III in Fig.3), are slightly weaker in comparison with those ~~in the HV-beneath the EA heterogeneity~~ (marked as I and II in Fig.3) and disconnected from shallow parts. ~~The HV-BM can be mapped only below 100 km depth down to 220 km.~~ On the other hand, the strongest heterogeneity in the south does not exhibit ~~clear~~ signs of ~~delamination detachment~~; and thus through its dip and ~~existing~~ connection to crustal levels ~~an association e-it~~ with a continuous subduction of the Adriatic plate ~~could be possible.~~ ~~All perturbations below 250 km are very weak without any clustering or evident association with the stronger heterogeneities above this level.~~ The limits of the numerically obtained well-resolved area, ~~derived from resolution matrix,~~ are reported on the figures, with grey shading. ~~outside it.~~

235 The ~~two~~ positive-velocity perturbations seem to immerse ~~in the northward direction,~~ into the sub-lithospheric mantle ~~in the northward direction, in general,~~ at an apparent dip of  $\sim 45^\circ$  or more. The general dip of these heterogeneities marked in the cross-sections, changes only slightly in direction toward the Central Alps. In the central cross-sections, the HV-EA heterogeneity appears shorter than in the easternmost cross-section, while the detached lithosphere fragment ~~beneath the BM~~ reaches slightly deeper. Cross-sections through a 3D visualization of along strike changes of the velocity perturbation in the  
240 EASI-AA model can be found in Figure S9.

Looking at perturbations of the HV-EA heterogeneity at greater details, one can recognize its potential dual source. The positive heterogeneities I and II (Fig. 3) are separated just beneath the TW, where we modelled the European and Adriatic crust contact (Hetényi et al., 2018b). Dip directions of the heterogeneities slightly changes – HV-EA-part II seems to  
245 immerse southward (Fig.3a,b), whereas HV-EA-part I dips to the north. This allows us to argue for a mixing of a detached EU slab fragment and the shallow Adria slab connected at depth, as already suggested ~~also~~ in Babuška et al. (1990) using a much coarser model inferred from P residuals. The length of the subduction imaged in our tomography beneath the E. Alps is similar to that in Lippitsch et al. (2003) and fits the upper limit of the amount of Adria–Europe Neogene convergence described in Le Breton et al. (2017).

250

## 5 Resolution Tests

We have performed several synthetic tests, to evaluate the resolution of the tomography results, particularly its ability to detect the two separate sub-parallel slabs beneath the E. Alps and BM and their dip direction. The polarity reversal of the northward subduction beneath the E. Alps relative to the south-eastward subduction in the Western Alps is of particular  
255 importance. The polarity flip is still questioned by some authors (e.g., Kind et al., 2021 this issue) in spite of long-lasting various inferences speaking for the change in subduction polarity beneath the W. and E. Alps with a gap between them (e.g., Babuška et al., 1990 Lippitsch et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2016; Paffrath et al., 2021 this issue, and references therein).



Test 1 (Figs. 4, S6a-d) was designed to compare data-retrieved perturbations with those resulting from one or two narrow vertical synthetic heterogeneities, without imposing any polarity of the subductions. The model with one 5% velocity heterogeneity does not reproduce the velocity perturbations retrieved from real data. The model with two steep heterogeneities mimics the perturbations much better both in the central part of the model and its margins. The perturbations retrieved from the synthetic vertical heterogeneities remain vertical for the real ray geometry or with a weak southward dipping tendency in the westernmost profile, which contradicts the northward dip of real perturbations. Evidently, there is no northward smearing due to the ray geometry.

~~After accepting~~ Having documented the existence of two separate heterogeneities, one beneath the southern BM (HV-BM) and second beneath the E.Alps (HV-EA), we have tested their relative orientations. Test 2 (Figs. 4, S6a-d), assumes two heterogeneities as in Test 1, but with 27° southward dip. The resulting perturbations do not reproduce the northward dip of the real perturbations. On the other hand, Test 3 with the two heterogeneities dipping to the north at 27° mimics the dip of real perturbations very well. Test 4 with two bi-vergently dipping heterogeneities (towards each other) match the geometry of the real perturbations only at shallow depths, above ~150km, but the deeper part of the northern heterogeneity is completely missing.

The above performed synthetic tests corroborate that the data from the AlpArray and EASI networks are able to image two separate northward dipping sub-parallel slabs beneath the E. Alps and southern rim of the BM. The two slabs are separated from each other, and the northern one is not connected with the shallow parts of the lithosphere (above ~100km). The difference between the dip directions of the subductions beneath the E. Alps and the subductions beneath the W. and Central Alps is undoubtedly real and it is not produced by potential smearing due to ray geometry.

Besides the specific tests described above we also performed standard checkerboard tests to assess resolution capability of the network (Fig. S7). The checkerboard test confirms the positive and negative perturbations are retrieved well down at least to 240 km with a weak vertical smearing (Fig. S7a – horizontal slices). Also the vertical cross-section through the central part of the model (Fig.S7b) images the synthetic perturbations reliably.

## 6 Imaging the high-velocity perturbations in different tomography model

The present shape of the Alpine mountain chain, characterised by the curved Western Alps and east-west striking Central and Eastern Alps, reflects a multi-phase action of tectonic forces during the collision of the European and Adriatic plates, the AICaPa micro-plate and numerous lithosphere fragments in the regions, as well as the Piemonte oceanic lithosphere. The processes are imprinted in the complex architecture of the broader Alpine region, both in the crust (Handy et al., 2010; Rosenberg and Kissling, 2013; Schlunegger and Kissling, 2015) and in the mantle (e.g., Kissling et al., 2006). Continuing

debates on exact setting the Moho depth in the Alps and on the “gap” near the Tauern window (Spada et al., 2013, Hetényi et al., 2018b; Brückl, Tectonics 2010) document the complex structure of the Alpine orogen.

295 Though the upper mantle structure is less diverse in comparison with the crust, in general, ongoing studies of the Alpine  
upper mantle continue to reveal new and more detailed features in geometry of the lower lithosphere, dip direction of the  
Alpine slabs, tears or detachments of the slabs and interactions of the Alps with the Apennines and Dinarides. The current  
stage of knowledge from results of various disciplines – seismology, geology, petrology, tectonics, paleo-magnetism,  
geochemistry, GPS studies etc. - reflect differences in the segmented slab responses to the acting forces. The complex  
structure of the fragmented Alpine slab(s) and the broader Europe/Adria collision zone is now visualized in tomography  
300 snapshots. The boundary between the central and eastern slab segments of the Alps, as defined either by slab gaps due to  
delamination (Handy et al., 2021) or a change in dip direction of the subductions, is placed at the western end of the Tauern  
Window, i.e., at the northward coincides with prolongation of the Giudicare-Brenner Fault, Brenner fault system, in general  
as already proposed by Handy et al., (2015) and other more recent authors to separate different crustal (lower crustal wedges)  
and mantle (slab gaps, slab dips) structures (~~(e.g., Lippitsch et al., 2003; Schmid et al., 2004, Rosenberg et al., 2018).~~ In  
305 recent studies, Paffrath et al. (2021) and Handy et al. (2021) document a change from a S-dipping slab to a N-dipping slab  
beneath the western part of the Eastern Alps (i.e., beneath the Tauern Window). This is in agreement with the previously  
proposed geometries of Babuska et al. 1990, Lippitsch et al. 2003 and Zhao et al. 2016). ~~The current stage of knowledge  
from results of various disciplines – seismology, geology, petrology, tectonics, paleo-magnetism, geochemistry, GPS studies  
etc. – reflect differences in the segmented slab responses to the acting forces.~~ In recent studies, Paffrath et al. (2020) suggest  
310 ~~the reversed slab polarity relative to the Western Alps already in the Central Alps, as opposed to the formerly documented  
polarity reversal further to the east – beneath the E. Alps (e.g., Babuška et al., 1990, Lippitsch 2003; Zhao et al., 2016).~~ Mock  
et al. (2020) note a discordance between the slab geometry at depth and the boundary between the Eastern and Central Alps  
observed at the surface. ~~The boundary between the central and eastern slab segments of the Alps, as defined either by slab  
gaps due to delamination (Handy et al., 2021) or a change in dip direction of the subductions, coincides with the Giudicare-  
315 Brenner fault system, in general (e.g., Rosenberg et al., 2018).~~

