

1 Dynamic motion monitoring of a 3.6 km long steel rod in a borehole during cold-water injection with 2 distributed fiber-optic sensing

3
4 M.P. Lipus¹, F. Schölderle², T. Reinsch^{3,1}, C. Wollin¹, C.M. Krawczyk^{1,4}, D. Pfrang², K. Zosseder²

5
6 ¹GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany

7 ²Technical University Munich, Hydrogeology and Geothermal Energy, Arcisstr. 21, 80333 Munich, Germany

8 ³present address: Fraunhofer IEG, Fraunhofer Research Institution for Energy Infrastructures and Geothermal
9 Systems IEG, Am Hochschulcampus 1 IEG, 44801 Bochum, Germany

10 ⁴Technical University (TU) Berlin, Institute for Applied Geosciences, Ernst-Reuter-Platz 1, 10587 Berlin,
11 Germany

12 13 Abstract

14 Fiber-optic distributed acoustic sensing (DAS) data finds many applications in wellbore monitoring such as e.g.
15 flow monitoring, formation evaluation, and well integrity studies. For horizontal or highly deviated wells,
16 wellbore fiber-optic installations can be conducted by mounting the sensing cable to a rigid structure
17 (casing/tubing) which allows for a controlled landing of the cable. We analyze a cold-water injection phase in a
18 geothermal well with a 3.6 km long fiber-optic installation mounted to a 3/4" sucker-rod by using both DAS and
19 distributed temperature sensing (DTS) data. During cold-water injection, we observe distinct vibrational events
20 (shock waves) which originate in the reservoir interval and migrate up- and downwards. We use temperature
21 differences from the DTS data to determine the theoretical thermal contraction and integrated DAS data to
22 estimate the actual deformation of the rod construction. The results suggest that the rod experiences thermal
23 stresses along the installation length – partly in the compressional and partly in the extensional regime. We find
24 strong evidence that the observed vibrational events originate from the release of the thermal stresses when the
25 friction of the rod against the borehole wall is overcome. Within this study, we show the influence of
26 temperature changes on the acquisition of distributed acoustic/strain sensing data along a fiber-optic cable
27 suspended along a rigid but freely hanging rod. We show that observed vibrational events do not necessarily
28 originate from induced seismicity in the reservoir, but instead, can originate from stick-slip behavior of the rod
29 construction that holds the measurement equipment.

30 31 1. Introduction

32
33 Fiber-optic distributed sensing in bore-hole applications has gained a lot of attention in the recent years.
34 Distributed temperature sensing (DTS) has been used to assess rock thermal properties and locations of water-
35 bearing fractures (e.g. [Hurtig, 1994](#), [Förster, 1997](#)). DTS was used to perform cement job evaluations and
36 wellbore integrity analysis during and after production tests (e.g. [Pearce et al., 2009](#), [Bücker and Großwig,](#)
37 [2017](#)). The performance of a borehole heat exchanger was monitored with DTS to evaluate the heat input along
38 the wellbore and to measure the regeneration time after a heat extraction period ([Storch et al., 2010](#)). While DTS
39 has found its way as a standard tool for wellbore monitoring over the last two decades, the utilization of
40 distributed acoustic sensing (DAS) is still subject to many research questions. [Johannessen et al., 2012](#)
41 introduced the potential and capabilities for acoustic in-well monitoring applications based on DAS systems
42 which range from e.g. flow measurements, sand detection, gas breakthrough, leak detection to vertical seismic
43 profiling (VSP). [Daley et al., 2013](#), [Mateeva et al., 2014](#), [Harris et al., 2016](#), [Daley et al., 2016](#) and [Henninges et](#)
44 [al., 2021](#) compare traditional geophone with DAS recordings acquired during a vertical seismic profiling
45 campaign (VSP). [Götz et al., 2018](#) report on a multi-well VSP campaign at a carbon dioxide storage site by using
46 only one single DAS interrogator. [Finfer et al., 2014](#) performed an experiment to study DAS applications for
47 turbulent single-phase water flow monitoring in a steel pipe. [Bruno et al., 2018](#) investigate the potential to use
48 downhole DAS data for cross-hole monitoring between two adjacent wells by inducing low frequency pressure
49 pulses to detect high conductivity zones by measuring characteristic vertical strain patterns. [Naldrett et al., 2018](#)
50 compare fiber-optic technology to traditional production logging tools and provides field data examples of flow
51 monitoring based on both DTS and DAS with wireline-type installations. [Ghahfarokhi et al., 2019](#) analyze an
52 extensive data set including borehole geophone and DAS during hydraulic fracturing (cable behind casing) to
53 study micro-seismicity and low frequency events in the borehole. [Raab et al., 2019](#) shows that DAS data from a
54 behind casing installation can be correlated to conventional cement-bond-log (CBL) recordings by analyzing

55 the acoustic data in noisy drilling and testing operations. [Chang et al., 2020](#) and [Martuganova et al., 2021](#) report
56 on reverberating signals in DAS recordings which can occur on free-hanging cables in geothermal wells during
57 fluid injection and which are probably caused by bad cable-to-well coupling. In all reported cases, the coupling
58 of the sensing glass fiber to the surrounding media plays a crucial role for the application of DAS technology.
59 Especially for the monitoring of deformations occurring over longer time periods, i.e. from minutes to hours to
60 days, the coupling of cable and surrounding environment becomes essential to derive any meaningful result from
61 fiber-optic strain sensing. Where as [Reinsch et al., 2017](#) provide a theoretical approach to describe the response
62 of the sensing fiber in dependence of the specific cable design, the coupling of the cable to the rock formation
63 strongly depends on the specifics of a measuring experiment. [Lipus et al., 2018](#) compare data from fiber-optic
64 strain sensing and data from conventional gamma-gamma-density wire-line log during a gravel packing
65 operation in a shallow well for heat storage. [Sun et al., 2020](#) demonstrate with a laboratory and field test that the
66 extent of a deformed reservoir sandstone and silt caprock by injected CO₂ can be quantitatively evaluated using
67 static distributed strain sensing over periods of 42 hours (cable behind casing). [Zhang et al., 2020](#) provide an
68 attempt to use distributed strain sensing to monitor elastic rock deformation during borehole aquifer testing to
69 derive hydraulic parameter information. [Miller et al., 2018](#) compare DTS and time-integrated DAS recordings
70 from a borehole and finds a correlation between DTS recordings and very low frequent DAS strain recordings.
71 In their work, they report on repeating “slip events” seen in the DAS data as short and confined vibrational
72 events upon temperature changes in the well.
73 The study at hand observes similar “slip events” and shows their causal connection to the thermo-mechanical
74 response of the borehole construction to water flow therein.

75
76 Installing a fiber-optic cable in a borehole requires specialized equipment. Depending on the aim of the fiber-
77 optic monitoring campaign, different cable installation types are possible. One way is to permanently install the
78 cable by mounting it to the outside of a casing and run it together with the casing into the well and cement it in
79 place (e.g. [Henninges et al. 2005](#), [Reinsch et al., 2013](#), [Lipus et al., 2021](#)). A cemented fiber-optic cable
80 generally provides a thorough mechanical coupling to the surrounding structure which is favorable for DAS data
81 quality. Due to its placement behind the casing, the fibers do not interfere with well operations and monitoring of
82 the well can be performed at any time. However, the cemented annulus of a well is a crucial secondary barrier
83 element for well integrity which is compromised by the installation of a fiber-optic cable. A fluid pathway could
84 potentially be created along the cable. cases where the well completion design includes liner elements, a
85 permanent cable installation behind casing to the end of the well is technically not possible, or at least, very
86 challenging. In such cases, other installation types are available. A semi-permanent installation along e.g. a
87 production tubing or a temporary installation via a wireline cable or coiled tubing allow cable placements inside
88 the borehole after drilling is finished. [Munn et al., 2017](#) present a field test of a novel “flexible borehole coupling
89 technique” that allows deploying fiber-optic cables in boreholes after completion has finished with an improved
90 mechanical coupling compared to loose installed fiber-optic cables. Due to physical constrains, this technology is
91 best suited for shallow boreholes (< 425 m). [Becker et al. 2017](#) provide an analysis of borehole fracture
92 displacements using such kind of cable coupling technique. Another method to land a fiber-optic cable into a
93 well is by mounting it to a rigid rod (e.g. a pump sucker-rod). The stiff sucker-rod acts as a centralizer and
94 guides the flexible fiber preventing it from coiling up. Such type of installation is especially advantageous when
95 the cable should be placed in a deep and deviated well.

