Holocene surface rupturing earthquakes on the Dinaric Fault System, western Slovenia

Christoph Grützner[1], Simone Aschenbrenner[2,3], Petra Jamšek Rupnik[4], Klaus Reicherter[2], Nour Saifelislam[2], Blaž Vičič[5], Marko Vrabec[6], Julian Welte[1], Kamil Ustaszewski[1]

[1]Institute of Geological Sciences, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Jena, 07749, Germany
[2]Neotectonics and Natural Hazards Group, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, 52056, Germany
[3]Now at: Institute of Geology and Mineralogy, University of Cologne, Cologne, 50674, Germany
[4]Geological Survey of Slovenia, Ljubljana, 1000, Slovenia
[5]The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, 34151, Italy
[6]Department of Geology, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, 1000, Slovenia

Correspondence to: Christoph Grützner (christoph.gruetzner@uni-jena.de)

Abstract. The Dinaric Fault System in western Slovenia, consisting of NW-SE trending, right-lateral strike-slip faults, accommodates the northward motion of Adria with respect to Eurasia. These active faults show a clear imprint in the morphology and some of them hosted moderate instrumental earthquakes. However, it is largely unknown if the faults also had strong earthquakes in the Late Quaternary. This hampers our understanding of the regional tectonics and the seismic hazard. Geological evidence of co-seismic surface ruptures only exists for one historical event, the 1511 Idrija Earthquake with a magnitude of ~M6.8, but the causative fault is still disputed. Here we use geomorphological data, near-surface geophysical surveys, and paleoseismological trenching to ~~show~~ study ~~that~~ two of these faults, the Predjama Fault and the Idrija Fault ~~ruptured in strong earthquakes in the Holocene~~. In a paleoseismological trench across the Predjama Fault we found deformation features ~~at least one~~that may have been caused by an earthquake ~~with a minimum magnitude of M_w6.1 that occurred~~ between 13 - 0.7 ka, very likely not earlier than 8.4 ka. At the Idrija Fault, a surface-rupturing earthquake ~~with a magnitude of at least M_w6.1~~happened in the last ~2.1 ka. ~~This event could correspond to the 1511 Idrija earthquake.~~ Our results show that the faults rupture in rare, but strong earthquakes, which dominate the seismic moment release. We show that instrumental and historical seismicity data do not capture the strongest events in this area. ~~The fact that many of the NW-SE trending, parallel faults are active implies that the deformation in western Slovenia is distributed, rather than focussed on one major structure.~~

1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with Holocene surface rupturing earthquakes on strike-slip faults belonging to the Dinaric Fault System (DFS) in western Slovenia (Fig. 1). Following the established nomenclature in the literature (e.g., Slejko et al., 1989), the faults are named Dinaric Faults because they strike parallel to the trend of the Dinarides. However, they must not to be confused with the now inactive, SW-vergent Dinaric thrusts. It is well understood that the Dinaric right-lateral faults are active and that

they accommodate a share of the relative motion between Adria and Europe (Poljak et al., 2000; Vrabec and Fodor, 2006; Placer et al., 2010; Moulin et al., 2016; Atanackov et al., 20~~16~~21). However, so far very little is known about their earthquake record. In this rather slowly deforming region, strong but rare earthquakes may dominate the overall seismic moment release.

35 Identifying the strongest earthquake events in the Late Quaternary will, therefore, help to better understand the regional active tectonics, shed light on the role of individual faults in the deformation of the crust, and better inform seismic hazard assessments.

Regions of slow continental deformation pose a challenge for active tectonics studies for several reasons. (i) In low-strain settings, geodetic techniques that cover only a few decades of monitoring (GPS, InSAR) have to deal with very small amounts

40 of total crustal deformation. This hampers a proper recognition of active structures. (ii) Instrumental seismicity is usually low. Microseismicity studies will, therefore, often not be able to detect active faults. Earthquakes strong enough to compute reliable focal mechanisms, for example by teleseismic body-waveform modelling, may not have occurred during the instrumental era. Thus, our understanding of fault mechanisms and seismogenic faults may be limited. (iii) Large earthquakes are rare. Historical catalogues ~~may~~ contain felt events, but do not necessarily ~~likely not cover~~ record the strongest possible earthquakes ~~ones~~ on

45 the local active faults. This is due to the faults' ~~are capable of because of their~~ long earthquake recurrence intervals. Also, historical earthquakes can rarely be tied to their causative faults. Earthquakes strong enough to leave their imprints in the landscape must have very large recurrence intervals on slowly ~~-~~moving faults. For the DFS, no long-term recurrence intervals are known. Areas with similar strain rates of a few mm/a are known to have recurrence intervals in the order of thousands to tens of thousands of years (e.g., Grützner et al., 2017). Chances are high that their traces were modified or obliterated by

50 erosion and sedimentation before any targeted geoscientific investigations~~ts started to look out for them at all~~. Notwithstanding all those issues, studying slowly deforming regions and diffuse plate boundaries is important both from the perspectives of active tectonics (e.g., Stein et al., 2009; Landgraf et al., 2017) and seismic hazard (England and Jackson, 2011). In our study area at the transition zone between the Eastern Southern Alps and the Dinarides we are confronted with the above-mentioned problems to varying extents. GPS studies show that there is about 3 mm/~~yr~~a of convergence between Adria and

55 Europe at the longitude of the Eastern Alps (D'Agostino et al., 2005, 2008; Weber et al., 2010; Metois et al., 2015), but the station network is too sparse to assess individual faults. Only few reliable moment tensor solutions are available for moderate earthquakes (Anderson and Jackson, 1987; Herak et al., 1995, Bajc et al., 2001; Pondrelli et al., 2002, 2011; Kastelic et al., 2008) and they did only occur on some of the main faults, for example the Ravne Fault earthquakes in 1998 and 2004 (Fig. 1). For historical earthquakes of M>6 the causative faults are either unknown or debated (e.g., Tiberi et al., 2018). Similarly, there

60 is a debate about the strongest historical earthquake on record, the 1511 Idrija earthquake, which may have caused surface ruptures on one or two faults (Fitzko et al., 2005; Bavec et al., 2013; Falcucci et al., 2018).

In this paper we present data from paleoseismological trenches dug across two of the large strike-slip faults in western Slovenia, the Idrija and Predjama Faults. We show evidence for Holocene surface-rupturing earthquakes on those faults and support our interpretation with geomorphological data, geophysical profiling, and radiocarbon dating. We then discuss the implications of

65 our findings in the light of the regional tectonic setting and seismic hazard.

2 Geological and tectonic setting

2.1 Geological background

Our study area is located in western Slovenia in the External Dinarides, close to the transition zone between the eastern Southern Alps and the Dinarides (Figs. 1, 2). The area was shaped by the collision of the Adriatic microplate and Europe during the Cenozoic (Schmid et al., 2008; Placer et al., 2010; Ustaszewski et al., 2010; Handy et al., 2015). Shortening in NE-SW direction and the related SW-directed thrusting of Mesozoic carbonates along NW-SE striking faults lasted from the Cretaceous to the Eocene (Fig. 3). This phase has shaped the large-scale geological picture as we see it today. From Oligocene to Early Miocene, S-directed transport on E-W striking faults characterised the phase of South Alpine thrusting. Žibret and Vrabec (2016) used paleostress analyses to distinguish three phases of deformation post-dating the late Eocene NE-SW shortening in western Slovenia: Early to Middle Miocene back-arc extension in the Pannonian Basin led to NE-SW extension and normal faulting on NW-SE striking structures. Then, a short pulse of Late Miocene E-W compression contraction led to a left-lateral reactivation of the NW-SE striking faults and N-S extension. Since the Pliocene, N-S shortening is taken up by right-lateral motion on a NW-SE trending strike-slip fault system known as the Dinaric Fault System (e.g., Poljak et al., 2000; Vrabec and Fodor, 2006; Placer et al., 2010; Moulin et al., 2016; Atanackov et al., 2016 2021; Figs. 1-3). This phase lasts until today and is corroborated by seismological data (e.g., Herak et al., 1995; Pondrelli et al., 2002; Vičič et al., 2019), remote sensing, and field studies (e.g., Cunningham et al., 2007; Kastelic et al., 2008; Gosar et al., 2011; Moulin et al., 2014, 2016).

2.2 Active tectonics

The largest faults of the strike-slip Dinaric Fault System in the western part of this system are the Raša, Predjama, Idrija, and Ravne Faults (Figs. 1, 2 3). They accommodate N-S shortening by right-lateral strike-slip motion since the Pliocene (Žibret and Vrabec, 2016). The more than 120 km-long Idrija Fault has the most prominent morphological imprint in the study area (Cunningham et al., 2006, 2007). It has a total right-lateral offset of around 10 12 km (Šušteršič, 1996; Placer et al., 2010) and an almost straight fault trace, pointing to its predominant strike-slip mechanism. Lower offset estimates (~2 km) come from are based on the displacement of the mercury deposits, which were mined in Idrija since more than 500 years (Mlakar, 1964; Placer, 1982; Čar, 2010). The first geological evidence for the late Late Quaternary activity of the Idrija Fault came from faulted fluvial deposits in Kanomlja (Cunningham et al., 2006; Bavec et al., 2012) and in the Učja River valley (Vrabec, 2012). Geomorphological work showed that the fault offsets the regional drainage system and Late Quaternary morphological markers (Moulin et al., 2014, 2016). Moulin et al. (2016) estimated mean slip rates averaged over the last 255 ka a of 1.15 ± 0.15 mm/yr a for the Idrija Fault using ^{36}Cl cosmic ray exposure dating. However, they reported short-term (~15 ka) slip rates for the Idrija Fault are reported to be as high as 3.8 ± 2.0 mm/yr a. However, recent fault slip rates are modelled to only 0.06-0.22 mm/a (Kastelic and Carafa, 2012). Based on the mean slip rates and the total offset, Moulin et al. (2016) concluded that the strike-slip movement on the fault probably originated in the Early Pliocene. In a meta-analysis, Atanackov et al. (2021) report a best

estimate fault slip rate of 1 mm/a. Gosar (2020) used an extensometer to measure ongoing slip on a fault plane in the Učja River valley, but it remains unclear how this motion relates to the overall fault kinematics. Around the city of Idrija, the Idrija Fault offsets mainly Triassic carbonate units and Permian rocks right-laterally.

The ~75 km long Predjama Fault is estimated to be active since the Middle Pleistocene, based on slip rates from geomorphic offsets and the total amount of slip (Moulin et al., 2016). It parallels the Idrija Fault, but is not as straight and shows a prominent bifurcation at the Čepovan Canyon on the Trnovski Gozd Plateau. Moulin et al. (2016) estimated a slip rate of 1.45 ± 0.25 mm/a in the last 255 ka for the Predjama Fault based on offset morphological markers and [36]Cl dating. Atanackov et al. (2021) assigned an average slip rate of 0.7 mm/a in their meta-analysis. In our study area, the Predjama Fault offsets Mesozoic carbonates by several hundred metres right-laterally (Fig. 4   ). At the trench site, coralliferous limestones of Kimmeridgian to Oxfordian age are juxtaposed against Maastrichtian calcarenites.

Figure 1: The study area in the Alps-Dinarides transition zone. Main faults of interest (~~black~~ red lines) are from ~~Moulin et al. (2016)~~Atanackov et al., (2021) ~~and own mapping~~. Historical and instrumental seismicity are from the SHEEC database (Stucchi et al., 2013; Grünthal et al., 2013); the 1511 Idrija EQ is marked (note that the location is uncertain~~;~~ the earthquake probably occurred either on the Idrija Fault or on the SW side of the mountain front in Italy). Beachballs with black outlines are derived from p-wave arrivals (Herak et al., 1995); those with blue outlines are from quakes M>5 determined from first arrivals used in the Italian RCMT catalogue 1997-2017 (Pondrelli et al., 2002 and updates thereof); red outlines mark data from teleseismic body waveform inversion 1953-1984 (Anderson and Jackson, 1987); green outlines indicate data from Petersen et al. (2021) using the AlpArray seismic network. GPS data are from Metois et al. (2015) in a Eurasia-fixed reference frame. S.F.: Selce Fault; PC: Postojna Cave. Topography is from SRTM1 data. The inset shows the regional tectonic setting with the rotating and northward-moving Adriatic Plate. Dashed black lines indicate the main deformation fronts (after Bigi et al., 1983; Schmid et al., 2004, 2008; Froitzheim et al., 1996; Handy et al., 2010; Bousquet et al., 2012). Red lines indicate the South Alpine Thrusts in the study area (SAT) and the Dinaric faults. ESA: Eastern Southern Alps.

GPS studies show that there is about 2-3 mm/~~yr~~a of N-S convergence of Adria vs. Europe at the longitude of the Eastern Alps (D'Agostino et al., 2005, 2008; Weber et al., 2010; Fig. 1) and a counter-clockwise rotation of Adria about an Euler pole in the Western Alps of not more than 0.52°/M~~a~~a (Calais et al., 2002; Weber et al., 2010). This is in line with slip vectors of large earthquakes that occurred around the internally almost aseismic Adriatic Plate (Anderson and Jackson, 1987). As of today, the published GPS vectors in Slovenia are too coarse to allow for a more detailed analysis of single faults.

Figure 2: The study sites in NW Slovenia. Faults are from Moulin et al. (2016) and own mapping. (a) Overview map (DEM from SRTM1). (b) At the Idrija Fault, the trench site is close to the one of Bavec et al. (2013). (c) At the Predjama Fault, we trenched the single strand section of the fault. **(d) Hillshade of the Predjama Fault trench site. (e) Hillshade of the Idrija Fault trench site.** ~~At the Idrija Fault, the trench site is close to the one of Bavec et al. (2013). Faults are from Moulin et al. (2016) and own mapping.~~ In panels b-e the DEM is based on the 1 m LiDAR data.

