- 1 Mechanical compaction mechanisms in the input sediments of the
- 2 Sumatra Subduction Complex- insights from microstructural analysis of

3 cores from IODP Expedition- 362

- 4 Sivaji Lahiri¹*, Kitty L. Milliken², Peter Vrolijk³, Guillaume Desbois¹, Janos L. Urai¹
- ¹Institute of Tectonics and Geodynamics, RWTH Aachen University, Germany, Lochnerstrasse 4–20, 52056,
 Aachen, Germany
- ⁷ ²Bureau of Economic Geology, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, 10611, USA
- 8 ³Department of Earth and Environmental Science, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, 801 Leroy
- 9 Place, Socorro, NM 87801, USA
- 10 * Corresponding author: sivaji.lahiri2@gmail.com
- 11

12 Abstract

13 The input sediments of the North Sumatra subduction zone margin, drilled during IODP Expedition 362, exhibit 14 remarkable uniformity in composition and grain size over the entire thickness of the rapidly deposited Nicobar Fan succession (sea-floor to 1500 mbsf), providing a unique opportunity to study the micromechanisms of 15 16 compaction. Samples were prepared from dried core samples from sites (U1480 and U1481) by both Ar-ion cross-17 section polishing and broad-ion beam cutting, and imaged with a field-emission Scanning Electron Microscope 18 (SEM). Shallowest samples (sea-floor to 28mbsf) display a sharp reduction in porosity from 80% to 52% due to 19 collapse of large clay-domain/matrix pores associated with rotation and realignment of clay-platelets parallel to 20 the bedding plane. The deeper succession (28mbsf to 1500mbsf) exhibits less rapid reduction in porosity from 21 52% to 30% by the progressive collapse of silt-adjacent larger pores by bending and subsequent sliding/fracturing 22 of clay particles. In addition, there is a correlated loss of porosity in the pores too small to be resolved by SEM.

Clastic particles show no evidence of deformation or fracturing with increasing compaction. In the phyllosilicates, there is no evidence for pressure solution or recrystallization: thus, compaction proceeds by micromechanical processes. Increase in effective stress up to 18 MPa (~1500mbsf) causes the development of a weakly aligned phyllosilicate fabric mainly defined by illite clay particles and mica grains, while the roundness of interparticle pores decreases as the pores become more elongated. We propose that bending of the phyllosilicates by intraparticle slip may be the rate-controlling mechanism.

Pore size distributions show that all pores within the compactional force chain deform, irrespective of size, with increasing compactional strain. This arises because the force chain driving pore collapse is localized primarily

- 31 within the volumetrically dominant and weaker clay-rich domains; pores associated with packing around isolated
- 32 silt particles enter into the force chain asynchronously and do not contribute preferentially to pore loss over the
- 33 depth range studied.

34 Introduction

Muds are fine-grained sediments (>50% of particles <63µm diameter) comprising platy detrital clay minerals and 35 equidimensional detrital grains such as quartz, feldspar, calcite, etc. (Nakano, 1967; Hesse, R., 1975; Sintubin, 36 37 1994). Understanding the mechanical, chemical, and microstructural properties of mud and mudstone is of great 38 interest for rock property prediction in basic earth science, in exploration, subsurface integrity studies and 39 geotechnical engineering (Yagiz, S., 2001; Aplin and Macquaker, 2011; Lazar et al., 2015). The chemical and 40 physical behavior of marine muds plays a critical role in defining the geometry of accretionary prisms, locating 41 the décollement for fault rupture (Vrolijk, 1990; Chester et al., 2013) and understanding subduction zone 42 earthquakes and tsunamis (Dean et al., 2010; Chester et al., 2013; Hüpers et al., 2017).

43 Marine mud is deposited with a highly porous isotropic fabric (Bowles, 1969; Bennett et al. 1981; 1991); 44 depositional porosity in mud is about twice as high as in sand (e.g., Velde, 1996, Lundegard, 1992). In contrast, 45 mudstones have low porosities, modal pore sizes measured in nm, and an absence of textural controls on porosity 46 (e.g. Aplin et al., 2006; Milliken et al., 2012; 2013). The processes in this dramatic evolution of porosity have 47 similarities to compaction of sand to sandstone, comprising a combination of compaction and cementation 48 (Milliken and Day-Stirrat, 2013), although the much smaller, elongated phyllosilicate grains increase the role of 49 clay-bound water in the process (Karaborni et al., 1996). Whereas a refined and somewhat predictive 50 understanding exists for porosity evolution in sand and sandstones (e.g., Lander and Walderhaug, 1999; Paxton et 51 al., 2002; Lander et al., 2008; Ajdukiewicz and Lander, 2010, Desbois et al., 2011), such a model is at best 52 preliminary for muds and mudstones (Pommer and Milliken, 2015; Milliken and Olson, 2017). It seems clear that 53 the composition of the grain assemblage importantly sets the stage for porosity evolution in muds (Milliken, 2014), 54 cementation being the greatest in muds with abundant biogenic debris. In contrast to sandstones, however, 55 cementation is far less common globally in mudstones (Milliken, 2019), leading to the notion that mechanical 56 compaction may be far more important in muds. In addition, depositional environment also strongly controls 57 porosity evolution, compaction and diagenesis in mudrocks (e.g. Burland, 1990; Baruch et al., 2015; Delle Piane 58 et al., 2015) as the initial clay and rigid grain compositions significantly affect both compaction (as this manuscript 59 shows) and subsequent diagenetic alteration due to variations in composition. Establishing the expected 60 compaction behavior for muds in a setting of well-constrained mud properties is an essential contribution that our 61 study hopes to serve.

62 Investigations of mud and mudstone compaction are usually based on proxy data, such as velocity or density, 63 rather than direct measurements of porosity (e.g., references in Mondol et al., 2007). Direct measurement of

64 porosity can be broadly classified into two categories: 1) laboratory experiments; (e.g., Mitchell, 1956; Bennett et

- al. 1981; Griffiths and Joshi, 1989; 1990; Vasseur et al. 1995; Mondol et al. 2007; Fawad et al. 2010; Emmanuel
- and Day-Stirrat, 2012), and 2) studies on natural samples (e.g., Meade, 1964; Ho et al., 1999; Aplin et al. 2003;
- 67 2006; Day-Stirrat et al., 2008; 2010; 2012; Milliken et al, 2012; 2013). A common shortcoming of studies on

68 natural samples is the assumption that the bulk porosity is a direct measure of compaction although porosity loss

has contributions of both compaction and cementation (Ehrenberg, 1989; Lundegard, 1992; Paxton et al., 2002),

and this can only be accomplished by petrographic inspection (Milliken and Curtis, 2016). Experimental studies

71 generally avoid this shortcoming by the use of lab-produced particle packs that undergo no chemical change during

72 the experiment. Studies of shallowly buried units (like the present study) are the ones most likely to avoid the

73 complication of cementation, especially if temperatures are low and bulk grain assemblages are siliciclastic

74 (Milliken, 2008, 2014).

75 Previous studies report contrasting ideas about the mechanisms of mechanical compaction of mud. Some studies 76 conclude that rotation is the dominant particle scale mechanism for mechanical compaction (Bowles et al., 1969; 77 Oertel and Curtis, 1972; Vasseur et al., 1995), although other particle scale-deformation mechanisms were not 78 investigated by these authors. A few studies state that burial compaction significantly increases the alignment of 79 phyllosilicate (clay and mica) parallel to the bedding planes (Bowles et al., 1969; Oertel and Curtis, 1972; Vasseur 80 et al., 1995) (a detailed review of the previous studies on mechanical compaction is given in Document S1 in Supplementary data). Other studies suggest that intense mechanical compaction (i.e. effective stress) has a limited 81 82 impact on the development of phyllosilicate fabric in mud (Ho et al., 1999; Aplin et al., 2006; Day-Stirrat et al., 83 2008; 2011). In addition, earlier authors concluded that an increase in effective stress causes preferential loss of 84 larger pores, and as a result, the mean porosity of the samples decreases (Delage and Lefebvre, 1884; Griffiths and 85 Joshi, 1989; 1990; Emmanuel and Day-Stirrat, 2012). With increasing consolidation stress, a bimodal pore size 86 distribution curve shifts toward smaller pore sizes as larger pores rapidly collapse (Griffiths and Joshi, 1989; 1990; 87 1991). These studies investigated the changes in particle alignment and reduction in porosity (Ho et al., 1999; 88 Aplin et al., 2006; Day-Stirrat et al., 2008; 2011) but without imaging the evolution of pore morphology with 89 increasing compactional strain. Moreover, in previous studies, the authors mainly performed laboratory 90 consolidation experiments on lab produced particle packs, and used conventional techniques, such as mercury 91 intrusion porosimetry and high-resolution X-Ray pole figure goniometry (HRXTG) to understand the evolution of 92 pore size distribution with consolidation stress (Ho et al., 1999; Aplin et al., 2006). Studies on naturally compacted 93 samples are rare.

We received 55 mud samples from drill cores collected during IODP Expedition 362 west of the North Sumatra subduction zone margin and investigated the evolution of petrographic microstructure and pore morphology as a function of compactional strain. Apart from general implications for global mudrocks, we hope this investigation will also contribute to studies that seek to predict rock properties in the deeper subsurface at the Sumatra subduction front.

99

100 Geological background and drilling

101 The Sumatra subduction zone extends 5000km from the Andaman-Nicobar Islands in the northwest to the Java-

102 Banda arc in the Southeast (Fig.1a and b) (Prawirodirdjo et al., 1997; Hippchen and Hyndman, 2008). The trench

- 103 of the Sumatra subduction zone (Fig.1a) developed on the subducting Indo-Australian Plate at a convergence rate
- 104 of 5.5 cm/yr in the north and 7.23 cm/yr in the South (Ghosal et al., 2014; Moeremans, and Singh, 2015).

105 On 26th December 2004, the west coast of Northern Sumatra recorded one of the largest earthquakes (Mw-9.3) in

the 21st century, generating a devastating Tsunami in the Indian Ocean (Ammon et al., 2005; Lay et al., 2005).

107 Understanding the mechanism(s) behind this unprecedented event was the central idea behind IODP Expedition

362 (Fig.1). The main objective of the expedition was to collect core and log data of the incoming sedimentary
 succession of the Indo-Australian oceanic plate to understand the seismogenic process related to the margin (Dugan

- 110 et al., 2017; McNeill et al., 2017). During the expedition in 2016, drilling was performed on two sites: U1480
- 111 (Holes E, F, G and H) and U1481 (Hole A) located on the oceanic plate west of the North Sumatra subduction
- 112 margin and east of the Ninety East Ridge (Fig.1a, b) (Dugan et al., 2017). The drilling sites recovered a complete,
- 113 1.5 km thick sedimentary section from late Cretaceous to Pleistocene down to the basement of basaltic crust
- 114 (Dugan et al., 2017; McNeill et al., 2017).

115 The input sedimentary section of the Sumatra subduction zone comprises the distal part of the trench wedge, Nicobar fan sequence, and pre-fan pelagic section on the basaltic crust at the bottom (Dugan et al., 2017; McNeill 116 117 et al., 2017). At Site U1480, the entire recovered section was categorized into six lithological entities, Units I to 118 VI (Fig. 1c) (McNeill et al., 2017). Unit I (0 to 26.72 mbsf) consists of unconsolidated calcareous clay, silty clay 119 with alternating fine sand (McNeill et al., 2017). Unit II from 26.72 to 1250 mbsf consists of three subunits (IIA, 120 IIB and IIC) and mainly exhibits alternating fine-grained sand and silty clay to silt (McNeill et al., 2017). Unit III 121 (1250 ~ 1327 mbsf) is divided into two subunits: Unit IIIA and IIIB (McNeill et al., 2017). Unit IIIA consist of 122 thin to medium-bedded, gray-green or brown mudstone and intercalated siltstone, and Unit IIIB is composed of 123 reddish-brown tuffaceous silty claystone with fragmented sponge spicules and radiolaria (McNeill et al., 2017). 124 The boundary between Units IIIA and IIIB (1310 mbsf) at this site marks the base of the Nicobar Fan and the 125 beginning of the thin pre-fan succession (Pickering et al., 2020). Units IV, V, and VI include volcanoclastic rocks with tuffaceous sandstone, conglomerates, and basaltic oceanic crust, respectively. At Site U1481, the pre-fan 126 127 succession was not encountered and a Unit III, a thicker equivalent of Subunit IIIA at Site U1480, represents the 128 material of the lower Nicobar Fan (see Figure F15, in Site U1481 report; McNeil et al., 2017). This study is 129 restricted to the thin, distal trench wedge (Unit I) and Nicobar fan sequence (Units II and IIIA, which is equivalent 130 to Unit-III at U1481).

