



## Analogue modelling of basin inversion: a review and future perspectives

Frank Zwaan<sup>1</sup>, Guido Schreurs<sup>1</sup>, Susanne J.H. Buitert<sup>2</sup>, Oriol Ferrer<sup>3</sup>, Riccardo Reitano<sup>4</sup>, Michael Rudolf<sup>5,6</sup>, Ernst Willingshofer<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Bern, Institute of Geological Sciences, Bern, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup> RWTH Aachen University, Faculty of Georesources and Materials Engineering, Tectonics and Geodynamics, Aachen, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Universitat de Barcelona, Facultat de Ciències de la Terra, Departament de Dinàmica de la Terra i de l'Oceà, Geomodels Research Institute, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>4</sup> Università Degli Studi Roma Tre, Department of Geological Sciences, Rome, Italy

<sup>5</sup> Helmholtz Centre Potsdam GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany

<sup>6</sup> Technical University Darmstadt, Institute for Applied Geosciences - Engineering Geology, Darmstadt, Germany

<sup>7</sup> Utrecht University, Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Frank Zwaan (frank.zwaan@geo.unibe.ch)

### Abstract.

Basin inversion involves the reversal of subsidence in a basin due to compressional tectonic forces, leading to uplift of the basin's sedimentary infill. A thorough understanding of basin inversion is of great importance for scientific, societal and economic reasons. Analogue tectonic modelling forms a key part our efforts to improve our understanding of basin inversion processes, and researchers have conducted numerous studies on this topic. In this review paper we recap the advances in knowledge of basin inversion tectonics acquired through analogue modelling studies, providing an up-to-date summary of the state of analogue modelling of basin inversion. We describe the different definitions of basin inversion that are being applied by researchers, why basin inversion has been historically an important research topic, and what the general mechanics involved in basin inversion are. We subsequently treat the wide range of different experimental approaches used for basin inversion modelling, with attention to the various materials, set-ups and techniques used for monitoring and analysing the model results. Our new systematic overviews of generalized results reveal the diversity of model results, depending greatly on the chosen set-up, model layering and (oblique) kinematics of inversion, as well as 3D along-strike structural and kinematic variations in the system. We show how analogue modelling results are in good agreement with numerical models, and how these results help to better understand natural examples of basin inversion. In addition to reviewing the past efforts in the field of analogue modelling, we also shed light on future modelling challenges and identify a number of opportunities for follow-up research. These include the testing of force-boundary conditions, adding geological processes such as sedimentation, transport and erosion, applying state-of-the-art modelling and quantification techniques, and establishing best modelling practices. We also suggest expanding the scope of basin inversion modelling beyond the traditional upper crustal "North Sea style" of inversion, which may contribute to the on-going search for clean energy



resources. It follows that basin inversion modelling can bring valuable new insights, providing a great incentive to continue our efforts in this field. We therefore hope that this review paper will form an inspiration for future analogue modelling studies of basin inversion.

## 1. Introduction

### 40 1.1. Definition of basin inversion


The development of extensional tectonic systems leads to the formation of (fault-bounded) basins, followed by crustal necking and eventually continental break-up and oceanic spreading (e.g. Lavier & Manatschal 2006; Wilson et al. 2019). However, at any time during continental break-up, changes in the tectonic regime may halt rifting and lead to subsequent compression, causing the inversion of the previously established basins (Fig. 1).

45

Even though the concept of basin inversion has been used since over a century ago (e.g. Lamplugh 1919), the term “inversion” appears to have been initially introduced by Glennie & Boegner (1981), who used *inversion tectonics* or *structural inversion* to explain the evolution of the Sole Pit structure in the North Sea, which involved “conversion of a basin area into a structural high”. These terms were subsequently used more restrictively by Williams et al. (1989), who considered that “*structural inversion* (or *inversion tectonics*) occurs when basin-controlling extensional faults reverse their movement during compressional tectonics, and to varying degrees, basins are turned inside out to become positive features”. Although inversion is generally assumed to be positive, some authors make a distinction between “positive” and “negative” inversion, with the latter being defined by Williams et al. (1989) as “the reactivation in extension of a significant portion of an existing contractional system”. At the same time, Cooper et al. (1989) used the term “*basin inversion*” to describe “a basin controlled by a fault system that has been subsequently compressed-transpressed producing uplift and partial extrusion of the basin fill”, without being specific as to whether pre-existing faults need to reverse their movement or whether new faults are formed. As becomes clear from the above, there is no generally accepted definition of the term “inversion” (see also Buchanan & Buchanan, 1995), although the term is widely used.

60 In the context of this review of analogue modelling of basin inversion, we define the process of “basin inversion” rather broadly as: *the reversal of subsidence in a (rift) basin due to compressional tectonics, so that the sedimentary infill of the basin is uplifted and/or exhumed, with or without reactivation of previously established normal faults*. Basin inversion has traditionally been considered to cover the inversion of continental basins, prior to necking and continental break-up, which is also the general context of this review paper.

65



**Figure 1: Examples showing the three main stages of basin inversion: (1) Syn-rift; (2) Post-rift; and (3) Inversion. (a) Formation and inversion of a symmetric graben (Winterton High in the southern North Sea), where bounding normal faults are reactivated and propagate into the overburden at a shallow angle during Cenozoic inversion. Redrawn after Panien et al (2006a), based on Badley et al. (1989), with permission from the Geological Society, London. (b) Schematic example of an inverted half graben related to a listric fault. Inversion causes uplift of the basin fill, and reactivation of the listric fault that propagates upward into the previously unfaulted post-rift units, as well as the development of a new thrust fault (footwall shortcut). Modified after Cooper et al. (1989), with permission from the Geological Society, London. (c) Simplified development of the salt-bearing Feda Graben in the Central North Sea. The salt (Zechstein evaporites) decouples the basin infill from the basement. Based on Gowers et al. (1993) and Stewart & Clark (1999), with permission from the Geological Society, London.**



## 1.2. Importance of basin inversion tectonics

Inverted basins are very common geological features and are found in multiple locations, for instance the North Sea area (Lamplugh 1991, Glennie & Boegner 1981, Nalpas & Brun 1996; Evans et al. 2003; De Jager 2003, Hansen et al., 2021), the Atlas Mountains (Vially et al. 1994), the Pyrenees and Alps (Pfiffner 1993; Kiss et al. 2020; Mencos et al. 2015; Lescoutre & Manatschal 2020; Musso Piantelli et al. in prep), the Araripe basin in NE Brazil (Marques et al. 2014), offshore Korea (Park et al. 2021) and China (Yu et al. 2021), as well as many other places around the globe (Letouzey 1990; Lowell 1995; Iaffa et al., 2011; Gibson & Edwards 2020; Bosworth & Tari 2021; Dooley & Hudec 2021).

85

A thorough understanding of the geological processes involved is not only relevant for science, but is also of great importance for societal and economic reasons. First, the ongoing tectonic deformation in many inverted basins, which are often incorporated into active mountain belts, causes seismic hazards that need to be assessed and monitored (Plenefisch & Bonjer 1997; Edwards et al. 2015; Mock & Herwegh 2017; Madritsch et al. 2018; Deckers et al. 2021). Second, basin inversion has proven to be a key process for hydrocarbon trap formation in petroleum provinces around the globe and determining the timing of inversion has been historically crucial to petroleum geologists (De Jager 2003, Evans et al. 2003; Turner & Williams 2004; De Jager & Geluk 2007; Cooper & Warren 2010 and 2020; Tari et al. 2021, as also demonstrated in two special volumes edited by Cooper et al. 1989, and Buchanan & Buchanan 1995). Third, since petroleum reservoirs can subsequently be used for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration (Voormeij & Simandl 2004; Li et al. 2006), knowledge of inversion tectonics can be applied to mitigate the effects of greenhouse gas emissions. Fourth, basin inversion processes and related fluid flow may furthermore lead to the development of mineral resources (Sibson & Scott 1998; Liang et al. 2021; Gibson & Edwards 2020). And last, a thorough understanding of basin evolution, including basin inversion, is also of great interest for geothermal energy projects (Edwards et al. 2015; Vidal & Genter 2018; Doornenbal et al. 2019; Békési et al. 2020; Weibel et al. 2020). Future applications, including exploration for natural hydrogen, are presented in section 7.

100

## 1.3. Analogue modelling of basin inversion

When studying tectonic processes, researchers have a couple of major obstacles to face. Firstly, the size of the systems involved is massive so that a thorough (structural) mapping is a major challenge. Secondly, large parts of these systems are simply inaccessible as they are deep below the surface, covered by thick sedimentary sequences or situated far offshore. Recent advances in mapping techniques and geophysical methods have mitigated these obstacles to a certain degree, yet the most challenging impediment on the path to a thorough understanding of tectonic processes are the vast timescales involved. It is simply impossible to directly observe the evolution of a tectonic system in a human lifetime.

110 To circumvent these limitations, geologists have for over two centuries applied analogue modelling techniques with the aim of the simulating large-scale tectonic processes at convenient time- and length scales in the laboratory. By using relatively





simple model materials representing the different layers in the lithosphere that are subsequently deformed in experimental apparatus, such large-scale tectonic processes can be reproduced on a small scale, and within a matter of hours or days. In addition to simulating the dynamic aspects of tectonic processes, researchers can also systematically test the influence of specific parameters on their models and compare the model results to natural examples. As such, analogue modelling is an excellent tool to study the dynamics of tectonic processes and has greatly contributed to our understanding of the evolution of our dynamic planet.

Analogue modelling as a technique to study tectonic processes evolved since the early 19<sup>th</sup> century (Hall, 1815, Cadell 1888, see also the reviews by Koyi 1997; Ranelli 2001; Bonini et al., 2012; Graveleau et al. 2012; Zwaan & Schreurs in press). However, the first experimental studies aiming at basin inversion were only performed in the second half of the twentieth century (Lowell 1974; Koopman 1987, McClay & Buchanan, 1992, Mitra 1993, McClay 1992). This relatively late start may have been caused by a late interest in basin inversion processes in general, which only flared up in the 1980's, as well as the relative complexity of these models, which require sophisticated experimental machines capable of simulating multiple deformation phases. Nevertheless, the field of basin inversion modelling has steadily advanced over the decades, following the same trends as other analogue tectonic modelling fields. These trends include a shift of focus from qualitative to quantitative modelling practices through the use of new and improved model materials, experimental set-ups, monitoring techniques and analyses.

#### 1.4. Aim of this review

The main aim of this review is to recap the advances in knowledge of basin inversion tectonics acquired through analogue modelling studies since the previous reviews by McClay (1995) and, more recently, by Bonini et al. (2012). In this work we systematically review over 70 experimental studies of basin inversion, providing an up-to-date summary of the different set-ups, materials, tested parameters, and results. We also assess how these results compare to numerical models of basin inversion tectonics, and to natural examples of inverted basins. We furthermore identify the various perspectives and opportunities in the field, which we hope will serve as an inspiration for future analogue modelling studies of basin inversion.





## 2.1. Mechanics of basin inversion

For a basin to be inverted, it needs to form a mechanically weak region in comparison to its immediate surroundings.  
140 Mechanical weaknesses may stem from the basin fill, allowing uplift and folding of sedimentary layers upon shortening, and  
from extensional faults formed during initial basin formation. Basin inversion is generally well discernable in the upper  
crust, where brittle deformation dominates. The rheology of brittle materials is generally regarded as time-independent,  
roughly obeying a Mohr-Coulomb criterion of failure (Coulomb, 1773), that describes the relation between the shear stress  
( $\tau$ ) parallel to a (potential) fault plane required for fault activation, the stress normal to the fault plane ( $\sigma_n$ ) as well as the  
145 cohesion ( $C_0$ ) and the angle of internal friction ( $\varphi$ ) of the material as follows (Fig. 2a):

$$\tau = C_0 + \sigma_n \tan \varphi \quad (1.1)$$

Basin inversion generally implies a change from an extensional setting with the maximum compressive stress,  $\sigma_1$ , oriented  
150 vertically and the minimum compressive stress,  $\sigma_3$ , oriented horizontally (Fig. 2a), to a shortening setting with  $\sigma_1$  oriented  
horizontally and  $\sigma_3$  vertically (Fig. 2b). As such, Coulomb-style normal faults related to initial basin formation form at  
Coulomb dip angles of ca.  $60^\circ$  (for a  $\varphi = 30^\circ$ ) (Fig. 2a), whereas reverse faults related to subsequent shortening preferentially  
form at dip angles of ca.  $30^\circ$  (Fig. 2b). It follows that normal faults are, under ordinary circumstances, misoriented for  
reactivation in shortening.

155 However, fault reactivation of normal faults in shortening has been observed in nature, and can be explained by four  
mechanisms. Firstly, normal faults that formed at lower dip angles with the horizontal (e.g., Roscoe or Arthur dip angles,  
Roscoe 1970, Arthur 1977 or low-angle detachment faults) are more readily reactivated, since they may reach the  
reactivation envelope earlier for lower stresses than a new thrust fault (Fig. 2c). Secondly, a lowering of fault strength by  
160 fluids or mineral alignment (strain softening, Sibson 1985, 1995 and 2009) can reduce the internal friction angle, thus  
flattening the reactivation envelope and promoting normal fault reactivation (Fig. 2c). Thirdly, thrusts may form close to  
normal faults, thus using the normal fault as a heterogeneity, but not strictly reactivating (all parts off) the normal fault (Fig.  
1b). Finally, oblique shortening facilitates normal fault inversion. Here the plane containing the maximum and minimum  
principal stresses  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_3$  is oriented at an angle to the normal fault, so that the effective fault angle is reduced and part of  
165 the deformation is accounted for by a strike-slip component (Dubois et al. 2002) (Fig. 3).






170 **Figure 2: Schematic 2D illustration of (a) basin development, (b) inversion with preferential new reverse fault development over reactivation of steep normal faults, and (c) inversion variations involving normal fault reactivation due to differences in normal fault dip and fault strength, including schematic Mohr diagrams of the changing states of stress in these systems.  $\sigma_1$  = maximum compressive stress,  $\sigma_3$  = minimum compressive stress,  $\theta$  = angle between normal to fault plane and orientation of the maximum compressive stress ( $\sigma_1$ ),  $\phi$  = angle of internal friction,  $C_0$  = cohesion,  $\tau$  = shear stress,  $\sigma_n$  = normal stress. The reactivation envelope represents reactivation of a pre-existing fault plane, and as such is considered to have negligible cohesion ( $C_0 = 0$  Pa), and therefore starts from the origin. Note that  $\sigma_1$  (vertical loading) during extension becomes  $\sigma_3$  during subsequent inversion in 2D (as indicated by the small semi-circle in the Mohr diagram in [b]). Inspired by Bonini et al. (2012).**

175

It should be stressed that even though the brittle behaviour of the upper crustal layers is generally considered to dominate deformation during inversion, also the ductile parts of the lithosphere can play an important role. Such ductile layers (e.g.,  
 180 evaporites, shales, or on a larger scale the lower crust) can decouple different parts of the lithosphere. The degree of decoupling may depend on the viscous layer thickness and distribution, its viscosity as a function of compositional changes throughout a basin, and the tectonic strain rate (Brun 1999). Such decoupling enables the development of significant differences in deformation in the units above and below the viscous layer (thin- vs. thick-skinned deformation). Furthermore, in the case of salt, reactivation of inherited passive diapirs can occur due to major subsalt fault movement. Excellent  
 185 examples of the effect of such decoupling can be observed in the North Sea area or the Pyrenees (Stewart & Coward 1995; Stewart & Clark 1999; Stewart 2007; Mencos et al. 2015; Van Winden et al. 2018) (Fig. 1c).



190 **Figure 3: Oblique reactivation of a high-angle normal fault due to the reduced effective fault angle (\*). Components of deformation: ds: dip-slip, os: oblique slip, ss: strike-slip.  $\sigma_1$  = maximum compressive stress,  $\sigma_2$  = intermediate compressive stress,  $\sigma_3$  = minimum compressive stress. Inspired by Dubois et al. (2002). Note, however, that actual displacements along pre-existing structures can deviate from the directions of the principal stresses (Withjack & Jamison 1986; Morley 2010).**

195



### 3. Analogue modelling techniques

200 In this section we address the different model materials, set-ups and scaling principles, as well as monitoring techniques that are used in analogue modelling laboratories around the globe. It may be noted that most of these techniques are very similar to those used for analogue modelling of extensional tectonics (see the reviews papers by Vendeville et al. 1987; McClay 1990 and 1996; Naylor et al. 1994; Koyi 1997; Brun 1999 and 2002; Corti et al. 2003; Bahroudi et al. 2003; Zwaan et al. 2019; Zwaan & Schreurs in press).

#### 205 3.1. Materials and rheology

In analogue modelling studies, brittle and ductile layers in the lithosphere are simulated with various brittle and viscous model materials (Fig. 4). The properties of these model materials are determined in detail using ring-shear testers and rheometers (e.g. Panien et al. 2006b; Klinkmüller et al. 2016; Rudolf et al. 2016; Ritter et al. 2018a,b; Zwaan et al. 2018b).

##### 210 3.1.1. Brittle materials

Granular materials are often used to reproduce the behaviour of brittle parts of the lithosphere (Fig. 4). When deformed, granular materials will form shear zones at similar angles to faults in rocks and soils in nature (see section 3.5). A standard granular material, used to simulate bulk brittle rocks in most basin inversion models included in this review, is quartz sand of some sort (McClay & Buchanan 1992). Other granular materials include feldspar sand (Munteanu et al. 2013) and corundum sand (Panien et al. 2006b), or mixtures of various granular materials (Abdelmalak et al. 2016; Montanari et al. 2017; Dooley & Hudec 2020). Although these materials may have slightly different properties with respect to quartz sand (notably grain size, density, cohesion and angle of friction), they generally deform in the same fashion. Granular materials should be sieved from a minimum height into the model apparatus to ensure a homogeneous density distribution (Krantz, 1991b; Schellart et al., 2000; Klinkmüller 2016; Schmid et al. 2020). Importantly, the rheology of granular materials can generally be considered strain rate-independent, even though there are some complexities that can be of importance (Vermeer 1990; Ritter et al. 2016; Montanari et al. 2017; and references therein, section 3.5).

Modellers regularly combine different types of granular materials in their models. Coloured or dyed sand is used to create (thin) layering that will be visible on side view images or cross-sections (section 3.6). This is generally the same sand as used for bulk brittle rock layers, but in some cases other materials are used, such as corundum sand or Pyrex (all visible on CT-scans, Letouzey et al. 1995; Panien et al. 2006a, section 3.6). These thin horizons of different materials in the bulk sand layers are not considered to significantly affect the bulk model behaviour. By contrast, materials such as micas and microspheres have a significant lower angle of internal friction and deform more readily. Weaker mica layers are used to




230 facilitate interlayer slip, while conveniently creating visible layering on sections as well, (McClay 1989, 1996; Buchanan  
1991; Buchanan & McClay 1992, see section 3.6), whereas microbeads have been used to simulate basal detachment layers  
(Panien et al. 2006a). Microbeads can also serve as weak sedimentary infill of a rift basin that may be more easily deformed  
during inversion (Martínez & Cristallini 2017, Panien et al. 2005; Yagupsky et al. 2008).

235 Other modellers have applied wet clay to simulate brittle rocks (Mitra 1993; Mitra & Islam 1994; Eistenstadt & Withjack  
1995; Eistenstadt & Sims 2005). Clay behaves somewhat differently from granular materials as its behaviour has a strain  
rate-dependent component (Oertel 1965; Eistenstadt & Sims 2005 and references therein), which makes it appropriate for  
modelling the uppermost parts of the lithosphere, creating more intricate fault structures when deformed than sand  
(Eistenstadt & Sims 2005). Similar to their granular counterparts, coloured clay can be used to highlight layering and to  
240 distinguish syn-tectonic infill. Buchanan & McClay (1992) have also used a mixture of clay and sand to simulate layers of  
higher competence. In contrast to sand, clay can readily be modelled into shape, but it's highly important to control its water  
content as this significantly affects the material's rheology (Arch et al. 1988; Eistenstadt & Sims 2005, and references  
therein). This is also true for the wet sand-cement mixtures applied by Mandal & Chattopadhyay (1995).

#### 245 **3.1.2. Viscous materials**

In analogue modelling studies, the ductile parts of the lithosphere are generally simulated by means of viscous materials  
(Fig. 4). Typical viscous materials include silicone oils such as Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, e.g. SGM 36) and "putties"  
(e.g. Dow Corning 3179 Dilatant Compound, or Rhône-Poulenc Gomme Spéciale GS1R), and various types of mixtures of  
250 such materials with granular materials, acids and other substances (e.g. Schellart & Strak 2016; Zwaan et al. 2020a). When  
deformed, these materials flow rather than forming discrete fault structures, and in contrast to the granular materials, their  
behaviour is strongly strain rate-dependent. Importantly, viscous materials are much weaker than their brittle counterparts,  
and in basin inversion models they are often used to simulate intra-crustal (salt) detachments (Letouzey 1995, Brun &  
Nalpas 1996; Dooley & Hudec 2020), or the weak ductile lower crust and lower lithospheric mantle layers (Cerca et al.  
255 2005; Gartrell et al. 2005; Konstantinovskaya et al. 2007; Mattioni et al. 2007; Munteanu et al. 2013 and 2014) (Fig. 4).  
Viscous model materials used in basin inversion experiments commonly have a near-Newtonian rheology at typical model  
deformation rates, which aims to represent dislocation creep over geological timescales (Weijermars 1986; Weijermars &  
Schmeling 1986; Rudolf et al. 2016, and references therein).

260




265 **Figure 4: Examples of model layering used for basin inversion experiments seen in section view, including schematic strength profiles. (a-d) Crustal-scale layering options. (a) Homogeneous layer of brittle model material. (b) Brittle model material interlayered with other brittle materials for visualization or to simulate brittle-style detachments (e.g., Buchanan & McClay 1991). (c) Brittle-viscous layering, with a cover of brittle model material overlying a viscous detachment layer that decouples the brittle cover from the (rigid) model base. (d) Viscous detachment within the brittle model materials (such as a weak shale or salt layer, e.g., Brun & Nalpas 1996). (e) brittle-viscous multilayer arrangement representing the whole of the lithosphere (e.g., Cerca et al. 2005). The dotted line in the strength profile of panel (e) indicates a schematic lithospheric strength profile that is approximated in the model (see e.g., Brun 1999).**

270

### 3.2. Overview of general basin inversion set-ups

275 When modelling basin inversion, a basic necessity is choosing a set-up that can induce the type of deformation required for both the initial extensional phase and the subsequent compressional (inversion) phase (Fig. 5). Both deformation phases are generally induced by rigs that move basement blocks, base plates, rubber sheets or sidewalls/backstops below or into the analogue model materials representing the Earth's lithosphere. An exception are the models by Gartrell et al. (2005) and Konstantinovskaya et al. (2007), who instead applied a system of pulleys and weights to drive deformation (force boundary condition). Most inversion models focus on the crustal-scale, where the set-up may include specific assumptions regarding the properties of the basement or mantle below (see Zwaan et al. 2019 for a discussion on this topic), but some modellers have also simulated basin inversion on a lithospheric scale (Cerca et al., 2005; Gartrell et al., 2005).