As early as 1990- Babuška et al. (1990) suggested segmentation of the Alpine slab into the western and eastern parts with  
opposite polarities, and a gap in between them, in tomography of central Europe, in which the authors inverted crust-  
corrected and source-side clustered travel time residuals. This model (Fig. 5) has rather high variance reduction, 70%, but a  
320 resolution only at 1.5°x1.5° grid due to station spacing available at that time. The Alpine slab segmentation has been  
confirmed in the upper mantle tomography of the Alpine orogen by Lippitsch et al. (2003). Their regional tomography with  
finer lateral grid of 50x50 km has the highest resolution around the 12° E, just between the two strongest high-velocity  
perturbations beneath the Central and Eastern Alps, where the passive seismic network TRANSALP was deployed. Later  
tomography of the upper mantle which included the E. Alps from data of regional passive experiments (Dando et al., 2011;

325 Mitterbauer et al., 2011; Karousová et al., 2013) also retrieved the northward dipping high-velocity heterogeneity of similar  
geometries (Fig. 5) in 250 km of the upper mantle. The imaged triangular shape of the LAB model (e.g., Babuška and  
Plomerová 1992) beneath the thickened lithosphere of the E. Alps, detached from that beneath the W. and C. Alps, led  
Babuška et al. (1990) to suggest three main phases in building the E. Alps lithosphere root: (1) NW translation of the Adria  
and its thrusting over the (subducting?) European plate in the ~~W-~~Alps, (2) fragmentation of northern Adria along a deep-  
330 seated fault (possibly the Giudicarie Fault, or at least a spatially nearby structure) and (3) counter-clockwise rotation of the  
Adria resulting in a start of its subduction after a collision with the European plate in the Eastern Alps (e.g. also Ustaszewski  
et al., 2008), potentially above a delaminated European lithosphere residing at greater depths (Paffrath et al., 2021, Handy et  
al., 2021). Complexity of this region is reflected in ambiguous views on the crust, being interpreted as a triple-junction of  
three crustal terranes (Brückl et al., 2010), although the deformation style between the E. Alps and the Pannonian Basin is  
335 usually considered diffuse on the surface. Instead, e.g., Ustaszewski et al. (2008) and Horváth et al. (2015) assume the  
Pannonian Basin underlain by gradually thinned European and Adriatic crust, which has been recently imaged by Kalmár et  
al. (2021).

The most recent tomography of the entire Alps and surrounding regions (Paffrath et al., 2021 ~~this issue~~; Handy et al., 2021 –  
340 both this issue) exploit data from the AlpArray seismic network and AlpArray complementary experiments. The large-scale  
AlpArray tomography and the EASI-AA model along the EASI with similar gridding exhibit remarkable coincidence of  
perturbation patterns. In both tomography images, the HV-EA is located between the PAL in the south and the NAF in the  
north, but the large-scale tomography (Paffrath et al., ~~2020~~; 2021 this issue) images the E. Alps subduction below 150 km  
depth with low velocity perturbations (red) above it. At this depth, horizontal slices show characteristic separation of the  
345 Wester, Central and Eastern Alps, which becomes more distinct deeper in the mantle. Similarly, Zhao et al. (2016) show  
only weak positive perturbations at 100 km depth beneath the E. Alps, but this region lies in a relatively less well-resolved  
part of their model.

A local discrepancy between models is present in the northern BM, where the large tomography returns positive  
350 perturbation, but the EASI-AA tomography maps the low-velocities there in accordance with other studies (e.g., Plomerová  
et al., 2016). However, the large and EASI-AA tomography detects positive perturbations beneath the Moldanubian part of  
the southern BM. The perturbations continue further to the south-west in the EASI-AA in comparison with Paffrath (2021~~0~~)  
tomography. Similar local high-velocity perturbations down to ~250 km depth, isolated from the EA heterogeneity, have  
been detected also in body-wave tomography by Dando et al. (2011) and by Karousová et al. (2013) (Fig. 5) as well as in  
355 surface-wave tomography by Kästle et al. (2018, 2020), though the surface waves localize ~~at~~ the similar high-velocity  
heterogeneity further south-west of the BM.

The north oriented dip of the EA subduction, imaged in early tomography studies (Babuška et al., 1990, Aric et al., 1989), was questioned for a long time, until Lippitsch et al. (2003) clearly imaged the northward dipping structures using  
360 | TRANSALP data ~~as well~~. Subsequent regional passive experiments provided body-wave data for tomography of the E. Alps and surrounding regions (namely in the Bohemian Massif and Pannonian Basin (BOHEMA (e.g., Karousová et al., 2013, Plomerová et al., 2016), ALPASS (e.g., Mitterbauer et al., 2011) and CBP (e.g., Dando et al., 2011) passive experiments) and imaged ~~again~~ the northward dip of the EA slab ~~as well~~. ~~Most~~ ~~Some~~ of them agree in interpreting it being of Adriatic plate origin (~~Dando et al., 2011~~; Karousová et al., 2013). However, Mitterbauer et al. (2011), and similarly in recent  
365 | tomography based on the newest Alp Array data by Paffrath et al. (2021 this issue), the positive perturbations below 150km are associated with a delaminated EU slab (see also Handy et al., 2021 this issue). Kästle et al. (2020) relate the HV-EA mainly with the European plate subductions as well, and leave no or only minor role to the Adriatic subduction. The authors explain the northward dipping subduction modelled beneath the E. Alps by imaging a combination of the short Adriatic and deep delaminated, potentially overturned European slabs.