131 X-ray diffraction (XRD) of bulk samples and clay fractions at Site U1480 show a clay mineral assemblage 132 dominated by illite with lesser amounts of smectite and chlorite (Rosenberger et al., 2020) (Fig.2a, b, c and d; 133 Table S1 and Figure S1 in Supplementary data). Siliciclastic samples consist of 50-70% clay (McNeill et al., 2017). Smectite is more abundant in Unit I than Unit II, where smectite abundance ranges from 5-30% with rare 134 135 samples containing as much as 45% smectite. In Unit II, smectite fraction ranges from 10-30% with local enrichments as great as 40-45%. Smectite again increases in Unit III, reaching a value as high as 68% in the 136 137 samples attributed to the Nicobar Fan section. There is a weak increase in the expandability of mixed-layer I/S with depth (Rosenberger et al., 2020) (Figure-S2 in Supplementary data), and there is no change in the amount of 138 139 illite in mixed-layer I/S (ca. 20% illite). Montmorillonite is interpreted as the smectite mineral (Rosenberger et 140 al., 2020). Chlorite + kaolinite abundance is similar in Units I and II, ranging from 8 to 20% with rare occurrences

- 141 as high as 24%; Unit III is almost devoid of chlorite + kaolinite. Heating experiments on select samples indicate
- that chlorite makes up 66-100% of this mineral category (Rosenberger et al., 2020). Illite comprises the remainder
- 143 of the clay mineral assemblage, ranging from 50-79% in Unit II while Unit I is correspondingly less illite-rich (42-
- 144 70%). In the Unit III Nicobar Fan section, illite makes up only 18-36% of the clay fraction.
- 145 The Nicobar fan sequence exhibits almost compositionally homogeneous (silt/clay ratio; mostly 'silty-clay') 146 subunits with uniform grain size (McNeill et al., 2017), and a history of rapid deposition (125-290 m/my; Backman et al., 2019). The sedimentary sequence exhibits no evidence of uplift and currently occurs at maximum burial 147 148 depth. The drilling sites are 255 km away from the deformation front; thus the samples are undisturbed by tectonic 149 faulting associated with subduction (Fig.1b). In addition, owing to the scarcity of biogenic grains and the low temperatures encountered (<68°C), cementation is only observed as highly localized concretions (Red colored 150 151 symbols in Fig.2e, f, g, and h) (McNeil et al., 2017; Torres et al., 2022). Such a homogeneous sedimentary 152 succession extending across 1.5 km depth is rare in sedimentary basins. Hence, these samples provide us with a 153 unique opportunity to study depth-wise variation in microstructure as a function of vertical effective stress with
- 154 few complications from multiple causes of porosity loss.

155 Sampling and Methods

This study is based on two sample sets that were obtained from Sites 1480 (Holes E, F, G, and H) and 1481 (HoleA) independently and analyzed by slightly different methods. The first sample set (33 mud samples; depth 1.24 to

158 1300 mbsf) was prepared using Broad Ion Beam polishing and analyzed using Scanning Electron Microscope

- 159 (BIB-SEM technique) at RWTH Aachen University, Germany. The second sample set (22 samples; depths 6.25
- to 1493.30 mbsf) was prepared using Ar-ion cross-section polishing and imaged by field-emission SEM at the
- 161 Bureau of Economic Geology (BEG) at the University of Texas at Austin. Respective core description of these
- 162 55 mud samples and their bulk mineralogy data are tabulated in Table-1.

163 BIB-SEM technique (First set of samples, Aachen University)

164 Sample preparation for BIB-SEM and imaging

165 After drilling, the samples were stored at Kochi drill core repository (IODP), Japan for four years (2016 ~ 2020) at the refrigerated storage areas maintaining the temperature of ca. 4°C and 80% humidity (http://www.kochi-166 167 core.jp/en/iodp-curation/curation-sop_2.html). We received a total of 33 freeze-dried mud samples (SN-1 to SN-168 33 in Table-1) for analysis at Aachen. The samples were collected using a tube inserted perpendicular to the cut 169 face of the drill core in such a way that the notch of the tube identified the top of the sample so the orientation of 170 bedding planes for each sample was known. In Fig. 1d, a tube sample received from the IODP repository is shown, where the red line on the top of the tube identifies the notch. Subsamples $(10 \times 5 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3)$ were cut from the 171 172 individual freeze-dried samples using a razor blade. These subsamples were pre-polished using silicon carbide 173 (SiC) paper to reduce the roughness of the surface down to 10 µm. Further, Broad Ion Beam (BIB) polishing was 174 carried out using a JEOL SM-09010 cross-section polisher for 10 hours at 6 kV and 150 µA. BIB reduces surface damage by removing a 100 µm thick layer to generate a high-quality polished cross-section of 1-2 mm² with a 175

topography less than 5 nm (Desbois et al., 2009).

- 177 After polishing, the BIB cross-sections were coated with tungsten and imaged with a Zeiss Supra 55 SEM with
- SE2, BSE, and EDX detector (Figure S3 in Supplementary data). SE2 images were used to image porosity and
- 179 BSE images are combined with an EDX map as well as EDX point analysis for identifying mineral phases. For
- 180 each cross-section, we made mosaics of hundreds of SE2 and BSE images at a magnification of 20,000x (~14.3
- nm pixel value) and 10,000x respectively, with an overlap of 20% to 30%, (Klaver et al., 2012; 2015; 2016; Hemes
- 182 et al., 2013; 2015; 2016; Laurich et al., 2014). The mosaics are stitched together using Aztec software preserving
- 183 the original pixel resolution. Finally, these stitched images are used for the segmentation of pore spaces, minerals,
- 184 and other respective analyses.

185 Image segmentation and pore analysis

186 For quantifying porosity and pore morphology, individual SE2 image mosaics were segmented using a 'seed and

grow' algorithm (Adams and Bischof, 1994) implemented with a MatLab code (Jiang et al., 2015; Schmatz et al.,

188 2017) (Figure S3 in Supplementary data). The 'seed and grow' algorithm works based on the difference in intensity

189 of greyscale value in an image (bright = minerals, dark= pores). After automatic segmentation, individual pores in

190 SE2 images are manually corrected if required.

Similarly, using ImageJ software (threshold toolbox and machine learning algorithm), segmentation of the individual mineral phases was carried out combining BSE images and EDX elemental maps. While quartz, calcite, pyrite, mica minerals are efficiently segmented using these tools, feldspars are found difficult to segment because of similar composition as clay (Figure S4, S5 and S6 in Supplementary data). Finally, corrected pore segmented SE2 mosaics are overlaid on the phase maps using the 'georeference' tool of QGIS (http://qgis.osgeo.org), (Figure S4, S5 and S6 in Supplementary data).

197 Pore detection resolution (PPR) and representative area analysis (REA)

'Practical pore detection resolution' (PPR) indicates the pore sizes above which one can assume to detect 100% of
the pores present in the SE2 mosaic (Klaver et al., 2012). In agreement with earlier results using this instrument
(Klaver et al., 2012; 2015; 2016; Hemes et al., 2013; 2015; 2016; Laurich et al., 2014), we found PPR of ~2000
nm² and ~8500 nm² for the magnification of 20,000x and 10,000x images, respectively, corresponding to 10 pixels.

After segmenting all minerals, representative elementary area analysis (REA) was performed using the box counting technique on mineralogical phase maps (Kameda et al., 2006; Klaver et al., 2012). Similar steps are also followed for determining a representative elementary area for SE2 images. The estimated REA values using SE2 and BSE mosaics for the analyzed 33 mudstone samples are documented in Table S2 in Supplementary data.

- 206 Porosity, pore morphology, pore size, and the statistical distribution of pores were obtained using image analysis
- 207 techniques on 2D images collected using BIB-SEM technique. Because pores are non-spherical 3D objects that
- are cut perpendicular to the bedding plane to acquire a 2D image dataset, there may be random and systematic
- 209 errors when comparing 2D and 3D results. We plotted shipboard measured MAD (moisture and density) porosity
- 210 vs depth (Fig.2e) and also BIB-SEM porosity vs depth for the analyzed samples (Fig.3a), where MAD porosity
- 211 documents bulk porosity for the sample, and BIB-SEM porosity represents 2D cuts of the non-spherical 3D

212 pores/porosity. Because there is first-order correspondence between the two porosity measurements, we deduce 213 that porosity and pore size distributions obtained from 2D image analysis reflect the bulk rock porosity and 3D

- 214 pore size distribution of the samples. In addition, the estimated REA appears appropriate for minimizing systematic
- 215 errors in the bulk pore characteristics of the sample.
- 216

217 Ion polishing and SEM technique (second set of samples; BEG, UT Austin).

218 22 Samples (SN-34 to SN-55 in Table 1) were taken shipboard from the sample half of the still-wet core in small plastic tubes (similar to the ones used for the sample set at Aachen) inserted into the core by manual pressure. The 219 220 tubes were removed from the core and sealed in plastic bags. In the laboratory at the BEG, sample bags were 221 opened, and the muds were allowed to dry slowly in the tubes over several weeks. No discernible shrinkage was 222 observed as the dried core pieces still fully filled the tubes. The tubes were carefully removed, and a small cube 223 (approximately 0.5 to 1 cm³) was cut using a sharp knife and small hand saws; an orientation mark was placed on 224 the cube to indicate the bedding direction. Bed-perpendicular surfaces were prepared by Ar-ion cross-section 225 polishing, using the Leica EM TIC020 triple ion beam miller and coated with Ir for imaging. Manual placement 226 of the cut cubes into the ion mill is not precise so the ion-polished surfaces have slight variation from perpendicular 227 to bedding. Pore imaging was performed on the FEI Nova NanoSEM 430 using the in-lens SE detector, a 30 µm 228 aperture, 15 KeV accelerating current, a working distance of around 5-6 mm, and an intermediate-range sample current (spot size = 3, mid-range for the instrument). Randomly selected views (typically 3-6) of all samples were 229 collected at 6kx machine magnification; additional views illustrating pore types and pore/grain relationships were 230 231 made at 10kx to 30kx (machine magnification).

232

233 Results

234 Compaction strain derived from MAD-porosity data

During Expedition 362 mass and volume of mud samples were measured in both wet and dry states using a high precision electronic mass balance and helium pycnometer (http://www-odp.tamu.edu/publications/tnotes/tn37/ tn37_8.htm). Using the obtained mass and volume dataset for wet and dry conditions, bulk MAD (moisture and density) porosities were calculated. Porosity values reported by McNeill et al. (2017) and downloaded from IODP databases serve as the basis for strain calculations.

- 240 Shipboard MAD porosity for mud samples exhibits a sharp reduction from 80% to 52% from the seafloor to 28
- 241 mbsf (Fig.2e). Deeper samples display a comparatively smaller reduction in porosity of approximately from 52%
- to 30% over a depth range of 28 to 1500 mbsf (Fig.2e and f).

We calculated compaction strain following a method proposed by Nollet et al. (2005) and subsequently used by Neagu et al. (2010) (Fig.2g and h), assuming 1D consolidation and no change in solid volume. The compaction strain (ε_c) is then computed as:

246
$$\varepsilon_{\rm c} = \frac{1 - \phi_0}{1 - \phi_1} \tag{Eqn-1}$$

Here ϕ_0 = initial porosity, and ϕ_1 = final porosity. Samples from sites U1480 and U1481 show no evidence of tectonic faults (McNeill et al., 2017), supporting an assumption of 1D strain. We considered the initial porosity ϕ_0 as the MAD porosity at 0.6 mbsf depth (ϕ_0 = 80%). Compaction strain following Eqn-1 (Table S2 in Supplementary data), is plotted against depth in Fig.2g and h. Compaction strain increases from 1 to 2.05 from the seafloor to 28 mbsf (i.e. Unit I), and from 2.00 to 3.05 from 28mbsf to 1500 mbsf (Fig. 2g and h).

Another common measure of compaction is the intergranular volume (IGV; Paxton et al., 2002), which corresponds to the sum of intergranular porosity and intergranular cement. In some mudstones, it may be necessary to calculate IGV differently because of the presence of abundant primary intragranular pores and pore-filling bitumen (Milliken and Olson, 2017). In our sample set, cement is absent, and IGV is taken to equal the bulk porosity from shipboard MAD measurements.

Compactional porosity loss (COPL), referenced against the original sediment volume, is calculated from the initial
 primary intergranular porosity (Pi; 80% in this case) and the IGV as follows (Ehrenberg, 1989, Lundegard, 1992;):

259 COPL=
$$Pi-(((100-Pi) \times IGV)/(100-IGV))$$
 (Eqn-2)

At an IGV of 50%, COPL is 60%; in the deepest samples in the Nicobar fan (IGV of around 30%) COPL is 70%
(Table S2 in Supplementary data).

262 Description of grain microstructure and pore morphology

To have consistency in the data set, we prepared SE2 mosaics for all samples from the Aachen sample set at 20,000x magnification covering an average $100 \times 100 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ area. In addition, to examine the effect of magnification on BIB-SEM porosity and representative area analysis (REA), three samples (i.e. SN-7, SN-15, and SN-29) were also imaged each at 5,000x and 10,000x magnification, respectively. A decrease in magnification and resolution reduces visible BIB-SEM porosity.

- 268 We observed consistent results for the REA analysis. For SE2 mosaics, REA varies between $45 \times 45 \mu m^2$ to 85×85
- μm^2 at 20,000x magnification, and for segmented phase maps, REA varies between 90×90 μm^2 to 130×130 μm^2
- at 10,000x magnification. In the UT sample set, the standard images taken at 6kx with machine magnification are
- $49.7 \times 45.7 \ \mu\text{m}^2$, so these images are also within the estimated REA range.
- Based on EDX elemental map or point analysis, six mineral phases occur in significant amounts in the Sumatra
 samples: Quartz, feldspar (K-feldspar, Na-feldspar), calcite, pyrite, micas (muscovite, biotite, and chlorite), and

- clay. Based on XRD analyses (Rosenberger et al., 2020), the clay size fraction is dominated by illite. Clay + mica
- percentage in these mudstone samples varies between 65% to 75%. Samples SN-1 (77%) and SN-4 (76%) have
- 277 S2 in Supplementary data).
- Using BIB-SEM and automatic pore segmentation techniques, an average of >30,000 pores have been detected for each individual sample in the Aachen sample set at 20,000x magnification. Correlating with the MAD data set, the estimated BIB-SEM porosity reduces from 32% to 19% over a depth range of seafloor to 28 mbsf, while the deeper samples display a smaller reduction from 19% to 10% over a depth range of 28 to 1500 mbsf respectively (Fig.3a). Consistent with numerous previous studies, the results document a mismatch between bulk measured porosity (MAD) and imaging porosity (BIB-SEM) (e.g., Hemes et al., 2013; Houben et al., 2014; Nole et al., 2016; Oelker et al., 2019) (Table S2 in Supplementary data). We plotted BIB-SEM porosity vs MAD porosity and found
- an approximately linear correlation with coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.8621$) (Fig. 3b).