96
97 To utilize acquired fiber-optic data from a free-hanging/free-lying rod with the highest possible confidence, it is
98 important to understand the behavior of such a long and stiff structure inside a well. Heating and/or cooling of
99 the well will lead to thermal stresses in the material which potentially result in contraction or expansion of the
100 sucker-rod and fiber-optic cable construction. As the fiber-optic cable is firmly attached to the rods, these
101 dynamics influence the distributed strain and temperature sensing. From DTS monitoring, [Schölderle et al., 2021](#)
102 found that measurement equipment in the previously described setting does indeed contract upon the injection of
103 cold water and that the points spatially sampled by the distributed sensing change their position. Besides a
104 detailed analysis based on DAS and DTS data of the rod’s dynamics in response to temperature changes during a
105 cold-water injection, we show that the resulting thermal stresses are released by the observed vibrational events
106 thus indicating stick-slip like behavior of the rod-borehole wall compound.

107 108 **1.1 Well description and cable installation**

109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120

The fiber-optic cable is installed within a production well at the geothermal site Schäftlarnstraße in Munich, Germany. A detailed description of the geothermal site and the cable installation procedure is presented in [Schölderle et al., 2021](#). The well was completed with a 20” anchor casing, a 13 3/8”, a 9 5/8” liner and a perforated 7” production liner. An overview of the landing depths is presented in Table 1. The design of the borehole completion is schematically shown in Figure 3 (right subplot). The well is vertical to a depth of 250 m. Below 250 m, the well is slightly inclined to 4° down to a depth of 879 m TVD (880 m MD). A number of kick-off-points (KOP) are located along the well path. These are also listed in Table 2. In the result section, a survey shows the well path. From a flow-meter log it is known, that the most prominent feed zone in the well is just below the transition from 9 5/8” liner to 7” perforated liner in the depth interval between 2825 - 2835 m MD.

Table 1: Well design at geothermal site Schäftlarnstraße, Munich (see also Figure 3)

Drill bit Ø	Type	Casing/liner Ø	Top (TVD / MD) [m]	Bottom (TVD / MD) [m]
	Stand-pipe	30”	surface	59.1 / 59.1
26”	Anchor casing	20”	surface	866.2 / 867.5
17 ½”	Liner	13 3/8”	766.0 / 767.0	1812.3 / 2010.0
12 ¼”	Liner	9 5/8”	1740.0 / 1907.2	2408.7 / 2819.0
8 ½”	Perforated liner	7”	2412.2 / 2810.1	2932.7 / 3716.0

KOP	Inclination [°]	Depth (TVD / MD) [m]	Direction [°]
#1	44	879 / 880	287
#2	42	1819 / 2220	250
#3	58	2432 / 2850	250
#4	57	2775 / 3432	231

121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145

The downhole fiber-optic cable is a tubing-encapsulated-fiber (TEF) that contains two multi-mode and two single-mode fibers. In this fiber-in-metal-tube (FIMT) construction, the sensing fibers are embedded in gel and placed in a metal tube. At elevated strain levels, the gel deforms plastically and allows for a relative motion between fiber and cable. Also, creep between cable construction and optical fibers can occur. Strain measurements with such a type of cable are typically applicable for dynamic strain changes (high frequencies) and low deformations ([Reinsch et al., 2017](#)). For longer periods and higher deformations, fiber-optic strain sensing with FIMT cables is still possible but it becomes less localized due to deformation of the material. A laboratory experiment on the relative motion between cable structure and optical fiber in a FIMT cable at higher mechanical stress over time is presented in literature ([Lipus et al., 2018](#)). The cable has a total nominal diameter of 0.43 inch (1.1 cm) and the cable mantle is made of polypropylene. The cable was landed in the well after drilling was finished. To safely and effectively navigate the placement of the fiber-optic cable down to the end of the almost 3.6 km long well, the cable was strapped to steel rods (sucker rods) which were installed in the well together with the cable. The steel sucker rod also helps to retrieve the cable from the bore-hole when needed. Due to the high deviations in the well at depth, the cable needs to be gently pushed into the well. Therefore, the rigid sucker rod is used for the installation instead of a wireline-type installation. The final landing depth of the sucker rod construction is 3691 m (MD). Figure 1 depicts the configuration of the sucker rod/fiber-optic compound. Together with a number of cross-over elements and the final landing joint, more than 400 of individual sucker rod elements were installed in the well. In the following, we refer to the sucker rod / fiber-optic cable construction as “the rod”. The depth reference for the DTS (spot warming) and DAS (tap test) are set to surface. A fiber-optic pressure/temperature (p/T) gauge was installed with the rod and positioned at the top of the reservoir section at 2755 m (MD).

1.2 Monitoring campaign

146
147
148
149

The data shown in this study was measured before and during a cold-water injection test in a geothermal well. Before the start of fluid injection, the well was shut-in for 29 days, so that the initial temperature profile is close

150 to the natural geothermal gradient of the Bavarian Molasse basin (see [Schölderle et al., 2021](#)). The temperature
 151 at the well head was 17 °C and increasing up to 110 °C at the bottom of the well just before the injection start
 152 (see profile “00:48” in left panel in Figure 4). Cold-water fluid injection started on January 23, 2020 at 00:56 by
 153 pumping water through the wellhead which leads to a cooling of the well. With an initial water table at a depth
 154 of 170m below surface, water was injected from the surface without pressure built-up at the wellhead. The cold-
 155 water injection was maintained for 24h at a flow rate of 83 m3/h. In this study, we analyze the transient phase of
 156 well temperature change for the first 72 minutes of cold-water fluid injection.
 157



158
 159 *Figure 1: Down-hole cable configuration of the sucker rod with a centralizer (black) and the fiber-optic cable*
 160 *(yellow)*

158
 159
 160

161

162 2. Data Analysis

163 The analysis in this study is based on the comparison of strain derived from fiber-optic distributed temperature
 164 sensing (DTS) on the one hand and distributed acoustic sensing (DAS) on the other.

165 2.1 Derivation of strain from ~~Distributed Temperature Sensing~~DTS

166 DTS uses each location of a glass fiber as a sensor for temperature ([Hartog, 1983](#), [Hartog and Gamble, 1991](#)).
 167 This is achieved by coupling laser-light pulses into a glass fiber and analyzing the Raman spectrum of the
 168 backscattered light whose origin along the fiber is determined by the two-way travel time of the light. In this
 169 study, we use a system based on Raman backscatter. Temperature profiles were acquired every 10 minutes with
 170 a spatial sampling of 0.25 m. Detailed information about the performance of the fiber-optic system and the
 171 calibration procedure are presented in [Schölderle et al., 2021](#).