370 | Synthetic tests from the EASI-AA data set in our study proved that the EASI-AA models is capable to image the two sub-parallel northward dipping heterogeneities – the large and strong southern one beneath the E. Alps with connection to shallow depths, and the weaker northern one beneath the southern BM apparently disconnected. To understand the positive perturbations beneath the southern BM, we compare it with results from the large-scale Paffrath et al. (2021) tomography  
375 | and with the regional tomography of the BM (Karousová et al., 2013) of similar resolution to ours (Fig. 6). The strongest positive perturbations related to that heterogeneity overlap in the models, though they are of unrealistically large extent in the Paffrath's et al. model (2021, this issue). Paffrath et al. (2021) show the strong positive perturbations also beneath the north-western part of the BM (beneath the Eger Rift), where the lithosphere thins significantly (~80 km). This is well imaged by negative perturbations in the EASI-AA and in BOHEMA models (Karousová et al., 2013; Plomerova et al., 2016).  
380 | Images of perturbations at 180 km depth stress the importance of using data from stations in the northern part of the EASI array. Data from these stations capture the positive velocity perturbations much farther to the SW, beneath the southern rim of the BM, than the other two tomography studies. Cross-sections through the BM regional tomography (see Fig. 5) locate the increased velocities within the low velocity BM upper mantle (~~REF~~ e.g., Amaru 2007, Fichtner and Villasenor, 2015). The SW-NE elongated shape of the heterogeneity follows the boundary between the MD and BV mantle lithosphere  
385 | domains (Babuška and Plomerová, 2013).

Different types of seismic waves propagate with different velocities and sample the mantle volume in different directions and wavelength, which affects the velocity/velocity perturbation images of the upper mantle and corresponding resolution. A cross-section along 13.5°E through the MeRE2020 model, a shear-wave velocity perturbation model from Rayleigh phase  
390 | velocities (El-Sharkawy et al., 2020), runs parallel to the EASI transect in our P-wave model. The authors relate positive perturbations eastward of the cross-section at ~45°N to the northernmost part of the Dinarides slab and images a short (only

down to 150 km depth) European slab, without any delamination. On the other hand, the body-wave tomography by Paffrath et al. (2021) sees the top of high-velocity heterogeneity beneath the E. Alps at 150 km depth. The heterogeneity extends down to ~300 km depth. The authors interpret it as the delaminated European plate lithosphere. There is obvious contradiction between these two interpretations. To test whether a potential delamination can be detected in our EASI-AA tomography, we performed additional synthetic tests (Fig. S8). In each test the top of the steep 5% high-velocity heterogeneity shallows from 150 km to 60 km depth. Due to the leakage, which exists in each teleseismic P-wave tomography, one has to be careful and concentrate only on distinct features. Figure 8 demonstrates that the dark blue perturbations in the model with shallow heterogeneity reproduces the dark blue perturbations in the model from real data better than the model with heterogeneity below 150 km depth. The tests demonstrate that the slab detachment larger than the 30km grid would be revealed in our EASI-AA model. Two northward dipping slabs (Fig. 7), the northern small-size delaminated one, approximated with 3% velocity increase, and the southern one attached, with 5% velocity increase, mimic well the velocity perturbations retrieved beneath the Bohemian Massif and beneath the Eastern Alps from real data (Fig. 3). The leakage of the model perturbations of the HV-BM towards the surface is weak and acts against the negative perturbations imaged from real data. There is no surprise that a simple prism model cannot reproduce tiny details of the complex HV-EA heterogeneity.

The inconsistency between the above mentioned results in the upper ~150 km of the upper mantle could come from different sources, e.g., a sub-optimal ray coverage in that area and depth range, but also can reflect differences in crustal corrections applied. Certain role can play also the difference in size of the arrays. Velocity perturbations in P-wave tomography are linked to averages within each layer (a plane of grid nodes), which might differ in a large array from that of a smaller-size array. Data from large-scale arrays allows to model heterogeneities down to greater depths than a smaller-size regional tomography, which can, on the other hand, provide finer images. While tomography images presented here have the best resolution above 200 km and allows to image a shallow slab beneath the Eastern Alps attached to Adriatic plate, the large-scale model from the entire AlpArray has the best resolution at depths 200-400 km (Paffrath et al., 2021 this issue) and thus is able to images the deep delaminated European slab beneath the Eastern Alps.

-The role of applying proper crustal corrections is significant in teleseismic regional tomography. Not applying any crustal corrections or applying inadequate ones can strongly affect velocity perturbations within the upper ~100 km of the upper mantle (e.g., Karousová et al., 2013), which is the zone, where the models discussed above differ. “Overcorrecting” the travel times due to a crustal model used, regardless of a method of correcting itself, can delete/erase or substantially reduce positive perturbations in the upper 100 km, if too slow and thick crust would be considered and vice versa. From this point of view, developing a uniform detailed and reliable model of the European crust is urgently needed.

## 425 7 Potential scenarios for geneses of the high-velocity heterogeneities

Various evolution scenarios for the E. Alps slab exist, but there are none for the HV-BM beneath the southern BM. For detailed scenarios of the Alps subduction and the Europe-Adria plate collision we refer to Schmid et al., 2004; Handy et al., 2010; 2015; Le Breton et al., 2017; Kissling and Schlunegger, 2018; Rosenberg et al., 2018; Paffrath et al., 2021 and references therein. Their models of Alpine orogeny include subductions of the European and Adriatic plates, slab roll-back, 430 tearing, break-offs and delamination, widespread intra-crustal and crust–mantle decoupling, as well as the NW translation and counter-clockwise rotation of the Adriatic plate. All these processes, accompanied by thermal erosion or/and deglaciation uplifts, are reflected in different structure of the WA and EA. But how to interpret the positive velocity perturbations within the low-velocity upper mantle beneath southern BM (HV-BM)?

435 The elongated HV-BM is ~80 km ~~broad-wide~~ and extends, considering both the EASI-AA and Paffrath's (2021) model, approximately between 12.5°-16°E over a length of ~300 km on the surface. The elongated shape of this heterogeneity strikes in the SW-NE azimuth, and extends from ~100 km down to ~200 km depth. We estimate the total volume of the HV-BM at 1.5 million km<sup>3</sup>; these dimensions are comparable to a small lithospheric segment. The smaller part of the HV-BM imaged in EASI-AA model extends at a low angle relative to the Eastern Alpine front. But considering its full size, it is 440 subparallel to the MD/BV contact in the BM as well as the Western Carpathians front. The high-velocity material hovers in the low-velocity upper mantle beneath the BM. We outline three potential scenarios for explanation of an origin of this positive heterogeneity.

The simplest explanation would be to consider it as a fragment of the delaminated part of the European plate subductions, 445 ~~analogous to the scenario proposed by~~ ~~suggested in~~ Handy et al. (2015) for ~~the delamination of European lithosphere further to the South beneath the Eastern Alps~~ ~~the high-velocity heterogeneity beneath the Eastern Alps at greater depth~~ (Fig.8a). In their model, the second break-off or delamination of the European slab at ~25 Ma opened space for the northward subduction of the Adriatic plate, pushed by Africa from the south and rotated by pulling due to subduction of its SE rim beneath the Hellenides. The delaminated piece of the continental lithosphere has continued sinking into the mantle in 450 the model since then. However, the HV-BM is located at shallow depth (above ~200 km, not really compatible with sinking) and is too far to the north (at ~49°N) from the Periadriatic Fault System. Therefore, an association of the HV-BM with the delaminated fragment of the EU subduction is not likely. Also, the clearly imaged separation (negative anomaly) between the subducting HV-EA and the HV-BM is a feature that would not be explained in this scenario.