286 Type of pores

- Intergranular pores contribute >99% of the total visible porosity. Intragranular pores (see below) are rare. The size
 and shape of intergranular pores change during compaction (Table S3 in Supplementary data).
- 289 Intergranular pores are classified (Fig.4) based on the size of surrounding particles (irrespective of mineralogy):
- 290 1) Clay domain (matrix) pores, and 2) silt-adjacent pores. Based on the variation in size, clay domain pores are
- 291 divided further into: 1) Large clay domain pores (pore size $>5x10^5$ nm²) and the pore boundary is defined by more
- than three clay particles; and 2) small clay domain pores (pore size $<5x10^5$ nm²) that occur between 2-3 clay
- 293 particles (see further details below). Large and small clay domain pores are classified by geometry as: 1) Elongate
- 294 pores (aspect ratio >3:1) and 2) equant-shaped pores (aspect ratio <3:1). Elongate pores consist of 1) Linear-
- elongated pores, and 2) crescent-shaped elongated pores. Examples of different clay-domain pore types are shown
- in Fig. 5, 6, and 7.
- Silt-adjacent pores are categorized by two types: 1) large silt-adjacent pores are $>5x10^5$ nm², and pore boundaries
- are defined by more than three particles; and 2) small silt-adjacent pores include pore sizes $(5 \times 10^5 \text{ nm}^2)$, and pore
- boundaries are defined by two/three particles (see further detail on the modal sizes of these pore types below).
- Large and small silt-adjacent pores are either: 1) Equant shaped (aspect ratio <3:1) or 2) elongated (aspect ratio
- 301 >3:1). Further, elongated silt-adjacent pores consist of 1) linear-shaped elongated pores and 2) crescent-shaped
- 302 elongated pores. These pore types are highlighted in Fig. 5, 6, and 7.

303 Change in inter-particle pore morphology with depth

304 Seafloor to 28mbsf (Unit I)

305 The shallow mud samples in Unit I are unconsolidated andporous (Fig. 5a). Sample SN-1 (1.24 mbsf) has a

- maximum MAD porosity of 80%. We observe three types of clay particle contacts in the microstructure of SN-1;
- edge to edge (EE), edge to face (EF), and face to face (FF) contacts (Table S4 in Supplementary data). Among
 them, EF and FF contacts are abundant and EE contacts are rare. The sample exhibits abundant large clay domain

309 pores and large silt-adjacent pores that are equant with smooth edges and a rounded pore perimeter. The sample

310 also contains abundant linear-elongated and equant-shaped small clay-domain pores. Crescent-shaped small clay

- domain pores are rare in the microstructure of this sample. Equant-shaped, small silt-adjacent pores are abundant.
- 312 In addition, linear elongated and crescent-shaped small, silt-adjacent pores are also common (Fig.5a; Table S3 in
- 313 Supplementary data).

314 With increasing compaction strain ($\varepsilon_c = 1.119$) and depth (5.1 mbsf; Figure S7 in Supplementary data), porosity

315 (MAD) reduces to 75% and corresponding COPL=19% (sample SN-2; Fig. 5b, Table S2 in Supplementary data).

The microstructures of SN-2 display are similar characteristics to those observed in sample SN-1, although there

are fewer large clay domain pores in SN-2 than SN-1. Linear elongated and equant-shaped small clay domain

318 pores are common Table S3 in Supplementary data), but crescent-shaped small clay domain pores are rare. The

319 microstructures of SN-2 exhibit abundant equant-shaped large and small silt-adjacent pores.

320 With an increase in compaction strain to $\varepsilon_c \sim 2.00$ (28 mbsf), the sample microstructure is dominated by FF contacts

321 (Fig. 5c), and EE and EF contacts are rare Table S4 in Supplementary data. Additionally, large clay-domain pores

322 become infrequent in the microstructure (Fig. 8). Crescent-shaped, small clay domain pores in the microstructure

323 are rare, whereas equant-shaped small clay domain pores are common. Both small and large silt-adjacent pores

- 324 exhibit equant shapes (Fig. 8d, e and f). The sample analyzed at the base of Unit I (SN-6; 28 mbsf) contains rare
- large clay-domain pores and abundant FF contacts (Fig. 5c; MAD porosity = 54% and COPL = 55%).

326 28 mbsf to 1500 mbsf (Units II and III)

327 Mud samples from the Nicobar fan section are more compacted than shallower samples. We analyzed a total of 29 328 samples using BIB-SEM at Aachen and 18 samples using the field emission SEM at UT Austin from this section. 329 An increase in compactional strain from 2.00 to 3.15 over a depth range of 28 to 1500 mbsf causes a porosity 330 reduction (MAD) of 54% to 28%, and the corresponding change in average COPL is 55% to 72%. The 331 microstructure of these samples is dominated by FF contacts among clay particles; EF and EE contacts are rare 332 (Table S4 in Supplementary data; Fig. 6 and 7 b, c). All samples exhibit abundant small linear-elongated clay domain pores between two parallel clay sheets (Fig. 8b). Equant-shaped small, clay domain pores are rarely 333 334 observed below 150 mbsf depth (ε_c >2.4). Crescent-shaped, small, clay domain pores are rare at shallow depth but become abundant with an increase in compactional strain $\varepsilon_c > 2.95$ (871.87 mbsf) as the surrounding clay particles 335 336 are bent (Fig.6). In addition, large clay domain pores in these samples are rarely observed in the vicinity of silt 337 clasts (Fig.6, Figure S8 in Supplementary data).

Below 100 mbsf ($\varepsilon_c = 2.20$), silt-adjacent small pores are dominantly equant shaped, but below 300 mbsf ($\varepsilon_c > 2.5$)

- 339 silt-adjacent small pores are dominantly linear-elongated (Fig. 8e). Crescent-shaped, small, silt-adjacent pores are
- 340 common in all samples. Large silt-adjacent pores are dominantly equant above 200 mbsf depth ($\varepsilon_c < 2.40$) and
- 341 commonly linear-elongate below 400 mbsf depth ($\varepsilon_c > 2.5$) (Fig.8f). It appears that due to an increase in
- 342 compactional strain, the shape of the silt-adjacent pores changes from equant to linear-elongated (Table S3 in
- 343 Supplementary data). In samples with more silt, equant-shaped small and large, silt-adjacent pores can persist at
- 344 greater depths (Fig. 8e and f).

- 345 Below 28 mbsf ($\epsilon_c > 2.0$), the number of large silt-adjacent pores in the microstructures decreases. Comparing
- samples SN-8 (74.07 mbsf and ε_c =2.09) and SN-32 (1267.14mbsf and ε_c =3.15) illustrates how the number of
- 347 large, silt-adjacent pores decreases with depth (Fig. 6a, and c) when the clay fraction (Table S2 in Supplementary
- data) is comparable. This relationship is apparent even in samples separated by a smaller depth difference (SN-
- 49 from 959.14 mbsf and SN-55 from 1433.36 mbsf; Fig. 7b and c). While the number of large pores diminishes,
- 350 the maximum size of the large silt-adjacent pores remains constant (10^7 nm^2 ; Figure S9).

351 Variation in the orientation of pores and grains due to compactional strain

- 352 We examined the change in orientation of the long axis of pores with increasing compaction strain. For all
- segmented pores, the angle between the long axis and the bedding plane was determined and plotted in rose
- diagrams (Supplementary data-15). Samples from the seafloor to 28 mbsf exhibit a weak preferred orientation of
- the long axis of pores with maxima oriented obliquely to the bedding planes. However, below 28 mbsf the samples
- 356 have a preferred orientation of the long axis of pores aligned subparallel to the bedding plane. Further, due to an
- 357 increase in vertical effective stress down section below 28 mbsf in Units II and III, the degree of preferred
- alignment of the long axis of pores only increases to a small amount (Figure S10).
- We determined the angle between the long axis of individual silt grains and the bedding plane for all samples and plotted the angle in a rose diagram (Figure S10). For quartz, feldspar, and calcite the degree of preferred orientation of the long axis of grains changes little with depth. However, the rose diagrams obtained for mica show weak maxima parallel to the bedding plane and several submaxima oriented obliquely to the bedding plane above 28 mbsf. Preferred alignment of the long axis of mica grains increases at 28 mbsf with a strong maximum oriented parallel to bedding plane. Below 28 mbsf, further increase in the degree of preferred alignment is small.

365 Size distribution of pores

- 366 Pore size distributions (Fig. 9) of shallow samples (Unit I) are trimodal. Sample SN-1 has peaks between 10^5 to
- 10^6 nm^2 , $10^6 \text{ to } 10^7 \text{ nm}^2$, and $10^7 \text{ to } 10^8 \text{ nm}^2$, and SN-2 has peaks from $10^4 \text{ to } 10^5 \text{ nm}^2$, $10^5 \text{ to } 10^6 \text{ nm}^2$, and $10^6 \text{ to } 10^6 \text{ nm}^2$, and $10^6 \text{ to } 10^6 \text{ nm}^2$, $10^5 \text{ to } 10^6 \text{ nm}^2$, and $10^6 \text{ to } 10^6 \text{ nm}^2$, 10^6 nm^2 , $10^6 \text{ to } 10^6 \text{ nm}^2$, $10^6 \text{ to } 10^6 \text{ nm}^2$, $10^6 \text{ to } 10^6 \text{ nm}^2$, 10^6 nm^2 , $10^6 \text{ to } 10^6 \text{ nm}^2$, $10^6 \text{ to } 10^6 \text{ nm}^2$, 10^6 nm^2 , $10^6 \text{ to } 10^6 \text{ nm}^2$, 10^6 nm^2
- 10^7 nm^2 . These three pore size regimes correspond to the small clay domain and silt-adjacent pores, large clay
- domain pores, and large silt-adjacent pores. Samples of Units II and III exhibit bimodal pore size distributions
- 370 (SN-10, SN-26, and SN-33 in Fig. 9). SN-10 has a peak between 10^5 to 10^6 nm², corresponding to small clay
- domain and silt-adjacent pores, and 10^6 to 10^7 nm², reflecting large silt-adjacent pores. Large clay domain pores
- are absent from samples below 28mbsf depth (Units II and III) based on the pore size distributions combined with
- image analysis. At shallow depths, the contribution to total porosity by larger silt-adjacent pores is greater
- compared to the contribution by small clay domain pores (Fig. 9e and g). The contribution of large, silt-adjacent
- pores to total porosity diminishes with depth. Hence, at greater depth, contribution to total porosity by larger silt
 adjacent pore is less compared to small clay domain pores (Fig. 9i).
- Pore size distributions follow a power-law shown on a double logarithmic graph following the equations (Klaver et al., 2012; 2015; 2016; Hemes et al., 2013; 2015; 2016; Laurich et al., 2014):

$$379 \qquad \frac{N_i}{b_i s_{mosaic}} = C S_{Pore}{}^D \tag{Eqn-3}$$

$$\log\left(\frac{N_i}{b_i S_{mosaic}}\right) = -D.\log(S_{pore}) + Log C$$
(Eqn-4)

Where N_i = number of pores with area S_{pore} , b_i = bin size, S_{mosaic} = surface area of the current mosaic, C=constant, and D= power-law exponent. The resulting power-law exponent (D) varies between 1.70 to 2.00 (Table T2 in Supplementary data).

384 Effect of texture on porosity, pore morphology, and orientation of pores

We analyzed six samples (SN-7, SN-9, SN-17, SN-28, SN-29, and SN-31) that are enriched in silt content 385 386 compared to the rest of the mud samples (Table T2 in Supplementary data)). Silt content has a positive correlation to the total SEM porosity. For example, sample SN-29 (1172.88 mbsf) exhibits a BIB-SEM porosity of 14% 387 388 whereas other samples from a similar depth with less silt exhibit an average BIB-SEM porosity of 12% (Table T2 389 in Supplementary data)) at 20000x magnification. The samples with greater silt content are also enriched in equant-390 shaped silt-adjacent larger pores (Fig.10a). We also estimated the orientation of the long axis of pores for these 391 three samples and plotted the obtained results as rose diagrams (Fig.10b). The obtained results exhibit a relatively 392 weak preferred alignment of the long axis of pores with respect to the bedding planes (Fig. 10b).