172 We calculate the change in temperature from DTS at the start of fluid injection and the profile later during fluid
 173 injection. ~~From the temperature change ΔT , a theoretical thermal contraction of the rod is calculated by~~
 174 ~~multiplying ΔT with the thermal expansion coefficient α_{rod} of the rod. We compare this theoretical thermal~~
 175 ~~contraction with strain information inferred from DAS measurements along the rod. We then use the DTS data to~~
 176 ~~compute stresses along the rod which occur due to cooling.~~

177

178 2.3 Deformation balance from DTS and DAS measurements

179 From DTS measurements we may predict ~~thermo~~thermo-mechanical deformation according to

$$180 \quad \epsilon_{DTS}(x) = \alpha_{rod} \cdot \Delta T(x) \quad (1)$$

181 where α_{rod} is the thermal expansion coefficient and $\Delta T(x)$ is the temperature difference at two subsequent points
 182 in time at some location x of the fiber. The rod construction as a whole consists of many different materials with
 183 different thermal expansion coefficients, such as the sensing fibers, gel filling, metal tubes, polypropylene
 184 mantle, steel rod and nylon centralizers. However, the steel of the sucker rod and the steel of the fiber-optic
 185 mantle are the dominant material by weight and the most relevant for any thermal stresses. The sucker rod
 186 consists of 4332 SRX Nickel Chromium Molybdenum steel with a thermal expansion coefficient of 10 - 13 $\mu\text{e}/\text{K}$
 187 ([Hidnert, 1931](#)) and a modulus of elasticity of 200 GPa ([T.E. Toolbox, 2012](#)). The second most dominant
 188 material is the polypropylene cable mantle with a modulus of elasticity of 1.5-2 GPa ([T.E. Toolbox, 2012](#)). The
 189 proportion of steel on the thermal stresses in the rod construction are 99.8%. For simplicity, we assume that
 190 thermal expansion coefficient $\alpha_{rod} = 13 \mu\text{e}/\text{K}$ for the sucker rod / fiber-optic cable construction and neglect the
 191 other materials. ~~In our study, DAS data is acquired at 10000 Hz and down sampled to 1000 Hz~~

192

192 2.2 Direct measurement of strain via DAS

193 Similar to DTS, DAS also analyzes the back scatter of light coupled into a fiber from one end. Upon contraction
 194 or dilatation, the strain-rate of the fiber, i.e. the temporal derivative of relative change of length, can be derived
 195 from the temporal change of the interference pattern of coherent light elastically scattered (Rayleigh scattering)
 196 from adjacent points within a certain interval of fiber called the gauge length ([Masoudi et al., 2013](#)). The
 197 centroid of the gauge length is defined as a sensor node. The location (x) of a sensor node along the fiber is again
 198 determined by the two-way travel time of light from its source to the node and back. In our study, DAS data is
 199 acquired at 10000 Hz and down-sampled to 1000 Hz. The gauge length and spatial samping are 10 m and 1 m,
 200 respectively. No additional filtering was applied in post-processing (no high pass and no low pass filtering).
 201 In contrast to DTS, DAS directly yields the temporal derivative of strain. In order to convert the measured strain
 202 rate $\dot{\epsilon}(x,t)$ data to strain $\epsilon_{DAS}(x)$ at each location, we integrate in time:


$$\epsilon_{DAS}(x) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \dot{\epsilon}(x,t) dt \quad (2)$$

204 where t_1 and t_2 delineate the time window and $\dot{\epsilon}(x,t)$ the recorded strain rate at position x. In the following we
 205 speak of “measured strain” ϵ_{DAS} in contrast to “predicted or expected” strain ϵ_{DTS} .

206 We compare ϵ_{DTS} with ϵ_{DAS} measurements. We then use the ϵ_{DTS} data to compute the contractional forces along
 207 the rod which occur due to cooling. We compare the result with a static friction curve that was estimated from
 208 the sucker rod tally and borehole inclination.

2.3 Stick-slip approach

212 As the thermal contraction of the cooled sucker rod inflicts a sliding movement of the rods along the borehole
 213 wall, we must consider the friction of their relative motion. This friction would yield a stick-slip motion which is
 214 observed almost everywhere when two solid objects are moving relative to one another. A detailed review of the
 215 origins of stick-slip behavior in mechanical parts as well as an experimental and theoretical analysis on stick-slip
 216 characteristics is presented in literature (e.g. [Berman et al., 1995](#)). In the simplest case, a stick-slip motion
 217 appears when the static friction force F_f between two stationary solid bodies is overcome. A schematic drawing
 218 of the forces on an interval of the sucker-rod construction at a depth with borehole inclination is presented in
 219 Figure 2.



221 Figure 2: Static friction force F_f and normal force F_N applying on a sucker-rod contact point (nylon centralizer) as a
 222 function of the weight force F_w and the borehole inclination $90^\circ - \alpha$
 223

224 The static friction force F_f can be calculated according to

$$F_f = \mu \cdot F_N \quad (3)$$

228 where F_N is the normal force and μ the static friction coefficient. The value for $\mu = 0.36$ was obtained from a
 229 plate-to-plate experimental analysis on the stick-slip behavior between steel and glass fiber-reinforced nylon
 230 specimen ([Muraki et al., 2003](#)). The force F_N is calculated according to

$$F_N = F_w \cdot \sin \alpha = g \cdot m \cdot \sin \alpha \quad (4)$$

234 where F_w is the gravitational weight force and α the borehole inclination. Each sucker rod element is 9.1 m long,
 235 weights 15.7 kg and is equipped with four nylon centralizers and the fiber-optic cable (20 g/m). Therefore, the

236 weight force for each contact point of the rod construction yields $F_w = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2 * 15.9 \text{ kg} / 4 = 39.0 \text{ N}$.
 237 Regarding the lowermost part of the rod construction as an example, this means that for the last nylon centralizer
 238 (borehole inclination of 54°), a static friction force of $F_f = 0.36 \cdot 39.0 \text{ N} \cdot \sin(54^\circ) = 11.3 \text{ N}$ is calculated. With
 239 respect to contraction of an initially unstressed rod construction, for each subsequent nylon centralizer towards
 240 the surface, the friction force of the rod at the given depth is calculated by the cumulative sum of all friction
 241 forces from the nylon centralizers below. The friction force increases with decreasing well depth. Two further
 242 weights are added to the friction force profile: the bottom end of the sucker rod is a 1.4 m long steel piece with a
 243 weight of 64 kg and the carrier of the pT gauge at 2755 m MD is a 2.2 m long steel piece with a weight of 105
 244 kg. Here, we applied a static friction coefficient for steel on steel of $\mu = 0.8$ ([Lee and Polycarpou, 2007](#)).

245
 246 The expected thermal contraction ε_{DTS} can also be translated to a force. Assuming a Young's modulus for
 247 stainless steel of $E = 200 \text{ GPa}$ ([Cardarelli, 2018](#)) and given the cross-sectional area of the rod ($A_{rod} = 2.9 \text{ cm}^2$),
 248 we can calculate the applied force F_{app} at each location along the rod which was thermally induced within the
 249 investigated one-hour cold-water injection period:

$$F_{app} = \sigma \cdot A_{rod} = E \cdot \varepsilon_{DTS} \cdot A_{rod} \quad (5)$$

250
 251 For simplicity, we assume that the elasticity from the fiber-optic cable and the nylon centralizers are neglectable
 252 and that the steel dominates the mechanical behavior of the structure. Furthermore, we make the assumption that
 253 no mechanical stresses are exerted on the rod prior to the cold-water injection. This allows us to set a zero-force
 254 baseline before injection start for the stick-slip analysis.
 255
 256

257 **2.4 Event detection and picking**

258 **2.4 Stick-slip event detection and picking**

259
 260 In the DAS data we monitored repeating vibrational events with ongoing cold-water injection in the deeper part
 261 of the well. These events are characterized by a sudden DAS amplitude peak at some depth and an up- and
 262 downward directed move-out. With time, the spatio-temporal distribution of these vibrational events changes. To
 263 automate the detection of depth location and moveout of an event, we employ a short-term/long-term average
 264 trigger ([Allen, 1978, Vaezi and v.d. Baan \(2015\)](#)). The parameters used for the STA/LTA analysis can be found
 265 in Table 2:
 266