455 The BM is an assemblage of fragments of continental lithosphere with their own large-scale anisotropic fabrics (e.g., Babuška and Plomerová 2013, 2020). Changes in the fabrics delineate boundaries between the mantle lithosphere domains. Location of the HV-BM, following the boundary of the MD/BV mantle lithosphere domains, evokes a possible link to the

MD/BV collision, which is related to the closure of the Rheic ocean in late Devonian-Middle/Late Carboniferous (Babuška and Plomerová, 2013; and discussion and references therein). The HV-BM is disconnected from the BM lithosphere in tomography cross-sections (see, e.g., Figs. 3, 5). The continual subduction of the oceanic plate due to negative buoyancy would lead to a removal of the denser materials from shallow depths since then. The Phanerozoic continental mantle lithosphere may mechanically decouple from the upper or the full crust, ~~and~~ since this lower lithosphere is denser than the asthenosphere **into which** it subducts. Densification of the lower crust through metamorphic reactions may enhance this process if the convergence is fast (e.g., 2 cm/yr in Hetényi et al., 2011). The Phanerozoic continental mantle lithosphere, composed of originally lighter rocks than those in the asthenosphere, becomes denser due to metamorphic phase changes as it subducts. This is the general process, however at low convergence rates it is able to slowly return from the negative to positive buoyancy range (Bonma et al., 2019). Such a process could “stop” subductions, and allow for a long-term survival of this lithosphere material in the asthenosphere, with the high-velocity anomaly caused by chemical composition rather than temperature. Thus the HV-BM could represent a remnant of the Rheic oceanic closure and/or a relic of the MD/BV collision, captured in the slow sub-lithospheric BM mantle (Fig 8b).

A third scenario (Fig. 8c) could be some relatively light material, brought to its current position beneath the BM not too long ago to survive there (i.e., not to sink rapidly). **In this hypothesis the anomaly beneath the BM could be derived from subduction and lateral transport in the mantle of the oceanic embayment of the Alpine Tethys.** To get such material there is difficult, but not impossible considering the evolution of the European plate and the Carpathians. The shape of the originally linear, W-E running Alpine-Carpathians front has changed since the time of the second European slab break-off, AlCaPa lateral escape and beginning of the Adria subduction (Handy et al., 2015). The Carpathians front curved significantly and migrated to the north. Differences in the roll-back subductions of the Alps (e.g., **Royden and Burchfiel, 1989; Royden 1993; Kissling and Schlunegger, 2018 and reference therein**) and the Carpathians, northward push of Adria and European slab delamination beneath the EA could have formed complex flows in the asthenosphere (e.g., Vignaroli et al., 2008), which could “transport” a purely oceanic lithosphere or a mix of oceanic and continental lithosphere fragments through the open space between the E. Alpine and Carpathian slabs north-northwestward into the mantle beneath the BM. The rotational displacement of the Adriatic Plate indenter provided an additional driving force for modifications of the Alpine-Carpathian-Dinaridic orogenic system (Ustaszewski et al., 2008). Remnants of the Penninic/Piemont oceanic lithosphere (Brückl et al., 2014 – Fig. 9 there), squeezed east of 14°E between the AlCaPa and European plates in the W. Carpathians and pushed from the south by the Adria, can offer a possible explanation for the origin of the SW-NE elongated HV-BM striking sub-parallel to the W. Carpathian front.

Finding an unambiguous model of the complex Alpine orogeny and structure of the upper mantle in the broader surroundings of the Alps requires multi-method and inter-disciplinary research that covers various spatial scales. Combination of gravity and seismic data represents one of such approaches (e.g., Lowe et al., 2021, this issue; Scarponi et



al., 2021). Lowe et al. (2021, this issue) converted modified standard isotropic velocity perturbation models into velocity and then density models. Those are after that used to calculate the gravity signal, predicted up to 40 mGal for various slab configurations mimicking the Alps. The applied methods include severe simplifying assumptions. Nevertheless, neither including pre-defined slab geometries nor accounting for compositional and thermal variations with depth brings satisfactory results, which would allow them to distinguish between their two different slab configurations. The freshly compiled pan-Alpine surface-gravity database (Zahorec et al., 2021-in-print) will undoubtedly provide new impetus for structural investigations combining seismology and gravity. Regarding the gravity effect of the HV-BM, the expected signal is too weak to appear there clearly, as crustal effects are predominant in that area of the BM.

500

Anisotropic nature of the Earth has been proved as a general characteristic in different seismological studies. Anisotropy influences mainly velocities and polarizations of seismic waves. Seismic anisotropy of the Earth's upper mantle carries a key information for deciphering tectonic history of the lithosphere–asthenosphere system (e.g., Babuška and Cara 1991; Sobolev, 1999; Fouch & Rondenay 2006; Long & Becker 2010, Babuška and Plomerová, 2020 and references therein). However, effects of directional dependences of velocities are not considered in standard isotropic tomography images. Only long-wavelength shear-velocity models from surface waves include azimuthal and/or radial anisotropy in the mantle, traditionally. Ignoring seismic anisotropy and assuming isotropic wave propagation or considering only azimuthal and/or radial anisotropy leads to significant isotropic and anisotropic imaging artefacts that may lead to spurious interpretations (van der Beek and Faccenda, 2021). In this study of the broader region around the E. Alps we have applied the isotropic mode of a coupled anisotropic–isotropic teleseismic P-wave tomography developed by Munzarová et al. (2018a). In spite of the general good agreement with the high-resolution large-scale isotropic tomography (Paffrath et al., 2021, this issue), the images can be biased due to seismic anisotropy (Eken et al., 2012; Qorbani et al., 2015, 2016; Bokelmann et al., 2021; Handy et al., 2021). Laterally varying anisotropy, which correlates with tectonics of the region, has been indicated in shear-wave splitting (e.g., Link and Rumpker, 2021). After collecting sufficient amount and well-distributed high-quality data we will run the coupled anisotropic-isotropic mode of the code,  $\tau$  successfully applied in the northern Fennoscandia (Munzarová et al., 2018b). Resulting 3D anisotropic model of the region, which will map laterally and vertically varied anisotropy with symmetry axes oriented generally (i.e., inclined) in 3D. This further investigation may help in deciding among the drafted scenarios for the origin of the HV-BM, or point to new ones.

## 520 **8 Conclusions**

The here presented teleseismic P-wave tomography of the upper mantle beneath the Eastern Alps and the Bohemian Massif locates the Alpine high-velocity perturbations between the Periadriatic Lineament (PAL) and the Northern Alpine Front (NAF). The northward-dipping slab is imaged down to ~200 km, without distinct signs of delamination from the Adriatic plate. The bimodal character of the positive perturbations with a separation beneath the Tauern Window indicates a dual source of the heterogeneity, most probably being formed by a mixture of a fragment of detached European plate and the

525



Adriatic plate subductions. ~~Therefore, association of the high-velocity heterogeneity with the Adriatic plate subduction seems to be obvious.~~ The fine-gridded EASI-AA model of velocity perturbations images ~~at depths of ~100-200km~~ the individual high-velocity heterogeneity beneath the southern part of the Bohemian Massif at depths of ~100-200 km. Its eastward continuation is visualized in other tomography results as well. Interpreting this heterogeneity as a remnant of the delaminated European plate seems unlikely. The SW-NE trend of the heterogeneity strike, in parallel with the Moldanubian/Brunovistulian mantle lithosphere boundary in the Bohemian Massif or with the westernmost part of the Carpathian front, leads us to consider it as a piece of a mixture of the continental and oceanic lithosphere related to building of the BM, particularly to the closure of the old Rheic ocean during the MD/BV collision, or, as a lithospheric fragment going through to the NW between the E. Alps and W. Carpathians fronts in a preceding subduction phase.