393

394 Discussions

395 Effective stress vs porosity: A comparison with experimental study

To understand the consolidation mechanisms of the Sumatra sediments, we estimated vertical effective stress following the steps proposed by Hüpers et al. (2015). Following Terzaghi and Peck (1948) vertical effective stress (σ_v) is expressed as:

$$399 \qquad \sigma_{\rm v} = \sigma_{\rm v} - P_{\rm f} \tag{Eqn-5}$$

400 Here $\sigma_v =$ total vertical stress caused by the overburden load, and $P_f =$ fluid pressure. To compute vertical effective 401 stress of a layered sediment, we use Eqn 6:

402
$$\sigma_{\mathbf{v}} = \sum (\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathbf{s}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot \mathbf{g} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{z}$$
 (Eqn-6)

where ρ_s = bulk density of the sediment, ρ_w = density of the pore water, Δz = depth interval, and g= gravitational acceleration. Although small offset strike-slip faults are evident at the seafloor and in seismic reflection profiles (McNeill et al., 2017), the amount of strain attributed to these fault offsets supports the idea that the maximum horizontal stress is comparable to the vertical stress; there is no evidence in seismic reflection data or from core microstructures for thrust or reverse faults associated with a vertical least principal stress. On this basis, we assume that vertical stress is the maximum principal stress, and that pore pressure is hydrostatic. Bulk density of the

- sediment ρ_s was acquired from MAD data set obtained from IODP website (McNeill et al., 2017), and ρ_w was considered as the density of seawater i.e. 1025 kg/m³ (Hüpers et al., 2015).
- 411 We plotted vertical effective stress against MAD porosity for 55 mud samples (Fig.11). Fawad et al. (2010)
- 412 experimentally studied the consolidation behavior of mud with varied proportions of silt and clay. While Sumatra
- 413 samples follow trends like those defined by Fawad et al. (2010), the experimental samples are more compacted
- 414 than natural Sumatra samples for the same silt content.
- 415 Clay mineralogy has a significant effect on the compaction behavior of mudstone (Mondol et al., 2007). Mondol
- 416 et al. (2007) performed compaction experiments using pure smectite and pure kaolinite clay particle packs because
- 417 they represent two end members compared to other clay minerals (illite and chlorite) in terms of grain size and
- 418 surface area. Smectite is the more fine-grained clay with the largest surface area while kaolinite is coarser and has
- 419 a smaller surface area than other clay mineral types (Meade, 1964; Mesri and Olson, 1971; Rieke and Chilingarian,
- 420 1974). Kaolinite is more compressible than smectite, and clay compaction gradually decreases with increasing the
- 421 proportion of small size clay particles in the sample (Mondol et al, 2007).
- Fawad et al., (2010) used clay mixtures of 81% kaolinite, 14% mica, and 5% microcline grains, whereas Sumatra mud samples are composed of 50%-79% illite and 5%-30% smectite, with only 8-20% undifferentiated chlorite and kaolinite and 5-10% quartz particles. Therefore, due to higher illite and smectite content, Sumatra muds appeared to be less compacted than the experimental samples used by Fawad et al. (2010).

426 BIB-SEM porosity vs MAD porosity

427 We note that BIB-SEM porosity is lower than the porosity found from shipboard MAD data, however the two measurements correlate along a line through the origin. (Fig.3b). The reason for this difference is that MAD 428 429 porosity measures the total amount of moisture in a much larger sample and accounts for pores much below the PPR. Rare large pores are also under-represented in the 1 mm² BIB section. Earlier studies also documented and 430 431 discussed mismatches between MAD and BIB-SEM measurements (Hemes et al., 2013; Houben et al., 2014; Nole et al., 2016; Oelker et al., 2019). We plotted estimated BIB-SEM porosity and MAD porosity data from earlier 432 433 studies on Boom clay (Hemes et al., 2013; Oelker et al., 2019); Opalinus clay (Houben et al., 2014) and samples from the Nankai trough (Nole et al., 2016). The data for Boom clay and Opalinus clay follow a similar trend to the 434 Sumatra samples, whereas clay samples from the Nankai trough shows a different trend. This difference may be 435 436 attributed to differences in magnification of Nankai trough samples.

In addition, we plotted clay content against the difference between the two porosities in Fig.12a. We performed
regression analysis using the data set for the 33 mud samples analyzed at Aachen (Fig.12b) for BIB-SEM porosity
versus MAD porosity (following Eqn-7) but also incorporating the effect of clay content (following the Eqn-8).

- 440 BIB-SEM porosity=a*MAD porosity + c (Eqn-7)
- 441 BIB-SEM porosity =a*MAD porosity + b*clay content + c

(Eqn-8)

442 The coefficient of determinations (\mathbb{R}^2) for Eqn-7 and Eqn-8 are 0.8408 and 0.9262 respectively. These results 443 suggest that the ratio in porosity depends on depth and clay content.

444 For all samples the BIB-SEM pore size distribution follows a power-law over an interval of three orders of 445 magnitude. We may extrapolate this below the practical pore resolution (PPR; Klaver et al., 2012; Kuila and Prasad, 2013; Wang et al., 2019). Extrapolating our data set down to 3nm pore diameter, the BIB-SEM porosity 446 447 increases only up to 20%~25%. A mismatch of 15% to 20% between the MAD porosity and extrapolated BIB-SEM porosity remains. The fall -off from the normal trend in log-log pore size distribution plots (Fig.9b) for the 448 449 shallow depth (Unit-I) samples suggest that also large pores are also under-counted in the data set. The mud 450 samples from Unit-I contains forams that are rare or absent in the deeper section (Figure S11 a, b, c, and d in 451 Supplementary data), and part of missing pore volume can be attributed to the intact forams that may be missed 452 due to the small size of the BIB SEM sample.

Another factor that can create a mismatch between data sets is drying artefacts. In the past, Desbois et al. (2014) 453 454 performed a detailed study on drying artefacts of mudstone samples using Cryogenic BIB-SEM technique. They identified four types of drying damages (Type-I, II, III and IV) that can develop during drying of a mudstone. 455 456 Type-I and type-II drying damage develops at clay/clay particle interfaces with tip to long axis contact, and at 457 clay/clast interfaces. Heterogeneous deformational behavior or shrinkage strain of clay and/or non-clay mineral 458 grains can cause a build-up in stress at the boundary between particles during drying. Type-III drying artefacts are 459 large cracks that develops within the clay matrix itself. Type-IV drying artefacts are the small damages that modify 460 pore morphology during drying. Among all of them, Type-II and III are the most spectacular and large enough to 461 modify microstructure significantly. The morphology of the type-II and III drying artefacts are characterized by 462 large irregular shaped very elongated pores with serrated pore boundaries. However, in the present study, the large 463 clay domains and silt-adjacent pores in all samples potentially show smooth edges and rounded pore tip-end, which are incompatible with the typical morphologies of the drying artefacts (Fig.5, 6 and 7). Hence, drying artefacts 464 465 appear to be less important for reconciling a mismatch between MAD and BIB-SEM porosity.

Shallow samples from Unit-I are richer in smectite content than the deeper samples. The moisture and density method (MAD) may overestimate the measured porosity of the sediment if interlayer water from smectite is included in the measurement (Brown and Ransom, 1996; Dutilleul et al., 2020). Greater smectite content in the shallow samples (Unit-I) may have contributed to an overestimation of the MAD porosity in the study.

470 Micromechanical model for porosity reduction

471 Sharp reduction in porosity at the shallow depth from the seafloor to 28 mbsf

High porosity (80% MAD; 32% BIB-SEM) in the shallowest sediments is attributed to large pores in the samples created by abundant EE and EF particle contacts (Fig. 5a and 7a). These contacts are unstable and collapse under low effective stress to form FF contacts, resulting in a rapid porosity decrease within the first 28m of burial (Supplementary data-11). This deformation is apparent from the reduction of large clay domain pores observed over this interval (Fig. 8; Supplementary data-10). Collapse of pores surrounded by EE and EF contacts is further recognized by the progressive alignment of clay particles into the bedding plane, which promotes an increase in 478 the number of elongated, small, clay domain pores parallel to the bedding plane. Each of these observations is 479 consistent with rotation of clay particles into the bedding plane as these large clay-domain pores collapse.

480 Mechanism of porosity reduction from 28 mbsf to 1500 mbsf

Below 28 mbsf to >1500 mbsf, porosity continues to decrease from 52-30% (MAD) but at a reduced pace. SEM observations suggest that this porosity decline results from the progressive loss of silt-adjacent pores with large silt-adjacent pores lost before small ones (Fig. 8), although they remain present in common abundance to1200 mbsf. Small clay domain pores are abundant throughout the section, and the large clay domain pores are lost above 28 mbsf.

Within the population of silt-adjacent pores, the large, equant pores are most susceptible to collapse (Fig. 8). Large, elongate pores persist in abundance, both in linear and crescent geometries. While it seems plausible that large, equant pores collapse to form large, elongate pores, no corresponding increase in the elongate pore population is observed. Large, elongate pores may collapse further and become small silt-adjacent pores. Microstructural evidence supports the idea that large equant pores collapse as surrounding clay particles within clay-rich domains bend and shrink the size of the remaining pore (Fig.13), and that the collapse results in an increasing aspect ratio of the pore.

- 493 Frequently, bent clay particles are observed on the top of larger silt-adjacent pores. In the clay microstructure, 494 large silt-adjacent pores act as a zone of heterogeneous strain localization. Clay particles can bend and collapse 495 into large silt-adjacent pores more readily than the smaller pores in the clay matrix (Fig.13a to f). With increasing 496 vertical effective stress two situations can arise which are demonstrated in the model shown in Fig.13g. First, with 497 an increase in effective stress, the bent clay particles can lose frictional resistance from the sidewall (Fig.13a and 498 b), can continue to bend, and slide down to fill the larger silt-adjacent pore space (Fig.13g-(iii)). Second, with an 499 increase in vertical effective stress, bent clay particles may develop fractures (red lines in Fig.13g-(iv)) and 500 subsequently collapse into the larger silt-adjacent pore space to reduce the porosity of samples (Fig.13g-(v)). 501 Fig.13a represents fractured bent clay on the top of the larger silt adjacent pore (shown by white arrow). Similarly, 502 two small clay particles appear to have fallen into the larger silt adjacent pore space (Fig.13e) while another bent 503 clay particle (shown by white arrow) covers the pore. Fig.13f represents a bent clay particle wrapping across the 504 top of two quartz particles, and four small clay platelets fill the space between two quartz particles, suggesting an 505 older, larger silt-adjacent pore filled by fractured clay platelets. Occurring within the pore space between two 506 equant quartz grains (Fig.13f), four small clay particles appear to have developed due to the fracturing of two large 507 bent clay particles. Hence, it appears that the collapse of larger silt-adjacent pores in these mud samples is governed 508 by the bending of clay particles and subsequent fracturing due to an increase in vertical effective stress. While 509 these processes are defined within individual pores, the observed deformation is interpreted to result from an 510 imposed force chain that acts on specific pores in a progressive manner as the force chain evolves and as adjacent 511 pores deform.
- 512 Small silt-adjacent pores also become less abundant with burial, but the transition occurs deeper than the large 513 pores, and small, silt-adjacent pores remain common throughout the section (Fig. 8; Supplementary data-11). 514 Small equant pores are lost like the large pores, and elongate pores remain abundant within this population subset

- throughout. There is a loose correspondence between the loss of small, equant pores and an increase in elongate
- 516 pores, suggesting that pore flattening is part of the pore collapse history. The pore collapse evolution outlined for
- 517 large pores (Fig.13g) appears to also hold for small pores, even though observations are more challenging.
- 518 Small, clay domain pores appear to remain resilient throughout the compaction history (Fig. 8), even though some 519 of these pores must become lost to account for porosity loss. Small, equant pores are lost between 100-200 m, and 520 this loss appears to be accommodated by an increase in elongate pores (Fig. 8). Elongate crescent pores increase 521 in abundance around 800 mbsf, and we interpret this to reflect folding of abundant linear elongate pores as the 522 overall system compacts.
- 523 Large equant pores in the clay domain are lost within the first few 10's of meters of burial. Elongate pores appear 524 to form at the expense of equant pores, and there may be a reduction in pore size associated with this shape change. 525 Most of the pores remaining after 1500 m of burial are small, elongate pores found both in clay domain and silt-526 adjacent pores.

527 The presence of silt particles locally redistributes the force chain of load to retain undeformed, silt-adjacent, large 528 pores (Schneider et al., 2011). The samples with greater silt content are also enriched in equant-shaped silt-adjacent 529 larger pores (Fig.10) in the microstructure. Hence, as a result, they display greater porosity compared to other 530 samples from similar depth intervals (Fig.10).

531 Previous studies report contrasting ideas on the development of phyllosilicate fabric strength due to mechanical 532 compaction. Some studies suggest that mechanical compaction creates a phyllosilicate fabric in mud (Bowles et al., 1969; Oertel and Curtis, 1972; Vasseur et al., 1995), whereas other studies conclude that vertical effective 533 534 stress has limited impact on phyllosilicate fabric development (Ho et al., 1999; Aplin et al., 2006; Day-Stirrat et al., 2008; 2011). Here, we consider the preferred orientation of pores as a proxy for the alignment of phyllosilicate 535 536 (Hemes et al., 2013). At shallow depth (Unit-I), a weak preferred alignment of the long axis of pores with maxima 537 oriented obliquely to the bedding planes is formed (Supplementary data-15), and at greater depth (Unit-II and III) 538 the long axes of pores become aligned subparallel to the bedding plane. Increase in vertical effective stress below 539 28mbsf depth creates only a small increase in the preferred orientation of the long axis of pores. Hence, we found 540 only a limited change in phyllosilicate fabric strength with increasing vertical stress.

541 Previous authors also document the evolution of pore size distributiosn in mud with an increase in consolidation 542 stress using laboratory experiments and merury-intrusion porosimetry (Griffiths and Joshi, 1989; 1990). They 543 conclude that the pore size distribution appears to be bi-modal, and the distribution curve shifts toward smaller 544 pore sizes with an increase in applied consolidation stress (Griffiths and Joshi, 1989). We observe an initial 545 transition from tri-modal to bi-modal pore size distribution around 28mbsf depth due to rapid collapse of large 546 clay domain pores by compactional strain. With an increase in depth below 28mbsf, the bi-modal pore size 547 distribution persists and tends to shift toward small pore sizes as the number of larger silt-adjacent pores 548 diminishes.