Large instrumental earthquakes with well-constrained fault plane solutions in the study area are rare. The 1976 Friuli sequence occurred on an N-dipping, E-W-trending thrust fault (e.g., Aoudia et al., 2000; Peruzza, 2002) at the southern Alpine front. Three earthquakes (beachballs with red outlines in Fig. 1) with magnitudes of 6.5, 6.0, and 6.1 (Aoudia et al., 2000) caused widespread damage. No surface rupture occurred, but a few centimetres of uplift were inferred from high-precision levelling and triangulation (e.g., Cheloni et al., 2012). Two shallow earthquakes of magnitude $M_S5.7$ and $M_W5.2$ occurred on the Ravne fault in 1998 and 2004, respectively (Bajc et al., 2001; Kastelic et al., 2004, 2008; Gosar, 2019a,b). ~~One~~ The first event showed right-lateral strike-slip on an almost vertical fault, which is also supported by structural observations from the field (Kastelic et al., 2008). The second event and its aftershocks also involved oblique right-lateral reverse mechanisms. A number of smaller

earthquakes with right-lateral mechanisms occurred in the Slovenia-Croatia border area (Herak et al., 1995, 2009; Čarman et al., 2011; Jamšek Rupnik et al., 2016; Fig. 1). Vičič et al. (2019) relocated microseismicity data covering 2006 - 2017. They discovered swarm activity related to the Raša, Predjama, Idrija, Ravne, and Selce faults down to 20 km depth on almost vertical fault planes.

Historical seismicity in western Slovenia includes several earthquakes exceeding magnitude 5.5 (Ribarič, 1982; AHEAD, 2020, Fig. 1). None of the reported events can be conclusively tied to a specific fault, and there is no apparent clustering on any of the known active structures. Reliable historical data is mainly confined to the last 200 years (e.g., Herak et al., 2009, 2017, 2018; Cecić, 2015). Modelling of the macroseismic effects of the 1895 M_w6.1 Ljubljana earthquake indicates that it occurred on one of the strike-slip faults that belong to the eastern part of Dinaric Fault System south of Ljubljana (Tiberi et al., 2018). The strongest historical event in western Slovenia was the 1511 Idrija earthquake (Ribarič, 1979; Fitzko et al., 2005; Cecić, 2011; Camassi, 2011). It devastated the mining town of Idrija in Slovenia and caused significant damage in NE Italy (see summary in Fitzko et al., 2005). Fitzko et al. (2005) modelled the macroseismic data and found the best fit for a 50 km-long rupture of the Idrija Fault, corresponding to a M6.9 dextral strike-slip earthquake. This is supported by geophysical studies and a paleoseismic trench in the village of Srednja Kanomlja near Idrija (Bavec et al., 2012, 2013). However, Falcucci et al. (2018) trenched the Colle Villano Thrust in NE Italy and found interpreted a post-15th century surface-rupturing earthquake, which they interpret as the 1511 event. Thus, it is still not resolved whether the 1511 Idrija Earthquake was a single event or if two earthquakes ruptured two different faults in close succession.

160 **Figure 3: Geological setting of the study sites in NW Slovenia, same extent as Fig. 2. The geology is compiled from the 1:250,000 geological of Buser (2009) and the 1:150,000 geological map of the Friuli-Venezia Giulia region (Carulli, 2006).**

3 Methods

In order to identify the most promising sites for paleoseismological trenching, we first analysed the 1 m digital elevation model (DEM) of Slovenia (Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning, Slovenian Environment Agency, 2011). These data
165 are freely available for the entire country and were collected using the LiDAR technology (Light detection and ranging; airborne laser scanning). We manually inspected the mapped traces of the Predjama and Idrija Faults and searched for offset geomorphic markers, breaks in slope, scarps, and similar indicators of recent tectonics. Then we identified sites with sediment

archives that could have recorded large past earthquakes. For selected sites we acquired aerial images using a DJI Phantom 4 drone, which allowed us to compute high-resolution DEMs with up to 5 cm resolution using the structure-from-motion technique (SfM).

Geophysical surveys were then used to image the shallow subsurface and to precisely map the fault traces. All geophysical data are available online (Grützner et al., 2020). We used a ground-penetrating radar (GPR) system from Geophysical Survey Systems Inc (GSSI) with monostatic 100 MHz, 270 MHz, and 400 MHz antennas and a Pulse EKKO Pro Sensors & Software system equipped with bistatic 250 MHz antennas. All data were processed with the ReflexW software (Sandmeier Geophysical Research). Processing included frequency bandpass filtering, background removal, gain adjustments, and topographic corrections. The topographic data were extracted from the 1 m DEM.

Electric resistivity tomography (ERT) was performed with a 4-point-light system (Lippmann Geophysikalische Messgeräte). We used up to 80 electrodes with varying electrode spacing for Wenner, Schlumberger, and Dipole-Dipole arrays, depending on target resolution and depth. Data inversion was done with Res2DInv (Geotomo Software) and included manual de-spiking and topographic corrections.

Along several profiles we measured the vertical gradient of the geomagnetic field and the total magnetic field strength with a proton magnetometer GSM - 19T (GEM Systems). The system consists of a rover and a base station, which allows correcting the data for diurnal variation. We used 1 m point spacing along several long transects.

Based on the geophysics results we selected one trench site at the Predjama Fault and one at the Idrija Fault. The trenches were excavated with a backhoe and their walls were cleaned and straightened. We installed a 1 x 1 m string grid, sketched the walls in 1:10 scale, and produced 3D models and orthophotos of the trench walls using the SfM technique. Radiocarbon dating was performed by BETA Analytic on charcoal and bulk organic carbon samples.

4 Results

4.1 Predjama Fault

4.1.1 Structural Data

In the area of the TČepovan Canyon the Predjama Fault mainly runs through Triassic and Jurassic carbonates (Fig. 4). Further to the NW of the canyon, Maastrichtian calcarenites are present, which belong to the Cretaceous to Eocene foredeep sequence. The Predjama Fault trench site is located on the Banjšice Plateau, northwest of the Čepovan Canyon. In this area, a single fault trace is clearly visible in the DEM (Fig. 2). At Avče in the Soča Valley to the NW of our trench site, Moulin et al. (2016) described a prominent change in valley topography caused by the fault (Fig. 2). The fault bifurcates just SE of our trench site and the two strands offset the cliffs of the Čepovan Canyon (Moulin et al., 2016). These authors also described the a prominent vertical offset across the two fault strands. We found that slickensides in two quarry outcrops on each the two strands (Fig. 2) show almost pure right-lateral strike-slip on fault planes dipping steeply to the SW (Fig. 35a-c). The fault zone is about 30 m

wide in the second quarry (Fig. 5d). Both quarries exhibit Kimmeridgian-Oxfordian coralliferous limestones. To the SE of the
Čepovan Canyon, the two strands of the Predjama Fault cross the Trnovski Gozd Plateau, but only the southern fault trace
continues further to the southeast (Fig. 2). We chose our trench site partly based on these observations in order to capture the
entire slip of the fault on a single trace and to not miss significant portions due to its branching. About 70 m south of our trench
site, Kimmeridgian-Oxfordian coralliferous limestones crop out in a large road cut, which runs parallel to the fault strike.
These limestones are not intensely fractured and do not exhibit a fault zone. They have only a thin soil cover. According to the
geological maps, Maastrichtian calcarenites are juxtaposed against the Jurassic ~~rocks~~limestones by the fault at this site (Fig. 4;
Buser, 2009). We did not find any outcrop of the calcarenites near our trench site, but we encountered weathered and deformed
calcarenites in the trench. We will describe these rocks in detail in section 4.1.4. These observations indicate that the fault must
run between the road outcrop and the trench site.

Figure 4: Geological map of the Predjama Fault trench site. Modified from the 1:250.000 geological map of Buser (2009). Same extent as the inlet in Fig. 2.

4.1.2 Geomorphological observations

At the trench site we observed a ~~prominent~~ break in slope on a gently NE-dipping meadow (Fig. ~~3d f~~56). The break in slope
is detectable for ~200 m (Fig. 6a). To the NW and to the SE of our trench site, the scarp disappears in the steep and forested
terrain. Topographic profiles extracted from the 1 m LiDAR DEM and a 5 cm drone DEM reveal a systematic vertical offset
of ~0.5 m on an uphill-facing scarp. In some, but not all places the scarp coincides with tracks used by the local farmers.
Following the argument of Copley et al. (2018) the break in slope is unlikely to be caused by a dirt road (Fig. 6b). In case the
break in slope was solely caused by a dirt road, the break in slope would be local only. However, we find a systematic offset
of the entire slope. Slovenian cadastre data from the early 19th century show no road, property boundary, or any other artificial
feature at the location of the scarp. The area was the scene of the 12th Battle of the Isonzo in 1917. Here, the Italian defence
lines were running ~N-S just before the attack of the Austria-Hungarian armies on 24 October (Glaise von Horstenau, 1932).

The Regional Archives Nova Gorica (Pokrajinski arhiv v Novi Gorici, https://www.pa-ng.si/) holds no aerial photographs from World War I from our trenching area, but photos from nearby places on the Banjšice Plateau show intense modification of the surface by military trenches and bomb craters. We carefully inspected the available high-resolution DEMs but did not find any hints for military action or installations that left their traces in the morphology. However, Valjavec et al. (2018) found that post-war landscape modifications have erased many traces of World War I in Slovenia. We also inspected the LiDAR data and the drone DEMs for evidence of landsliding or soil creep but did not find any such feature. The straight trace of the scarp, the systematic offset of the entire meadow, the lack of evidence for anthropogenic modification, and the fact that the scarp coincides with the mapped fault trace led us to interpret it as the surface expression of the Predjama Fault and to focus our geophysical prospection on this site.

4.1.3 Geophysics survey

We used GPR, ERT, and magnetic measurements on parallel profiles perpendicular to the scarp in order to check the subsurface conditions and to select the most promising trench site (Fig. 47). Georadar did not provide good data quality, which during trenching turned out to be due to highly conductive clayey sediments present near the surface. The geomagnetic data showed neither hints for the fault nor for metal objects in the ground, which indicates the lack of potentially dangerous war remains. The dipole-dipole ERT data with 1 m electrode spacing show mainly resistivities of less than 70 Ωm throughout all profiles (Fig. 74). We interpret these low values as water-saturated, mainly clayey sediments. North of the break in slope we found a ~1 m thick layer with higher resistivities right below the surface in all profiles. Profiles 1 - 5 show values of 100-200 Ωm for this layer; profiles 6-10 show values of up to 400 Ωm. These values likely correspond to a drier topsoil in the northern part of the profiles. ~~Due to the north-dipping slope and the high trees at the margin of the meadow, the southern part of the profiles is shaded much longer than the northern part. This may explain the differences in soil humidity.~~This may be due to the greater thickness of clayey units in the northern parts, as we will see in the next section. In profile 4 - 10 we observe another anomaly with increased resistivities at about 4 m depth just north of the break in slope. Based on the findings in the trenches (Fig. 58, supplement 1-4), we interpret this anomaly as calcarenites and limestones that were brought closer to the surface by the Predjama Fault. High resistivities were also encountered in profiles 6 - 9 at ~3 m depth and below in the southernmost parts of the survey, which ~~we assume to~~ presumably correspond to bedrock (~~also~~ see trench logs in the next section). Paleoseismic trenching took place after the geophysical survey and helped to ~~better understand~~interpret the resistivity pattern. However, prior to trenching the significant variations in resistivity below the break in slope lead us to conclude that we found the trace of the fault and that the site is suitable for further investigation. The break in slope does not always perfectly align with the resistivity contrasts at depth (Fig. 7). It is located a few meters to the north of the scarp in some (e.g., profile 8), but not all profiles (e.g., profile 10). Given that the Predjama Fault forms a ~30 m wide fault zone in fault outcrops nearby (Fig. 5d), it is not surprising that the geophysical anomalies do not always perfectly align with the scarp. The resistivity contrast is not only visible in single profiles, but it is a consistent feature that can be traced for at least 60 m in consecutive profiles. The close

spatial relation between the scarp and the geophysical anomalies indicates that we rightly located the Predjama Fault. We

255   chose the location of profiles 5 and 7 to open two trenches (Figs. 47, 58; supplement 1-4).

Figure 53: ~~Investigation sites at~~ Quarries along the Predjama Fault, see Fig. 2 for location. Both quarries exhibit Jurassic coralliferous limestones. **(a)** At the southern strand of the fault, quarry 1 (N46.0299° E13.7632°) exhibits E-W lineaments with right-lateral shear sense on a fault plane dipping steeply to the SW. **(b)** Larger section of the striated surface in quarry 1. **(c)** Large SW-dipping fault planes are present at the northern fault strand in quarry 2 (N46.0506° E13.7485°). Slickensides show almost horizontal right-lateral motion. **(d)** In quarry 2, the shear zone is ca. 30 m wide. ~~A break in slope offsets the trench site. The red dashed lines show ~0.5 m vertical offset. (e) NW view of the trench site (also see Fig. 4 for location). The red dashed line marks the~~

260

265 location of the topographic profile in (f). Trench 1 was opened across this profile. (f) Topographic profile from a drone DEM with 5 cm resolution, illustrating the systematic offset of the slope and the uphill-facing scarp.

(a) 1 m LiDAR DEM

(b) Road/track: local break in slope

Tectonic: entire slope offset

(c) trench site

(d) trench site view to NW

Predjama Fault

(e) Topographic profile from 5 cm drone DEM

Elevation [m]

break in slope ~0.5 m vertical offset

Distance along profile [m]

270

Figure 6: Morphology of the Predjama Fault at our trench site. (a) An uphill facing break in slope can be traced for ~200 m (white arrows; 1 m DEM LiDAR hillshade is illuminated from the NE in this figure only). (b) Conceptual sketch illustrating the difference between a tectonic scarp and a local break in slope due to road/track construction (Copley et al., 2018). (c) A break in slope offsets the trench site. The red dashed lines show ~0.5 m vertical offset. (d) NW view of the trench site (also see Fig. 6 for location). The red dashed line marks the location of the topographic profile in (e). Trench 1 was opened across this profile. (e) Topographic profile from a drone DEM with 5 cm resolution, illustrating the systematic offset of the slope and the uphill-facing scarp. This DEM is available at www.opentopography.org.