530 Team list: The complete member list of the AlpArray Working Group can be found at <http://www.alparray.ethz.ch>

~~Team list: The complete member list of the AlpArray-EASI Working Group can be found at <http://www.alparray.ethz.ch>~~

Author contribution: JP processed the P-wave residuals, analysed and interpreted results, and wrote the ms., HZ ran the AniTomo code, GH participated in interpretations and writing the ms., LV developed and applied the P-wave arrival time picker, VB participated in early-stage discussions.

540

Competing interest: There is no competing interest.

Code/Data availability: TimePicker 2017 will be accessible via ~~web~~ the internet or upon request.

545

**Acknowledgements.** We thank M. Handy and the anonymous reviewer for their valuable criticism and suggestions, which led to important clarifications and improvement of the manuscript, as well as E. Kästle for his editorial work. Research within this study was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (grant No. 21-25710) and station operation was supported by projects CzechGeo/EPOS-Sci CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16\_013/0001800 (OP RDE), CzechGeo/EPOS LM2010008 and LM2015079. We acknowledge the operation of the temporary seismic network XT of the AlpArray-EASI complementary experiment and the AlpArray Seismic Network Z3. Contributions from all permanent seismic networks used in this study: BW – Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Geophysical Observatory, University of München, 2001; CR – University of Zagreb, 2001; CZ – Institute of Geophysics of the CAS, 1973; GR – Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources, 1976; IV – INGV Seismological Data Centre, 2006; MN – MedNet Project Partner Institutions, 1990; NI – OGS and University of Trieste, 2002; OE – ZAMG, 1987; SI – ZAMG, 2006; SL – Slovenian

555

Environment Agency, 1990; SX – University of Leipzig, 2001; TH – Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, 2009, are acknowledged as well.

## References

- Aki, K., Christofferson, A., and Husebye, E.S.: Determination of the three dimensional seismic structure of the lithosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 82, 277-296, 1977.
- AlpArray Seismic Network: Eastern Alpine Seismic Investigation (EASI), AlpArray Complementary Experiment. AlpArray Working Group, Other/Seismic Network, [https://doi.org/10.12686/alparray/xt\\_2014](https://doi.org/10.12686/alparray/xt_2014), 2014.
- AlpArray Seismic Network: AlpArray Seismic Network (AASN) temporary component, AlpArray Working Group, Other/Seismic Network, [https://doi.org/10.12686/alparray/z3\\_2015](https://doi.org/10.12686/alparray/z3_2015), 2015.
- Amaru, M. L.: Global travel time tomography with 3-D reference models, *Geol. Traiectina*, 274, PhD thesis, Utrecht Univ., 174, 2007.
- 10 Aric, K., Gutdeutsch, R., Leichter, B., Lenhardt, W., Plomerová, J., Babuška, V., Pajdušák, P., and Nixdorf, U.: Structure of the lithosphere in the Eastern Alps derived from P-residual analysis, *Arbeiten aus Zentralanstalt für Meteorologie und Geodynamik*, Nr. 370, H. 73, Wien, 26pp., 1989.
- Arlitt, R., Kissling, E., and Ansorge, J.: Three-dimensional crustal structure beneath the TOR array and effects on teleseismic wavefronts, *Tectonophysics*, 314, 309–319, 1999.
- 15 Babuška, V., Plomerová, J., and Granet, M.: The deep lithosphere in the Alps: a model inferred from P residuals, *Tectonophysics*, 176, 137-165, 1990.
- Babuška, V. and Cara, M.: Seismic anisotropy in the Earth, *Kluwer Acad. Publishers*, Dordrecht, 217 pp., 1991.
- Babuška, V. and Plomerová, J.: The lithosphere in central Europe - seismological and petrological aspects, *Tectonophysics*, 207, 141-163, 1992.
- 20 Babuška, V. and Plomerová, J.: Boundaries of mantle-lithosphere domains in the Bohemian Massif as extinct exhumation channels for high-pressure rocks, *Gondwana Res.*, 23, 973–987, [doi:dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2012.07.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2012.07.005), 2013.
- Babuška, V. and Plomerová, J.: Growth of primordial continents by cycles of oceanic lithosphere subductions: Evidence from tilted seismic anisotropy supported by geochemical and petrological findings, *Solid Earth Sciences*, 5 (2020), 50-68, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sesci.2019.12.003>, 2020.
- 25 Bokelmann, G., Hein, G., Kolinsky, P., Bianchi, I., and AlpArray Working Group: Shear-Wave Splitting in the Alpine Region, *EGU General Assembly 2021*, online, 19–30 Apr 2021, EGU21-5377, <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu21-5377>, 2021.
- Boonma, K., Kumar, A., Garcia-Castellanos, D., Jiménez-Munt, I., and Fernández, M.: Lithospheric mantle buoyancy: the role of tectonic convergence and mantle composition, *Scientific Reports*, 9:17953, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-54374-w>, 2019.
- 30 Brückl, E., Behm, M., Decker, K., Grad, M., Guterch, A., Keller, G. R., and Thybo, H.: Crustal structure and active tectonics in the Eastern Alps, *Tectonics*, 29, TC2011, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009TC002491>, 2010.