280



285 **Figure 5: Examples of basin inversion model setups illustrated in section view. (a-c) Mobile basement block set-ups. (a) Full graben**  
**set-up. Note that the graben boundary faults can be set to have different dip angles (e.g., Koopman et al. 1987). (b) Half-graben**  
**set-up. (c) Domino block set-up (e.g., Buchanan & McClay 1992). (d-e) Fixed basement (footwall) block set-ups. (d) Steep normal**  
**fault set-up (e.g., Buchanan & McClay 1991). (e) Listric fault set-up (e.g., Buchanan & McClay 1991). (f) Variable geometries of**  
 290 **the basement block can be applied as well (e.g., McClay et al. 1995; Ferrer et al. 2016). (g) Plate base set-up, with the edge of the**  
**plate base inducing a velocity discontinuity (VD) (e.g., Mitra & Islam 1994). (h-i) Distributed deformation set-ups (h) Rubber base**  
**set-up, with a rubber sheet spanned between two base plates creating a zone of distributed deformations (e.g., Amilibia et al. 2005).**  
**Note that the rubber sheet may also cover the whole base of the model (McClay 1989). (i) Foam base set-up (e.g., Richetti et al. in**  
 295 **prep). (j-k) pre-made fault/basin set-up, with either (j) a pre-made fault or basin within the deformable model materials,**  
**potentially filled with weaker material (e.g., Panien et al. 2006a), or (k) a basin built next to a fixed footwall block (e.g., Letouzey et**  
**al. 1995).**

The first basin inversion experiments by Lowell (1970) and Koopman et al. (1987) involved mobile basement blocks (Fig. 5a). Such set-ups are used to simulate the deformation of a sedimentary cover on top of a rift basin or normal fault developing in the basement (Sanford et al. 1959; Naylor et al. 1994; Dooley et al. 2003). By moving a hanging wall 300 basement block down between two footwall blocks, the overlying model materials are deformed and a basin is generated. This basin can subsequently be inverted by simply moving the hanging wall block upward again (Koopman et al. 1987; Mitra 1993; Mitra & Islam 1994; Burliga et al. 2012; Moragas et al. 2017). Koopman et al. (1987) also applied a set-up to simulate half-graben development above a tilted block (Fig. 5b), and a more complex version of this set-up, used by





Buchanan & McClay (1992), McClay (1995), Jagger & McClay (2018) and Ferrer et al. (in prep), involved a series of  
305 basement blocks that could be tilted simultaneously (domino faulting) to form a broad extensional basin, rather than a single  
half-graben (Fig. 5c). The basement block motion in both these set-ups can simply be reversed to induce inversion.

Modellers have also regularly used set-ups with fixed basement (footwall) blocks (Fig. 5d-f). In these models, a plastic sheet  
between the basement block and the model materials is connected to a moving sidewall or backstop, so that the outward  
310 motion of the sidewall caused normal faulting above the footwall block. The resulting rift structures are subsequently  
inverted by moving the sidewall inward again (McClay 1989, 1995, Buchanan & McClay 1991, Mitra 1993; Mitra & Islam  
1994; Gomes et al. 2006, 2010). Alternatively, one can also move the footwall block itself (Yamada & McClay 2004; Ferrer  
et al. 2016), which is however mostly a change of reference frame (see discussion in Zwaan et al. 2019). Various authors  
have applied complex footwall block geometries (McClay et al. 1995; Ferrer et al. 2016; Roma et al. 2018a, b) (Fig. 5f), or  
315 different backstop geometries (Gomes et al. 2010).

Base plate or conveyer belt set-ups have been commonly used for modelling extensional tectonics (Allemand et al. 1989;  
Allemand & Brun 1991; Brun & Tron 1993; Keep & McClay 1997; Michon & Merle 2000, 2003; Gabrielsen et al. 2016;  
Zwaan et al. 2019) (Fig. 5g). The edge of the base plate or conveyor belt creates a velocity discontinuity (VD), representing  
320 a fault or shear zone in the basement or upper mantle, that localizes deformation in the overlying model materials as the plate  
is pulled out from under them, resulting in the development of a rift basin (Fig. 5g). Subsequently, the motion of the base  
plate can be reversed, inducing compression along the VD and in the previously established rift basin (Mitra & Islam 1994;  
Eisenstadt & Withjack 1995; Nalpas et al. 1995; Brun & Nalpas 1996, Bonini et al. 1998; Dubois et al. 2002; Mattioni et al.  
2007; Konstantinovskaya et al. 2007; Pinto et al. 2010). Some models include two plates on both sides of the model that  
325 move apart in opposite directions during both extension and compression (Muneanu et al. 2014). Panien et al. (2005), Del  
Ventisette et al. (2005, 2006), Yagupsky et al. (2008), Granado et al. (2017) and Miró et al. (in prep.) applied a base plate  
mechanism to induce a rift basin, which was subsequently inverted by moving a backstop into it.

Other basin inversion set-ups involve distributed basal deformation, for instance by means of a basal rubber sheet. This  
330 rubber sheet is generally inserted between two base plates (Amilibia et al. 2005; Dooley & Hudec 2020; Yu et al. 2021) (Fig.  
5h), even though some authors have also used a rubber sheet covering the full length of the model (McClay 1989). By  
pulling apart the base plates or sidewalls between which the rubber is fixed, the rubber is stretched and creates a distributed  
type of deformation at the model base, rather than the highly localized deformation induced by a VD (Withjack & Jamison  
1986; McClay 1990; McClay et al. 2002; Corti et al. 2007; Henza et al. 2010; 2011; Zwaan et al. 2019). Similar to the base  
335 plate models, the resulting rift structure can be simply inverted by moving the plates (or sidewalls) together again so that the  
rubber base contracts (Amilibia et al. 2005; Dooley & Hudec 2020). Alternatively, foam or a combination of foam and  
plexiglass bars can be used to reproduce distributed deformation at the model base (Scheurs & Colletta 1998; Zwaan et al.



2016; Richetti et al., in prep). Instead of being stretched, the foam or foam/plexiglass assemblage needs to be compressed  
between sidewalls first, and as the model sidewalls are moved apart or together, the foam base extends or contracts in a  
340 distributed fashion, deforming the overlying materials (Zwaan et al. 2019, 2020b; Richetti et al. *in prep*).

Some researchers simplify the modelling procedure by building the basin or normal fault(s) already during model  
preparation (Fig. 5j), obtaining inversion by subsequently moving a backstop into the model (Sassi et al. 1993; McClay et al.  
2000; Panien et al. 2006a; Marques & Nogueira 2008; Di Domenica et al. 2014; Martínez et al. 2016, 2018; Martínez &  
345 Cristallini 2017). Such backstop models can be combined with fixed basement blocks that represent the footwall block of a  
rift boundary fault or half-graben structure (Vially et al. (1994), Letouzey et al. 1995, Philippe 1995, Fig. 5k). Vially et al.  
(1994), Letouzey et al. (1995) and Roure & Colletta (1996) have also inverted pre-built basins in a deformable basement  
block set-up (Fig. 5b), where only inversion of the pre-built basin was applied. Buchanan & McClay (1992) used a domino-  
rig (Fig. 5c) for inverting a series of pre-built basins instead. It may be noted that these moving sidewall models that include  
350 pre-built basins or faults may overlap to a degree with thrust wedge experiments (Graveleau et al. 2012).

Finally, some modellers have simulated basin inversion on a lithospheric scale, rather than on the more standard (upper)  
crustal scale (Cerca et al. 2005; Gartrell et al. 2005). Lithospheric-scale modelling of rifting in a normal gravity field (in  
contrast to centrifuge methods with enhanced gravity conditions, Corti et al. 2003; Agostini et al. 2009; Zwaan et al. 2020a)  
355 is generally done with set-ups involving mobile sidewalls (Allemand et al. 1991; Brun & Beslier 1991; Nestola et al. 2013,  
2015; Beniést et al. 2018; Zwaan & Schreurs 2021, in prep). By moving the sidewalls apart, the model, with layers  
representing the whole lithosphere floating on a dense liquid or weak viscous layer simulating the underlying asthenospheric  
mantle (Fig. 4e), is stretched. By simply reversing the motion of the sidewalls, rift basins that developed during the initial  
extension phase may be inverted. The available lithospheric-scale basin inversion model results come from very specific set-  
360 ups (involving complex lithospheric inheritance in the case of Cerca et al. 2005, and the oblique reactivation of a transfer  
fault system in the case of Gartrell et al. 2005), preventing generalized insights so far. We do therefore not address these  
models in further detail in this review.

### 3.3. Additional model set-up variations

365 We described the general model set-ups and model materials in the previous section. However, there are numerous possible  
variations and adaptations, especially regarding model layering and structural inheritance in both 2D and 3D (Fig. 6).

Even though many basin inversion set-ups are essentially 2D, the three-dimensional nature of tectonic processes is an  
important consideration for basin inversion models. Plate motion directions change over time, which can lead to changes in  
370 tectonic regimes (Sibuet et al. 2004; Philippon & Corti 2016; Schmid et al. 2017; Brune et al. 2018; Angrand et al. 2020). To



account for such changes in direction between deformation phases, modellers need modelling machines that can reproduce such kinematic changes (Fig. 6a-c). This can be done by simply repositioning the motor that controls the inward and outward motion of the moving parts with respect to the model (Dubois et al. 2002; Nalpas & Brun 1995; Brun & Nalpas 1996; Pinto et al. 2010), or by combining perpendicular and lateral motion to allow for oblique extension and oblique compression (Schreurs & Colletta 1998; Mattioni et al. 2007) (Fig. 6a-c). Some authors have even applied rotational extension and compression in their inversion models (Jara et al. 2015; 2018) (Fig. 6d-f).

A further option to add 3D complexity is the inclusion of different along-strike geometries of base plates, such as oblique VD's (Panien et al. 2005; Ustaszewski et al. 2005; Munteanu et al. 2014; Jara et al. 2015, 2018; Granado et al. 2017, Wang et al. 2017; Deng et al. 2019), transfer fault structures (Konstantinovskaya et al. 2007, Likerman et al. 2013) and pull-apart systems (Wang et al. 2017, Fig. 6g-i). Similarly, complex 3D variations such as along-strike curving geometries have been applied in basement block set-ups (Yamada & McClay 2003a, b, 2004). Modellers have also tilted the model base, to accomplish complex layered geometries and heterogeneous normal stresses (Philippe 1995; Granado et al. 2017; Borderie et al. 2019).


Additional variations can be made to the general model layering (Fig. 4). Weak granular materials (section 3.1.2) can be used to simulate (basal) detachment layers (Buchanan 1991; Panien et al. 2006a). Viscous materials are often used to simulate weak layers or detachments in basin inversion models (Fig. 4). For instance, Vially et al. (1994), Letouzey (1995), Nalpas et al. (1995), Brun & Nalpas (1996), Dubois et al. (2002), Ferrer et al. (2016) Granado et al. (2017), Roma et al. (2018a, b) and Dooley & Hudec (2020) added layers of viscous material to their sand pack for simulating weak (salt) intervals in their basin inversion experiments, decoupling the simulated sedimentary cover from the basement units (Fig. 4c, d). Patches of viscous materials are used as a handy method to distribute crustal deformation above an otherwise (too) strongly localizing VD in crustal-scale base plate models (Brun & Nalpas 1996; Del Ventisette 2005, 2006; Sani et al. 2007; Pinto et al. 2010; Likerman et al. 2013; Jara et al. 2015, 2018), even though deformation may also be focussed along the edges of these patches. Other researchers have applied a viscous layer throughout the model to simulate a ductile lower crustal layer underlying the brittle upper crust (Konstantinovskaya et al. 2007; Mattioni et al. 2007; Bonini et al. 2012; Munteanu et al. 2013, 2014, Fig. 4d).

Furthermore, variations within the model layers allow for the simulation of complex 3D structural inheritance. For instance, weak granular materials can serve to simulate sedimentary basin infill (Martínez & Cristallini 2017, Panien et al. 2005; Yagupsky et al. 2008). Pre-cut fault planes in brittle layers serve to localize deformation (Panien et al. 2006a, Di Domenica et al. 2014). Marques & Nogueira (2008) even embedded viscous material in such pre-existing faults during model preparation, reproducing the effects of salt injected along a fault plane during deformation. Additional methods to generate




complex weaknesses is the application of patches or "seeds" of viscous material to locally weaken the overlying sand layers,  
405 thus localizing deformation (Munteanu et al. 2013, Dooley & Hudec 2020).


#### Oblique inversion tectonics (base plate example)



#### Rotational inversion tectonics (base plate set-up example)



#### Pull-apart inversion tectonics (base plate set-up example)



410 **Figure 6.** Examples of 3D kinematics in basin inversion models. (a-c) Oblique inversion tectonics example. The plate motion direction is defined as angle  $\alpha$ , the angle between the normal to the model axis and plate motion direction (e.g. Zwaan et al. 2016). VD: velocity discontinuity (mobile base plate edge). Based on Brun & Nalpas (1996). (d-f) Rotational inversion tectonics example. RA: rotation axis. Based on Jara et al. (2015 and 2018). (g-i). Inverted pull-apart basin example. Based on Wang et al. (2017). Modellers have used a wide variety of other base plate geometries for basin inversion experiments (see section 3.3.).

415



### 3.4. Inclusion of additional geological processes

Surface processes (i.e., erosion, transport and syn-kinematic sedimentation) can have important impacts on the evolution of both extensional and compressional tectonic systems (Koons, 1990; Burov & Cloetingh 1997; Buiter et al. 2008; Graveleau et al. 2012; Zwaan et al. 2018a; Borderie et al. 2019), and are thus naturally also of importance for basin inversion. Often, modellers simulate extension by filling in the rift basin with (weaker) brittle model materials during or after the initial extensional deformation phase (Panien et al. 2005; Pinto et al. 2010; Ferrer et al., 2016; Granado et al. 2017; Moragas et al. 2017). In addition, some studies have included the deposition of sediments during the inversion stage (Buchanan & McClay 1991; McClay 1995; Yamada & McClay 2004; Jagger and McClay 2018; Roma et al., 2018a and b). Including sedimentation in most cases is done by filling in the negative topography formed in the model, either by sieving or by pouring granular materials, although Moragas et al. (2017) included the simulation of prograding sequences. Including erosional processes is however challenging. Either one must determine where erosion is taking place in the model, and how much model material needs to be removed, or one needs to develop a physical method to directly include active erosional and depositional processes in tectonic experiments (including precipitation, erosion and sedimentation, Graveleau et al. 2011, 2015). To our knowledge, these more complex methods have so far not been frequently used for typical basin inversion modelling due to their natural and technical complexity, although Strzeczynski et al. (2021) apply them in a modelling study of inversion of the Algerian Margin.

Magmatism is a further important geological process that has been frequently studied in analogue models (Corti et al. 2003 and 2004; Poppe et al. 2019; Maestrelli et al. 2021). However, so far only few studies have included magmatic processes in basin inversion models (Martínez et al. 2016 and 2018). Magmatism in these inversion models is achieved by injecting vegetable oil from the bottom of the model set-up during the inversion phase.

### 3.5. Scaling

Scaling of analogue models is necessary to guarantee the similitude of a model and its natural equivalent or proptotype (Hubbert 1937, Ramberg 1981), allowing for accurate model-nature comparisons. Similitude is achieved by ensuring (1) geometrical, (2) kinematic, and (3) dynamic similarity between the model and prototype. Geometrical similarity requires that all distances (length, width, depth, layer thickness) in the analogue model must have the same proportions as the natural example. Kinematic similarity signifies geometric and temporal similarity of the model and prototype, realized through similarity of velocities. Finally, dynamic similarity is established when all forces, stresses and material strengths are properly translated from the natural example to the model scale. Although it is practically impossible to incorporate all detailed complexities that characterize natural geological settings into a small laboratory experiment, a correct scaling of the dominant factors controlling deformation will allow the scaling criteria to be fulfilled. The geological setting is usually



approximated with a relatively simple model that uses as few parameters as possible to simulate the system in a meaningful way.

450

### 3.5.1. Scaling of brittle materials

Although in the past analogue modellers have generally assumed that granular materials behave according to the Coulomb failure criterion (Coulomb, 1773) (equation 1.1) with constant frictional properties, these materials show a more complex behaviour. Shear tests on granular materials reveal an elastic/frictional plastic behaviour with a phase of strain hardening until peak strength, corresponding to shear zone initiation, with a subsequent phase or strain softening until a dynamic-stable strength value is reached; If shearing is paused and subsequently resumed, shear stress increases to a second peak strength (reactivation peak strength), which corresponds to shear zone reactivation and occurs at a lower stress value than the one required for shear zone initiation (Lohrmann et al., 2003; Panien et al., 2006b; Klinkmüller et al. 2016). From these shear tests, internal friction angles at first peak strength, dynamic-stable strength and reactivation peak strength can be deduced for a particular granular material, with in general highest values of internal friction angle at first peak strength, lowest values at dynamic-stable strength and intermediate values at reactivation peak strength (Panien et al., 2006b; Klinkmüller et al., 2016).

In this context, the brittle behaviour of the upper crust is roughly characterized by angles of internal friction between ca. 30° and 40°, and cohesion values between 0 and 50 MPa (Byerlee, 1978). In order to be properly scaled, model materials must have similar angles of internal friction as the upper crust as well as an appropriate (low) cohesion value (Abdelmalak et al. 2016). These criteria are met by many granular materials, which have angles of internal friction between ca. 30° and 40° and negligible cohesion (Krantz 1991b; Schellart 2000; Lohrmann et al. 2003; Panien et al. 2006b; Klinkmüller et al. 2016).

Standard granular materials therefore generally produce shear zones that have similar geometries as faults in intact brittle lithosphere (Schellart & Strak 2016, and references therein), ensuring proper geometrical and dynamic similarity between models and nature (Hubbert 1937). Brittle materials used to implement detachments (microsbeads) have an angle of internal friction at peak strength of ca. 20° or lower (Panien et al. 2006b; Bonini et al. 2012; Klinkmüller et al. 2016), reflecting the relative weakness of such detachment layers in nature.

475

Brittle dynamic similarity can furthermore be secured by comparing the dimensionless ratio ( $R_s$ ) between gravitational stresses and cohesive stress of the model and the natural prototype, which, if similar, indicates proper scaling:

$$R_s = \frac{\text{gravitational stress}}{\text{cohesive strength}} = \frac{\rho \cdot g \cdot h}{C_\theta} \quad (1.2)$$

480

Here ( $\rho$ ) is density, ( $g$ ) gravitational acceleration, ( $h$ ) a representative length scale and ( $C_\theta$ ) cohesion.



### 3.5.2. Scaling of viscous materials

In contrast to their brittle counterparts, viscous materials show time-dependent behaviour. When no strain hardening or softening occurs (as is the case for most viscous materials used in basin inversion models), the material's viscosity remains constant and its rheology is characterized by Newtonian flow. We can then apply the following formulas to determine the stress ratio  $\sigma^*$  (convention for ratios:  $\sigma^* = \sigma_{\text{model}}/\sigma_{\text{nature}}$ ): (Weijermars & Schmeling 1986):

$$\sigma^* = \eta^* \cdot \dot{\epsilon}^* = \rho^* \cdot g^* \cdot h^* \quad (1.3 \text{ and } 1.4)$$

Here  $\dot{\epsilon}^*$  is the strain rate ratio, and  $h^*$  the viscosity ratio. Subsequently, the velocity ratio ( $v^*$ ) and the time ratio ( $t^*$ ) are obtained so that a deformation rate or a timespan in the laboratory can be translated to their respective values in nature and vice versa:

$$\dot{\epsilon}^* = \frac{v^*}{h^*} = \frac{1}{t^*} \quad (1.5 \text{ and } 1.6)$$

In order to secure proper dynamic similarity, the dimensionless Ramberg number ( $R_m$ ), involving the ratio between gravitational stress and viscous stress of the model and its natural equivalent can be compared (Weijermars & Schmeling 1986):

$$R_m = \frac{\text{gravitational stress}}{\text{viscous stress}} = \frac{\rho \cdot g \cdot h}{\dot{\epsilon} \cdot \eta} = \frac{\rho \cdot g \cdot h^2}{\eta \cdot v} \quad (1.5)$$

### 3.5.2. Typical scaling parameters for inversion models

Although every basin inversion modelling study has its own specific scaling parameters, these parameters generally fall in a clear range (which are in fact quite typical of analogue models in general, and are summarized in Table 1). Basin inversion models are generally several decimetres, up to perhaps a meter in size (width and length), with model layer thicknesses in the order of several to perhaps tens of centimetres. A cm in these models may represent one to several km in nature, and model material densities are often in the order of 1000-2000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, whereas rock densities range between 2300-3000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. Basin inversion models mostly involve viscous materials with viscosities in the order of 10<sup>3</sup> to 10<sup>5</sup> Pa s, whereas weak ductile layers in the upper crust may have viscosities in the order of 10<sup>14</sup> Pa s to 10<sup>18</sup> Pa s (e.g. evaporites and shales, e.g. Warren 2016), and ductile parts of the lower crust have viscosities between 10<sup>19</sup> Pa s and 10<sup>23</sup> Pa s (e.g. Buck 1991; Warren 2016). Deformation rates in terms of imposed sidewall or base plate displacements are generally a few mm to a couple of cm per hour, which for models involving viscous layers scales to some mm to over a cm per year in nature, well in line with tectonic displacements observed in nature (e.g. ArRajehi et al. 2010; Saria et al. 2014). Note that the deformation rate can be varied at will for brittle-only models due to the strain-rate independent behaviour of brittle model materials.



**Table 1. Typical scaling parameters in analogue models of basin inversion**

	Parameters			
	Quantity	Unit	Model	Nature
Material properties (brittle)	Model density ( $\rho$ )*	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	1000-2000 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	2000-3000 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
	Grain size range ( $\phi$ )	m	50-300 $\mu$ m	-
	Internal friction angle ( $\phi$ ) #		31°-40°	30°-40°
	Cohesion ( $C_0$ )	Pa	< 100 Pa	ca. 10 <sup>7</sup> Pa
Material properties (viscous)	Density ( $\rho$ )*	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	1000-2000 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	2000-3000 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
	Viscosity ( $\eta$ ) §	Pa s	10 <sup>3</sup> -10 <sup>6</sup> Pa s	10 <sup>14</sup> Pa s (salt) 10 <sup>23</sup> Pa s (lower crust)
	Rheology §		Newtonian ( $n \approx 1$ )	Newtonian ( $n \approx 1$ )
Model geometry and kinematics	Length (l)	m	10-100 cm	10-100 km
	Deformation rate ( $v$ ) ¥	m/s	0.5-20 cm/h	0.5-5 mm/yr
	Gravitational acceleration	m/s	9.81 m/s	9.81 m/s

520

\* Bulk material density can vary between 1000 and 4000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. The porosity of granular materials makes a big difference, as does the water content in clays, and the model preparation method (e.g. sieved, poured, scraped).