Laboratory studies have emphasized the importance of clay particle rotation as a dominant mechanism for mechanical compaction in mudstone (Bennett et al., 1981, 1991; Vasseur et al., 1995; Aplin et al., 2006; Day551 Stirrat et al., 2008; 2011). We observe particle rotation only in the shallowest samples where unstable EE and EF 552 particle contacts are present. Clay particle bending and sliding/fracturing are considered more important for most

553 of the section studied.

554 Mechanical compaction of marine sediment: a conceptual model

According to earlier studies (Delage and Lefebvre, 1984; Griffiths and Joshi, 1989; 1990; Emmanuel and Day-555 Stirrat 2012), the reduction of pores in sedimentary rocks during compaction is size-dependent - larger pores 556 557 deform much readily than smaller pores. According to their model, larger pores rapidly decrease in size during 558 compaction to reduce the overall porosity of the sample. However, microstructural analysis of Sumatra samples 559 suggests that porosity reduction is accomplished by compaction of all pore sizes. Moreover, the maximum size of 560 pores remains almost constant irrespective of increasing vertical effective stress/depth (Supplementary data-8) 561 with little difference observed for the maximum pore size in samples from 98.25 mbsf and 1299.31 mbsf. The 562 preservation of a constant ratio between MAD and BIB-SEM porosity measurements (Fig. 3b) suggests that 563 porosity loss is distributed across all pore sizes. We infer that all pore sizes are available for compaction for every increment of applied stress but acknowledge that pore size reduction in different size classes may proceed at 564 565 different rates.

566 We propose a new model for the reduction in porosity in which all pores within the force chain of load take part 567 in the reduction of porosity during compaction irrespective of their size. At shallow depth up to 28mbsf, larger clay-domain pores are the most susceptible to early response during an increase in compactional strain, because of 568 two reasons: 1) the 'domains' defined by the clay particles are weaker compared to the larger, rigid silt grains, and 569 570 2) due to higher relative proportion of clay-rich regions within the mud, the force chain of load dominantly passes 571 through the clay domains. The dispersed nature of the silt-size particles and the high proportion of phyllosilicates 572 in the mud samples indicate that soft clay particles act as the principal load-bearing framework. Hence, larger clay 573 domain pores are more unstable compared to silt-adjacent pores in the mud microstructure. Similarly, below 574 28mbsf depth, under an increase in vertical effective stress, both the larger silt-adjacent pores and smaller pores in 575 the clay matrix that come within the force chain of load collapse. Hence, the ratio between BIB-SEM porosity vs 576 MAD porosity remains almost constant irrespective of the depth. All larger silt-adjacent pores do not come within 577 the force chain of load at the same time. Hence, some of the larger silt-adjacent pores remained undeformed to the 578 maximum depth of 1500mbsf depth. Therefore, the maximum size of the larger silt-adjacent pores remains almost 579 constant irrespective of the depth/vertical effective stress.

580 While our understanding of how different pore types is consistent with all available data, tracking the pore 581 evolution through additional size categories would elucidate the pore evolution in more detail. Preliminary pore 582 size distribution data (Fig.9) indicate that 4 size bins exist in these samples. Developing this approach requires 583 improved image analysis techniques to tie all the pore attributes together on a pore-by-pore basis for a huge number 584 of pores.

585 Compaction strain accommodation and grain-scale deformation

586 Deformation of clay-rich sedimentary rocks involves four possible mechanisms: 1) Particulate flow; (Morgenstern

and Tchalenko, 1967; Borradaile et al., 1981); 2) Cataclasis; (Ukar and Cloos, 2019) 3) Diffusive mass transfer;

588 (Blenkinsop, 2007; Fossen, 2016); 4) Intercrystalline plasticity (Blenkinsop, 2007; Fossen, 2016). Intensity and

589 occurrence of a particular deformation mechanism in a mudstone depend on several parameters, such as effective

590 stress, water content, cementation, temperature (Desbois et al., 2017; Den Hartog and Spiers, 2014).

591 All our samples show evidence of particulate flow controlled by friction between grains. At shallow depths, illite 592 platelets contacted at EE and EF junctions lose these weak bonds, and particles rotate into bedding-parallel 593 orientation. Once FF contacts dominate, large-scale rotations are reduced, and intra-particle slip becomes 594 important. This is best evidenced in collapse of large, silt-adjacent pores where bent clay particles overlie pores 595 (Fig. 14a to f). In deforming granular foam material, bending was reported as the dominant deformation mechanism 596 for the reduction in porosity and developing preferred alignment of the long axis of pores perpendicular to the 597 applied stress (Elliott et al., 2002, Zhou et al., 2004; Samsudin et al., 2017; Zakaria et al., 2018) (review of these 598 earlier studies on the experimental deformation of granular foam is described in document S2). Friction adheres 599 clay particles to the edge of pores while the middle of particles drops into the pore, resulting in bending by intraparticle slip. A cartoon (Fig.14g) illustrates the compaction mechanism associated with the bending of clay 600 601 particles. With increasing compaction strain, clay particles undergo bending, and as a result, pore area reduces, and the orientation of the pores tends to align perpendicular to the applied effective stress (Fig.14g). At shallow 602 603 depths (Unit-I), particles get enough free space for rotation to align parallel to the bedding plane because of higher 604 porosity (Figure S12a and b). However, at greater depth where porosity decreases, space problems limit particle 605 deformation to bending and fracturing as increase in compactional strain increases (Figure S12c and d).

606 Compaction of Sumatra input section: generalized implication for rock property

607 evolution

The overall compaction curve obtained for Sumatra muds is comparable with the experimental study by Fawad et al., 2010 in the context of compactional range (Fig.8). The curve shows a mono-exponential decrease in porosity with an increase in vertical effective stress, which is evidence of normal consolidation (Fawad et al., 2010; Dutilleul et al., 2020).

612 The larger silt-adjacent pores seen in the deepest of these samples (1500 m burial) suggest these muds retain 613 considerable potential for additional mechanical compaction with deeper burial. As this marine sediment 614 progressively approaches greater burial closer to the accretionary prism, it will undergo further change in physical and deformational properties (Bray and Karig, 1985). Despite the substantial compactional strain, the relatively 615 616 high porosity of the deepest sample and the survival of larger and mechanically unstable silt-margin pores suggests that compactional stabilization has yet to be reached because such IGVs and pore types are not generally observed 617 618 in older and lithified mudrocks. Based on the current understanding of subduction zone deformation behavior and 619 mudrock properties, it seems likely that mechanical compaction will continue to dominate the pore loss in deeper

620 burial.

- The general absence of early cementation and the corresponding dominance of compaction in the total pore loss is consistent with observations of other siliciclastic-dominated muds (Milliken, 2014; 2019). The trends for intergranular volume change observed from the seafloor and 1500mbsf place useful constraints on the maximum cement volumes that theoretically could be emplaced at this depth range in sediments containing a more reactive grain assemblage. At the depths of burial attained at the deformation front, any cementation of the Sumatra input
- 626 sediments will be limited to <30% of the rock volume, or possibly much less, as mechanical compaction is
- 627 expected to continue up to the burial temperatures that initiate grain reactions and associated cementation.

628 Conclusions

Pores are classified by size and microstructural position, resulting in a multi-modal contribution to the total porosity. Shallow samples (seafloor to 28 mbsf) display a sharp reduction in porosity from 80% to 52% as large clay domain/matrix pores collapse. Deeper samples (28 mbsf to 1500 mbsf) exhibit a smaller reduction in porosity from 50% to 32% due to the collapse of silt-adjacent pores by bending and subsequent fracturing/sliding of clay particles.

- The class of large pores next to silt-sized grains (between 10^4 and 10^6 nm²) remains common to >1 km burial, irrespective of the mineralogy of the silt-sized grains, but their size decreases with depth. Small, equant pores next
- to silt particles are abundant in the first 100 m of burial and remain common over the entire depth range.
- Small pores in clay domains are almost all elongated, and abundant over all observed depths. Small, crescentshaped elongate pores increase in abundance with depth as clay particles become folded by compactional
 processes.
- The size-independence of pore loss arises because the force chain driving pore collapse is localized primarily within the volumetrically dominant and weaker clay-rich domains; larger pores around isolated silt particles enter into the force chain somewhat randomly and asynchronously and do not contribute preferentially to pore loss over
- 643 the depth range studied.
- An increase in effective stress up to 18MPa (~1500 mbsf) causes the development of weakly aligned phyllosilicate
 fabric (defined by mica and illite clay particles) in the microstructure.
- Compaction processes in our samples are dominated by granular flow (rotation and frictional sliding of illite clay
 particles) at shallow depths. With increasing depth, compaction is additionally accommodated by bending of clay
 particles.

649 Data availability

- 650 High resolution SE2 and BSE images of all samples are available online at:
- 651 https://figshare.com/s/cbaada517b0b1409d575

652 Authors contributions

SL and KLM performed sample preparation and BIB-SEM microscopy. SL analysed the data. JLU and GD acquired funding. JLU managed the project. PV, KLM and JLU significantly contributed to interpret the data. SL wrote the first draft of the manuscript. PV, KLM and JLU contributed for the correction and improvement of the manuscript.

657 Competing interests

The authors declare that they do not have any conflict of interest.

659 Acknowledgments

SL and JLU thank German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft [DFG] grant UR 64/19-1) 660 for providing funding to carry out the research. IODP (International Ocean Discovery Programme) sample 661 662 repository, Japan is acknowledged for providing oriented mud samples for the study. KLM acknowledges the 663 samples and data provided by the International Ocean Discovery Program (IODP). Funding for sample preparation 664 and SEM imaging was supported by a post-expedition award (Milliken, P.I.) from the Consortium for Ocean Leadership. SL thanks Manuel Menzel, Jop Klaver, Liene Spruženiece, and Joyce Schmatz for providing valuable 665 time to teach BIB-SEM techniques. We would like to thank Dave Duehurst and Bernhard Schuck for their 666 constructive ideas in the review reports, and Virginia Toy for editorial handling. 667

668 References

- Adams, R. and Bischof, L.: Seeded region growing. IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine
 intelligence. IEEE: 16(6), 641-647. https//DOI. 10.1109/34.295913, 1994.
- Ajdukiewicz, J. M. and Lander, R. H.: Sandstone reservoir quality prediction: state of the art, AAPG Bulletin, 94,:
- 672 1082-1091, https:// doi.org/10.1306/intro060110, 2010.
- Ammon, C.J., Ji, C., Thio, H.K., Robinson, D., Ni, S., Hjorleifsdottir, V., Kanamori, H., Lay, T., Das, S.,
- Helmberger, D. and Ichinose, G. Rupture process of the 2004 Sumatra-Andaman earthquake, Science, 308(5725),
- 675 1133-1139, DOI: 10.1126/science.1112260, 2005.
- Aplin, A.C. and Macquaker, J.H.: Mudstone diversity: Origin and implications for source, seal, and reservoir
- 677 properties in petroleum systems, AAPG bulletin, 95(12), 2031-2059, https://doi.org/10.1306/03281110162, 2011.
- Aplin, A.C., Matenaar, I.F. and Vvan Dder Pluijm, B.A.: Influence of mechanical compaction and chemical
 diagenesis on the microfabric and fluid flow properties of Gulf of Mexico mudstones Journal of Geochemical
- 680 Exploration, 78, 449-451, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-6742(03)00035-9, 2003.

- Aplin, A.C., Matenaar, I.F., McCarty, D.K. and Vvan Der Pluijm, B.A.: Influence of mechanical compaction and
- 682 clay mineral diagenesis on the microfabric and pore-scale properties of deep-water Gulf of Mexico mudstones,
- 683 Clays and Clay Minerals, 54(4), 500-514, https://doi.org/10.1346/CCMN.2006.0540411, 2006.
- Backman, J., Chen, W., Kachovich, S., Mitchison, F. L., Petronotis, K. E., Yang, T. and Zhao, X.: Data report:
 Revised age models for IODP Sites U1480 and U1481, Expedition 362, Proceedings of the International Ocean
- 686Discovery Program, Expedition Reports 362, https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.362.202.2019, 2019.
- 687 Baruch, E.T., Kennedy, M.J., Löhr, S.C. and Dewhurst, D.N.: Feldspar dissolution-enhanced porosity in
- 688 Paleoproterozoic shale reservoir facies from the Barney Creek Formation (McArthur Basin, Australia). AAPG
- 689 Bulletin, 99(9), 1745-1770, https://doi.org/10.1306/04061514181, 2015.
- Bennett, R.H., Bryant, W.R. and Keller, G.H.: Clay fabric of selected submarine sediments; fundamental properties
 and models, Journal of Sedimentary Research, 51(1), 217-232, https://doi.org/10.1306/212F7C52-2B24-11D7-
- 692 8648000102C1865D, 1981.
- Bennett, R.H., O'Brien, N.R. and Hulbert, M.H.: Determinants of clay and shale microfabric signatures: processes
 and mechanisms. In Microstructure of Fine-Grained Sediments, 5-32, Springer, New York, NY. https://DOI:
 10.1007/978-1-4612-4428-8_2, 1991.
- Blenkinsop, T.G.: Deformation microstructures and mechanisms in minerals and rocks, Springer Science &Business Media, 2007.
- Bowles, F.A., Bryant, W.R. and Wallin, C.: Microstructure of unconsolidated and consolidated marine sediments,
 Journal of Sedimentary Research, 39(4), 1546-1551, https://doi.org/10.1306/74D71E7E-2B21-11D78648000102C1865D, 1969.
- Borradaile, G.J.: Particulate flow of rock and the formation of cleavage. Tectonophysics, 72(3-4), 305-321,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(81)90243-2, 1981.
- Bray, C.J. and Karig, D.E.: Porosity of sediments in accretionary prisms and some implications for dewatering
 processes, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 90(B1), 768-778, https://doi.org/
 10.1029/JB090iB01p00768, 1985.
- Brown, K.M. and Ransom, B.: Porosity corrections for smectite-rich sediments: Impact on studies of compaction,
 fluid generation, and tectonic history. Geology, 24(9), 843-846, https://doi.org/10.1130/00917613(1996)024<0843:PCFSRS>2.3.CO;2, 1996.
- Burland, J.B.: On the compressibility and shear strength of natural clays. Géotechnique, 40(3), 329-378,
 doi.org/10.1680/geot.1990.40.3.329, 1990.