- Brückl, E. and Hammerl, Ch.: Eduard Suess' conception of the Alpine orogeny related to geophysical data and models, *Austrian J. Earth Sci.*, 107, 94-114, 2014.
- 35 Chyba, J., Plomerová, J., Vecsey, L., and Munzarová, H.: Tomography study of the upper mantle around the TESZ based on PASSEQ experiment data, *Phys. Earth planet. Inter.*, 266, 29-38, doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2017.01.002, 2017.
- Dando, B. D. E., Stuart, G. W., Houseman, G. A., Hegedus, E., Bruckl, E., and Radovanovic, S.: Teleseismic tomography of the mantle in the Carpathian-Pannonian region of central Europe, *Geophys. J. Inter.*, 186, 11-31, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.04998.x, 2011.
- 40 Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Geophysical Observatory, University of München: BayernNetz, <https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/BW>, 2001.
- Di Stefano, R., Bianchi, I., Ciaccio, M. G., Carrara, G., and Kissling, E.: Three-dimensional Moho topography in Italy: New constraints from receiver functions and controlled source seismology, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, 12, Q09006, doi:10.1029/2011GC003649, 2011.
- 45 Eken, T., Shomali, H., Roberts, R., and Bødvarsson, R.: Upper mantle structure of the Baltic Shield below the Swedish National Seismological Network (SNSN) resolved by teleseismic tomography, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 169, 617–630, 2007.
- Eken, T., Plomerová, J., Vecsey, L., Babuška, V., Roberts, R., Shomali, H., and Bodvarson, R.: Effects of seismic anisotropy on P-velocity tomography of the Baltic Shield, *Geophys. J. Inter.*, 188, 600-612, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05280.x, 2012.
- 50 El-Sharkawy, A., Meier, T., Lebedev, S., Behrmann, J. H., Hamada, M., Cristiano, L., Weidle, C., and Köhn, D.: The slab puzzle of the Alpine-Mediterranean region: Insights from a new, high-resolution, shear wave velocity model of the upper mantle, *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 21, e2020GC008993, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GC008993>, 2020.
- Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources: German Regional Seismic Network (GRSN), Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe, <https://doi.org/10.25928/mbx6-hr74>, 1976.
- 55 Fichtner, A. and Villasenor, A.: Crust and upper mantle of the western Mediterranean—Constraints from full-waveform inversion, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 428, 52–62, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.07.038, 2015.
- Fouch, M. J. and Rondenay, S.: Seismic anisotropy beneath stable continental interiors. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 158, 292e320, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2006.03.024>, 2006.
- Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena: Thüringer Seismologisches Netz, <https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/TH>, 2009
- 60 Handy, M. R., Schmid, S. M., Bousquet, R., Kissling, E., and Bernoulli, D.: Reconciling plate-tectonic reconstructions of Alpine Tethys with the geological–geophysical record of spreading and subduction in the Alps, *Earth-Science Reviews*, 102, 121–158, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2010.06.002>, 2010.
- Handy, M. R., Ustaszewski, K., and Kissling, E.: Reconstructing the Alps–Carpathians–Dinarides as a key to understanding switches in subduction polarity, slab gaps and surface motion, *Int. J. Earth Sci.*, 104, 1–26201, DOI 10.1007/s00531-014-65 1060-3, 2015.

Handy, M.R., Schmid, S.M., Paffrath, M., Friederich, W., and the AlpArray Working Group: **Orogenic lithosphere and slabs in the greater Alpine area – interpretations based on teleseismic P-wave tomography**, *Solid Earth* 12, 2633–2669, <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-12-2633-2021>, 2021.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Deleted: European tectosphere and slabs beneath the greater Alpine area – Interpretation of mantle structure in the Alps-Apennines-Pannonian region from teleseismic  $V_p$  studies

Deleted: (this issue), <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2021-49>,

70 Hetényi, G., Stuart, G. W., Houseman, G. A., Horváth, F., Hegedűs, E., and Brückl, E.: Anomalous deep mantle transition zone below Central Europe: Evidence of lithospheric instability, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36:L21307, doi:10.1029/2009GL040171, 2009.

Hetényi G, Godard V, Cattin R, and Connolly JAD: Incorporating metamorphism in geodynamic models: the mass conservation problem. *Geophys J Int* 186:6-10. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05052.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05052.x), 2011.

75 Hetényi, G., Molinari, I., Clinton, J., Bokelmann, G., Bondár, I., Crawford, W. C., Dessa, J-X., Doubre, C., Friederich, W., Horváth, F., Musitz, B., Balázs A., Vég, A., Uhrin, A., Nádor, A., Koroknai, A., Pap, N., Tóth, T., and Wórum G.: Evolution of the Pannonian basin and its geothermal resources, *Geothermics* 53, 328–352, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2014.07.009>, 2015.

80 Fuchs, F., Giardini, D., Grácz, Z., Handy, M. R., Herak, M., Jia, Y., Kissling, E., Kopp, H., Korn, M., Margheriti, L., Meier, T., Mucciarelli, M., Paul, A., Pesaresi, D., Piromallo, C., Pleněš, T., Plomerová, J., Ritter, J., Růmpker, G., Šipka, V., Spallarossa, D., Thomas, C., Tilmann, F., Wassermann, J., Weber, M., Wéber, Z., Wesztergom, V., Živčić, M., and AlpArray Seismic Network Team, AlpArray OBS Cruise Crew, and AlpArray Working Group: The AlpArray Seismic Network: a large-scale European experiment to image the Alpine orogeny, *Surveys in Geophysics*, 1-25, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-018-9472-4>, 2018a.

85 Hetényi, G., Plomerová, J., Bianchi, I., Kampfová Exnerová, H., Bokelmann, G., Handy, M. R., Babuška, V., and AlpArray-EASI Working Group: From mountain summits to roots: Crustal structure of the Eastern Alps and Bohemian Massif along longitude 13.3°E, *Tectonophysics*, 744, 239-255, <https://doi.org/10.1016>, 2018b.

Hua, Y., Zhao, D., and Xu, Y.: P wave anisotropic tomography of the Alps, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *Solid Earth*, 122, 4509–4528, doi:10.1002/2016JB013831, 2017.

90 INGV Seismological Data Centre: Rete Sismica Nazionale (RSN), Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV), Italy, <https://doi.org/10.13127/SD/X0FXnH7QfY>, 2006.

Institute of Geophysics of the Czech Academy of Sciences (CAS): Czech Regional Seismic Network, <https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/CZ>, 1973.

95 Kalmár, D., Hetényi, G., Balázs, A., Bondár, I., and AlpArray Working Group: Crustal thinning from orogen to back-arc basin: the structure of the Pannonian Basin region revealed by P-to-S converted seismic waves, *J Geophys Res* 126:e2020JB021309. doi:10.1029/2020JB021309, 2021.

Karousová, H., Plomerová, J., and Babuška V.: Three-dimensional velocity model of the crust of the Bohemian Massif and its effects on seismic tomography of the upper mantle, *Stud. Geophys. Geod.*, 56, 249–267, DOI: 10.1007/s11200-010-0065-z, 2012.