# This includes internal friction angles at peak strength, dynamic-stable strength and reactivation strength for most granular materials, excluding very well-rounded granular materials such as microbeads, which have much lower values. Note that the often used friction coefficient ( $\mu$ ) is defined as:  $\tan(\phi)$

525

§ May depend on strain rate, if the material deviates from (near-) Newtonian rheologies

§ Generally used analogue materials show (near-)Newtonian behaviour (silicone or PDMS), where  $n \approx 1$ . In nature, this represents the dislocation creep deformation mechanism, valid for gradual deformation over geological time periods (Rudolf et al. 2016 and references therein).

530

¥ most relevant for the scaling of viscous materials, as the rheology of granular materials is considered to be generally strain rate-independent





### 3.6. Model monitoring and analysis

535

A key part, and the great strength of any analogue modelling study, is the monitoring and quantification of model deformation over time. Since the dawn of analogue tectonic modelling, researchers have developed a variety of techniques, ranging from (time-lapse) photography, the generation of cross-sections and topography analysis, to advanced 2D and 3D digital image correlation techniques, and X-ray CT-scanning. Most studies included a combination of these techniques.]

540

#### 3.6.1. Photography

Photography is a trusted method for analogue model monitoring, and especially time-lapse photography provides an excellent first-order insight into model deformation. Model monitoring through photography in basin inversion studies can be split into top view and side view approaches (Fig. 7a, b). Many modellers routinely apply top view photography, where lighting is set to cast shadows that highlight surface structures, and where a surface grid serves to trace deformation (Brun & Nalpas 1996; Del Ventisette et al. 2005; Sani et al. 2007; Panien et al. 2005; Yagupsky et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2017) (Fig. 7a). Such top view time lapse imagery of the model surface allows for statistical fault orientation analysis (Jara et al. 2015) and fault length/displacement analysis (Keller & McClay 1995).

550 In addition to top view photography, model set-ups with transparent sidewalls enables direct monitoring of model deformation at the sides (Koopman 1987; McClay 1989 and 1995, Buchanan & McClay 1992; McClay & Buchanan 1992; Gomes et al. 2006 and 2019, Jagger & McClay 2016, Fig. 7b). In these cases, sidewall friction may cause boundary effects, but this can be mitigated by using products like Rain-X spray (for car windshield treatment, Krantz 1991a; Herbert et al. 2015), or transparent Teflon foil (Cruz et al. 2010). In some models involving clay, no transparent sidewalls were needed as the clay was stable enough to not deform under its own weight (Mitra 1993; Mitra & Islam 1994). By adding layers and other markers in section view, a first-order quantification of deformation becomes possible (Marques & Nogueira, 2008; Mitra 1993, Mitra & Islam 1994, McClay et al. 1995, Fig. 7b).

#### 3.6.2. Model sectioning

560 Making cross-sections is another straightforward and popular method to analyse the final stages of internal model deformation (Eisenstadt & Withjack 1995; Brun & Nalpas 1996; Dubois et al. 2002; Amilibia et al. 2005; Del Ventisette et al. 2005, 2006; Konstantinovskaya et al. 2007; Munteanu et al. 2014; Dooley & Hudec 2020, Fig. 7c). Sectioning of sand is normally done by wetting the sand to stabilize it. If required, the wet sand can be frozen for extra stability (Cerca et al. 2005), which has the additional advantage that any viscous materials will be stiffer and thus more stable too. Alternatives are to impregnate the sand with additives (McClay & Buchanan 1992), for instance with hot gelatine (Jara et al. 2015). Cutting



sections can be done manually using knives, carboard cutters or saws, or automatically with a slicing machine (Ferrer et al., 2016; Jagger & McClay 2018; Dooley & Hudec, 2020). Differently coloured layers allow for an assessment of the model's internal deformation (Fig. 7c).

570 A drawback of making cross-sections is that the model will have to be destroyed, so that sectioning can only be done at the  
end of the model run. A clever workaround is presented by Burliga et al. (2012), who sectioned only part of the model to  
obtain a continuous evolution, but this will only work in a model with no lateral variations in its set-up. Yamada & McClay  
(2003a, b and 2004) simply ran the same model set-up multiple times, cutting different sections in different orientations in  
these different models, including horizontal sections (which was also done by Deng et al. 2019). Such horizontal sections  
575 can be used to create isopach maps (Yamada & McClay 2004). Further advanced analysis through sectioning is presented by  
McClay (1996), Ferrer et al. (2016), Granado et al. (2017), Roma et al. (2018a, b), Dooley & Hudec (2020) and Ferrer et al.,  
(in prep), who used fine-spaced cross sections of constant thickness made with slicing machines to construct 3D voxel  
images and pseudo-seismic volumes. This method allows a unique interpretation of 3D internal model structures, in a very  
similar fashion to the analysis of 3D seismic surveys (Fig. 7d).


580

### 3.6.3. Topography analysis


Where top view imagery provides a first impression of surface deformation, detailed topography analysis allows quantified  
insights into surface deformation (Fig. 7e). Various options are available, such as 3D digital image correlation (DIC) image  
585 analysis (Dooley & Hudec 2020; Schmid et al., 2021, section 3.6.4), photogrammetry on stereoscopic photographs  
(Maestrelli et al. 2020, 2021; Zwaan et al. 2020a, 2021a, b), and fringe projection analysis (Barrientos et al. 2008; Martinez  
et al. 2016). The technique that has been generally used for basin inversion modelling is surface scanning using laser or  
white light scanners (Bonini et al. 2012; Likerman et al. 2013, Jara et al. 2015, 2018; Granado et al. 2017; Deng et al. 2019,  
Fig. 7e). Scanning generates digital elevation models that can be processed in GIS software, allowing for instance the  
590 extraction of topographic profiles over time (Jara et al. 2015; Reitano et al. 2020, 2022).




a) Top view analysis




b) Side view analysis (through transparent sidewall)




c) Model sections




d) Topography analysis




e) 3D section analysis



f) DIC analysis



f) X-ray CT analysis





595 **Figure 7. Examples of techniques used for the analysis of basin inversion models. (a) Top view image from an inversion model by Panien et al. (2005). Reproduced with permission from Elsevier. (b) Side view imagery of an inversion model with a fixed rigid footwall block set-up, after McClay (1995). Reproduced with permission from the Geological Society, London. (c) Cross section of an inversion model with a base plate set-up, after Eisenstadt & Withjack (1995). Reproduced with permission from the Geological Society, London. (d) Topography map of a basin inversion model by Deng et al. (2019), obtained via surface laser scanning. (e) Advanced analysis of model cross-sections in 3D seismic interpretation software by Roma et al. (2018b). Reproduced with permission from the Geological Society, London. (f) Strain map of a basin inversion model by Dooley & Hudec (2020), obtained through digital image correlation (DIC) analysis. (g) X-ray CT-analysis of an inversion model involving a mobile basement block. After Letouzey et al. (1995). AAPG ©1995, reprinted by permission of the AAPG, whose permission is required for further use.**

600

#### 3.6.4. Digital image correlation (DIC)

605 Time lapse imagery of analogue models allows for the quantification of model surface deformation through digital image correlation (DIC) techniques (Adam et al. 2005; Boutelier et al. 2019). This method compares images from different moments in time, to derive surface displacement in map view, or when multiple view angles are available, in three dimensions. The surface displacement field can subsequently be used to extract the amount of strain, and even the type of faulting (Broerse et al. 2021; Krstekanić et al. 2021). Furthermore, the 3D displacement field provides an alternative method for topography analysis (Schmid et al., 2021). Even though DIC analysis has recently become a standard in the analogue modelling toolbox, it has only been sparsely used for basin inversion models. So far only Wang et al. (2017) and Richetti et al. (in prep) have applied 2D DIC on surface imagery of their inverted pull-apart basin experiments and in their oblique inversion experiments, respectively, whereas Dooley & Hudec (2020) used 3D DIC analysis (Fig. 7f). Furthermore, Jagger & McClay (2018) have gone beyond the tracing of markers on sideview imagery (section 3.6.1) and have applied DIC analysis for analysing deformation on such imagery.

615

#### 3.6.5. X-Ray CT scanning

Most model monitoring techniques (top view imagery DIC and surface laser scanning) can only provide insights into surface model deformation. Cross-sections allow us to catch a glimpse of internal model structures, but only at the final stage of model deformation, as the model will have to be physically cut. Although side-view imagery through a transparent sidewall allows direct observation of model deformation, these observations are only in 2D section view. So far, the only practical method to obtain 3D insights into internal model evolution is through X-ray computed tomography (CT) methods (Richard 1989; Colletta et al. 1991; Schreurs et al. 2003, Zwaan et al. 2018a, Schmid et al. 2021, Fig. 7g). Such CT-scanning, which uses X-rays to image the internal structures of a model, has been used in a number of basin inversion studies (Sassi et al. 1993; Vially et al. 1994; Letouzey et al. 1995; Roure & Colletta 1996; Panien et al. 2005, 2006a; Mattioni et al. 2007). Not only does CT imagery allow unique visualization of 3D model-internal structures, it can also be used to extract specific horizons in the models (Konstantinovskaya et al. 2007). These horizons can, similar to normal cross-sections, be highlighted by using layers of different densities that appear in different grey shades on the CT scans (Letouzey et al. 1995).

625



Furthermore, in a similar way to the side view imagery obtained through a transparent sidewall (section 3.6.1), we can trace material pathways by following markers included in the models (e.g. iodine powder in Panien et al. 2005).

#### 630 4. Overview of representative modelling results


In this section we present overviews of modelling results that are representative of the general structures obtained in analogue models of inversion tectonics (Figs. 8-16). The overviews are categorized in sub-sections that address the results obtained by each of the major types of model set-ups presented in section 3.2 (mobile or fixed basement blocks, base plate, distributed basal deformation, and pre-built basin/fault), with attention to the general influence of model layering, and 3D  
635 factors such as oblique inversion. It is also important to emphasize that analogue modelling results can vary significantly due to variations in material properties and handling techniques (e.g. Schreurs et al. 2006 and 2016, section 3), different degrees of sedimentation, or different amounts and rates of extension and subsequent compression. The vast range of possible variations cannot always be fully accounted for in our generalized overviews. Furthermore, not all combinations of parameters have been tested so far, leading to gaps in the overviews. Hence, we urge the reader to use these overviews as a  
640 first-order guide only, and we refer to the original research for more details.

##### 4.1. Mobile basement set-ups

Mobile basement set-ups were among the first set-ups used for basin inversion (Lowell 1974; Koopman et al. 1987; Mitra & Islam 1994), and are normally used for orthogonal inversion experiments (Figs. 5a-c, 8). In the case of a full graben set-up  
645 with a brittle cover (Fig. 8a), initial extension and downward motion of the central rift wedge block leads to the development of a symmetrical graben structure and the creation of accommodation space that can be filled with syn-rift sediments (Fig. 8b). When applying subsequent shortening, the central basement block moves upward again, and the rift boundary faults reactivate (Fig. 8c). However, new low-angle thrust faults, also known as *footwall shortcuts*, develop in the brittle cover, so that only part of the inversion is accommodated by reactivation of the original rift boundary faults. A similar result is  
650 observed in half-graben models with a brittle cover (Burliga et al. 2012, Fig. 8d-f). However, Letouzey et al. (1995) noted that applying oblique inversion in such models promotes the reactivation of the normal faults inherited from the extension phase.



Overview of inversion models with mobile basement set-ups





655

**Figure 8. Section-views sketches of idealized results from basin inversion models involving a set-up with mobile basement blocks. (a-c) Full graben set-up with brittle-only layering. (d-f) Half-graben set-up with brittle-only layering, with very similar inversion structures as those in the full graben set-up. (g-i) Half-graben set-up I with brittle-viscous layering (high brittle-to-viscous thickness ratio). (j-l) Half-graben set-up II with brittle-viscous layering (low brittle-to-viscous thickness ratio). (m-o) Domino set-up with brittle-only layering. Images inspired by Koopman et al. (1987), Buchanan & McClay (1992) and Burliga et al. (2012).**

660

A viscous layer overlying the basement blocks detaches the brittle overburden from the mobile basement and distributes the deformation induced by the basement fault (Fig. 8g-i). As a result, initial extension leads to the formation of multiple faults away from the basement fault (Fig. 8h), and subsequent inversion may only reactivate one of these faults (Fig. 8i) (Burliga et al. 2012; Moragas et al. 2017). However, the (relative) thickness of the viscous layer and brittle cover has a strong influence on the coupling between basement and cover during extension (Withjack and Callaway, 2000). The structural evolution of such brittle-viscous inversion models can thus vary significantly. As also shown in previous modelling studies (Withjack and Callaway, 2000; Dooley et al. 2003; Moragas et al., 2017; Zwaan et al. 2020a) a relatively thin brittle cover may be subject to flexure during extension (Fig. 8j-l) (for more details see Burliga et al. 2012). The impact of layer thickness on brittle-only systems should be much less important, as coupling is always high in such a system.

665

670

Finally, in models with domino set-ups as used by Buchanan & McClay (1992), McClay (1995) and Jagger & McClay (2018) a series of basement blocks is rotated (Fig. 8m-o), leading to the development of a series of half-grabens with growth strata during extension (Fig. 8n). Inversion of these half-grabens causes partial reactivation of initial normal faults, but also results in the development of footwall shortcuts (Fig. 8o). Similar to the (half-graben) models described above, these domino set-ups involve inversion along existing normal faults and newly formed thrusts (Fig. 8c, f, o).

675

#### 4.2. Fixed basement set-ups

Similar to the mobile basement set-ups, fixed rigid basement (footwall) block set-ups have been used for some of the earliest basin inversion models (McClay 1989, 1995, Mitra 1993; Mitra & Islam 1994; Buchanan & McClay 1991) These primarily serve to study inversion as a 2D process with orthogonal extension followed by orthogonal compression (Figs. 5d-f, 9). The edge of the rigid basement block, representing a pre-existing basin boundary fault, can have various geometries (Figs. 5d-f, 9). It may be noted that the applied method of inversion (either by moving the backstop or moving the basement block relative to the model) can cause some variation in structural evolution. The description of these variations is beyond the scope of this review and we refer the reader to the original publications for more details.

680

685

The most straightforward example is the steep normal fault set-up, with brittle-only materials (Buchanan & McClay 1991; McClay 1995; Ferrer et al. 2016, Fig. 9a). As extension is applied by either moving the sidewall and the plastic sheet





690 between the model materials and the rigid block away, or by moving the rigid block itself away underneath the model  
materials, normal faulting is induced (Fig. 9b). In this type of model, a series of normal faults dipping towards the basement  
block develops, as well as a major normal fault along the basement block that accommodates most subsidence (Fig. 9b).  
Some minor tilting of layers towards the basement block may occur. Inversion of this system slightly reactivates the normal  
faults from the initial extensional phase, but inversion is mostly accommodated by the major fault along the basement block,  
as well as a major backthrust, effectively creating a pop-up structure (Fig. 9). Buchanan & McClay (1991) also show how, in  
695 the presence of a thick post-rift/syn-inversion sequence, the major fault along the basement block can change to a shallower  
angle when propagating into these shallower sequences (footwall cut-off).

As seen in the mobile basement models, adding a viscous layer into the pre-rift sequence can detach different parts of the  
model. Although no models with a basal viscous layer are known from the literature, some researchers have included a  
700 viscous layer within the brittle materials of a fixed rigid basement model with a steep normal fault (Ferrer et al. 2016, Roma  
et al. 2018a, b, Fig. 9d-f). During rifting, the uppermost brittle units are detached from the lower faulted units and form a  
salt-detached ramp-syncline basin that is filled with syn-rift units (Fig. 9e). The continuity of the viscous layer or its welded  
equivalent inherited from the extensional episode will be critical during the inversion. Inverting this system forms a pop-up  
structure in the units below the viscous layer and inverts the ramp-syncline basin (Fig. 9f). The viscous layer acts as an  
705 efficient detachment during inversion and part of the contractional deformation can be propagated above the footwall of the  
major fault (Ferrer et al., 2016). Importantly, the flow of the viscous layer causes welding near the edge of the basement  
block, and to swell in other areas (Fig. 9f). The final structure is quite distinct from its counterpart without a viscous layer  
(compare Fig. 9c with Fig. 9f).


710 Another well-studied fixed rigid basement block set-up involves a listric fault geometry (McClay 1989, 1995, 1996;  
Buchanan & McClay 1991; McClay & Buchanan 1992; Keller & McClay 1995; Gomes et al. 2006, 2010, Ferrer et al., 2016,  
Fig. 9g-i). This set-up, generally leads to the formation of a graben at some distance from the top edge of the basement  
block, and results in strong tilting of layers near the block (Fig. 9h). During inversion, this tilting is reversed, and similar to  
its steep normal fault equivalent, a backthrust develops so that the overall final structure can again be described as a pop-up  
715 (Fig. 9i). Some of the other normal faults may be slightly reactivated as well. (Fig. 9i). The listric fault results described here  
are typical of models involving a vertical backstop. Gomes et al (2010) showed that different backstop geometries can also  
strongly affect reactivation (e.g., by promoting backthrusting). Furthermore, thin-skinned deformation, simulated by only  
having the upper part of the vertical backstop move inward (from either direction in section view) significantly alters the  
model results (Gomes et al. 2006), as is also known from thrust wedge experiments (Graveleau et al. 2012).

720





### Overview of inversion models with fixed basement set-ups






725 **Figure 9. Section-views sketches of idealized results from basin inversion models involving a set-up with fixed basement blocks. (a-c) Inversion model with steep fault set-up and brittle-only model materials. (d-f) Inversion with steep fault set-up and a brittle-viscous layering. (g-i) Inversion model with a listric fault set-up and brittle-only model materials. (j-l) Inversion model with a ramp-flat-ramp fault set-up and brittle-only model materials. (m-o) Inversion with a variable fault dip set-up and a brittle-viscous layering. Image inspired by McClay (1989, 1995), Buchanan & McClay (1991), Gomes et al. (2006, 2010), Ferrer et al. (2016).**

730 Various authors (McClay 1989, 1995; Buchanan & McClay 1992; Ferrer et al. 2016; Roma et al. 2018a, b) have tested the effects of more complex fault geometries, of which a version with a ramp-flat-ramp geometry has been most popular (Fig. 9j-l). Although the main fault has a similar smooth shape to the main fault in the listric fault set-up, leading to similar tilting of layers near the basement block, the flat part in the middle causes a disturbance in the deformation field that can cause local reverse faulting during extension (Fig. 9k). When inverted, the tilted strata near the main fault are back-rotated, but typical of these (brittle-only) models is the development of a pop-up structure at the tip of the flat part (Fig. 9l). Similar to its  
735 steep fault equivalent (Fig. 9d-f), adding a viscous layer into the brittle layers of the variable fault set-up decouples the brittle materials below it from those above it, resulting in a sag-like syn-rift deposition pattern during extension (Ferrer et al. 2016; Fig. 9n). When considering the model parts below the viscous layer, inversion creates similar structures as in the brittle-only models, but the flow of the viscous layer creates a smooth inverted basin above it (Fig. 9l, o). Similar to the steep fault model with a viscous layer, the viscous layer swells and is welded here too (Fig. 9f, o). For more insights into the complex interplay  
740 between basement fault geometry and brittle-viscous layering see Ferrer et al. (2016) and Roma et al. (2018a, b).

Finally, even though most fixed basement set-up models were designed to investigate 2D inversion, Yamada & McClay (2003a, b, 2004) also explored the third dimension. In these 3D experiments, they applied sinusoidal along-strike variations of the fault surfaces, which induced complex structures in both the initial extension and the subsequent inversion phase. An  
745 example of their model results is shown in Fig. 10.







775 **Figure 11. Sketches of representative results from basin inversion models involving a set-up with base plates. VD: velocity discontinuity. (a-c) Brittle-only inversion experiment. (d-f) Brittle-viscous set-up I with a viscous layer within the brittle layer. (g-i) Brittle-viscous set-up II with a viscous base layer. (j-o) 3D effect of the inversion direction on reactivation of pre-existing faults. Oblique compression promotes oblique-slip reactivation of steep normal faults that are unlikely to be reactivated in an orthogonal compression situation. This effect is also observed in inversion models with a viscous basal detachment layer (Pinto et al. 2010). Images inspired by Dubois et al. (2002), Nalpas & Brun (1993), Nalpas et al. (1995), Brun & Nalpas (1996), Mattioni et al. (2007).**

780

Nalpas et al. (1995) and Brun & Nalpas (1996) tested the influence of a viscous layer, representing salt strata in nature, embedded within the brittle model materials overlying a VD (Fig. 11d-f) The viscous layer partially decouples the brittle material below it from the brittle material above it during initial extension (Fig. 11e, m). During subsequent compression and inversion, the viscous material will advect along the active faults (Fig. 11f). Similar to the brittle-only base plate models, 785 orthogonal inversion favours the development of new, lower angle thrust faults (Fig. 11f, n), whereas oblique inversion preferentially reactivates the already existing basin boundary faults (Fig. 11i, o).


Adding a basal viscous layer to the base of the set-up, will detach the VD (to a degree) from the cover (Dubois et al. 2002; Konstantinovskaya et al. 2007). Depending on various factors, such as layer thicknesses, extension velocity, viscosity of the 790 detachment layer, and the presence of a single or double VD, a single or double graben system, or even a wide rift zone may develop during extension (compare the rift phases of e.g., Dubois et al. 2002, Konstantinovskaya et al. 2007, and Mattioni et al. 2007, and see Zwaan et al. 2019 for a broader discussion on this topic). In Fig. 11h we show an example with a double graben system developing above the VD, where some viscous material rises below the two rift basins (Dubois et al. 2002; Mattioni et al. 2007). Note that such double grabens can also be formed when applying a narrow patch of viscous material 795 above the VD (Pinto et al. 2010). As this brittle-viscous system is inverted by orthogonal compression, basin boundary faults may slightly reactivate, but the bulk of the deformation is accounted for by new thrust faults rooting at the risen viscous material at the base of the graben, incorporating the original graben into a pop-up structure (Fig. 11i).