Figure 74: Map of the trench site at the Predjama Fault and ERT data. (a). Black arrows mark the location of geophysical profiles (ERT, GPR, magnetics). Additional GPR and magnetics data were collected further to the NW. Seven iterations were used to invert the dipole-dipole ERT data. The hillshade is based on the 1 m LiDAR DEM. **(b).** The ERT data show abrupt changes in resistivity below the break in slope, interpreted as the Predjama Fault trace (red dashed line). The absolute error describes the misfit between the data and the best-fit inverse model.

4.1.4 Paleoseismic trenching

Trench 1 was 10 m long and on average 1.5 m deep (Fig. 8~~5~~). Deeper excavation was prohibited by the shallow groundwater level. We distinguished seven units in both trench walls. Since both trench walls show comparable features, we use the north-western trench wall for the detailed description in the following.

285 Unit U1 at the base of the trench consist~~ed~~s of ~~clast supported, intensely fractured and~~ weathered calcarenites in a clayey matrix. The rocks are intensely fractured; coherent bedding is only occasionally visible. While the U1 is mainly clast-supported in the first 4 m of the trench, the clayey matrix dominates the upper parts of the unit between 5 and 8 m. There is no sharp boundary between the clast supported and the matrix supported zones in the central part of the trench. However, the large amounts of fractured calcarenites there and the relationship to unit U2 require to map it as U1. ~~in a clayey matrix.~~ Occasionally,

290 larg~~er~~e and ~~more~~ coherent, but also intensely fractured blocks of calcarenites ~~were encountered~~ are present in the upper parts of ~~this unit~~U1. Because of their distinct appearance we mapped them as ~~(~~unit U2~~)~~. Both units show a dip towards the NE, parallel to the slope. On top of U1 and U2 sits a thin layer of ~~massive~~ compact grey clay, unit U3. It is overlain by a thin red ribbon of sandy clay (unit U4), that mainly consists of weathered calcarenites. Both U3 and U4 dip to the NE. We interpret Units U1-U4 as a sequence of intensely weathered bedrock.

295 Most of the upper part of the trench is made up of massive brown clays (unit U5). The contact between U4 and U5 is sharp. Where U5 is in contact with U4, it contains small amounts of sand and fine gravels as well as charcoals. Small (fine gravel) calcarenite and limestone clasts are present throughout U5 in small amounts. Thus, U5 ~~is~~cannot ~~not just the product of~~merely be in-situ weathered bedrock, but ~~it also involves~~ is likely a mixture of weathered bedrock and slope deposits. This interpretation is supported by the observation that around 5 m in the trench U5 is in contact with U1. Here the units U3 and

300 U4 appear as lenses within U5, although they overlay U1 and U2 in the southwestern part of the trench. Thus, U5 seems to include both weathered bedrock as well as slope deposits. However, it was not possible to map out these different zones because of the homogeneous appearance of the entire unit. The pale, bleached clay unit U6 with up to 30 cm thickness occurs just beneath the recent soil (unit U7). We note that unit U6 is not necessarily a sedimentary body, but the distinct pale appearance may be due to post-depositional modification by increased water content, or it may result from compaction due to

305 the occasional use of the track by the farmers.

No sharp fault trace was found in the trench. Instead, we observe a zone of localised deformation at around 4-5 m distance from the southern end of the trench.

Unit U1 can be easily identified in the first five metres of the trench. Around 5 m distance from the southern end of the trench, this unit ~~appears to~~ bend~~s~~ down together with the overlying units U3 and U4. In a 40 cm-wide gap unit U5 reaches the bottom

310 of the trench. Unit U1 then occurs again between 5 - 6 m and between 6 - 8 m with up to 0.6 m thickness. It becomes gradually more weathered upwards and the contact with unit U5 is not sharp. The larger blocks of intact calcarenites that make up unit U2 are present throughout the trench and show no deformation.

Unit U3 is only visible in the southern section of the trench for about 2 m. It has a more constant thickness of 10 cm in the SE wall of the trench and varies between 10 cm and 20 cm thickness in the NW trench wall. While this unit parallels the top of

315 unit U1 for about 2 m from its southern end, it then bends down and dips steeply towards the NE beneath the break in slope. Here we observe small folds in the tip of the layer.

A similar feature is observed in unit U4, which also parallels U1, but then bends downward ~~into a~~ and becomes folded~~steeply dipping plunging fold~~. Here we identified small horizontal faults with <5 cm offset cutting the layer. The tip of the unit flattens again to almost the same dip angle as its southern part, with a vertical offset of 30 cm. The few coarser grains at the contact

320 between U4 and U5 show that also the lowermost part of U5 bends down parallel to U4.

Two scenarios could explain the observations in this trench, non-tectonic or tectonic processes. In the non-tectonic scenario, the shape of U3 and U4 as well as the vertical offset in units U1 and U2 could be due to shallow seated, slope-parallel motion, combined with phases of pedogenic development above the bedrock units and perhaps additional oblique run-off processes. An argument in favour of this scenario is the lack of a sharp fault zone in the trench and the fact that vertical motion is not

325 expected on the strike-slip Predjama Fault. Arguments against this view are (i) the lack of evidence for slope-parallel motion in the trench, such as shallow sliding planes, (ii) the problem that the vertical separation of units U1 and U2 is not easily to be explained with gravitational processes only, because the downslope side is uplifted, and (iii) the absence of evidence for run-off processes or slope-parallel motion in the two high-quality DEMs (1 m LiDAR and drone DEM). The slope is very smooth apart from the scarp, there are no landslide scars or 'wrinkles' that may point to the toes of slides etc. Since the sediments are

330 of Holocene age, we might expect to see such features in the morphology. Furthermore, although prevailing vertical motion is not expected, a vertical component of slip can be due to an irregular, bending fault trace. For the purpose of the national seismic hazard model, Atanackov et al. (2021) estimated a rake of the Predjama Fault between 140°-180°.

The bending, the folding, and the faulting observed in ~~this layer~~ the trench ~~coupled with geomorphological and geophysical observations led us to~~ could be interpret~~ed the deformation~~ as tectonically induced (scenario 2). This interpretation is supported

335 by the observation that U3 and U4 are intensely deformed in a relatively narrow zone, across which a vertical separation of U1 and U2 is observed. This zone at around 5 m would then represent the fault. This view is strengthened by the geomorphological and geophysical observations.

A sample of organic material from the contact between U4 and U5, sample ~~SLO19~~SLO18_BAN3, gave an age of 8406-8311 cal BP (Table 1). Note that we sampled a fragment of charcoal, but during sample preparation in the lab it turned out to be too

340 small, but it was possible to date the organic sediment around it.

(a) Predjama Fault, trench 1, NW-wall

SW

NE

SLO18_BAN3
8406 - 8311 cal BP

SLO18_BAN4
3695 - 3565 cal BP

SLO18_BAN2
7795 - 7669 cal BP

U7
U5
U6
U5
U1
U2
U3
U4
U1
U1
U1
U5
U7
U5

U1	fractured calcarenite	U4	weathered calcarenites	U7	recent soil
U2	compact calcarenite	U5	brown clay	⊗	charcoal ¹⁴C sample
U3	compact grey clay	U6	dark brown humid clays	⊗	bulk ¹⁴C sample

(b) Predjama Fault, trench 1, SE-wall

SW

NE

SLO18_BAN6
12976 - 12727 cal BP

SLO18_BAN7
800 - 694 cal BP

U5
U7
U4
U3
U2
U6
U5
U1
U1
U2
U1
U5
U7

Figure 85: Paleoseismic trench 1 across the Predjama Fault on the Banjšice Plateau. (a) NW-wall of the trench. The fault crosses the trench at ~5 m distance. Note the folded units U3 and U4. (b) SE-wall of the trench. The fault crosses the trench between 4 m and 5 m distance.

345

Unit U5 shows no hints for tectonic deformation except at the contact with U4, but the massive plastic clays may simply obscure any hints for faulting or folding. We dated a bulk sediment sample (~~SLO19~~SLO18_BAN2) from 10 cm above U4. This sample gave an age of 7795-7669 cal BP. A charcoal sample (~~SLO19~~SLO18_BAN4) from right below U6 provided an age of 3695-3565 cal BP. All these ages are in stratigraphic order. On the opposite trench wall, we also dated U5 with a bulk sample (~~SLO19~~SLO18_BAN6) that returned an age of 12976-12727 cal BP and a stratigraphically higher charcoal sample (~~SLO19~~SLO18_BAN7) that showed an age of 800-694 cal BP. These two samples are significantly older and younger than those of U5 on the NW trench wall, respectively.

Unit U6 can only be observed for around 4 m on either trench wall. ~~Its pale colour and the position right below the break in slope and above the zone of folding lead us to interpret it as a sag pond post dating tectonic deformation. Alternatively, i~~It probably represents ~~could be~~ compacted soil resulting from the occasional use of the break in slope as a dirt road. Its age is constrained by samples ~~SLO19~~SLO18_BAN4 and ~~SLO19~~SLO18_BAN7 to post-800 cal BP. The full high-resolution trench orthophotos are presented in the supplement.

Trench 2 exhibited a different stratigraphy. Here, we observed massive brown and grey clays beneath the modern soil, but no calcarenites. Instead, the base of the trench at ~2 m depth was made up in its southern part by limestone clasts in a brown clayey matrix. Attempts to excavate deeper than 2 m were prohibited by the groundwater level. A possible fault zone in the centre of the trench is marked by a colour change in the clays and the termination of the limestone clast layer. However, the uniform clays and the lack of clearly deformed markers led us to not speculate about evidence for faulting in the trench. A high-resolution orthophoto of both trench walls is provided in the supplement.

4.2 Idrija Fault

The Idrija Fault trench site is located 4.5 km NW of the town of Idrija, in the valley of the Kanomljica River (Fig. 2). Here, the fault juxtaposes Upper Triassic against Lower-Middle Triassic rocks, mainly dolomites (Fig. 9; Mlakar and Čar, 2010). Cunningham et al. (2006) noted that several drainage anomalies around the Kapa hill just to the SW of our trench site point to Late Quaternary or even Holocene fault motion (Figs. ~~6~~9, 10). Bavec et al. (2012, 2013) chose the river valley at the SW foot of the Kapa hill for a geophysics study and for a paleoseismological trench. Moulin et al. (2014) analysed the geomorphology in detail and reported between 340 m and 380 m of right-lateral offset based on the correlation of the modern Kanomljica river and abandoned valleys. The precise trace of the Idrija Fault in the vicinity of our trench site is subject to some debate (Fig. 10~~6~~; also see Moulin et al., 2014). The NW flank of the Kapa hill exhibits a wide shear zone with (ultra-)cataclastic rocks. This wide shear zone is in line with the observation that the Idrija Fault has a large cumulative offset (Čar, 2010; Placer et al., 2010). The trace of the fault in the wide valley of the Kanomljica is obscured by flat-lying fluvial sediments. Outcrops on the north side of the valley show that at least one fault strand clearly runs along the base of the hills (Moulin et al., 2014).

Figure 9: Geological map of the trench site at the Idrija Fault. Simplified from Mlakar and Čar (2010). Same extent as the inset in Fig. 2.

380

Figure <s>10</s>6: Map of the trench site at the Idrija Fault and selected ERT data. (a) Setting of the trench site and mapped traces of the Idrija Fault after Cunningham et al. (2006), Bavec et al. (2013), and Moulin et al. (2014). The precise fault trace is unknown in the flood plain of the Kanomljica River. (b) Detailed view of the location of all geophysical profiles used for trench site selection. (c) ERT profiles 1, 3, and 4 that were crucial for trench site location. Red dashed line indicates the interpreted fault trace. All data show

385 **dipole-dipole configuration with ten iterations in the inversion.** The absolute error describes the misfit between the data and the best-fit inverse model. In (a) and (b) the hillshade is based on the 1m LiDAR DEM.

4.2.1 Geophysics survey

We concentrated our geophysical investigations on the flat area of the Kanomljica valley because we expected to find deformed
390 sediments in case the fault ruptured the surface in the Late Quaternary (Fig. ~~6~~10). Additional surveys were performed on the southern bank of the Kanomljica. The geomagnetics survey was not successful. Not only did the data quality suffer from the presence of power lines and other anthropogenic disturbances, but also in areas not affected by these effects we could not identify a signal pointing to a change in the subsurface structure. Georadar data suffered partly from low penetration depths owing to clay-rich sediments and a low groundwater table. Although some information on the geometry of the fluvial units
395 could be extracted, we did not see any clear evidence for faulting that would have allowed for picking a promising trench site. The ERT profiles on the flat surface north of the Kanomljica showed the clearest hints for the location of the Idrija Fault. For these profiles we used 3 m electrode spacing in order to reach great depths (Fig. ~~6~~10). The uppermost part of the subsurface is made up of a medium-resistivity layer (200-700 Ωm) of about 3-5 m thickness, which likely corresponds to the sediment fill of the valley. In the trenches that we opened after the geophysics campaign we found that the sediments are dominantly coarse
400 fluvial gravels, and that the groundwater table is below 2 m depth. This explains the observed range of resistivities.
Below the medium-resistivity layer, the central parts of the profiles are characterised by an up to 30 m thick low-resistivity unit (<200 Ωm). The western parts of the profiles, however, show high resistivity units of more than 700 Ωm. The contact between the two is sharp and mostly vertical. In profiles 1 and 4, the contact is at 69 m profile distance. In profile 3, the contact is at 60 m. We interpret the low resistivity unit as the crushed bedrock of the wide fault zone and the high-resistivities as the
405 intact, non-faulted bedrock. This is supported by our field observations of cataclastic rocks along the mapped and inferred fault trace. Furthermore, Bavec et al. (2012) found the same pattern in their geoelectric profiles SE of Kappa Hill. Their trench (Bavec et al., 2013) confirmed the low resistivity of the faulted bedrock. These authors also found the active fault strand at the contact between the units of high and low resistivities. Consequently, we interpret the contact between the high-and low-resistivity units as the location of the fault. This contact lies in the projection of the inferred SW fault strand of Bavec et al.
410 (2012). In the eastern parts of the three profiles presented in Fig. 6, sharp contacts between the low resistivity units in the centre and medium to high resistivities (300-800 Ωm) further east can be seen. These zones are located at 170 m, 150 m, and 160 m distance in profile 1, 3, and 4, respectively. The contacts do not align as well as the ones in the western part of our survey area, and they are located approximately in the projection of the NE fault trace (Fig. ~~6~~10; Bavec et al., 2012). A power line was present above these parts of the profiles, which may have distorted the measurements here. However, we interpret
415 this pattern as the NE edge of the inner fault zone following the reasoning above.