- 711 Chester, F.M., Rowe, C., Ujiie, K., Kirkpatrick, J., Regalla, C., Remitti, F., Moore, J.C., Toy, V., Wolfson-
- Schwehr, M., Bose, S. and Kameda, J.: Structure and composition of the plate-boundary slip zone for the 2011
- 713 Tohoku-Oki earthquake. Science, 342(6163), 1208-1211, https://DOI: 10.1126/science.1243719, 2013.
- 714 Day-Stirrat, R.J., Aplin, A.C., Środoń, J. and Van der Pluijm, B.A.: Diagenetic reorientation of phyllosilicate
- 715 minerals in Paleogene mudstones of the Podhale Basin, southern Poland, Clays and Clay Minerals, 56(1), 100-
- 716 111, DOI: 10.1346/CCMN.2008.0560109, 2008.
- 717 Day-Stirrat, R.J., Flemings, P.B., You, Y., Aplin, A.C. and van der Pluijm, B.A.: The fabric of consolidation in
- 718 Gulf of Mexico mudstones, Marine Geology, 295, 77-85, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2011.12.003, 2012.
- 719 Day-Stirrat, R.J., Milliken, K.L., Dutton, S.P., Loucks, R.G., Hillier, S., Aplin, A.C. and Schleicher, A.M.: Open-
- system chemical behavior in deep Wilcox Group mudstones, Texas Gulf Coast, USA, Marine and Petroleum
- 721 Geology, 27(9), 1804-1818, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2010.08.006, 2010.
- Day-Stirrat, R.J., Schleicher, A.M., Schneider, J., Flemings, P.B., Germaine, J.T. and van der Pluijm, B.A.:
 Preferred orientation of phyllosilicates: Effects of composition and stress on resedimented mudstone
 microfabrics, Journal of Structural Geology, 33(9), 1347-1358, https://DOI:10.1016/j.jsg.2011.06.007, 2011.
- 725 Dean, S.M., McNeill, L.C., Henstock, T.J., Bull, J.M., Gulick, S.P., Austin, J.A., Bangs, N.L., Djajadihardja, Y.S.
- and Permana, H.: Contrasting décollement and prism properties over the Sumatra 2004–2005 earthquake rupture
- 727 boundary, Science, 329(5988), 207-210, https://DOI: 10.1126/science.1189373, 2010.
- Delage, P. and Lefebvre, G.: Study of the structure of a sensitive Champlain clay and of its evolution during
 consolidation. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 21(1), 21-35, https://doi.org/10.1139/t84-003, 1984.
- Delle Piane, C., Almqvist, B.S., MacRae, C.M., Torpy, A., Mory, A.J. and Dewhurst, D.N.: Texture and diagenesis
 of Ordovician shale from the Canning Basin, Western Australia: Implications for elastic anisotropy and
 geomechanical properties. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 59, 56-71, doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2014.07.017,
 2015.
- Den Hartog, S. A. and Spiers, C. J.: A microphysical model for fault gouge friction applied to subduction
 megathrusts, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 119(2), 1510-1529.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JB010580, 2014.
- Desbois, G., Urai, J.L. and Kukla, P.A.: Morphology of the pore space in claystones–evidence from BIB/FIB ion
 beam sectioning and cryo-SEM observations. eEarth Discussions, 4(1), 1-19, 2009.
- Desbois, G., Urai, J.L., Hemes, S., Brassinnes, S., De Craen, M. and Sillen, X.: Nanometer-scale pore fluid
 distribution and drying damage in preserved clay cores from Belgian clay formations inferred by BIB-cryoSEM. Engineering Geology, 179, 117-131, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2014.07.004, 2014.

- 742 Desbois, G., Höhne, N., Urai, J. L., Bésuelle, P., and Viggiani, G.: Deformation in cemented mudrock (Callovo-
- 743 Oxfordian Clay) by microcracking, granular flow and phyllosilicate plasticity: insights from triaxial deformation,
- broad ion beam polishing and scanning electron microscopy, Solid Earth, 8, 291–305, https://doi.org/10.5194/se-
- 745 8-291-2017, 2017.
- 746 Desbois, G., Urai, J. L., Kukla, P. A., Konstanty, J., & Baerle, C.: High-resolution 3D fabric and porosity model
- in a tight gas sandstone reservoir: A new approach to investigate microstructures from mm-to nm-scale combining

argon beam cross-sectioning and SEM imaging. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 78(2), 243-257,

- 749 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2011.06.004, 2011.
- Dugan, B., McNeill, L. and Petronotis, K.: Expedition 362 preliminary report: Sumatra subduction zone,
 International Ocean Discovery Program, 2017.
- Dutilleul, J., Bourlange, S., Conin, M. and Géraud, Y.: Quantification of bound water content, interstitial porosity 752 753 and fracture porosity in the sediments entering the North Sumatra subduction zone from Cation Exchange Capacity 754 and IODP Expedition 362 resistivity data, Marine and Petroleum Geology, 111, 156-165,. 755 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.08.007, 2020.
- Ehrenberg, S. N.: Assessing the relative importance of compaction processes and cementation to reduction of
 porosity in sandstones: discussion. American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, 73, 1274-1276,
 https://doi.org/10.1306/44B4AA1E-170A-11D7-8645000102C1865D, 1989.
- Elliott, J.A., Windle, A.H., Hobdell, J.R., Eeckhaut, G., Oldman, R.J., Ludwig, W., Boller, E., Cloetens, P. and
 Baruchel, J.: In-situ deformation of an open-cell flexible polyurethane foam characterised by 3D computed
 microtomography, Journal of materials science, 37(8), 1547-1555, doi:10.1023/A:1014920902712, 2002.
- Emmanuel, S. and Day-Stirrat, R.J.: 2012. A framework for quantifying size dependent deformation of nano-scale
 pores in mudrocks Journal of applied geophysics, 86, 29-35, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2012.07.011, 2012.
- Fawad, M., Mondol, N.H., Jahren, J. and Bjørlykke, K.: Microfabric and rock properties of experimentally
 compressed silt-clay mixtures, Marine and Petroleum Geology, 27(8), 1698-1712,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2009.10.002, 2010.
- Fossen, H.: Structural geology. Cambridge university press. 2016.
- Ghosal, D., Singh, S.C. and Martin, J.: Shallow subsurface morphotectonics of the NW Sumatra subduction system
 using an integrated seismic imaging technique, Geophysical Journal International, 198(3), 1818-1831,
 https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggu182, 2014.
- Griffiths, F.J. and Joshi, R.C.: Change in pore size distribution due to consolidation of clays. Geotechnique, 39(1),
 159-167, doi.org/10.1680/geot.1989.39.1.159, 1989.

- Griffiths, F.J. and Joshi, R.C.: Clay fabric response to consolidation. Applied clay science, 5(1), 37-66,
 doi.org/10.1016/0169-1317(90)90005-A, 1990.
- Griffiths, F.J. and Joshi, R.C.: Change in pore size distribution owing to secondary consolidation of clays. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 28(1), 20-24, https://doi.org/10.1139/t91-003, 1991.
- 777 Hemes, S., Desbois, G., Klaver, J. and Urai, J.L.: Microstructural characterisation of the Ypresian clays (Kallo-1)
- at nanometre resolution, using broad-ion beam milling and scanning electron microscopy. Netherlands Journal of
- 779 Geosciences, 95(3), 293-313, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/njg.2016.16, 2016.
- Hemes, S., Desbois, G., Urai, J.L., De Craen, M. and Honty, M.: Variations in the morphology of porosity in the
 Boom Clay Formation: insights from 2D high resolution BIB-SEM imaging and Mercury injection
 Porosimetry. Netherlands Journal of geosciences, 92(4), 275-300, DOI: doi.org/10.1017/S0016774600000214,
 2013.
- Hemes, S., Desbois, G., Urai, J.L., Schröppel, B. and Schwarz, J.O.: Multi-scale characterization of porosity in
 Boom Clay (HADES-level, Mol, Belgium) using a combination of X-ray μ-CT, 2D BIB-SEM and FIB-SEM
 tomography. Microporous and mesoporous materials, 208, 1-20, https://
 doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2015.01.022, 2015.
- Hesse, R.: Turbiditic and non-turbiditic mudstone of Cretaceous flysch sections of the East Alps and other
 basins. Sedimentology, 22(3), 387-416, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.1975.tb01638.x, 1975.
- Hippchen, S. and Hyndman, R.D.: Thermal and structural models of the Sumatra subduction zone: Implications
 for the megathrust seismogenic zone. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 113(B12), https://doi.org/10.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015TC003901, 2008.
- Ho, N.C., Peacor, D.R. and Van der Pluijm, B.A.: Preferred orientation of phyllosilicates in Gulf Coast mudstones
 and relation to the smectite-illite transition. Clays and Clay Minerals, 47(4), 495-504, DOI: 10.1346/CCMN.
 1999.0470412, 1999.
- Houben, M.E., Desbois, G. and Urai, J.L.: A comparative study of representative 2D microstructures in Shaly and
 Sandy facies of Opalinus Clay (Mont Terri, Switzerland) inferred form BIB-SEM and MIP methods, Marine and
 Petroleum Geology, 49, 143-161, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2013.10.009,. 2014.
- Hüpers, A., Ikari, M.J., Dugan, B., Underwood, M.B. and Kopf, A.J.: Origin of a zone of anomalously high
 porosity in the subduction inputs to Nankai Trough. Marine Geology, 361, 147-162,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2015.01.004, 2015.
- 802 Hüpers, A., Torres, M.E., Owari, S., McNeill, L.C., Dugan, B., Henstock, T.J., Milliken, K.L., Petronotis, K.E.,
- Backman, J., Bourlange, S. and Chemale, F.: Release of mineral-bound water prior to subduction tied to shallow
 seismogenic slip off Sumatra. Science, 356(6340), 841-844. 2017.

- Jiang, M., Klaver, J., Schmatz, J. and Urai, J.L.: Nanoscale porosity analysis in geological materials. Acta
 Stereologica, 2015.
- Kameda, A., Dvorkin, J., Keehm, Y., Nur, A. and Bosl, W.: Permeability-porosity transforms from small sandstone
 fragments. Geophysics, 71(1), N11-N19, https://doi.org/10.1190/1.2159054, 2006.
- 809 Karaborni, S., Smit, B., Heidug, W., Urai, J. and Van Oort, E.: The swelling of clays: molecular simulations of
- the hydration of montmorillonite. Science, 271(5252), 1102-1104, DOI: 10.1126/science.271.5252.1102, 1996.
- 811 Klaver, J., Desbois, G., Littke, R. and Urai, J.L.: BIB-SEM characterization of pore space morphology and
- 812 distribution in postmature to overmature samples from the Haynesville and Bossier Shales. Marine and petroleum
- 813 Geology, 59, 451-466, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2014.09.020, 2015.
- Klaver, J., Desbois, G., Littke, R. and Urai, J.L.: BIB-SEM pore characterization of mature and post mature
 Posidonia Shale samples from the Hils area, Germany. International Journal of Coal Geology, 158, 78-89,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coal.2016.03.003, 2016.
- Klaver, J., Desbois, G., Urai, J.L. and Littke, R.: BIB-SEM study of the pore space morphology in early mature
 Posidonia Shale from the Hils area, Germany. International Journal of Coal Geology, 103, 12-25.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coal.2012.06.012, 2012.
- 820 Kuila, U. and Prasad, M.: Specific surface area and pore-size distribution in clays and shales. Geophysical
- 821 Prospecting, 61(2-Rock Physics for Reservoir Exploration, Characterisation and Monitoring), pp.341-362,
- 822 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2478.12028, 2013.
- Lander, R. H. and Walderhaug, O. W.: Predicting porosity through simulating sandstone compaction and quartz
 cementation. American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin 83: 433-449,
 https://doi.org/10.1306/00AA9BC4-1730-11D7-8645000102C1865D, 1999.
- Lander, R. H., Larese, R. H. Larese and Bonnell, L. M.: Toward more accurate quartz cement models: The
 importance of euhedral versus noneuhedral growth rates. American Association Petroleum Geologists Bulletin 92:
 1537-1563. https://doi.org/10.1306/07160808037, 2008.
- 829 Laurich, B., Urai, J.L., Desbois, G., Vollmer, C. and Nussbaum, C.: Microstructural evolution of an incipient fault
- zone in Opalinus Clay: Insights from an optical and electron microscopic study of ion-beam polished samples from
- the Main Fault in the Mt-Terri Underground Research Laboratory. Journal of Structural Geology, 67, 107-128.
- 832 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2014.07.014, 2014.
- Lay, T., Kanamori, H., Ammon, C. J., Nettles, M., Ward, S.N., Aster, R.C., Beck, S.L., Bilek, S.L., Brudzinski,
 M.R., Butler, R. and DeShon, H.R.: The great Sumatra-Andaman earthquake of 26 Ddecember
 2004. Sscience, 308(5725), pp.1127-1133, DOI: 10.1126/science.1112250, 2005.