Dubois et al. (2002) show that, similar to the models without a viscous basal detachment (Fig. 11j-o), higher degrees of 800 oblique compression during inversion will preferentially reactivate existing normal faults. Such easy reactivation is also observed in Pinto et al. (2010) and Mattioni et al. (2007), even though the latter study involved a complex mechanical stratigraphy with multiple viscous layers. Still, it is not impossible for rift boundary faults to dominantly reactivate in brittle-viscous base plate models undergoing orthogonal inversion (Konstantinovskaya et al. 2007). Even more complex results are obtained by Ustaszewski et al. (2005), who applied a similar set-up involving initial oblique extension, followed by oblique 805 compression. Here the initial oblique extension phase developed en-echelon normal fault structures typical of such a set-up (Tron & Brun 1991; Brun & Tron 1993; Bonini et al. 1997; Munteanu et al. 2014; Zwaan et al. 2021a, b), which were partially reactivated during oblique compression (Fig. 12). Here the rate of compression, and thus the degree of brittle-viscous coupling was shown to have an effect on the resulting inversion structures (Ustaszewski et al. 2005).



810 As mentioned in section 2.3, Wang et al. (2017) are to our knowledge the only authors to explore inversion of pull-apart  
basins (Fig. 6g-i). However due to the rather specific set-up, including low degrees of transtension and transpression, and the  
sensitivity of such systems to slight deviations from pure strike-slip system (Fedorik et al. 2019), a discussion of the results  
in Wang et al. (2017) is beyond the general scope of this review.

815



820 **Figure 12. Top view of an inversion model involving a base plate set-up with brittle-viscous layering and (a) initial oblique extension leading to a series of en echelon normal faults, followed by (b) subsequent oblique inversion leading to (oblique) thrusting and strike-slip faulting. Inspired by Ustaszewski et al. (2005).**



#### 4.4. Distributed basal deformation set-ups

825 Although a rubber or foam base has been regularly used for the modelling of distributed rifting (Vendeville et al. 1987;  
McClay et al. 2002, Bahroudi et al., 2003; Bellahsen et al., 2003; Bellahsen and Daniel, 2005; Zwaan et al. 2019), few  
authors have applied such a basal condition for inversion models (McClay et al. 1989; Amilibia et al. 2005; Dooley & Hudec  
2020). The inversion models by McClay et al. (1989) involved a rubber sheet covering the whole base of the model overlain  
by a brittle layer (Fig. 9a). During extension, the distributed deformation produced a pervasive pattern of normal faulting,  
830 similar to the structures seen in Vendeville et al. (1987) (Fig. 13b). Applying subsequent orthogonal inversion in such a  
model leads to the development of new thrust faults, crosscutting the previously established normal fault structure that  
remains inactive (Fig. 13c).

Amilibia et al. (2005) applied an oblique rubber sheet spanned between base plates in their inversion model (Fig. 13a). Even  
835 so, comparing cross-sections at the sidewalls of the model, where the system seems to have behaved in a more or less 2D  
fashion, with cross-sections from the centre of the model, where deformation was oblique, allows both a 2D and 3D  
interpretation of this type of model (Fig. 13a-c, j-l). Initial (orthogonal or oblique) extension creates pervasive normal  
faulting above the rubber sheet (Fig. 13a, j). Subsequent orthogonal compression favours the development of new thrusts  
rooting along the margins of the interface, whereas the pre-existing normal faults were only mildly inverted (Fig. 13f, k). By  
840 contrast, oblique compression preferentially reactivates the pre-existing (boundary) faults (Fig. 13l).


The study by Dooley & Hudec (2020) aimed at modelling a very specific setting and has a rather complex experimental set-  
up. The set-up involves offset patches of viscous material overlying a model-wide viscous layer, which itself sits on top of a  
rubber sheet between two base plates. The model also includes a syn-sedimentary sequence of viscous material representing  
845 salt, inserted between two phases of extension prior to inversion. As such, the 3D results are too intricate for our  
summarizing purposes in this review paper.

Finally, Richetti et al. (in prep) used foam as an alternative material for inducing distributed deformation in their brittle-  
viscous models (Fig. 13g-i). In these models a central seed serves to localize deformation during initial extension (Zwaan et  
850 al. 2019), thus creating a graben structure (Fig. 13g, h). Inversion of this structure subsequently leads to the development of  
thrust faults rooting at the seed, creating a pop-up structure, whereas the initial normal faults remain mostly inactive (Fig.  
13i). The authors studied various degrees of oblique extension and compression as well. These results (Fig. 13m-o) are very  
compatible with the insights derived from the models by Amilibia et al. (2005) (Fig. 13j-l): new thrusting is prevalent during  
orthogonal inversion, whereas oblique inversion favours reactivation of pre-existing normal faults.


855



Overview of inversion models with distributed basal deformation set-ups



Orthogonal vs. oblique inversion (3D view)



brittle pre-tectonic units     
  syn-rift infill     
  viscous pre-tectonic units     
  post-rift infill     
  applied motion (translation)     
  active faults





860 **Figure 13. Sketches of representative results from brittle-only basin inversion models involving a set-up with distributed deformation at the base. (a-c) Brittle-only inversion experiment with a rubber base below the whole model. (d-f) Brittle-only inversion experiment with a rubber sheet spanned between two base plates. (g-i) Brittle-viscous models with a foam base set-up. (j-l) 3D effect of inversion direction on reactivation of pre-existing faults: oblique compression promotes oblique-slip reactivation of steep normal faults that are unlikely to be reactivated in an orthogonal compression situation. This effect is also observed in the brittle-viscous inversion models with a foam base. Images inspired by McClay (1989), Amilibia et al. (2005), and Richetti et al. (in prep).**

865

#### 4.5. Pre-built basin set-ups

Various authors have used pre-built basins or faults, that were subsequently compressed by moving a backstop into the model for the simulation of basin inversion (Sassi et al. 1993; Panien et al. 2006a; Marques & Nogueira 2008; Di Domenico et al. 2014; Martínez & Cristallini 2017). McClay et al. (2000) used a first phase of differential sedimentary loading to create  
870 rift basins to be inverted by a moving backstop. The models by Panien et al. (2005), Del Ventisette et al. (2005, 2006), Sani et al. (2007); Yagupsky et al. (2008), Bonini et al. (2012), Likerman et al. (2013), Munteanu et al. (2014), Jara et al. (2015, 2018), Martínez et al. (2016, 2018) and Granado et al. (2017), who used a base plate to create the initial basin and applied a sidewall for compression and inversion, are very similar in nature to setups with pre-built basins. A general overview of the results from these inversion modelling studies is presented in Figs. 14 and 15.


875

##### 4.5.1. Inversion in section view



The simplest inversion model of this type involves a pre-cut normal fault in a brittle layer (Sassi et al., 1993; Marques & Nogueira 2008) (Fig. 14a). Subsequently, the potential reactivation of this ‘fault’ (usually a disturbed dilatant zone in granular materials) depends on how much the fault decreases the strength of the brittle layer. A strong fault will not readily  
880 reactivate, so that a thrust wedge with newly formed thrust faults will have to accommodate the shortening imposed by the moving backstop, which is in fact very similar to thrust wedge models (Colletta et al. 1991; Cotton & Koyi 2000; Graveleau et al. 2012). By contrast, faults with a shallow dip or weakened with viscous material (Marques & Nogueira 2008) may be reactivated, and a backthrust may develop to form a pop-up structure. Very similar effects are observed in models with a pre-built basin (Fig. 14d-f): a strong basin infill forces the development of new thrusts, whereas a weak basin infill favours  
885 reactivation of pre-existing faults, and may even be folded and "squeezed-out" in a somewhat ductile fashion (Panien et al. 2006a; Martínez & Cristallini 2017). Sassi et al. (1993) also showed that the spacing of pre-existing faults influences their reactivation, since not all faults in their models with closely-spaced faults reactivated.



### Overview of inversion models with pre-built basin set-ups



### Influence of pre-existing graben orientation during inversion (map view)





890 **Figure 14. Section-view sketches of representative results from basin inversion models involving a set-up with pre-built basins and faults. (a-c) Inversion of a pre-built fault in a brittle-only layer, which is either relatively (b) strong, or (c) weak. (d-f) Inversion of a pre-built basin, containing either a (e) strong brittle basin infill, or a (f) weak brittle basin infill. (g-i) Inversion of a brittle-viscous system with thin brittle cover layer and dispersed grabens generated by initial extension with a base plate, either during (h) fast compression or (i) slow compression. (j-l) Inversion of a brittle viscous system with a thick brittle cover and a broad graben generated by initial extension using a base plate, either during (k) asymmetric compression, or (l) symmetric compression. (m-o). Inversion of a basin with a viscous basal layer, adjacent to a fixed rigid footwall block, (n) Model without seed, and (o) with seed. (p-r) Effect of pre-existing graben orientation on fault reactivation during subsequent compression and inversion in brittle-only models, shown in map view. Graben orientation is defined as angle  $\alpha$ , the acute angle between the graben strike and backstop motion direction. Images inspired by Vially et al. (1994), Letouzey et al. (1995), Panien et al. (2005, 2006a), Marques & Noquera (2008), Yagupsky et al. (2008), Munteanu et al. (2014) and Schori et al. (2021).**

900

The transfer of deformation onto the pre-existing structures away from the moving sidewall in these models is furthermore promoted by the insertion of a detachment layer of microbeads or viscous material (Panien et al. 2006a; Marques & Nogueira 2008; Munteanu et al. 2014). Such a transfer of deformation away from the sidewall is well known from thrust wedge experiments involving a viscous detachment (Colletta et al. 1991; Cotton & Koyi 2000; Borderie et al. 2019; Schori et al. 2021), and is in a way similar to the deformation transfer effect of a base plate during inversion (Fig. 11a-f). Brittle-viscous inversion models by Bonini et al. (2012) illustrate that this transfer of deformation through a viscous layer is affected by the rate of inversion, where deformation during slow inversion is more distributed, whereas deformation during fast inversion is more concentrated towards the moving backstop (Fig. 14g-i). This is evidently caused the strengthening of the viscous material deforming under higher strain rates (Brun 1999). Yet these structures in Bonini et al. (2012) are very different from the overthrusting focussed in the pre-existing basin seen in the models by Munteanu et al. (2014), potentially due to the thicker brittle cover layer in the latter study (Fig. 14j-k). Other variations are likely due to different degrees of extension and compression, as well as the symmetry or asymmetry of compression (Likerman et al. 2013; Munteanu et al. 2014; Jara et al. 2015, 2018, see section 4.5.2).

915 Vially et al. (1994) and Letouzey et al. (1995) have explored another setup, involving a rigid footwall block next to a pre-made basin containing a brittle infill on top of a viscous layer (Fig. 14m). The authors examined a setup without a seed (Fig. 14n) and one with seeds to simulate the presence of salt diapirs (Fig. 14o). In the situation without a seed, deformation took a shortcut and instead of reactivating the fault along the basement block, the shortening caused the development of a low-angle thrust, along with a backthrust to form a pop-up structure (Fig. 14n). By contrast, the presence of a seed localizes shortening, so that a pop-up structure forms above the seed instead (Fig. 14o). Note that these drawings are simplified versions of the models, and we refer the reader to the original research papers for the detailed model depictions (Vially et al. 1994 and Letouzey et al. 1995).


925



#### 4.5.2. Inversion in 3D

The 3D arrangement and location of pre-existing structures with respect to the shortening direction may strongly affect which of these structures will reactivate and how, as observed in the brittle-only experiments by Panien et al. (2005), Yagupsky et al. (2008), Di Domenica et al. (2014) and Deng et al. (2019) (Fig. 14p-r). These models show that oblique structures may be reactivated, and similar to (brittle-viscous) Jura Mountain models with oblique basement steps (Schori et al., 2021), the front of each new thrust sheet may partially follow the trend of the pre-existing structures, before reorienting itself to become sub-perpendicular to the general direction of compression (Fig. 14p-r). Further 3D complexities in models with a viscous basal detachment can be induced by having (partial) walls/backstops move inward from one or both sides of the model, after creating an initial basin by means of base plates (Munteanu et al. 2014). As a result, different parts of these models have undergone different degrees of (asymmetric or symmetric) compression during inversion, leading to significant variations in structural style (Fig. 14k, l).

Jara et al. (2015, 2018) applied rotational motion of a base plate to generate along-strike basin width variations, as well as rotational motion of a backstop for along-strike variations in compression during subsequent inversion. Fig. 15 illustrates an example of initial rotational extension, followed by orthogonal compression in their brittle-viscous models. The rotational extension leads to the development of a V-shaped basin, with more stretching away from the rotation axis, together with increased tilting of fault blocks (Fig. 15a, cI-III). The development of such V-shaped basins is typical of rotational extension systems (Souriot & Brun 1992; Benes & Scott 1996; Molnar et al. 2017; Zwaan et al. 2020b; Schmid et al., 2021), and the increased tilting of faults with increased amounts of extension is in line with observations from previous brittle-viscous models (e.g. Mandal & Chattopadhyay 1995; Zwaan et al. 2016). When applying orthogonal inversion, the initial rift geometry has a clear effect on the final model structures (Fig. 15b, cIV-VI). Closer to the original rotation axis, the model is relatively undeformed so that inversion has few weaknesses to reactivate. Therefore, deformation remains relatively close to the backstop (Fig. 15b, c: panel IV), similar to observations in other models (Panien et al. 2005; Bonini et al. 2012) (Fig. 14b, e, g-i). By contrast, farther away from the rotation axis, the more developed graben with its lower-angle normal faults represents a weakness that is readily reactivated (Fig. 15b, c: panel VI), so that deformation can be transferred farther from the backstop, as also observed by Bonini et al. (2012) and Munteanu et al. (2014) (Fig. 14g-l).



955 **Figure 15. Rotational inversion model. (a) Extensional phase: active subsidence at different extension percentages. (b) Compressional phase: active topography increment at different shortening percentages. (c) I, II, III: cross-sections at the end of initial extension by means of a base plate set-up. IV, V, VI: cross-sections after homogeneous shortening by means of a backstop moving into the model. Image adopted from Jara et al. (2015), and reproduced with permission from the Geological Society, London.**



#### 4.6. Influence of additional geological processes

960 Even though surface processes are known to affect the development of both extensional and compressional tectonic systems (Koons et al., 1990; Burov & Cloetingh 1997; Buitter et al. 2008; Graveleau et al. 2012; Moragas et al., 2017; Roma et al., 2018; Zwaan et al. 2018a; Borderie et al. 2019), and are thus of importance when considering basin inversion, they have so far received little attention in analogue basin inversion models. Modellers generally apply either full sedimentation or no sedimentation during model runs, with only few studies testing the actual influence of sedimentation on the system. Richetti et al. (in prep) show how the lack of syn-rift sedimentation causes the basin to be "squeezed" (Fig. 16b), whereas the presence of sediments fills the available accommodation space (i.e. the basin) and strengthens the system, obstructing such "squeezing" (Fig. 16a). Dubois et al. (2002) found that sedimentation prevents the reactivation of some faults in the system, and Pinto et al. (2010) provide similar results, highlighting that added sedimentation during extension and sedimentation reduces fault reactivation. These observations are in line with the results from models involving pre-built basins with a strong or weak basin infill (section 4.5.1, Fig. 14e, f): in the former case, the strong basin is poorly reactivated, whereas in the latter case, the reduced strength of the weak infill allows reactivation. Extrapolated, the total absence of infill makes the system weaker, increasing the likelihood of reactivation. However, even though applied in a model by Strzeczynsky et al. (2021), the relative influence of erosion has to our knowledge never been systematically tested in basin inversion models.

975




Fig. 16. (a-b) Effect of presence and absence of syn-rift sedimentation during rifting (inspired by Richetti et al, in prep). (c-d) Effect of magmatism and magma viscosity on inversion. Inspired by Martínez et al. (2018).



980 Similar to sedimentary loading, tectonic loading can have an important influence on basin inversion tectonics. This is most relevant in models with moving sidewalls, which are in fact very similar to thrust wedge experiments (Graveleau et al. 2012, and references therein). Granado et al. (2017) tested the influence of differential loading, revealing that high tectonic loading may prevent fault reactivation, but loading gradients may in fact promote reactivation of sub-thrust basins, i.e., basins below or near the tip of the thrust wedge. These authors have also applied tilting of the basin during inversion, which is known  
985 from critical taper theory and experiments to significantly affect the dynamics of a thrust wedge (Buitter 2012; Graveleau et al. 2012, and references therein).

Apart from the more general impact of (sedimentary) loading, some modellers have also included syn-tectonic deposition of viscous layering in their models, to simulate the accumulation of evaporite (salt) or clay units (Del Ventisette et al. 2006 and  
990 2007; Sani et al. 2007; Mattioni et al. 2007; Dooley & Hudec 2020). As also seen in models including pre-tectonic viscous layering (Nalpas & Brun 1996; Ferrer et al. 2016, Figs. 9d-f, m-o, 11d-f, m-o), these viscous layers act as detachments, causing often very complex deformation (especially when multiple detachments are involved, Mattioni et al. 2007, Dooley & Hudec 2020), as well as diapirism related to the activity of large faults (in a complex interaction with sedimentation patterns, Moregas et al. 2017). Simulated magmatism can have a similar effect, in that it detaches the overlying brittle units from the  
995 the model base and can migrate along fault planes (Martínez et al. 2016, 2018). As such, enhanced magmatism during inversion may lubricate faults, and promote the development of pop-up structures with magma-accumulations near the surface, especially when the magma has a low viscosity (Fig. 16c, d).

## 5. Comparison to numerical models and nature

### 5.1. Comparisons between analogue and numerical models

1000 Whereas analogue modellers study tectonic processes by running scaled experiments in the laboratory, other researchers apply numerical modelling methods. These include techniques based on an assembly of particles (Distinct Element Method, DEM) as well as continuum methods (Finite Element Method, FEM). DEM methods may intuitively seem more appropriate for modelling granular materials, but it should be kept in mind that a DEM particle is generally of such size to include many scaled sand particles. Continuum methods do generally not produce discrete fault planes, but have been shown to be well  
1005 suited for simulating sand behaviour (Buitter et al. 2006, 2016; Crook et al., 2006). The numerical models allow the inclusion of parameters that are highly challenging to implement in analogue models, such as thermal effects, isostasy, surface processes, parallel deformation mechanisms, and strain weakening. They also readily provide quantitative insights into internal deformation patterns and stress measures that are challenging, if not impossible, to obtain from analogue models. On the other hand, numerical models may lack sufficient resolution when studying tectonic processes in 3D, though this is  
1010 rapidly improving, depend on their parametrization of especially brittle processes, and often require access to a high-performance computer cluster. As such, analogue and numerical modelling methods both have their strengths and




weaknesses, and combining these methods for studying tectonic processes can provide more robust results (Ellis et al. 2004; Buitert et al. 2006, 2016; Zwaan et al. 2016; Brune et al. 2017).

1015 Various authors have numerically modelled basin inversion (Hansen & Nielsen 2003; Buitert & Pfiffner, 2003; Buitert et al.  
2009; Granado & Ruh 2018; Ruh & Vergés 2019; Ruh 2019), and a number of researchers have applied both analogue and  
numerical methods, or compared analogue to numerical results. The study by Sassi et al. (1993) elegantly shows how  
shallow-dipping pre-existing faults are preferentially reactivated in both their analogue and numerical experiments. Buitert  
and Pfiffner (2003) compare their numerical models of inverted domino faulting to the work by Buchanan & McClay (1992),  
1020 finding a fair fit, which validates the results of both modelling studies. Also Panien et al. (2006a) obtained a general good  
agreement between their analogue and numerical models of a pre-made basin deformed by sidewall compression (Fig. 17),  
with the models showing similar shear zone structures that highlight the difficulty of reverse reactivation of extensional  
shear zones in orthogonal shortening. Similarly, Yamada & McClay (2010) found that their numerical models of listric fault  
basin inversion fit well with their previous analogue modelling study (Yamada & McClay 2004), even though the complex  
1025 faulting in the analogue models is not reproduced in the numerical equivalent.

The limited number of studies that use a combination of analogue and numerical modelling techniques to investigate basin  
inversion may reflect the challenges involved in numerically simulating analogue setups (Buitert et al., 2016). Instead of  
direct comparisons aimed at achieving similarity in results, we would urge future studies to utilize the strengths of both  
1030 methods to investigate complementary processes and factors in basin inversion. For example, analogue models could focus  
on 3D setups for known material properties, whereas numerical studies could add insights into thermal effects, rheological  
changes, or surface processes.






1035 **Figure 17.** Example of analogue-numerical model comparison (Panien et al. 2006a). Both set-ups model orthogonal inversion of a pre-built basin. The numerical models are obtained with a finite-element method. Reproduced with permission from the Geological Society, London.

1040



## 5.2. Comparison of analogue modelling results to natural cases

Here we present two examples published in previous basin inversion modelling studies (Fig. 18). The first comparison concerns a classic example of an inverted basin in the southern North Sea (the Winterton High, Bradley et al. 1989, Figs. 1, 18b), as discussed by Panien et al. (2005). The inversion of the Triassic basin during a series of Cenozoic compression events that affected large parts of NW Europe (Erratt et al. 1999; De Jager 2003; Evans et al. 2003; Doornbal & Stevenson 2010; and references therein) expelled the basin infill out of the original graben by reactivating the rift boundary faults. This is very similar to the inversion of the basin infill in the graben set-up used by Koopman et al. 1987 (Figs. 8a-c, 18a). Furthermore, where the faults in the basement forced reactivation of the boundary faults, the propagation of these faults in the weaker post-rift overburden have a shallower dip (Fig. 18b). This is also observed in analogue models (Fig. 18a), demonstrating the relevance of such analogue model results for our understanding of the dynamic evolution of the Winterton High, and other similarly inverted basins.



1055 **Figure 18. Comparison of schematic model results with natural examples. (a) Mobile basement graben set-up, see model details in Section 4.1 and Fig. 8c. (b) Winterton High in the southern North Sea (modified after Badley et al. 1989, with permission from the Geological Society, London). (c-d) Inversion of a listric fault basin in (c) an analogue model and (d) in nature (sketch of a seismic line from the East Java Sea Basin by Goudswaard & Jenyon, 1988). Modified after McClay (1995), with permission from the Geological Society, London.**



1060

A second example is provided by McClay (1995) and involves an inverted half graben controlled by a listric fault (Fig. 18c). Both the model and natural example in the East Java Sea (Goudswaard & Jenyon 1988) show very similar structures: thickening of syn-rift sediments towards the listric fault, that are subsequently uplifted as the basin is inverted due to reverse motion along the listric fault. Further similarities are the occurrences of (somewhat) inverted normal faults away from the main listric fault. Also here, the model nicely fits the natural example, and by examining the model's development over time, we gain valuable insights into the dynamic evolution of the natural example.