4.2.2 Paleoseismic trenching

Based on the ERT data we decided to open a trench across the sharp contact between the high resistivity units and the low resistivity units in the western part of the survey area (Fig. 610). The trench was 20 m long, 2 m deep, 2 m wide, and placed on the track of profile 1. We did not dig any deeper because the nearby Kanomljica stream incised about 2 m into the flat
420 valley bottom, and the groundwater table was located just beneath the trench floor. In the following we only describe the central part of the trench where we encountered traces of recent deformation. The full high-resolution trench orthophotos are presented in the supplement.

In general, the trench is characterised by fine to coarse gravel deposits. In the centre of the trench, a channel exhibits clayey to sandy units. In the north wall, the channel can be seen between 5.5 m and 12.5 m distance, reaching down to the trench floor
425 (Fig. 117a). In the southern trench wall, the channel is observed between 4 m and 14 m distance in up to 1 m depth (Fig. 171b). We observed eleven different units in the trench. Unit U1 consists of clast-supported, fine-coarse fluvial gravels in a brown clayey matrix. This unit is present throughout the entire trench. Unit U2 is made up of mostly medium gravels in a bright red, clayey matrix. This layer is situated within unit U1 and only visible in the northern wall. Fine to coarse gravels in a light red clay matrix (unit U3) can be found as pockets in both trench walls within U1. At the base of the channel, we identified U4,
430 consisting of sandy silt with yellow flakes. This unit is in vertical contact with massive grey clays (unit U5) and overlain by yellowish clayey gravels (unit U6). In the southern trench wall, U4 partly overlies U5, which may indicate that it has eroded into the latter. Only in the northern trench wall, a small pocket of grey clayey sands (unit U7) is present at the contact between U1 and U8/U10. In the southern trench wall, silty-sandy, dark grey unit U9 cuts through units U5 and U8. Both units U4 and U6 terminate at U9. Unit U9 is overlain by the darkest parts of U10, to which there is no sharp boundary.

435 Unit U10 consist of gravels in a matrix of grey clays. This unit overlies U7 in the northern trench wall and units U5 and U6 in the southern trench wall. The upper part of the channels is made up by ~~massive grey and brown clays of~~ unit U10. The lowest part of U10 is dark and rich in organics and made up of sandy clays. It changes upwards into massive grey and brownish clays with a minor gravel component. It is not possible to draw a sharp boundary between the dark, sandy clays and the greyish-brownish clays with gravel because the transition is gradual. However, these deposits must be mapped as one single unit
440 because it clearly covers all the other fine and coarse units in the trench and because the internal changes are so gradual. We found white speckles at the base of U10 between 7 m and 9 m distance (N wall) and between 11 m and 12 m distance in the southern trench wall. Unit U10 is overlain by the recent soil (unit U11).

We interpret most of the units in the northern trench as undisturbed fluvial sediments. Between 8 m and 9 m distance, however, we observe several features that point to tectonically induced deformation. Units U4 and U6 show vertical terminations at their
445 eastern ends, where they are in contact with U5. A charcoal sample dates U5 to 2140 - 1987 cal BP. The base of U5 fills the space between larger blocks (Fig. 117c). It remains unclear whether this resembles a fissure fill, because of the lack of horizontally layered, fine-grained material here. Two bulk sediment samples from these lowest parts of U5 returned radiocarbon ages of 2645 - 2489 cal BP and 1528 - 1376 cal BP, respectively. Next to the base of U5 we encountered vertically

aligned pebbles (Fig. 117c). This is the only occasion of aligned pebbles in the entire trench. Right above these pebbles sits a

450   large (~40 cm diameter) clast that is intensely fractured, but not offset. The cracks have no preferred orientation, and their surfaces show slightly different colours than those of fresh hand specimens that we hammered off the clast. The fractures were clearly not caused by the excavator. This is the only fractured clast that we have found in the trench. U5 is in the northern wall overlain by U10, which was dated to 2307 - 2056 cal BP and 492 - 315 cal BP by two charcoal fragments. Unit U8 resembles a pocket of coarse material emplaced into the clays of U10. We did not encounter any faults that bound U8, but we note its

455   suspicious position above the aligned pebbles and the fractured clast.

Figure 7̶11: Results from the Idrija Fault trench at Srednja Kanomlja. (a) Orthophoto and trench log of the northern trench wall. (b) Orthophoto and trench log of the southern trench wall. Note that the photo and log have been flipped for easier comparison with the northern wall. (c) Close-up photo of the fault zone in the northern trench wall. Note the vertically terminated layers and the aligned pebbles below the massive, fractured clast. (d) Close-up photo of the fault zone and the fissure fill observed in the southern trench wall.

In the southern trench wall, only a narrow zone shows evidence for tectonic deformation between 11 and 12 m distance. Similar to the north wall, we observe vertical terminations of units U4 and U6. The most striking feature is the organic-rich, dark grey U9. This unit is funnel-shaped and extends from the base of U10 about 1 m downwards. It is in vertical contact with U4 and
465   U6, and it cuts through U5 and U8 (Fig. 711d). We interpret U9 as a fissure fill, with the filling material sourced from the base of U10. Unit U10 is the only one in the trench that also yields this dark layer rich in organics. This indicates that the fissure was filled from above and later became covered by the thick clays that make up the top part of unit U10.

If the fissure were instead a sand dyke that propagated upwards due to seismic shaking as opposed to surface rupture, we should see the source material of the dark fissure fill at its base, which is not the case. Furthermore, there is no evidence for
470   liquefaction or soft sediment deformation structures elsewhere in the trench. These features may include flame structures, warped layers, mushroom structures, pseudonodules, broken layers, ball-and-pillow structures etc., but none of them were observed here (also see the high-resolution photomosaics in the supplement). For liquefaction to occur, a sequence of liquefiable, water-saturated layers is needed, but in our case the base of the trench exhibited coarse high-energy deposits. Our geophysics data hint at a rather thin sediment layer above fractured bedrock only. A similar observation was reported by Bavec
475   et al. (2013) from their nearby trench site. We excavated the fissure U9 further into the trench wall to check if it may be due to a large root, but we found that the feature is elongated and not round as it would be typical for roots. A bulk sediment sample from the fissure fill returned a radiocarbon age of 2378 - 2306 cal BP. A charcoal fragment from U5 was dated to 2067 - 1924 cal BP. At the base of U10 we collected a charcoal that gave an age of 2440 - 2315 cal BP.

Table 1: Summary of all dated samples. Probability was calculated after Bronk Ramsey (2009). Calibration was done with
480   **INTCAL13 (Reimer et al., 2013).**

Sample ID	Fault	Trench	Material	d^{13}C	Conventional age	Calibrated age (Probability)
SLO18_SK2	Idrija	S wall	Charcoal	-25.3 o/oo	2040 +/- 30 BP	2067 - 1924 cal BP (88.1%) 2111 - 2080 cal BP (6.8%) 1907 - 1904 cal BP (0.5%)
SLO18_SK6	Idrija	S wall	Charcoal	-	2340 +/- 30 BP	2440 - 2315 cal BP (94.5%) 2456 - 2449 cal BP (0.9%)
SLO18_SK8	Idrija	N wall	Organic sediment	-	1550 +/- 30 BP	1528 - 1376 cal BP (95.4%)
SLO18_SK9	Idrija	N wall	Organic sediment	-27.4 o/oo	2510 +/- 30 BP	2645 - 2489 cal BP (67.7%) 2740 - 2650 cal BP (27.7%)
SLO18_SK10	Idrija	N wall	Charcoal	-25.4 o/oo	2080 +/- 30 BP	2140 - 1987 cal BP (94.5%) 1958 - 1952 cal BP (0.9 %)
SLO18_SK11	Idrija	N wall	Charcoal	-24.9 o/oo	350 +/- 30 BP	412 - 315 cal BP (54.2%)

						492 - 420 cal BP (41.2%)
SLO18_SK13	Idrija	N wall	Charcoal	-24.5 o/oo	2160 +/- 30 BP	2208 - 2056 cal BP (55.4%)
						2307 - 2228 cal BP (40%)
SLO18_SK14	Idrija	S wall	Organic sediment	-28.3 o/oo	2320 +/- 30 BP	2378 - 2306 cal BP (89.9%)
						2235 - 2184 cal BP (5.5%)
SLO18_BAN2	Predjama	T1, NW wall	Organic Sediment	-24.0 o/oo	6900 +/- 30 BP	7795 - 7669 cal BP (95.4%)
SLO18_BAN3	Predjama	T1, NW wall	Organic sediment	-	7530 +/- 30 BP	8406 - 8311 cal BP (93.9%)
						8235 - 8221 cal BP (1.5%)
SLO18_BAN4	Predjama	T1, NW wall	Charcoal	-23.2 o/oo	3380 +/- 30 BP	3695 - 3565 cal BP (95.4%)
SLO18_BAN6	Predjama	T1, SE wall	Organic Sediment	-23.8 o/oo	10980 +/- 40 BP	12976 - 12727 cal BP (95.4%)
SLO18_BAN7	Predjama	T1, SE wall	Charcoal	-24.5 o/oo	860 +/- 30 BP	800 - 694 cal BP (83.5%)
						901 - 866 cal BP (9.9%)
						826 - 814 cal BP (2%)

5 Discussion

5.1 Earthquakes on the Predjama Fault

The combination of geomorphological data, geophysical prospection, and pPaleoseismological trenching at the Predjama Fault

485   provided evidence that could be interpreted reveals that as Holocene activity, because the boundary between the sediments and weathered bedrock (units U4 and U5) is deformed. Thus, the deformation can be interpreted to post-date the overlying sedimentsthe Predjama Fault has been active in the Holocene. Alternatively, slope processes and pedogenesis could have led to the features observed in the trench. Combined with geomorphological data and geophysical prospection tTwo main observations allow deducing support the view in favour of a recent surface-rupturing seismic event: (i) the uphill-facing scarp

490   and (ii) the folded and faulted layers in the trench. The detectable break in slope is rather short (~200 m), but it perfectly matches the mapped fault trace, it is located right above the geophysical evidence of faulting, and an anthropogenic origin is as unlikely as a mass movement (cf. Copley et al., 2018). Notably, the break in slope was used as a local track for agricultural purpose, but we can exclude the possibility that it solely represents a road (Figs. 6b, c, e). The bend in the trace of the Predjama Fault at our trench site (Fig. 2, inset) leads to a locally transpressive regime, which allows for significant vertical offsets.

495   Moulin et al. (2016) also describe the long-term vertical offset along the Predjama Fault between our trench site and the

Čepovan Canyon. However, our structural data, long-term offsets at the edge of the Čepovan Canyon (Moulin et al., 2016), and vertically aligned microseismicity below the fault trace (Vičič et al., 2019) show that the fault is predominantly, albeit not purely, strike-slip.

In addition to the morphological evidence, the trench (Fig. 58) exhibits features that could be interpreted as fault-perpendicular shortening. In the northern trench wall, we observe a vertical step of ~0.4 m in unit U1 between 4 m and 5.5 m distance (north side up, same as the scarp). In the southern trench wall, this step is harder to measure because unit U1 does not have a sharp boundary. The offset of unit U2 is the better marker here and indicates ~0.6 m of vertical offset between 4 m and 6 m distance. The downward bending of U3, U4, and at least the lower part of U5 as well as the vertical offset in U1 and U2 shows that the SW block went down with respect to the NE part of the trench. However, in such a setting one might expect a drag fold geometry. In our trench we observe the opposite. This could either indicate that non-tectonic processes were involved in the deformation or that an unknown amount of lateral motion has contributed to the observed geometry. In the first case, it is hard to imagine a process that would lead to such a configuration and to also result in a ~200 m-long scarp. Lateral motion, however, can juxtapose units that were originally located at different depths and lead to complicated deformation patterns as a result of transpression. Our data do not allow further speculation on the cause. The location of the deformation zone se observations fits very well with the presence of the sag pond deposits (U6) on top of the deformation zone, which we interpret to have formed after the last uplift event. IfUnit U6 is only most likely compacted and more humid soil due to the occasional use of the break in slope as a dirt road,; it is likely that the local farmers might have tooaken advantage of thise morphological scarp. The offsets also fit with the height of the scarp as seen in the morphology, which speaks against non-tectonic processes as the cause. Both trench walls show folding, minor-scale faulting, and a downward bend of the prominent reddish marker horizon, unit U4.

The observed deformation cannot could also be explained by shallow seated mass movements or soil creep. Pedogenic development above the bedrock units could have contributed to the apparent deformation, perhaps aided by oblique run-off processeswith soil creep, mass movements, or anthropogenic modification. However, there is no geomorphological observation that would support this view. The geophysics show that there is a fault zone beneath the trench site, although this does not necessarily mean that it caused the deformation in the trench. Although we cannot pin down the exact fault trace, the sharp breaks in unit U4 and the sag pond deposits point to rapid shortening. We argue that there are better arguments in favour of tectonic deformation, and therefore conclude that that the fault has had the deformation has been caused by at least one recent surface-rupturing earthquake. The lack of clearly identifiable, thin sedimentary layers makes it impossible to decide whether only one event or several earthquakes were recorded in the trench, so the simplest model that can explain all observations is a single event.