- 836 Lazar, O.R., Bohacs, K.M., Macquaker, J.H., Schieber, J. and Demko, T.M.: Capturing key attributes of fine-
- grained sedimentary rocks in outcrops, cores, and thin sections: nomenclature and description guidelines. Journal
- 838 of Sedimentary Research, 85(3), pp.230-246, https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2015.11, 2015.
- Lundegard, P. D.: Sandstone porosity loss--a 'big picture' view of the importance of compaction. Journal of
 Sedimentary Petrology 62: 250-260, https://doi.org/10.1306/D42678D4-2B26-11D7-8648000102C1865D, 1992.
- 841 McNeill, L.C., Dugan, B. and Petronotis, K.E., Backman, J., Bourlange, S., Chemale, F., Chen, W., Colson, T.A.,
- 842 Frederik, M.C.G., Guèrin, G., Hamahashi, M., Henstock, T., House, B.M., Hüpers, A., Jeppson, T.N., Kachovich,
- 843 S., Kenigsberg, A.R., Kuranaga, M., Kutterolf, S., Milliken, K.L., Mitchison, F.L., Mukoyoshi, H., Nair, N.,
- 844 Owari, S., Pickering, K.T., Pouderoux, H.F.A., Yehua, S., Song, I., Torres, M.E., Vannucchi, P., Vrolijk, P.J.,
- 845 Yang, T., and Zhao, X.: Sumatra Subduction Zone. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery
- 846 Program, 362, https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.362.102.2017, 2017.
- Meade, R.H.: Removal of water and rearrangement of particles during the compaction of clayey sediments. US
 Government Printing Office, 1964.
- Mesri, G. and Olson, R.E.: Mechanisms controlling the permeability of clays. Clays and Clay minerals, 19(3),
 151-158, 1971.
- 851 Milliken, K. L.: A compositional classification for grain assemblages in fine-grained sediments and sedimentary
- 852 rocks. Journal of Sedimentary Research 84: 1185-1199, https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2014.92, 2008.
- Milliken, K. L.: A compositional classification for grain assemblages in fine-grained sediments and sedimentary
 rocks. Journal of Sedimentary Research 84: 1185-1199, https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2014.92, 2014.
- 855 Milliken K. L.: Compactional and mass-balance constraints inferred from the volume of quartz cementation in
- mudrocks. Mudstone Diagenesis: New Research Perspectives for Shale Hydrocarbon Reservoirs, Seals, and
 Source Rocks. AAPG. 120: 33-48, DOI: 10.1306/13672209M121252, 2019.
- Milliken, K. L. and Curtis, M. E.: Imaging pores in sedimentary rocks: Foundation of porosity prediction. Marine
 and Petroleum Geology 73,: 590-608, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2016.03.020, 2016.
- Milliken, K. L. and Day-Stirrat R. J.: Cementation in mudrocks: Brief review with examples from cratonic basin
 mudrocks. Memoir. J.-Y. Chatellier. Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA, AAPG, https://doi.org/10.1306/13401729H55252,
 2013.
- 863 Milliken, K. L. and Olson, T.: Silica diagenesis, porosity evolution, and mechanical behavior in siliceous
- 864 mudstones, Mowry Shale Cretaceous, Rocky Mountains, U.S.A. Journal of Sedimentary Research 87: 366-387,
- 865 .https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2017.24, 2017.

- Milliken, K. L., Rudnicki, M., Awwiller, D. N. and Zhang, T.: Organic matter-hosted pore system, Marcellus
 Formation Devonian, Pennsylvania, USA. AAPG Bulletin 97: 177-200, https://doi.org/10.1306/07231212048,
 2013.
- Milliken, K. L., Esch, W. L., Reed, R. M. and Zhang. T.: Grain assemblages and strong diagenetic overprinting
 in siliceous mudrocks, Barnett Shale Mississippian, Fort Worth Basin, Texas, U.S.A. AAPG Bulletin 96: 15531578, https://doi.org/10.1306/12011111129, 2012.
- Mitchell, J.K.: The fabric of natural clays and its relation to engineering properties. In Highway Research Board
 Proceedings, 35, 1956.
- 874 Moeremans, R.E. and Singh, S.C.: Fore-arc basin deformation in the Andaman-Nicobar segment of the Sumatra-
- Andaman subduction zone: Insight from high-resolution seismic reflection data, Tectonics, 34(8), 1736-1750,
 doi.org/10.1002/2015TC003901, 2015.
- Mondol, N.H., Bjørlykke, K., Jahren, J. and Høeg, K.: Experimental mechanical compaction of clay mineral
 aggregates—Changes in physical properties of mudstones during burial. Marine and petroleum geology, 24(5),
 289-311, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2007.03.006, 2007.
- Morgenstern, N.R. and Tchalenko, J.S.: Microstructural observations on shear zones from slips in natural clays,
 1967.
- Nakano, R.: On weathering and change of properties of tertiary mudstone related to landslide. Soils and
 Foundations, 7(1), 1-14, https://doi.org/10.3208/sandf1960.7.1, 1967.
- Neagu, R.C., Cartwright, J. and Davies, R.: Measurement of diagenetic compaction strain from quantitative
 analysis of fault plane dip. Journal of Structural Geology, 32(5), 641-655,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2010.03.010, 2010.
- Nole, M., Daigle, H., Milliken, K.L. and Prodanović, M.: A method for estimating microporosity of fine-grained
 sediments and sedimentary rocks via scanning electron microscope image analysis. Sedimentology, 63(6), 15071521, https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12271, 2016.
- Nollet, S., Hilgers, C. and Urai, J.: Sealing of fluid pathways in overpressure cells: a case study from the
 Buntsandstein in the Lower Saxony Basin (NW Germany). International Journal of Earth Sciences, 94(5), 1039-
- 892 1055, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-005-0492-1, 2005.
- Oelker, A.: Deformation properties of Boom Clay: Implementation of a multi-scale concept. Dissertation,
 Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule Aachen, DOI: 10.18154/RWTH-2019-09913, 2019.
- Oertel, G. and Curtis, C.D.: Clay-ironstone concretion preserving fabrics due to progressive
 compaction. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 83(9), 2597-2606, https://doi.org/10.1130/00167606(1972)83[2597:CCPFDT]2.0.CO;2, 1972.

- Paxton, S. T., J. O. Szabo, J. M. Adjukiewicz and R. E. Klimentidis.: Construction of an intergranular volume
 compaction curve for evaluating and predicting compaction and porosity loss in rigid-grain sandstone reservoirs.
- 900 American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin 86: 2047-2067, https://doi.org/10.1306/61EEDDFA-
- 901 173E-11D7-8645000102C1865D, 2002.
- Pickering, K.T., Carter, A., Andò, S., Garzanti, E., Limonta, M., Vezzoli, G. and Milliken, K.L.: 2020. Deciphering
 relationships between the Nicobar and Bengal submarine fans, Indian Ocean. Earth and Planetary Science
 Letters, 544, 116329, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116329, 2020.
- Pommer, M. E. and Milliken, K. L.: Pore types and pore-size distributions across thermal maturity, Eagle Ford
 Formation, South Texas. AAPG Bulletin 99: 1713-1744, https://doi.org/10.1306/03051514151, 2015.
- 907 Prawirodirdjo, L., Bocl, Y., McCaffrey, R., Genrich, J., Calais, E., Stevens, C., Puntodewo, S.S.O., Subarya, C.,
- 908 Rais, J., Zwick, P. and Fauzi, R.M.: Geodetic observations of interseismic strain segmentation at the Sumatra
- subduction zone. Geophysical research letters, 24(21), 2601-2604, https://doi.org/10.1029/97GL52691, 1997.
- 910 Rieke, H.H. and Chilingarian, G.V.: Compaction of argillaceous sediments. Elsevier, 1974.
- 911 Rosenberger, K., Underwood, M.B., Vrolijk, P. and Haines, S.: Data report: clay mineral assemblages in
- hemipelagic sediments entering the Sumatra subduction zone, IODP Sites U1480 and U1481, Expedition
 362. Expedition, 362, 1. 2020.
- Samsudin, M.S.F., Ariff, Z.M. and Ariffin, A.: Deformation behavior of open-cell dry natural rubber foam: Effect
 of different concentration of blowing agent and compression strain rate. In AIP Conference Proceedings, 1835,
- 916 No. 1, 020007, AIP Publishing LLC, 2017.
- Schmatz, J., Klaver, J., Jiang, M. and Urai, J.L.: Nanoscale morphology of brine/oil/mineral contacts in connected
 pores of carbonate reservoirs: Insights on wettability from Cryo-BIB-SEM. SPE Journal, 22(05), 1374-1384,
 https://doi.org/10.2118/180049-PA, 2017.
- Schneider, J., Flemings, P.B., Day-Stirrat, R.J. and Germaine, J.T.: Insights into pore-scale controls on mudstone
 permeability through resedimentation experiments. Geology, 39(11), 1011-1014,
 https://doi.org/10.1130/G32475.1, 2011.
- Sintubin, M.: Clay fabrics in relation to the burial history of shales. Sedimentology, 41(6), 1161-1169,
 https://doi.org/10.1130/G32475.1, 1994.
- 925 Terzaghi, K. and Peck, R.B.: Soil Mechanics. Engineering Practice. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1948.
- 926 Torres, M. E., Milliken, K. L., A. Hüpers, J. H. Kim, S. G. Lee: Authigenic clays versus carbonate formation as
- 927 products of marine silicate weathering in the input sequence to the Sumatra Subduction Zone, Gechemistry,
- 928 Geophysics Geosystems, 23 (4), https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GC010338, 2022.

- 929 Ukar, E. and Cloos, M.: Cataclastic deformation and metasomatism in the subduction zone of mafic blocks-in-
- 930 mélange, San Simeon, California, Lithos, 346, 105116, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2019.06.018, 2019.
- Vasseur, G., Djeran-Maigre, I., Grunberger, D., Rousset, G., Tessier, D. and Velde, B.: Evolution of structural and
 physical parameters of clays during experimental compaction, Marine and petroleum geology, 12(8), pp.941-954,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-8172(95)98857-2, 1995.
- Velde, B.: Compaction trends of clay-rich deep sea sediments, Marine Geology 133(3-4): 193-201,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227(96)00020-5, 1996.
- Vrolijk, P.: On the mechanical role of smectite in subduction zones. Geology, 18(8), pp.703-707,
 https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1990)018<0703:OTMROS>2.3.CO;2, 1990.
- Wang, X., Jiang, Z., Jiang, S., Chang, J., Zhu, L., Li, X. and Li, J.: Full-scale pore structure and fractal dimension
 of the Longmaxi shale from the Southern Sichuan Basin: Investigations using FE-SEM, gas adsorption and
 mercury intrusion porosimetry. Minerals, 9(9), p.543, https://doi.org/10.3390/min9090543, 2019.
- Yagiz, S.: Overview of classification and engineering properties of shales for design considerations.
 In Construction and Materials Issues 2001, 156-165, 2001.
- Zakaria, Z., Mohamad Ariff, Z. and Abu Bakar, A.: Monitoring deformation mechanism of foam cells in
 polyethylene foams via optical microscopy: Effect of density and microstructure. Journal of Cellular
 Plastics, 54(6), 957-976, https://doi.org/10.1177/0021955X18795035, 2018.
- Zhou, J., Shrotriya, P. and Soboyejo, W.O.: Mechanisms and mechanics of compressive deformation in open-cell
 Al foams. Mechanics of Materials, 36(8), 781-797, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmat.2003.05.004, 2004.

949



Fig.1: (a) Satellite image of Sumatra subduction zone and location of U1480 and U1481 drilling sites (created from Google Maps). (b) Schematic diagram showing location of primary drilling site and deepest drill hole (Hole G) at site U1480 in sectional view (adapted from seismic profile after Hüpers et al., 2017). Location and extension of the seismic profile is represented by red line in (a). (c) Lithostratigraphic units encountered at Site U1480 (adapted after McNeill et al., 2017). (d) Representative tube sample received from IODP repository, Japan. Red-colored line on tube surface represents notch used to denote orientation of samples collected from drill core. (e) Representative BIB cross-section polished perpendicular to bedding planes.



Fig.2: Depth profiles of smectite content (wt%; clay fraction) for Sites U1480 (a) and U1481 (b) (blue symbol).
Depth profiles of illite content (wt%; clay fraction) for Sites U1480 (a) and U1481 (b) (blue symbol). Yellow
symbols indicate samples analyzed by SEM imaging. (e) and (f) Shipboard MAD (Moisture and density) porosity
profiles for mudstone samples recovered from Sites U1480 and U1481 (blue symbol). (g) and (h) Calculated
compaction strain profiles for Sites U1480 and U1481 (blue symbols). Red-colored points are cemented
(concretion) samples. Clay mineralogy data plotted from Rosenberger et al. (2020), and MAD data extracted from
McNeill et al. (2017).



Fig.3: Porosity data for Units I (green dots), II (orange dots), and IIIA (blue dots). (a) BIB-SEM porosity - depth
plot, (b) BIB-SEM porosity vs MAD porosity. Note: linear relationship that intersects origin. Data reported by
Hemes et al. (2013); Houben et al. (2014); and Oelker et al. (2019) follow similar trend. However, data estimated
from Nole et al. (2016) deviates from trend.