1065

Comparing model results with natural examples has indeed provided invaluable insights into basin inversion tectonics. However, one must always keep in mind the limitations of analogue modelling. For instance, most analogue models of basin inversion do not consider isostatic effects. Isostasy is an important factor in large-scale plate tectonic processes (Burov & Cloetingh 1997), and is therefore included in lithospheric-scale analogue modelling studies of rifting (Vendeville et al. 1987; Nestola et al. 2013, 2015; Molnar et al. 2017; Beniest et al. 2018; Zwaan & Schreurs, 2021, in prep), as well as of collisional and intra-plate tectonics (Willingshofer & Sokoutis 2009; Luth et al. 2010; Sokoutis & Willingshofer 2011; Willingshofer et al. 2013; Calignano et al. 2015; 2017) and basin inversion (Cerca et al. 2005; Gartrell et al. 2005). Yet, as basin inversion is generally considered to affect basins that did not undergo continental break-up and that are to large degree filled by sediments, the effects of isostasy can be considered limited and the model results valid.

1070

1075

Similar arguments can be made for the influence of magmatism, thermal effects in general, and diagenesis. Even though modelling studies have shown that magmatism can significantly affect basin inversion tectonics (Martínez et al. 2016; 2018), it is not very common in rift basins prior to break-up, or during subsequent inversion. The same is probably true for thermal effects and diagenesis. Only when the system would go beyond the initial rifting phase, can these effects be expected to become important.

1080

Possibly more impactful limitations are the lack of pore fluid effects in analogue models, which are known to have a significant influence on inversion tectonics (Sibson 1985, 1995, 2009). Another limitation is the past focus on 2D inversion, and although modelling efforts have more and more explored the third dimension, it is tempting to think of basin inversion as a 2D process (especially given the legacy of 2D seismic sections). Ongoing developments in the analogue modelling community, as well as in the world of seismic acquisition, are however making the analysis of 3D modelling results much more straightforward (see also section 7).

1085

1090

Still, the comparisons presented above highlight the crucial use of analogue models for simulating the dynamic development of tectonic systems that take millions of years to unfold. This is especially true when combining these analogue models with numerical modelling techniques, as discussed in the preceding section.



## 6. Synopsis of main insights

1095 The model results described above give insight into the processes and factors that result in successful basin inversion or may prevent a basin from being inverted.

The first requirement for successful (basin) inversion is the presence of a basin or fault structure that provides a relative weakness relative to the immediate surroundings to focus compressional deformation. Such weakening can originate from the infill of the basin, where weak sediments facilitate inversion (either by allowing fault reactivation, the nucleation of new faults near the basin edge, or upward folding of the infill). By contrast, a strong infill may prevent inversion (Panien et al. 2006a Fig. 14d-f). Similarly, normal faults that are either weakened or have a low dip angle are more likely to be reactivated (Marques & Nogueira 2008, Fig. 14a-c), which is in line with fault theory, which otherwise predicts that new thrusts should form (Section 2). The preference for forming new thrust faults is particularly well known from the propagation of inverted normal faults into the post-rift overburden in models with (mobile) basement blocks (Fig. 8c, f, o). Finally, the shape of pre-existing normal faults (e.g. straight, listric or undulating) can strongly affect the resulting inversion structure as well (McClay 1996, Figs. 9, 10).

A second requirement for inversion is the transfer of deformation into the basin or fault structure. Apart from the relative weakness of the modelled basin or fault, this depends on the boundary conditions, i.e., the model set-up, as well as the mechanical layering used in the model. Model set-ups involving deformation driven from the base are more likely to efficiently induce inversion. By contrast, inversion driven by a backstop generally needs a detachment layer of some sorts (either microbeads or a layer of viscous material) that decouples the overburden from the base. Otherwise, deformation will simply develop directly in front of the backstop (Fig. 14). In this context, a base plate set-up in fact acts as a sort of detachment as well, efficiently transferring deformation deep into the model (Fig. 9). Weak layers within the model materials can also act as detachments, leading to new levels of complexity (Nalpas et al. 1996; Di Mattioni 2007; Ferrer et al. 2016; Dooley & Hudec 2020; Figs. 8-16).

A third factor favouring inversion is oblique shortening. Whereas high-angle normal faults may be often (partially) ignored during orthogonal inversion in favour of newly formed thrust faults, oblique inversion can readily reactivate these normal faults. Such reactivation of normal faults during oblique inversion is well-documented in analogue models with a variety of different set-ups (Figs. 11j-o, 13j-o), and is explained by the reduced fault angle with respect to the orientation of the principal stresses (see fault theory specified in section 2). Moreover, along-strike variations in the models due to variations in basement block or base plate geometries, differently oriented pre-existing structures and weak zones, or rotational extension or compression can cause highly complex distributions of structures (Figs. 10p, 12s, t, 14p-r, 15). These complexities highlight the importance of considering the third dimension when studying tectonic processes.



Finally, additional geological processes such as sedimentation and magmatism can affect inversion processes as well. Sedimentation and erosion change the normal stress in the model layers, thus changing the frictional strength and affecting the likelihood of inversion. Magmatism can facilitate fault reactivation when intruding along fault planes, thus reducing fault strength (Fig. 16).

The insights from these analogue models are in line with the mechanisms of basin inversion summarized in section 2, and generally concur to a high degree with results from numerical modelling studies, validating both modelling methods (Fig. 17). Furthermore, these insights have proven highly valuable to better understand the evolution of basin inversion tectonics in natural settings (Fig. 18).

### 7. Perspectives for future analogue modelling studies of basin inversion

In this review we describe the current state-of-the-art of analogue modelling of basin inversion. There are however many opportunities for improvements and future modelling studies, revolving around new modelling methods, the use of improved model analysis techniques and the combination of analogue and numerical modelling methods, benchmarking studies, and establishing best practices in analogue modelling.

#### 7.1. New modelling methods to tackle new questions

Improvements in experimental techniques can include the development and application of new model materials (e.g. Boutelier & Oncken 2011; Boutelier et al. 2012; Abdelmalak et al. 2015; Zwaan et al. 2016, 2018a; Mayolle et al. 2021; Massaro et al. 2021). Such new materials allow the implementation of different degrees of viscosity in viscous materials (Zwaan et al. 2018b), or modifications to the cohesion of brittle materials (Abdalmalek et al. 2015; Montanari et al. 2017; Massaro et al. 2021). Further opportunities lie in the application of new materials with elastic (-plastic) behaviour such as gelatin for the study of inversion-related seismicity (Rosenau et al. 2009; Di Giuseppe et al. 2009), or visco-plastic behaviour such as kinetic sand for better simulating the brittle-ductile transition (Mayolle et al. 2021), or temperature effects (e.g. Boutelier & Oncken 2011; Boutelier et al. 2012).


Other improvements are related to the development of new modelling apparatus that allow for improved simulation of tectonic processes and new model boundary conditions (e.g., Molnar et al., 2017; Zwaan et al. 2020b; Zwaan & Schreurs 2021, in prep, Eisermann et al. 2021). An interesting development is the application of force boundary conditions, rather than velocity boundary conditions (Gartrell et al. 2005; Konstantinovskaya et al. 2007). Constant force during rifting may explain rapid changes in tectonic deformation rates, for instance when the strength of the tectonic system is reduced due to



necking of the lithosphere (Brune et al. 2016). Since increasing strain rates increase the degree of brittle-viscous coupling in tectonic systems (Brun 1999; Bonini et al. 2012; Zwaan et al. 2021a, b), such increases (and decreases) in strain rate can significantly change the style of deformation during basin inversion as well (Bonini et al. 2012), and could be further explored, for instance using models in which deformation rates are programmed to be variable.

The majority of basin inversion models have been conducted on an (upper) crustal scale, motivated by the search for hydrocarbon reserves (e.g. in the North Sea). The insights gained from these models can also be of use for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration and mineral exploration. In addition, part of the future of basin inversion modelling may lie in the study of mantle exhumation as hyperextended basins are inverted. The serpentinization of mantle rocks that were initially exhumed in hyperextended rift basins, and are now incorporated in mountain belts, may be a source of future natural hydrogen production (Dumagin 2019; Lefeuvre et al. 2021). The broad presence of mantle rocks in mountain belts, both in Europe (Faul et al. 2014; Frasca et al. 2016; Schmid et al. 2017) and elsewhere (Vaughan & Scarrow 2003; Dilek & Furnes 2011) indicates some highly interesting potential. Given the need for truly clean energy production in our efforts to realize a sustainable economy (Gaucher 2020; Moretti & Webber 2021; Scott 2021), researching the tectonic processes causing and controlling basin inversion on a lithospheric scale through novel analogue modelling techniques is more relevant than ever.

Linked to such large-scale inversion processes, is the influence of tectonic loading, which has not received much attention in analogue modelling studies. Yet, the presence of a thick orogenic wedge covering a basin will have important consequences for inversion processes (Granado et al. 2012; Kiss et al. 2020; Musso Piantelli et al. in prep, Fig. 19). Another research topic to pursue is the effect of magma intrusion during inversion, pioneered by Martínez et al. (2016; 2018). Moreover, the healing of faults over the period between initial extension and subsequent compression could cause important differences in fault reactivation (Hunfeld et al. 2020; Rudolf et al. 2021). Further attention could be dedicated to the inclusion of the interactions between tectonics and surface processes (Graveleau & Dominguez 2008; Graveleau et al. 2011, 2015; Reitano et al. 2020, 2022; Strzeczynsky et al. 2021), which may also significantly affect inversion processes (section 4.6). In addition, 3D aspects of inversion can be a fruitful avenue for further model studies, especially since basin inversion has traditionally been modelled from a pseudo-2D point of view. Various modellers have indeed started to study complex 3D inversion tectonics, but a whole new field of play, so far to our knowledge only explored by Wang et al. (2017), is the inversion of pull-apart basins. Finally, changing (fluid) pressure has been simulated in analogue models using air flow systems (Cobbold et al. 2001; Mougues & Cobbold 2003), which could perhaps serve to reproduce changes in pore fluid pressure that are known to have important effects during inversion (Sibson 1985; 1995, 2009).



1190

**Figure 19. Inversion of the Doldenhorn Basin in the Swiss Alps, which was once a basin on the European passive margin of the Piemont-Ligurian Ocean, under a >10 km thick thrust wedge. The burial depth causes ductile, rather than brittle behaviour during inversion. Modified after Musso Piantelli et al. (in prep).**

## 1195 7.2. Improved (applications of) model analysis techniques

In parallel with the ongoing development of modelling methods, techniques to analyze analogue models have significantly improved as well, marking the general shift in the analogue community from producing qualitative model results to quantification of these results. So far, various analysis techniques have only been sparsely applied to monitor and analyze basin inversion models. We propose that all tectonic modelling laboratories strive to develop the capabilities for combined

1200 use of DIC techniques, topography analysis and systematic cross-sectioning.



DIC and topography analysis have indeed become standard techniques in the analogue modelling community over the past years. New developments not only provide detailed insights into displacements and strain (Schmid et al. 2021; Zwaan et al. 2021a, b), but also allow an analysis of the type of faulting (normal, reverse or strike-slip, Broerse et al. 2021; Krstekanić et al. 2021). However, DIC methods have so far only seen very limited use in basin inversion models (Wang et al. 2017; Dooley & Hudec 2020; Richetti et al. in prep). Similarly, topography analysis, although routinely applied in tectonic laboratories (section 3.6.3), has been relatively seldomly featured in basin inversion studies. Therefore, we urge basin inversion modellers to adopt these powerful analysis techniques in future studies.

Cross-sectioning has been widely used in inversion modelling works (section 2.6.2). Wherever possible, these cross-sections could be used to create 3D representations of the internal model structural architecture (Ferrer et al. 2016, Roma et al. 2018a, b, Dooley & Hudec 2020, Fig. 7d). Perhaps even better is the use of CT-scanning for analogue model analysis, which has been applied to a surprising degree in basin inversion studies. Next to the detailed 3D analysis of the evolution of model-internal structures (Konstantinovskaya et al. 2007, Chauvin et al. 2018, Fedorik et al. 2019; Lathrop et al. in prep), such CT data also allow for advanced 3D DIC analysis, or Digital Volume Correlation (DVC), yielding unique quantified constraints on model-internal displacements in 3D (Adam et al. 2013; Zwaan et al. 2018a; Poppe et al. 2019).

Other (novel) methods that have been recently applied to the analysis of analogue models, and which would be highly interesting for basin inversion models as well, are the measurement of local stresses in the model by means of stress-sensitive beads (Daniels et al. 2017; Ladd & Reber 2020). It would also be possible to monitor stress through sensors on the model sides (Reber et al. 2014; Herbert et al. 2015; Ritter et al. 2018a, b), or even within the model (Nieuwland et al. 2000; Moulas et al. 2019). Finally, recent workers have pioneered how the reorientation of initially randomly distributed magnetic particles may reveal strain in models (Almqvist & Koyi 2018; Schöfisch et al. 2021). As new analysis techniques are being developed in the analogue modelling community, these could be readily applied to basin inversion studies. A powerful means to contact experts, set up collaborations and gain access to new methods, or to share analogue modelling knowledge in general, is the EU-funded EPOS Multi-scale laboratories network (<https://www.epos-eu.org/tcs/multi-scale-laboratories>).

Considerable improvements can be made by routinely integrating analogue modelling efforts with numerical studies and techniques. Not only does the combined use of analogue and numerical modelling provide more robust results (section 6.2), the scripts and algorithms used to process and quantify deformation in numerical models can often be used for the analysis of (quantified) data derived from analogue models. An intriguing opportunity would be the application of machine learning techniques (Corbi et al. 2019) for the semi-automatic analysis of analogue models. By doing so, modellers can truly bring together the best of both modelling worlds.

1235





### 7.3. Benchmarking

Directly comparing the results from different analogue modelling studies is often challenging, due to the many small and larger differences in model set-up, materials and applied boundary conditions. And even if researchers aim to run the exact same model, some differences between the model results are to be expected due to variations in handling techniques or laboratory conditions (Krantz 1991b; Lohrmann et al. 2003; Schreurs et al. 2006; 2016; Maillot 2013; Klinkmüller et al. 2016; Rudolf et al. 2016; Schmid et al. 2020). The overviews presented in this review should therefore be taken as a guide, and the reader is referred to the specific studies for more details on the original model results.

Nevertheless, in order to distinctively determine the relative importance of set-ups, materials and model parameters, systematic comparisons and benchmarking efforts, such as those done for thrust wedges and rifting (Schreurs et al. 2006; 2016; Zwaan et al. 2019), are needed. Within the field of analogue modelling of basin inversion, there is a great need for benchmarking, as virtually no such efforts have been published. Instead, most studies are focussed on the study of a specific set-up, or aim to unravel the structural history of a natural example. The generalized overviews presented in this review may count as a first stimulus for such a benchmark, but need to be supported by systematic modelling efforts with standardized set-ups and methods.

Benchmarking efforts may also allow to fill in the various knowledge gaps, as various combinations of model set-ups and materials have not been tested. Rerunning experiments in a systematic manner will also provide an opportunity for detailed analysis with state-of-the art methods (see section 7.3). Such improved analysis will yield a wealth of data and new insights that would otherwise remain unnoticed (compare e.g. Zwaan et al. 2020a with Schmid et al. 2021).

### 7.4. Best practices

Finally, we would like to point out the responsibility of researchers to describe their research efforts as detailed as possible. This includes the description of the model set-up, experimental materials and model preparation details, as well as an extensive and systematic description of the original model results (see summary Table A1 in the Appendix). Such detailed descriptions are crucial to ensure model reproducibility and to allow the reuse of established methods for future modelling studies. Indeed, differences in handling methods and lab conditions can considerably affect model results (see section 7.3). Therefore, descriptions of methods and lab procedures should be as extensive and standardized as possible. Describing these details is part of the general shift in analogue modelling over the years, from a qualitative research method to a gradually more systematic, quantitative science as the techniques and methods steadily improved (Koyi 1997; Ranalli 2001; Graveleau et al. 2012; Schellart & Strak 2016; Zwaan & Schreurs in press). It is clear that not all details and results can always be included in the 20 or so pages of a scientific publication, but these can be published as supplementary materials, often in



digital form, in parallel to the main scientific articles. Such supplementary material can consist of written descriptions, schematic representations, systematic overviews as well as photos and videos, and may be stored on the publication webpage, or could be stored in independent repositories. These repositories should be organized according to the FAIR principles, so that the data will be openly available to the community (e.g., GFZ Data Services, <https://dataservices.gfz-potsdam.de> has been routinely used for the storage of modelling results by members of the EPOS Multi-scale laboratories network). This would ideally be accompanied by publishing the main article under an Open Access licence, making the research publicly available.

## 1275 **8. Concluding remarks**

Basin inversion is a great research topic for analogue modelling studies, and a thorough understanding of the processes involved is of great importance to both science and society. In this review we provide an up-to-date summary of the state of analogue modelling of basin inversion processes. In addition to reviewing the past modelling efforts we also shed light on future modelling challenges and identify a number of opportunities for follow-up research. It follows that basin inversion modelling can continue to bring valuable new insights, providing a great incentive to continue our efforts in this field. We therefore hope that this review paper will form an inspiration for future analogue modelling studies of basin inversion.

### **Acknowledgements**

The Swiss National Science Foundation is acknowledged for providing funding for this research (grant 200021-178731, <http://p3.snf.ch/Project-178731>), and for covering the Open Access publication fees. M. Rudolf has been funded by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through grant number 235221301 - CRC 1114 "Scaling Cascades in Complex Systems", Project B01 "Fault networks and scaling properties of deformation accumulation." Research of O. Ferrer has been supported by the SABREM research project (PID2020-117598GB-I00), funded by MCIN/ AEI /10.13039/501100011033. The idea of the review paper was sparked during an EPOS, multi-scale laboratories (MSL) community meeting, and we thank EPOS MSL (<https://www.epos-eu.org/tcs/multi-scale-laboratories>) for providing an excellent discussion platform for such collaborative efforts.

1295



## Appendix

**Table A1: Check-list of parameters to record for analogue models of tectonic processes**

	Information to be described / provided	Comments
<b>1. Lab conditions</b>	Temperature Humidity	
<b>2. Model materials</b>		
<i>2a. Brittle materials</i>	Material: bulk composition, mixture components Grain size: range and distribution For clay: water content Density (in model)  Internal friction (peak, stable and reactivation) Cohesion	Specify the producer of the material(s)  Depends on handling method (e.g. sieving, pouring, scraping), which needs to be specified
<i>2b. Viscous materials</i>	Material: bulk composition, mixture components Density Viscosity Rheology (Newtonian, Power law) Temperature-dependency of rheology	Specify the producer of the material(s)  May depend on model strain rate May depend on model strain rate
<b>3. Model set-up and preparation</b>	Deformation mechanism  Model dimensions Model layering and layer thicknesses 2D and 3D variations in the model Sidewall and basal friction Methods to reduce boundary effects Scaling: parameters and calculations	Base plate set-up, backstop, etc.  Lateral variations, seeds, pre-cut faults, etc.  Lubrication along sidewalls, etc.
<b>4. Model run</b>	Deformation: velocity, direction, duration  Application of surface processes: type of sedimentary infill (material), methods of application, sedimentation/erosion intervals	Define any changes (in case of multiphase deformation models) including time between changes
<b>5. Monitoring and analysis</b>	(3D) photography: camera type, resolution, time-lapse intervals, number of cameras and orientation (top view or oblique view) Cross-sections: method, locations, spacing and orientation DIC: 2D or 3D, analysis interval, resolution, software Topography: method (laser scanning, photogrammetry), analysis interval, resolution, software CT-scanning: device, scanning intervals, resolution, software	In case of 3D "seismic" analysis: software
<b>6. Results</b>	Systematic overviews of model results: Top views, interpreted sections, topography maps, topography profiles, strain and displacement analysis (DIC), 3D internal analysis, CT data. Videos of model results: top view time lapse, topography, CT-imagery etc. Description of boundary effects Description of failed models	Either in main publication, or supplementary materials (e.g. a data publication with doi)



## References

- Abdelmalak, M.M., Dubois, C., Mourgues, R., Galland, O., Legland, J.-B., and Gruber, C.: Description of new dry granular materials of variable cohesion and friction coefficient: Implications for laboratory modeling of the brittle crust, *Tectonophysics* 684, 39-51, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.03.003>, 2016
- 1300
- Adam, J., Klinkmüller, M., Schreurs, G., and Wieneke, B.: Quantitative 3D strain analysis in analogue experiments simulating tectonic deformation: Integration of X-ray computed tomography and digital volume correlation techniques, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 55, 127–149, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2013.07.011>, 2013
- 1305
- Adam, J., Urai, J.L., Wieneke, B., Oncken, O., Pfeiffer, K., Kukowski, N., and Lohrmann, J.: Shear localisation and strain distribution during tectonic faulting — new insights from granular-flow experiments and high-resolution optical image correlation techniques, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 27, 283–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2004.08.008>, 2005
- 1310
- Allemand, P. and Brun, J.-P.: Width of continental rifts and rheological layering of the lithosphere, *Tectonophysics*, 188, 63–69. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(91\)90314-I](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(91)90314-I), 1991
- Allemand, P., Brun, J.-P., Davy P., and Van den Driessche, J.: Symétrie et asymétrie des rifts et mécanismes d'amincissement de la lithosphère, *Bull. Soc. géol. France*, 8, 445-451, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2113/gssgfbull.V.3.445>
- 1315
- Almqvist, B.S.G. and Koyi, H.: Bulk strain in orogenic wedges based on insights from magnetic fabrics in sandbox models, *Geology*, 46, 483-486, <https://doi.org/10.1130/G39998.1>, 2018
- 1320
- Amilibia, A., McClay, K.R., Sàbat, F, Muñoz, J.A., and Roca, E.: Analogue modelling of inverted oblique rift systems, *Geol. Acta*, 3, 251-271, <https://revistes.ub.edu/index.php/GEOACTA/article/view/105.000001395/4178>, 2005.
- Arch, J., Maltman, A.J., and Knipe, R.J.: Shear zone geometries in experimentally deformed clays: the influence of water content, strain rate and primary fabric, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 10, 91-99, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141\(88\)90131-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(88)90131-9), 1988
- 1325
- Angrand, P., Mouthereau, F., Masini, E., and Asti, R.: A reconstruction of Iberia accounting for Western Tethys–North Atlantic kinematics since the late-Permian–Triassic, *Solid Earth*, 11, 1313-1332, <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-11-1313-2020>, 2020



- 1330 Arthur, J.R.F., Dunstan, T., Al-Ani, Q.A.J., and Assadi, A.: Plastic deformation and failure of granular media, *Géotechnique* 27, 53–74, <https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.1977.27.1.53>, 1977.
- Badley, M.E., Price, J.D., and Backshall, L.C.: Inversion, reactivated faults and related structures: seismic examples from the southern North Sea, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 44, 201-219, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1989.044.01.12>, 1989
- 1335 Bahroudi, A., Koyi, H., and Talbot, C.J.: Effect of ductile and frictional décollements on style of extension, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 25, 1401-1423, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(02\)00201-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(02)00201-8), 2003.
- Barrientos, B., Cerca, M., Carcia-Márquez, J., and Hernández-Bernal, C.: Three-dimensional displacement fields measured in a deforming granular-media surface by combined fringe projection and speckle photography, *J. Opt. A-Pure. Appl. Opt.*, 10, 10, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1464-4258/10/10/104027>, 2008
- 1340 Békési, E., Struijk, M., Bonté, D., Veldkamp, H., Limberger, J., Fokker, P.A., Vrijlandt, M., and Van Wees, J.-D.: An updated geothermal model of the Dutch subsurface based on inversion of temperature data, *Geothermic*, 88, 101880, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2020.101880>, 2020.
- 1345 Bellahsen, N. and Daniel, J.-M.: Fault reactivation control on normal fault growth: An experimental study, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 27, 769–780, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2004.12.003>, 2005
- 1350 Bellahsen, N., Daniel, J., Bollinger, L., and Burov, E.B.E.: Influence of viscous layers on the growth of normal faults: insights from experimental and numerical models, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 25, 1471–1485, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0191-8141\(02\)00185-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0191-8141(02)00185-2), 2003
- Benes, V. and Scott, S.D.: Oblique rifting in the Havre Trough and its propagation into the continental margin of New Zealand: Comparison with analogue experiments, *Mar. Geophys. Res.*, 18, 189–201, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00286077>, 1996
- 1355 Beniest A., Willingshofer E., Sokoutis D., and Sassi W.: Extending continental lithosphere with lateral strength variations: effects on deformation localization and margin geometries, *Front. Earth Sci.*, <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2018.00148>, 2018
- 1360 Bonini, M.: Chronology of deformation and analogue modelling of the Plio-Pleistocene "TiberBasin" implications for the evolution of the Northern Apennines (Italy), *Tectonophysics* 285, 147-165, 1998.