267 *Table 2: Parameters used for the STA/LTA detection method*


Parameter	Value
STA window length (N_s)	1 s (1000 samples)
LTA window length (N_L)	3 s (3000 samples)
Trigger start threshold τ_1	2
Trigger end threshold τ_2	0.8

269
 270
 271
 272

3. Results

273 Figure 3 shows examples of raw and unprocessed strain rate data measured with the DAS unit in the well at the
 274 start of cold-water injection (1st subplot), one hour after start of fluid injection (2nd subplot) and shortly after the
 275 end of the 24 hours water injection period (3rd subplot). Each subplot depicts 10 seconds of data with the same
 276 data color scaling. A number of features can be recognized in each of the data examples. At the depth marked with
 277 the arrow "A", there is a transition from a noisy depth interval above to a rather quiet one below. The transition
 278 marks the location of the water table in the well. From the wellhead, the water free-falls down to the water table
 279 at about 170 m below surface. In the cased hole section down to the depth of the transition to the perforated liner,
 280 high velocity tube waves (around 1500 m/s) are present which are reflected at the liner shoe of the 9 5/8" casing
 281 at ca. 2810 m MD (arrow "B" in first subplot). Below "B", the cable is located inside the perforated liner. The tube
 282 waves are not further guided in this interval and the noise level is rather low. In the uppermost 100 m of the


283 perforated liner section (2810 – 2900 m MD), a strong signal is present in the 2nd and 3rd subplot (arrow “C”). The
 284 arrow “D” marks another common characteristic feature in the DAS data which was observed over the analyzed
 285 cold-water injection period. This abrupt and localized signal is interpreted as a sudden contraction of the sucker
 286 rod.



287
 288 *Figure 3: DAS raw data examples over the scope of the cold-water injection phase for (1) the onset of fluid*
 289 *injection (2) ongoing injection and (3) termination of fluid injection. Blue colours show relative compression and*
 290 *red colours relative expansion. The color ranges are the same for all subplots*

291
 292 **3.1 Sucker rod contraction**

293 Figure 4 shows fiber-optic data from DTS and DAS for the first hour of cold-water fluid injection testing. The first
 294 subplot shows three DTS profiles at 00:48, 01:18 and 02:08, which are -8, +22 and +72 minutes relative to the
 295 cold-water injection start. The entire rod from surface to 3100 m experiences cooling. Below the most prominent
 296 feed zone of the well at 2830 m MD, the cooling of the well decreases. This is because most of the injected cold-
 297 water flows into the formation (2825 - 2835 m MD) and the fluid column below remained rather undisturbed. A
 298 theoretical tensile strain from thermal contraction of the steel rod (and the fiber-optic cable) ϵ_{DTS} can be derived
 299 from the temperature difference between the two profiles for a certain depth relative to the profile at 00:48. The
 300 second subplot compares the 15 m moving average of ϵ_{DTS} calculated after formula (1) with the local strain (ϵ_{DAS})
 301 calculated after formula (2) during the same time interval. The third subplot shows the borehole inclination from
 302 the deviation survey. On the fourth subplot, a schematic representation of the casing/liner landing depths is shown
 303 together with the location of the rod.



304

305 *Figure 4: Downhole monitoring data during the cold-water injection test. 1st subplot: DTS temperature profiles. 2nd*
 306 *subplot: Comparison of strain profiles ϵ_{DTS} and ϵ_{DAS} . 3rd subplot: borehole inclination. 4th subplot: wellbore*
 307 *schematic*

308 In general, a clear match is visible between ϵ_{DTS} and ϵ_{DAS} for the entire well which means that the strain the steel
 309 rod experiences (ϵ_{DAS}) follows the predicted thermal contraction (ϵ_{DTS}). However, there are depth intervals where
 310 the experienced strain (ϵ_{DAS}) exceeds and others where it falls short on the predicted strain (ϵ_{DTS}). Until 2825-2835
 311 m MD where the most prominent injection interval is located, ΔT increases with increasing depth. At the injection
 312 interval ΔT rapidly increases. Below this zone, no thermal contraction is expected.

313 Along the 13 3/8" casing interval (from top liner hanger 13 3/8" at 768 m MD to top liner hanger 9 5/8" 2010 m
 314 MD), ϵ_{DTS} and ϵ_{DAS} are negative and show the same trend thus indicating the expected contraction. In absolute
 315 values expected strain ϵ_{DTS} exceeds the measured strain ϵ_{DAS} . Over this depth interval, the well inclination increases
 316 from nearly vertical to 45°.

317 At the transition to the 7" perforated liner at 2810 m MD (top liner hanger packer) a notably different ϵ_{DAS} pattern
 318 is measured compared to ϵ_{DTS} (box plot in Figure 4). In the depth interval 2795-2815 m MD, the expected
 319 contraction from ϵ_{DTS} at 01:18 yields -170 $\mu\epsilon$ (-380 $\mu\epsilon$ at 02:08), while the estimated contraction from ϵ_{DAS} at 01:18
 320 results in -1740 $\mu\epsilon$ (-1950 $\mu\epsilon$ at 02:08) $\mu\epsilon$ between 2805-2810 m MD, which is more than a factor 10 higher (factor
 321 5 at 02:08). In the depth interval 2815-2830 m MD, ϵ_{DAS} shows an extension of the rod with a maximum of 900 $\mu\epsilon$
 322 at 01:18 while ϵ_{DTS} decreases from -160 $\mu\epsilon$ at 2815 m MD to -55 $\mu\epsilon$ at 2835 m MD. This is the only locations in
 323 which the integrated strain rate from ϵ_{DAS} shows extension instead of the predicted contraction. At 2830-2850 m
 324 MD, another interval with extraordinary high ϵ_{DAS} readings relative to ϵ_{DTS} is present. Below 2850 m MD, ϵ_{DAS} and
 325 ϵ_{DTS} again follow the same trend at 01:18. At 02:08, the ϵ_{DAS} and ϵ_{DTS} show a discrepancy down to 2890 m MD and
 326 the same trend below. The gyro data shows a sudden increase in the inclination of the borehole at 2850 m MD.
 327 Between 2900-3100 m MD, the temperature difference between the two DTS profiles rapidly decreases (see Figure
 328 4, 1st and 2nd subplot). **Hence, in this lowest-depth region of the well, no thermal contraction is expected. At 02:08,**
 329 **the DTS profile shows slightly increased temperatures (+1 °C) with a constant offset from 3100 m to the end of**
 330 **the cable compared to the DTS profile at 01:18. This leads to a constant offset of a positive expected strain ϵ_{DTS} .**
 331 **The measured strain ϵ_{DAS} shows no offset in this depth interval.**

332

333 3.2 Sudden contraction events


334 Event description

335 A close-up of raw DAS data is shown for the depth interval 2500-3300 m MD around the transition from cased
336 hole to perforated liner 52 minutes after the start of the cold-water fluid injection (see Figure 5). At this time, the
337 DAS records a transient strain-rate anomaly. Similar events are repeatedly observed in the course of the
338 measurement during the cold-water injection periods. Using the event shown in Figure 5 as a representative
339 example, we describe common features of these events in the following. Its origin lies at 600 ms and 3000 m
340 MD and is characterized by an abrupt increase of the measured strain rate. The sudden increase of strain rate
341 amplitude propagates both up- and downwards along the well with compressional and tensional sign of
342 amplitude, respectively, where the propagation velocity upwards is approximately 3900 m/s (green Line A in
343 Figure 5). In contrast, the downward propagation velocity is slower and shows irregularities from 650-1260 m/s.
344 Most striking is the decay of the velocity from 3200 m MD onwards and the eventual stop of propagation
345 slightly above 3300 m MD. In upward direction, this event is halted somewhere in the noisy interval where the
346 reservoir section of the borehole begins. The event is followed by elastic reverberations that decay after
347 approximately half a second.