5.2 Dating of the Predjama Fault earthquake(s)

In this section we only consider the tectonic interpretation of the trenching results. In the northern trench wall, all three radiocarbon samples are in stratigraphic order (Fig. 8, table 1). The stratigraphically lowest sample from U4, sample

SLO18_BAN3, was submitted as a charcoal, but in the ~~lab~~lab, it turned out that the sample size was very small and that only the surrounding organic sediment could be treated. This sample, however, gave an age of 8406 - 8311 ka cal BP (table 1). Bulk sample SLO18_BAN2 is located just a few centimetres above U4 and returned a slightly younger age of 7795 - 7669 cal BP. Taken together, these two samples indicate that the deformation happened after ~8.4 ka, because U4 is deformed. Charcoal sample SLO18Ban_4 has an age of 3695 - 3565 cal BP and immediately pre-dates the formation of the ~~sag pond~~ U6.

In the southern trench wall, a bulk sample from unit U5 close to the deformation zone gave an age of 12976 - 12727 cal BP (SLO18_BAN6). The stratigraphically higher sample SLO18_BAN7 (charcoal) is much younger with an age of 800 - 694 cal BP. While samples SLO18_BAN2 and SLO18_BAN3 are consistent, sample SLO18_BAN6 is much older than those two but should give a similar age. This can either be explained by the inherent large uncertainties related to the dating of bulk samples (e.g., Wang et al., 1996; Howarth et al., 2018; Langridge et al., 2020), or by the (unknown) lateral component of fault motion that juxtaposes units of different age. Likewise, the two charcoal samples SLO18_BAN4 and SLO18_BAN7 are 3 k~~a~~a apart, although they were expected to give similar ages. One explanation is that the older sample has a residence time issue, that is, the charcoal could stem from an old tree and it could have rested somewhere upslope before deposition in the present-day location (see discussion in Zachariasen et al., 2006). Another explanation is again the unknown lateral component of fault motion. Post-glacial pedogenic processes might be responsible for the widely scattered bulk radiocarbon ages, but this does not apply to the dated charcoals. The most conservative approach for bracketing the age of the observed deformation is to use the oldest and the youngest samples, which would place the earthquake(s) between 13 - 0.7 ka. However, the good agreement of the samples in the northern trench wall leads us to speculate that the deformation actually happened after 8.4 ka. The young age of sample SLO18_BAN7 could explain why the scarp is still preserved.

5.2 Earthquake magnitude on the Predjama Fault

The fact that the scarp is relatively short may hint to a localised surface rupture, but it is more likely that the scarp is eroded or anthropogenically overprinted to the NW and to the SE of our trench site. Recent examples have shown that even earthquakes with magnitudes below M5.5 can cause surface ruptures, for example, the 2019 M_W4.9 Le Teil earthquake (Ritz et al., 2020) or the 2010 M_W5.0 Ecuador event (Champenois et al., 2017). Usually, these have small offsets and surface rupture lengths of a few hundred metres to several kilometres. The scarp at the Predjama Fault has a vertical offset of 0.5 m and a length of 200 m only, which is much higher than the slip rupture length ratios reported from the literature (e.g., Wells and Coppersmith, 1994). There are rare cases, however, in which unusually short but high scarps have been observed (e.g, the 1992 M_S7.3 Suusamyr earthquake; Ghose et al., 1997). We rather use the offset than the length of the scarp for estimating paleo-earthquake magnitude.

The Predjama Fault is dominantly strike-slip (Fig. 3), but the lack of suitable markers hampers measuring the horizontal offset. Therefore, the observed 0.5 m of offset must be taken as a minimum value. The empirical relationship of Wells and Coppersmith (1994) for the maximum displacement on all types of faults results in a magnitude of about M_W6.4 for 0.5 m offset. Using the self-consistent relationships of Leonard (2010) for strike-slip faults result in M_W6.3. Wells and Coppersmith's

5.3 Earthquakes on the Idrija Fault

Our ERT data show that the strong vertical resistivity contrasts are situated along strike of the mapped Idrija Fault trace, and we found deformation structures at this very location in the paleoseismological trench. This leads us to conclude that we rightly identified the recently active fault trace. Four main observations from the trench are evidence for earthquake faulting in the Holocene: (i) the filled fissure, (ii) the vertical terminations of fluvial sediments, (iii) vertically aligned pebbles, and (iv) a large, fractured clast. These four features were all found in a narrow zone that aligns with the mapped fault trace.

The fissure in the southern trench wall cuts through units U5 and U8. Units U4 and U6 terminate at the fissure. This geometry cannot be explained by sedimentary processes. The termination of layers in the southern trench wall probably results from lateral shifts of sedimentary bodies due to strike-slip motion on the fault. The fill consists of dark organic material that is also present above the fissure at the base of unit U10. Therefore, we interpret that an open crack formed due to faulting in a strong earthquake, and that the material present at the surface fell in and filled the crack relatively quickly. We consider it unlikely that the fissure was caused by lateral spread or a failure of the riverbanks, perhaps due to seismic shaking, because the fissure is only localised and lacks a sliding horizon at its base. Also, no hints for liquefaction were observed. The trench lacks any evidence for typical secondary soft sediment deformation structures such as flame structures, warped layers, mushroom structures, pseudonodules, broken layers, or ball-and-pillow structures. Recent examples of lateral spread show extensive cracks with large offsets that occur due to the shaking and often also in combination with liquefaction (e.g., Papathanassiou and Pavlides, 2007; Hayes et al., 2010; Cubrinovski et al., 2012). Instead, the fissure that we observe could resemble en echelon ruptures in a strike-slip earthquake, as it was frequently observed in recent events (c.f., Sylvester, 1988; Treiman et al., 2002; Talebian et al., 2004; Duman et al., 2005; Audemard, 2006; Barrell et al., 2011, Choi et al., 2018; Little et al., 2021). This would explain why we did not observe a similar feature in the northern trench wall.

In the northern trench wall, we not only observed the vertically terminated layers, but also aligned pebbles and a large, fractured clast. Aligned pebbles are described from many faults cutting through sediments world-wide (e.g., Hooyer and Iverson, 2000; Vanneste and Verbeeck, 2001; Sapkota et al., 2013; Patyniak et al., 2017; Zabcı et al., 2017). However, they are sometimes also related to liquefaction (e.g., McNeill et al., 2005), for which we do not find evidence. Although we found aligned pebbles only in one place in our trench, their location fits perfectly with the other indicators of active faulting. Right above these

595 aligned pebbles we found the only ruptured clast of the trench. Such ruptured clasts in a fault zone surrounded by intact clasts have been described from faults around the world (e.g., Atwater, 1992; Radjai et al., 1998; Alfaro et al., 2001; Thakur and Pandey, 2004; Silva et al., 2009; Agosta et al., 2012; Tokarski and Strzelecki, 2020; Tokarski et al., 2020). If the broken clast were due to gelifraction, we would expect to find many fractured rocks and not only one right in the fault zone (cf., Bertran et al., 2020). The fact that only one clast broke indicates that the fractures were not caused by the regional stress regime (cf.,

600 Ramsay, 1964; Eidelmann and Reches, 1992). Similarly, it is unlikely that the river transported only one clast with cemented voids that later dissolved at the trench site. We conclude that all the observations described above prove a tectonic origin of the observed features.

5.4 Dating of the Idrija Fault earthquake

In the northern trench wall, we obtained two radiocarbon ages from bulk organic material below the fractured clast (Fig. 7).

605 Sample SLO18_SK8 gave an age of 1528 - 1376 cal BP, sample SLO18_SK9 returned an age of 2645 - 2489 cal BP. These two ages differ by at least 1 ka, which we attribute to the inherent uncertainties of dating bulk material. Charcoal sample SLO18_SK10 from unit U5 dates to 2140 - 1987 kcal BP. This is slightly younger than SLO18_SK9, which is in line with its stratigraphic position. Thus, sample SKO18_SK8 likely underestimates the age of the unit below the fractured clast. A charcoal sample from U10 right above U5, SLO18_SK11, is about 1.6 kaa younger (492 - 345 cal BP) than U5, which is again in

610 stratigraphic order. However, another sample from the base of unit U10 gives an older age of 2307 - 2056 cal BP (sample SLO18_SK13). This rather old age can be explained by considering that unit U10 consists of washed-in material, which could include older charcoal fragments with a complex history. The age of the younger charcoal (SLO18_SK11) gives a tighter constraint on the deposition age of the unit (not older than 492 cal BP). From the northern trench wall, we can conservatively deduce that the deformation occurred after the deposition of units U1-U6 (youngest unit dated is U5) and before after the

615 deposition of the lowermost parts of U10, because the fissure (U9) is filled with material from the base of U10. However, the depositional age of U10 is not very well constrained, since young and old ages are mixed. We must assume that only the base of U10 was deposited when the fissure U9 formed, because U9 only contains material that resembles that of the base of U10. If the entire unit U10 was already emplaced, we would expect the fissure to contain more greyish clays and to penetrate through the base of U10, which we do not observe. hHence, the causative event should have occurred between 2140 - 315 cal BP.

620 We obtained three samples from the southern trench wall. Sample SLO18_SK2 is from a charcoal from unit U5 and returned an age of 2067 - 1924 cal BP. This fits perfectly well with the age of U5 in the northern trench wall and confirms that the age of U5 is a proper upper boundary of the deformation seen in the trench. Unfortunately, only bulk organic material could be sampled from the fissure fill. Sample SLO18_SK14 gave an age of 2378 - 2306 cal BP. This is older than unit U5, which was cut by the fissure. We attribute this discrepancy to the uncertainties related to ^{14}C-dating of bulk material. The third sample

625 from the southern trench wall, SLO18_SK6, was a charcoal from unit U10 and returned an age of 2440 - 2315 cal BP. This is older than unit U5, but similar to the bulk sample from the fissure fill. However, the history of that charcoal is unknown. It might have been washed-in after it spent some time in a sediment reservoir upstream. The most conservative interpretation of

the dating results from the southern trench wall is that the deformation occurred after 2067 cal BP, that is, the emplacement of U5.

630 ~~Taking the results from both trench walls together leads us to conclude that the observed deformation can be bracketed to 2140 - 315 cal BP.~~ All samples with the exception of young charcoal sample SLO18_SK11 cluster in a period between 1.5-2.5 ka. We interpret this cluster as the time span in which most of the sedimentary units were emplaced. The younger age of sample SLO18_SK11 could be explained by a charcoal that was brought in by bioturbation. This would explain why it is younger than stratigraphically higher sample SLO18_SK13. However, we found no evidence for bioturbation or burrows in the trench. Thus,

635 SLO18_SK11 could indeed provide a meaningful age control. Sample SLO18_SK13 would then be a charcoal with a complex deposition history. If sample SLO18_SK11 was an outlier, sample SLO18_SK13 with its age of ~2.4 ka would provide the better constraint on the age of the base of unit U10 and, therefore, on the timing of the fissure formation. However, sample SLO18_SK13 is also older than the underlying unit U5. This strengthens the argument that sample SLO18_SK13 is older than its stratigraphic position suggest.

640 Taking the results from both trench walls together leads us to conclude that the observed deformation can be bracketed to 2140 - 315 cal BP. This time span would allow the 1511 Idrija Earthquake to be the causative event. Arguments in favour of this interpretation are the destruction of the town of Idrija in 1511, which could be explained by a fault rupture in the vicinity of the present-day city, and the results of Bavec et al. (2013) who trenched the fault close to our site (Figs. ~~6~~9, 10) and found evidence for deformation dating to about 900 - 360 cal BP. Arguments against the 1511 earthquake as the causative event

645 come from the trench by Falcucci et al. (2018). These authors found evidence for 16th century ruptures on a fault in Italy that fits the macroseismic damage pattern. However, this does not exclude the possibility of surface ruptures near Idrija. Some authors note that in 1511 two faults may have ruptured in close succession, based on different times reported in the Italian and Slovenian historical documents and on the bimodal distribution of shaking (Ribarič, 1979). However, Cecić (2011) and Camassi et al. (2011) summarise the evidence for a calculation error in the occurrence times and conclude that the historical

650 reports do not require two events. Another argument against the 1511 earthquake as the causative event for the ruptures observed in our trench is the lack of descriptions of surface ruptures near Idrija. However, this argument is weak because of the general uncertainty of historical sources. On the one hand, many trained engineers and geologists were in Idrija in 1511 because of the mercury mine, and they could have contributed detailed geological observations if there had been surface ruptures. On the other hand, all their post-earthquake efforts were probably concentrated on repairing the mine, which was one

655 of the most important enterprises in the entire region back then.

~~5.5 Earthquake magnitude on the Idrija Fault~~

~~We have only few constraints on the magnitude of the paleo-earthquake on the Idrija Fault. Based on the arguments in section 5.3, we interpret the observed deformation features as primary effects (sensu Michetti et al., 2007; Guerrieri, 2015) due to the coseismic offsets, not as secondary effects caused by strong ground motion. We were not able to measure offsets in the trench,~~

660 ~~because we did not undertake 3D trenching. Following a similar line of reasoning as with the Predjama Fault earthquakes, an~~

earthquake that caused a surface rupture is likely to have been stronger than the historical earthquakes in the region, which presumably caused no surface ruptures. Both the magnitude (M6.8 6.9, Ribarič, 1979; Fitzko et al., 2005) and the timing of the 1511 Idrija Earthquake would fit our observations. However, several 20th century strike-slip earthquakes with lower magnitudes caused surface ruptures, too (Wells and Coppersmith, 1994; Stirling et al., 2002). If the entire Idrija Fault ruptured
665 in one earthquake, the empirical relationships of Wells and Coppersmith (1994) and Leonard (2010) for strike-slip earthquakes would allow for a magnitude of M$_W$7.5 7.6. An earthquake this size would very likely cause several metres of surface displacement. Although we cannot quantify the offset in our trench, we suspect that such large displacements would still be visible elsewhere along the fault. Thus, we conservatively conclude that the Idrija Fault has caused a surface rupturing earthquake with a magnitude probably greater than M$_W$6.1.