- Karousová, H., Plomerová, J., and Babuška, V.: Upper mantle structure beneath the southern Bohemian Massif and its surroundings imaged by high-resolution tomography, *Geophys. J. Inter.*, 194 (2), 1203-1215, doi:10.1093/gji/ggt159, 2013.
- Kästle, E. D., El-Sharkawy, A., Boschi, L., Meier, T., Rosenberg, C., Bellahsen, N., et al.: Surface Wave Tomography of the Alps Using Ambient-Noise and Earthquake Phase Velocity Measurements. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 123 (2), 1770–1792. doi:10.1002/2017jb014698, 2018.
- Kästle, E.D., Rosenberg, C., Boschi, L., Bellahsen, N., Meier, T. and El-Sharkawy, A., Slab break-offs in the Alpine subduction zone, *International J. Earth Sciences*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-020-01821-z>, 2020.
- Kennett, B. L. N. and Engdahl E. R. Traveltimes for global earthquake location and phase identification, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 105, 429–465, 1991.
- Kind, R., Schmid, S. M., Yuan, X., Heit, B., Meier, T., and the AlpArray and AlpArray-SWATH-D Working Groups: Moho and uppermost mantle structure in the greater Alpine area from S-to-P converted waves, *Solid Earth Discuss.* [preprint], <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2021-33>, in review, 2021.
- Kissling, E., Schmid, S.M., Lippitsch, R., Ansoerge, J., and Fügenschuh, B.: Lithosphere structure and tectonic evolution of the Alpine arc: new evidence from high-resolution teleseismic tomography, *European Lithosphere Dynamics*, Vol. 32, pp. 129–145, eds Gee, D.G. & Stephenson, R.A., *Memoirs of Geol. Soc.*, 2006.
- Kissling, E., and Schlunegger, F.: Rollback orogeny model for the evolution of the Swiss Alps. *Tectonics*, 37, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004762>, 2018.
- Koulakov, I., Kaban, M. K., Tesauro, M., and Cloetingh, S.: P- and S velocity anomalies in the upper mantle beneath Europe from tomographic inversion of ISC data, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 179, 345–366, 2009.
- Krischer, L., Megies, T., Barsch, R., Beyreuther, M., Lecocq, T., Caudron, C., and Wassermann, J.: ObsPy: a bridge for seismology into the scientific Python ecosystem, *Computational Science & Discovery*, 8, 014003, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1749-4699/8/1/014003>, 2015.
- Laubscher, H. P.: Bewegung und Wärme in der alpinen Orogenese, *Schweizerische mineralogische und petrographische Mitteilungen= Bulletin suisse de minéralogie et pétrographie*, 50, 565-596, 1970.
- Le Breton, E., Handy, M. R., Molli, G., and Ustaszewski, K: Post-20 Ma motion of the Adriatic plate: New constraints from surrounding Orogens and implications for crust-mantle decoupling, *Tectonics*, 36. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016TC004443>, 2017.
- Link F and Rumpker G, Resolving Seismic Anisotropy of the Lithosphere–Asthenosphere in the Central/Eastern Alps Beneath the SWATH-D Network 2021, *Frontiers Earth Sci.* 9:679887, doi: 10.3389/feart.2021.679887, 2021.
- Lippitsch, R., Kissling, E. and Ansoerge, J.: Upper mantle structure beneath the Alpine orogen from high-resolution teleseismic tomography, *J. geophys. Res.*, 108, B82376, doi:10.1029/2002JB002016, 2003.
- Lombardi, D., Braunmiller, J., Kissling, E., and Giardini, D.: Alpine mantle transition zone imaged by receiver functions, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 278, 163–174, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.11.029, 2009.
- Long, M. D. and Becker, T.W.: Mantle dynamics and seismic anisotropy, *Earth planet. Sci. Lett.*, 297, 341–354, 2010.

- 140 Lowe, M., Ebbing, J., El-Sharkawy, A., and Meier, T.: Gravity effect of Alpine slab segments based on geophysical and petrological modelling, *Solid Earth*, 12, 691–711, <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-12-691-2021>, 2021.
- Malusà, M. G., Guillot, S., Zhao, L., Paul, A., Solarino, S., Dumont, T., Schwartz, S., Aubert, C., Baccheschi, P., Eva, E., LU., Y., Lyu, C., Pondrelli, S., Salimbeni S., Sun, W., and Yuan, H.: The Deep Structure of the Alps based on the CIFALPS Seismic Experiment: A Synthesis, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, 22(3), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GC009466>, 2021.
- 145 MedNet Project Partner Institutions: Mediterranean Very Broadband Seismographic Network (MedNet), Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV). <https://doi.org/10.13127/SD/fBBBtDtd6q>, 1990.
- Menke, W.: *Geophysical Data Analysis: Discrete Inverse Theory*, 1st edn, Academic Press, Inc., 1984.
- Mitterbauer, U., Behm, M., Brueckl, E., Lippitsch, R., Guterch, A., Keller, G. R., Kozlovskaya, E., Rumpfhuber, E. M., and Sumanovic, F.: Shape and origin of the East-Alpine slab constrained by the ALPASS teleseismic model, *Tectonophysics*, 150, 195–206, 2011.
- Mock, S., von Hagke, Ch., Schlunegger, F., Dunkl, I., and Herwegh, M.: Long-wavelength late-Miocene thrusting in the northern Alpine foreland: implications for late orogenic processes, *Solid Earth*, 11, 1823–1847, [doi.org/10.5194/se-11-1823-2020](https://doi.org/10.5194/se-11-1823-2020), 2020.
- Mueller, S.: Deep structure and recent dynamics in the Alps, Hsü K. (ed), *Mountain building processes*, Acad. Press London, 155 85-93, 1982.
- Munzarová, H., Plomerová, J., and Kissling, E.: Novel anisotropic teleseismic body-wave tomography code AniTomo to illuminate heterogeneous anisotropic upper mantle. Part I - Theory and inversion tuning with realistic synthetic data, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 215, 524–545, [doi: 10.1093/gji/ggy296](https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggy296), 2018a.
- Munzarová, H., Plomerová, J., Kissling, E., Vecsey, L., and Babuška, V.: Novel anisotropic teleseismic body-wave tomography code AniTomo to illuminate heterogeneous anisotropic upper mantle: Part II – Application to data of passive seismic experiment LAPNET in northern Fennoscandia, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 215, 1388–1409, [doi: 10.1093/gji/ggy327](https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggy327), 2018b.
- 160 OGS (Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale) and University of Trieste: North-East Italy Broadband, <https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/NI>, 2002.
- Paffrath, M., Friederich, W., Schmid, S.M., Handy, M.R., AlpArray Working Group, and AlpArray-SWATH D Working Group: Imaging structure and geometry of slabs in the greater Alpine area – A P-wave traveltime tomography using AlpArray Seismic Network data., *Solid Earth* 12, 2671–2702, <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-12-2671-2021>, 2021.
- 165 Piromallo, C. and Morelli, A.: P-wave tomography of the mantle under the Alpine-Mediterranean area, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(B2), 2065. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JB001757>, 2003.
- Plomerová, J. and Babuška, V.: Long memory of mantle lithosphere fabric—European LAB constrained from seismic anisotropy, *Lithos*, 120, 131-143, 2010.
- 170 Plomerová, J., Munzarová, H., Vecsey, L., Kissling, E., Achauer, U., and Babuška, V.: Cenozoic volcanism in the Bohemian Massif in the context of P-and S-velocity high-resolution teleseismic tomography of the upper mantle, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, 17 (8), 3326–3349, 2016.

**Deleted:** Paffrath, M., Friederich, W., and AlpArray Working Group: Teleseismic P-wave travel time tomography of the Alpine upper mantle using AlpArray seismic network data, EGU General Assembly 2020, <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu2020-13779>, 2020.¶