348 Further examples of such kind of events are plotted in Figure 6 A, B, C and D. They all have in common, that
349 they originate below 2900 m MD and trigger a contraction above and an extension below. The previously
350 discussed event is characterized by a smaller precursor 100 ms before the origin of the large event at the same
351 depth. Precursors and successors can also be observed in the examples in Figure 6 (in particular in Figure 6 B),
352 yet the events shown here are distinguished by the fact that their upwards propagation extends beyond the noisy
353 reservoir section. All exemplary events except 6A whose downward propagation arrests rather sudden, have in
354 common that the up- and downwards propagation slow down before coming to a halt. Another striking
355 observation in all of the events is that the initial onset propagates slower than the reverberations in the coda.


356 While the exact shape of the spatial propagation and length varies (length between 20–1600 m), the duration of
357 these events is mostly in the range of 0.5 s with some fading noise/reverberation afterwards. These events
358 typically show a tensional signal at the energy front in the downward direction while the initial energy front
359 upwards is mostly compressional. As the vibrational signal propagates along the rod, a succession of
360 compressional and tensional waves is created which moves with a velocity of about 3900 m/s along the rod (as
361 shown by the green line A in Figure 5). The downward propagation of the first arrival changes its velocity from
362 the onset of the event towards the end of the vibrational event. In the first 50 ms, it increases in velocity, then it
363 stays constant before it gradually decreases in velocity at around 700 ms below 3200 m MD.

364 The four black arrows on the left y-axis in Figure 5 indicate the timeseries for which the four spectrograms
365 shown in Figure 7 were calculated with a moving window of 250 ms. The DAS strain-rate timeseries at 3000 and
366 3200 m MD show the onset of the slip event at 0.5 s with dominant frequencies of the first break between 30 and
367 75 Hz. The slip only lasts approximately half a second but reverberations of different duration and different
368 frequencies can be observed in band below 30 Hz depending on the rod segment. For ~~instance~~instance, at 3000
369 m MD long lasting reverberations occur at ~10Hz whereas at 3200 m MD they occur at 20 Hz. As can be seen
370 from the spectrogram from the DAS strain-rate recordings at 2700 and 2835 m MD, the slip event does not
371 penetrate into and beyond the feed zone whose characteristic noise at 24 Hz remains undisturbed just as the low
372 frequency pattern of the tube waves above.



373


374 *Figure 5: Sucker rod contraction event displayed by strain rate DAS data (left). The black arrows on the left y-axis*
 375 *mark the depth location of timeseries used for the spectrograms in Figure 7. Line "A" marks the moveout of the*
 376 *signal at a speed of 4000 m/s. The schematic drawing shows the inclination of the borehole with the fiber-optic*
 377 *cable (red) lying inside of the casing (right). The inflow profile from a wireline flowmeter measurement is shown by*
 378 *the blue graph*



379

380 *Figure 6: Four raw DAS data examples of sucker rod events with the integrated strain rate (ϵ_{DAS}) over a period of*
 381 *3 seconds. The timing of the events relative to the start of cold-water injection is: + 65 minutes B: + 110 minutes*
 382 *C: + 147 minutes and D: 210 minutes*

383




384
385
386
387

Figure 7: Spectrograms for a 250ms moving window at different depth along the well during the sudden vibrational event depicted in Figure 5. Red colors indicate high amplitudes, blue colors low amplitudes. The relative amplitudes are displayed by the same color ranges for all subplots.

388
389
390

391 **3.3-Event detection over time**


392
393 We applied a STA/LTA algorithm to automate the detection of the sudden vibrational events within the first 72
394 minutes of cold-water fluid injection. Three attributes are obtained for each event: a) the depth location where
395 the event starts b) the lower end and c) the upper end of the event according to the STA/LTA algorithm. Figure 8
396 shows one example of the automated detection with the STA/LTA trigger. The upper subplot shows an example
397 trace of raw DAS data at a depth of 3120 m MD (marked by the black arrow in the lower subplot) and the
398 corresponding STA/LTA characteristic function. Beginning and end of the detection are marked by the green and
399 orange crosses, respectively. The lower subplot shows spatio-temporal DAS data and the detection of two
400 vibrational events.
401



402
403 *Figure 8: STA/LTA trigger algorithm applied as an automated detection method for vibrational events. Trigger*
404 *start and end is marked with green and orange crosses*

405 All vibrational events which occurred within the first 72 minutes of cold-water fluid injection are plotted in
406 Figure 9. Gray circles mark the spatio-temporal origin of vibrational events. The corresponding vertical black
407 line indicates the spatial extent of the respective event. In this representation, events with a spatial extent of
408 less than 20 m are neglected. Such small events occur between 4-10 times per minute in the depth region from
409 1250-2750 m MD over the entire investigated 72 minutes after fluid injection start. Within the first 15 minutes,
410 only a relatively small number of bigger vibrational events occur, i.e. events which extend over more than 20m.
411 Early events (within the first 5 minutes relative to injection start) appear in the depth region between 1250-1900
412 m MD. Except for two large events (4 minutes: 2260-2730 m MD and 6 minutes: 2040-2700 m MD), the spatial
413 extent of the vibrational events is rather small. One single event was recorded at a depth of 3540-3580 m MD
414 close to the shoe of the installation. With time, the depth of vibrational events increases to 2900 m MD. From 17
415 minutes onwards, the occurrence of vibrational events is mostly constrained in the depth region from 2900-3100
416 m MD. The maximum spatial extent of large vibrational events increases with time. From 01:18 (+22
417 minutes after injection start) onwards, most of the events extend into the depth region of 2835-3080 m MD. At
418 02:08 (+72 minutes to injection start), the spatial extent of the events is 2500-3470 m MD.

419 With time, the frequency of the occurrence of the events decreases. 4-5 hours after injection start, large events
420 (such as in Figure 6 C and D) appear every 10 – 15 minutes. 8 hours after injection start, large events appear
421 approximately every 25 – 40 minutes.



422
423
424


Figure 9: Gray circles and black vertical lines indicate the spatio-temporal origin and spatial extent of vibrational events in the well, respectively. The shown period comprises the first 72 minutes of cold-water fluid injection.

425
426
427
428

3.4.3 Friction force model

429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446

The static friction force F_f after formula (3) is compared to the applied force from thermal contraction of the rod F_{app} after formula (5) which was evaluated for the period from injection start to 01:18 (+22 minutes after start of injection) and to 02:08 (+72 minutes after start of injection) (Figure 10). The gravitational weight force F_w per nylon centralizer is constant for every contact point of the rod. The force needed to overcome the cumulative static friction F_f is a function of the borehole inclination. F_f increases from the bottom of the rod installation at 3691 m MD towards 1000 m MD. The bottom end of the sucker rod and the carrier of the pT gauge at 2755 m MD create an additional static friction force of 0.4 kN and 0.5 kN, respectively. Above 1000 m MD, the well is nearly vertical and only little static friction is expected. The static friction F_f at 1000 m MD yields 26.1 kN. F_{app} at 01:18 is lower than F_f for the entire installation length. Only in the depth interval 2731-2820 m MD, F_{app} approaches a force of 10.5 kN which is close to F_f . This indicates that forces are sufficient to initiate relative motion between sucker rod and casing at that depth. With ongoing cold-water fluid injection, the applied forces F_{app} increase with further decreasing temperatures. At 02:08, F_{app} surpasses the frictional forces in the depth range from 2150-2912 m MD. F_f and F_{app} intersect at 17.0 kN and 9.3 kN, respectively. At the depth interval from 2732-2820 m MD, the applied force peaks at 22.0 kN (shown in Figure 10). For all estimates given above, it is assumed that the sucker rod did not move relative to the casing, i.e., thermal stresses can build up but will not be released by relative motion.