670 **5.~~6~~5 Implications for regional tectonics and seismic hazard**

Holocene surface rupturing earthquakes on the NW-SE trending faults in the area have been proven for the Colle Villano Thrust on the mountain front in Italy (Falcucci et al., 2018), for the Predjama Fault (this study), and for the Idrija Fault (Bavec et al., 2013; this study). Historical earthquakes on the Idrija Fault and in the Ljubljana Basin exceeded M$_W$6.0 (e.g., Ribarič, 1982; Fitzko et al., 2005; Cecić, 2015). Instrumental earthquakes on the Ravne Fault had magnitudes of M$_S$5.7 and M$_W$5.2
675 (Bajc et al., 2001; Kastelic et al., 2004, 2008; Gosar, 2019a, b). These observations indicate that the crustal deformation due to the northward motion of Adria is not localised and that the long time-averaged seismic moment release is probably more widely distributed than previously estimated from historical and instrumental data (Serpelloni et al., 2016).

Figure ~~8~~12: Simple maximum slip-rate estimation for the DFS in Western Slovenia. A northward velocity of Adria with respect to
680 **Europe of 3 mm/~~yr~~a (Weber et al., 2010) would lead to 4.7 mm/~~yr~~a of right-lateral strike-slip on the Dinaric Fault System if this were the only ~~way~~ structure ~~of~~to accommodating the deformation. Hence, the combined slip rates of the DFS cannot exceed 4.7 mm/~~yr~~a. Atanackov et al. (2021) estimate a combined right-lateral slip rate of ~2.5 mm/a for the western part of the DFS. The remaining slip must be accommodated further to the East, where another set of shorter right-lateral faults is present (Atanckov et al., 2021), and by the Sava and the Periadriatic Faults (Fig. 1).**

685 A simple thought experiment can be used to estimate an upper bound of the slip rates in the DFS. The GPS velocity of Adria with respect to stable Eurasia is 3 mm/~~yr~~a in N-direction (Fig. 1; Weber et al., 2010, Metois et al. 2015). If the entire northward motion of Adria were accommodated by the right-lateral motion on the DFS striking ~130°, the summed slip rate ~~would~~

~~be~~could not exceed 4.7 mm/~~yr~~a (Fig. ~~8~~12). However, at least 1 mm/a of right-lateral slip are accommodated by the Periadriatic Fault system and the Sava Fault, which strike in more easterly directions (Vrabec et al., 2006). Atanackov et al. (2021) estimate

690   that another 1-2 mm/a are taken up by shorter right-lateral faults east of the Idrija Fault. Only ~2.5 mm/a of right-lateral slip can be accommodated by the DFS. Slip rates estimates for single faults of the DFS vary ~~Moulin et al. (2016) also report folding from the Čepovan Canyon area as a result of the transpressive regime, and other means of deformation such as block rotations about vertical axes cannot be ruled out. Hence, the 4.7 mm/yr of cumulative slip rate of the DFS must be regarded as a maximum, of which the Periadriatic Fault System alone takes up at least 1 mm/yr (Vrabec et al., 2006). As a result, none of~~

695   ~~the active faults of the DFS can be expected to have slip rates exceeding c. 1.4 to 2.1 mm/yr. The measured shortening is accommodated by a series of active faults, whose slip rates estimations vary~~ between 0.05-2.0 mm/~~yr~~a according to the available data (Kastelic and Carafa, 2012; Moulin et al., 2016; Atanackov et al., 20~~16~~21~~; Moulin et al., 2016~~). Given that only ~2.5 mm/a are available in total, the higher estimates seem to be less likely, unless in the DFS one fault takes up most of the slip. This is probably not the case. Seismicity does not concentrate on a single fault of the DFS (Fig. 1; Ribarič, 1982; Vičič et

700   al., 2019; AHEAD, 2020). ~~The DFS with the main Idrija, Predjama, and Raša faults accommodates the majority of shortening in western Slovenia (Atanackov et al., 2016; Moulin et al., 2016).~~ Neither our study nor the paleoseismological investigations by Bavec et al. (2013) and Falcucci et al. (2018) revealed evidence for short earthquake recurrence intervals. At the Predjama Fault, we ~~have~~ found structures that could be interpreted ~~at least~~ as ~~one~~ earthquake-related deformation ~~-~~ in the past 13 k~~a~~a, ~~more likely within the past 8.4 ka~~. For the Idrija Fault, only one earthquake could be proven for the last 2 ka (Bavec et al.,

705   2013, this study). Falcucci et al. (2018) document three ruptures on the Colle Villano Thrust. The oldest one pre-dates 7 ka, the two younger ones happened probably after the 6th century AD. The Ravne Fault had two events of magnitude $M_S5.7$ and $M_W5.2$ in 1998 and 2004, respectively (Bajc et al., 2001; Kastelic et al., 2004, 2008; Gosar, 2019a,b). This shows that all large faults in the DFS are active and rupture in large, ~~This evidence for large,~~ but rare events ~~further supports the argument for low fault slip rates~~. Thus, the slip rate for each individual fault of the DFS must be rather low.

710   Vičič et al. (2019) reported earthquake swarms on the NW-SE striking strike-slip faults in Slovenia. On the southern Predjama Fault, more than 3000 earthquakes occurred between late 2009 and early 2011. The strongest event was $M_L3.5$ and had a predominant strike-slip mechanism. This swarm was accompanied by a transient recorded by an extensometer in Postojna Cave (see Fig. 1 for location). The transient deformation was a few hundreds of a millimetre only. No earthquake swarm occurred near our trench site. However, this raises the question if creep or slow-slip events along the NW-SE faults can release

715   a significant part of the seismic moment. In our trench across the Predjama ~~fault~~Fault, we found evidence that could indicate ~~for~~ rapid deformation ~~only~~, which means that the fault is at least partly, perhaps entirely, locked. Another earthquake swarm on the Idrija Fault NW of our trench site was reported by Vičič et al. (2019), but no swarms occurred at our study site. Vičič et al. (2019) investigated a possible driving mechanism for the swarms and conclude that a slow slip event on the deeper part of the southern Idrija ~~fault~~ Fault (deeper than 18 km) could explain the increased microseismic activity. The shallower part of

720   the Idrija Fault in our study area is locked, as indicated by the structures we found in our trench and by the lack of instrumental seismicity over the period of 1977-2010 reported by Živčić et al. (2011).

From a seismic hazard perspective, it must be assumed that all of the large NW-SE tending strike-slip faults could rupture in a strong earthquake at any time, although recurrence intervals on the individual faults are large. Thus, instrumental seismicity and even historical data may not adequately depict the actual hazard.

6 Conclusions

With a combination of geomorphological investigations, near-surface geophysical surveys, and paleoseismological trenching we show that surface-rupturing earthquakes happened on the Predjama and Idrija Faults in western Slovenia in the Holocene. At least one earthquake on the Predjama Fault resulted in a vertical displacement of ~0.5 m and an unknown amount of horizontal offset. A minimum magnitude of $M_W6.1$ is necessary to cause the observed deformation. We can reliably bracket the event to an age of 13 - 0.7 ka. However, the good agreement of several radiocarbon samples indicates that the deformation more likely happened not earlier than 8.4 ka. The Idrija Fault had an earthquake of at least magnitude $M_W6.1$ in the last ~2.1 ka. This earthquake displaced fluvial sediments and caused an open fissure which was then re-filled. Our dating results would allow this event to be the 1511 Idrija Earthquake, but the evidence for that is not conclusive. Since several active faults share the geodesy-derived 3 mm/a of relative motion between Adria and Eurasia, none of them can have a high slip rate. These faults rupture in strong, but rare earthquakes, which likely dominate the overall seismic moment release on the NW-SE trending strike-slip faults in the study area. The results of our study show that Holocene earthquakes on the two faults exceeded the magnitudes in the instrumental earthquake catalogue. A seismic hazard assessment solely based on instrumental and historical data would miss the strongest possible events.

Author contribution

CG, KR, and KU designed the study. JW and CG undertook the remote sensing research. CG led the field investigations. SA, NS, JW, BV, PJR, MV, and CG ran the field campaign. SA and NS analysed the geophysics results. CG compiled the data and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and edited first and final drafts.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This study was undertaken in the framework of SPP 2017 – Mountain Building Processes in 4D. We thank our field crew Alexander Krämer, Andrea Viscolani, Hamid Sana, and Wahid Abbas for their support. This work benefitted from discussions with Jure Atanackov, Jernej Jež, and Tomaž Fabec, who also provided a 19th century cadaster plan from the Banjšice site.

Elena Makorič (Pokrajinski arhiv v Novi Gorici) is thanked for finding the historical photographs from World War I. SRTM
data are distributed by the Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center (LPDAAC), located at USGS/EROS, Sioux
Falls, SD, http://lpdaac.usgs.gov. Slovenian LiDAR data are provided by Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning,
Slovenian Environment Agency (ARSO). The two drone DEMs from the trench sites are available at
www.opentopography.org, datasets OTDS.032021.4326.2 (https://doi.org/10.5069/G9KW5D75) and OTDS.032021.4326.1
(https://doi.org/10.5069/G9V9868W). We thank the two reviewers for their very detailed, thorough, and constructive
comments, which helped us to improve our manuscript. CG is financed by the DFG, project numbers GR 4371/1-1 and GR
4371/3-1 (SPP 2017 – Mountain Building Processes in 4D). PJR is financed by ARRS program P1—0011 (Regional Geology).

References

Agosta, F., Ruano, P., Rustichelli, A., Tondi, E., Galindo-Zaldívar, J. and de Galdeano, C. S.: Inner structure and deformation
mechanisms of normal faults in conglomerates and carbonate grainstones (Granada Basin, Betic Cordillera, Spain): Inferences
on fault permeability. Journal of Structural Geology, 45, 4-20, 2012.

AHEAD Working Group: AHEAD, the European Archive of Historical Earthquake Data. https://doi.org/10.6092/INGV.IT-
AHEAD, accessed on 2020-07-16, 2020.

Alfaro, P., Galindo-Zaldívar, J., Jabaloy, A., López-Garrido, A. C. and de Galdeano, C. S.: Evidence for the activity and
paleoseismicity of the Padul fault (Betic Cordillera, southern Spain). Acta geológica hispánica, 36(3), 283-295, 2001.

Anderson, H. A. and Jackson, J. A.: Active tectonics of the Adriatic region. Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc., 91, 937–983,
doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1987.tb01675.x., 1987.

Aoudia, A., Saraó, A., Bukchin, B. and Suhadolc, P.: The 1976 Friuli (NE Italy) thrust faulting earthquake: a reappraisal 23
years later, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27(4), 573–576, 2000.

Atanackov, J., Jamšek Rupnik, P., Jež, J., Celarc, B., Novak, M., Milanič, B., Markelj, A., Bavec, M., and Kastelic, V.:
Database of active faults in Slovenia: compiling a new active fault database at the junction between the Alps, the Dinarides
and the Pannonian Basin tectonic domains. Frontiers in Earth Science, 9, 151, 2021.

Atanackov, J., Jamšek Rupnik, P., Jež, J., Milanič, B., Novak, M., Celarc, B., Markelj, A. and Bavec, M.: Database of active
faults in Slovenia. In Procedings of the 7th International INQUA Meeting on Paleoseismology, Active Tectonics and
Arheoseismology. Crestone, 5-8. 2016

Atwater, B. F.: Geologic evidence for earthquakes during the past 2000 years along the Copalis River, southern coastal
Washington. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 97(B2), 1901-1919, 1992.

Audemard, F. A.: Surface rupture of the Cariaco July 09, 1997 earthquake on the El Pilar fault, northeastern Venezuela.
Tectonophysics, 424(1-2), 19-39, 2006.

Bajc, J., Aoudia, A., Saraó, A., and Suhadolc, P: The 1998 Bovec-Krn Mountain (Slovenia) Earthquake Sequence. Geophysical
Research Letters, 28(9), 1839-1842, 2001.

Barrell, D. J. A., Litchfield, N. J., Townsend, D. B., Quigley, M., Van Dissen, R. J., Cosgrove, R., Cox, S.C., Furlong, K., Villamor, P., Begg, J.G., Hemmings-Sykes, S., Jongens, R., Mackenzie, H., Noble, D., Stahl, T., Bilderback, E., Duffy, B., Henham, H., Klahn, A., Lang, E.M.W., Moody, L., Nicol, R., Pedley, K., and Smith, A.: Strike-slip ground-surface rupture (Greendale Fault) associated with the 4 September 2010 Darfield earthquake, Canterbury, New Zealand. Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology, 44(3), 283-291, 2011.

Bavec, M., Car, M., Stopar, R., Jamšek, P., and Gosar, A.: Geophysical evidence of recent activity of the Idrija fault, Kanomlja, NW Slovenia. Materials and Geoenvironment, 59, 247-256, 2012.

Bavec, M., Atanackov, J., Celarc, B., Hajdas, I., Jamšek Rupnik, P., Jež, J., Kastelic, V., Milanič, B., Novak, M., Skaberne, G. and Žibret, G.: Evidence of Idrija fault seismogenic activity during the Late Holocene including the 1511 Mm 6.8 earthquake. In C. Grützner, A. Rudersdorf, R. Pérez-López and K. Reicherter (eds.): Proceedings of the 4th International INQUA Meeting on Paleoseismology, Active Tectonics and Archeoseismology (PATA), 9-14 October 2013, Aachen, 23-26, ISBN: 978-3-00-042796-1, 2013.

Bertran, P., Manchuel, K. and Sicilia, D.: Discussion on 'Palaeoseismic structures in Quaternary sediments, related to an assumed fault zone north of the Permian Peissen-Gnutz salt structure (NW Germany)–Neotectonic activity and earthquakes from the Saalian to the Holocene'(Grube, 2019). Geomorphology, 365, 106704, 2020.

Bigi, G., D. Cosentino, M. Parotto, R. Sartori, and P. Scandone: Structural model of Italy, 1:500.000, Quaderni de La Ricerca Scientifica, C.N.R., 114(3), 1990.

Bousquet R., Schmid S.M., Zeilinger G, Oberhänsli R., Rosenberg C., Molli G., Robert C., Wiederkehr M., and Rossi Ph.: Tectonic Framework of the Alps. Map 1: 1 000 000. CCGM/CGMW (Commission for the Geological Map of the World, Paris), 2012.