**Deleted:**

**Deleted:** (this issue). <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2021-58>, 2021

- Plomerová, J., Vecsey, L., Babuška, V., Munzarová, H., Hetényi, G., Bianchi, I., and the AlpArray-EASI Working Group:  
185 Deep lithosphere structure across the East-Alpine root towards the Bohemian Massif, *Geophysical Research Abstracts* Vol. 20, EGU2018-12326-1, EGU General Assembly 2018, 2018.
- Qorbani, E., Bianchi, I., and Bokelmann, G.: Slab detachment under the Eastern Alps seen by seismic anisotropy, *Earth Planet. Sci. Letters*, 409, 96-108, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.10.049>, 2015.
- Qorbani, E., Bokelmann, G., Kovacs, I., Horvath, F., and Falus, G.: Deformation in the asthenospheric mantle beneath the  
190 Carpathian-Pannonian Region, *J. Geophys. Res., Solid Earth*, 121, 6644–6657, doi:10.1002/2015JB012604, 2016.
- Rosenberg, C. L. and Kissling, E.: Three-dimensional insight into Central-Alpine collision: Lower-plate or upper-plate indentation?, *Geology*, 41, 1219–1222, doi.org/10.1130/G34584.1, 2013.
- Rosenberg, C. L., Schneider, S., Scharf, A., Bertrand, A., Hammerschmidt, K., Rabaute, A., and Brun, J.-P.: Relating collisional kinematics to exhumation processes in the Eastern Alps, *Earth-Science Reviews*, 176, 31-344,  
195 doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.10.013, 2018.
- Royden, L.H.: Evolution of retreating subduction boundaries formed during continental collision. *Tectonics*, 12, 629-638. [doi.org/10.1029/92TC02641](https://doi.org/10.1029/92TC02641), 1993.
- Royden, L.H. and Burchfiel, B.C.: Are systematic variations in thrust belt style related to plate boundary processes? (The Western Alps versus the Carpathians). *Tectonics*, 8, 51-61, [doi.org/10.1029/TC008i001p00051](https://doi.org/10.1029/TC008i001p00051), 1989.
- 200 Sandoval, S., Kissling, E., Anorge, J., and SVEKALAPKO Seismic Tomography working Group: High-resolution body wave tomography beneath the SVEKALAPKO array - II. Anomalous upper mantle structure beneath the central Baltic Shield, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 157, 200-214, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2004.02131.x., 2004.
- Scarponi, M., Hetényi, G., Plomerová, J., Solarino, S., Baron, L. and Petri, B. : Joint seismic and gravity data inversion to image intra-crustal structures: the Ivrea Geophysical Body along the Val Sesia profile (Piedmont, Italy), *Front Earth Sci*, 9,  
205 671412., doi:10.3389/feart.2021.671412, 2021.
- Schlunegger, F. and Kissling, E.: Slab rollback orogeny in the Alps and evolution of the Swiss Molasse basin. *Nature Communications*, 6:8605, doi: 10.1038/ncomms9605, 2015.
- Shomali, Z. H., Roberts, R. G., Pedersen, L. B., and the TOR Working Group: Lithospheric structure of the Tornquist Zone resolved by nonlinear P and S teleseismic tomography along the TOR array, *Tectonophysics*, 416, 133–149, 2006.
- 210 Silvennoinen, H., Kozlovskaya, E., and Kissling, E.: POLENET/LAPNET teleseismic P wave travel time tomography model of the upper mantle beneath northern Fennoscandia, *Solid Earth*, 7, 425-439, doi:10.5194/se-7-425-2016, 2016.
- Schmid, S. M., Fügenschuh, B., Kissling, E., and Schuster, R.: Tectonic map and overall architecture of the Alpine orogeny, *Eclogae Geol. Helv.*, 97, 93–117, 2004.
- Slovenian Environment Agency: Seismic Network of the Republic of Slovenia, <https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/SL>, 1990.
- 215 Sobolev, S., Gresillaud, A., and Cara, M.: How robust is isotropic delay time tomography for anisotropic mantle?: *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26(4), 509–512, 1999.



- Spada, M., Bianchi, I., Kissling, E., Agostinetti, N. P., and Wiemer, S.: Combining controlled-source seismology and receiver function information to derive 3-D Moho topography for Italy, *Geophysical Journal International*, 194(2), 1050–1068. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggt148>, 2013.
- 220 Spakman, W.: Tomographic images of the upper mantle below central Europe and the Mediterranean, *Terra Nova* 2, 542–553 [doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.1990.tb00119.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.1990.tb00119.x), 1990.
- Steck, L. K. and Prothero, W. A.: A 3-D raytracer for teleseismic bodywave arrival times, *Bull. seism. Soc. Am.*, 81, 1332–1339, 1991.
- Tesauro M., Kaban M.K., and Cloetingh S.: EuCRUST-07: A new reference model for the European crust. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L05313, DOI: 10.1029/2007GL032244, 2008.
- 225 TRANSALP Working Group: European orogenic processes research transects the eastern Alps, *Eos Trans. AGU*, 82, 453, 460-461, 2001.
- University of Leipzig: SXNET Saxon Seismic Network, <https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/SX>, 2001.
- University of Zagreb: Croatian Seismograph Network, <https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/CR>, 2001.
- 230 Ustaszewski K., Schmid S.M., Fügenschuh B., Tischler M., Kissling E., Spakman W., A map-view restoration of the Alpine-Carpathian-Dinaridic system for the Early Miocene, *Swiss J. Geosci.* 101 (2008) Supplement 1, S273–S2942008
- VanderBeek, B. P. and Faccenda, M.: Imaging upper mantle anisotropy with teleseismic P-wave deconvolution: insights from tomographic reconstructions of subduction simulations, *Geophys. J. Int.* 225, 2097–2119, [doi:10.1093/gji/ggab081](https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggab081), 2021.
- 235 Vecsey, L., Plomerová, J., Jedlička, P., Munzarová, H., Babuška, V., and the AlpArray working group: Data quality control and tools in passive seismic experiments exemplified on the Czech broadband seismic pool MOBNET in the AlpArray collaborative project, *Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.*, 6, 505–521, 2017.
- Vecsey, L.: <https://www.ig.cas.cz/en/time-picker-2017/>, 2021.
- Wieland, C., Steck, L., Dawson, P., and Korneev, V.: Nonlinear teleseismic tomography at Long Valley caldera, using three-dimensional minimum travel time ray tracing, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 20379–20390, 1995.
- 240 Vignaroli, G., Faccenna, C., Jolivet, L., Piromalo, C., and Rossetti, F.: Subduction polarity reversal at the junction between the Western Alps and the Northern Apennines, Italy. *Tectonophysics* 450:34-50. [doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2007.12.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2007.12.012), 2008.
- Wortel, M. J. R. and Spakman, W.: Subduction and slab detachment in the Mediterranean-Carpathian region, *Science*, 290, 910–917, 2000.
- Zahorec, P., Papčo, J., Pašteka, R., Bielik, M., Bonvalot, S., Braitenberg, C., Ebbing, J., Gabriel, G., Gosar, A., Grand, A., 245 Götze, H.-J., Hetényi, G., Holzrichter, N., Kissling, E., Marti, U., Meurers, B., Mrlina, J., Nogová, E., Pastorutti, A., Scarponi, M., Sebera, J., Seoane, L., Skiba, P., Szücs, E., and Varga, M.: The first pan-Alpine surface-gravity database, a modern compilation that crosses frontiers, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 13, 2165–2209, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2020-375>, 2021.
- ZAMG - Central Institute for Meteorology and Geodynamics: Austrian Seismic, <https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/OE>, 1987.
- 250 ZAMG - Central Institute for Meteorology and Geodynamics: Province Südtirol, 2006.

Deleted: Discuss. [pre-print]

Deleted: in print,

Zhao, L., Paul, A., Malusà, M. G., Xu, X., Zheng, T., Solarino, S., Guillot, S., Schwartz, S., Dumont, T., Salimbeni, S.,  
Aubert, C., Pondrelli, S., Wang, Q., and Zhu, R.: Continuity of the Alpine slab unraveled by high-resolution P wave  
255 tomography, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, 121, 8720–8737, doi:10.1002/2016JB013310, 2016.