447
 448
 449
 450

Figure 10: Comparison of static friction F_f with applied forces F_{app} from thermal contraction of the rod within the first 72 minutes of cold-water fluid injection. The pale colors in F_{app} originate from measured DTS data and the solid lines are constructed by a moving average over 15 m

451
 452

453 4. Discussion

454 With the help of distributed fiber-optic temperature and acoustic data, we monitored a cold-water injection
455 period in a geothermal well at the site Schäftlarnstraße, Munich. The downhole monitoring data allows for an
456 analysis of the deformation of the 3.6 km long sucker rod/fiber-optic cable construction due to cooling. We
457 observe numerous localized episodes of large strain-rates that nucleate along the inclined stretch of the borehole
458 and propagate both towards greater depth and the surface. Such events induce quickly declining elastic
459 vibrations along the entire extent of the affected interval. The emergence of these vibrational events strongly
460 correlates with the beginning of the fluid injection. In the following, we thus argue that the vibrational events are
461 a result of the substantial temperature changes which the sucker rods with the optic fiber are exposed to. The
462 contraction of the sucker rods upon cooling induces stress where the sucker rod is held to the borehole wall by
463 frictional forces. On the basis of a simple mechanic model we show that accumulated stresses may eventually
464 exceed the friction giving rise to sudden stress release and the observed strain changes.

465 4.1 Assessment of measuring errors

466 Our monitoring data analysis is based on a debatable approach of integrating DAS data over longer time periods.
467 To obtain the ϵ_{DAS} profile over the period of 1 hour, a total number of 3.6 million strain rate profiles are
468 integrated (sample rate: 1000 Hz). Such kind of numerical operation has a high risk of creating numerical errors
469 due to e.g. rounding off or value truncation. In addition, the smallest systematic error in the DAS measurement
470 system results in a significant drift over time which would misrepresent the strain profile measured by the
471 sensing fiber. Also, it is well known that for FIMT type of installations, the gel filling allows for a creep and
472 differential movement of fibers with respect to its surroundings which makes strain sensing unreliable for greater
473 deformations and longer periods (e.g. [Lipus et al., 2018](#), [Becker et al., 2020](#)). However, a creep over many
474 meters or even kilometers is most likely improbable. To strengthen the meaningfulness of our integrated strain
475 profile, we analyzed the ϵ_{DAS} for a deeper section of the well, where ~~no~~ (or very little) temperature change (ca. 1
476 °C) was measured by the DTS. In 3500 m depth, we do not observe any strain accumulation after temporal
477 integration of strain rate data over a period of 60 minutes. This indicates that the measured strain rate has no
478 significant drift during the time of interest. For measurements with higher amplitudes such as within the depth
479 interval 2800-2900 m, non-linear effects influencing the temporal integration of the data cannot be excluded.

480 4.2 DAS data integration

481 We integrated DAS data in time over 72 minutes to assess the absolute contraction of the rod construction prior
482 to the cold-water injection start (see Figure 4). For the well interval from water table to the transition to the
483 perforated liner, the results show a good match to the contraction that was theoretically assumed from the
484 cooling of the well. However, from 2800-2900 m MD, we obtain much higher deformation from the DAS data
485 than what we expected. We cannot give an unambiguous explanation for that but see two likely reasons for that
486 observation. Firstly, the DAS integration process might result in a drift when integrating high amplitude DAS
487 data. Especially from 2800-2900 m MD, constantly high energy is recorded by the system. The second
488 explanation could be that the integrated DAS data measured a true deformation of the construction. In the depth
489 region around 2800 m, the annular space of the borehole is rather irregular (transition to 7 “ liner interval,
490 localized increase in the borehole inclination (see Figure 4, 3rd panel)). The repeating sudden sucker rod events
491 might lead to an uneven distribution of the thermal stresses along the rod. Interestingly, the most prominent feed
492 zone of the well coincides with the one single DAS interval which shows an extensional signal.
493 The sudden slip events presented in this study show some similarity to the “slip events” which were previously
494 observed in FIMT-type fiber-optic installation in a geothermal well ([Miller et al., 2018](#)). In the reported DAS
495 campaign, a fiber-optic cable was installed in a geothermal well and it is argued that repeated thermal cycles led
496 to a loss of frictional coupling between fiber-optic cable and the borehole wall. [Miller et al., 2018](#) reported that a
497 sudden loss triggered a movement of the cable with a first arrival speed of 4600 m/s (we measured a first arrival
498 speed of 4000 m/s). The integrated strain of the reported event shows a balance towards absolute contraction
499 which we also observe in our events. Another similarity is given by the frequency content of these events. They
500 recorded a dominant frequency of 45 Hz with some harmonics in both directions which we also observed in our
501 data (see Figure 7 at 3000 m MD).
502

503 4.3 Stick-slip rod behaviour

504

505 We calculated the static friction force F_f along the rod construction by a cumulative sum of the friction of each
506 nylon centralizer with the borehole inner wall. Independently of that, we computed the applied force F_{app} on the
507 rod construction by thermal contraction using the DTS monitoring data. By comparing both curves, we can
508 distinguish depth regions where the rod remains immobile ($F_f > F_{app}$) and depth regions where the applied forces
509 overcome the static friction force ($F_f < F_{app}$). The temperature difference in the course of the investigated time
510 period is particularly high over the 9 5/8" liner interval (depth region from 2485-2890 mMD) which in
511 consequence also means that F_{app} is high. According to our model calculation, the contraction forces surpass the
512 frictional forces at 2800 m MD around 01:18 (22 minutes after injection start). This result implies that after this
513 time, the construction can contract in this depth interval. In other words, the thermal stresses on the rod
514 construction in this depth region are high enough that the rod starts to move and to contract. In other words, the
515 thermal stresses on the rod construction in this depth region are high enough that the rod starts to move and to
516 contract. Hence, the literature values assumed for the static friction between sucker rod and steel liner are
517 assumed to approximate the real values.

518

519 With ongoing cold-water injection and further cooling of the well, the applied forces F_{app} increase. This leads to a
520 continuous growing of the depth interval where F_{app} surpasses the static friction F_f of the rod. The STA/LTA
521 detections match the predictions of the friction fore model. After a rather quiet initial phase of low energetic
522 events (before 17 minutes in Figure 9) which could be caused by the relaxation of previously accumulated stress
523 anomalies along the sucker rods, repeated vibrational events start to concentrate in the region 2800-3100 m MD.
524 As the region with $F_f < F_{app}$ increases, the length of the vibrational events increases. From our friction force
525 model, we would expect vibrational events (more specifically: the contraction part of the movement) at 02:08 in
526 the depth region 2150-2910 m MD. However, the observed events extend from 2500-3500 m MD. Regarding the
527 upper limit, we can see in Figure 10 that there is a significant change in slope for F_{app} at 02:08 at 2500 m MD.
528 The friction force model is based on numerous assumptions (i.e. static friction coefficient nylon-steel, Young's
529 modulus for stainless steel, neglecting fiber-optic cable, stress-free initial conditions) which might not accurately
530 depict the downhole conditions. This could mean, that either the calculated applied force F_{app} is too high and/or
531 the static friction force F_f is too low.

532 With respect to the lower limit of the vibrational events, we predict the contraction part ($F_{app}(02:08)$ Figure 10)
533 of the vibrational events down to a depth of 2912 m MD from our friction force model. However, we record
534 vibrational events down to a depth of 3480 m MD. This discrepancy can partly be explained by the fact that the
535 model prediction only shows the contraction part of the vibrational event. As seen in the cumulative strain ϵ_{DAS}
536 (see Figure 6 event A and B), the lowest part of a vibrational event yields extension. The most likely reason is
537 that the contraction above results in a pulling of the rod from a lower lying region to compensate for the missing
538 rod length. Therefore, the events can be traced down to a greater depth than predicted.