Bronk Ramsey, C.: Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates. Radiocarbon, 51(1), 337-360, 2009.

Buser, S.: Geological Map of Slovenia – 1:250.000. Geological Survey of Slovenia, Ljubljana, 2009.

Calais, E., Nocquet, J.M., Jouanne, F., and Tardy, M.: Current strain regime in the Western Alps from continuous Global Positioning System measurements. Geology 30, 651–654, doi: 10.1130/0091-7613(2002)030<0651:CSRITW>2.0.CO;2, 2002.

Camassi, R., Caracciolo, C.H., Castelli, V. and Slejko, D.: The 1511 Eastern Alps earthquakes: a critical update and comparison of existing macroseismic datasets, J. Seismol., 15, 191-213, 2011.

Čar, J.: Geological structure of the Idrija - Cerkno hills: Explanatory book to the Geological map of the Idrija - Cerkljansko hills between Stopnik and Rovte 1: 25 000. Geological survey of Slovenija, Ljubljana, 2010.

Čarman, M., Živčić, M. and Ložar Stopar, M.: Earthquakes Near Ilirska Bistrica in 2010. Earthquakes in 2010. ARSO, 2011.

Carulli, G.B.: Carta Geologica del Friuli Venezia Giulia (Scala 1:150000, con Note ill.); SELCA: Firenze, Italy, 2006.

Cecić, I.: Idrijski potres 26. marca 1511 – kaj pravzaprav vemo o njem? [The 26 March 1511 earthquake – What do we know about it?]. Geografski obzornik 58(1):24–29, 2011.

Cecić, I.: Earthquakes in Tuhinj Valley (Slovenia) In 1840. Journal of Seismology, 19(2), 469-490, 2015.

815   ~~Champenois, J., Baize, S., Vallée, M., Jomard, H., Alvarado, A., Espin, P., Ekström, G. and Audin, L.: Evidences of surface rupture associated with a low magnitude (M w 5.0) shallow earthquake in the Ecuadorian Andes. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 122(10), 8446-8458, 2017.~~Cheloni, D., D'Agostino, N., D'Anastasio, E., and Selvaggi, G.: Reassessment of the source of the 1976 Friuli, NE Italy, earthquake sequence from the joint inversion of high-precision levelling and triangulation data. Geophysical Journal International, 190(2), 1279-1294, 2012.

820   Choi, J. H., Klinger, Y., Ferry, M., Ritz, J. F., Kurtz, R., Rizza, M., Bollinger, L., Davaasambuu, B., Tsend-Ayush, N. and Demberel, S.: Geologic inheritance and earthquake rupture processes: The 1905 M≥ 8 Tsetserleg-Bulnay strike-slip earthquake sequence, Mongolia. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 123(2), 1925-1953, 2018.

Copley, A., Grützner, C., Howell, A., Jackson, J., Penney, C. and Wimpenny, S: Unexpected earthquake hazard revealed by Holocene rupture on the Kenchreai Fault (central Greece): Implications for weak sub-fault shear zones. Earth and Planetary
825   Science Letters 486, 141-154, 2018.

Cubrinovski, M., Robinson, K., Taylor, M., Hughes, M. and Orense, R.: Lateral spreading and its impacts in urban areas in the 2010–2011 Christchurch earthquakes. New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics, 55(3), 255-269, 2012.

Cunningham, D., Grebby, S., Tansey, K., Gosar, A., and Kastelic, V.: Application of airborne LiDAR to mapping seismogenic faults in forested mountainous terrain, southeastern Alps, Slovenia. Geophysical Research Letters, 33(20), 2006.

830   Cunningham, D., Gosar, A., Kastelic, V., Grebby, S., and Tansey, K.: Multi-disciplinary investigations of active faults in the Julian Alps, Slovenia. Acta Geodynamica et Geomaterialia, 4(1), 2007.

D'Agostino, N., Cheloni, D., Mantenuto, S., Selvaggi, G., Michelini, A., and Zuliani, D.: Strain accumulation in the southern Alps (NE Italy) and deformation at the northeastern boundary of Adria observed by CGPS measurements. Geophysical Research Letters, 32(19), L19306, doi:10.1029/2005GL024266, 2005.

835   D'Agostino, N., Avallone, A., Cheloni, D., D'Anastasio, E., Mantenuto, S., and Selvaggi, G.: Active tectonics of the Adriatic region from GPS and earthquake slip vectors. JGR: Solid Earth, 113, B12413, doi:10.1029/2008JB005860, 2008.

Duman, T. Y., Emre, O., Dogan, A. and Ozalp, S.: Step-over and bend structures along the 1999 Duzce earthquake surface rupture, North Anatolian fault, Turkey. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 95(4), 1250-1262, 2005.

Eidelman, A. and Reches, Z. E.: Fractured pebbles—A new stress indicator. Geology, 20(4), 307-310, 1992.

840   England, P. and Jackson, J.: Uncharted seismic risk. Nature Geoscience, 4, 348–349, doi:10.1038/ngeo1168, 2011.

Falcucci, E., Eliana Poli, M., Galadini, F., Scardia, G., Paiero, G., and Zanferrari, A.: First evidence of active transpressive surface faulting at the front of the eastern Southern Alps, northeastern Italy: insight on the 1511 earthquake seismotectonics. Solid Earth, 911-922, doi:10.5194/se-9-911-2018, 2018.

Fitzko, F., Suhadolc, P., Aoudia, A., and Panza, G. F.: Constraints on the location and mechanism of the 1511 Western-
845   Slovenia earthquake from active tectonics and modeling of macroseismic data. Tectonophysics, 404(1-2), 77-90, doi: 10.1016/j.tecto.2005.05.003, 2005.

Froitzheim, N., Schmid, S. M., and Frey, M.: Mesozoic paleogeography and the timing of eclogite-facies metamorphism in the Alps: a working hypothesis. Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae, 89(1), 81, 1996.

Ghose, S., Mellors, R. J., Korjenkov, A. M., Hamburger, M. W., Pavlis, T. L., Pavlis, G. L., Omuraliev, M., Mamyrov, E., and Muraliev, A. R.: The MS= 7.3 1992 Suusamyr, Kyrgyzstan, earthquake in the Tien Shan: 2. Aftershock focal mechanisms and surface deformation. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 87(1), 23-38, 1997.

Glaise von Horstenau, E.: Österreich-Ungarns letzter Krieg 1914 - 1918. Vol. 6 - Das Kriegsjahr 1917. Verl. der Militärwiss. Mitteilungen, Vienna, Austria, 1932.

Gosar, A., Šebela, S., Košťák, B. and Stemberk, J.: On the state of the TM 71 extensometer monitoring in Slovenia: seven years of micro-tectonic displacement measurements. Acta geodynamica et geomaterialia 8, 4: 389–402, 2011.

Gosar, A.: Review of geological and seismotectonic investigations related to 1998 Mw5.6 and 2004 Mw5.2 earthquakes in Krn Mountains. Geologija, 62/1, 59-71, 2019a.

Gosar, A.: Review of seismological investigations related to 1998 Mw5.6 and 2004 Mw5.2 earthquakes in Krn Mountains. Geologija, 62/1, 73-86, 2019b.

Gosar, A.: Measurements of tectonic micro-displacements within the Idrija fault zone in the Učja valley (W Slovenia). Acta Geographica Slovenica, 60/1, 79-93, 2020.

Grünthal, G., Wahlström, R., and Stromeyer, D.: The SHARE European Earthquake Catalogue (SHEEC) for the time period 1900–2006 and its comparison to the European-Mediterranean Earthquake Catalogue (EMEC). J Seismology, 17(4), 1339-1344, doi:10.1007/s10950-013-9379-y, 2013.

Grützner, C., Carson, E., Walker, R. T., Rhodes, E. J., Mukambayev, A., Mackenzie, D., Elliott, J. R., Campbell, G., and Abdrakhmatov, K.: Assessing the activity of faults in continental interiors: Palaeoseismic insights from SE Kazakhstan. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 459, 93-104, 2017.

Grützner, C., Aschenbrenner, S., Krämer, A., Reicherter, K., Saifelislam, N., Ustaszewski, K., Viscolani, A., and Welte, J.: Geophysical survey (GPR, ERT, magnetic) on active faults in Slovenia and Italy. PANGAEA, https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.922902, 2020.

Guerrieri, L. (ed): Earthquake Environmental Effect for seismic hazard assessment: the ESI intensity scale and the EEE Catalogue. Memorie Descrittive Della Carta Geologica D'Italia 97, 2015.Handy, M. R., Schmid, S. M., Bousquet, R., Kissling, E., and Bernoulli, D.: Reconciling plate-tectonic reconstructions of Alpine Tethys with the geological–geophysical record of spreading and subduction in the Alps. Earth-Science Reviews, 102(3-4), 121-158, 2010.

Handy, R.M., Ustaszewski, K. and Kissling, E.: Reconstructing the Alps-Carpathians-Dinarides as a key to understanding switches in subduction polarity, slab gaps and surface motion. Int. J. Earth Sci., 104, 1–26. doi: 10.1007/s0053101410603, 2015.

Hayes, G. P., Briggs, R. W., Sladen, A., Fielding, E. J., Prentice, C., Hudnut, K., Mann, P., Taylor, F. W., Crone, A. J., Gold, R. and Ito, T.: Complex rupture during the 12 January 2010 Haiti earthquake. Nature Geoscience, 3(11), 800-805, 2010

Herak, M., Herak, D., and Markušić, S.: Fault plane solutions for earthquakes (1956-1995) in Croatia and neighboring regions. Geofizika, 12(1), 43-56, 1995.

Herak, D., Herak, M., and Tomljenović, B.: Seismicity and earthquake focal mechanisms in North-Western Croatia. Tectonophysics 465, no. 1-4, 212-220, 2009.

Herak, D., Sović, I., Cecić, I., Živčić, M., Dasović, I., and Herak, M.: Historical seismicity of the Rijeka region (northwest external Dinarides, Croatia)—Part I: Earthquakes of 1750, 1838, and 1904 in the Bakar epicentral area. Seismol Res Lett, 88(3), 904-915, 2017.

Herak, M., Živčić, M., Sović, I., Cecić, I., Dasović, I., Stipčević, J., and Herak, D.: Historical Seismicity of the Rijeka Region (Northwest External Dinarides, Croatia)—Part II: The Klana Earthquakes of 1870. Seismol Res Lett, 89(4), 1524-1536, 2018.

Hooyer, T. S. and Iverson, N. R.: Clast-fabric development in a shearing granular material: implications for subglacial till and fault gouge. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 112(5), 683-692, 2000.

Howarth, J. D., Cochran, U. A., Langridge, R. M., Clark, K., Fitzsimons, S. J., Berryman, K., Villamor, P. and Strong, D. T.: Past large earthquakes on the Alpine Fault: Paleoseismological progress and future directions. New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics, 61(3), 309-328, 2018.

Jamšek Rupnik, P., Živčić, M., Atanackov, J., Celarc, B., Jež, J., Novak, M., Milanič, B., Jesenko, T., Ložar Stopar, M. and Bavec, M.: Seismotectonic map. In: Novak, M. and Rman, N. (ed.). Geological atlas of Slovenia. Ljubljana: Geological Survey of Slovenia. 2016, p. 96-97, 2016.

Kastelic, V. and Carafa, M. M. C.: Fault slip rates for the active external Dinarides thrust-and-fold belt. Tectonics 31. doi:10.1029/2011TC003022, 2012.

Kastelic, V., Živčić, M., Pahor, J., and Gosar, A.: Seismotectonic characteristics of the 2004 earthquake in Krn mountains. Potresi v letu 2004, ARSO, Ljubljana, 78-87, 2004.

Kastelic, V., Vrabec, M., Cunningham, D., and Gosar, A.: Neo-Alpine structural evolution and present-day tectonic activity of the eastern Southern Alps: The case of the Ravne Fault, NW Slovenia. J Struct Geol, 30(8), 963-975, 2008.

Landgraf, A., Kübler, S., Hintersberger, E., and Stein, S.: Active tectonics, earthquakes and palaeoseismicity in slowly deforming continents. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 432(1), 1-12, doi:10.1144/SP432.13, 2017.

Langridge, R. M., Villamor, P., Howarth, J. D., Ries, W. F., Clark, K. J. and Litchfield, N. J.: Reconciling an Early Nineteenth-Century Rupture of the Alpine Fault at a Section End, Toaroha River, Westland, New Zealand. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, doi:10.1785/0120200116, 2020.

Leonard, M.: Earthquake fault scaling: Self-consistent relating of rupture length, width, average displacement, and moment release. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 100(5A), 1971-1988, 2010.

Little, T. A., Morris, P., Hill, M. P., Kearse, J., Van Dissen, R. J., Manousakis, J., Zekkos, D. and Howell, A.: Coseismic deformation of the ground during large-slip strike-slip ruptures: Finite evolution of "mole tracks". Geosphere, 17, doi:10.1130/GES02336.1, 2021.

McNeill, L. C., Collier, R. L., De Martini, P. M., Pantosti, D. and D'Addezio, G.: Recent history of the Eastern Eliki Fault, Gulf of Corinth: geomorphology, palaeoseismology and impact on palaeoenvironments. Geophysical Journal International, 161(1), 154-166, 2005.

Metois, M., D'Agostino, N., Avallone, A., Chamot-Rooke, N., Rabaute, A., Duni, L., Kuka, N., Koci, R. and Georgiev, I.: Insights on continental collisional processes from GPS data: Dynamics of the peri-Adriatic belts. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 120(12), 8701-8719, 2015.

920 ~~Michetti, A.M., Esposito, E., Guerrieri, L., Porfido, S., Serva, L., Tatevossian, R., Vittori, E., Audemard, F., Azuma, T., Clague, J., et al.: Intensity scale ESI 2007. In: Memorie Descrittive Carta Geologica d'Italia. Servizio Geologico d'Italia; Guerrieri, L., Vittori, E., Eds.; 74. Dipartimento Difesa del Suolo, APAT: Rome, Italy; Volume 74, p. 53, 2007.~~ Mlakar, I.: Vloga postrudne tektonike pri iskanju novih orudenih con na območju Idrije. Rudarsko-metalurški zbornik (Ljubljana) 1: 18–25, 1964.