- 1365 Bonini, M., Souriot, T., Boccaletti, M., and Brun, J.-P.: Successive orthogonal and oblique extension episodes in a rift zone: Laboratory experiments with application to the Ethiopian Rift, *Tectonics* 16, 347–362, 1997.
- 1370 Bonini, M., Sani, F., and Antonielli, B.: Basin inversion and contractional reactivation of inherited normal faults: A review based on previous and new experimental models, *Tectonophysics*, 522–523, 55–88, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2011.11.014>, 2012
- Borderie, S., Graveleau, F., Witt, C., and Vendeville, B.C.: Impact of an interbedded viscous décollement on the structural and kinematic coupling in fold-and-thrust belts: Insights from analogue modeling, *Tectonophysics*, 722, 118–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.10.019>, 2018.
- 1375 Bosworth, W. and Tari, G.: Hydrocarbon accumulation in basins with multiple phases of extension and inversion: Examples from the Western Desert (Egypt) and the western Black Sea, *Solid Earth*, 12, 59–77. <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-12-59-2021>, 2021.
- 1380 Boutelier, D. and Oncken, O.: 3-D thermo-mechanical laboratory modeling of plate-tectonics: Modeling scheme, technique and first experiments, *Solid Earth*, 2, 35–51, <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2-35-2011>, 2011.
- Boutelier, D., Oncken, O., and Cruden, S.: Fore-arc deformation at the transition between collision and subduction: Insights from 3-D thermomechanical laboratory experiments, *Tectonics* 31, TC2015. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011TC003060>, 2012
- 1385 Boutelier, D., Schrank, C., and Regenauer-Lieb, K.: 2-D finite displacements and finite strain from PIV analysis of plane-strain tectonic analogue models, *Solid Earth*, 10, 1123–1139, <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-10-1123-2019>, 2019
- 1390 Broerse, T., Krstekanić, N., Kasbergen, C. And Willingshofer, E.: Mapping and classifying large deformation from digital imagery: application to analogue models of lithosphere deformation, *Geophys. J. Int.* 226, 984–1017, <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggab120>, 2021
- 1395 Brun, J.-P.: Narrow rifts versus wide rifts: inferences for the mechanics of rifting from laboratory experiments, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A*, 357, 695–712, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1999.0349>, 1999
- Brun, J.-P.: Deformation of the continental lithosphere: Insights from brittle-ductile models, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.* 200, 355–370, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2001.200.01.20>, 2002.



- Brun, J.-P., and Beslier, M.O.: Mantle exhumation at passive margins. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 142, 161–173.  
1400 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x\(96\)00080-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(96)00080-5), 1996
- Brun, J.-P. and Nalpas, T.: Graben inversion in nature and experiments, *Tectonics*, 15, 677–687,  
<https://doi.org/10.1029/95TC03853>, 1996.
- 1405 Brun, J.-P. and Tron, V.: Development of the North Viking Graben: inferences from laboratory modelling, *Sediment. Geol.*,  
86, 31–51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0037-0738\(93\)90132-O](https://doi.org/10.1016/0037-0738(93)90132-O), 1993
- Brune, J.N. and Ellis, M.A.: Structural features in a brittle–ductile wax model of continental extension, *Nature*, 387, 67–70.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/387067a0>, 1997.
- 1410 Brune, S., Williams, S.E., Butterworth, N.P., and Müller, R.D.: Abrupt plate accelerations shape rifted continental margins,  
*Nature* 536, 201–204, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18319>, 2016.
- Brune, S., Williams, S.E., and Müller, R.D.: Oblique rifting: the rule, not the exception, *Solid Earth*, 9, 1187–1206,  
1415 <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-9-1187-2018>, 2018
- Brune, S., Corti, G., and Ranalli, G.: Controls of inherited lithospheric heterogeneity on rift linkage: Numerical and analogue  
models of interaction between the Kenyan and Ethiopian rifts across the Turkana depression, *Tectonics*, 36, 1767–1786,  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004739>, 2017
- 1420 Buchanan, P.G. and McClay, K.R.: Sandbox experiments of inverted listric and planar fault systems. *Tectonophysics*, 188,  
97–115. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(91\)90317-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(91)90317-L), 1991
- Buchanan, P.G. and McClay, K.R.: Experiments on basin inversion above reactivated domino faults, *Mar. Pet. Geol.*, 9,  
1425 486–500, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-8172\(92\)90061-I](https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-8172(92)90061-I), 1992
- Buchanan, J. G. and Buchanan, P. G. (Eds): Basin Inversion, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 88, Geological Society, London, UK,  
596 pp., <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1995.088.01.30>, 1995.
- 1430 Buck, W.R.: Modes of continental lithospheric extension, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 20161. <https://doi.org/10.1029/91JB01485>,  
1991



- Buiter, S.J.H. and Pfiffner, O.A.: Numerical models of the inversion of half-graben basins, *Tectonics* 22, 1057, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002TC001417>, 2003
- 1435
- Buiter, S.J.H., Babeyko, A.Y., Ellis, S., Gerya, T.V., Kaus, B.J.P., Kellner, A., Schreurs, G., and Yamada, Y.: The numerical sandbox: Comparison of model results for a shortening and an extension experiment. *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 253, 29–64, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2006.253.01.02>, 2006
- 1440 Buiter, S.J.H., Huismans, R.S., and Beaumont, C.: Dissipation analysis as a guide to mode selection during crustal extension and implications for the styles of sedimentary basins, *J. Geophys. Res.* 113, B06406, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JB005272>, 2008
- Buiter, S.J.H., Pfiffner, O.A., and Beaumont, C.: Inversion of extensional sedimentary basins: A numerical evaluation of the localisation of shortening, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 288, 492–504., <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.10.011>, 2009.
- 1445
- Buiter, S.J.H., Schreurs, G., Albertz, M., Gerya, T.V., Kaus, B., Landry, W., le Pourhiet, L., Mishin, Y., Egholm, D.L., Cooke, M., Maillot, B., Thiuelot, C., Crook, T., May, D., Souloumiac, P., and Beaumont, C.: Benchmarking numerical models of brittle thrust wedges, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 92, 140–177, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2016.03.003>, 2016
- 1450
- Burliga, S., Koyi, H.A., and Krzywiec, P.: Modelling cover deformation and decoupling during inversion, using the Mid-Polish Trough as a case study, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 42, 62–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2012.06.013>, 2012
- Burov, E.B.E. and Cloetingh, S.: Post-Rift Evolution of Extensional Basins, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 150, 7–26, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X\(97\)00069-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(97)00069-1), 1997
- 1455
- Byerlee, J.: Friction of Rocks, *Pure Appl. Geophys.* 116, 615–626, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00876528>, 1978
- Calignano, E., Sokoutis, D., Willingshofer, E., Brun, J.-P., Gueydan, F., and Cloetingh, S.: Oblique contractional reactivation of inherited heterogeneities: Cause for arcuate orogens, *Tectonics* 36, 542–558, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016TC004424>, 2017
- 1460
- Calignano, E., Sokoutis, D., Willingshofer, E., Gueydan, F., and Cloetingh, S.: Asymmetric vs. symmetric deep lithospheric architecture of intra-plate continental orogens, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 424, 38–50, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.05.022>, 2015
- 1465





- Cerca, M., Ferrari, L., Corti, G., Bonini, M., and Manetti, P.: Analogue model of inversion tectonics explaining the structural diversity of Late Cretaceous shortening in southwestern Mexico, *Lithosphere*, 2, 172–187, <https://doi.org/10.1130/L48.1>
- 1470 Chauvin, B.P., Lovely, P.J., Stockmeyer, J.M., Plesch, A., Caumon, G., and Shaw, J.H.: Validating novel boundary conditions for three-dimensional mechanics-based restoration: An extensional sandbox model example, *AAPG Bull.*, 102, 245-256, <https://doi.org/10.1306/0504171620817154>, 2018
- Chemenda, A., Déverchère, J., and Calais, E.: Three-dimensional laboratory modelling of rifting: Application to the Baikal Rift, Russia, *Tectonophysics*, 356, 253–273. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(02\)00389-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(02)00389-X), 2002.
- 1475 Cobbold, P.R., Durand, S., Mourgues, R.: Sandbox modelling of thrust wedges with fluid-assisted detachments, *Tectonophysics*, 334, 245-258, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(01\)00070-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(01)00070-1), 2001
- 1480 Colletta, B., Letouzey, J., Pinedo, R., Ballard, J.F., and Bale, P.: Computerized X-ray tomography analysis of sandbox models: examples of thin-skinned thrust systems. *Geology*, 19, 1063-1067, [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1991\)019<1063:CXRTAO>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1991)019<1063:CXRTAO>2.3.CO;2), 1991
- Cooper, M. A. and Williams, G. D. (Eds.): *Inversion tectonics*, Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ. 44, Geological Society, London, UK, 1485 375 pp., <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1989.044.01.25>, 1989
- Cooper, M. and Warren, M.J.: The geometric characteristics, genesis and petroleum significance of inversion structures, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.* 335, 827-846, <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP335.33>, 2010
- 1490 Cooper, M. and Warren, M.J.: Inverted fault systems and inversion tectonic settings, in: *Regional Geology and Tectonics*, edited by: Scarselli, N., Adam, J., Chiarella, D., Roberts, D.G., and Bally, A.W., Elsevier, 169-204, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-64134-2.00009-2>, 2020
- Cooper, M.A., Williams, G.D., De Graciansky, P.C., Murphy, R.W., Needham, T., De Paor, D., Stoneley, R., Todd, S.P., 1495 Turner, J.P. and Ziegler, P.A.: *Inversion tectonics a discussion*. *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.* 44. 335-347, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1989.044.01.18>, 1989
- Corbi, F., Sandri, L., Bedford, J., Funicello, F., Brizzi, S., Rosenau, M., and Lallemand, S.: Machine learning can predict the timing and size of analog earthquakes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46, 1303–1311, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081251>, 2019



1500

Corti, G., Bonini, M., Conticelli, S., Innocenti, F., Manetti, P., and Sokoutis, D.: Analogue modelling of continental extension: A review focused on the relations between the patterns of deformation and the presence of magma, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 63, 169–247. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-8252\(03\)00035-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-8252(03)00035-7), 2003

1505 Corti, G., van Wijk, J.W., Cloetingh, S., and Morley, C.K.: Tectonic inheritance and continental rift architecture: Numerical and analogue models of the East African Rift system, *Tectonics*, 26, 1–13, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006TC002086>, 2007

Cotton, J.T. and Koyi, H.A.: Modeling of thrust fronts above ductile and frictional detachments: Application to structures in the Salt Range and Potwar Plateau, Pakistan, *GSA Bull.*, 112, 351–363, [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(2000\)112<351:MOTFAD>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(2000)112<351:MOTFAD>2.0.CO;2), 2000

1510

Coulomb, C.A.: L'application des règles de maximis et minimis à quelques problèmes de statique, relatifs à l'architecture, *Mémoires de Mathématique et de Physique, Academie Royale des Sciences* 7, 343–382, 1773.

1515 Crook, A.J.L., Willson, S.M., Yu, J.G., and Owen, D.R.J.: Predictive modelling of structure evolution in sandbox experiments, *J. Stuct. Geol.*, 28, 729–744, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2006.02.002>, 2006

Cruz, L., Malinski, A., Tae, W.A., and Hilley, G.: Erosional control of the kinematics and geometry of fold-and-thrust belts imaged in a physical and numerical sandbox, *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, B09404, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JB007472>, 2010

1520

Dai, L., Li, S., Lou, D., Liu, X., Suo, Y., and Yu, S.: Numerical modeling of Late Miocene tectonic inversion in the Xihu Sag, East China Sea Shelf Basin, China, *J. Asian Earth Sci.*, 86, 25–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseae.2013.09.033>, 2014

Daniels, K.E., Kollmer, J.E., and Puckett, J.G.: Photoelastic force measurements in granular materials, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 88,

1525 051808, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4983049>, 2017

Deckers, J., Rombaut, B., Van Noten, K., and Vanneste, K.: Influence of inherited structural domains and their particular strain distributions on the Roer Valley graben evolution from inversion to extension, *Solid Earth*, 12, 345–361, <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-12-345-2021>, 2021

1530

De Jager, J. and Geluk, M.C.: Petroleum Geology, in: *Geology of the Netherlands*, edited by Wong, Th.E., Batjes, D.A.J., and De Jager, J., Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, 241–264,



- De Jager, J.: Inverted basins in the Netherlands, similarities and differences, *Neth. J. Geosci.*, 82, 355-366,  
1535 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016774600020175>, 2003
- Del Ventisette, C., Montanari, D., Bonini, M., and Sani F.: Positive fault inversion triggering ‘intrusive diapirism’: an analogue modelling perspective, *Terra Nova* 17, 478-485, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.2005.00637.x>, 2005.
- 1540 Del Ventisette, C., Montanari, D., Sani, F., and Bonini, M.: Basin inversion and fault reactivation in laboratory experiments, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 28, 2067-2083, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2006.07.012>, 2006
- Deng, H., Kyi, H.A., and Zhang, J.: Modelling oblique inversion of pre-existing grabens, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.* 487, 263-290, <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP487.5>, 2019.
- 1545 Di Domenica, A., Bonini, L., Calamita, G., Toscani, G., Galuppo, C., and Seno, S.: Analogue modeling of positive inversion tectonics along differently oriented pre-thrusting normal faults: An application to the Central-Northern Apennines of Italy. *GSA Bull.* 126, <https://doi.org/10.1130/B31001.1>, 943-955.
- 1550 Di Giuseppe, E., Funicello, F., Corbi, F., Ranalli, G., and Mojoli, G.: Gelatins as rock analogs: A systematic study of their rheological and physical properties, *Tectonophysics*, 473, 391–403, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2009.03.012>, 2009
- Dilek, Y. and Furnes, H.: Ophiolite genesis and global tectonics: Geochemical and tectonic fingerprinting of ancient oceanic lithosphere, *GSA Bull.* 123, 387-411, <https://doi.org/10.1130/B30446.1>, 2011
- 1555 Dooley, T., McClay, K.R., and Pascoe, R.: 3D analogue models of variable displacement extensional faults: applications to the Revfallet Fault system, offshore mid-Norway, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.* 212, 151-167, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2003.212.01.10>, 2003
- 1560 Dooley, T.P. and Hudec, M.R.: Extension and inversion of salt-bearing rift systems, *Solid Earth*, 11, 1187-1204, <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-11-1187-2020>, 2020
- Doornenbal, J.C., Kombrink, H., Bouroullec, R., Dalman, R.A.F., De Bruin, G., Geel, C.R., Houben, A.J.P., Jaarsma, B., Juez-Larré, J., Kortekaas, M., Mijnlief, H.F., Nelskamp, S., Pharaoh, T.C., Ten Veen, J.H., Ter Borgh, M., Van Ojik, K.,  
1565 Verreussel, R.M.C.H., Verweij, J.M., Vis, G.-J., New insights on subsurface energy resources in the Southern North Sea Basin area, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 494, <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP494-2018-178>, 2019



- Doornenbal, H. and Stevenson, A. (Eds.): Petroleum Geological Atlas of the Southern Permian Basin Area, EAGE Publications b.v., Houten, <https://www.nlog.nl/southern-permian-basin-atlas>, 2010
- 1570
- Dubois, A., Odonne, F., Massonnat, G., Lebourg, T., and Fabre, R.: Analogue modelling of fault reactivation: tectonic inversion and oblique remobilisation of grabens, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 24, 1741-1752, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(01\)00129-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(01)00129-8), 2002
- 1575 Dumagin, E., Truche, L., and Donzé, F.V.: Natural Hydrogen Exploration Guide, Geonum Ed.: ISRN GEONUM-NST--2019-01--ENG, 16 pp. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/330728855>, 2019
- Edwards, B., Kraft, T., Cauzzi, C., Kästli, P., and Wiener, S.: Seismic monitoring and analysis of deep geothermal projects in St Gallen and Basel, Switzerland, *Geophys. J. Int.* 201, 1022-1039, <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggv059>, 2015
- 1580
- Eisenstadt, G. and Sims, D.: Evaluating sand and clay models: do rheological differences matter?, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 27, 1399-1412. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2005.04.010>, 2005
- Eisenstadt, G., Withjack, M.O. 1995. Estimating inversion: results from clay models. In: Buchanan, J.G., Buchanan, P.G. (eds) Basin Inversion. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 88, 119-136. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1995.088.01.08>
- 1585
- Eisermann, J.O., Göllner, P.L., and Riller, U.: Orogen-scale transpression accounts for GPS velocities and kinematic partitioning in the Southern Andes, *Commun. Earth Environ.*, 2, 167, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00241-4>, 2021.
- 1590
- Ellis, S., Schreurs, G., and Panien, M.: Comparisons between analogue and numerical models of thrust wedge development, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 26, 1659-1675, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2004.02.012>, 2004
- Erratt, D., Thomas, G.M., and Wall, G.R.T.: The evolution of the Central North Sea Rift, *Geol. Soc. Petrol. Conf. Ser.*, 5, 63-82, <https://doi.org/10.1144/0050063>, 1999
- 1595
- Evans, D., Graham, C., Armour, A., and Bathurst, P. (Eds.): The Millennium Atlas: Petroleum geology of the central and northern North Sea, Geological Society of London, UK, 2003



- 1600 Faul, U.U., Garapić, G., and Lugović, B.: Subcontinental rift initiation and ocean-continent transitional setting of the Dinarides and Vardar zone: Evidence from the Krivaja–Konjuh Massif, Bosnia and Herzegovina, *Lithos* 202-203, 283-299, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2014.05.026>, 2014
- Fedorik, J., Zwaan, F., Schreurs, G., Toscani, G., Bonini, L., and Seno, S.: The interaction between strike-slip dominated fault zones and thrust belt structures: Insights from 4D analogue models, *J. Struct. Geol.* 122, 89-105, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2019.02.010>, 2019
- 1605 Ferrer, O., McClay, K., and Sellier, N.C.: Influence of fault geometries and mechanical anisotropies on the growth and inversion of hanging-wall synclinal basins: insights from sandbox models and natural examples, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 439, 487-509, <http://doi.org/10.1144/SP439.8>, 2016
- Ferrer, O., Carola, E., Bufaliza, N., McClay, K.: Analogue modeling approach to the inversion of domino-style basement fault systems involving pre-rift salt, *Solid Earth*, in prep
- 1615 Frasca, G., Gueydan, F., Brun, J.-P., and Monié, P.: Deformation mechanisms in a continental rift up to mantle exhumation. Field evidence from the western Betics, Spain, *Mar. Petrol. Geol.*, 76, 310-328, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2016.04.020>, 2016
- Gabrielse, R.H., Sokoutis, D., Willingshofer, E., and Faleide, J.I.: Fault linkage across weak layers during extension: an experimental approach with reference to the Hoop Fault Complex of the SW Barents Sea, *Petrol. Geosci.*, 22, 123-135, <https://doi.org/10.1144/petgeo2015-029>, 2016
- 1620 Gartrell, A., Hudson, C., and Evans, B.: The influence of basement faults during extension and oblique inversion of the Makassar Straits rift system: Insights from analog models, *AAPG Bull.*, 89, 495-506, <https://doi.org/10.1306/12010404018>, 2005
- 1625 Gaucher, E.C.: New Perspectives in the Industrial Exploration for Native Hydrogen, *Elements*, 16, 8-9, <https://doi.org/10.2138/gselements.16.1.8>, 2020
- 1630 Gibson, G.M. and Edwards, S.: Basin inversion and structural architecture as constraints on fluid flow and Pb–Zn mineralization in the Paleo–Mesoproterozoic sedimentary sequences of northern Australia, *Solid Earth*, 11, 1205–1226, <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-11-1205-2020>, 2020