539 The constant temperature offset by +1 °C in the DTS profiles from 02:08 (relative to 01:18) in the depth interval
540 from 3100 m MD to the end of the cable is unlikely to be caused by any fluid movement. While DTS
541 temperature measurements did show a variation, no additional offset was recorded from the measured strain
542 ϵ_{DAS} . This could mean that the rod builds up thermal extensional stresses without actual movement taking place
543 ($\epsilon_{DTS} > 0$ $\epsilon_{DAS} = 0$). However, we speculate that the temperature anomaly is related to the processing of the DTS
544 data. DTS temperature was measured in a double-ended configuration. A temperature profile is created by
545 overlaying the DTS signal from both directions which are measured consecutively for both fiber branches. Close
546 to the folding location (at the bottom of the well), an asymmetry in the temperature reading was observed
547 between both fiber branches, which does not seem to be caused by any fluid motion. Averaging this difference
548 between both branches led to a temperature offset. This offset was only visible if strong temperature changes
549 were observed.

550

551 5. Conclusion

552 The field test at the geothermal site Schäftlarnstraße demonstrates that simultaneous recording of DTS and DAS
553 data can be used for a detailed analysis of the deformation of a sucker rod type of fiber-optic cable installation in
554 a 3.6 km deep well. By comparing the theoretical contraction of the rod structure from DTS with an estimated

555 contraction from DAS, we can distinguish depth intervals with higher and lower thermal stresses in the material.
556 We introduce a friction force model which accurately predicts the onset and extent of sucker rod events releasing
557 accumulated thermal stress. This is an important finding for DAS monitoring in geothermal settings because it
558 shows that localized high-energetic vibrational events must not necessarily be related to microseismic events
559 occurring in the rock formation but can originate in the subsurface construction and the way how the ~~FO~~-fiber-
560 optic monitoring equipment is installed in the well. Moreover, the friction force model is useful to predict the
561 data quality for DAS measurement campaigns for deep sucker-rod types of ~~FO~~-fiber-optic installations.
562 Especially for the recordings of weak acoustic signals that are e.g. induced by fluid movement in the annulus, it
563 is essential to know the potential sources of errors and artifacts in the data. During operations which introduce a
564 temporal temperature gradient, thermo-mechanical response of freely hanging steel parts in the borehole may
565 introduce stick-slip events that must be distinguished from any other relevant seismogenic source. Potentially,
566 the vibrational energy from the sucker rod events can also be used to study the formation velocity in the near-
567 field around the borehole. Furthermore, the large-scale contraction along certain sucker rod and fiber intervals
568 must be considered with respect to the location of the distributed sensor nodes. Our description also serves as a
569 starting point for a more detailed dynamic description of the observed processes. This can be of use to predict
570 onset and depth interval of such sucker rod events and to contain their destructive potential in case of too quick
571 cooling of the construction.

572 **Code and data availability**

573 Python scripts and data are available upon request to the corresponding author.

574 **Author contributions**

575 TR and KZo conceptualized, planned and coordinated the monitoring campaign. MPL, FS, CW, TR and DP
576 conducted the field measurement. MPL performed the DAS data processing. All authors contributed in the
577 interpretation of the results. MPL prepared the first draft of the manuscript with the contribution from all authors.

578 **Competing interests**

579 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

580 **Special issue statement**

581 This article is part of the special issue “Fibre-optic sensing in Earth sciences”. It is not associated with a
582 conference.

583 **Financial support**

584 The fiber-optic cable was installed in the framework of the Geothermal Alliance Bavaria project, funded by the
585 Bavarian Ministry of Economic Affairs, Energy and Technology. A part of this work was financed by the
586 GeConnect project (Geothermal Casing Connections for Axial Stress Mitigation), coordinated by ÍSOR, which is
587 funded through the ERANET cofund GEOTHERMICA (Project no. 731117), from the European Commission,
588 Technology Development Fund (Iceland), Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Energie aufgrund eines
589 Beschlusses des Deutschen Bundestages (Germany) and Ministerie van Economische Zaken (The Netherlands).

590 **Acknowledgements**

591 This work would not have been possible without the continuous support from our partners involved in the
592 project. The authors are thankful to Stadtwerke München, owner and operator of the geothermal site
593 Schäflarnstraße, for providing access to the well site, their premises and well data. The authors would also like
594 to thank the drilling contractor Daldrup for accessing the site during the drilling and well completion operation.
595 Moreover, we would like to give credit to our colleagues at Erdwerk GmbH and Baker Hughes for the close
596 collaboration and fruitful discussions. From GFZ, the authors are thankful to Christian Cunow and Tobias Raab

597 who supported the field work and acquisition of fiber-optic data. The authors would like to thank Mr. Ryan
598 Schultz and the second anonymous referee for reviewing and improving this manuscript.
599
600

601 **References**

- 602
- 603 Allen, R. V. "Automatic earthquake recognition and timing from single traces." *Bulletin of the Seismological*
604 *Society of America*, 1978.
- 605 Becker, M. W., C. Ciervo, M. Cole, T. Coleman, and M. Mondanos. "Fracture hydromechanical response
606 measured by fiber optic distributed acoustic sensing at milliHertz frequencies." *Geophys. Res. Lett.* *44*,
607 2017, doi:10.1002/2017GL073931.
- 608 Becker, M. W., T. I. Coleman, and C. C. Ciervo. "Distributed Acoustic Sensing as a Distributed Hydraulic
609 Sensor in Fractured Bedrock." *Water Resources Research*, *56*, 2020, doi:10.1029/2020WR028140.
- 610 Berman, A. D., W. A. Ducker, and J. N. Israelachvili. "Origin and Characterization of Different Stick-Slip
611 Friction Mechanisms." *Langmuir*, 1996, doi:10.1021/la950896z.
- 612 Bruno, M. S. "Use of Fiber Optic Distributed Acoustic Sensing for Measuring Hydraulic Connectivity for
613 Geothermal Applications." Tech. rep., GeoMechanics Technologies, U.S. Department of Energy/Small
614 Business Innovation Research, Bruno2018.
- 615 Bücken, C., and S. Grosswig. "Distributed temperature sensing in the oil and gas industry - insights and
616 perspectives." *Oil Gas European Magazine* *43* (2017).
- 617 Cardarelli, F. "Ferrous Metals and Their Alloys." In: *Materials Handbook*. Springer, Cham., 2018,
618 doi:10.1007/978-3-319-38925-7_2.
- 619 Chang, H., and N. Nakata. "Investigation of the time-lapse changes with the DAS borehole data at the Brady
620 geothermal field using deconvolution interferometry." *SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts* :
621 *3417-3421*, 2020, doi:10.1190/segam2020-3426023.1.
- 622 Daley, T. M., D. E. Miller, K. Dodds, P. Cook, and B. M. Freifeld. "Field testing of modular borehole
623 monitoring with simultaneous distributed acoustic sensing and geophone vertical seismic profiles at
624 Citronelle, Alabama." *Geophysical Prospecting*, 2016, doi:10.1111/1365-2478.12324
- 625 Daley, T. M., et al. "Field testing of fiber-optic distributed acoustic sensing (DAS) for subsurface seismic
626 monitoring." *The Leading Edge*, 2013, doi:10.1190/tle32060699.1
- 627 Finfer, D. C., V. Mahue, S. Shatalin, T. Parker, and M. Farhadiroushan. "Borehole Flow Monitoring using a
628 Non-intrusive Passive Distributed Acoustic Sensing (DAS)." *Society of Petroleum Engineers*, 2014,
629 doi:10.2118/170844-MS
- 630 Förster, A., J. Schrötter, D. F. Merriam, and D. D. Blackwell. "Application of optical-fiber temperature logging -
631 an example in a sedimentary environment." *Geophysics* *62*(4) (1997), doi:10.1190/1.1444211
- 632 Ghahfarokhi, P. K., T. Carr, L. Song, P. Shukla, and P. Pankaj. "Seismic Attributes Application for the
633 Distributed Acoustic Sensing Data for the Marcellus Shale: New Insights to Cross-Stage Flow
634 Communication." *Society of Petroleum Engineers*, 2018, doi:10.2118/189888-MS
- 635 Götz, J., S. Lüth, J. Hennings, and T. Reinsch. "Vertical seismic profiling using a daisy-chained deployment of
636 fibre-optic cables in four wells simultaneously – Case study at the Ketzin carbon dioxide storage site."
637 *Geophysical Prospecting*, 2018, doi:10.1111/1365-2478.12638
- 638 Harris, K., D. White, D. Melanson, C. Samson, and T. M. Daley. "Feasibility of time-lapse VSP monitoring at
639 the Aquistore CO2 storage site using a distributed acoustic sensing system." *International Journal of*
640 *Greenhouse Gas Control*, 2016, doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2016.04.016
- 641 Hartog, A. H. "A Distributed Temperature Sensor Based on Liquid-Core Optical Fibers." *Journal of Lightwave*
642 *Technology*, 1983, doi:10.1109/JLT.1983.1072146
- 643 Hartog, A. H., and G. Gamble. "Photonic distributed sensing." *Physics World*, 1991, doi:10.1088/2058-
644 7058/4/3/30
- 645 Hennings, J., E. Huenges, and H. Burkhardt. "In situ thermal conductivity of gas-hydrate-bearing sediments of
646 the Mallik 5L-38 well." *Journal of Geophysical Research* *110* (2005), doi:10.1029/2005JB003734
- 647 Hennings, J., E. Martuganova, M. Stiller, B. Norden, and C. M. Krawczyk. "Wireline distributed acoustic
648 sensing allows 4.2 km deep vertical seismic profiling of the Rotliegend 150 °C geothermal reservoir in
649 the North German Basin." *Solid Earth* *12*, 2021, doi:10.5194/se-12-521-2021
- 650 Hidnert, P. "Thermal Expansion of Heat Resisting Alloys (nickel-chromium, Iron-chromium and Nickel
651 Chromium-iron Alloys)." *Bureau of Standards Journal of Research*, 1931.
- 652 Hurtig, E., S. Grosswig, M. Jobmann, K. Kühn, and P. Marschall. "Fibre-optic temperature measurements in
653 shallow boreholes: experimental application for fluid logging." *Geothermics* *23* (1994),
654 doi:10.1016/0375-6505(94)90030-2