Mlakar I. and Čar J., Geological map of the Idrija - Cerkljansko hills between Stopnik and Rovte - 1 : 25,000. Geological
925 Survey of Slovenia, Ljubljana, 2010.

Moulin, A., Benedetti, L., Gosar, A., Jamšek Rupnik, P., Rizza, M., Bourlès, D., and Ritz, J. F.: Determining the present-day kinematics of the Idrija fault (Slovenia) from airborne LiDAR topography. Tectonophysics, 628, 188-205, 2014.

Moulin, A., Benedetti, L., Rizza, M., Jamšek Rupnik, P., Gosar, A., Bourles, D., Keddadouche, K., Aumaître, G., Arnold, M., Guillou, V., and Ritz, J. F.: The Dinaric fault system: Large-scale structure, rates of slip, and Plio-Pleistocene evolution of the
930 transpressive northeastern boundary of the Adria microplate. Tectonics, 35(10), 2258-2292, doi:10.1002/2016TC004188, 2016.

Papathanassiou, G. and Pavlides, S.: Using the INQUA scale for the assessment of intensity: Case study of the 2003 Lefkada (Ionian Islands), Greece earthquake. Quaternary International, 173, 4-14, 2007.

Patyniak, M., Landgraf, A., Dzhumabaeva, A., Abdrakhmatov, K. E., Rosenwinkel, S., Korup, O., Preusser, F., Fohlmeister,
935 J., Arrowsmith, J. R. and Strecker, M. R.: Paleoseismic Record of Three Holocene Earthquakes Rupturing the Issyk-Ata Fault near Bishkek, North KyrgyzstanPaleoseismic Record of Three Holocene Earthquakes Rupturing the Issyk-Ata Fault near Bishkek. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 107(6), 2721-2737, 2017.

Peruzza, L., Poli, M.E., Rebez, A., Renner, G., Rogledi, S., Slejko, D. and Zanferrari, A.: The 1976–1977 seismic sequence in Friuli: new seismotectonic aspects, Mem. Soc. Geol. It., 57, 391–400, 2002.

940 Petersen, G. M., Cesca, S., Heimann, S., Niemz, P., Dahm, T., Kühn, D., Kummerow, J., Plenefisch, T., and AlpArray Working Group: Regional centroid MT inversion of small to moderate earthquakes in the Alps using the dense AlpArray seismic network: challenges and seismotectonic insights. Solid Earth Discussions, 1-38, 2021.

Placer, L.: Structural history of the Idrija mercury deposit, Geologija, 25/1, 7–94, 1982.

Placer, L., Vrabec, M., and Celarc, B.: The bases for understanding of the NW Dinarides and Istria Peninsula tectonics.
945 Geologija, 53(1), 55-86, doi:10.5474/geologija.2010.005, 2010.

Poljak, M., Živčić, M. and Zupančič, P.: The seismotectonic characteristics of Slovenia. Pure and Applied Geophysics 157(1–2), 37–55, 2000.

Pondrelli, S., Morelli, A., Ekström, G., Mazza, S., Boschi, E., and Dziewonski, A. M.: European-Mediterranean regional centroid-moment tensors: 1997-2000. Phys. Earth Planet. Int., 130, 71-101, doi:10.1016/S0031-9201(01)00312-0, 2002.

950   Pondrelli, S., Salimbeni, S., Morelli, A., Ekström, G., Postpischl, L., Vannucci, G., and Boschi, E.: European–Mediterranean regional centroid moment tensor catalog: solutions for 2005–2008. Phys. Earth Planet. Int., 185(3-4), 74-81, 2011.

Radjai, F., Wolf, D. E., Jean, M. and Moreau, J. J.: Bimodal character of stress transmission in granular packings. Physical review letters, 80(1), 61, 1998.

Ramsay, D. M.: Deformation of pebbles in lower Old Red Sandstone conglomerates adjacent to the Highland Boundary fault.
955   Geological Magazine, 101(3), 228-248, 1964.

Reimer, P.J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J.W., Blackwell, P.G., Bronk Ramsey, C., Buck, C.E., Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P.M., Guilderson, T.P., Hafl-idason, H., Hajdas, I., Hatté, C., Heaton, T.J., Hoffmann, D.L., Hogg, A.G., Hughen, K.A., Kaiser, K.F., Kromer, B., Manning, S.W., Niu, M., Reimer, R.W., Richards, D.A., Scott, E.M., Southon, J.R., Staff, R.A., Turney, C.S.M., and van der Plicht, J.: IntCal13 and Marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0–50,000
960   years cal BP. Radiocarbon, 55(4), 1869-1887, 2013.

Ribarič, V.: The Idrija earthquake of March 26, 1511—a reconstruction of some seismological parameters. Tectonophysics, 53(3-4), 315-324, 1979.

Ribarič, V.: Seismicity of Slovenia-Catalogue of Earthquakes (792 A.D-I98I), SZ SRS, Publication, Ser. A, No. I-I, Ljubljana, 650 pp., 1982.

965   ~~Ritz, J. F., Baize, S., Ferry, M., Larroque, C., Audin, L., Delouis, B., and Mathot, E.: Surface rupture and shallow fault reactivation during the 2019 Mw 4.9 Le Teil earthquake, France. Communications Earth & Environment, 1(1), 1-11, 2020.~~ ~~Sapkota, S. N., Bollinger, L., Klinger, Y., Tapponnier, P., Gaudemer, Y. and Tiwari, D.: Primary surface ruptures of the great Himalayan earthquakes in 1934 and 1255. Nature Geoscience, 6(1), 71-76, 2013.~~ Schmid, S.M., Fügenschuh, B., Kissling, E., and Schuster, R.: Tectonic Map and overall architecture of the Alpine orogen. Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae, 97 (1), 93–117,
970   2004.

Schmid, S.M., Bernoulli, D., Fügenschuh, B., Matenco, L., Schefer, S., Schuster, R., Tischler, M. and Ustaszewski, K.: The Alpine-Carpathian-Dinaridic orogenic system: correlation and evolution of tectonic units. Swiss J. Geosci., 101, 139–183. doi: 10.1007/s0001500812473, 2008.

Serpelloni, E., Vannucci, G., Anderlini, L. and Bennett, R. A.: Kinematics, seismotectonics and seismic potential of the eastern
975   sector of the European Alps from GPS and seismic deformation data. Tectonophysics, 688, 157-181, 2016

Silva, P. G., Reicherter, K., Grützner, C., Bardají, T., Lario, J., Goy, J. L., Zazo, C. and Becker-Heidmann, P.: Surface and subsurface palaeoseismic records at the ancient Roman city of Baelo Claudia and the Bolonia Bay area, Cádiz (south Spain). Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 316(1), 93-121, 2009.

Slejko, D., Carulli, G. B., Nicolich, R., Rebez, A., Zanferrari, A., Cavallin, A., Doglioni, C., Carraro, F., Castaldini, D., Iliceto,
980   V., Semenza, E. and Zanolla, C.: Seismotectonics of the Eastern Southern Alps: a review. Boletino di Geofisica Teorica ed Applicata, 31(122), 109-136, 1989.

Stein, S., Liu, M., Calais, E. and Li Q.: Mid-continent earthquakes as a complex system. Seismol Res Lett, 80, 551–553, doi:10.1785/gssrl.80.4.551, 2009.

~~Stirling, M., Rhoades, D. and Berryman, K.: Comparison of earthquake scaling relations derived from data of the instrumental and preinstrumental era. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 92(2), 812-830, 2002.~~

Stucchi, M., Rovida, A., Capera, A. G., Alexandre, P., Camelbeeck, T., Demircioglu, M. B., Gasperini, P., Kouskouna, V., Musson, R. M. W., Radulian, M., Sesetyan, K., Vilanova, S., Baumont, D., Bungum, H., Fäh, D., Lenhardt, W., Makropoulos, K., Martinez Solares, J. M., Scotti, O., Živčić, M., Albini, P., Batllo, J., Papaioannou, C., Tatevossian, R., Locati, M., Meletti, C., Viganò, D., and Giardini, D.: The SHARE European earthquake catalogue (SHEEC) 1000–1899. J Seismology, 17(2), 523-544, doi:10.1007/s10950-012-9335-2, 2013.

Šušteršič, F.: Poljes and caves of Notranjska. Acta Carsologica; 25: 251-289, 1996.

Sylvester, A. G.: Strike-slip faults. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 100(11), 1666-1703, 1988.

Talebian, M., Fielding, E. J., Funning, G. J., Ghorashi, M., Jackson, J., Nazari, H., Parsons, B., Priestley, K., Rosen, P. A., Walker, R. and Wright, T. J.: The 2003 Bam (Iran) earthquake: Rupture of a blind strike-slip fault. Geophysical research letters, 31(11), 2004.

Thakur, V. C. and Pandey, A. K.: Late Quaternary tectonic evolution of Dun in fault bend/propagated fold system, Garhwal Sub-Himalaya. Curr. Sci, 87(11), 1567-1576, 2004.

Tiberi, L., Costa, G., Jamšek Rupnik, P., Cecić, I. and Suhadolc, P.: The 1895 Ljubljana earthquake: can the intensity data points discriminate which one of the nearby faults was the causative one? Journal of Seismology, 22, 927–941. doi.org/10.1007/s10950-018-9743-z, 2018.

Tokarski, A. K. and Strzelecki, P. J.: Fractured clasts in the Mt Currie Conglomerate at Kata Tjuta (Central Australia): evidence of Early Cambrian earthquakes?. Geology, Geophysics and Environment, 46(1), 29, 2020.

Tokarski, A. K., Świerczewska, A., Lasocki, S., Cuong, N. Q., Strzelecki, P. J., Olszak, J., Kukulak, J., Alexanderson, H., Zasadni, J., Krąpiec, M. and Mikołajczak, M.: Active faulting and seismic hazard in the Outer Western Carpathians (Polish Galicia): Evidence from fractured Quaternary gravels. Journal of Structural Geology, 141, 104210, 2020.

Treiman, J. A., Kendrick, K. J., Bryant, W. A., Rockwell, T. K. and McGill, S. F.: Primary surface rupture associated with the M w 7.1 16 October 1999 Hector Mine earthquake, San Bernardino County, California. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 92(4), 1171-1191, 2002.

Ustaszewski, K., Kounov, A., Schmid, S.M., Schaltegger, U., Krenn, E., Frank, W. and Fügeschuh, B.: Evolution of the Adria-Europe plate boundary in the northern Dinarides: From continent-continent collision to backarc extension. Tectonics, 29, 1–34. doi:10.1029/2010TC002668, 2010.

Valjavec, M. B., Zorn, M., and Ribeiro, D.: Mapping war geoheritage: Recognising geomorphological traces of war. Open Geosciences, 10(1), 385-394, 2018.

Vanneste, K. and Verbeeck, K.: Paleoseismological analysis of the Rurrand fault near Julich, Roer Valley graben, Germany: Coseismic or aseismic faulting history?. Geologie en Mijnbouw, 80(3/4), 155-170, 2001.

Vičič, B., Aoudia, A., Javed, F., Foroutan, M., and Costa, G.: Geometry and mechanics of the active fault system in western Slovenia. Geophys. J. Int., 217, 1755–1766, 2019.

Vrabec, M. and Fodor, L.: Late Cenozoic tectonics of Slovenia: structural styles at the Northeastern corner of the Adriatic microplate" in The Adria microplate: GNSS geodesy, tectonics and hazards (NATO Science Series IV, Earth and Environmental Sciences 61), eds. N. Pinter, N. Grenerczy, J. Weber, S. Stein, D. Medak (Dordrecht, Springer), 151–168, 2006.

Wang, Y., Amundson, R. and Trumbore, S.: Radiocarbon dating of soil organic matter. Quaternary Research, 45(3), 282-288, 1996.

Vrabec, M., Preseren, P. P. and Stopar, B.: GPS study (1996-2002) of active deformation along the Periadriatic fault system in northeastern Slovenia: tectonic model. Geologica Carpathica-Bratislava, 57(1), 57-65, 2006.

Weber, J., Vrabec, M., Pavlovčič-Prešeren, P., Dixon, T., Jiang, Y., and Stopar, B.: GPS-derived motion of the Adriatic microplate from Istria Peninsula and Po Plain sites, and geodynamic implications. Tectonophysics, 483(3), 214-222, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2009.09.001, 2010.

Wells, D. L., & Coppersmith, K. J.: New empirical relationships among magnitude, rupture length, rupture width, rupture area, and surface displacement. Bulletin of the seismological Society of America, 84(4), 974-1002, 1994.

Zabcı, C., Akyüz, H. S. and Sançar, T.: Palaeoseismic history of the eastern part of the North Anatolian Fault (Erzincan, Turkey): Implications for the seismicity of the Yedisu seismic gap. Journal of Seismology, 21(6), 1407-1425, 2017.

Zachariasen, J., Berryman, K., Langridge, R., Prentice, C., Rymer, M., Stirling, M. and Villamor, P.: Timing of late Holocene surface rupture of the Wairau fault, Marlborough, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics, 49(1), 159-174, 2006.

Žibret, L. and Vrabec, M.:. Paleostress and kinematic evolution of the orogen-parallel NW-SE striking faults in the NW External Dinarides of Slovenia unravelled by mesoscale fault-slip data analysis. Geologia Croatica, 69(3), 295-305, 2016.

Živčić, M., Suhadolc, P. and Vaccari, F.: Seismic Zoning of Slovenia Based on Deterministic Hazard Computations. Pure appl. geophys. 157, 171-184, 2000.

Živčić, M., Čarman, M., Gosar, A., Jesenko, T. and Zupančič, P. Potresi ob idrijskem prelomu. In: Janež, J. (ed.). Anno domini 1511, (Idrijski razgledi, 2011, 1). Idrija: Mestni muzej., p. 119-126, 2011.