- 1635 Glennie, K. W. and Boegner, P. L. E.: Sole Pit inversion tectonics, in: Petroleum Geology of the Continental Shelf of North-west Europe, edited by: Illing, L. V. and Hobson, G. D., Institute of Petroleum, London, UK, 110–120, 1981
- 1640 Gomes, C.J.S., Filho, A.D., Posada, A.M.A., and Da Silva, A.C.: The role of backstop shape during inversion tectonics physical models, *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, 82, 997-1012, <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0001-37652010000400021>, 2010
- Gomes, C.J.S., Martins-Neto, M.A., and Ribeiro, V.A.: Positive inversion of extensional footwalls in the southern Serra do Espinhaço, Brazil – insights from sandbox laboratory experiments, *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, 78, 331-334, <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0001-37652006000200012>, 2006
- 1645 Goudswaard, W., Jenyon, M.K. 1988. Seismic atlas of structural and stratigraphic features. European Association of Exploration Geophysicists.
- Gowers, M.B., Holtar, E., and Swensson, E.: The structure of the Norwegian Central Trough (Central Graben area), *Geol. Soc. Petrol. Conf. Ser.*, 4, 1245-1254, <https://doi.org/10.1144/0041245>, 1993
- 1650 Granado, P. and Ruh, J.B.: Numerical modelling of inversion tectonics in fold-and-thrust belts, *Tectonophysics* 763, 14-29, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.04.033>, 2017
- Granado, P., Ferrer, O., Muñoz, J.A., Thöny, W., and Strauss, P.: Basin inversion in tectonic wedges: Insights from analogue modelling and the Alpine-Carpathian fold-and-thrust belt, *Tectonophysics*, 703-704, 50-68, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.02.022>, 2017.
- 1655 Graveleau, F., Hurtrez, J., Dominguez, S., and Malavieille, J.: A new experimental material for modeling relief dynamics and interactions between tectonics and surface processes, *Tectonophysics*, 513, 68–87, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2011.09.029>, 2011
- 1660 Graveleau, F., Malavieille, J., Dominguez, S.: Experimental modelling of orogenic wedges: A review, *Tectonophysics* 538-540, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2012.01.027>, 2012
- 1665 Graveleau, F., Strak, V., Dominguez, S., Malavieille, J., Chatton, M., Manighetti, I., and Petit, C.: Experimental modelling of tectonics-erosion-sedimentation interactions in compressional, extensional, and strike-slip settings. *Geomorphology* 244, 146–168, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.02.011>, 2016



- Hall, J. Sir.: On the vertical position and convolutions of certain strata and their relation with granite. Royal Society of  
1670 Edinburgh Transactions, 7, 79-108, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0080456800019268>, 1815
- Hansen, D.L. and Nielsen S.B.: Why rifts invert in compression, Tectonophysics 373, 5-24, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(03\)00280-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(03)00280-4), 2003
- 1675 Hansen, T.H., Clausen, O.R. and Andersen, K.J.: Thick- and thin-skinned basin inversion in the Danish Central Graben, North Sea – The role of deep evaporites and basement kinematics, Solid Earth, 12, 1719-1747, <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-12-1719-2021>, 2021
- Herbert, J.W., Cooke, M.L., Souloumiac, P., Madden, E.H., Mary, B.C.L., and Maillot, B.: The work of fault growth in  
1680 laboratory sandbox experiments. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 432, 95-102, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.09.046>, 2015
- Henza, A. A., Withjack, M. O., and Schlische, R. W.: Normal- fault development during two phases of non-coaxial extension: An experimental study, J. Struct. Geol., 32, 1656–1667, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2009.07.007>, 2010.
- 1685 Henza, A.A., Withjack, M.O., and Schlische, R.W.: How do the properties of a pre-existing normal-fault population influence fault development during a subsequent phase of extension?, J. Struct. Geol., 33, 1312–1324, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2011.06.010>, 2011
- Hubbert, M. K.: Theory of scaled models as applied to the study of geological structures, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 48, 1459–  
1690 1520, <https://doi.org/10.1130/GSAB-48-1459>, 1937
- Hunfeld, L.B., Chen, J., Hol, S., Niemeijer, A.R., Spiers, C.J.: Healing Behavior of Simulated Fault Gouges From the Groningen Gas Field and Implications for Induced Fault Reactivation, J. Geophys. Res.-Sol. Ea., 123, e2019JB018790, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JB018790>, 2020  
1695
- Iaffa, D.N., Săbat, F., Bello, D., Ferrer, O., Mon, R., and Gutierrez, A.A.: Tectonic inversion in a segmented foreland basin from extensional to piggy back settings: The Tucumán Basin in NW Argentina, J. S. Am. Earth Sci., 31, 457-474, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2011.02.009>, 2011
- 1700 Jagger, L.J. and McClay, K.: Analogue modelling of inverted domino-style basement fault systems. Basin Research, 30, 363-381, <https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12224>, 2018



- Jara, P., Likerman, J., Winocur, D., Ghiglione, M.C., Cristallini, E.O., Pinto, L., and Charrier, R.: Role of basin width variation in tectonic inversion: insight from analogue modelling and implications for the tectonic inversion of the Abanico Basin, 32°–34°S, Central Andes, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 399, 83–107. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/SP399.7>, 2015
- 1705
- Jara, P., Likerman, J., Charrier R., Herrera, S., Pinto L., Villaroel, M., and Winocur, D.: Closure type effects on the structural pattern of an inverted extensional basin of variable width: Results from analogue models, *J. S. Am. Earth Sci.*, 87, 157–173, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2017.10.018>, 2018
- 1710
- Katz, R.F., Ragnarsson, R., and Bodenschatz, E.: Tectonic microplates in a wax model of sea-floor spreading. *New J. Phys.*, 7, 37, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/7/1/037>, 2005
- Keep, M. and McClay, K.: Analogue modelling of multiphase rift systems, *Tectonophysics* 273, 239–270, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(96\)00272-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(96)00272-7), 1997
- 1715
- Keller, J.V.A. and McClay, K.R.: 3D sandbox models of positive inversion, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 88, 137–146, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1995.088.01.09>, 1995
- 1720
- Konstantinovskaya, E.A., Harris, L.B., Poulin, J., and Ivanov, G.M.: Transfer zones and fault reactivation in inverted rift basins: Insights from physical modelling, *Tectonophysics* 441, 1–26, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2007.06.002>, 2007
- Klinkmüller, M., Schreurs, G., Rosenau, M., and Kemnitz, H.: Properties of granular analogue model materials: A community wide survey, *Tectonophysics*, 684, 23–38, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.01.017>, 2016.
- 1725
- Koons, P.O.: Two-sided orogen: Collision and erosion from the sandbox to the Southern Alps, New Zealand, *Geology*, 18, 670–682, [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1990\)018<0679:TSOCAE>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1990)018<0679:TSOCAE>2.3.CO;2), 1990
- Koopman, A., Speksnijder, A., and Horsfield, W.T.: Sandbox model studies of inversion tectonics, *Tectonophysics* 137, 379–388, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(87\)90329-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(87)90329-5), 1987
- 1730
- Koyi, H.A.: Analogue Modelling: From a Qualitative To a Quantitative Technique — a Historical Outline, *J. Pet. Geol.* 20, 223–238, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-5457.1997.tb00774.x>, 1997





- 1735 Krantz, R.W.: Normal fault geometry and fault reactivation in tectonic inversion experiments, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 56, 219-229, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1991.056.01.15>, 1991a
- Krantz, R.W.: Measurements of friction coefficients and cohesion for faulting and fault reactivation in laboratory models using sand and sand mixtures, *Tectonophysics*, 188, 203-207, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(91\)90323-K](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(91)90323-K), 1991b
- 1740 Krstekanić, N., Willingshofer, E., Broerse, T., Matenco, L., Toljić, and Stojadinovic, U.: Analogue modelling of strain partitioning along a curved strike-slip fault system during backarc-convex orocline formation: Implications for the Cerna-Timok fault system of the Carpatho-Balkanides, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 149, 104386, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2021.104386>, 2021
- 1745 Ladd, C. R. and Reber, J. E.: The effect of a liquid phase on force distribution during deformation in a granular system, *J. Geophys. Res.-Solid Ea.*, 125, e2020JB019771, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JB019771>, 2020
- Lamplugh, G.W.: Structure of the Weald and analogous tracts, *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London*, 75, 1750 LXXIII–XCV, 1919.
- Lathrop, B., Zwaan, F., Schmid, T., Schreurs, G., Molnar, N., Jackson, C., Bell, R., Rotevatn, A.: Insights into normal fault kinematics using 4D analogue models, in prep
- 1755 Lavier, L.L. and Manatschal, G.: A mechanism to thin the continental lithosphere at magma-poor margins, *Nature*, 440, 324–328, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04608>, 2006
- Lefevre, N., Truche, L., Donzé, F.-V., Ducoux, M., Barré, G., Fakoury, R.-A., Calassou, S., and Gaucher, E.C.: Native H2 Exploration in the Western Pyrenean Foothills. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosy.*, 22, e2021GC009917, 1760 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GC009917>, 2020
- Lescoutre, R. and Manatschal, G.: Role of rift-inheritance and segmentation for orogenic evolution: example from the Pyrenean-Cantabrian system, *Bull. Soc. Geol. Fr.*, 191, 18, <https://doi.org/10.1051/bsgf/2020021>, 2020
- 1765 Letouzey, J.: Fault reactivation, inversion and fold-thrust belt, in: *Petroleum and Tectonics in Mobile Belts*, edited by Letouzey, J., Editions Technip, Paris, France. pp. 101–128, 1990



- Letouzey, J., Colletta, B., Vialy, R., and Chermetter, J.C.: Evolution of salt-related structures in compressional settings, in: Salt tectonics, a global perspective, edited by Jackson, M.P.A., Roberts, D.G., and Snelson, S., AAPG Memoir 65, 41-60, 1770 <https://doi.org/10.1306/M65604C3>, 1995
- Li, Z., Dong, M., Li, S. and Huang, S.: CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration in depleted oil and gas reservoirs—caprock characterization and storage capacity, *Energ. Convers. Manage.*, 47, 1372-1382, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2005.08.023>, 2006
- 1775 Liang, P., Zhong, R., Zhao, L., and Xie, Y.: Formation of Fe-Cu-Au deposit in basin inversion setting in NW China: A perspective from ore-fluid halogen and noble gas geochemistry, *Ore Geol. Rev.*, 131, 104011, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2021.104011>, 2021
- Likerman, J., Burlando, J.F., Cristallini, E.O., and Ghiglione, M.C.: Along-strike structural variations in the Southern 1780 Patagonian Andes: Insights from physical modelling, *Tectonophysics* 590, 106-120, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.01.018>, 2013
- Lohrmann, J., Kukowski, N., Adam, J., and Oncken, O.: The impact of analogue material properties on the geometry, kinematics, and dynamics of convergent sand wedges, *J. Struct. Geol.* 25, 1691-1711, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(03\)00005-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(03)00005-1), 2003 1785
- Lowell, J.D.: Plate Tectonics and Foreland Basement Deformation, *Geology*, 2, 275-278, [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1974\)2<275:PTAFBD>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1974)2<275:PTAFBD>2.0.CO;2), 1974
- 1790 Lowell, J.D.: Mechanics of basin inversion from worldwide examples, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 88, 39-57, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1995.088.01.04>, 1995
- Luth, S., Willingshofer, E., Sokoutis, D., and Cloetingh, S.: Analogue modelling of continental collision: Influence of plate coupling on mantle lithosphere subduction, crustal deformation and surface topography, *Tectonophysics*, 484, 87–102. 1795 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2009.08.043>, 2010
- Madritsch, H., Naef, H., Meier, B., Franzke, H.J., and Schreurs, G.: Architecture and Kinematics of the Constance-Frick Trough (Northern Switzerland): Implications for the Formation of Post-Variscan Basins in the Foreland of the Alps and Scenarios of Their Neogene Reactivation, *Tectonics*, 37, 2197–2220, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017TC004945>, 2018 1800



- Maestrelli, D., Bonini, M., Corti, G., Del Ventisette, C., Moratti, G., and Montanari, D.: Exploring fault propagation and the role of inherited structures during caldera collapse through laboratory experiments, *J. Volcan. Geoth. Res.*, 414, 107232, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2021.107232>, 2021
- 1805 Maestrelli, D., Montanari, D., Corti, G., Del Ventisette, C., Moratti, G., and Bonini, M.: Exploring the Interactions Between Rift Propagation and Inherited Crustal Fabrics Through Experimental Modeling, *Tectonics*, 39, e2020TC006211, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020TC006211>, 2020
- Maillot, B.: A sedimentation device to produce uniform sand packs, *Tectonophysics* 593, 85-94,  
1810 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.02.028>, 2013
- Mandal, N. and Chattopadhyay, A.: Modes of reverse reactivation of domino-type normal faults: experimental and theoretical approach, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 17, 1151–1163, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141\(95\)00015-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(95)00015-6), 1995.
- 1815 Marques, F.O., Nogueira, C.R.: Normal fault inversion by orthogonal compression: Sandbox experiments with weak faults, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 30, 761–766, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2008.02.015>, 2008
- Marques, F.O., Nogueira, F.C.C., Bezerra, F.H.R., and de Castro, D.L.: The Araripe Basin in NE Brazil: An intracontinental graben inverted to a high-standing horst, *Tectonophysics* 630, 251-264, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2014.05.029>, 2014  
1820
- Martínez, F., Montanari, D., Del Ventisette, C., and Bonini, M.: Basin inversion and magma migration and emplacement: Insights from basins of northern Chile, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 114, 310-319, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2017.12.008>, 2018
- Martínez, F., Bonini, M., Montanari, D., and Corti, G.: Tectonic inversion and magmatism in the Lautaro Basin, northern  
1825 Chile, Central Andes: A comparative approach from field data and analog models, *J. Geodyn.*, 94-95, 68-83,  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2016.02.003>, 2016
- Martínez, F. and Cristallini, E.: The doubly vergent inverted structures in the Mesozoic basins of northern Chile (28°S): A comparative analysis from field data and analogue modeling, *J. S. Am. Earth Sci.*, 77, 327-340,  
1830 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2017.02.002>, 2017
- Massaro, L., Adam, J., Jonade, E., and Yamada, Y.: New granular rock-analogue materials for simulation of multi-scale fault and fracture processes, *Geol. Mag.*, 1-24, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756821001321>, 2021



- 1835 Mayolle, S., Soliva, R., Dominguez, S., Wibberley, C., and Caniven, Y.: Nonlinear fault damage zone scaling revealed through analog modelling, *Geology*, 49, 968–972, <https://doi.org/10.1130/G48760.1>, 2021
- McClay, K.R.: Analogue models of inversion tectonics. *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 44, 41-59, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1989.044.01.04>, 1989
- 1840
- McClay, K.R.: Extensional fault systems in sedimentary basins: a review of analogue model studies, *Mar. Petrol. Geol.*, 7, 206-233, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-8172\(90\)90001-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-8172(90)90001-W), 1990
- McClay, K.R.: The geometries and kinematics of inverted fault systems: a review of analogue modelling studies, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 88, 97-118, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1995.088.01.07>, 1995
- 1845
- McClay, K.R.: Recent advances in analogue modelling: uses in section interpretation and validation, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 99, 201-225, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1996.099.01.16>, 1996
- 1850 McClay, K.R. and Buchanan, P.G.: Thrust faults in inverted extensional basins. In: McClay, K.R. (ed) *Thrust Tectonics*, Springer, Dordrecht, 93-104, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-3066-0\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-3066-0_8), 1992
- McClay, K.R., Dooley, T., Ferguson, A., and Poblet, J.: Tectonic Evolution of the Sanga Sanga Block, Mahakam Delta, Kalimantan, Indonesia, *AAPG Bull.*, 84, 765-786, <https://doi.org/10.1306/A96733EC-1738-11D7-8645000102C1865D>,
- 1855 2000
- McClay, K., Dooley, T.P., Whitehouse, P., and Mills, M.: 4-D evolution of rift systems: Insights from scaled physical models, *Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull.*, 86, 935–959, <https://doi.org/10.1306/61EEDBF2-173E-11D7-8645000102C1865D>,
- 2002
- 1860
- Mencos, J., Carrera, N., and Muñoz, J.A.: Influence of rift basin geometry on the subsequent postrift sedimentation and basin inversion: The Organyà Basin and the Bóixols thrust sheet (south central Pyrenees), *Tectonics*, 35, 1452-1474, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014TC003692>, 2015
- 1865 Michon, L. and Merle, O.: Crustal structures of the Rhinegraben and the Massif Central grabens: An experimental approach, *Tectonics*, 19, 896–904, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000TC900015>, 2000



- 1870 Michon, L. and Merle, O.: Mode of lithospheric extension: Conceptual models from analogue modeling, *Tectonics*, 22, 1028, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002TC001435>, 2003
- Miró, J., Ferrer, O., Muñoz, J.A., and Manastchal, G.: Role of inheritance in a thick- to thin-skinned transition along strike: Insights from analogue modelling and Pyrenean-Biscay system, in prep
- 1875 Mitra, S.: Geometry and kinematic evolution of inversion structures. *AAPG Bull.*, 77, 1159-1191, <https://doi.org/10.1306/BDF8E2A-1718-11D7-8645000102C1865D>, 1993
- Mitra, S. and Islam, Q.T.: Experimental (clay) models of inversion structures, *Tectonophysics*, 230, 211-222, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(94\)90136-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(94)90136-8), 1994
- 1880 Mock, S. and Herwegh, M.: Tectonics of the central Swiss Molasse Basin: Post-Miocene transition to incipient thick-skinned tectonics?, *Tectonics*, 36, 1699-1723, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004584>, 2017
- Molnar, N.E., Cruden, A.R., and Betts, P.G.: Interactions between propagating rotational rifts and linear rheological heterogeneities: Insights from three-dimensional laboratory experiments, *Tectonics*, 36, 420-443, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016TC004447>, 2017
- 1885 Montanari, D., Agostini, A., Bonini, M., Corti, G., and Ventisette, C.: The Use of Empirical Methods for Testing Granular Materials in Analogue Modelling, *Materials (Basel)*, 10, 635, <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma10060635>, 2017
- 1890 Moretti, I. and Webber, M.E.: Natural hydrogen: a geological curiosity or the primary energy source for a low-carbon future? *Renewable Matter*. <https://www.renewablematter.eu/articles/article/natural-hydrogen-a-geological-curiosity-or-the-primary-energy-source-for-a-low-carbon-future>, 2021
- 1895 Mourgues, R. and Cobbold, P.R.: Some tectonic consequences of fluid overpressures and seepage forces as demonstrated by sandbox modelling, *Tectonophysics*, 376, 75-97, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(03\)00348-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(03)00348-2), 2003
- Moragas, M., Vergés, J., Nalpas, T., Saura, E., Martín-Martín, J.D., Message, G., and Hunt, D.W.: The impact of syn- and post-extension prograding sedimentation on the development of salt-related rift basins and their inversion: Clues from analogue modelling, *Mar. Petrol. Geol.*, 88, 985-1003, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2017.10.001>, 2017
- 1900



- Morley, C.K.: Stress re-orientation along zones of weak fabrics in rifts: An explanation for pure extension in ‘oblique’ rift segments? *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 297, 667-673, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.07.022>, 2010
- Moulas, E., Sokoutis, D., and Willingshofer, E.: Pressure build-up and stress variations within the Earth’s crust in the light of 1905 analogue models, *Sci. Rep.*, 9, 2310, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-38256-1>, 2019
- Munteanu, I., Willingshofer, E., Matenco, L., and Sokoutis, D.: Far-field contractional polarity changes in models and nature, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 395, 101-115, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.03.036>, 2014
- 1910 Munteanu, I., Willingshofer, E., Sokoutis, D., Matenco, L., Dinu, C., and Cloetingh, S.: Transfer of deformation in back-arc basins with a laterally variable rheology: Constraints from analogue modelling of the Balkanides–Western Black Sea inversion, *Tectonophysics*, 602, 223-236, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.03.009>, 2013
- Musso Piantelli F., Mair D., Berger A., Schlunegger F., Wiederkehr M., Kurmann E., Baumberger R., Möri A. and Herwegh 1915 M.: 4D reconstruction of a nappe-basement system: insights into Fold and thrust belts evolution, *J. Struct. Geol.*, in prep
- Nalpas, T., Le Douaran, S., Brun, J.-P., Unternehr, P., and Richert, J.-P.: Inversion of the Broad Fourteens Basin (offshore Netherlands), a small-scale model investigation, *Sediment. Geol.*, 95, 237-250, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0037-0738\(94\)00113-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0037-0738(94)00113-9), 1995
- 1920 Naylor, M.A., Laroque, J.M., and Gauthier, B.D.M.: Understanding extensional tectonics: Insights from sandbox models, in: *Geodynamic Evolution of Sedimentary Basins*, edited by Roure, F., Ellouz, N., Shein, V.S., Skvortsov, International Symposium, Moscow, 69–83, 1994
- 1925 Nestola, Y., Storti, F., Bedogni, E., and Cavozi, C.: Shape evolution and finite deformation pattern in analog experiments of lithosphere necking, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 5025-5057, <https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50978>, 2013
- Nestola, Y., Storti, F., and Cavozi, C.: Strain rate-dependent lithosphere rifting and necking architectures in analog experiments, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 120, 584–594. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JB011623>, 2015
- 1930 Nieuwland, D.A., Urai, J.L., and Knoop, M.: In-situ stress measurements in model experiments of tectonic faulting, in: *Aspects of Tectonic Faulting*, edited by Lehner, F.K., Urai, J.L., and Van der Zee, W., Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2000



- 1935 Oldenburg, D.W. and Brune, J.N.: Ridge transform fault spreading pattern in freezing wax, *Science*, 178, 301–304, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.178.4058.301>, 1972
- Oertel, G.: The mechanism of faulting in clay experiments, *Tectonophysics*, 2, 343–393, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(65\)90032-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(65)90032-6), 1965
- 1940 Panien, M., Buitter, S.J.H., Schreurs, G., and Pfiffner, O.A.: Inversion of a symmetric basin: insights from a comparison between analogue and numerical experiments, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 253, 253–270, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2006.253.01.13>, 2006a
- 1945 Panien, M., Schreurs, G., and Pfiffner, A.: Sandbox experiments on basin inversion: testing the influence of basin orientation and basin fill, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 27, 433–445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2004.11.001>, 2005
- Panien, M., Schreurs, G., and Pfiffner, O.A.: Mechanical behaviour of granular materials used in analogue modelling: insights from grain characterisation, ring-shear tests and analogue experiments, *J. Struct. Geol.* 28, 1710–1724, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2006.05.004>, 2006b
- 1950 Park, Y., Kang, N., Yi, B., Lee, G., and Yoo, D.: Tectonostratigraphic framework in the eastern Korean continental margin, East Sea: Implication for evolution of the Hupo Basin, *Basin Res*, 00, 1–27, <https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12641>, 2021
- 1955 Pfiffner, O.A.: The structure of the Helvetic nappes and its relation to the mechanical stratigraphy, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 15, 511–521, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141\(93\)90145-Z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(93)90145-Z), 1993
- Philippe, Y.: Rampes Latérales et zones de transfert dans les chaînes plissées: géométrie, conditions de formations et pièges structuraux associés, PhD Theses, Université de Savoie, France, 1995
- 1960 Philippon, M. and Corti, G.: Obliquity along plate boundaries, *Tectonophysics* 693, 171–182, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.05.033>, 2016
- Pinto, L., Muñoz, C., Nalpas, T., and Charrier, R.: Role of sedimentation during basin inversion in analogue modelling, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 32, 554–565, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2010.03.001>, 2016
- 1965 Plenefisch, T., Bonjer, K.-P.: The stress field in the Rhine Graben area inferred from earthquake focal mechanisms and estimation of frictional parameters, *Tectonophysics*, 275, 71–97, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(97\)00016-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(97)00016-4), 1997