655 Johannessen, K., B. K. Drakeley, and M. Farhadiroushan. "Distributed Acoustic Sensing - A New Way of
656 Listening to Your Well/Reservoir." *Society of Petroleum Engineers*, 2012, doi:10.2118/149602-MS

657 Lee, C. H., and A. A. Polycarpou. "Static Friction Experiments and Verification of an Improved Elastic-Plastic
658 Model Including Roughness Effects." *Journal of Tribology*, 2007, doi:10.1115/1.2768074

659 Lipus, M. P., T. Reinsch, T. B. Weisenberger, S. Kragset, A. Stefánson, and S. G. Bogason. "Monitoring of a
660 reverse cement job in a high temperature geothermal environment." *Geothermal Energy*, 2021,
661 doi:10.1186/s40517-021-00187-y

662 Lipus, M., T. Reinsch, C. Schmidt-Hattenberger, J. Henninges, and M. Reich. "Gravel Pack Monitoring With a
663 Strain Sensing Fiber Optic Cable." *Oil Gas European Magazine 4*, 2018, doi:10.19225/181202

664 Martuganova, E., M. Stiller, K. Bauer, J. Henninges, and C. M. Krawczyk. "Cable reverberations during wireline
665 distributed acoustic sensing measurements: their nature and methods for elimination." *Geophys.*
666 *Prospect.*, 2021, doi:10.1111/1365-2478.13090

667 Masoudi, A., M. Balal, and T. P. Newson. "A distributed optical fibre dynamic strain sensor based on phase-
668 OTDR." *Meas. Sci. Technol.* 24, 2013, doi:10.1088/0957-0233/24/8/085204

669 Mateeva, A., et al. "Distributed acoustic sensing for reservoir monitoring with vertical seismic profiling."
670 *Geophysical Prospecting*, 2014, doi:10.1111/1365-2478.12116

671 Miller, D. E., et al. "DAS and DTS at Brady Hot Springs: Observations about Coupling and Coupled
672 Interpretations." *PROCEEDINGS, 43rd Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering Stanford*
673 *University, Stanford, California, February 12-14, 2018*. 2020.

674 Munn, J. D., T. J. Coleman, B. I. Parker, M. J. Mondanos, and A. Chalari. "Novel cable coupling technique for
675 improved shallow distributed acoustic sensor VSPs." *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 2017,
676 doi:10.1016/j.jappgeo.2017.01.007

677 Muraki, M., E. Kinbara, and T. Konishi. "A laboratory simulation for stick-slip phenomena on the hydraulic
678 cylinder of a construction machine." *Tribology International*, 2003, doi:10.1016/S0301-
679 679X(03)00054-9

680 Naldrett, G., C. Cerrahoglu, and V. Mahue. "Production Monitoring Using Next-Generation Distributed Sensing
681 Systems." *Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts*, 2018.

682 Pearce, J. G., et al. "High Resolution, Real-Time Casing Strain Imaging for Reservoir and Well Integrity
683 Monitoring: Demonstration of Monitoring Capability in a Field Installation." *SPE Annual Technical*
684 *Conference and Exhibition*, 2009.

685 Raab, T., T. Reinsch, S. R. Aldaz Cifuentes, and J. Henninges. "Real-Time Well-Integrity Monitoring Using
686 Fiber-Optic Distributed Acoustic Sensing." *Society of Petroleum Engineers*, 2019, doi:10.2118/195678-
687 PA

688 Reinsch, T., J. Henninges, and R. Ásmundsson. "Thermal, mechanical and chemical influences on the
689 performance of optical fibres for distributed temperature sensing in a hot geothermal well."
690 *Environmental Earth Science* 70 (2013), doi:10.1007/s12665-013-2248-8

691 Reinsch, T., T. Thurley, and P. Jousset. "On the mechanical coupling of a fiber optic cable used for distributed
692 acoustic/vibration sensing applications—a theoretical consideration." *Measurement Science and*
693 *Technology*, 2017, doi:10.1088/1361-6501/aa8ba4

694 Schölderle, F., et al. "Monitoring Cold Water Injections for Reservoir Characterization using a Permanent Fibre
695 Optic Installation in a Geothermal Production Well in the Southern German Molasse Basin."
696 *Geothermal Energy (in review)*, 2021, doi:10.1186/s40517-021-00204-0

697 Storch, T., T. Grab, U. Gross, and S. Wagner. "VISUAL OBSERVATIONS INSIDE A GEOTHERMAL
698 THERMOSYPHON." *Heat Pipe Science and Technology, An International Journal* 4 (2013): 217–226,
699 doi:10.1615/HeatPipeScieTech.2014011210

700 Sun, Y., Z. Xue, H. Park, T. Hashimoto, and Y. Zhang. "Optical Sensing of CO2 Geological Storage Using
701 Distributed Fiber-Optic Sensor: From Laboratory to Field-Scale Demonstrations." *Energy Fuels*, 2020,
702 doi:10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c03925

703 ToolBox, The Engineering. "Elastic Properties and Young Modulus for some Materials." *The Engineering*
704 *ToolBox*. Retrieved January 6, 2012., 2012.

705 Vaezi, Y., and M. van der Baan. "Comparison of the STA/LTA and power spectral density methods for
706 microseismic event detection." *Geophysical Journal International*, 2015, doi:10.1093/gji/ggv419

707 Zhang, Y., X. Lei, T. Hashimoto, and Z. Xue. "Towards retrieving distributed aquifer hydraulic parameters from
708 distributed strain sensing." *Journal of Geophysical Research Solid Earth*, 2020,
709 doi:10.1029/2020JB020056
710