985 Fig.4: Classification scheme adopted to demonstrate pore reduction mechanics with increasing compactional







Fig.5: Microstructural overview (BIB-SEM) of samples SN-1 (a and b), SN-2 (c and d), and SN-6 (e and f). Green color represents segmented pores of the corresponding microstructure of sample.i = Equant large clay domain pores, ii = elongated large clay domain pores, iii = Crescent-shaped large clay domain pores, iv = equant small clay domain pores, v = Crescent-shaped small clay domain pores, vi = elongated small clay domain pores, vii = loo4 Equant large silt-adjacent pores, viii = elongated large silt-adjacent pores, ix = Crescent-shaped large silt-adjacent pores, x =equant small silt-adjacent pores, xi = Crescent-shaped small silt-adjacent pores, xii = elongated small silt-adjacent pores. EE= Edge to edge contact, EF=Edge to face contact, and FF=Face to face contact.

1008

1009

1010

1011



Fig.6: Microstructural overview (BIB-SEM) of samples SN-8 (a and b), SN-16 (c and d), and SN-32 (e and f).1016Green color represents segmented pores of the corresponding microstructure of sample. i = Equant large clay1017domain pores, ii = elongated large clay domain pores, iii = Crescent-shaped large clay domain pores, iv = equant1018small clay domain pores, v = Crescent-shaped small clay domain pores, vi = elongated small clay domain pores,1019vii = Equant large silt-adjacent pores, viii = elongated large silt-adjacent pores, ix = Crescent-shaped large silt-1020adjacent pores, x = equant small silt-adjacent pores, xi = Crescent-shaped small silt-adjacent pores, xii = elongated1021small silt-adjacent pores. FF= Face to face contact, EF= Edge to face contact.



Fig.7: Microstructural overview (Field Emission SEM) of samples SN-34, SN-49, and SN-55. i = Equant large clay domain pores, ii = elongated large clay domain pores, iii = Crescent-shaped large clay domain pores, iv =equant small clay domain pores, v = Crescent-shaped small clay domain pores, vi = elongated small clay domain pores, vii = Equant large silt-adjacent pores, viii = elongated large silt-adjacent pores, ix = Crescent-shaped large silt-adjacent pores, x = equant small silt-adjacent pores, xi = Crescent-shaped small silt-adjacent pores, xii =elongated small silt-adjacent pores. FF= Face to face contact, EF= Edge to face contact.





Fig.8: Pore type summary for clay domain (a-c) and silt-adjacent (d-f) pore types. (a) abundance of small and large clay domain pores; (b) and (c) depth progression of small and large clay domain pore morphologies; (d) abundance of small and large silt-adjacent pores; (e) and (f) depth progression of small and large clay domain pore morphologies. Abundant = >25% pores, common = 2%-25% pores, rare = 0-2% pores, absent = not observed.



Fig.9: Pore size distribution defined by pore area. Left column: normalized frequency (blue) and contribution to total porosity (orange). Right column: pore size-frequency log-log distribution. Power-law between PPR and maximum pore size interpreted as black line with corresponding regression parameters. Sample number, depth, and compactional strain defined along right side of diagram



Fig.10: Silt-rich sample (SN-29; 1173 mbsf) (a) i = Equant large clay domain pores, ii =elongated large clay1056domain pores, iii = Crescent-shaped large clay domain pores, iv = equant small clay domain pores, v = Crescent-1057shaped small clay domain pores, vi = elongated small clay domain pores, vii = Equant large silt-adjacent pores,1058viii = elongated large silt-adjacent pores, ix = Crescent-shaped large silt-adjacent pores, x =equant small silt-1059adjacent pores, xi = Crescent-shaped small silt-adjacent pores, xii = elongated small silt-adjacent pores.1060diagram of long axes of pores (bedding = red line). FF= face to face contact of clay particles.



Fig.11: Effective stress vs porosity (MAD) for experimental mixtures of clay and silt (dashed lines; Fawad et al.,
2010) compared with Sumatra data (Unit 1 = green; Unit II = orange; Unit III = blue). Solid black solid line is a
best-fit data regression for Sumatra samples.



samples exhibit higher clay content
 samples exhibit lower clay content and higher interaggregate pores

1079 Fig.12: (a) Clay content vs difference between MAD and BIB-SEM porosity. (b) Multivariate regression
1080 analysis using three parameters: BIB-SEM porosity, clay content, and MAD porosity (33 samples).



Fig.13: a-f: examples of bent clay particles on top of silt-adjacent larger pores; sample ID and depth labelled onphotos. (g) Micromechanical model for collapse of large silt-adjacent pores.



Fig.14: a-f: examples of clay aggregate bending (white arrows). (g) Conceptual diagram tracing porosity reductionand increase in preferred alignment of the long axes of pores by bending of clay perpendicular to applied vertical

- 1101 stress.
- 1102
- 1103

	Sample no	Site	Hole	Core	Туре	Sec	Depth		Bulk mineralogical comp (XRD)					Clay mineralogical comp (XRD)			
								Unit	Total clay	Quartz	Plag.	Calc.	Pc/pc+ q	Smect.	Illite	Chl+Ka ol	Quartz
	SN-1	U1480	Е	1	Н	1	1.24	Ι	68.84	11.53	9.20	10.4	0.44	25.40	58.60	9.10	6.90
First set of sample (Analysed at Aachen university)	SN-2	U1480	E	1	Н	4	5.10	I	67.52	12.14	8.90	11.4	0.42	23.70	60.00	12.30	4.00
	SN-3	U1480	E	2	H	1	9.18	 	70.99	15.27	12.10	1.64	0.44	37.59	48.79	10.77	2.85
	SN-4	01480	E	2	н	2	10.69		65.85	15.19	10.32	8.64	0.40	35.80	58.80	3.10	2.30
	SN-5	01480	F	3	п	0	20.05		63.45	19.04 21.13	12.72	7.35	0.38	40.09	43.35	10.80	3.27
	SN-7	U1480	F	9	н	2	63.24	IIA	64.47	19.59	12.67	3.26	0.39	11.02	67.04	15.44	6.50
	SN-8	U1480	E	10	н	2	74.07	IIA	64.97	19.70	12.13	3.20	0.38	20.87	58.32	11.85	8.96
	SN-9	U1480	Е	11	Н	5	87.98	IIA	60.48	20.71	16.53	2.27	0.44	6.29	73.86	10.06	9.79
	SN-10	U1480	F	2	Н	1	98.25	IIA	63.84	18.51	15.57	2.07	0.46	7.17	76.60	11.81	4.42
	SN-11	U1480	F	3	Н	3	111.10	IIA	67.18	16.42	14.35	2.05	0.47	6.10	76.22	11.12	6.56
	SN-12	U1480	F	15	F	2	176.50	IIA	62.52	21.59	13.95	1.94	0.39	12.56	69.03	16.08	2.34
	SN-13	U1480	F	26	F	1	226.70	IIA	70.27	16.56	11.63	1.53	0.41	22.16	57.52	17.84	2.49
	SN-14	U1480	F	53	X	2	369.19	IIB	68.80	18.18	11.60	1.43	0.39	21.15	52.78	22.79	3.29
	SN-15	01480	F	59	X	1	426.68	IIB	68.66	17.49	10.50	3.35	0.38	9.25	69.20	13.00	8.55
	SN-10	01480		67 76	X	1	505.32		70.86	10.95	10.99	1.20	0.39	30.19	49.18	14.12	5.19
	SN-17	111480	F	80	x		630 55	IIB	68.76	25.74	12.11	2.52	0.34	18.96	58.58	18.96	3.65
	SN-19	U1480	F	91	X	1	737.39	IIB	67.85	18.93	11.86	1.35	0.39	36.05	45.70	13.83	4.43
	SN-20	U1480	F	92	X	1	751.16	IIB	67.78	19.32	11.75	1.15	0.38	36.05	45.70	13.83	4.43
	SN-21	U1480	G	4	R	2	776.17	IIB	69.70	18.21	12.09	0.00	0.40	39.70	39.45	13.27	7.58
	SN-22	U1480	G	7	R	CC	802.55	IIC	62.30	22.44	13.62	1.64	0.38	23.42	53.62	19.97	2.99
	SN-23	U1480	G	14	R	2	871.87	IIC	66.07	20.41	12.14	1.38	0.37	28.17	49.85	18.04	3.93
	SN-24	U1480	G	20	R	1	929.81	IIC	67.28	18.26	11.84	2.61	0.39	20.60	56.66	17.85	4.90
	SN-25	U1480	G	24	R	3	971.26	IIC	66.44	19.30	13.23	1.03	0.37	41.36	40.43	14.16	4.05
	SN-26	U1480	G	30	R	2	1027.91	IIC	65.18	21.99	12.83	0.00	0.37	21.39	50.89	24.40	3.32
	SN-27	01480	G	3/	R	2	1095.74		68.14	18.34	11.68	1.84	0.39	30.15	50.76	15.03	4.06
	SN-20	111480	G	41	R	1	1119.70		63.40	21 21	11.20	5.45	0.42	22.26	54 12	20.25	3 37
	SN-30	U1480	G	46	R	3	1184.39	IIC	65.75	17.80	11.04	5.40	0.38	17.55	56.58	21.04	4.83
	SN-31	U1480	G	51	R	CC	1233.15	IIC	61.85	22.34	11.94	3.87	0.35	16.88	58.90	19.40	4.82
	SN-32	U1480	G	55	R	6	1267.14	IIIA	68.04	18.55	11.96	1.46	0.39	63.71	24.68	0.03	11.58
	SN-33	U1480	G	59	R	1	1299.31	IIIA	72.12	15.47	12.41	0.00	0.69	54.45	36.44	2.64	6.47
Second set of sample (Analysed at BEG)	SN-34	U1480	н	2	н	2	6.25	IB	71.41	17.89	10.70	0.00	0.37	24.97	53.79	19.40	1.85
	SN-35	U1480	E	-	н	6	7.21	IB	70.10	11.83	10.44	7.63	0.47	24.03	54.95	19.32	1.70
	SN-36	111/180	н	2	н	1	1/ 28	IR	62.21	20.57	1/1 3/1	2.88	0.41	5.22	70.27	9.24	15.27
	SN-30	01400	- 11 - F	5		1	20.12		62.21	20.37	12.04	2.00	0.41	12 72	70.27 61.64	10.24	12.27
	SIN-37	01480	с г	4	п 	1	28.12		03.45	21.13	12.94	2.47	0.38	13.73	01.04	10.80	13.83
	SIN-38	01480	E	/	н	1	50.82	IIA	61.20	22.04	14.09	2.67	0.39	11.02	67.04	15.44	6.50
	SN-39	01480	н	10	н	2	83.02	IIA	59.31	21.08	15.48	4.14	0.42	6.29	73.86	10.06	9.79
	SN-40	111480	F	10	н	2	92.82	ΠA	59.39	20.00	15.50	2.17	0.44	6 11	71.56	9.49	4.79
		111/20	с с	16	г.	2	107 67		64.16	20.04	12.75	2.00	0.70	12 60	62.00	16 16	6 76
	511-42	01480	-	10	•	3	102.02	IIA	04.10	20.84	12.05	2.17	0.38	13.08	03.09	10.40	0.70
	SN-43	U1480	F	37	Х	2	285.51	IIA	66.51	20.24	11.54	1.71	0.36	14.72	62.33	19.07	3.88
	SN-44	U1480	F	55	Х	5	394.01	IIB	58.39	28.27	13.35	0.00	0.32	39.89	37.88	12.81	9.41
	SN-45	U1480	F	65	х	СС	486.72	IIB	59.06	25.70	13.85	1.39	0.35	30.19	49.18	14.12	6.50
	SN-46	U1480	F	79	х	1	621.2	IIB	66.89	18.95	12.55	1.61	0.40	18.96	58.58	18.81	3.65
	SN-47	U1480	F	91	Х	1	737.47	IIB	67.85	18.93	11.86	1.35	0.39	36.05	45.70	13.83	4.43
	SN-48	U1480	G	11	R	1	841.56	IIC	63.6	22.2	12.5	1.80	0.36	26.26	50.81	19.37	3.57
	SN_40	111/120	G	72	R	1	950 15	IIC	58.33	26.10	12 57	1 07	0.34	32 10	46.20	17.94	2.70
	SN 50	111400	6	20	D	1	1026.24		60 10	17 72	12.52	1.57	0.34	21 20	50.20	24 40	2.70
		01480	G	30	ri P	1	1145.04		60.49	21.00	12.05	1./3 E 21	0.40	21.39	50.89	24.40	5.32
		01480	6	42		2 7	1251 5		62.2	21.00	12.40	3.21	0.30	15.50	52.66	15.00	15.70
	SIN-52	01480	G	54	к	2	1251.5		03.2	22.41	13.18	1.1/	0.37	10.00	52.66	10.02	15./6
	SIN-53	01481	A	23	K	5	1358.9		68.6	16.90	11.07	3.36	0.40	18.08	5/.//	19.98	4.1/
	318-24	01481	А	32	к	T	1432.5	IIIA	05.5	22.33	10.70	1.19	0.32	52.44	45.82	10.54	5.20
	SN-55	U1481	А	38	R	3	1493.3	IIIA	60.5	25.71	12.79	0.98	0.33	19.90	42.07	13.66	24.37

Table 1: Core description, bulk mineralogy (McNeill et al., 2017) and clay composition (Rosenberger et al., 2020) of the analysed samples.