- 1970 Poppe, S., Holohan, E.P., Galland, O., Buls, N., Van Gompel, G., Keelson, B., Tournigand, P.-Y., Brancart, J., Hollis, D., Nila, A., and Kervyn, M.: An Inside Perspective on Magma Intrusion: Quantifying 3D Displacement and Strain in Laboratory Experiments by Dynamic X-Ray Computed Tomography, *Front. Earth Sci.*, 7, 62, <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00062>, 2019
- 1975 Ramberg, H.: *Gravity, Deformation and the Earth's Crust*, Academic Press, London, 1981.
- Ranelli, G.: Experimental tectonics: from Sir James Hall to the present, *J. Geodyn.*, 32, 65-76, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-3707\(01\)00023-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-3707(01)00023-0), 2001
- 1980 Reber, J.E., Cooke, M.L., and Dooley, T.P.: What model material to use? A Review on rock analogs for structural geology and tectonics, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 202, 103107, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103107>, 2020
- Reitano, R., Faccenna, C., Funicello, F., Corbi, F., and Willett, S. D.: Erosional response of granular material in landscape models, *Earth Surf. Dynam.*, 8, 973–993, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-8-973-2020>, 2020.
- 1985 Reitano, R., Faccenna, C., Funicello, F., Corbi, F., Sternai P., Willett, S. D., Sembroni A., and Lanari R.: Sediment recycling and the evolution of analogue orogenic wedges, *Tectonics*, 2022
- Richard, P.: Champs de failles au dessus d'un décrochement de socle: modélisation expérimentale, *Memoires et documents du Centre Armoricaïn d'Etude Structurale des Socles*, 34, PhD Thesis, Université Rennes 1, <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00675425>, 1989
- Richetti, P., Zwaan, F., Schmid, T., Schmitt, R., Schreurs, G.: Oblique motion during basin inversion, *Solid Earth*, in prep
- 1995 Ritter, M.C., Leever, K.A., Rosenau, M., and Oncken, O.: Scaling the sandbox—Mechanical (dis) similarities of granular materials and brittle rock, *J. Geophys. Res.-Sol. Earth*, 121, 6863–6879, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB012915>, 2016
- Ritter, M.C., Leever, K.A., Rosenau, M., and Oncken, O.: Growing Faults in the Lab: Insights Into the Scale Dependence of the Fault Zone Evolution Process, *Tectonics*, 37, 140–153, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004787>, 2018a
- 2000





- Ritter, M.C., Santimano, T., Rosenau, M., Leever, K.A., and Oncken, O.: Sandbox rheometry: Co-evolution of stress and strain in Riedel- and Critical Wedge-experiments, *Tectonophysics*, 722, 400–409, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.11.018>, 2018b
- 2005 Roma, M., Ferrer, O., McClay, K.R., Muñoz, J.A., Roca, E., Gratacós, O., and Cabello, P.: Weld kinematics of syn-rift salt during basement-involved extension and subsequent inversion: Results from analog models, *Geol. Acta* 16, 391-410, <https://doi.org/10.1344/GeologicaActa2018.16.4.4>, 2018a
- Roma, M., Vidal-Royo, O., McClay, K., Ferrer, O., and Muñoz, J.A.: Tectonic inversion of salt-detachment ramp-syncline basins as illustrated by analog modeling and kinematic restoration, *Interpretation*, 6, 1F-T229, <https://doi.org/10.1190/INT-2017-0073.1>, 2018b
- 2010
- Roscoe, K.H.: The influence of strains in soil mechanics, 10th Rankine Lecture, *Geotechnique*, 20, 129–170, <https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.1970.20.2.129>, 1970
- 2015
- Rosenau, M., Lohrmann, J., and Oncken, O.: Shocks in a box: An analogue model of subduction earthquake cycles with application to seismotectonic forearc evolution, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, 1–20, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JB005665>, 2009
- Roure, F. and Colletta, B.: Cenozoic inversion structures in the foreland of the Pyrenees and Alps, in: *Peri-Tethys Memoir 2: Structure and Prospects of Alpine Basins and Forelands*, edited by: Ziegler, P.A., Horváth, F., *Mémoires du Muséum national d'histoire naturelle*, 170, 173-209, <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/58832613>, 1996
- 2020
- Rudolf, M., Boutelier, D., Rosenau, M., Schreurs, G., and Oncken, O.: Rheological benchmark of silicone oils used for analog modeling of short- and long-term lithospheric deformation, *Tectonophysics*, 684, 12–22, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.11.028>, 2016.
- 2025
- Rudolf, M., Rosenau, M., and Oncken, O.: Time dependent properties of granular media – The GeoMod Benchmark 2021, *GeoMod 2021*, Doorn, Netherlands, 19-23 September 2021, <https://geomod2021.uu.nl/wp-content/uploads/sites/512/2021/09/Abstract-volume-GeoMod-2021-2.pdf>
- 2030
- Ruh, J.B.: Effects of fault-weakening processes on oblique intracontinental rifting and subsequent tectonic inversion, *Am. J. Sci.*, 319, 315–338, <https://doi.org/10.2475/04.2019.03>, 2019



- Ruh, J.B. and Vergés, J.: Effects of reactivated extensional basement faults on structural evolution of fold-and-thrust belts: Insights from numerical modelling applied to the Kopet Dagh Mountains, *Tectonophysics*, 746, 493–511, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.05.020>, 2018
- 2035
- Sandiford, M.: Mechanics of basin inversion, *Tectonophysics*, 305, 109–120, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(99\)00023-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(99)00023-2), 1999
- 2040
- Sanford, A.R.: Analytical and experimental study of simple geological studies, *GSA Bull.*, 70, 19-52, [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1959\)70\[19:AAESOS\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1959)70[19:AAESOS]2.0.CO;2), 1959
- Sani, F., Del Ventisette, C., Montanari, D., Bendkik, A., and Chenakeb, M.: Structural evolution of the Rides Prerifaines (Morocco): structural and seismic interpretation and analogue modelling experiments, *Int. J. Earth. Sci.*, 96, 685-706, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-006-0118-2>, 2007
- 2045
- Sassi, W., Colletta, B., Balé, P., and Paquereau, T.: Modelling of structural complexity in sedimentary basins: the role of pre-existing faults in thrust tectonics, *Tectonophysics*, 226, 97-112, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(93\)90113-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(93)90113-X), 1993
- 2050
- Schellart, W. P.: Shear test results for cohesion and friction coefficients for different granular materials: scaling implications for their usage in analogue modelling, *Tectonophysics*, 324, 1–16, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(00\)00111-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(00)00111-6), 2000.
- Schellart, W.P. and Strak, V.: A review of analogue modelling of geodynamic processes: Approaches, scaling, materials and quantification, with an application to subduction experiments, *J. Geodyn.*, 100, 7-32, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2016.03.009>, 2016
- 2055
- Schmid, S., Kissling, E., Diehl, T., Van Hinsbergen, D., and Molli, G.: Ivrea mantle wedge, arc of the Western Alps, and kinematic evolution of the Alps–Apennines orogenic system, *Swiss J. Geosci.*, 110, 581-612, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00015-016-0237-0>, 2017
- 2060
- Schmid, T., Schreurs, G., Warsitzka, M., Rosenau, M.: Effect of sieving height on density and friction of brittle analogue material: Ring-shear test data of quartz sand used for analogue experiments in the Tectonic Modelling Lab of the University of Bern. GFZ Data Services. <https://doi.org/10.5880/figgeo.2020.006>, 2020
- 2065



- Schmid, T., Schreurs, G., Adam, J.: Characteristics of continental rifting in rotational systems: New findings from spatiotemporal high resolution quantified crustal scale analogue models, *Tectonophysics*, 822, 229174, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2021.229174>, 2022
- 2070 Schöfisch, T., Koyi, H., and Almqvist, B.: Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility as strain indicator in a fold-and-thrust belt sandbox model above décollements with frictional contrast, EGU General Assembly 2020, Online, 4–8 May 2020, EGU2020-15507, <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu2020-15507>, 2020
- Schöfisch, T., Koyi, H., and Almqvist, B.: Influence of décollement friction on anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility in a  
2075 fold-and-thrust belt model, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 144, 104274, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2020.104274>, 2021
- Schori, M., Zwaan, F., Schreurs, G., and Mosar, J.: Pre-existing Basement Faults Controlling Deformation in the Jura Mountains Fold-and-Thrust Belt: Insights from Analogue Models, *Tectonophysics*, 814, 228980, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2021.228980>, 2019  
2080
- Schreurs, G. and Colletta, B.: Analogue modelling of faulting in zones of continental transpression and transtension, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 135, 59-79, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1998.135.01.05>, 1998
- Schreurs, G., Hänni, R., Panien, M., and Vock, P.: Analysis of analogue models by helical X-ray computed tomography,  
2085 *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 2015, 213-223, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2003.215.01.20>, 2003
- Schreurs, G., Buitier, S.J.H., Boutelier, D., Corti, G., Costa, E., Cruden, A.R., Daniel, J.-M., Hoth, S., Koyi, H. A., Kukowski, N., Lohrmann, J., Ravaglia, A., Schlische, R.W., Withjack, M.O., Yamada, Y., Cavozi, C., Del Ventisette, C., Brady, J. A. E., Hoffmann-Rothe, A., Mengus, J.-M., Montanari, D., and Nilfouroushan, F.: Analogue benchmarks of  
2090 shortening and extension experiments, *Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.* 253, 1–27, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2006.253.01.01>, 2006
- Schreurs, G., Buitier, S.J.H., Boutelier, J., Burberry, C., Callot, J.-P., Cavozi, C., Cerca, M., Chen, J.-H.H., Cristallini, E., Cruden, A.R., Cruz, L., Daniel, J.-M., Da Poian, G., Garcia, V.H., Gomes, C.J.S., Grall, C., Guillot, Y., Guzmán, C.,  
2095 Hidayah, T.N., Hilley, G.E., Klinkmüller, M., Koyi, H.A., Lu, C.-Y.Y., Maillot, B., Meriaux, C., Nilfouroushan, F., Pan, C.-C.C., Pillot, D., Portillo, R., Rosenau, M., Schellart, W.P., Schlische, R.W., Take, A., Vendeville, B.C., Vergnaud, M., Vettori, M., Wang, S.-H.H., Withjack, M.O., Yagupsky, D., and Yamada, Y.: Benchmarking analogue models of brittle thrust wedges, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 92, 116–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2016.03.005>, 2016



- 2100 Scott, E.: Exploring for native hydrogen, *Nature Rev. Earth Environ.*, 2, 589, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00215-2>, 2021
- Sibuet, J.-C., Srivastava, S.P., and Spakman, W.: Pyrenean orogeny and plate kinematics, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, B08104, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JB002514>, 2004
- 2105 Sibson, R.H.: A note on fault reactivation, *J. Struct. Geol.*, 7, 751–754, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141\(85\)90150-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(85)90150-6), 1985
- Sibson, R.H.: Selective fault reactivation during basin inversion: potential for fluid redistribution through fault-valve action, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 88, 3-19. <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1995.088.01.02>, 1995
- 2110 Sibson, R.H. and Scott, J.: Stress/fault controls on the containment and release of overpressured fluids: Examples from gold-quartz vein systems in Juneau, Alaska; Victoria, Australia and Otago, New Zealand, *Ore Geol. Rev.*, 13, 293–306, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-1368\(97\)00023-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-1368(97)00023-1), 1988
- 2115 Smith, N.J.P.: It's time for explorationists to take hydrogen more seriously, *First Break*, 20, 246-253, <https://www.earthdoc.org/content/journals/0.3997/1365-2397.20.4.25031>, 2002
- Sokoutis, D. and Willingshofer, E.: Decoupling during continental collision and intra-plate deformation, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 305, 435–444, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.03.028>, 2011
- 2120 Souriot, T. and Brun, J.-P.: Faulting and block rotation in the Afar triangle, East Africa: the Danakil “crank-arm” model, *Geology*, 20, 911–914. <https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613>, 1992
- 2125 Stewart, S.A., Coward, M.P.: Synthesis of salt tectonics in the southern North Sea, UK, *Mar. Petrol. Geol.*, 12, 457-475, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-8172\(95\)91502-G](https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-8172(95)91502-G), 1995
- Stewart, S.A. and Clark, J.A.: Impact of salt on the structure of the Central North Sea hydrocarbon fairways, *Petrol. Geol. Conf. Ser.*, 5, 179-200, <https://doi.org/10.1144/0050179>, 1999
- 2130 Stewart, S.A.: Salt tectonics in the North Sea Basin: a structural style template for seismic interpreters, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 272, 361-396, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2007.272.01.19>, 2007



- Strzeczynski, P., Dominguez, S., Boudial, A., and Déverchère, J.: Tectonic inversion and geomorphic evolution of the Algerian margin since Messinian times: Insights from new onshore/offshore analog modeling experiments, *Tectonics*, 40, e2020TC006369, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020TC006369>, 2021
- Tron, V. and Brun, J.-P.: Experiments on oblique rifting in brittle-ductile systems, *Tectonophysics*, 188, 71-84, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(91\)90315-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(91)90315-J), 1991
- 2140 Turner, J.P., Williams, G.A.: Sedimentary basin inversion and intra-plate shortening, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 65, 277, 304, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2003.10.002>, 2004
- Ustaszewski, K., Schumacher, M.E., Schmid, S.M., and Nieuwland, D.: Fault reactivation in brittle–viscous wrench systems—dynamically scaled analogue models and application to the Rhine–Bresse transfer zone, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 24, 365-382, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2004.03.015>, 2005
- Van Winden, M., De Jager, J., Jaarsma, B., and Bouroullec, R.: New insights into salt tectonics in the northern Dutch offshore: a framework for hydrocarbon exploration, *Geol Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 469, 99-117, <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP469.9>, 2018
- 2150 Vaughan, A.P.M. and Scarrow, J.H.: Ophiolite obduction pulses as a proxy indicator of superplume events? *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 213, 407-416, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X\(03\)00330-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(03)00330-3), 2003
- Vendeville, B., Cobbold, P. R., Davy, P., Brun, J.-P., and Choukroune, P.: Physical models of extensional tectonics at various scales, *Geol Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 28, 95–107, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1987.028.01.08>, 1987.
- 2155 Vermeer, P.A.: The orientation of shear bands in biaxial tests, *Géotechnique*, 40, 223-236, <https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.1990.40.2.223>, 1990
- 2160 Vially, R., Letouzey, J., Bénard, F., Haddadi N., Desforges, G., Askari, H., and Boujema, A.: Basin inversion along the North African Margin - The Saharan Atlas (Algeria). In: *Peri-Tethyan Platforms*, edited by: Route, F., Éditions Technip, Paris, 79-118, 1994
- Vidal, J. and Genter, A.: Overview of naturally permeable fractured reservoirs in the central and southern Upper Rhine Graben: Insights from geothermal wells, *Geothermics*, 74, 57-73, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2018.02.003>, 2018
- 2165



- Voormeij, D.A. and Simandl, G.J.: Geological, ocean, and mineral CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration options: A technical review, *Geosci. Can.* 31, 11-22, <https://journals.lib.unb.ca/index.php/GC/article/view/2740>, 2004
- 2170 Wang, Q., Li, S., Guo, L., Suo, Y., and Dai, L.: Analogue modelling and mechanism of tectonic inversion of the Xihu Sag, East China Sea Shelf Basin, *J. Asian Earth Sci.*, 139, 129-141, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseae.2017.01.026>, 2017
- Warren J.K.: Flowing Salt: Halokinesis. In: *Evaporites*, edited by: Warren, J.K., Springer, Cham, 491-612, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-13512-0\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-13512-0_6), 2016
- 2175 Weibel, R., Olivarius, M., Vosgerau H., Mathiesen, A., Kirstensen, L., Nielsen, C.M., and Nielsen, L.H.: Overview of potential geothermal reservoirs in Denmark, *Neth. J. Geosci.*, 99, e3, <https://doi.org/10.1017/njg.2020.5>, 2020
- Weijermars, R.: Flow behaviour and physical chemistry of bouncing putties and related polymers in view of tectonic laboratory applications, *Tectonophysics*, 124, 325–358, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(86\)90208-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(86)90208-8), 1986
- 2185 Weijermars, R. and Schmeling, H.: Scaling of Newtonian and non-Newtonian fluid dynamics without inertia for quantitative modelling of rock flow due to gravity (including the concept of rheological similarity), *Phys. Earth Planet. In.*, 43, 316–330, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201\(86\)90021-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201(86)90021-X), 1986
- Williams, G. D., Powell, C. M., and Cooper, M. A.: Geometry and kinematics of inversion tectonics, in: *Inversion Tectonics*, edited by: Cooper, M. A. and Williams, G. D., Geological Society, London, UK, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 44, 3–15, <https://doi.org/10.1144/gsl.sp.1989.044.01.02> 1989
- 2190 Willingshofer, E. and Sokoutis, D.: Decoupling along plate boundaries: Key variable controlling the mode of deformation and the geometry of collisional mountain belts, *Geology*, 37, 39-42; <https://doi.org/10.1130/G25321A.1>, 2009
- Willingshofer, E., Sokoutis, D., Luth, S. W., Beekman, F., and Cloetingh, S.: Subduction and deformation of the continental lithosphere in response to plate and crust-mantle coupling, *Geology*, 41, 1239-1242, <https://doi.org/10.1130/G34815.1>, 2013
- 2195 Wilson, R.W., Houseman, G.A., Buitter, S.J.H., McCaffrey, K.J.W., and Doré, A.G.: Fifty years of the Wilson Cycle concept in plate tectonics: an overview, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 470, 1-17, <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP470-2019-58>, 2019



- Withjack, M.O. and Callaway, S.: Active normal faulting beneath a salt layer: An experimental study of deformation patterns  
2200 in the cover sequence, AAPG Bull., 84, 627-651, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1306/C9EBCE73-1735-11D7-8645000102C1865D>,  
2000
- Withjack, M.O. and Jamison, W.R.: Deformation produced by oblique rifting, Tectonophysics, 126, 99–124,  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(86\)90222-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(86)90222-2), 1986  
2205
- Yagupsky, D.L., Cristallini, E.O., Fantín, J., Valcarce, G.Z., Bottesi, G., and Varadé, R.: Oblique half-graben inversion of  
the Mesozoic Neuquén Rift in the Malargüe Fold and Thrust Belt, Mendoza, Argentina: New insights from analogue models,  
J. Struct. Geol., 30, 839-853, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2008.03.007>, 2008
- 2210 Yamada, Y. and McClay, K.R.: Application of geometric models to inverted listric fault systems in sandbox experiments.  
Paper 1: 2D hanging wall deformation and section restoration, J. Struct. Geol., 25, 1551-1560,  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(02\)00181-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(02)00181-5), 2003
- Yamada, Y. and McClay, K.R.: Application of geometric models to inverted listric fault systems in sandbox experiments.  
2215 Paper 2: insights for possible along strike migration of material during 3D hanging wall deformation, J. Struct. Geol., 25,  
1331-1336, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(02\)00160-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(02)00160-8), 2003
- Yamada, Y. and McClay, K.R.: 3-D analogue modeling of inversion thrust structures, Thrust tectonics and hydrocarbon  
systems, edited by: McClay, K.R., AAPG Memoir, 82, 276-301, <https://doi.org/10.1306/M82813C16>, 2004  
2220
- Yu, F., Zhang, R., Yu, J., Wang, Y., Chen, S., Liu, J., Wu, C., Wang, Y., Wang, S., Wang, Y., and Liu, Y.: Meso-Cenozoic  
negative inversion model for the Linhe Depression of Hetao Basin, China, Geol. Mag. 1-26,  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756821001138>, 2021
- 2225 Zwaan, F. and Schreurs, G.: Analogue models of lithospheric-scale rifting in an X-Ray CT scanner syrup models, AGU Fall  
Meeting 2021, Online, 13-17 December 2021, T42A-03, <https://agu.confex.com/agu/fm21/meetingapp.cgi/Paper/869319>,  
2021
- Zwaan, F. and Schreurs, G.: Analogue Modeling of Continental Rifting: An Overview, in: Continental Rifted Margins I,  
2230 edited by: Peron-Pinvidic, G., ISTE-Wiley, <https://doi.org/10.51926>, in press  
Preprint: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349536310>



- Zwaan, F. and Schreurs, G.: Analogue models of lithospheric-scale rifting monitored in an X-ray CT scanner, *Tectonics*, in prep.  
2235
- Zwaan, F. and Schreurs, G.: Rift segment interaction in orthogonal and rotational extension experiments: Implications for the large-scale development of rift systems, *J. Struct. Geol.* 140, 104119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2020.104119>, 2020
- Zwaan, F., Chenin, P., Erratt, D., Manatschal, G., and Scheurs, G.: Complex rift patterns, a result of interacting crustal and mantle weaknesses, or multiphase rifting? Insights from analogue models, *Solid Earth*, 12, 1473-1495, <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-12-1473-2021>, 2021a  
2240
- Zwaan, F., Chenin, P., Erratt, D., Manatschal, G., and Scheurs, G.: Competition between 3D structural inheritance and kinematics during rifting: insights from analogue models, *Basin Res.*, 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12642>, 2021b  
2245
- Zwaan, F., Corti, G., Keir, D., Sani, F.: Analogue modelling of marginal flexure in Afar, East Africa: Implications for passive margin formation, *Tectonophysics*, 796, 228595, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2020.228595>, 2020a
- Zwaan, F., Schreurs, G., and Adam, J.: Effects of sedimentation on rift segment evolution and rift interaction in orthogonal and oblique extensional settings: Insights from analogue models analysed with 4D X-ray computed tomography and digital volume correlation techniques, *Global Planet. Change*, 171, 110–133, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2017.11.002>, 2018a  
2250
- Zwaan, F., Schreurs, G., and Buitter, S. J. H.: A systematic comparison of experimental set-ups for modelling extensional tectonics, *Solid Earth*, 10, 1063-1097, <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-10-1063-2019>, 2019  
2255
- Zwaan, F., Schreurs, G., Naliboff, J., and Buitter, S. J. H.: Insights into the effects of oblique extension on continental rift interaction from 3-D analogue and numerical models, *Tectonophysics*, 693, 239–260, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.02.036>, 2016  
2260
- Zwaan, F., Schreurs, G., and Rosenau, M.: Rift propagation in rotational versus orthogonal extension: Insights from 4D analogue models, *J. Struct. Geol.* 135, 103946, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2019.103946>, 2020b
- Zwaan, F., Schreurs, G., Ritter, M., Santimano, T., and Rosenau, M.: Rheology of PDMS-corundum sand mixtures from the Tectonic Modelling Lab of the University of Bern (CH), V. 1. GFZ Data Services, <https://doi.org/10.5880/fgdgeo.2018.023>, 2018b  
2265